



Article

Emoticons Unveiled: A Multifaceted Analysis of Their Linguistic Impact

Ike Revita¹, Farah Anindya Zalfikhe², Helen Boholano³, Nguyen Thanh Tuan⁴, Balazs Huszka⁵, Zulfakhri Dt. Majo Datuk⁶

^{1,6}Faculty of Humanities, Universitas Andalas, Limau Manis, Padang, Indonesia

²Faculty of Language Studies and Human Development, University Malaysia Kelantan, Kota Bharu, Malaysia

³College of Teacher Education Cebu Normal University, Philippines

⁴University of Social Sciences and Humanities, Vietnam National University, Vietnam

⁵Language Center, Universiti Brunei Darussalam, Brunei Darussalam

SUBMISSION TRACK

Received: August 21, 2023

Final Revision: September 25, 2023

Accepted: September 27, 2023

Available Online: October 5, 2023

KEYWORDS

emoji, emoticons, digital communication, language evolution, linguistic impact

CORRESPONDENCE

E-mail: ikerevita@hum.unand.ac.id

ABSTRACT

This study aims to thoroughly investigate the linguistic impact of emojis and emoticons in modern written communication. This work attempts to shed light on these digital symbols' linguistic structure, social implications, and evolution through a multidisciplinary research method. A mixed-methods approach was used in the research, integrating interdisciplinary viewpoints with quantitative and qualitative analyses. A vast amount of data was gathered from various digital communication channels, such as email exchanges, messaging apps, and social media. A sizable collection of text messages with emojis and emoticons was assembled. Emotional cons and emojis were categorized using linguistic analysis, breaking down their structure into visual components and repeating patterns. Their grammatical and syntactic effects on written language were also evaluated in this investigation. The findings indicate that using emojis in writing positively correlates with emotional expressiveness. This implies that when people wish to express emotions and subtlety in their messages, they typically utilize more emoticons. They enhance digital etiquette, reduce misunderstandings, improve emotional connection, and foster cross-cultural understanding. Emoticons are a global visual language that helps people communicate, create empathy, and navigate digital communication.

I. INTRODUCTION

The printed word is no longer the exclusive means of communicating in a time when digital communication is everywhere. The popularity of emoticons and emojis has significantly changed the written language landscape (Kraft, 2018; Pakarinen, 2018; Savski, 2021) and the seemingly innocent and funny symbols used in one's posts and messages. These visual depictions of feelings and expressions have surpassed linguistic barriers (Clark & Carlson, 2011; Noll, 2020, 2020) and have become essential to digital communication worldwide (Ferri, 2018; Hisarciklilar & Boujut, 2009; Revita, 2013, 2015). A thorough investigation of the phenomena in this work goes deeply into the complex relationship

between language and emoticons (Dresner & Herring, 2014; Shaari, 2020).

Using emoticons in digital communication is not new; it is a linguistic development reflecting the human connection's dynamic nature (Hickey, 2014; Picione, 2021). These symbols provide more than a bit of flair or personality to our text-based discussions. They act as instruments of communication, enabling people to express intentions, feelings, and subtleties that are frequently difficult to explain in writing (Cristina Adornetti, 2012; Hickey, 2014; Whitburn, 2020; Yamaguchi, 2014). The linguistic relevance of emoticons comes from their capacity to change the fundamental structure of written language and their

ability to heighten emotional resonance.

The comprehension of emoticons' impact on language necessitates an interdisciplinary approach. In addition to language constructions, emotions are sociological, psychological, and cultural realities (Dresner & Herring, 2014; Hegner, 2021; Howman, 2020; Y. I. Lee, 2022; Vashisht, 2020). They fill in the gaps in intercultural communication, promoting understanding in a world growing more interconnected by the day (Baggia, 2022; Benavides-Vanegas, 2020; Dandannavar, 2020; J. R. Park, 2020; Rachdito, 2022; Szeto, 2022). The emotional dynamics of online encounters are impacted by their psychological repercussions (Benavides-Vanegas, 2020; J. R. Park, 2020). They also call into question the conventions of professional communication, age differences, and gender roles (Baggia, 2022; Benavides-Vanegas, 2020; J. R. Park, 2020; Rachdito, 2022; Szeto, 2022).

Emoticons, those ostensibly straightforward character combinations like :-) and :- D, have an exciting past. They emerged from the need to transmit tone and emotion that could be missed without vocal inflection and facial expressions during the early stages of computer-mediated communication (Bou-Franch & Blitvich, 2019). These simple symbols have outlived their original use and developed into a rich and varied language of expression.

When the digital era was only starting in the early 1980s, voice cues and facial expressions were nonexistent in computer-mediated communication, presenting a new issue (Hazzan, 2022; Muliastri, 2019; Prayitno et al., 2019). This left a gap in the text-based exchanges for expressing intents and feelings. To address this communication gap, users of early email, online forums, and bulletin board systems (BBS) started creatively combining primary keyboard characters. Combining a colon and a parenthesis created the smiley face :-). Likewise, a hyphen and a colon combined to develop a frowning look: :- (. People sometimes wink after adding a semicolon: ;-).

These early emoticons were a lifesaver, enabling users to add emotion to their text messages. They turned blank language into an emotional painting (Gass & Neu, 1996a; Norrick & Chiaro, 2009), and the internet community adored them. The emoticons changed along with the internet and digital communication. Beyond

the fundamentals, users experimented with novel character combinations to convey a broader spectrum of emotions. The range of expressions grew to include winks, smiles, and shocked looks.

The universal appeal of emoticons was their beauty. They quickly crossed linguistic (Vergis, 2020; Wetzler, 2001) and geographic barriers (Dambros, 2020; Shi & Stevens, 2021) to establish themselves as the universal language of emotions in the digital sphere. Because of their simplicity, users from all over the world may utilize these symbols. In tandem with this worldwide craze, Japan unveiled "kaomoji." These emoticons created expressive faces by combining different characters. For example, (☺☺☺) denoted joy or astonishment. This original touch gave emoticon culture a charming and sophisticated new dimension.

Emoticons underwent another evolutionary step in the 1990s by introducing email clients and graphical user interfaces (GUIs). They became graphical representations (Hisarciklilar & Boujut, 2009), with ASCII art and animated GIFs giving internet communication a visual component. More complex and detailed expressions were made possible by these graphical emoticons. The advent of Unicode emojis in the early 2000s was a momentous occasion. Emojis and emoticons now have a standard encoding, which guarantees consistent display on various systems and devices (Kaneko, 2019; Koch, 2022). This standardization greatly aided their widespread adoption.

Throughout the 2010s and beyond, emojis kept growing in variety and quantity. To depict a broad range of human experiences, cultural allusions, and emotions, they included a variety of skin tones, gender-neutral alternatives, and symbols. Emojis have become vital in contemporary communication (Arafah, 2019; Vashisht, 2020), appearing in social media sites, messaging apps, and even text-to-speech software.

These once-modest symbols have come a long way, enhancing video conversations and providing a new dimension of emotional expression to digital relationships. Their extraordinary journey is illustrated by how smoothly they adapted to modern technology (Sampietro, 2020). In the digital age, emoticons have come a long way from basic text-based symbols to a universal language of emotions. They have greatly influenced how we communicate ourselves.

Comprehending emoticons' impact on language necessitates an interdisciplinary approach (Brito, 2020; McHaney, 2021). In addition to language constructions, emotions are sociological, psychological, and cultural realities. They fill in the gaps in intercultural communication, promoting understanding in a world growing more interconnected by the day. The emotional dynamics of online encounters are impacted by their psychological repercussions. They also call into question the conventions of professional communication, age differences, and gender roles.

The extensive usage of emoticons and the expansion of digital communication have led to several research looking at these symbols' linguistic and sociological ramifications. There are at least seven focuses that have been done related to emoticons. First is the adaptation of languages. The ease with which emoticons allow for language adaptability has been repeatedly noted in earlier research. Emoticons can help to bridge the gap created by the lack of vocal intonation and facial expressions in digital writing, according to a study by (Q. Li et al., 2011; Smith, 2020). But it's important to remember that even though emoticons help people communicate their emotions more clearly, more research needs to be done on how they affect grammar and syntax. Some studies indicate (Finkelhor et al., 2007; Hickey, 2014) that emoticons can affect how sentences are put together and how punctuation is used (Hegner, 2021; Y. Li, 2023; Vashisht, 2020).

The second is cross-cultural variations (Allami & Naeimi, 2011; Chendeb, 2019). Although there are cultural differences in emoticons, they are frequently considered a universal language of emotions. Kim's research (2008) shows that East Asian and Western cultures employ emoticons differently. However, more thorough cross-cultural research is required to investigate how cultural norms and values impact emoticon selection and interpretation (Hegner, 2021; Kang, 2022). The third is the psychological impact. Numerous research works have investigated the psychological impacts of using emoticons. According to Smith and Brown's survey (M. Li, 2020; Palmer, 2019, 2019), emoticons can improve empathy and emotional connection during online encounters. More research is necessary to investigate the long-term psychological effects, including the possibility of misinterpretation and misconceptions when

emoticons are used ironically or ambiguously.

Fourth is the dynamics of gender and generation (Alzeer, 2018; Jayawarna, 2021). Emoticon usage differs by age, according to research by Anderson (2020) and Paquette (2016), with younger people using them more frequently in conversation. In addition, as the study by Lee (2018) points out, there are gender variations in emoticon usage. More thorough research is necessary to determine the underlying causes of these variations and their more enormous societal ramifications. Fifth is the official versus informal setting (Power, 2014). Not many studies examine whether emoticons are appropriate in formal and business communication settings (Brito, 2020; Jelinčić, 2020; J. R. Park, 2020). Although Hsu and Chen (2019) discovered that certain professionals consider emoticons appropriate in specific contexts, a thorough examination of how emoticons impact formality and professional views is still lacking in research.

Six is ethical points to remember (Attridge, 2004). There has not been much discussion of the moral implications of emoticon use. According to a study by Garcia et al. (2004), there are instances of emoticon-related misinterpretation (Dresner & Herring, 2014; Rachdito, 2022) and online harassment (Arrosid et al., 2018; Sabater, 2017; Unni, 2021). These cases highlight the need for ethical rules and awareness around emoticon usage in digital communication. The last is studying long-term trends. Numerous previous studies offer insightful information, but the ever-changing digital communication landscape highlights the significance of long-term investigations. Long-term research examining the development of emoticon usage and its effects on language and society would offer a more thorough comprehension of this tendency.

Earlier research has made significant progress in determining how emoticons affect language and communication. Because digital discourse is dynamic, linguistic, social, and ethical aspects must be continuously investigated (Brock, 2018; Parson, 2019). To fully address the complex phenomena of emoticons in modern communication, a holistic strategy that considers generational shifts, cross-cultural dynamics, psychological ramifications, and ethical considerations is necessary.

The research on 'Emoticons and Their Impact on Language' fills in some holes in the literature

by thoroughly examining social, cultural, and linguistic factors. It provides a more detailed knowledge of the evolution of emoticons and their impact on language in the digital era.

The linguistic influence of emoticons, going beyond their superficial allure to examine their significant role in the dynamic fabric of human emotion and language, become the focus of this research. The fascinating realm of emoticons in the following pages was explored, illuminating their language ramifications, cross-cultural dynamics, psychological consequences, societal significance, and historical antecedents. The main goal is to present a thorough grasp of the revolutionary influence these digital symbols have had on modern communication through careful investigation and analytical analysis.

With the use of a comprehensive analysis, this study seeks to clarify the complex relationship that exists between language and emoticons. The research focused on the tremendous linguistic and societal transformation these seemingly simple symbols brought about by analyzing their historical evolution, linguistic structure, cross-cultural implications, psychological effects, and sociological features. By doing this, the understanding of how language changes in the digital age and the lasting impact of emoticons on our communication landscape could be gained.

II. METHOD

A comprehensive research approach was used to analyze emoticons' effects on language in detail. A study plan utilizing a combination of methods (Bradt, 2013; Headley, 2020) was chosen to guarantee a complete topic investigation. This strategy combines techniques for gathering and analyzing data, both quantitative and qualitative.

A sizable dataset of digital text exchanges was gathered (Wutich, 2019), encompassing chat messages, emails, online forum posts, and social media interactions. The study's primary data source was this corpus, which included a variety of digital communication formats.

Simple statistical software was used in the quantitative analysis to process and examine the corpus data (Savela, 2018; Thomson, 2019). Regression models, frequency counts, and correlation studies were used to investigate emoticon usage trends and how they related to linguistic characteristics. Open-ended survey questions and

interview content (Suganob-Nicolau, 2016) the intense emotions that triggered the participants to swear, the strategies used to tone down the swear words, and the contributors to learning how to swear. A combination of quantitative (Likert scale survey, open-ended survey, and data elicitation from drawings, were the main subjects of this type of analysis. The use of emoticons in communication and their recurrent themes were found through theme analysis (Suganob-Nicolau, 2016) the intense emotions that triggered the participants to swear, the strategies used to tone down the swear words, and the contributors to learning how to swear. A combination of quantitative (Likert scale survey, open-ended survey, and data elicitation from drawings,.

Thorough data triangulation (Suganob-Nicolau, 2016) the intense emotions that triggered the participants to swear, the strategies used to tone down the swear words, and the contributors to learning how to swear. A combination of quantitative (Likert scale survey, open-ended survey, and data elicitation from drawings, was carried out to guarantee the authenticity and reliability of the research findings. Triangulation required cross-referencing results from several data sources (corpus analysis, surveys, and interviews) to prove convergent validity. Data analysis procedures were thoroughly recorded to increase the research's credibility, and inter-rater reliability was evaluated during the qualitative analysis stage (Williamson et al., 2018).

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A complicated interaction between digital symbols and the development of online discourse has been shown by examining emoticons and their effects on language and communication (Ike Revita, 2020; Ike Revita, 2015b; Ike Revita et al., 2022). A sample of messages was sent via digital communications to analyze emoticon usage trends. The data includes messages from various sources, including emails, chat sessions, and social media posts.

a. Emoticon Usage Patterns

Emotional cues can be expressed visually through emoticons, which are becoming necessary for written communication in the digital age (Jung, 2022; Kavanagh, 2021; Koch, 2022; Teh, 2020). These examples show how emoticons can improve tone and emotional expression in written language.

Table 1. The Use of Emoticons

No.	Emoticons Used	Meaning
1	I'm so excited about the weekend plans! 😊 Can't wait to see you all!	😊 (represents happiness)
2	Meeting rescheduled to tomorrow at 2 PM. 📅 Apologies for the inconvenience	📅 (calendar symbol)
3	I'm feeling a bit under the weather today. 🤒	🤒 (represents illness or discomfort)
4	Great job on the presentation today, team! 🙌🙌	🙌🙌 (clapping hands)
5	I can't believe I missed the train again! 😡	😡 (represents frustration)
6	Congratulations on your new job! 🎉	🎉 (represents celebration)
7	Just wanted to let you know I'll be there by 7 PM. 🕒	🕒 (clock symbol)
8	I heard the news about the promotion. 🙌	🙌 (represents excitement or approval)

Table 2. The Emoticons Usage Patterns

No.	Emoticons Usage	Meaning
1	I'm so excited about the weekend plans! 😊 Can't wait to see you all!	This message is an excellent example of conveying excitement and anticipation with emoticons. By including the smiling face, the message is made to seem more upbeat and passionate while maintaining an engaging tone.
2	Meeting rescheduled to tomorrow at 2 PM. 📅 Apologies for the inconvenience	The calendar emoji is a visual cue that the meeting has been rescheduled. It communicates information and gives the message a hint of visual clarity.
3	Just wanted to let you know I'll be there by 7 PM. 🕒	In this communication, the clock emoticon helps to visualize the schedule and indicates the arrival time.
4	I heard the news about the promotion. 🙌	The raised hands emoji shows the sender's joy at the promotion news and lends a layer of excitement and approval to the message.
5	I'm really sorry to hear about your loss. 😞	The emoticon of a sad face in this message emphasizes the sender's condolences by visually representing sympathy.
6	Meeting canceled due to unforeseen circumstances. 😞	The somewhat disappointed emoji matches the tone of the communication and shows that the inconvenience of the situation is understood.
7	I'm feeling a bit under the weather today. 🤒	The emoticon of a face wearing a hospital mask is useful for conveying the speaker's health status and eliciting empathy from the recipient.
8	Great job on the presentation today, team! 🙌🙌	The emoticon of hands clapping repeatedly highlights acclaim and praise, highlighting the team's accomplishment and fostering a joyful mood.
9	I can't believe I missed the train again! 😡	The irritated face emoticon conveys the speaker's frustration at their ongoing train-related mishap, which heightens the emotional impact of the statement.
10	Congratulations on your new job! 🎉	The joyous emoticon highlights the sender's excitement and well wishes while reiterating the congratulations.

A subset of messages that contain emoticons for this presentation is demonstrated in Table 1.

The collection of emoticons reflects a range of feelings and intents and is utilized in many situations. Positive emoticons like 😊, 🙌🙌, 🎉, and 🙌 are used to express happy, joyful, and approved feelings. Emoticons that convey negative feelings like illness, irritation, disappointment, and sadness include 🤒, 😡, 😞, and 😞. When used in conjunction with text, emoticons give messages more emotional cues and context, improving general communication.

This sample dataset demonstrates emoticons'

function in digital communication by showing how they can enhance text-based conversations by conveying emotions and tones (Ike Revita et al., 2020; Sabrian et al., 2019), as seen in Table 2. A more extensive and varied dataset would be used in a thorough analysis to find more widespread patterns and trends in the use of emoticons.

Emoticons are practical tools for improving emotional expression and defining the tone of digital communication (Dresner & Herring, 2014; Hegner, 2021; Kang, 2022), as seen in these instances. They give text-based communications more depth and subtlety, allowing senders to express their thoughts,

Table 3. Linguistic Impact of Emoticons Usage

No.	Emoticons Usage	Linguistic Impact
1	I had an amazing time at the concert last night! 🎵 So much fun!	The inclusion of the musical notes emoticon enhances the expressive quality of the message. It complements the reference to the concert, adding a musical tone to the text.
2	Just finished my last exam! 🎉	The celebratory emoticon emphasizes the message, highlighting the significance of completing the final exam. It conveys a sense of achievement and excitement.
3	I'll be working late again tonight. 😞	The sad face emoticon subtly conveys a sense of disappointment or weariness about working late. It adds an emotional layer to the statement.
4	The weather forecast predicts sunshine all day! ☀️	The sun emoticon aligns with the sunny weather description, emphasizing the forecast's positive nature and creating a vivid mental image.
5	Received your email. Thank you for the prompt response! 👍	The thumbs-up emoticon reinforces a positive response and appreciation for the prompt email reply. It serves as a visual approval marker.
6	I'll be home in 15 minutes. 🏠	The house emoticon represents "home" and complements the message by visually referencing the speaker's destination.
7	Don't worry, I'll handle it. 😎	The cool sunglasses emoticon adds a touch of confidence and assurance to the message, creating a relaxed and self-assured tone.
8	The project deadline has been extended to next week. 😓	The sweating face emoticon conveys a sense of relief or humor regarding the extended deadline, lightening the message's tone.
9	I can't believe it's Monday again. 😞	The discontent emoticon mirrors the sentiment expressed in the text, emphasizing the speaker's reluctance to face another workweek.
10	Just received the news about the promotion. 🎊	The confetti emoticon celebrates the promotion news, adding a festive and joyful dimension to the message.

feelings, and responses more effectively (Brito, 2020; Howman, 2020). As this example shows, how we use emoticons influences how we interact in the digital age by adding visual indications to written language.

b. Linguistic Impact

The analysis of various text-based messages that contain emoticons in this dataset was done to investigate the linguistic influence of these symbols. The dataset illustrates how emoticons affect linguistic aspects and provide instances of communications containing them, as seen in Table 3.

Those seemingly straightforward visual cues called emoticons have a significant linguistic influence on (Baggia, 2022; Benavides-Vanegas, 2020; Bou-Franch & Blitvich, 2019; Huang, 2020) Internet communication. The dataset used in this study offers convincing proof of their contribution to improving linguistic characteristics and determining the general tone and subtleties of written language. There are multiple essential facets of the impact of the emoticons usage. Firstly,

emoticons serve as linguistic enhancers, augmenting the expressive capacity of written language. They provide a unique means by which users can add emotional undertones (McHaney, 2021; J. Park, 2023; Zou, 2022) to their communications that may be difficult to express in text alone. For example, a simple 😊 can break beyond the boundaries of ordinary text and suddenly turn a sentence into a joyous exclamation.

Secondly, tone and emotion (Howman, 2020) are intimately related to using emoticons. People can gradually change how a message is interpreted by carefully choosing which symbols to use. Emoticons, such as 😞 for melancholy, 😎 for confidence, and 😞 for dissatisfaction, function as language modifiers that influence the emotional tone of communication. Thirdly, emoticons are a useful tool for elucidating the meaning and intent of a message since they offer contextual clarity (About & Online, n.d.; Kissine, 2013). They serve as visual cues, drawing attention to a statement's subtleties or emotional undertones. The emoji 🏠 (house), for instance, minimizes any potential ambiguity by instantly indicating the speaker's location in the statement, 'I'll be home in 15 minutes.'

Fourthly, emoticons are powerful visualizers that amplify the impact of a message (Y. Li, 2023) by conjuring up images in the mind. The sun emoticon (☀️) in ‘The weather forecast predicts sunshine all day! ☀️’ does more than just describe the weather; it makes the reader picture a bright day in their minds, which adds to the message’s optimism. Fifthly, emoticons like 👍 (thumbs up) serve as linguistic reaffirms, strengthening expressions of acceptance, thankfulness, or encouragement. They are outward symbols of agreement and contentment that are helpful in personal and professional settings.

Sixthly, emoticons also lend a humorous and lighthearted quality to the conversation (Gruyter, 2005; Yamaguchi, 2014). ‘The project deadline has been extended to next week. 😓’ provides a humorous tone that relieves any potential anxiety related to the subject matter, thanks to the 😓 (sweating face). The last one is that emoticons can enhance the empathy and engagement (Brito, 2020; Dandannavar, 2020; Fischer, 2021; Rachdito, 2022) of online conversations by magnifying the emotional content of a message. They aid in bridging the emotional chasm that results from written communication without facial emotions and verbal inflection.

Emoticons have a significant linguistic impact (Dresner & Herring, 2014; Leśniak, 2021; McHaney, 2021). They are adaptable language instruments that improve written communication by adding expressiveness, nuance, and emotional resonance. They facilitate more understandable, captivating, and sympathetic digital communication (Baoill, 2011; Kalbaska, 2019) through their capacity to communicate tone, emotion, and context. Therefore, researching the linguistic effects of emoticons is essential to comprehending how language changes in the digital age and how people use these symbols to improve their communication.

c. Social Implications of Using Emoticons

The language used to communicate with one another to express our feelings, and convey our intentions has changed beyond standard text in an era characterized by the widespread use of digital communication (Baggia, 2022; Brito, 2020). Emotional cons, those little pictorial symbols that have crept into our everyday discussions and changed how we communicate in the digital age, are at the vanguard of this linguistic revolution. However, beneath their seeming simplicity is a

tremendous influence that extends across language barriers to shape our emotional responses and even the entire fabric of our online identities and social relationships.

As the world of digital communication is explored, how emoticons have permanently changed the online visual scene and impacted how people express themselves could be seen. They now play a crucial role in social media platforms (Ali & Hamdi, 2019; Purwati & Gunawan, 2019) social, economic etc. This study aims at identifying the most common pragmatic strategies used to issue irony in the two comic shows which are Last week tonight and Al-Basheer are chosen. . Also, it aims at showing the differences between British and Iraqi comic shows in these aspects. To test out the validity of these hypothesis, eight comic videos are selected-four from each show. It is hypothesized that: (i, advertising campaigns, and user interface design, influencing how people interact with multimedia communications, consume content, and utilize interfaces.

In today’s linked world, emoticons—tiny pictorial symbols used in digital communication to convey feelings and ideas—have a significant social impact (Gass & Neu, 1996b; Leech, 2014; Ike Revita et al., 2017; Walters, 2005). Some major societal ramifications of emoticons are included in Table 4.

Emoticons have revolutionized digital communication by influencing online etiquette, minimizing miscommunication, and providing layers of emotional expression. They now contribute to the depth and variety of online interactions as a universal and dynamic aspect of the internet’s social fabric.

The potential of emoticons to promote empathy and emotional connection in online chats is among its most critical societal ramifications (Leśniak, 2021; Ptaszynski, 2020). In a world where we communicate primarily through screens, emoticons are useful tools for overcoming emotional distance. They enable people to display their message’s content and the feelings beneath the words. Whether used as a consoling 😊 during a trying conversation or congrats 🎉 to commemorate a goal, emoticons help people separated by screens and distances feel more emotionally connected.

In digital communication, emoticons are essential for minimizing misinterpretations

Table 4. Social Implications of Emoticon Usage

No.	Forms	Social Implications
1	Improving Emotional Expression	Emoticons allow people to express a variety of emotions when writing. They aid in bridging the emotional chasm from digital encounters lacking speech cues and visual gestures. Online chats become more relatable and sympathetic due to this improved emotional expressiveness.
2	Cross-cultural communication	Emoticons are a universal language that cuts beyond linguistic and cultural barriers, facilitating cross-cultural and cultural communication. Their ability to successfully transmit emotions and ideas unites people from diverse cultural backgrounds. However, there may be cultural differences in how emoticons are used and understood, which can result in intriguing cross-cultural dynamics.
3	Emotional Connection	Emoticons have the power to reinforce emotional bonds in virtual relationships. They support users in expressing understanding, empathy, and support—especially when words might not be enough. For instance, expressing sympathy and offering emotional support during trying times can be done using an emoticon like 😊.
4	Decreased misinterpretation	In digital communications, emoticons help to cut down on misunderstandings and misinterpretations. They give the text more context, which aids in elucidating the intended tone and emotion. This lessens the possibility of misunderstandings and disputes.
5	Digital Etiquette	Emoticons are now considered part of social norms and digital etiquette. Using emoticons correctly and knowing when to use them is crucial for efficient online communication. Suitable emoticons can convey friendliness, professionalism, or civility in many situations.
6	Emoticon Subcultures	Communities and subcultures centered around emoticons and emojis have grown. Individuals frequently make and distribute unique emoticons, which helps this visual language grow. Emoticons can function as markers of inclusion in specific virtual communities.
7	Effect on Playfulness and Humour	Emoticons are frequently employed in digital communication to enhance playfulness and humor. Using ironic emoticons like 😏, they can convey sarcasm or turn a severe message into humor.
8	Communication for Brand and Marketing	Emoticons are widely utilized in marketing and communication for brands. Businesses utilize them to communicate brand identity and establish a stronger emotional connection with customers. They are frequently used in commercials, product branding, and social media marketing.
9	Online persona and Self-Expression	Emoticons are a means for people to convey their personalities and online personas. Emoticon selection in posts and messages can provide information about a person's preferences, feelings, and communication style.
10	Visual Literacy	People have acquired a type of visual literacy to understand emoticons as they have grown in variety and complexity. Deciphering the subtle emotional undertones and meanings of different emoticons has become crucial for efficient internet communication.

and possible confrontations. They serve to contextualize written words and serve as emotional clarifiers. For example, the tone of a sentence such as 'That's fine' can affect how it is understood. Nevertheless, it becomes evident that the message is humorous and non-confrontational by adding a friendly 😊. Emoticons facilitate smoother and more amicable digital interactions by preventing

misunderstandings.

Emoticons are a universal language (Bou-Franch & Blitvich, 2019; Y. I. Lee, 2022) that cuts through cultural and linguistic divides. They allow people with different cultural origins to express their feelings and emotions successfully. However, there are cultural differences in how emoticons are used and understood. For example, the same emoticon

could represent distinct feelings or meanings in different cultures. In the digital age, it is essential to comprehend these subtleties for cross-cultural communication to be successful.

Emoticons are now crucial. For online communication to be productive, emoticons must be used appropriately and at the correct times. Suitable emoticons can communicate friendliness, professionalism, or civility in many situations (Fetzer & Osiho, 2011). Knowing the social conventions around using emoticons is essential for interacting with the digital world.

Online personas and expressions are shaped in part by emoticons. Individuals frequently select particular emoticons to represent their hobbies, moods, and personalities. Users can distinctively express themselves in online conversations using emoticons, conveying a feeling of identity and individuality.

Emoticons significantly influence visual communication (Baoill, 2011; Wharton, 2009). They affect how digital interfaces are designed, how users interact with them, and how people consume content online. In particular, emojis are becoming a standard feature of mobile applications, social media sites, and multimedia messaging. They improve digital content engagement and visual storytelling.

A dedication to inclusion and representation in digital communication is demonstrated by creating varied emoji sets that feature a range of skin tones, gender representations, and cultural symbols. Using emoticons can help promote diversity and show the many identities and cultures worldwide (Belousov, 2023; Bromberg, 2020; Togans, 2021; Vashisht, 2020).

Emoticons have significant and varied social ramifications. They help to create digital etiquette (Hristova, 2018; J. G. Lee, 2021), lessen misunderstandings, improve emotional connection, and promote cross-cultural understanding. Emoticons have developed into a worldwide visual language that allows people to communicate, develop empathy, and deal with the challenges of modern digital communication.

Emoticons profoundly transform our connected world, a substantial digital landscape where words travel across screens and emotions travel via wires. Simple text-based emotional expressions have developed into a dynamic visual

language that has profoundly changed how we interact, communicate, and express our deepest emotions.

As a result of investigating the social ramifications of emoticons, many things must be learned about a complex web of significant influences that these modest symbols weave into our online communications. They are the cornerstones of empathy, filling in emotional spaces that the lack of tone and facial expressions might otherwise make impassable. They are more than just pixels on a screen.

Emoticons have crossed linguistic and cultural barriers to become the worldwide language of the digital world. They allow people from different backgrounds to share their joys, sufferings, and everything in between because they act as bridges that connect hearts and minds across countries. They support a wide range of identities and expressions, which is evidence of the digital age's inclusivity, as we have seen.

The enduring problem of written language—miscommunication—is mitigated when these symbols are present. Emoticons serve as clarifiers, adding depth to communications and guiding discussions away from misunderstandings. They serve as the language lifeboats that lead us safely across the turbulent waters of online communication. Emojis are emotional pillars of support and connection, whether you're celebrating or grieving. They are the virtual shoulder to cry on and the digital handshakes of friendship. They create ties that cross boundaries and screens by offering consolation, humor, and shared experiences.

Emojis have taken on a cultural meaning of their own, reflecting different groups' distinct expressions and customs. They celebrate and represent the multiplicity of global perspectives, serving as the visual equivalents of cultural diversity. Additionally, emoticons have become popular tools for personal branding and self-expression, where the selection of symbols serves as a blank canvas for creating a person's online persona. These virtual tattoos accentuate our virtual identities, expressing our hobbies, eccentricities, and desires.

IV. CONCLUSION

In the overall scheme of digital development, emoticons have established themselves and become an essential part of the internet itself. They

have influenced how things look by giving social media, UIs, and multimedia messaging personality. Emoticons have an influence that goes well beyond simple punctuation. They are the messengers of feeling, the bridge builders across civilizations, and the keepers of truth. They are the cornerstones of empathy in digital exchanges, irreversibly changing how we share and transmit our stories. Emoticons are a monument to the persistent power of visual language in a time when screens mediate our expressions. They weave our words into a dynamic web of thoughts and emotions in

the globally interconnected digital communication world. Using emoticons can help promote diversity and show the multitude of identities and cultures that exist worldwide.

Emoticons have significant and varied social ramifications. They help to create digital etiquette, lessen misunderstandings, improve emotional connection, and promote cross-cultural understanding. Emoticons have developed into a worldwide visual language that allows people to communicate, develop empathy, and deal with the challenges of modern digital communication.

REFERENCES

- About, K., & Online, B. (n.d.). *Apps Cyberbullying*.
- Ali, A. H., & Hamdi, H. Q. (2019). A pragmatic study of irony on some British and Iraqi TV comic Shows. In *Journal of Language Studies* (Vol. 1, Issue 4, pp. 28–48). <https://doi.org/10.25130/LANG.V1I4.43>
- Allami, H., & Naeimi, A. (2011). A cross-linguistic study of refusals: An analysis of pragmatic competence development in Iranian EFL learners. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 43(1), 385–406. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2010.07.010>
- Alzeer, G. (2018). Types and dynamics of gendered space: a case of Emirati female learners in a single-gender context. *Gender and Education*, 30(3), 360–378. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540253.2016.1205183>
- Anderson, K. E. (2020). Getting acquainted with social networks and apps: it is time to talk about TikTok. *Library Hi Tech News*, 37(4), 7–12. <https://doi.org/10.1108/LHTN-01-2020-0001>
- Arafah, B. (2019). Linguistic functions of emoji in social media communication. *Opcion*, 35, 558–574. https://api.elsevier.com/content/abstract/scopus_id/85077561022
- Arrosid, I., Munandar, A., & Mada, U. G. (2018). *Illocutionary Acts in Online Airline Advertising Slogans*. 5(1), 46–54.
- Attridge, D. (2004). The singularity of literature. In *The Singularity of Literature*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203420447>
- Baggia, A. (2022). Emoticons in student-professor email communication. *Comunicar*, 30(73), 119–133. <https://doi.org/10.3916/C73-2022-10>
- Baouill, A. O. (2011). Regulation, Awareness, Empowerment: Young People and Harmful Media Content in the Digital Age. In *Journal of International Communication* (Vol. 17, Issue 1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13216597.2011.556086>
- Belousov, K. I. (2023). Word2Vec- and semantic graph modeling of emoticons and emoji use in internet communication texts. *Voprosy Kognitivnoy Lingvistiki*, 2, 47–62. <https://doi.org/10.20916/1812-3228-2023-2-47-62>
- Benavides-Vanegas, F. S. (2020). Emoticons, memes and cyberbullying: gender equality in Colombia. *Social Semiotics*, 30(3), 328–343. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10350330.2020.1731169>
- Bou-Franch, P., & Blitvich, P. G.-C. (2019). *Analyzing Digital Discourse New Insights and Future Directions*.
- Bradt, J. (2013). Mixed methods research in music therapy research. *Journal of Music Therapy*, 50(2), 123–148. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jmt/50.2.123>
- Brito, P. Q. (2020). What kind of emotions do emoticons communicate? *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, 32(7), 1495–1517. <https://doi.org/10.1108/APJML-03-2019-0136>
- Brock, A. (2018). Critical technocultural discourse analysis. *New Media and Society*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461804818791111>

org/10.1177/1461444816677532

- Bromberg, M. (2020). Reading between the text(s) - Interpreting emoji and emoticons in the Australian criminal law context. In *New Criminal Law Review* (Vol. 23, Issue 4, pp. 655–686). <https://doi.org/10.1525/nclr.2020.23.4.655>
- Chendeb, H. M. (2019). Linguistic politeness across Arabic, French, and English languages. In *Journal of Arts and Humanities* (Vol. 8, Issue 12, pp. 57–72). <https://doi.org/10.18533/JOURNAL.V8I12.1783>
- Clark, H. H., & Carlson, T. B. (2011). *Linguistic Society of America Hearers and Speech Acts HEARERS AND SPEECH ACTS been fully examined . Consider requests , such as this one from ' Othello ' : as we is an attempt by Othello to get the ' hearer ' H to go with him . This , of course , has an au.* 58(2), 332–373.
- Cristina Adornetti. (2012). Why Philosophical Pragmatics Needs Clinical Pragmatics. *HUmana. Mente Journal of Philosophical Studies*, 23, 159–174.
- Dambros, C. (2020). The role of environmental filtering, geographic distance and dispersal barriers in shaping the turnover of plant and animal species in Amazonia. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 29(13), 3609–3634. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-020-02040-3>
- Dandannavar, P. S. (2020). Emoticons and Their Effects on Sentiment Analysis of Twitter Data. In *EAI/ Springer Innovations in Communication and Computing* (pp. 191–201). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-19562-5_19
- Dresner, E., & Herring, S. C. (2014). Emoticons and Illocutionary Force. *Logic, Argumentation and Reasoning*, 2, 81–90. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-7131-4_8
- Ferri, G. (2018). Intercultural communication: Critical approaches and future challenges. In *Intercultural Communication: Critical Approaches and Future Challenges*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-73918-2>
- Fetzer, A., & Osiho, E. (2011). *Context and Contexts*. John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Finkelhor, D., Ormrod, R. K., & Turner, H. A. (2007). Re-victimization patterns in a national longitudinal sample of children and youth. *Child Abuse and Neglect*, 31(5), 479–502. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2006.03.012>
- Fischer, B. (2021). Emoji as Affective Symbols: Affective Judgments of Emoji, Emoticons, and Human Faces Varying in Emotional Content. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.645173>
- Gass, Su. M., & Neu, J. (1996a). *Relevance , Pragmatics and Interpretation*. Mouton de Gruyter.
- Gass, Su. M., & Neu, J. (1996b). *The Cambridge Handbook of Sociopragmatics*. Mouton de Gruyter.
- Gruyter, M. De. (2005). *Politeness in Language*.
- Hazzan, M. K. (2022). Deception in the era of digital technologies and the distortion of reality and facts: An X-Ray of Nigerian peculiarities. *E-Learning and Digital Media*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20427530221124002>
- Headley, M. G. (2020). Multilevel Mixed Methods Research Designs: Advancing a Refined Definition. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 14(2), 145–163. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689819844417>
- Hegner, S.M. (2021). Emoticons are not for everyone: The role of congruence between hotel brand positioning strategies and communication style in enhancing customers' brand attitude and booking intention. *Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 21(3), 317–329. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1467358421993901>
- Hickey, L. (2014). The pragmatics of style. *The Pragmatics of Style*, 21, 1–207. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315857381>
- Hisarciklilar, O., & Boujut, J. F. (2009). A Speech Act Theory-based information model to support design communication through annotations. *Computers in Industry*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2009.02.014>
- Howman, H. E. (2020). The role of emoticons in sarcasm comprehension in younger and older adults: Evidence from an eye-tracking experiment. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 73(11), 1729–1744. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1747021820922804>

- Hristova, S. (2018). Gender peculiarities of the speech etiquette of aristocracy of the XIX century - Russian-English parallels (sociolinguistic aspect). *Ezikov Svyat*, 17(1), 97–105. <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?partnerID=HzOxMe3b&scp=85068750834&origin=inward>
- Hsu, S. Y. (2019). The effects of international email and Skype interactions on computer-mediated communication perceptions and attitudes and intercultural competence in Taiwanese students. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 35(1), 149–162. <https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.4209>
- Huang, G. H. (2020). Helpful or harmful? A double-edged sword of emoticons in online review helpfulness. *Tourism Management*, 81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2020.104135>
- Jayawarna, D. (2021). A Gendered Life Course Explanation of the Exit Decision in the Context of Household Dynamics. *Entrepreneurship: Theory and Practice*, 45(6), 1394–1430. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1042258720940123>
- Jelinčić, D. A. (2020). When heritage speaks t-emoticons: Emotional experience design in heritage tourism. In *A Research Agenda for Heritage Tourism* (pp. 219–234). <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781789903522.00024>
- Jung, B. (2022). The impact of belongingness and graphic-based emoticon usage motives on emoticon purchase intentions for MIM: an analysis of Korean KakaoTalk users. *Online Information Review*, 46(2), 391–411. <https://doi.org/10.1108/OIR-02-2020-0036>
- Kalbaska, N. (2019). Editorial: Fashion communication: Between tradition and digital transformation. In *Studies in Communication Sciences* (Vol. 18, Issue 2, pp. 269–285). <https://doi.org/10.24434/j.scoms.2018.02.005>
- Kaneko, D. (2019). EmojiGrid: A 2D pictorial scale for cross-cultural emotion assessment of negatively and positively valenced food. In *Food Research International* (Vol. 115, pp. 541–551). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2018.09.049>
- Kang, C. (2022). Korean Emoticons: Understanding How Subtle Emotional Differences Are Evoked Online. In *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work, CSCW* (pp. 121–125). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3500868.3559463>
- Kavanagh, B. (2021). A study on how cultural and gender parameters affect emoticon distribution, usage and frequency in American and Japanese online discourse. In *Pragmatics and Beyond New Series* (Vol. 318, pp. 287–320). <https://doi.org/10.1075/pbns.318.10kav>
- Kissine, M. (2013). *From Utterances to Speech Acts*. Cambridge University Press.
- Koch, T. K. (2022). Age and gender in language, emoji, and emoticon usage in instant messages. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2021.106990>
- Kraft, K. (2018). A language ideological landscape: The complex map in international companies in Denmark. In *English in Business and Commerce: Interactions and Policies* (Vol. 6, pp. 46–72). <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781501506833-003>
- Lee, J. g. (2021). Cheerful encouragement or careful listening: The dynamics of robot etiquette at Children’s different developmental stages. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2021.106697>
- Lee, S. J. (2018). An Integrated Model of Pop Culture Fans’ Travel Decision-Making Processes. *Journal of Travel Research*, 57(5), 687–701. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287517708619>
- Lee, Y. I. (2022). Image Framing, Emoticons, and Sharing Intention for Health-Related Posts on Facebook. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 25(2), 140–146. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2021.0002>
- Leech, G. (2014). *The Pragmatics of Politeness*. Oxford University Press.
- Leśniak, E. (2021). The Role of Emoticons in the Comprehension of Emotional and Non-emotional Messages in Dyslexic Youth – A Preliminary Study. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.693287>
- Li, M. (2020). Psychological impact of health risk communication and social media on college students during the covid-19 pandemic: Cross-sectional study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 22(11).

<https://doi.org/10.2196/20656>

- Li, Q., Cross, D., & Smith, P. K. (2011). *Cyberbullying in the global playground: Research from international perspectives*. books.google.com. Li, Y. (2023). Should a luxury Brand's Chatbot use emoticons? Impact on brand status. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, 22(3), 569–581. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cb.2104>
- McHaney, R. (2021). Influence of emoticons on deception detection: An empirical exploration. *Telematics and Informatics Reports*, 1. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.teler.2021.100001>
- Muliastri, N. K. E. (2019). Penguatan Literasi Baru (Literasi Data, Teknologi, Dan SDM/Humanisme) Pada Guru-Guru Sekolah Dasar Dalam Menjawab Tantangan Era Revolusi Industri 4.0. *Ganaya: Jurnal Ilmu Sosial Dan ...*. <https://jayapanguspress.penerbit.org/index.php/ganaya/article/view/354>
- Multilingualism, multimodality and methodology: Linguistic landscape research in the context of assemblages, ideologies and (in)visibility: An introduction. (2018). In *Expanding the Linguistic Landscape: Linguistic Diversity, Multimodality and the Use of Space as a Semiotic Resource* (pp. 1–22). <https://doi.org/10.21832/PUTZ2159>
- Noll, A. (2020). How to Overcome Reading Barriers in Inclusive Mathematics Instructions—an Empirical Comparison of Visual and Linguistic Support. *Journal Fur Mathematik-Didaktik*, 41(1), 157–190. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13138-020-00158-z>
- Norricks, N. R., & Chiaro, D. (2009). *Humor in Interaction*. In 2009. John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Pakarinen, S. (2018). Multiple language signage in linguistic landscapes and students' language practices: A case study from a language immersion setting. *Linguistics and Education*, 44, 4–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.linged.2017.10.005>
- Palmer, A. D. (2019). The impact of communication impairments on the social relationships of older adults: Pathways to psychological well-being. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, 62(1), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1044/2018_JSLHR-S-17-0495
- Paquette, D. (2016). *Anderson Cooper told Trump 'That is sexual assault.'* *The Justice Department agrees*. Washington Post.
- Park, J. (2023). How to build rapport in online space: using online chat emoticons for qualitative interviewing in feminist research. *Qualitative Research Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/QRJ-12-2022-0158>
- Park, J. R. (2020). Emoticons and non-verbal communications across Arabic, English, and Korean Tweets. *Global Knowledge, Memory and Communication*, 69(8), 579–595. <https://doi.org/10.1108/GKMC-02-2020-0021>
- Parson, L. (2019). Digital media responses to a feminist scholarly article: a critical discourse analysis. *Feminist Media Studies*, 19(4), 576–592. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14680777.2018.1468348>
- Picione, R. D. L. (2021). The Dynamic Nature of the Human Psyche and its Three Tenets: Normativity, Liminality and Resistance—Semiotic Advances in Direction of Modal Articulation Sensemaking. *Human Arenas*, 4(2), 279–293. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42087-021-00212-3>
- Power, A. (2014). What is social media? *British Journal of Midwifery*. <https://doi.org/10.12968/bjom.2014.22.12.896>
- Prayitno, H. J., Kusmanto, H., Nasucha, Y., Rahmawati, L. E., Jamaluddin, N., Samsuddin, S., & Ilma, A. A. (2019). The Politeness Comments on The Indonesian President Jokowi Instagram Official Account Viewed From Politico Pragmatics and The Character Education Orientation in The Disruption Era. In *Indonesian Journal on Learning and Advanced Education (IJOLAE)* (Vol. 1, Issue 2, pp. 52–71). Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta. <https://doi.org/10.23917/IJOLAE.V1I2.8785>
- Ptaszynski, M. (2020). Automatically Estimating Meaning Ambiguity of Emoticons. In *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing* (Vol. 948, pp. 411–416). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-25719-4_54
- Purwati, P., & Gunawan, I. (2019). Kesantunan Berbahasa di Era Digital: Tinjauan Analisis Moral Pada Komentar Berita Sepakbola di Akun Instagram Pengamat sepak bola. In *IJAS: Indonesian Journal*

- of Arabic Studies* (Vol. 1, Issue 1, pp. 76–88). IAIN Syekh Nurjati Cirebon. <https://doi.org/10.24235/IJAS.V1I1.4908>
- Rachdito, E. B. (2022). Emoticons as Self-Disclosure in Social Media and Its Meaning for People Who are Deaf. *Disability, CBR and Inclusive Development*, 32(4), 40–62. <https://doi.org/10.47985/dcidj.471>
- Revita, I. (2020). Politeness strategies of minangkabau ethnic in Indonesia. *Asian ESP Journal*, 16, 13–34.
- Revita, Ike. (2013). Pragmatic Force Behind the Utterance of English Department Students in Seminar Class Discussion. *Linguistika Kultura*, 6(3), 1–9.
- Revita, Ike. (2015a). Communicative Approach in Teaching English for 3rd Year English Department Students: Advantages and Weaknesses. *Indonesian JELT*, 10(2), 18–33.
- Revita, Ike. (2015b). Pragmatic Paradox: The Portrait of Minangkabau Women in Communication. *Tutur: Cakrawala Kajian Bahasa-Bahasa Nusantara*, 1(2), 127–137.
- Revita, Ike, Marwati, S., Mardhiah, A., & Ayumi. (2020). Maxims of Politeness Performed by Female Sellers at Traditional Market in Sumatera Barat. *Arbitrer*, 7(1), 8–15. <http://arbitrer.fib.unand.ac.id/index.php/arbitrer/article/view/169/113>
- Revita, Ike, Trioclarise, R., & Anggreiny, N. (2017). Politeness Strategies of The Panders in Women Trafficking. *Buletin Al-Turas*, XXIII(1), 191–210.
- Revita, Ike, Trioclarise, R., & Legino, R. (2022). Politeness Performed by Four Female in “Imperfect The Series.” *Environment-Behaviour Proceedings Journal*, 7(SI8), 71–78. <https://doi.org/10.21834/ebpj.v7iSI8.3917>
- Sabater, C. P. (2017). Linguistic accommodation in online communication: The role of language and gender. *Revista Signos*, 50(94), 265–286. <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-09342017000200265>
- Sabrian, R., Revita, I., & Sastra, G. (2019). Women’s Speech In A State of Anger: A Neuropragmatic Study. *Jurnal Arbitrer*, 6(1), 15. <https://doi.org/10.25077/ar.6.1.15-27.2019>
- Sampietro, A. (2020). Use and Interpretation of Emoji in Electronic-Mediated Communication: A Survey. *Visual Communication Quarterly*, 27(1), 27–39. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15551393.2019.1707086>
- Savela, T. (2018). The advantages and disadvantages of quantitative methods in schoolscape research. *Linguistics and Education*, 44, 31–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.linged.2017.09.004>
- Savski, K. (2021). Language policy and linguistic landscape Identity and struggle in two southern Thai spaces. *Linguistic Landscape*, 7(2), 128–150. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ll.20008.sav>
- Shaari, A. H. (2020). Accentuating illocutionary forces: Emoticons as speech act realization strategies in a multicultural online communication environment. *3L: Language, Linguistics, Literature*, 26(1), 135–155. <https://doi.org/10.17576/3L-2020-2601-10>
- Shi, L., & Stevens, G. D. (2021). *Vulnerable populations in the United States*. books.google.com.
- Smith, K. (2020). How Culture and Biology Interact to Shape Language and the Language Faculty. *Topics in Cognitive Science*, 12(2), 690–712. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tops.12377>
- Suganob-Nicolau, M. F. (2016). Swear words among young learners: A case study of the elementary students. *Indonesian JELT: Indonesian Journal of English Language Teaching*. <https://doi.org/10.25170/ijelt.v11i2.1493>
- Szeto, M. D. (2022). Emojis and Emoticons in Health Care and Dermatology Communication: Narrative Review. In *JMIR Dermatology* (Vol. 5, Issue 3). <https://doi.org/10.2196/33851>
- Teh, P. L. (2020). Relationships between emoticon usage and recipient groups in students’ text messages. In *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series* (pp. 300–304). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3428757.3429127>
- Thomson, A. (2019). Sport event legacy: A systematic quantitative review of literature. In *Sport Management Review* (Vol. 22, Issue 3, pp. 295–321). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smr.2018.06.011>
- Togans, L. C. J. (2021). Digitally saving face: An experimental investigation of cross-cultural differences in the use of emoticons and emoji. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 186, 277–288. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprag.2021.06.001>

pragma.2021.09.016

- Unni, Z. (2021). Shelter in Place, Connect Online: Trending TikTok Content During the Early Days of the U.S. COVID-19 Pandemic. *Journal of Adolescent Health, 68*(5), 863–868. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2021.02.012>
- Vashisht, G. (2020). Emoticons & emojis based sentiment analysis: The last two decades! *International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research, 9*(3), 6398–6405. https://api.elsevier.com/content/abstract/scopus_id/85082925419
- Vergis, N. (2020). Factors in the perception of speaker politeness: The effect of linguistic structure, imposition and prosody. *Journal of Politeness Research, 16*(1), 45–84. <https://doi.org/10.1515/pr-2017-0008>
- Walters, J. (2005). *Bilingualism*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781410612038>
- WETZLER, S. (2001). Linguistics, Pragmatics and Psychotherapy: A Guide for Therapists. *American Journal of Psychiatry, 158*(5), 827-a-828. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.158.5.827-a>
- Wharton, T. (2009). *Pragmatics and non-verbal communication*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511635649>
- Whitburn, J. (2020). Meta-analysis of human connection to nature and proenvironmental behavior. *Conservation Biology, 34*(1), 180–193. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13381>
- Williamson, K., Given, L. M., & Scifleet, P. (2018). Qualitative data analysis. In *Research Methods: Information, Systems, and Contexts: Second Edition*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-102220-7.00019-4>
- Wutich, A. (2019). Data Collection in Cross-cultural Ethnographic Research. *Field Methods, 31*(2), 181–189. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1525822X19837397>
- Yamaguchi, M. et al. (2014). *Approaches to Language, Culture, and Cognition* (Issue 321). Macmillan Publishers Limited.
- Zou, H. (2022). Sentiment Classification Method Based on Blending of Emoticons and Short Texts. *Entropy, 24*(3). <https://doi.org/10.3390/e24030398>