Southern Illinois University Carbondale

OpenSIUC

Research Papers

Graduate School

Fall 11-4-2022

SUPPLEMENTS TO REDUCE METHANE PRODUCTION IN RUMINANT ANIMALS

abdulaziz Almaghrabi abdulaziz.almaghrabi@siu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/gs_rp

Recommended Citation

Almaghrabi, abdulaziz. "SUPPLEMENTS TO REDUCE METHANE PRODUCTION IN RUMINANT ANIMALS." (Fall 2022).

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate School at OpenSIUC. It has been accepted for inclusion in Research Papers by an authorized administrator of OpenSIUC. For more information, please contact opensiuc@lib.siu.edu.

SUPPLEMENTS TO REDUCE METHANE PRODUCTION IN RUMINANT ANIMALS

by

Abdulaziz M. Almaghrabi

B.S., King Abdulaziz University at Saudi Arabia, 2012

A Research Paper Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Master of Science

> School of Agricultural Sciences in the Graduate School Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, IL December 2022

RESEARCH PAPER APPROVAL

SUPPLEMENTS TO REDUCE METHANE PRODUCTION IN RUMINANT ANIMALS

By:

Abdulaziz M. Almaghrabi

A Research Paper Submitted in Partial

Fulfillment of the Requirements

for the Degree of

Master of Science

in the field of Animal Sciences

Approved by:

Dr. Amer AbuGhazaleh, Chair

Dr. Jayakrishnannair Puthenpurayil Sasidharannair

Dr. Amir Sadeghpour

Graduate School Southern Illinois University Carbondale November 4, 2020

AN ABSTRACT OF THE RESEARCH PAPER

Abdulaziz M. Almaghrabi, for the Master of Science degree in Animal Sciences, presented on November 4, 2022, at Southern Illinois University Carbondale.

TITLE: SUPPLEMENTS TO REDUCE METHANE PRODUCTION IN RUMINANT

ANIMALS

MAJOR PROFESSOR: Dr. Amer AbuGhazaleh

Changes in the climate continue to be one of the world's most pressing issues. The accumulation methane (CH₄) in the atmosphere is a major driver of these changes. Ruminant animals have been identified as substantial contributors to CH₄ emissions. This assertion is supported by the fact that ruminant animals have a unique digestive tract that allows them to digest complex polysaccharides by the action of microbes in their rumen. During the microbial digestion (fermentation) of dietary polysaccharides, CH₄ is produced as a byproduct of the process. Therefore, this paper aims to analyze this issue, highlight how ruminants contribute to this phenomenon and suggest measures that can be implemented to mitigate CH₄ production by these animals. Research studies in recent years have tested if different supplements may reduce CH4 emissions from ruminant animals by suppressing some of the methane-producing microbes in the rumen or by altering the hydrogen (H₂) utilization in the rumen. Results in this paper showed that some of the tested supplements (e.g. Ionophores, tannins, essential oils (EO), nitrate, bioflavonoids, and enzymes) or their combinations can decrease CH₄ production in the rumen without significantly affecting animal's performance or rumen fermentation. However, the results were not always consistent depending on the supplement dosage and treatment diet composition. Although some of the tested supplements showed promising effects, more research is still needed to assess the long-term effects for some of these supplements as rumen microbial adaptation

may weaken the reported effects.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Firstly, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my advisor Professor Amer AbuGhazaleh for the continuous support of my study and related research and his great help and writing of this research. Also, for his patience, motivation, and knowledge. I could not have imagined having a better advisor for my Master study.

Also, I would like to dedicate my research to my parents Mohammed and Shahra Almaghrabi, my beloved wife Afnan and my two little daughters Retal and Alin Almaghrabi for their love and supporting me spiritually through my years of study and the process of researching and writing this thesis and my life in general. This accomplishment would not have been possible without them.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PA</u>	<u>GE</u>
BSTRACT	i
CKNOWLEDGMENTS	iii
1AJOR HEADINGS	
HEADING 1: Introduction	1
HEADING 2: Ionophores	4
HEADING 3: Nitrate, Saponin, and Sulfate	8
HEADING 4: Essential Oils, Bioflavonoids, and Tannins	11
HEADING 5: Fibrous Substrate	15
HEADING 6: Enzymes	18
HEADING 7: Sulphur Supplements	19
HEADING 8: Conclusion	20
EFERENCES	21
/ITA	25

INTRODUCTION

Climate change continues to be one of the most significant problems facing the contemporary world. The accumulation of greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide and CH4 in the atmosphere, which block the Sun's light and contribute to these changes in climate and temperature patterns, is a significant cause of these alterations. In recent years, CH4 emissions have been a significant source of concern. Methane accounted for approximately 11% of all greenhouse gases emitted in the United States in 2020, primarily due to human activities (the United States Environment Protection Agency, 2022). Leaks in natural gas systems and animal husbandry are two of the most prevalent contributors to CH4 emissions. Natural sources such as natural wetlands and chemical reactions in the atmosphere also produce CH4. Studies have shown that CH4 warming potential is 25 times greater than carbon dioxide's (the United States Environment Protection Agency, 2022). However, CH4 has a much shorter lifetime than carbon dioxide, as it can only remain in the atmosphere for up to 12 years. In contrast, carbon dioxide can be retained for more than a century (the United States Environment Protection Agency, 2022).

Methane is primarily a natural gas, but its danger is linked to its ability to trap atmospheric heat causing global warming. So, instead of escaping into space, solar energy reaches the earth's surface is reflected as longer-wavelength infrared radiations and this event contributes to climate change by causing the atmosphere to warm (Hertzberg et al., 2017). Currently, the weather and temperature patterns around the globe are changing. These alterations have led to a rise in global sea levels and desertification and an increase in the frequency of natural disasters such as hurricanes, floods, and earthquakes. These issues pose a substantial risk to human lives and the be environment. Given the substantial effects of these greenhouse gases, their emission levels must

regulated.

It has been determined that ruminant animals are significant contributors to CH₄ emissions. This claim is based on the fact that ruminant animals have a unique digestive system that permits them to digest complex dietary carbohydrates (e.g. cellulose). Such abilities are not observed in many other animal species. The rumen contains a variety of microorganisms, including bacteria, fungi, and protozoa, which degrade dietary carbohydrates (starch and fiber) through a process called hydrolysis (fermentation) to produce volatile fatty acids (VFA) such as acetate, propionate, and butyrate (Shokryzadan et al., 2016). However, during the same processes, varying amounts of formic acid, hydrogen (H₂), and carbon dioxide are produced as byproducts of the fermentation process. According to Danielsson et al. (2017), most methanogenic archaea in the rumen use H₂ to reduce carbon dioxide and produce CH₄. This process maintains a low partial pressure of H₂, thereby directing fermentation toward the production of less reduced byproducts, such as acetate.

Ruminant animals emit a substantial quantity of CH₄ into the atmosphere. According to Shokryzadan et al. (2016), ruminant enteric fermentation accounts for between 15 and 20% of global CH₄ emissions and approximately 4% of total greenhouse gas production. In ruminant animals, the production of these gases results in a loss of between 11 and 13% of the digestible energy (Shokryzadan et al., 2016). Therefore, methods to effectively manage CH₄ production in ruminant animals are required.

Different methods have been used to manage CH₄ emissions; however, dietary manipulations still represent the primary key strategy. For example, Garcia et al. (2020) suggested that the use of ionophores (antibiotics) in diets, could enhance feed efficiency. This additive modulates the activities of specific microorganisms in the rumen, and different research indicated that ionophores can reduce CH₄ emissions and improve energy utilization in beef and dairy cattle

(Garcia et al., 2020). However, Durmic et al. (2014) noted that even though some of the antibiotics (ionophores) could reduce CH₄ emissions, this is not the case for all antibiotics and the effect may not be persistent over time due to rumen adaptation (Embaby et al., 2018). Additionally, with the emergence of antibiotic resistance in human pathogens, the use of safer anti-methanogenic components such has essential oils (EO) and extracts from plants is becoming important.

Methane gas has major effects on the environment, so its production by ruminant animals must be regulated. A key method of achieving the above is through manipulating animal diets to reduce the amount of CH₄ produced. One of the significant suggested ways to manipulate rumen fermentation is using plant extracts and EO. In the following part, a brief review of some research articles classified by supplement types will be discussed.

IONOPHORES

Monensin was tested as an ionophore-feed supplement for its ability to reduce enteric CH4 output and renewable methanogenesis (Takahashi & Iwasa, 2021). Using Holstein-Friesian steers, a respiratory trial with a head cage was conducted to elucidate the suppressive action of monensin. Ad libitum high-concentrate meals (80% concentrate and 20% hay) with or without monensin, galactooligosaccharides (GOS), or L-cysteine were provided to the steers. When compared to steers fed control diets, calves given diets containing monensin or GOS considerably reduced their CH₄ emissions (Takahashi & Iwasa, 2021). A study by Crossland (2017) investigated the effects of feed additive rotation on CH₄, VFA, and microbial population response. For 13 weeks, cannulated steers (n = 12) were fed a moderate forage basal diet and along with the regular feed, steers were randomly assigned to one of six treatments (control, 20 mg bambermycin, 200 mg Monensin, the basal diet+ weekly rotation of Monensin and bambermycin (BM7), the basal diet+ rotation of bambermycin and monensin treatments every 14 days (B14M), and the basal diet+ rotation of Monensin and bambermycin treatment every 21 days (BM21). Rumen fluid was collected weekly for analysis, and the results were standardized to the individual's organic matter intake (OMI; kg/d). The result of the experiment demonstrated that the capacity for CH₄ production was not substantially different across treatments. On the other hand, treatments affected the CH₄to-propionate ratio, with the control value being the greatest and the Monensin, B21M, and B14M values being the lowest (0.42 vs. 0.36, 0.36, and 0.33, respectively). Additionally, the CH4: propionate ratio was lowest in weeks 2 and 3 but remained constant in weeks 4 to 12. The week also affected total VFA, with a peak in week three and a decline in week four (4.02 vs. 2.86 mM/kg OMI). The acetate-to-propionate (A:P) ratio demonstrated a significant, treatment-week

interaction, with steers given bambermycin or rotationally having a higher A:P ratio than steers fed Monensin throughout the feeding period. The author concluded that although ionophores have distinct mechanisms of action, there is no evidence that rotating bambermycin and Monensin alters the VFA profile or reduces CH₄ potential more than continuous feeding dilutes the ionophore's efficacy when administered continuously.

Another study by Witzig et al. (2018) looked at whether changes in the abundances of cellulolytics and methanogens occurred along with the CH4-decreasing effects of Monensin and other hydrolyzable tannins during the *in vitro* fermentation of grass silage. Two rumen simulation approach tests were performed in which grass silage was either evaluated in combination with Monensin (0, 2 or 4 mg d¹) or with various tannin extracts from chestnut, valonea, sumac, and grape seed (0 or 1.5 g d¹) (Witzig et al., 2018). Using paraformaldehyde-ethanol-fixed cells, total prokaryotes were measured, and the relative abundances of ruminal cellulolytic and methanogenic species were evaluated using real-time quantitative PCR. Results showed that compared to control, Monensin administration had no effect on the absolute numbers of prokaryotic cells but supplementation with grape and chestnut seed tannins reduced overall prokaryotic counts. Methane production decreased by 21% with Monensin and by 65 and 24% with the chestnut and grape seed tannins, respectively. The relative abundances of all methanogens, however, were similar across tannin treatments. Chestnut and valonea tannins inhibited R. *albus* while the relative abundance of F. succinogenes reduced with the addition of Monensin. Furthermore, supplementation with Monensin or chestnut tannins was observed to decrease the relative abundances of Methanobrevibacter sp., particularly M. ruminantium, and Methanosphaera stadtmanae. The study findings showed that Monensin and tannins have different effects on ruminal cellulolytics and that monensin and chestnut tannins are linked with lower levels of

M. ruminantium and M. stadtmanae.

Another study by Vyas et al. (2018) investigated the impact of monenison in reducing CH₄ production in ruminant animals. The purpose of this research was to assess the combined effects of feeding backgrounding and finishing beef calves with monensin (MON) and the CH₄ inhibitor 3-nitrooxypropanol (NOP) on enteric CH₄ emissions, growth rate, and feed conversion efficiency. In a 238-day feeding trial, 240 crossbred steers were placed on a backgrounding diet for the first 105 days (backgrounding phase), followed by transition diets for 28 days, and finally a finishing diet for the final 105 days (finishing phase). Fuor treatments were administered: control, monensin supplemented (MON) at 33 mg/kg DM, 3-nitrooxypropanol (NOP) at the backgrounding phase 200 mg/kg DM or in the finishing phase at 125 mg/kg DM, and MONOP (33 mg/kg DM MON supplemented with either 200 mg/kg DM or 125 mg/kg DM NOP). Randomized full blocks (heavy and light weights) were used in the experiment with a 2 (NOP) 2 (MON) factorial arrangement of treatments utilizing 24 pens (8 cattle/pen; 6 pens/treatment) at the main feedlot and 8 pens (6 cattle/pen; 2 pens/treatment) at the controlled environment building (CEB) feedlot. During both stages, five animals per treatment were transferred to chambers for CH₄ measurements. Overall, there were little interactions between MON and NOP, showing that their effects were distinct. When cattle were given the backgrounding diet, pen DMI dropped by 7%, but gain-to-feed ratio increased by 5% with NOP supplementation. Likewise, MON enhanced the G:F ratio by 4%, but had no effect on DMI. During the concluding phase, DMI decreased by 5% with both MON (5%) and NOP (5%), while ADG decreased by 3% with MON. With NOP, the gain-to-feed ratio for finishing cattle increased by 3% but MON had no impact. 3-Nitrooxypropanol lowered CH4 yield (g/kg DMI) by 42 and 37%, respectively, in backgrounding and finishing diets, but MON had no effect on CH₄ production. These findings reveal the effectiveness of NOP in lowering CH₄

emissions and, therefore, enhancing feed conversion efficiency in cattle given high-forage and high-grain diets. In addition, the effects of NOP were

independent of the inclusion of MON in the diet.

NITRATE, SAPONIN, AND SULFATE

A study by Sun et al. (2016) investigated whether Cysteamine hydrochloride (CHS) and nitrogen oxide (NO₃-) were able to inhibit CH₄ production and improve the growth performance of cattle. The study was done under both *in vitro* and *in vivo* conditions. Rumen fluid was collected from two rumen fistulated steers approximately two hours after giving 1 kg of concentrate and ad libitum Chinese ryegrass (Leymus chinensis). The effects of NO3 and CHS on live weight gain (LWG), DMI, feed conversion ratio (FCR; feed:gain), daily CH4 production (DMP), and CH4 yield (MY) of calves were investigated using a completely randomized design in comparison to a control group fed the identical roughage/concentrate diet. The results of the in vitro experiment showed CHS did not affect CH₄, total VFA, and acetate production nor the acetate:propionate ratio, although it did lower the pH and increased H_2 buildup compared to the control. In the *in vivo* experiment, CHS supplemented cattle had no differences in LWG or FCR compared to cattle fed the control diet while reducing daily CH₄ production. While neither DMP nor MY (CH₄/kg DMI) were lowered by CSH, CH₄ generation rate was significantly decreased for up to 6 h post-feeding in comparison to control animals. Nitrate on the other hand decreased MY by 31.1% (15.7 g CH₄/kg DMI) compared to the control diet (22.8 g CH₄/kg DMI). Authors concluded that NO₃ can provide better CH₄ mitigation than CSH.

Another study by Patra and Yu (2014) assessed the effects of nitrate (NO₃-; sodium nitrate), sulfate (potassium sulfate), and Quillaja saponin (derived from the bark of Quillaja Saponaria Molina plants) on CH₄ production, feed fermentation, and the abundance of microorganisms in the rumen of Jersey cows. The Quillaja saponin product had a sapogenin concentration of 24%. Quillaja saponin (0.6 g/L), NO₃- (5 mM), and sulfate (5 mM) were employed separately or in two-

and three-way combinations, yielding eight treatments: control (no methanogenic inhibitor), saponin, NO₃-, sulfate, sulfate plus saponin, and NO₃- plus sulfate and saponin. The results of this experiment indicated that the combinations of NO₃-, saponin, and sulfate suppressed CH₄ production additively, with the lowest reduction (nearly 46%) observed when all three inhibitors were combined. None of the treatments had a detrimental effect on feed digestion, rumen fermentation, total bacteria, Ruminococcus albus, or Archaea abundances. However, Quillaja saponin increased the abundance of *Fibrobacter succinogenes* and *Ruminococcus flavefaciens* when used alone or in combination with NO₃- and sulfate but decreased the abundance of protozoa. The researchers concluded that the combination of the above additives is a possible mitigation measure against CH₄ production in ruminants, and they can be used in animal feeds while ensuring animals suffer minimal impacts.

Another study by Petersen et al. (2015) investigated whether increasing the intake of dietary NO₃- would also decrease the potential for CH₄ emissions in ruminant animals. Because NO₃- can act as an alternative H₂ sink, supplementing ruminant diets with it has been proposed as a CH₄ mitigation strategy. A balanced Latin square design assigned four rumen- and intestinal-cannulated Holstein cows to the experiment. The cows were fed one of four diets over four weeks, all of which were similar except for the gradual replacement of urea with NO₃ - in the form of Bolifor (Yara), a pelleted but readily soluble compound containing >70% NO₃. The final diets had NO₃- contents of 0 (NO₃-0), 5 (NO₃-1), 14 (NO₃-2), and 21 g NO₃ - kg⁻¹ DM. (NO₃-3). Dietary NO₃ – decreased N₂O emissions from dairy cows, but only at higher NO₃ intake levels of 14 and 21 g kg DM. Supplementing diets with NO₃⁻ reduced CH₄ emissions relative to the control diet, but the reduction levels were very small (less than 2%). The researchers concluded that dietary NO₃⁻ caused decreased N₂O emissions from dairy cows; as a result, which might partially offset

the CH4 mitigation potential of dietary NO3⁻.

ESSENTIAL OILS, BIOFLAVONOIDS, AND TANNINS

A study by Garcia et al. (2020) investigated the effectiveness of EO of *L. turbinata* and *T. minuta* in reducing CH4 production during the *in vitro* fermentation of substrates that are representative of different livestock production systems. Two independent trials were conducted using the same methodology to evaluate each EO. Four typical simulated diets of livestock production systems—breeding, rearing, fattening, and dairy—were evaluated. The EO doses were 0, 0.3, 3, 30, and 300 mL/L. Monensin treatment at 1.87 mg pure monensin/L was also included for each substrate. There were no differences in the variables at the low dosages (0.3 and 3 ml/L). Except for a substantial decrease (8.7%) in the OMD for the fattening substrate and a slight reduction (3.6%) in the NDFD for the normal substrate, the intermediate dose (30 mL/L) reduced gas and CH4 production without influencing substrate digestibility. The maximum dose of EO (300 ml/L) resulted in a significant drop in gas and CH4 generation, although this was not connected with a significant decrease in substrate digestibility. The researchers concluded that EO could reduce CH4 production, but their effectiveness depends on the dose level and the interactions between the fermented substrates.

Rossi et al. (2022) investigated the efficacy of a blend of bioflavonoids and tannins on CH₄ emissions and the production efficiency of dairy cows. For this study, two different trails were performed which aimed to evaluate the efficacy of a coated blend of EO, mainly from coriander seed (*Coriandrum sativum*), cloves (*Syzygium aromaticum*), and geranium (*Pelargonium cucullatum*), tannins (CT) from chestnuts (*Castanea sativa*) and bioflavonoids (BF) from olives (*Olea europea*). The relative concentration of the active ingredients in the product was set as follows EO: CT: BF = 1:2.5:0.1. The researcher tested the blend under *in vitro* conditions to

examine the potential to reduce CH₄ production when used under standardized conditions. The blend was also tested *in vivo*, and in this case, lactating dairy cows were used to evaluate the effects it had on milk production and the apparent total tract digestibility of the diet. The mixture considerably decreased *in vitro* total gas and CH₄ emissions at 16, 20, and 24 hours. Additionally, after 24 h, the concentration of acetate decreased while that of propionic acid increased. In the *in vivo* study, the treatment group demonstrated a significant increase in milk yield, DMI, FCR, and the total tract digestibility for cellulose and starch. The researchers concluded that blending EO, bioflavonoids, and tannins helped reduce CH₄ production and improved the production efficiency of the lactating dairy cows.

A study by Samal et al. (2016) investigated the effects of dietary supplementation of combinations of different plants containing tannins, saponins, and EO on the growth performance, nutrient utilization, and methanogenesis in growing buffaloes. Twenty buffalos were divided into four treatment groups and fed either a basal diet consisting of wheat straw and concentrated mixture (control) or the control diet plus Mix-1 (ajwain oil and lemongrass oil in 1:1 ratio at 0.05% of DMI), Mix-2 (garlic and soapnut in 2:1 ratio at 2% of DMI) and Mix-3 (garlic, soapnut, harad, and ajwain in 2:1:1:1 ratio at 1% of DMI). The experiment was eight months long, however, after 130 days of feeding, a metabolism trial was performed using an open-circuit indirect respiration calorimeter to measure CH₄ emission from animals. The result of the experiments showed that buffaloes' feed intake and growth pattern were unaffected by dietary supplements. The feed conversion ratio (FCR) was higher in Mix-1, Mix-2, and Mix-3 than in control by 9.5, 7, and 10.2%, respectively. Apart from CP digestibility, the digestibility of other nutrients was comparable across all groups. Methane emissions (L/kg DMI and L/kg digestible DMI) were reduced by 13.3 and 17.8% in the Mix-1, 10.9 and 13.5% in the Mix-2, and 5.1 and 9.8% in the

Mix-3 groups, respectively, when compared to the control. The study concluded that feeding phytogenic feed additives might help reduce CH₄ production without affecting feed utilization.

Benchaar (2016) investigated the impact of cinnamon oil (CIN), cinnamaldehyde (CDH), or monensin (MON) supplements in dairy cow diets on the enteric production of CH₄, ruminal fermentation, protozoa population, digestion, nitrogen balance, and milk performance. A total of eight multiparous lactating Holstein cows fitted with ruminal cannulas were used in the experiment. Cows were either fed a control diet or a diet supplemented with CIN (50 mg/kg DM intake), CDH (50 mg/kg DM intake), or MON (24 mg/kg DM intake). Dry matter intake, nutrient digestibility, N retention, CH₄ emissions, and milk performance were measured over six consecutive days. Rumen degradability of the diet was assessed using in sacco incubations at 0, 2, 4, 8, 16, 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours. The results of this experiment revealed that CIN, CDH, or MON diet supplementation had no impacts on the DMI, N retention, sacco ruminal degradation, and nutrient digestibility. Further, enteric emission of CH₄ was not affected by the addition of CIN, CDH, or MON to the diet. The author concluded that CIN, CDH, and MON could not be promoted as effective strategies to mitigate the enteric CH₄ production in dairy cows.

Another study by Poornachandra et al. (2019) investigated performing a comparison of the effects of individual or combined supplementation of condensed tannins (*Tamarindus indica*) and saponins-containing (*Sapindus mukorossi*) Phyto-sources on CH₄ production in cattle. The researcher selected 24 animals which were then divided into four groups with an equal number and then fed with finger millet straw as well as a concentrated based diet as outlined: T (tamarind seed husk supplemented group), S (soapnut supplemented group), and TS (tannins/saponins; tamarind seed husk and soapnut combined supplementation in 60:40). The basal diet consisted of 51 g/kg of tamarind seed husk and soapnut, either individually (T, S) or combined (TS). The *in*

vivo study indicated that there was a significant reduction in the enteric CH₄ emission by almost 20% when tamarind seeds husk or the combination of supplementation of tamarind seed husk and soapnut were used. The authors concluded that tamarind seed husk alone or the combination with soapnut at the above level could help establish a CH₄ emission low diet, but there is a need to confirm the efficacy of the diet in reducing the emission levels by performing long trials on ruminants.

FIBROUS SUBSTRATE

Li et al. (2014) examined the long-term effects of the Eremophila glabra, a native Australian shrub, on rumen fermentation characteristics, in particular, CH₄ productions and the methanogen population. The control diet mix contained 780 g/kg DM oaten chaff, 280 g/kg DM lupin grain, and 20 g/kg DM mineral. Three levels of *E. glabra* were tested: EG15 (150 g/kg DM), EG25 (250 g/kg DM), and EG40 (400 g/kg DM), and the treatments were prepared by substituting a portion of the control diet mix with E. glabra. Each treatment had three replicates, and all treatments were randomly assigned to the fermentation vessels. The results of the experiment showed that CH₄ production reduced, and the overall CH₄ reduction was 32 and 45% for EG15 and EG25, respectively, compared to the control. The researcher also noted that on day seven, fermentation was virtually entirely suppressed in the EG40 treatment (thus, the result of CH4 production was not provided). Their results also showed that the total bacterial numbers were not altered, but the population of methanogen population decreased by about 42.1% (EG40) compared to the control diet. Additionally, Fibrobacter succinogenes population also reduced considerably at all levels of *E. glabra*. However, *Albus* population was reduced only with the EG40 diet. The study concluded that substituting a portion of a fibrous substrate with E. glabra can reduce CH₄ production.

A study by Kumar et al. (2022) investigated the impact of dietary phytogenic composite feed additives that contain a mixture of dried leaves of *P. deltoides* and *E. citriodora* on growth performance and nutrient utilization in buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) calves. The study was done in two stages. In the first phase, the researcher did an *in vitro* study which involved a rumen fermentation process where they aimed to identify the most suitable blend concentration of poplar (P. deltoides) and eucalyptus (E. citriodora) leaf-meal that can reduce CH₄ production without affecting the degradability of the feed. The second phase involved an *in vivo* study to assess the dietary inclusion of the above blend on the production performance of the buffalo calves. Eighteen female Murrah buffalo calves (Bubalus bubalis, avg. BW 131 ±7.5 kg, 10-14 months old) were randomly divided into three groups of six animals each and fed control, EPLM-1, and EPLM-2 (eucalyptus (Eucalyptus citriodora) and poplar (Populus deltoides) leaf-meal. Each buffalo calf in the treatment groups (EPLM-1 and EPLM-2) was fed EPLM at either 1.3 or 3.9% of feed dry matter intake by combining it with a small portion of concentrate mixture, which was offered daily at 10:30 a.m. The experimental feeding lasted 100 days, with 10 days of dietary adaptation and 90 days of actual data recording and measurement. The study results indicated that the average daily weigh gain and the final body weight of all the experimental buffalo were similar across experimental groups. The enteric CH₄ production was reduced in EPLM supplemented buffaloes; however, the digestibility of all the nutrients remained comparable among the animals. The researcher concluded that blends of eucalyptus and poplar leaf-meal (50 g/h/d) containing 3.19 g, 2.30 g, and 0.71 g of total phenolics, tannin phenolics, and condensed tannins, respectively, can be utilized as a phytogenic feed additive to improve mitigate enteric CH₄ production without affecting performance and nutrient utilization.

Shokryzadan et al. (2016) investigated the mangosteen peel's (MP) effects on *in vitro* ruminant fermentation, gas production, CH₄ production, and microbial population. The study composed of three treatments including the control (C, 50% concentrate + 50% alfalfa without MP); medium MP (MMP, 50% concentrate + 25% alfalfa + 25% MP); and high MP (HMP, 50% concentrate + 50% MP). Their results showed that CH₄ production for MMP (18.3 mL CH₄/g DM) and HPM (18.2 mL CH₄/g DM) were lower than that of the control group (19.9 mL CH₄/g

DM). Moreover, the study showed that MP reduced CH₄ production per unit of total gas production $(0.08 \pm 0.01 \text{ and } 0.08 \pm 0.00 \text{ for the MMP}$ and HMP groups, respectively) in comparison with 0.09 ± 0.01 for control group. Finally, authors reported no effects of MP inclusion on VFA production and *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens* population. The researchers concluded that MP has the potential of reducing ruminal CH₄ production without negatively affecting rumen fermentation.

ENZYMES

A study by Hernández (2017) investigated the impact of supplementing calves' diets with exogenous enzymes (xylanase; XYL) and yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* [SC]) on the long-term control of CH₄ production. The researcher tested the supplementation of three different levels of XYL (0, 3, and 6 mg/g of DM), SC (0, 2, and 4 mg/g of DM), and a mixture of XYL and SC (0, 2 mL XYL 2 mg SC, 6 mL XYL 4 mg SC/g of DM). The results of the experiment showed that asymptotic gas production (GP) was consistently reduced by each additive, with the lowest value at the high dose of XYL SC mixture when equated to the control and the low dose of XYL SC mixture. Relative to the control treatment, including the above additives reduced CH₄ production with the high dose had the most significant reduction in GP and CH₄ production compared to the control, XYL, and SC additives at different doses. The authors concluded that XYL or SC additives could improve rumen fermentation and lower greenhouse gas emissions. The researchers also found that when XYL and SC are combined, they become more effective at reducing gas and CH₄ emissions for relatively clean environmental conditions in calf farming.

SULPHUR SUPPLEMENTS

Uniyal et al. (2020) investigated the impact of Sulphur feeding on the CH₄ production, nutrient utilization, and growth performance of goats. The researchers selected fifteen goats and divided them into three equal groups using a random sampling technique. The group named T1 was utilized as the control group and Sulphur supplement was added at 0.08 and 0.16% of diet DM to the animals in groups T2 and T3. The experiment was carried out for sixty days. The results of this study showed that the intake and the digestibility of DM, OM, cruid protein (CP), ether extract (EE), neutral detergent fiber (NDF), and acid detergent fiber (ADF) were not impacted by the Sulphur supplementation. Nitrogen metabolism in goats was also not affected by the Sulphur in T3 as compared to the control group. Nevertheless, the energy partitioning was not affected at all levels. The researchers concluded that the dietary supplementation of Sulphur might help reduce CH₄ emission in ruminants, but higher doses using a larger pool of animals need to be tested to reach a significant level.

CONCLUSION

Methane emission has reached worrisome levels, and ruminants have been identified as a major contributor to the dramatic increase in its atmospheric levels. It is noted that these animals have complex digestive systems that enable them to digest feeds with a high cellulose content, but in doing so; they produce CH₄ gas, which poses a severe environmental threat due to its potent properties. In this research paper, we looked at the use of different feed additives or feed sources for their potential effects on rumen CH₄ production as well as rumen fermentation and animals' performance. The products examined in this research paper generally helped in reducing the amount of CH₄ produced but with variable effects on rumen fermentation and animals' performance.

REFERENCES

- Benchaar, C. (2016). Diet supplementation with cinnamon oil, cinnamaldehyde, or Monensin does not reduce enteric methane production of dairy cows. *Animal*, *10*(3), 418-425.
- Crossland, W. L., Tedeschi, L. O., Callaway, T. R., Miller, M. D., Smith, W. B., & Cravey, M. (2017). Effects of rotating antibiotic and ionophore feed additives on volatile fatty acid production, potential for methane production, and microbial populations of steers consuming a moderate-forage diet. *Journal of animal science*, 95(10), 4554-4567.
- Danielsson, R., Dicksved, J., Sun, L., Gonda, H., Müller, B., Schnürer, A., & Bertilsson, J. (2017). Methane production in dairy cows correlates with rumen methanogenic and bacterial community structure. *Frontiers in microbiology*, 8, 226.
- Durmic, Z., Moate, P. J., Eckard, R., Revell, D. K., Williams, R., & Vercoe, P. E. (2014). In vitro screening of selected feed additives, plant essential oils and plant extracts for rumen methane mitigation. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 94(6), 1191-1196.
- Embaby, M. (2018). Effects of Unconventional Plant Oils and Rumen Adaptation on Methane Gas Emission and Rumen Fermentation Characteristics. Southern Illinois University at Carbondale.
- Garcia, F., Colombatto, D., Brunetti, M. A., Martínez, M. J., Moreno, M. V., Scorcione Turcato,
 M. C., ... & Martínez Ferrer, J. (2020). The reduction of methane production in the in
 vitro ruminal fermentation of different substrates is linked with the chemical composition
 of the essential oil. *Animals*, 10(5), 786.
- Getabalew, M., Alemneh, T., & Akeberegn, D. (2019). Methane Production in Ruminant Animals: Implication for Their Impact on Climate Change. *Concepts of Dairy & Veterinary Sciences. doi*, 10

- Hernández, A., Kholif, A. E., Elghandour, M. M., Camacho, L. M., Cipriano, M. M., Salem, A.
 Z., ... & Ugbogu, E. A. (2017). Effectiveness of xylanase and Saccharomyces cerevisiae as feed additives on gas emissions from agricultural calf farms. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 148, 616-623.
- Hertzberg, M., Siddons, A., & Schreuder, H. (2017). Role of greenhouse gases in climate change. *Energy & Environment*, 28(4), 530-539.
- Kumar, K., Dey, A., Rose, M. K., & Dahiya, S. S. (2022). Impact of Dietary Phytogenic
 Composite Feed Additives on Immune Response, Antioxidant Status, Methane
 Production, Growth Performance and Nutrient Utilization of Buffalo (Bubalus bubalis)
 Calves. *Antioxidants*, 11(2), 325.
- Li, X., Durmic, Z., Liu, S., McSweeney, C. S., & Vercoe, P. E. (2014). *Eremophila glabra* reduces methane production and methanogen populations when fermented in a Rusitec. *Anaerobe*, 29, 100-107.
- Paswan, V. K., Kumar, K., & Shehata, A. M. (2022). Rumen Microbiology and Microbial Degradation of Feedstuffs. In *Animal Manure* (pp. 45-60). Springer, Cham.
- Patra, A. K., & Yu, Z. (2014). Combinations of nitrate, saponin, and sulfate reduce methane production by rumen cultures in vitro while not adversely affecting feed digestion, fermentation, or microbial communities. *Bioresource technology*, 155, 129-135.
- Petersen, S., Hellwing, A. L. F., Brask, M., Højberg, O., Poulsen, M., Zhu, Z., ... & Lund, P. (2015). Dietary nitrate for methane mitigation leads to nitrous oxide emissions from dairy cows. *Journal of environmental quality*, 44(4), 1063-1070.

- Poornachandra, K. T., Malik, P. K., Dhali, A., Kolte, A. P., & Bhatta, R. (2019). Effect of combined supplementation of tamarind seed husk and soapnut on enteric methane emission in crossbred cattle. *Carbon Management*, 10(5), 465-475.
- Rossi, C. A. S., Grossi, S., Dell'Anno, M., Compiani, R., & Rossi, L. (2022). Effect of a Blend of Essential Oils, Bioflavonoids and Tannins on In Vitro Methane Production and In Vivo Production Efficiency in Dairy Cows. *Animals*, 12(6), 728.
- Samal, L., Chaudhary, L. C., Agarwal, N., & Kamra, D. N. (2016). Impact of phytogenic feed additives on growth performance, nutrient digestion, and methanogenesis in growing buffaloes. *Animal Production Science*, 58(6), 1056-1063.
- Shokryzadan, P., Rajion, M. A., Goh, Y. M., Ishak, I., Ramlee, M. F., Jahromi, M. F., &
 Ebrahimi, M. (2016). Mangosteen peel can reduce methane production and rumen
 biohydrogenation in vitro. *South African Journal of Animal Science*, 46(4), 419-431.
- Sun, Y. K., Yan, X. G., Ban, Z. B., Yang, H. M., Hegarty, R. S., & Zhao, Y. M. (2017). The effect of cysteamine hydrochloride and nitrate supplementation on in-vitro and in-vivo methane production and productivity of cattle. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 232, 49-56.
- Takahashi, J., & Iwasa, M. (2021). Entomological approach to the impact of ionophore-feed additives on greenhouse gas emissions from pasture land in cattle. *Journal of Animal Science and Technology*, 63(1), 16.
- The United States Environment Protection Agency. (May 2022n.d). Overview of Greenhouse Gases. <u>https://www.epa.gov/ghgemissions/overview-greenhouse-gases</u>.

- Uniyal, S., Chaudhary, L. C., Kala, A., Agarwal, N., & Kamra, D. N. (2020). Effect of Sulphur Supplementation on Methane Emission, Energy Partitioning and Nutrient Utilization in Goats. *Technology*, 20, 111-119.
- Vyas, D., Alemu, A. W., McGinn, S. M., Duval, S. M., Kindermann, M., & Beauchemin, K. A. (2018). The combined effects of supplementing monensin and 3-nitrooxypropanol on methane emissions, growth rate, and feed conversion efficiency in beef cattle fed highforage and high-grain diets. *Journal of animal science*, 96(7), 2923-2938.
- Witzig, M., Zeder, M., & Rodehutscord, M. (2018). Effect of the ionophore monensin and tannin extracts supplemented to grass silage on populations of ruminal cellulolytics and methanogens in vitro. *Anaerobe*, 50, 44-54.

VITA

Graduate School

Southern Illinois University

Abdulaziz M. Almaghrabi

aalmaghrabi69@gmail.com

King Abdulaziz University at Saudi Arabia, Jeddah Bachelor of Science, Arid Land Agriculture, 2012

Research Paper Title: Supplements to Reduce Methane Production in Ruminant Animals

Major Professor: Dr. Amer AbuGhazaleh