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#### Abstract

Until recently, decay odor has not been used as a forensic investigative tool beyond body reconnaissance by cadaver dogs. The research presented in this study is attempting to broaden the value of decay odor through evaluating it as a time since death indicator. Decomposition is the result of two internal processes, autolysis and putrefaction, and many external factors, i.e., bacteria, carnivores, insects. Autolysis is the breakdown to cells following circulatory stasis. Putrefaction is the destruction of the organism through bacterial activity. Some of the byproducts of putrefaction are odiferous compound such as cadaverine, putrescine, volatile fatty acids (VFA's), methane and hydrogen sulfide, which produce the decay odor. As decomposition progresses the concentration of various by-products that contribute to the decay odor are expected to vary in a predictable pattern that correlates to temperature. To test this hypothesis the odors of several decaying corpses were collected and analyzed using electronic nose technology.

The greatest obstacle to successfully studying decay odor was the collection of a representative and replicable sample. A portable sampling device was designed to collect an appropriate sample. The device consisted of connecting three glass pipettes filled with molecular sieve to the inflow nozzle of an air pump. Molecular sieve, a universal dryant, was capable of capturing the odor causing agents under field conditions and releasing the same agents under the analytical conditions of the electronic nose in the laboratory. Collecting three samples simultaneously, per the three pipettes, minimized the intersample error and reduced the sampling time.


Aroma samples were collected from eleven decaying individuals at regular intervals. The human subjects were donated for scientific study and met several criteria: 1) known time of death, 2) known cause of death, 3) received during the fresh stage of decomposition, and 4) unautopsied. Included in the study were eight males and three females. All individuals were white, non-Hispanic. Age range was from 25 to 98 years. Cause of death was natural in all case but three, two suspected drug overdose and one suicide by hanging. Ten corpses were enclosed in a body bag during the decomposition process to concentrate and isolate the odor. One corpse was not placed in a body bag. A small hole was cut in each body bag through which the sample was taken. At each sampling event the temperature and humidity, as well as the intersample high and low temperature and humidity and rainfall, were recorded. The fluctuation and accumulated effects of temperature was summarized as accumulated degree days (ADD). Control samples were collected by sampling air contained within empty body bags. Four of the ten body bags were disturbed by carnivore activity during the decay process.

The results of the study show that the aroma pattern as detected by the electronic nose did not change over time. However, the concentration of the odor did change. The intensity of the odor positively correlated to ADD when the body was isolated in an undisturbed body bag. Intensity of the odor did not correlate to ADD when the body bag was disturbed or the body was not placed in a body bag. At this time, odor as a time since death indicator is only applicable to a sample collected from bodies isolated in body bags. In order to expand the applicability of this method the sensitivity of the sampling method must be improved. Furthermore, important odor pattern variation may become detectable with increased sensor sensitivity of the electronic nose. In sum, the
concentration of odor positively correlated to ADD when specific conditions are met.
However, aroma pattern change is undetectable.

## Table of Contents

Chapter Page

1. Introduction ..... 1
Progression of Decomposition ..... 1
Autolysis ..... 2
Putrefaction. ..... 6
Estimating Time Since Death ..... 12
Initial Stage of Decomposition ..... 12
Mid and Late Stage of Decomposition. ..... 17
Alternative Rates of Decomposition ..... 22
The Study ..... 24
Oak Ridge National Laboratory ..... 24
2. Material and Methods. ..... 26
Materials ..... 26
The Anthropology Research Facility ..... 26
Human Remains. ..... 27
Methods. ..... 29
Sample Collection. ..... 29
Development of a Sampling Method. ..... 30
Sampling Medium Selection ..... 30
Cotton Gauze ..... 31
Absorbent Disks ..... 33
Various Laboratory Materials ..... 33
Molecular Sieve ..... 35
Sampling Apparatus. ..... 38
Active Sampling ..... 38
Comparison of Active and Passive Sampling Methods ..... 41
The Aromascan ${ }^{\circledR}$ ..... 42
Sampling Preparation .....  42
Instrumentation. ..... 44
Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry ..... 45
Electronic Nose ..... 45
The Aromascan ${ }^{\circledR}$ ..... 46
Sample Analysis ..... 49
Aromascan® Statistical Analysis ..... 52
Statistical Analysis ..... 54
Odor Pattern Summarization ..... 54
3. Results ..... 68
Statistical Analysis ..... 68
Grouping the Samples ..... 68
The Role of Humidity ..... 72
Temperature Plateau. ..... 74
4. Discussion ..... 76
The Experimental Design ..... 76
The Body Bag ..... 76
Results ..... 77
The Correlation ..... 77
Comparison of Various TSD Estimating Methods ..... 78
5. Conclusion. ..... 83
The Study ..... 83
The Instrumentation ..... 83
The Sample ..... 84
The Laboratory ..... 85
The Results ..... 85
Bibliography ..... 88
Appendix. ..... 96
Vita ..... 145

## List of Figures

Figure ..... Page
1.1. Skin Slippage ..... 4
1.2. Fixed Livor Mortis ..... 5
1.3. Early Abdominal Discoloration. .....  7
1.4. Advance Abdominal Discoloration ..... 8
1.5. Late Abdominal Discoloration .....  8
1.6. Marbling .....  9
1.7. Before Bloating ..... 10
1.8. After Bloating ..... 10
1.9. Adipocere ..... 23
2.1. Comparison of Experimental and Control Gauze. ..... 32
2.2. Comparison of Experimental and Controi ..... 34
Teflon Supported Absorbent Disks
2.3. Comparison of Experimental and Control ..... 34
Fiberglass Supported Absorbent Disks
2.4. Comparison of Experimental and Control Absorbent Materials I. ..... 36
2.5. Comparison of Experimental and Control Absorbent Materials II. ..... 36
2.6. Comparison of Sampling Flow Rates and Interval ..... 40
2.7. Comparison of Pipette and Vial System. ..... 40
2.8. Comparison of Active and Passive Sampling Methods ..... 42
2.9. Comparison of Sample Preparation ..... 43
2.10. The Aromascan® ..... 50
2.11. 30.99 BRC vs ADD ..... 56
2.12. 32.99 BRC vs ADD ..... 57
2.13. 33.99 BRC vs ADD ..... 58
2.14 HC6-99 BRC vs ADD. ..... 59
2.15. HC7-99 BRC vs ADD ..... 60
2.16. 3.00 BRC vs ADD ..... 61
2.17. 4.00 BRC vs ADD ..... 62
2.18. 5.00 BRC vs ADD ..... 63
2.19. 9.00 BRC vs ADD ..... 64
2.20. 11.00 BRC vs ADD. ..... 65
2.21. HC1-00 BRC vs ADD. ..... 66
3.1 Response of Sensors to Sample (5.00). ..... 69

## List of Tables

Tables Page
2.1. Scientific Donations Included in the Study ..... 28
2.2 Comparison of Five Replicate Sampling Matrix ..... 37
2.3. Selectivity Characteristics of the Sensor Array ..... 47-48
2.4 Performance Specifications of Multisampler-SP ..... 50
2.5 Summary of Cadavers Studied. ..... 67
3.1 Seasonal Grouping of Specimen Studied and Respective. ..... 70
Correlations between BRC and ADD
3.2 Grouping of the Bodies Based on Condition of the Body Bag. ..... 71
Correlations between BRC and ADD
3.3 Results of Polynomial Regression. ..... 73

## Chapter 1

## Introduction

An accurate estimation of time since death (TSD) is often a crucial component of a death investigation. The success or failure of many investigations hinges directly upon precise assessment of the time between death and discovery. TSD is one of the hardest determinations to make because of the lack of reliable scientific means. In light of this, a large amount of forensic anthropological and pathological research has focused on developing and improving methods for estimating postmortem interval (PMI). Forensic pathologists have primarily focused their research on the initial interval following death, concentrating on rates of autolysis. Forensic anthropologists have focused their research on the later postmortem interval, concentrating on rates of putrefaction. Both fields depend greatly on the advancements of the hard sciences, i.e., analytical chemistry, biochemistry, and physics, for the development of technologies that can be applied to measuring rates of autolysis and putrefaction. The study of time since death, while remaining a challenge, is an evolving area of research.

## Progression of Decomposition

The first step in measuring rates of autolysis and putrefaction is developing an understanding of the mechanisms that drive both processes. At death, organisms systematically begin the decay process by passing through a physiochemical and gross continuum of tissue breakdown from fresh to skeletal. Even though systematic, the
transition through several well-documented stages (created for scientific convenience) of decomposition is guided by the effects of several factors that include body physique, cause, mechanism, and manner of death, depositional context and the environmental conditions that may serve to temporarily arrest, retard or accelerate this process. Initially, autolysis, the irreversible cascading events of cell death, destroys cellular integrity and the cell-to-cell junctions that progressively result in widespread tissue necrosis. The byproducts of autolysis subsequently fuel putrefaction; the consumption of the body tissues through the progressive proliferation of bacteria. Given appropriate time and environmental conditions, these two internal processes are sufficient, even in the absence of insects and carnivores, to reduce a body to the skeleton.

## Autolysis

An understanding of decomposition is born from a fundamental knowledge of the normal biochemical function of living cells. Adenosine triphosphate (ATP) provides the energy for the biochemical and physiological pathways of the cell. In aerobic organisms, ATP is produced by respiration, the oxygen-dependent extraction of energy from food (Berne and Levy 1993). In anaerobic conditions, some aerobic organisms produce ATP through fermentation, converting pyruvate to lactate. A by-product of fermentation is the reduction of intracellular pH . The anaerobic pathway of ATP production is inefficient and the net gain of energy is insufficient to maintain cellular physiology (Gill-King 1997, Tobin and Morel 1997).

At death, circulatory stasis and the consequent loss of aerobic ATP synthesis insults cellular integrity leading to microscopic (cellular) and eventual macroscopic
(tissue) morphologic changes. The membrane transport system is destroyed by denaturing proteins in the cell membrane. With loss of the cross membrane transport system, molecules and ions essential for cell survival are unable to pass across the concentration gradient (Tobin and Morel 1997). Meanwhile, damaged membrane selectivity allows extra-cellular matrix to leak into the cell causing it to swell. Lysosomes, cellular organelles housing hydrolytic enzymes that function in intracellular digestion, rupture and releasing their contents. During aerobic cellular conditions, lysosomes fuse with the membrane of the phagosome and release the hydrolytic enzyme into the phagocytic vacuole, digesting the entity and releasing nutrients into the cytoplasm. The destructive enzymes remain locked within a membrane throughout the digestive process. With membrane structural integrity compromised, liberated hydrolytic enzymes leak in the cytoplasm; activated by the lowered pH of the cytoplasm, they begin to consume the cell (Junqueira et al. 1991).

Finally, with continued disintegration of the cell membrane, cell to cell junctions dissolve, causing localized or focal death and eventual organ tissue necrosis. During this stage, decomposition becomes observable at the gross level as tissues become subjectively paler. In addition, breakdown of the cellular junction occurring between the layers of epidermis and dermis results in gross slippage of the epidermis (Figure 1.1) (Spitz 1993).

In addition to skin slippage and generalized tissue necrosis, circulatory stasis and autolysis trigger several gross morphologic changes traditionally targeted by forensic pathology as time since death indicators: algor mortis, livor mortis, and rigor mortis. During life, normal metabolic pathways maintain the body at a core temperature of


Figure 1.1. Skin Slippage. As the junction between the dermis and epidermis deteriorates, the epidermis sheds from the body.
$98.7^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. When these pathways diminish, the body begins gradually, then more rapidly, to cool to ambient temperatures. This is referred to as algor mortis.

Livor mortis, or hypostasis, is the pooling of blood in the body. Blood pools in the capillary beds of regions of the body experiencing the greatest gravitational pull, e.g., the feet of a hanging victim. Initially, livor is unfixed, meaning pressure will force the collected blood out of the capillaries, allowing the skin under pressure to blanch white. With time, the capillary blood and surrounding fat coagulates, trapping the blood. At this point blood does not recede from the capillary under pressure causing livor fixation (Figure 1.2) (Coe 1993, DiMiao and DiMiao 1996, Clark et al. 1997).

Rigor mortis is the stiffening of muscles from the binding together of fibers within the cells. Muscle cells consist of two fibers, myosin and actin, which bind and pull across each other during contraction. At rest, the binding site on the actin fiber for


Figure 1.2. Fixed Livor Mortis. The arrows are pointing to areas of blanching. The blanched areas remain white despite the release of pressure.
the myosin fiber head is bound by a troponin-tropomyosin complex (Berne and Levy 1993, Junqueira et al. 1991). Calcium ions released from the membrane interact with the complex moving it from the binding site. These ions enable the myosin fiber head to bind to the actin fiber. At this point energy is released from ATP associated with the structures causing the myosin head to bend and the two fibers to pull across each other. The muscle cell relaxes after the calcium ion is pumped from the sacroplasm back into the cell membrane releasing the troponin-tropomyosin complex to obscure the myosinbinding site. At death, calcium ions are released from the disintegrating membrane allowing the two fibers to bind. However, in the absence of ATP, the fibers do not slide across each other and calcium ions are not pumped out of the sarcoplasm. Hence, during rigor mortis the muscles do not contract, but stiffen via fiber binding. The decreased intracellular pH also causes the cytoplasm to congeal which contributes to rigor mortis.

With time, the fibers break away from their anchoring site at the end of the cell gradually causing rigor mortis to dissipate.

## Putrefaction

Putrefaction is the alteration of an organism through bacteria activity. The release of nutrients from autolyzed cells coupled with the decreased intercellular pH from loss of the buffer system creates a rich environment for endogenous bacterial proliferation (Spitz 1993, Knight 1996). The largest bacterial population during life and the earliest postmortem proliferation of putrefactive bacteria is in the bacteria-rich cecum, located in the lower right quadrant of the abdominal. Because of the size of the cecum and superficial proximity to the skin surface of the abdominal wall, putrefactive bacterial activity is often first visible in this area (Spitz 1993, Knight 1996). A by-product of this bacterial proliferation is the production of a large quantity of hydrogen sulfide gas that readily diffuses through the soft tissue. The gas reacts with the iron of hemoglobin to form a black precipitate, ferrous sulfide and sulfhaemoglobin (Gill-King 1997). The precipitate causes observable discoloration of the dermis over the cecum (Figure 1.3). Discoloration progresses through the remaining regions of the abdominal wall through the same process as well as through the release of pigments from the breakdown of biliary structures (Gill-King 1997). Hydrolytic enzymes released from pancreatic cells attack biliary structures releasing various colored pigments into the circulatory system and wall of the abdomen (Gill-King 1997, Marks et al. 2000). With time, the color of the entire body will progressively advance from the normal to slightly pasty variation of


Figure 1.3. Early discoloration. The dark purple / red discoloration in the lower right abdominal quadrant is the by-product of initial bacterial proliferation in the cecum of the large intestine.
healthy pigment of the dermal and epidermal tissue, to green, purple and through various shades of brown (Knight 1996, Gill-King 1997) (Figure 1.4 and 1.5).

Endogenous bacteria are not confined to the large intestine, but are also present in the lungs and to a much lesser degree, throughout the entire organism. Bacterial contamination in the circulatory system produces hydrogen sulfide gas that easily travels through the blood vessels. The high concentration of iron in the vascular system forms ferrous sulfide when it reacts with the gas and systematically blackens vessels. This event, termed marbling, is easily seen in the superficial vessels on the body surface (Figure 1.6).

While some bacterial-produced gases diffuse through the lining of the organs and the dermal wall easily, other gases, i.e., hydrogen, methane, ammonia, hydrogen sulfide,


Figure 1.4. Advanced abdominal discoloration. With the continued proliferation of colonic bacteria and break down of biliary structures the entire abdominal wall discolors.


Figure 1.5. Late discoloration. As bacterial growth continues to produce color changing reactant gases and the dermis becomes exposed as a result of skin slippage, the whole body becomes reddish brown.


Figure 1.6. Marbling. Bacteria located in the circulatory system proliferates after death and produces hydrogen sulfide gas. The gas passes through the vascular system and reacts with the iron present in the hemoglobin to form ferrous sulfide, the same black precipitant producing abdominal discoloration.


Figure 1.7 and 1.8. Before and After Bloating. The gas by-products of bacterial growth throughout the body collect and cause the body to increase in size. These figures are the same individual photographed at two days and six days postmortem, respectively. Notice the xyphoid, ribs, clavicle, and anterior iliac crest are visible in figure 1.7 but not in figure 1.8.
and carbon dioxide, remain trapped within the tissues. The collection of the gas causes the abdomen and other regions to bloat, increasing several times in size (Figure 1.7 and 1.8). In males, abdominal gas buildup often pushes into the scrotum causing it to balloon. With time, the abdominal gas build-up causes the tissues to rupture, leaking from the body and reducing it to the perimortem size or smaller (Galloway 1997).

In addition to the visible gross morphological changes associated with bacterial activity, there is also the generation of odor, a by-product of putrefaction. Presently, the molecular composition of decay odor is unknown. Suspect odor causing compounds associated with decay include cadaverine, putrescine, volatile fatty acids (VFA's), methane and hydrogen sulfide (Gill-King 1997). Putrescine and cadaverine, ptomaine compounds, are the products of decarboxylation of the amino acids ornithine and lysine,
respectfully (Gill-King 1997). VFA's are breakdown products of lipids. Of the many varieties of VFA's, only six are water-soluble: formic, acetic, propionic, butyric, caproic, and heptanoic acids (Vass et al. 1992). These VFA's are volatile at a basic pH (less then 7.0) and contribute to the decay odor in the basic environment of decomposition.

In attempts to standardize the progression of putrefaction, researchers (Reed 1958, Rodriguez and Bass 1983, Galloway et al. 1989, Clark et al. 1997, Galloway 1997, Rhine and Dawson 1997) have broken-down the progression of decay into stages. Although each researcher has developed his or her own stages for the advancement of decay, they can be melded into the following scheme: fresh, discoloration, bloating, skeletalization, and skeletal decomposition (see Marks et al. 2000). During the fresh stage, no gross morphological changes are observable beyond algor, livor, and rigor mortis. The discoloration stage begins with the first detection of abdominal color change and ends at the first detection of abdominal bloating. Gross morphological changes occurring during this stage are progressive discoloration of abdomen, thorax, and neck and early to moderate signs of skin slippage. The bloating stage begins with the initial signs of abdominal distention and ends when bloating is no longer detectable. Loss of bloating is a result of either rupture of the intestinal and organ lining or carnivore disturbance. This stage of decomposition is often skipped in frozen environments (Micozzi 1997). During this stage marbling becomes detectable and progresses through the body and skeletal exposure occurs in areas of less subcutaneous layering, e.g., cranium and bony eminences. The stage of skeletonization begins when no evidence of soft tissue bloating is apparent and ends when VFA's no longer can be detected from soft tissue decomposition. In arid areas where desiccation and mummification are common a body
may remain in the skeletalization stage indefinitely. Finally, once fully skeletonized, the bone decomposition stage includes all subperiosteal cortical weathering, i.e., exfoliation and demineralization, resulting from environmental conditions.

## Estimating Time Since Death

## Initial Stage of Decomposition

Autolysis progression is rapid and fairly consistent, and the compounding effects of external conditions are less influential. Because of the consistency of this stage, time since death estimation based on biochemical changes, i.e., nitrobensodiazepines levels, vitreous potassium concentration, tranylcypromine levels, and gross morphological changes, i.e., rigor mortis, livor mortis, algor mortis, are relatively accurate, with a margin of error of a few hours (Madea 1992, Coe 1993, Yonemitsu and Pounder 1993, Lange et al. 1994, Henssge et al. 1995, DiMiao and DiMiao 1996, Robertson and Drummer 1998). A large amount of forensic pathological research has focused on fine tuning time estimates based on classic signs of autolysis (algor, livor, and rigor mortis), while developing biochemical techniques to read less obvious signs of tissue breakdown.

Algor mortis, temperature loss, is typically measured through the deep core rectal temperature using a standard thermometer. Historically, a questionable rule of thumb used by pathologists was a loss of $1.5^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ per hour (Madea and Henssge 1988, Henssge et al. 1995). However, bodies do not cool at a consistent rate. Initially, the core temperature drops slowly creating an early temperature plateau. With time, the rate increases. Recent research has aimed at developing mathematical models to predict the cooling curve with the goal of extrapolating the time since death from rectal and ambient
temperatures (Henssge and Madea 1988, Henssge et al. 1995). Madea and Henssge (1988) developed a nanogram that incorporated the victim's weight and ambient and rectal temperature into a time since death interval estimate. Furthermore, the nanogram includes a correction factor for the variables of clothing, wrapping materials and air currents. Despite these advances, algor mortis as a time since death indicator remains complicated by variable rates of temperature loss in individuals perishing under a wide variety of contexts.

Livor mortis, blood pooling, is qualitatively graded as not present, unfixed, and fixed. The traditional, but rough, rule of thumb for estimating TSD is that lividity is observable between one and two hours postmortem and is fixed between eight and twelve hours postmortem (Coe 1993, DiMiao and DiMiao 1989, Clark et al. 1997). Once lividity becomes fixed it can no longer be used as a specific time since death indicator. Researchers attempting to quantify livor mortis as a time since death indicator have concentrated on measuring blood pooling rates via the light absorbing properties of blood and increased brightness of effected skin. Three approaches have been taken: measuring blanching intensity with consecutive force increments (Kaatsch et al. 1994); comparing light absorption on affected and non-affected areas (Inoue et al., 1994); and measuring the color change rate over time (Vanezis and Trujilla 1996).

Kaatsch and colleagues (1994) attempted to expand and quantify the present unfixed category of livor mortis by the photometric measurement of pressure-induced blanching. They found the force required to blanch an effected area exponentially increased with time. The study consisted of 50 cadavers with known time of death; the postmortem interval studied ranged from 0-50 hours. Contrary to traditional thought, the
rate of livor mortis fixation is complicated by a wide range of effectors: skin color, antemortem physical condition, cause of death, ante and postmortem environmental factors, and storage conditions prior to measurement.

Because hemoglobin absorbs light, Inoue and colleagues (1994) measured the progression of livor mortis by projecting a 630 nanometer wavelength light through effected tissue and measuring the amount of light absorbed. To control for the amount of light being reflected by the pigment of the skin, the researchers developed a ratio of light absorbed and reflected by effected skin to light reflected by unaffected skin. The result of a pilot study using 41 cadavers between one and thirty hours postmortem was a correlation of $\mathrm{r}=-0.75$ between the light absorbent ratio and time since death.

Vanezis and Trujilla (1996) measured the change in color brightness resulting from hypostasis with a tristimulus color measuring system. They found that the change in hypostasis brightness was correlated with postmortem interval, ( $\mathrm{r}=0.538$ ). The results were based on a study using 93 cadavers with known times of death. Each cadaver was studied over a four-hour period and the postmortem intervals investigated ranged from 0-80 hours. They state that the color change of hypostasis is particularly marked in the first 12 hours postmortem. However, color change of livor mortis is applicable as a time since death indicator up to 48 hours postmortem. After this time application of this technique becomes limited.

As research has demonstrated, the value of livor mortis as a time since death indicator is limited by the short length of applicability and complicated by various skin pigment. Furthermore, despite traditional thinking that the only cause of livor mortis is gravitational pull, the rate of onset and fixation is confounded by many variables, such as
temperature, cause of death, and antemortem physique (Inoue et al. 1994, Vanezis and Trujilla 1996). Despite these shortcomings as a time since death indicator, one of the great strengths of livor mortis is as an indicator of scene tampering. As lividity becomes fixed, areas under pressure, such as skin beneath the elastic band of underwear or on the buttocks of a seated individual, will remain blanched or white (Figure 1.2). Hence, the early postmortem posture of a victim can be discerned within several hours after death given lividity patterning. Undressing or moving of an individual after lividity is fixed is easily detected from the exposure of telltale white surfaces indicating a tampered crime scene.

Rigor mortis, muscle stiffening, is clinically detected by an attempt to move various joints of the deceased. Similar to livor mortis, traditional use of rigor mortis as a time since death indicator was through correlating classification categories, absent or present, to postmortem intervals (Spitz 1993, DiMiao and DiMiao1996, Knight 1996, Clark et al. 1997). However, unlike livor mortis, rigor mortis has a systematic onset. First, rigor is noticeable in the facial muscle as the jaw tightens two to three hours postmortem (Spitz 1993, DiMiao and DiMiao1996, Knight 1996, Clark et al. 1997). It then spreads to the neck and through the rest of the body over 24 hours. A rough timeline for rigor mortis is initial development at one to two hours after death, complete stiffness at twelve hours postmortem, and waning over a final twelve-hour period (Spitz 1993, DiMiao and DiMiao1996, Knight 1996, Clark et al. 1997). However, the rate and extent of rigor is dependent on the perimortem physical activity level of the decedent and postmortem environmental conditions.

Researchers have tried to quantify the onset of rigor mortis by measuring the area and force of muscle contraction initiated by electric current (Madea and Henssge 1988, Madea et al. 1995). Madea and colleagues applied an electrical current to muscles through inserted electrodes. The resulting contraction was measured by a sensitive force transducer. These results reveal that a large amount of inter- and intra-individual variation occurs and the window of muscle excitation applicability is very short, $+/-12$ hour period (Madea and Henssge 1988).

Beyond the classic signs of autolysis, algor mortis, livor mortis, and rigor mortis, histochemists and biochemists have investigated numerous chemical changes caused by disruption of cellular function within different components of the body. A detailed summary of this postmortem research is beyond the scope of this study. However, the following presents an example of the vast body of research concentrated on chemical changes occurring during the initial postmortem interval: DNA degradation (Di Nunno et al. 1998), distribution and redistribution of nitrobenzodiazepines (Robertson and Drummer 1998), changes in serum noradrenaline and adrenaline concentrations (Hirvonen and Huttunen 1996), enzyme histochemistry of the liver (Mello de Oliveira and Santos-Martin 1995), quantification of melatonin (Mikami et al. 1994), vitreous potassium concentration (Madea 1992, Lange et al. 1994), cell content of cerebrospinal fluid (Wyler et al. 1994), activity of lactate and malate dehydrogenase in liver (Babapulle and Jayasundera 1993), and tranylcypromine concentrations in blood (Yonemitsu and Pounder 1993).

## Mid and Late Stages of Decomposition

As the postmortem interval increases, are ability to estimate that interval decreases. While the rate of initial internal changes resulting from autolysis is rapid and fairly consistent, the rate of putrefaction is highly dependent on environmental conditions and is much more variable. During the early stage of decomposition, while body cooling, livor mortis is unfixed and rigor mortis is setting. Here the, estimation of time since death can be accurate to within a few hours of error. Conversely, once the systematic changes driven by autolysis are complicated by the initiation of putrefaction, estimating time since death becomes increasingly more difficult and more inaccurate with more variability to consider.

The rate at which a body decomposes is dependent on climatic conditions. The gross morphological changes associated with putrefaction are found in both arid and humid climates, but the progression of decay is considerably different in environments of periodic freezing and thawing. Working in the Arizona-Sonoran Desert, Galloway (1997) found bodies initially decomposed rapidly due to high temperatures. However, the low humidity resulted in indefinite soft tissue preservation. Working in the hot and humid climate of East Tennessee, Bass (1997) found that a covered body could be a nearly complete skeleton after one month. Studying the effect of freezing on rates of decomposition, Micozzi (1997) found decomposition essentially ceases at freezing temperatures, and once thawed the body decomposes in a unique pattern. Rather then decomposing from the "inside out" as shown with discoloration, marbling, and bloating, bodies once frozen decompose from the "outside in", showing no intestinal distention. The probable causes for the alternative decay pattern is freezing temperatures either kill
or alter the growth pattern of the endogenous bacteria (Zugibe and Costello 1993, Micozzi 1997). Furthermore, it appears the freezing process weakens the epidermis and connective tissue making the external surface of the body more susceptible to insults of foreign bacteria and insects.

Despite the characteristic variability of decay patterns during the later stages of decomposition, there are several methods for estimating time since death. The most convenient and probably the most widely used method for estimating TSD during the putrefaction stage is summarizing the condition of the body through external exam and estimating the postmortem interval based on personal experience. Since this method is highly qualitative, subjective, and unavailable to beginners, anthropologists are attempting to standardize it. Researchers have attempted to correlate gross morphologic progressions of decay to time through two methods of study: (1) cross-sectional studies using data from medical examiner records and (2) longitudinal studies using data from observing bodies decay from fresh to skeleton. Galloway's work $(1989,1997)$ is an excellent example of the cross-sectional approach. She correlated the time between last seen and discovery to the stage of decomposition in 468 medical examiner cases from Arizona and plotted the stage of decomposition against the postmortem interval illustrating the variability of the decay rate in an arid environment. Rhine and Dawson (1997), using 50 medical examiner cases, developed a similar plot illustrating the variability of decay rates from New Mexico. The strength of this research is a clear correlation of the decay stages to time intervals, making the visual examination method available to inexperienced pathologists and anthropologists, as well as veterans in the fields. A weakness of this research is the reliability of postmortem interval. In order to
reconstruct time since death from medical records the researcher assumed the reported date last seen was very near the time of death. This assumption is not always accurate.

Equipped with a unique open-air research laboratory, the Anthropological Research Facility (ARF), designed exclusively for studying decomposition in East Tennessee, Bass and coworkers have observed numerous bodies progress through the stages of decomposition, documenting the postmortem interval at each stage (Rodriquez and Bass 1985, Mann et al. 1990, Bass 1997, Marks et al. 2000). The strength of the research being done at ARF is multi layered. First, the research is conducted on donated bodies of which time of death and cause of death are known. Second, viewing the bodies at regular intervals enables a more concise description of each stage of decomposition and the taphonomic processes affecting those stages.

Although invaluable to the understanding of progression and rates of putrefaction, the previously mentioned studies, (except Marks et al. (2000)), correlate rates of decay to time without consideration of temperature; a perspective that has become central to current research (see Barshick et al. 2000, Marks et al. 2000). Correlating decomposition progression to time without the consideration of temperature leads to inaccurate time since death estimations. The rate of decomposition or the amount of time necessary for a corpse to pass from fresh to skeleton is highly dependent on temperature. Endogenous bacteria proliferate and are active at a specific range of temperatures, 5 to $36^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Below and above these thresholds, bacterial cell division rate is greatly retarded. Furthermore, within the functional range of endogenous bacteria there is a direct correlation between rate of putrefaction and temperature (Micozzi 1997). During the later stages of decay, insects play a large role in decomposition and like bacteria, their life cycle is influenced
by temperature (Haskell et al. 1997). A method to systematically define fluctuating temperature is through a process termed: accumulated daily degrees (ADD) (Edwards et al. 1997). A degree day is calculated by subtracting a base temperature from the average temperature over a 24 hour interval of time. An ideal base temperature when measuring rates of putrefaction is $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the minimal temperature threshold of bacteria activity. Consecutive degree days are then added together. The resulting temperature is then correlated to the decomposition stage.

In 1992, Vass and colleagues were the first to correlate ADD to advancement of decay status. They measured the concentration of volatile fatty acids (VFA), a byproduct of putrefactive breakdown of fat and muscle, in soil samples taken from beneath decomposing bodies. They discovered that the concentration of VFA in the soil was equivalent at an ADD despite the time necessary for the temperature to be reached. For example, the expected concentration of propionic acid, a VFA, is 16 millimols per gram of soil (dry weight) at $450^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{ADD}$; whether $450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was reached in 18 days with $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ average daily temperatures or in 45 days with $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ average daily temperatures was irrelevant.

Marks and colleagues (2000), working at ARF and following the models of Vass et al. (1992), Galloway (1989, 1997), and Rhine and Dawson (1997), recently photographically recorded progression of decomposition while measuring temperature and humidity. They plotted the stages of decomposition against ADD and correlated the rate of decomposition to temperature, while illustrating variability of decay rates.

Entomological research has developed outstanding methods for time since death estimation based on the maturity rates of carrion insects (Catts and Haskell 1990, Haskell
et al. 1997). To date, the succession, activity and longevity/maturity of a variety of arthropods reflect the stage of decay to a more accurate degree than assessment of gross morphological change. Larval maturity, for example, although temperature influenced, is an excellent measuring tool of the time since the body became accessible to insects. For example, in most settings, the Calliphoridae (blow fly) is the first carrion insect interested in a corpse. This insect is present on a corpse within the first few hours of deposition and oviposition has been observed as early as 24 hours post deposition (Rodriguez and Bass 1983). If death occurred near the time of deposition, the maturity level of the Calliphoridae larva are the most sensitive indicators of time since death (Catts and Haskell 1990).
"Forensic botany is the study of plants related to the law" (Hall 1997:353). Many forms of botanical remains provide significant evidence in medico legal investigations, including indications of time since death. After the soft tissue decomposes in a burial or surface context, it is not uncommon for plant roots to become associated with the skeletal remains. Plant roots and root masses (to a lesser extent), like trees, form annual growth rings and root age corresponds to a minimum estimation of time since death (Willey and Heilman 1987, Hall 1997). If a root growing through the first cervical vertebra contains two annuali, the individual has been in situ for a minimum of two years. It must be remembered that this two-year interval excludes the time necessary for the body to decompose and any time between death and deposition. Furthermore, root etching on a bone surface can also contribute an estimation of time interval (Lyman 1984). Although not a closed or very precise estimate of the postmortem internal, knowing the minimum time since death can be pertinent to a medico-legal investigation.

## Alternative Rates of Decomposition

As previously stated, the rate of decay is dependent on temperature and humidity. The pattern of decay is significantly altered by periods of freezing which contribute to difficulties of estimating time since death during the putrefaction and skeletonization process. These difficulties become further entangled by decay rate variation resulting from depositional context and perimortem trauma. Depositional context can decrease or increase the decay rate. Research conducted by Rodriguez and Bass (1983) and Rodriguez (1997) showed that a body buried at depths of four feet or greater decomposes approximately eight times slower than a body placed on the surface. In East Tennessee, complete skeletonization of a surface body is expected in about a month in mid summer (Bass 1997). The same body would have significant tissue after a year of decay if buried. There are several factors contributing to the slower skeletonization: 1) the grave acts as a barrier to insect and carnivore activity; 2) ground temperatures are lower and more stable; and 3) adipocere formation. Saponification is the process of converting lipids to adipocere, a gray-white caseous material traditionally termed "grave wax" or "corpse wax" (Figure 1.9) (Gill-King 1997). The percentage of water, fat , potassium and sodium dictates the rate of adipocere formation. However, given enough time, some will form in a grave. Adipocere is detectable years after the other soft tissues have dissolved. Bodies submerged into water also experience a much slower rate of decomposition for reasons similar to buried bodies (Manhein 1997, O'Brien 1997). If placed in fresh moving water, decomposition can be slowed by two times the expected rate of a surface deposition in


Figure 1.9. Adipocere. Saponification, or soap forming, of lipids creates a white waxy substance. The victim was buried four years in a wet grave. Notice the water pump located next to the skeleton. The arrows pinpoint adipocere formation at the right knee and pelvis.
the same climate. Water also serves as a barrier to insect and carnivore activity, but exposes the body to marine life. Cool water insulates the body from high temperatures that retards bacterial growth. Remains deposited in stagnant waters will decompose faster then remains deposited in fresh water because of the rich bacterial population (Rodriguez 1997).

Perimortem trauma, physical or chemical agents, or prior local bacterial infections (during life) can affect the decay rate. All three agents act as a portal to bacteria and insects and result in differential decomposition. The element that has received the insult will skeletonize significantly faster than non insulted areas (Haglund 1997). Knowledge of potential causes of differential decomposition can assist during reconstruction of perimortem events. Meanwhile, tannic materials and plastic wrapping create an
environment destructive to aerobic bacteria and slows decay rates (Rodreguiz and Bass 1983).

## The Study

## Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Once the decay process is understood and strengths and weaknesses of existing methods are defined, new research topics can be directed at these weaknesses. A team of researchers including biochemists, analytical chemists and statisticians, from Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) managed by University of Tennessee / Batelle are developing better techniques for estimating time since death in the late autolysis and early putrefaction stage of decay (Barshick et al. 2000). The research team's focus is to identify biomarkers in soft tissue that are indicative of time since death. They are studying proteins, amino acids, DNA, and other cellular components in the brain, lungs, heart, liver, kidneys, and skeletal muscle for a systematic breakdown that correlates to accumulated degree days. In order to obtain appropriate tissue samples, ORNL formed a partnership with The University of Tennessee (UT), Forensic Anthropology Center (FAC), to utilize the Anthropology Research Facility. In return, ORNL made their laboratories available to the Anthropology Department and provided the instrumentation for this study. The result of the marriage between ORNL and UT FAC is a research team that has the understanding of the forensic questions needed to be answered and the necessary technology to accomplish the task.

This relationship made the electronic nose, Aromascan $\circledR$, available for evaluating the evolution of decay odor as a time since death indicator. The electronic nose had
traditionally been used in the consumer and food industry and has only recently been implemented in the field of forensics. Barshick et al. (1995) used electronic nose technology to detect the aroma pattern of fire accelerants in fire debris, to characterize the odor pattern of several drugs of abuse, and to evaluate odor pattern changes in soil consecutively collected under a decomposing body. Barshick et al. (1995) found the intensity of the soil odor consistently changed with accumulated degree days. As mentioned, Vass et al. (1992) found that the concentration of various water-soluble volatile fatty acids detected in the soil underneath a decomposing corpse correlate with accumulated degree days. Theoretically, the odor pattern and intensity detected with the electronic nose was the result of concentration variation of water-soluble VFAs present in the soil. Theoretically, air surrounding a decomposing body should contain VFAs and other odor causing agents in concentrations that reflect the internal bacterial activity.

Based on these findings, I hypothesize that decay odor mirrors the advancement of putrefaction and therefore, is a potentially valuable time since death indicator.

The most difficult obstacle of studying aroma with an Aromascan ${ }^{\circledR}$, a nonportable electronic nose, is transporting the odor from the field to the laboratory. The sample must be both representative of the decay odor and replicable. Once collected, the pattern and intensity of the odor is easily obtained using the electronic nose detection technology. In light of this obstacle, the first step of this study is to develop a method for sampling the odor of decay then ultimately obtaining and estimating the time since death.

## Chapter 2

## Materials and Method

## Materials

Studying the odor of decaying cadavers in a non-traditional laboratory proved to be difficult when defining and controlling variables. The strength of the study lies in the sampling method. The consistency in which the samples were taken generated representative and repeatable samples. However, using cadavers donated for scientific study introduces several uncontrollable variables such as age, race, sex, physique, and cause of death. Furthermore, working outdoors, the research is complicated by fluctuating temperatures, humidity, and rainfall. Overcoming this difficulty required a well developed experimental design that defined, recorded, and equally incorporated the multiple variables.

## The Anthropology Research Facility

The Anthropology Research Facility (ARF) is a unique outdoor, decomposition laboratory. It is a two acre semi-wooded area bounded by a chain-linked fence bordered with razor wire that encircles a privacy fence. Once placed in the laboratory the taphonomic processes affecting a decaying corpse is limited to weathering, small animals, birds, insects, and researcher activity. Before the sampling method development phase of the project began, an area within the Anthropology Research Facility was chosen and prepared for the study. Originally, ARF consisted of a wooden shed built on a concrete slab encaged in a chain linked fence. Built many years ago, the wooden shed
had deteriorated. A Royal pre-fabricated vinyl building was constructed to replace the shed. The building served as a dry shelter for record keeping and storage of project supplies. An area immediately leading up to the building was chosen to place bodies while they were studied. The ground was prepared by covering it with a layer of gravel. This area was well shaded by two large trees. Temperature, humidity, barometric pressure, wind speed and direction, and rainfall were all recorded by a weather station.

## Human Remains

The human remains used in the study were donated to the Forensic Anthropology Center at The University of Tennessee Anthropology Department for the purpose of scientific research. Eleven donated bodies were included in the study and each met several criteria: 1) known time of death, 2) known cause of death, 3) received during the fresh stage of decomposition, and 4) unautopsied. Included in the study were seven adult males and three adult females. All individuals were white, non-Hispanic. Ages ranged from 25 to 98 years. Manner of death was natural in all but three case, two suspected drug overdoses and one suicide (Table 2.1). Once received at ARF, the body was examined and its physique, decompositional condition, and demographics were recorded. Ten bodies were then undressed, place supine, and zipped inside a body bag. One body (11-00) was not placed in a body bag. The body bag prohibited animal and bird activity, but the body remained accessible to insects. The first body was received on September 26, 1999 and the study continued until August 29, 2000.
Table 2.1. Scientific Donations Included in the Study.

| Identification Number | Age | Sex | Time of Death | Cause of Death/History | Condition of the Body |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30.99 | 65 | M | 9.25.99 | Natural | Black bruise on abdomen, subclavian needle, no signs of decomposition |
| 32.99 | 97 | M | 11.9.99 | Natural | No signs of decomposition |
| 33.99 | 94 | F | 11.12.99 | Natural | No signs of decomposition |
| 3.00 | 43 | M | 3.20 .00 $@ 1503$ | Strangulation | Extreme congestion of head and neck, small cut on neck. |
| 4.00 | 56 | M | $\begin{aligned} & 3.22 .00 \\ & @ 2115 \end{aligned}$ | Natural | Individual thin, edema of right arm, no signs of decomposition |
| 5.00 | 89 | M | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Approx. } \\ \mathbf{3 . 2 8 . 0 0} \end{gathered}$ | Natural | Fresh rat gnawing marks on right eye and arm, no additional signs of decomposition |
| 9.00 | 43 | F | 4.21 .00 | Drug Overdose | No signs of decomposition |
| 11.00 | 55 | M | 6.13 .00 | Natural | Eye donor, no signs of decomposition |
| HC6-99 | 57 | M | 3.14.00 | Natural | No signs of decomposition |
| HC7-99 | 63 | M | 4.25 .00 | Natural | Slight discoloration of abdomen lower right quadrant |
| HC01-99 | 25 | F | 8.6 .00 | Drug Overdose | No signs of decomposition |

## Methods

## Sample Collection

During the early stages of decomposition (fresh, discoloration, and bloating), bacterial proliferation generates rapid, externally visible changes. Samples were collected at approximately 24 hour intervals throughout this period. Once the cadavers entered the skeletonizing stage, the sampling interval was extended to $48-72$ hours. At each sampling event the aroma was collected following the active sampling method (see below). The odor was sampled from the body not placed in a body bag by holding the pipette approximately two inches above the chest of the individual.

The temperature and humidity at each sampling event was recorded, as well as the high and low of each reached during the intersample interval. The rainfall between sampling intervals was also recorded. After the sample was collected, the body bag was unzipped and the condition of the body was noted and photographed. Aroma controls were collected by sampling air from an empty body bag. To stop contamination of the empty body bag it was stored and sampled inside the building.

Following the collection of the sample, the molecular sieve was transferred to a 22 milliliters (mL) vial, 2 mL of distilled water were added, and the vial was sealed. The samples were then transferred to Oak Ridge National Laboratory (N4500) and analyzed. The samples and controls collected over several days were analyzed randomly using the Multisampler-SP automative sampler of the Aromoscan ${ }^{\circledR}$.

## Development of a Sampling Method

The most difficult obstacle in studying odor is transferring the odor as a representative and replicable sample from the field to the laboratory. A further restriction is that the Aromascan $®$ requires the sample to be contained within a 22 mL sealed vial. The first step in developing a sampling methodology was to define several criteria required to collect a successful reproducible sample. Three criteria were defined. First, the sampling medium had to absorb the decay aroma at ambient (field) temperature and release it into the vial headspace at analytical temperatures. Second, intra-sampling error had to be minimized. Third, the sampling interval had to be relatively short to capture subtle odor changes.

## Sampling Medium Selection

The most obvious method for collecting odor is to dissect a small portion of decomposing tissue and place it in the appropriate vials. Although simplistic, this method fails to collect a representative odor. Each tissue contributes different decay byproducts to the odor and not necessarily in equal proportions (Gill-King 1997). Odor resulting from only a few types of tissue may not mirror the smell of a decomposing corpse. With this in mind, the aroma sample clearly had to be taken from the odiferous air surrounding the body and not the tissue. The following sampling media were evaluated: cotton gauze, absorbent disks, and various loose absorbent laboratory materials.

## Cotton Gauze

Without being able to use the soft tissue, the first step in the development of a sampling method was to identify a medium capable of trapping aroma in the field and releasing it in the laboratory. Realizing that cotton clothing often traps odors that could be sensed when removed from the odor source, an experiment to test the absorbent capability of sterile cotton gauze was designed. Three gauzes were rubbed over the abdomen of a decomposing cadaver. Each gauze was rubbed over an equal and adjacent area on the abdomen. The sample collecting strokes ranged from the xyphoid process to the umbilicus and back to the xyphiod process. The gauze were then folded and sealed in the 22 mL vials. Three controls were constructed by sealing sterile cotton gauze in 22 mL vials. Comparison of the experimental and control groups showed remarkable differences between the histogram patterns (Figure 2.1). Although the pieces of gauze were seemingly successful in collecting a sample, the method failed to collect a representative and replicable sample. Wiping the gauze over the abdomen ensured the collection of decay by-products present on the epidermis but not in the air surrounding the body. Furthermore, each pass of gauze over the same area conceivably collects less decay by-product, creating a large amount of intrasampling error. However, the success of this experiment lies in the fact that aroma collected on an absorbent material, cotton, under field conditions was released into the headspace under analytical conditions.

Comparison of Experimental and Control Gauze


Figure 2.1 Results of the gauze experiment. The experimental and control average is the average of the three respective histograms. BRC is the percentage of base resistance change at each sensor. The aroma pattern is observable differences between the experimental and control group.

## Absorbent Disks

A second experiment used absorbent Teflon and fiberglass disks coated with vapor absorbing material. The material of the disks were as follows: C 18 / fiberglass, C8 / fiberglass, SDB / fiberglass, C18 / Teflon, C8 / Teflon, carbon / Teflon, and SDB/ Teflon. The disks were placed on the abdomen and loosely covered with plastic wrap to stop rainwater from contaminating the disks. The body was then zipped in a body bag and left undisturbed for 24 hours. Following completion of the sampling interval, the disks were collected and sealed in vials. Controls were constructed by sealing non-sampled disks in vials. Comparison of the sample and control groups illustrated unremarkable histogram differences indicating the disks did not collect significant decay odor (Figure 2.2 and Figure 2.3).

## Various Laboratory Materials

A third experiment was designed to test the absorbent property of various common laboratory materials. The investigated materials included drierite, molecular sieve, baking soda, glass beads, cellulose, gauze, chromosorb, silica gel, activated charcoal, xad-7, xad-2, and tenax. One gram of each material was placed in a 22 mL vial. The vials were positioned in a wire basket that was in turn enclosed in a nylon stocking, to stop contamination by insects. The samples were then placed next to the cranium of the cadaver and the body bag was zipped closed. After 72 hours of passive sampling the vials were collected from the body bag, 2 mL of distilled water was added to each vial and they were sealed. Controls were constructed by sealing one gram of material with 2 mL of distilled water in a vial. Comparison of the experimental and control groups showed


Figure 2.2. Comparison of odor collected on and released from experimental and control (cntr) teflon supported disks. Although the intensity of the carbon/Teflon aroma pattern is observably different than the control the maximum percent base resistance change (\%BRC) is less than $10 \%$.

## Comparison of Experimental and Control <br> Fiberglass Supported Disks



Figure 2.3. Comparison of experimental and control group of fiberglass supported absorbent disks. Very little pattern difference is observed between each experimental disk and its respective control. BRC is the percent of base resistance change.
remarkable histogram differences in six of the twelve materials: molecular sieve, glass beads, drierite, activated charcoal, chromosorb, and silica gel (Figure 2.4 and Figure 2.5). Beyond the remarkable histogram differences, the results of this experiment were significant because the samples were collected from the ambient air in the body bag.

Given the promising results of the previous experiment, a fourth experiment was designed to determine which of the six sampling media collected the most consistent and intense sample. Five one-gram aliquots of each material were placed in 22 mL vials. The vials were again lined in the wire basket and enclosed in the nylon sock. The basket was placed next to the cranium of the cadaver and the body bag was closed. The samples were collected from the body bag after 45.5 hours of sampling. Comparison of intensity and variability of the five repeat samples showed that molecular sieve collected the greatest amount of sample with a relatively small intrasampling error (Table 2.2). The remarkable histogram difference between the sample and the control, the amount of sample collected, and the minimal intrasample error indicated that molecular sieve met the first criterion (collect an aroma sample at field temperature and release it at analytical temperature) and the second criterion (minimized intrasampling error) and was chosen as the sampling medium for this study.

## Molecular Sieve

Molecular sieve is a crystalline metal aluminosilicate with a three-dimensional interconnecting network of silica and alumina tetrahedra. The sodium 4-8 8 mesh


Figure 2.4. Comparison of odor collected on and released from experimental and control (entr) sampling media. The sample collected with molecular sieve, activated charcoal, and chromosorb generated a large percent base resistance change (\%BRC).

Comparison of Experimental and Control
Absorbent Materials II


Figure 2.5. Comparison of odor collected on and released from experimental and control (cntr) sampling media. The sample collected with drierite, glass beads, and silica gel generated a large percent base resistance change (\%BRC).

Table 2.2. Comparison of Five Replicate Sampling Matrix.

| Sampling Material | Total \%BRC | Average Total \%BRC | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drierite | 1290 | 1144 | 92.8977933 |
|  | 1080 |  |  |
|  | 1140 |  |  |
|  | 1160 |  |  |
|  | 1050 |  |  |
| Chromosorb | 1370 | 1336 | 74.02702209 |
|  | 1390 |  |  |
|  | 1380 |  |  |
|  | 1210 |  |  |
|  | 1330 |  |  |
| Glass Beads | 2660 | 1074.68 | 1329.896316 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 241 |  |  |
|  | 39.4 |  |  |
|  | 2390 |  |  |
| Molecular Sieve | 2590 | 2882 | 255.9687481 |
|  | 2750 |  |  |
|  | 3190 |  |  |
|  | 3110 |  |  |
|  | 2770 |  |  |
| Silica Gel | 245 | 1097.4 | 1896.690618 |
|  | 213 |  |  |
|  | 255 |  |  |
|  | 4490 |  |  |
|  | 284 |  |  |
| Activated Charcoal | 671 | 692 | 16.2080227 |
|  | 699 |  |  |
|  | 679 |  |  |
|  | 706 |  |  |
|  | 706 |  |  |

The total percent base resistance change (\%BRC) is the sum of each sensor response to the sample.
bead sieve is used in this study. This form is generally considered a universal drying agent in polar and nonpolar media and recommended by the distributor, Aldrich, for gas phase applications. Conditioning requires slow-heating of the sieve to $200-315^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The molecular sieve was conditioned by heating it to $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a convex oven for $48-72$ hours prior to use.

## Sampling Apparatus

Active Sampling
During the sampling medium selection process, the samples were collected passively by placing vials containing sampling medium inside the body bag. This method required lengthy sampling intervals: 72 and 45.5 hours. To reduce the sampling time, an active sampling system was designed. The active sampling system connected a 40 mL borosilicate vial to the inflow nozzle of a Du Pont air pump. The sampling medium was placed within the vial. The system pulled air held within the body bag through the vial containing the molecular sieve. Access to the interior of the body bag, without releasing the contained air, was gained by cutting a small hole near the cranium of the corpse.

Before comparison of the active and passive sampling methods, the optimal sampling conditions of the active system were defined. Three flow rates, 10, 100, and $1000 \mathrm{~mL} /$ minute, and three sampling intervals, 5,10 , and 15 minutes were compared. Three repeat samples were sequentially collected at each flow rate and sampling interval. The 15 minute sample interval collected a more intense sample at all three flow rates, with the greatest amount of sample collected with the 15 minute sampling interval
(Figure 2.6). Given these results, a 15 -minute sampling interval at a flow rate of 1000 $\mathrm{mL} /$ minute was determined to be the optimal sampling condition for the active sampling system.

The sampling time was decreased by connecting three glass pipettes, each containing $1.5 \operatorname{grams}(\mathrm{~g})$ of molecular sieve, to the inflow nozzle of the air pump. This alternative sampling apparatus enabled three repeat samples to be collected simultaneously, possibly further reducing intrasampling error. To accommodate the three pipettes, each containing a greater amount of molecular sieve, the inflow rate of the pump was increased until $1000 \mathrm{~mL} /$ minute pulled through each pipette.

An experiment was designed to determine which of the two variations of the active sampling system (vial or pipettes) collected the greater amount of sample. Three samples were collected with the pipette system using 1.5 g of molecular sieve over a 15 minute interval. Six samples were consecutively collected with the vial system over a 15 minute sampling interval; three vials contained 1.0 g of molecular sieve and three vials contained 1.5 g of molecular sieve. Directly comparing the percent base resistance change showed the pipette system collected a more intense sample (Figure 2.7). In sum, the optimal active sampling conditions based on the results of the previous experiments required using the pipette apparatus with the air pump flow rate set at $1000 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{minute}$ for a 15 minute sampling interval.

## Comparison of Sampling Flow Rates and Interval



Figure 2.6. Plot illustrating the percent of base resistance change (\%BRC) resulting from samples collected at several flow rates and time intervals. Each series is the average of three repeat samples. The greatest amount of sample was collected at a flow rate of $1000 \mathrm{~mL} /$ minute with a sampling interval of 15 minutes.

Comparison of Pipette and Vial Systems


Figure 2.7. Plot comparing the intensity (through total percent base resistance change ( $\% \mathrm{BRC}$ )) of the sample collected with the pipette and vial active sampling system. Each series represents the average of three repeat samples. The pipette system collected more intense samples.

Comparison of Active and Passive Sampling Methods
Finally, an experiment was designed to directly compare the active and passive sampling methods. Three active samples were collected following optimal active sampling conditions. Six vials each holding 1.5 g of molecular sieve were then secured in a wire basket, enclosed in a nylon sock and placed next to the cranium of the cadaver. The body bag was then closed. After one hour of passive sampling, three of the vials were removed from the body bag. Twenty-three hours after passive sampling began, three samples were actively collected over a one hour sampling interval. After the one hour active sample was completed the final three passive samples were collected from the body bag. Comparison of the base resistance change showed that the active sampling method collected a more intense sample in 15 minutes than the passive sampling method collected in 24 hours (Figure 2.8). The one hour active sampling interval collected only a slightly more intense sample then the 15 minute active sampling interval showing a sampling interval longer than 15 minutes was not necessary. Based on these results, the active sampling method proved to be the more efficient method and met the third criteria, a short sampling interval.

Each pipette held 1.5 grams of molecular sieve. Given this fact, designing an experiment to test odor intensity collected with various quantities of material was unnecessary.


Figure 2.8. Plot illustrating the percent of base resistance change (\%BRC) resulting from samples collected with the passive and active sampling methods over various sampling intervals. Each series represents the average of three repeat samples.

## The Aromascan ${ }^{\circledR}$

## Sample Preparation

When analyzing a sample that contains moisture the Aromascan® manufacturers suggest setting the relative humidity at $50 \%$. However, when a sample does not contain moisture the relative humidity should be much lower. The aroma samples contained moisture, but the controls did not, preventing analyzing the two types of sample with the same analytical conditions. In order to circumvent this problem, two milliliters of distilled water was added to all the samples. An experiment was designed to define the effects of the distilled water on the experimental samples. Four repeat samples of the aroma were passively collected with one-gram of molecular sieve. Two milliliters of


Figure 2.9. Plot illustrating the difference percent base resistance change (\%BRC) when comparing sensors prepared with adding water after sampling (Dry/Wet) and the without adding water (Dry/Dry).
distilled water were added to two of the four vials. Two controls were also collected with water added to only one. Direct comparison of the dry and the wet samples showed that the resulting histograms were nearly identical (Figure 2.9). However, when the dry control was run at $50 \%$ relatively humidity several of the sensors registered negative percent base.

The final sampling method was portable, uncomplicated, and relatively inexpensive. In sum, 1.5 grams of molecular sieve were placed in nine inch disposable glass pipette. Three pipettes were simultaneously connected to the inflow nozzle of a Du Pont air pump. The air pump was set at a flow rate of $1000 \mathrm{~mL} /$ minute. The tips of the three pipettes were placed through a small hole cut in the body bag near the cranium of the cadaver and left undisturbed for a 15 minute sampling interval. Immediately
following the collection of the sample, the molecular sieve was transferred to a 22 mL vial, 2 mL of distilled water were added, and the vial was sealed. Controls were collected using the same sampling method, sampling air contained within an empty body bag. The results of this multistep experimental process met the initially stated parameters and criteria defining a successful sampling method. The molecular sieve collected cadaver aroma at field temperatures and released the aroma during analysis. The active sampling method collected a representative and repeatable sample within a relatively short sampling interval and with minimal intrasample error. Furthermore, the sample was easily transferred to the vial required by the Aromascan $®$ instrument.

## Instrumentation

Odors are very important to mammals, not only keying food and danger, but even evoking emotions and behaviors (Ronhi 1996). Both the food industry and consumers recognize the importance of odor to product preference. "The many hundreds of volatiles which make up odor are by far the most important in defining product type and individual preferences" (Hodgins and Simmonds 1995: 179). Recently, the field of forensics has become aware of the potential importance of odor in the detection of fire accelerants, drugs of abuse, and cadavers (Barshick et al. 1995, Zanoni et al. 1998). Advancements in the food and consumer industry and more recently the field of forensics have generated a need to develop technologies capable of quantitative odor analysis.

Prior to the development of an appropriate instrument, odor analysis was carried out using a human panel (Moy et al. 1994). Each individual in the panel smelled and described various products and foods. Although efficient for product development, this
technique was inadequate for product control (Moy et al. 1994).

## Gas Chromatography / Mass Spectrometry

Initial attempts to standardize odor analysis employed gas chromatography and mass spectrometry (GC/MS) techniques (Hodgins and Simmonds 1995: 179). The GC/MS traps a sample onto a GC column. The components of the sample thermally desorbs from the column at specific temperatures. As the compounds are released from the column they are identified by their molecular mass. GC/MS analysis of odor is limited in two ways. First, the analytical time is lengthy. Second, the separatory function of the chromatograph measures the molecular concentration of the sample. Humans do not register odor through breaking down its complex composition, but rather process it as a single pattern (Moy et al. 1994). Furthermore, the odor pattern is not dependent on uniform molecular concentration but rather the concentration of a few significant electrically charged elements. Volatile compounds that trigger strong sense response generally have a strong electric charge (i.e., sulfur derivatives, amines, oxygenated compounds, and unsaturated molecules); hence minor peaks as defined by GC/MS may contribute largely to the overall odor (Moy et al. 1994).

## Electronic Nose

In order to accurately analyze odor, an instrument must meet two criteria: 1.) detection of volatile compounds at very low concentrations and 2.) respond strongly to odorant molecules with strong electrically charged components (Moy et al. 1994). (The detection rate of the human nose is estimated at one part per billion (Hodgins and

Simmonds 1995). The detection rate of lower mammals is thought to be even more sensitive (Ronhi 1996)). Conduction polymer gas sensors, the analytical component of an electronic nose, meet both criteria. The polymer gas sensors, comprised of a conducting polymer, counterion, and solvent, are formed by the electropolymerization of a thin film of silicon substrate between two electrodes, creating a simple resistor (Moy et al. 1994, Hodgins and Simmonds 1995). The resulting polymer, i.e. polypyrrole, is in an oxidized form containing cationic sites balanced by anions from the electrolytes creating an electrically conductive composite. The sensor reacts via electron transport at the cation sites. The conductivity is sensitive to the electron donating or withdrawing property of a compound, altering the electrical resistance of the sensor (Hodgins and Simmonds 1995). The measured change of electrical resistance of the sensor is the output of the instrument. Sensors vary by the type of polymer, counterion, or solvent. The various components dictate the response of the sensor to components of the vapors (Moy et al. 1994).

## The Aromascan ${ }^{\circledR}$

An array of polymer sensors working in concert is needed to successfully analyze a complex headspace containing thousands of odorants. Aromascan $(\mathbb{B}$, the electronic nose used in this study, has an array of 32 conducting polymer sensors. Each sensor has a different polymer structure, dictating its reactivity to various classes of compounds (Table 2.3). The array of polymer sensors exhibits a broad-band response to many thousands of chemical species. The Aromascan ${ }^{\circledR}$ is connected to a MultiSampler-SP, an automative sampler designed to consistently condition each sample, a crucial component
Table 2.3. Selectivity Characteristics of the Sensor Array.

| Sensor | Amines | Short <br> Chain <br> Alcohols | Long Chain Alcohols | Carboxylic Acids | Aromatics | Chlorinated Hydrocarbon | Short Chain Esters | Long Chain Esters | Ketones | Water |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | M | M | N | M | N | N | N | N | W | M |
| 2 | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M |
| 3 | M | M | W | M | W | W | W | N | W | M |
| 4 | W | M | W | W | W | W | M | N | W | M |
| 5 | M | M | W | M | N | N | W | N | W | M |
| 6 | W | M | W | W | N | N | N | N | W | M |
| 7 | S | M | W | S | N | N | W | N | W | M |
| 8 | M | M | W | M | N | N | W | N | W | M |
| 9 | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M |
| 10 | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M |
| 11 | M | M | M | M | M | W | M | W | M | M |
| 12 | M | M | M | M | M | . M | M | M | M | M |
| 13 | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M |
| 14 | M | M | W | M | N | N | W | N | W | M |
| 15 | M | M | N | M | W | W | W | N | W | M |
| 16 | M | M | N | M | N | N | W | N | W | M |
| 17 | M | M | S | M | S | S | S | V | S | M |
| 18 | M | M | V | M | V | V | S | V | S | M |
| 19 | M | M | M | M | W | W | M | W | M | M |
| 20 | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M |
| 21 | M | M | W | M | W | W | M | W | M | M |
| 22 | W | M | V | W | V | V | S | V | S | M |

Table 2.3. Selectivity Characteristics of the Sensor Array (Cont.).

| 23 | W | M | V | W | V | V | S | V | S | M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | N | M | S | N | V | V | S | V | S | M |
| 25 | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | S | M |
| 26 | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M |
| 27 | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | W | M | M |
| 28 | M | M | N | M | N | N | N | N | W | M |
| 29 | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M |
| 30 | M | M | W | M | W | W | W | N | W | M |
| 31 | W | M | W | W | W | W | M | N | W | M |
| 32 | W | W | N | W | N | N | N | N | N | M |
| $V=$ Very Strong $(R>9 \%)$ $W=$ Weak $(1 \%<R$ <br> $S=$ Strong $(5 \%<R<9 \%)$ $N=$ Negligible $(R$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

of successful odor comparison (Figure 2.10 and Table 2.4).
The Aromascan ${ }^{\circledR}$ was designed to correct for temperature variation across the sensor array and dead volume. There are two weakness common to electronic nose technology. The electrical resistance of the conducting polymer sensors are temperature sensitive. The Aromascan ${ }^{\circledR}$ sensor array is supported by a ceramic substrate that is in direct contact with a heating element. The sensors are placed in an area of less then $2 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$. The small size of the ceramic substrate ensures temperature control of $\pm 0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ across the sensor array. Furthermore, the electrical current to the circuit board is supplied by a single edge connector that minimizes the electrical noise within the system (www.aromascan.com). Over time the electrical resistance of each sensor changes as it deteriorates. In order to control for this variability, the Aromascan ${ }^{\circledR}$ calibrates each sensor's electrical resistance prior to the introduction of the sample.

Dead volume, the area of space existing over the sensors, effects the distribution of the samples over the sensor array. The smaller the dead volume, the more reliably the volatiles are distributed across the sensor array, which enables all the sensors to react to the headspace simultaneously. The dead volume of the Aromascan $®$ is less then 1 mL which is a relatively small volume.

## Sample Analysis

Analysis of each sample is accomplished through a multi-stepped process. Initially, approximately one gram of solid or powder sample is placed in a 22 mL sampling vial constructed from inert glass. The vial is sealed with a septum and a


Figure 2.10. The Aromascan ${ }^{\circledR}$, consisting of an electronic nose connected to the Multisampler-SP.

Table 2.4. Performance Specifications of Multisampler-SP

$\left.$| Parameter | MultiSampler-SP |
| :--- | :--- |
| Temperature range | Ambient $+15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Humidity range | $20-50 \%$ relative humidity at $30^{\circ} 20 \mathrm{psi}$ |
| Humidity stability | $\pm .02 \%$ relative humidity |
| Sampling methods | Dynamic Headspace (sparging, bubbling <br> the sample with a long needle, or stripping, <br> sampling the head space with a short <br> needle) |
| Sampling containers | 22 ml glass vials |
| Capacity | 50 samples (49 samples + 1 wash vial) |
| Throughput | Time between samples is sample dependent <br> Repeatability - within a day <br> Long-term repeatability10 measurements per month for 9.5 <br> months; normalized pattern of all 32 <br> sensors | | $1.25 \%$ repeatability without calibration; |
| :--- |
| 5 measurements per month for 9.5 months; |
| normalized pattern of all 32 sensors | \right\rvert\, | wwwaromascan.com |
| :--- |

crimped cap. The vial is then heated by the platen temperature to a predetermined optimal temperature for a set equilibration time. The temperature can be set between ambient and $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. During the equilibration time, nitrogen gas is introduced into the vial at a constant flow rate through a dual concentric needle. The headspace is then extracted from the sample vial and transferred to the sensor array via a transfer line. The sensor array and transfer line are held at constant temperatures. Prior to the purging of the sample headspace ultra-pure nitrogen gas is pulled over the sensors for 30 seconds. The sensors zero their resistance values during this time, calibrating the sensors. The sample headspace is then pulled across the sensor long enough for a stable pattern to be established. Water vapor is then passed over the sensors to remove any residual volatiles from the sensors and transfer components. Finally, the change in sensor electrical resistance experienced during sampling is displayed as a histogram. Pooling the sensor specific histograms into a single plot generates a pattern or fingerprint of the odor:

For the cadaver odor study the analytical conditions of the Aromascan $(\circledR)$ were set as follows: $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ platen temperature, $50 \%$ relative humidity, 15 minute equilibration time, and a 10 minute wash with isopropanol. Although the temperature could be set as high as $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the manufacturers recommended not setting the temperature above $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ when analyzing samples that contain moisture. Keeping the platen temperature well below the boiling point of water ensured minimal moisture was released from the samples slowing the deterioration of the sensors (Aromascan ${ }^{\circledR}$, personal communication). Again because of the moisture within the samples, the manufactures of the instrument recommend setting the relative humidity to $50 \%$. The sample equilibration time was set at 15 minutes, and the sample time was set at three minutes. Fifteen minutes is longer than
suggested by the Aromascan ${ }_{\circledR}$ manufacturer for equilibration of a sample, but given the nature of the sampling procedure (eluting odor from the molecular sieve), the researcher felt the longer equilibration time was necessary. Furthermore, the results of the analysis showed that a three minute sampling time was sufficient to establish a stable pattern. Finally, the sensors were washed for 10 minutes using $2 \%$ isopropanol solution. The relatively long wash time was necessary given the adherent property of several of the volatile compounds found in cadaver odor, ie., cadaverine and putrescine.

In sum, following the manufacturers recommendations, the conditions of the Aromascan ${ }^{\circledR}$ and MultiSampler-SP were set as follows: $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ platen temperature, $50 \%^{*}$ relative humidity, 15 minute sample equilibration time, 3 minute sample time, and 10 minute wash time. The analytical conditions were sufficient to obtain a stable odor pattern and to remove residual sample from the sensors.

## Aromascan® Statistical Analysis

As previously mentioned, the output of the Aromascan $®$ is a histogram of the change in electrical resistance as each sensor responds to the complex headspace. The Aromascan® is equipped with several methods to statistically compare two or more aroma patterns. The difference in sensor response between two odor patterns is statistically defined through Euclidean Distance (ED), a sum of the squares function:

$$
(E D)^{2}=\sum_{l}^{32}\left(x-x^{1}\right)^{2}
$$

Where x is the response of the sensor element n to sample A ; $\mathrm{x}^{1}$ is the response of the sensor element n to sample $B$.

To compare more than two odor patterns the Aromascan $®$ is equipped with linear and nonlinear mapping techniques: principal component analysis (PCA) and Sammons mapping technique. Odor analysis with an array of sensors generates multi-dimensional patterns. Visually recognizing structural relationships in multi-dimensional space is extremely difficult. In order to examine complex data of this nature the high-dimensional pattern space of the data must be translated into low-dimension pattern space without great loss of the special relationships. There are several ways to transform highdimensional data into low dimensional data. The Aromascan $®$ is equipped with a principal component analysis (PCA), a powerful linear mapping algorithm to cluster and classify volatile chemicals (Persaud 1995). However, the response of the sensor array generates a nonlinear multi-dimensional pattern structure which contain concentrationindependent pattern data sets making a nonlinear mapping technique more efficient in accurately classifying gases and odors (Persaud 1995). The Aromascan $\circledR$ is also programmed with the Sammons mapping technique, a nonlinear mapping function. The Sammons mapping technique is an effective method of multivariate data analysis which allows visual display of multi-dimensional patterns on a two-or three-dimensional pattern. The Sammon technique has been compared to linear mapping techniques, such as eigenvector projection, using twenty Gaussian-generated clusters located in a nineteendimensional space, and showed the Sammon technique to give superior results (Sammon 1970).

Finally, Aromascan ${ }^{\circledR}$ software is designed to easily export the electrical resistance change experienced by each sensor at second intervals to alternative statistical
programs. Using the exported data, the results of the odor analysis can be studied with many statistical tests.

In addition to the comparison of two or more aroma patterns the system can be trained to recognize aromas through a neural network. During the training of the system, the sensors analyze a variety of samples that represent the different conditions of a substance. The user identifies each aroma pattern for the system. Accuracy of pattern recognition is dependent on the extent of training (www.aromascan .com). An unknown sample is then analyzed. The system compares the unknown aroma pattern to the database and matches it to an identified pattern. The confidence of the recognition is then reported as a percentage. If the pattern is not recognized the system will report it as "Unknown". The downfall of the neural network is each sensor array perceived odor differently. The sensor array has a limited lifetime, deteriorating with use. After changing the sensor array the neural network must be retrained.

## Statistical Analysis

## Odor Pattern Summarization

In order to compare the aroma intensity of the eleven bodies studied, the intensity of each sample as registered by the Aromascan ${ }^{\circledR}$ was summarized as the total base resistance change (BRC). The conversion of the sample intensity was accomplished by totaling the electrical charge of the sample as recognized by each sensor during a 30 second interval. The 30 second interval was a slice of the three minute of sample
analysis. The sample pattern remained stable during the thirty second interval which indicated the interval represents the sampling run. The sensors' electrical response to the sample were measured and recorded every second during the run interval. Totaling the 32 sensors electrical response over the thirty second interval generates a single number, the total base resistance change (BRC), which summarizes the aroma intensity of the sample.

The rate of decomposition is hypothesized to be dependent on temperature. Hence the concentration of volatile compounds produced by bacterial activity is hypothesized to be dependent on the accumulated degree days (ADD). In order to test this hypothesis the total base resistance change of each sample was correlated to the ADD . The initial step plots BRC against ADD to illustrate any elementary pattern (Figures 2.11-2.21) and to correlate BRC to ADD (Table 2.5). Three repeat samples were collected at each sampling event. The base resistance change of all three samples was plotted to illustrate intrasampling error. A basic pattern is recognizable in the plots of bodies HC6-99, HC7-99, 3.00, 4.00, 5.00, and 9.00, where a spike in BRC is present around 200 ADD then a slow decrease or fluctuation in BRC. The samples collected from the other bodies fail to form a similar pattern. Given this initial starting point, the goals of the statistical analysis were to determine why the correlation between BRC and ADD was highly variable, and what additional environmental or non-environmental conditions are affecting the intensity of the aroma sample. The BRC was exported to SPSS Statistical Program for Windows 98 for statistical analysis.
30.99 BRC Vs. ADD

Figure 2.11. Plot of base resistance change (BRC) against accumulated degree days (ADD) for cadaver 30.99. Each data
point represents one of the three sample collected during a sampling event.
32.99 BRC Vs. ADD

Figure 2.12. Plot of base resistance change (BRC) against accumulated degree days (ADD) for cadaver 32.99. Each data point represents one of the three sample collected during a sampling event.
33.99 BRC Vs. ADD

Figure 2.13. Plot of base resistance change (BRC) against accumulated degree days (ADD) for cadaver 33.99. Each data point represents one of the three sample collected during a sampling event.
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point represents one of the three sample collected during a sampling event.
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3.00 BRC Vs ADD

Figure 2.16. Plot of base resistance change (BRC) against accumulated degree days (ADD) for cadaver 3.00. Each data point represents one of the three sample collected during a sampling event.
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Figure 2.17. Plot of base resistance change (BRC) against accumulated degree days (ADD) for cadaver 4.00. Each data
point represents one of the three sample collected during a sampling event.
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 Figure 2.18. Plot of base resistance change (BRC) against accumulated degree days (ADD) for cadaver 5.00. Each data point represents one of the three sample collected during a sampling event.
Figure 2.19. Plot of base resistance change (BRC) against accumulated degree days (ADD) for cadaver 9-00. Each data point represents one of the three sample collected during a sampling event.
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Figure 2.20. Plot of base resistance change (BRC) against accumulated degree days (ADD) for cadaver 11-00. Each data point represents one of the three sample collected during a sampling event.
HC1-00 BRC Vs. ADD

Figure 2.21. Plot of base resistance change (BRC) against accumulated degree days (ADD) for cadaver HC1-00. Each data point represents one of the three sample collected during a sampling event.
Table 2.5. Summary of Cadavers Studied.
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## Chapter 3

## Results

The results of this study demonstrate that the aroma pattern of a decaying cadaver is constant over time, changing only in concentration. Regularity of the aroma pattern results from a lack of sample composition variation. Figure 3.1 illustrates the response of each sensor to samples collected over 22 days. Though difficult to observe in the initial samples collected, the sensors reaction to the aroma samples remains the same throughout the extended sampling interval. Figure 3.1 is an example of what is occurring with each cadaver studied. Variation in intensity of the sample collected despite identical sampling techniques indicated the amount of odor present in the body bag changed over time. Given the lack of pattern variation throughout the decomposition process, the statistical analysis focused on examining the change in odor intensity.

## Statistical Analysis

## Grouping the Samples

Despite the hypothesis that sample concentration is dependent on accumulated degree days and therefore not seasonally influenced, the samples were grouped by season. Fall included the months of September, October, and November. Winter included of the months of December, January, and February. Spring included of the months of March, April, and May. Summer included the months of June, July, and August. Table 3.1 shows the seasonal breakdown of the specimens and the correlation of BRC to ADD . The grouping of the specimens demonstrated that three more specimens

Response of Sensors to Sample (5.00)


Figure 3.1. The response of each sensor to samples collected over a 22 day period for one body. Each data point represents one of the three repeat samples collected at each sampling event. The spikes and valleys of the aroma pattern remain the same throughout the sampling interval. The pattern only changes in terms of percent base resistance change (\%BRC).

Table 3.1. Seasonal Grouping of Specimen Studied and Respective Correlations between BRC* and ADD**.

| Specimen | Dates <br> Studied | Season | Correlation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $9 / 26 / 99-$ |  |  |
| 30.99 | $10 / 17 / 99$ | Fall | -0.31 |
|  | $11 / 9 / 99-$ |  |  |
| 32.99 | $12 / 1 / 99$ | Fall | -0.15 |
|  | $11 / 3 / 99-$ |  |  |
| 33.99 | $11 / 23 / 99$ | Fall | -0.75 |
|  | $3 / 21 / 00-$ |  |  |
| $H C 6-99$ | $3 / 20 / 00$ | Spring | 0.92 |
|  | $3 / 29 / 00-$ |  |  |
| 3.00 | $4 / 20 / 00$ | Spring | 0.73 |
|  | $3 / 29 / 00-$ |  |  |
| 4.00 | $4 / 20 / 99$ | Spring | 0.84 |
|  | $3 / 29 / 00-$ |  |  |
| 5.00 | $4 / 20 / 00$ | Spring | 0.69 |
|  | $4 / 27 / 00-$ |  |  |
| 9.00 | $5 / 22 / 00$ | Spring | 0.73 |
|  | $5 / 1 / 00-$ |  |  |
| $H C 7-99$ | $5 / 22-00$ | Spring | 0.08 |
|  | $6 / 18 / 00-$ |  |  |
| 11.00 | $7 / 17 / 00$ | Summer | -0.61 |
|  | $8 / 14 / 00-$ |  |  |
| $H C 01-00$ | $8 / 29 / 00$ | Summer | 0.77 |

*Base Resistance Change (BRC).
**Accumulated Degree Days (ADD).

Table 3.2. Grouping of the Bodies Based on the Condition of the Body Bag and Respective Correlation between BRC and ADD.

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cadaver | Correlation |
| Intact body bags | HC6-99 | 0.92 |
|  | HC7-99 | 0.21 |
|  | 3.00 | 0.73 |
|  | 4.00 | 0.84 |
|  | 5.00 | 0.69 |
|  | 9.00 | 0.73 |
|  | HC01-00 | 0.77 |
| Damaged Body Bags |  |  |
|  | 30.99 | -0.31 |
|  | 32.99 | -0.15 |
|  | 33.99 | -0.75 |
|  | $11.00^{\star}$ | -0.61 |

Base Resistance Change (BRC).
Accumulated Degree Days (ADD).

* 11-00 was not placed in a body bag.
were studied in spring than fall, four more specimens were studied in spring than summer, and no specimens were studied during the winter.

The negative correlation of BRC to ADD of body 11-00, which was not placed in a body bag raised question about the impact of the body bag on the temperature / sample intensity correlation. To further investigate the role of the body bag in the intensity of the odor produced during the decomposition process, the cadavers were regrouped.

Sampling comments listed in Appendix 1 reveal that several of the body bags were ripped open by animal activity, including cadavers 30-99, 32-99, 33-99, and HC7-99 (Table 3.2). These three bodies were grouped with 11-00 as non body bagged cadavers. The remaining cadavers, HC6-99, HC1-00, 3-00, 4-00, 5-00, and 9-00 were grouped as body bagged cadavers.

In a further attempt to define a relationship between BRC and ADD a polynomial regression analysis was done (Table 3.3). The results show a significant relationship between ADD and BRC in all cases but one, HC7-99. Furthermore, the correlation between ADD and BRC is positive for all bodies, but continues to be stronger for bodies retained in intact body bags. Puzzling is the fact that the pattern of the relationship as shown by the varying coefficient is very different from one body to the next. In sum, the polynomial regression demonstrates a strong relationship between BRC and ADD but a single predictive model is non definable.

The Role of Humidity
The odor of decomposition is the result of water soluble volatile compounds volatilizing from the cadaver into the surrounding air. Given this fact, the concentration of the odor is hypothesized to be influenced by the level of ambient humidity. In order to investigate this assumption the total base resistance change was correlated to the ambient humidity level at the time the sample was collected. The correlation between BRC and humidity was $\mathrm{r}=0.08$.

Decomposition fluid collected in the undisturbed body bags indicating the humidity level within the bag remained near a constant $100 \%$. In this environment ambient humidity levels are expected to have minimal effects on the intensity of cadaver odor. However, the samples collected from bodies in the disturbed body bags or without a body bag are expected to be influenced by fluctuating ambient humidity. To investigate
Table 3.3. Results of Polynomial Regression.

|  | Cadaver | Regression Formula | Standard Error of Estimation | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intact Body Bags |  |  |  |  |
|  | HC6-99 | ADD $=5.30 \mathrm{E}-03(\mathrm{BRC})+1.68 \mathrm{E}-07\left(\mathrm{BRC}^{2}\right)-2.68 \mathrm{E}-12\left(\mathrm{BRC}^{3}\right)+70.09$ | 58.87 | 0.9 |
|  | 3.00 | ADD $=2.83 \mathrm{E}-04(\mathrm{BRC})+5.92 \mathrm{E}-07\left(\mathrm{BRC}^{2}\right)-1.03 \mathrm{E}-11\left(\mathrm{BRC}^{3}\right)+52.28$ | 92.48 | 0.77 |
|  | 4.00 | ADD $=-4.91 \mathrm{E}-04(\mathrm{BRC})+5.51 \mathrm{E}-07\left(\mathrm{BRC}^{2}\right)-9.27 \mathrm{E}-12\left(\mathrm{BRC}^{3}\right)+47.46$ | 71.1 | 0.82 |
|  | 5.00 | ADD $=7.33 \mathrm{E}-03(\mathrm{BRC})+6.60 \mathrm{E}-08\left(\mathrm{BRC}^{2}\right)-1.64 \mathrm{E}-12\left(\mathrm{BRC}^{3}\right)-22.12$ | 59.89 | 0.85 |
|  | 9.00 | ADD $=-2.28 \mathrm{E}-03(\mathrm{BRC})+8.05 \mathrm{E}-07\left(\mathrm{BRC}^{2}\right)-1.47 \mathrm{E}-11\left(\mathrm{BRC}^{3}\right)+73.93$ | 97.39 | 0.8 |
|  | HC1-00 | $\mathrm{A}^{\text {A }} \mathrm{DD}=6.68 \mathrm{E}-02(\mathrm{BRC})-3.42 \mathrm{E} .-06\left(\mathrm{BRC}^{2}\right)+6.62 \mathrm{E}-11\left(\mathrm{BRC}^{3}\right)-204.65$ | 90.12 | 0.67 |
| Damaged Body Bags |  |  |  |  |
|  | 30.99 | ADD $=3.88 \mathrm{E}-02(\mathrm{BRC})-2.26 \mathrm{E}-06\left(\mathrm{BRC}^{2}\right)+2.55 \mathrm{E}-11\left(\mathrm{BRC}^{3}\right)+66.44$ | 103.41 | 0.375 |
|  | 32.99 |  | 94.76 | 0.42 |
|  | 33.99 | ADD $=-2.14 \mathrm{E}-02(\mathrm{BRC})-2.95 \mathrm{E}-09\left(\mathrm{BRC}^{3}\right)+717.19$ | 227.36 | 0.54 |
|  | HC7-99 | $\left.\mathrm{ADD}=3.38 \mathrm{E}-02(\mathrm{BRC})-1.51 \mathrm{E} .06\left(\mathrm{BRC}^{2}\right)+1.96 \mathrm{E}-11 \mathrm{BRC}^{3}\right)-3.195$ | 150 | 0.21 |
|  | 11.00* | ADD $=-9.62 \mathrm{E}-02(\mathrm{BRC})-3.79 \mathrm{E}-06\left(\mathrm{BRC}^{2}\right)+4.44 \mathrm{E}-10\left(\mathrm{BRC}^{3}\right)+997.74$ | 185.85 | 0.66 |

[^0]this second hypothesis, the cadavers were again grouped as bagged and non bagged (see above). The correlation between BCR and humidity was calculated as $\mathrm{r}=0.13$ and $\mathrm{r}=$ 0.12 , respectively. The results of this experiment demonstrate that humidity has relatively little effect on the intensity of the odor as it is collected using molecular sieve.

## Temperature Plateau

A common pattern in the BRC vs. ADD plots (Figure 2.11-2.21) among cadavers contained in undisturbed body bags (HC6-99, HC7-99, HC1-00, 3-00, 4-00, 5-00, and 900). is an increase in BRC until approximately 300 ADD. After this point the BRC appears to fluctuate independently of ADD . To statistically investigate this observation, the correlation between BRC and $0-300 \mathrm{ADD}$ was calculated, $(\mathrm{r}=0.53)$. Comparison of $\mathrm{BRC} / \leq 300 \mathrm{ADD}$ correlation to the $\mathrm{BRC} /$ total ADD correlation shows that the relationship between BRC and total ADD is stronger, $(\mathrm{r}=0.64)$. Given these result, the observation of greater initial dependency of aroma concentration on accumulated degree days is not supported.

The result of the study shows that the aroma pattern, as actively sampled with molecular sieve and detected by the Aromascan $®$, does not change as the cadaver progresses through the decomposition process. Rather, what does occur is an increase in sample intensity throughout the decomposition process. To statistically define the relationship between accumulated degree days and concentration of odor, the sample concentration as registered by the 32 sensors was numerically summarized as total base resistance change ( BRC ). Comparison of ADD to BRC shows a positive correlation $(\mathrm{r}=$ 0.73 ) when a cadaver decomposes in an undisturbed body bag. However, ADD and BRC
were not positively correlated when the integrity of the body bag was destroyed by animal activity. Furthermore, the effects of humidity were hypothesized to be greater when the specimen was not enclosed in a secure body bag during the decomposition process, possibly destroying the correlation between ADD and sample concentration. This hypothesis was not supported.

## Chapter 4

## Discussion

The odor of decay is pungent and repulsive at best. It often lingers in the air long after the source is removed and can be carried on clothing and hair of the unfortunate who come in contact with it. Despite its negative characteristics, researchers have recently begun to explore the value of decay odor as a forensic investigative tool (Killiam 1990, Barshick et al. 1995, Love et al. 2000). Law enforcement is currently using cadaver location dogs trained to identify human decay odor in order to locate human remains. Previously in a pilot study, Barshick et al. (1995), using electronic nose technology, evaluated the odor of soil collected under a decomposing cadaver as a time since death indicator. They found a positive correlation between increased percent base resistance response of the sensors and accumulated degree days. This study follows this current trend of decay odor analysis through investigating ambient decay odor as a time since death indicator.

## Experimental Design

The Body Bag
Designing a pioneer study to evaluate the correlation between decay odor changes and accumulated degree days required placing the decomposing bodies under conditions that are unlikely in actual homicides: enclosed in a body bag. Pulling aroma samples from a zipped body bag containing a nude decomposing corpse insured the sample was
either from the body, the body bag, or a combination of the two. To control for the contribution of the body bag to the odor an empty body bag was sampled at regular intervals. Comparison of the sensor response to the experimental and control samples showed the contribution of the body bag to the aroma was negligible.

Additional goals of the study design were to minimize variables while working in an unconventional outdoor laboratory and to concentrate the decay odor to potentially identify subtle aroma changes. Again, the researcher felt the best way to accomplish these goals was to enclose the body in a body bag during the decomposition process. The body bag served as a barrier to taphonomic process including carnivore, rodent, and bird activity, as well as odor dispersal due to variable winds. Given the results of the experiment, which indicate that the odor of decay is a promising time since death indicator, future research to study bodies under more realistic conditions is necessary.

## Results

The Correlation
The statistical results of the study show a strong correlation between accumulated degree days and change in sensor's base electrical resistance when a body decomposes in an undisturbed body bag (Table3.3). However, this correlation is without merit unless it is compared to, and ranked with, alternative time since death estimating methods. The immediate advantage of decay odor is its longevity. Decay odor can be present from the time of death until complete skeletonization and even beyond. Therefore, the decay odor method for time since death estimation has a wide window of application.

## Comparison of Various TSD Estimating Methods

During the initial stage of decomposition, a body progresses through the classic signs of death: algor, livor, and rigor mortis. As previously mentioned, researchers have investigated all three as time since death indicators. Algor mortis, like the rate of decomposition, is governed by ambient temperature, as well as, cause of death, body weight, and skin surface exposure. Recent research (Brown et al. 1985, Hutchins 1985, Henssge and Madea 1988, Madea 1993, Henssge et al. 1995) demonstrates that the body temperature drops during the initial 24 hours following death. Henssge and Madea (1988) show that the margin of error increases with postmortem interval.

Unlike algor and rigor mortis, livor mortis is less impacted by the environment in which death occurred. Traditionally, livor mortis was utilized to roughly estimate the postmortem interval as being less than 12 hours or more than 12 hours depending on whether or not livor was fixed (Henssge et al 1995, Dix and Graham 2000). Recent research has fine tuned the use of livor mortis as a time since death indicator through photometric measurement of pressure-induced blanching (Kaatsch et al. 1994), hemoglobin light absorption (Inoue et al. 1994), and use of a tristimulus color measuring system (Vanezis and Trujilla 1996). However, despite these advancements, the methods dependent on livor mortis to estimate the postmortem interval are only applicable during the initial 12 postmortem hours (Coe 1993, DiMiao and DiMiao 1996, Clark et al. 1997). Finally, the onset and waning of rigor mortis is dependent on temperature, cause of death, and antimortem activity of the deceased. Again, recent research has fine tuned the age old rule of thumb, (rigor mortis develops over the initial 12 hour interval after death, has a duration of 12 hours, then wanes over a final twelve hour interval) (Spitz

1993, DiMiao and DiMiao 1996, Dix and Graham 2000), by breaking the onset of muscle stiffening into finite steps through electrical muscle excitability (Madea and Henssge 1988, Madea et al. 1995). Although accurate, muscle excitability is only applicable for approximately 24 hours after death (Madea and Henssge 1988, Madea et al. 1995).

Because algor, livor and rigor mortis are only applicable as time since death indicators during the interval immediately following death (approximately the first 24 hours), they are not comparable to the decay aroma method. The minimal interval after death that two aroma samples were collected was 36 hours (see Appendix 1).

The later stages of decomposition, (discoloration, bloating, and skeletonization) are governed by two methods for estimating postmortem interval: soil solution analysis (Vass et al. 1992) and entomological analysis (Kulshretha and Chandra 1987, Catts and Haskell 1990, Greenberg 1991, Hewadikaram and Goff 1991, Schoenly 1992, Haskell et al. 1997, Byrd and Castner 1999). As previously mentioned, as a body decomposes, liquefied decomposition by-products collect under a body. Vass and colleagues (1992) developed a method to correlate the concentration of the decay matter found in soil beneath a decomposing corpse to accumulated degree days. The method targets VFA's concentration, anion / cation concentration, and soil pH. Through correlating each soil component to ADD, the method provides a neat window of estimated time since deposition. The window of method application is expansive, ranging from the bloated stage to the skeletonization stage. The weaknesses of the soil solution method is its reliance on an accurate weather information and accurate estimation of the deceased antemortem weight, since the amount of decay fluid produced is directly proportionate to the body mass of the individual.

Direct comparison of the accuracy rate of the soil solution method to the aroma method is difficult because the experimental write-up of the soil solution method does not state the accuracy of the methodology beyond narrating two case studies in which it appears successful. However, the fact that the time since deposition estimates derived from the soil solution method are a result of two independent factors, VFA's and cation / anion concentration, bodes well for its accuracy (Vass et al. 1992). Furthermore, the true strength in the availability of both methods is that one is applicable when the other is not. For example if a decomposed body was found sealed in a vehicle, soil analysis would not be possible; however, aroma analysis of air surrounding a body decomposing in a high wind area would also not be practical.

Forensic entomology has an extremely long history of its use as a time since death indicator. Bergeret, a forensic investigator, is credited as the first to estimate time since death based on entomologically findings. Although incorrectly, in 1855, he estimated the postmortem interval of a mummified infant found in a bricked-up fireplace was greater than two years, exonerating the current apartment tenant (Greenberg 1991). Since this historical event, the techniques of forensic entomology have continued to evolve. Studies on human and non human remains have produced valuable information on community structure, colonization order, seasonality, synanthropy, and oviposition preferences of carrion insects (see Greenberg 1991 for review). The result of these studies is a finely tuned time since death estimation method dependent on the correlation of insect development to accumulated degree days (Rodriquez and Bass 1983, Catts and Haskell 1990, Bass 1997, Haskell et al 1997). The window of application of forensic entomology methodology is even more extensive than soil solution analysis, ranging from within the

24 hours after deposition to beyond skeletonization (Rodriquez and Bass 1983, Bass 1997). Furthermore, numerous case studies have illustrated the accuracy of insect development as a time since death indicator (Kulshretha and Chandra 1987, Catts and Haskell 1990, Greenberg 1991, Hewadikaram and Goff 1991, Schoenly 1992, Haskell et al. 1997, Byrd and Castner 1999). The short falls of forensic entomology are 1) that the body must be exposed to insect activity, and 2) the life cycle and activity of insects indigenous to the region must be defined.

Finally, several time since death estimation methods extend far beyond the skeletonization stage. As skeletal remains become entangled by invading flora, forensic botany becomes valuable in estimating minimal time since death (Willey and Heilman 1987, Hall 1997). Furthermore, research (has shown that bone weathering advances through predictable stages which are very roughly correlated to time since death Hill and Behrensmeyer 1984, Ubelaker 1997). The possible window of application of forensic botany and bone weathering is most often greater than a year. This study investigated changes in decay odor that occurred over a month interval; therefore, the aroma method is not comparable to the methods of forensic botany and bone weathering at this time.

The strength of the aroma method as a time since death indicator is the fact that odor is always present during active stages of decay. The two methods, soil solution and entomological analysis, which at this time are the most comparable TSD estimation methods to the aroma method, in terms of window of application, require specific circumstances to be applicable: deposition products must be recoverable and there must be exposure of the corpse to insects. If both circumstances fail to be met, aroma analysis is a reasonable alternative to estimate time since death. Although, the aroma method at
this time is limited in application to a body in a body bag or a similar confining situation, further studies using altered sampling methods, i.e. longer sampling time, more molecular sieve, higher pump rate, the methods applicability may be expanded to include remains found in any terrestrial environment.

The greatest advantaged gained by this study lies not with defining the value of odor as a time since death indicator, but with the continued expansion of the view that decay odor is an important investigative tool. Previously, cadaver odor was recognized as a scouting tool when used in concert with cadaver dogs, but was not valued beyond this. This study in tandem with Barshick et al.'s (1995) work has begun to experiment with alternative uses of cadaver odor.

This study attempted to evaluate decay odor through instrumentation that mirrored the mammalian response to odor. As a result the strongly electrically charged components of odors were targeted in the analysis. The electronic nose failed to distinguish aroma pattern changes throughout the decomposition process. The variations in odor compositions may be recognized using gas chromatography / mass spectrometry which targets the compositional concentration of the sample with disregard for electrical charge of the component. Further analysis of the aroma samples using GC/MS technology may demonstrate the expected changes of decay aroma.

## Chapter 5

## Conclusion

Accurately estimating the postmortem interval is often a crucial component of a medico-legal investigation. During the initial postmortem interval, when the body is still in the fresh stage and actively affected by livor, algor, and rigor mortis, the responsibility of estimating time since death falls on the forensic pathologist. As the postmortem interval grows and the corpse begins to enter the later stages of decay, the forensic anthropologist is called upon to estimate the postmortem interval. As postmortem time increases, so does the influence of environmental effectors on the rate of decomposition, which clouds accurate TSD estimations. In light of these difficulties, forensic scientists have strived to develop new methods to accurately estimate postmortem interval through the incorporation of environmental effectors. This study follows the research trend through evaluating decay odor as a TSD indicator through the correlation of odor pattern changes to accumulated degree days.

## The Study

## The Instrumentation

Advancements in electronic nose technology enable a researcher to analyze an odor in its entirety through spatial patterns reflecting the concentration of electrically charged components of the odor. Human perception of odor is not dependent on the concentration of the various components that comprise it, but rather a reflection of the concentration of electronically charged components that interact with olfactory bulbs of
the olfactory nerves (Ronhi 1996). Hence, the new electronic nose technology mirrors the human brain in its reaction to an aromatic sample. Like the various olfactory nerve endings, the multiple sensors of the polymer sensor array incorporated in the Aromascan $\circledR$ enables the instrument to analyze the complex composition of the aroma sample in its entirety.

The neural network capabilities of the Aromascan ${ }^{\circledR}$ enable it to identify unknown samples through aroma pattern recognition. Quantitative recognition of decay odor could serve as a powerful forensic investigative tool. The question posed in this study did not lend itself to investigating the application of the neural network to collected sample, but this easily could be done with the collected samples.

## The Sample

In order to comprehensively study cadaver aroma, a representative and replicable sample of the odor was collected and transported to the ORNL laboratory. (The Aromascan® required the sample to be in 22 mL vials; therefore, aroma samples could not be feed directly to the instrument from the source). The sampling method developed for this study utilized molecular sieve, a universal dryant, to collect the water soluble aromatic compounds present in the air isolated in body bags. The molecular sieve proved capable of releasing the same compounds under established analytical conditions of the Aromascan®. Containing the molecular sieve in three pipettes and simultaneously connecting them to a portable air pump enable the collection of three repeat samples over a minimal sampling interval. The end result was a representative and repeatable sample
collected under field conditions, released under analytical conditions, with minimal intrasampling error, and a short sampling interval.

## The Laboratory

Working in an outdoor, nontraditional laboratory such as the Anthropology Research Facility with human remains generated many difficulties. The age, race, sex, physique, and cause of death of the remains used in the study were uncontrollable and the effects of each on the decay odor produced were indefinable. However, isolating the cadavers in body bags and exercising a stringent sample collection technique reduced sample contamination and variability. Furthermore, recording the daily temperature highs and lows enabled the changes in aroma pattern to be directly correlated to accumulated degree days.

The Results
Analysis of individual sensor reaction to the consecutive aroma samples showed that the aroma patterns did not change over time in terms of compound class concentration (see Figure 3.1). Despite the lack of pattern variation, the intensity of the sample, as registered by sensor electrical resistance change from the base level, showed a significant relationship to accumulated degree days (Table 3.3). The strength of the relationship was greater when the body decomposed in an intact body bag. The short coming of the results was that the relationship pattern between ADD and BRC was different for each body. The results of the study demonstrate a significant relationship between the intensity of decay odor and accumulated temperature. The results show that
decay odor is a promising time since death indicator that needs further study. Furthermore, the statistical analysis demonstrated that neither humidity nor seasonality affected the sample intensity when collected and analyzed with the established procedures.

Despite the stated value of decay odor as a time since death indicator, advancement could expand its applicability. Through sensitivity improvement of the sampling method and the electronic nose, decay odor may prove a valuable time since death indicator under more relaxed conditions.

In contrary to the success of this study, the greatest disappointment is the failure to detect subtle aroma pattern variations. Research currently being conducted at ORNL on the chemical make-up of decomposing tissue has shown concentration variations of aroma producing chemicals, i.e., cadaverine and putrecine, over time (Vass, personal communication). The fact that such compounds are initially absent and then become increasingly more concentration should be reflected in the decay odor through a changing aroma pattern. However, this method failed to detect this evolution of decay. Hopefully with advancements in electronic nose sensitivity and sampling techniques, these subtle aroma variations will be recognized.

Ultimately, the contribution of this study to the field of forensic science is far greater than the success of evaluating decay odor as a time since death indicator. It serves as a pioneer step into the study of decay odor as a forensic investigative tool. Successfully bringing the cadaver decay odor into the laboratory generates the potential for analysis utilizing many types of instrumentation. In sum, the importance of decay
odor is just beginning to be recognized and should continue to grow in concert with technological advancements.
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Appendix
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \text { ID\# } & \text { Date } & \text { Time } & \text { Temp (C) } & \begin{array}{c}\text { H Temp } \\ \text { (C) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Time of H } \\ \text { Temp }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Date of H } \\ \text { Temp }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { L Temp } \\ \text { (C) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Time of L } \\ \text { Temp }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Date of L } \\ \text { Temp }\end{array} & \text { Humidity } & \text { H Hum }\end{array}\right]$

| ID\# | Date | Time | Temp (C) | H Temp <br> (C) | Time of H <br> Temp | Date of H <br> Temp | L Temp <br> (C) | Time of L <br> Temp | Date of L <br> Temp | Humidity | H Hum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30.99 | 9.25 .99 |  | 4.4 | 4.4 |  |  | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 30.99 | 9.26 .99 | 1520 | 29.0 | 35.0 |  |  | 19.4 |  |  | 36 | 97 |
| 30.99 | 9.27 .99 | 1715 | 27.2 | 29.1 | 1415 | 9.27 .99 | 19.5 | 0621 | 9.27 .99 | 65 | 88 |
| 30.99 | 9.28 .99 | 1650 | 27.8 | 27.8 | 1735 | 9.27 .99 | 20.6 | 0828 | 9.28 .99 | 76 | 97 |
| 30.99 | 9.29 .99 | 1646 | 21.4 | 27.0 | 1714 | 9.28 .99 | 20.9 | 1505 | 9.28 .99 | 97 | 97 |
| 30.99 | 9.30 .99 | 1611 | 19.3 | 21.4 | 1702 | 9.29 .99 | 10.0 | 0812 | 9.30 .99 | 39 | 97 |
| 30.99 | 10.1 .99 | 1724 | 22.5 | 21.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30.99 | 10.2 .99 | 1659 | 24.8 | 24.8 | 1702 | 10.2 .99 | 10.7 | 0812 | 10.2 .99 | 40 | 97 |
| 30.99 | 10.3 .99 | 1705 | 22.8 | 25.7 | 1457 | 10.3 .99 | 12.9 | 0633 | 10.3 .99 | 78 | 97 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30.99 | 10.4 .99 | 1841 | 20.9 | 24.7 | 1615 | 10.4 .99 | 16.9 | 0802 | 10.4 .99 | 68 | 97 |
| 30.99 | 10.5 .99 | 1753 | 19.7 | 20.9 | 1844 | 10.4 .99 | 12.2 | 0731 | 10.5 .99 | 48 | 94 |
| 30.99 | 10.6 .99 | 1703 | 22.8 | 23.0 | 1648 | 10.6 .99 | 10.2 | 0652 | 10.6 .99 | 40 | 97 |
| 30.99 | 10.7 .99 | 1723 | 23.5 | 23.7 | 1623 | 10.7 .99 | 10.6 | 0811 | 10.7 .99 | 46 |  |
| 30.99 | 10.9 .99 | 1424 | 18.8 | 26.2 | 1537 | 10.8 .99 | 11.7 | 0829 | 10.8 .99 | 97 | 97 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30.99 | 10.10 .99 | 1413 | 20.5 | 20.5 | 1417 | 10.10 .99 | 18.4 | 0009 | 10.10 .99 | 97 | 97 |
| 30.99 | 10.11 .99 | 1604 | 23.7 | 24.1 | 1526 | 10.11 .99 | 17.9 | 0728 | 10.11 .99 | 70 | 97 |
| 30.99 | 10.12 .99 | 1743 | 23.4 | 25.6 | 1635 | 10.12 .99 | 14.8 | 0628 | 10.12 .99 | 76 | 97 |
| 30.99 | 10.13 .99 | 1737 | 20.9 | 23.2 | 1745 | 10.12 .99 | 15.5 | 0637 | 10.13 .99 | 94 | 97 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30.99 | 10.14 .99 | 1658 | 19.8 | 20.9 | 1741 | 10.13 .99 | 14.3 | 0816 | 10.14 .99 | 74 | 97 |


| ID\# | Date | Rain. (cm) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30.99 | 9.25 .99 |  |  |
| 30.99 | 9.26 .99 | 0.00 | Comments |
| 30.99 | 9.27 .99 | 0.00 |  |
| 30.99 | 9.28 .99 | 0.00 |  |
| 30.99 | 9.29 .99 | 0.00 | Some abdominal bruising and subclavian needle |


| ID\# | Date | Time | Temp (C) | H Temp <br> (C) | Time of H <br> Temp | Date of H <br> Temp | L Temp <br> (C) | Time of L <br> Temp | Date of L <br> Temp | Humidity | H Hum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30.99 | 10.15 .99 | 1415 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 1417 | 10.15 .99 | 10.1 | 0751 | 10.15 .99 | 58 | 95 |
| 30.99 | 10.17 .99 | 1406 | 23.4 | 23.6 | 1722 | 10.16 .99 | 11.7 | 0815 | 10.16 .99 | 52 | 97 |


| ID\# | Date | Time of H Hum | Date of H Hum | L Hum | Time of $L$ Hum | Date of $L$ Hum | ADD | AHD | MAX BRC | MID BRC | MIN BRC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30.99 | 10.15.99 | 0935 | 10.15.99 | 49 | 1706 | 10.14.99 | 376.2 | 1274.5 | 6850 | 6850 | 6690 |
| 30.99 | 10.17.99 | 1032 | 10.17.99 | 49 | 1623 | 10.16.99 | 393.85 | 1347.5 | 10200 | 10100 | 9920 |


| ID\# | Date | Rain (cm) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30.99 | 10.15 .99 | 0.00 | Comments |
| 30.99 | 10.17 .99 | 0.00 | Fly activity slightly less than yesterday. |


| ID\# | Date | Time | Temp (C) | H Temp (C) | Time of H Temp | Date of H Temp | L Temp <br> (C) | Time of $L$ Temp | Date of L Temp | Humidity | H Hum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32.99 | 11.9.99 | 1025 | 15.6 | 26.5 |  |  | 11.8 |  |  | 94 | 97 |
| 32.99 | 11.10 .99 | 1517 | 22.8 | 25.5 | 1428 | 11.9.99 | 10.5 | 0517 | 11.10 .99 | 49 | 97 |
| 32.99 | 11.11.99 | 1547 | 21.1 | 23.1 | 1326 | 11.11 .99 | 14.2 | 0700 | 11.11.99 | 37 | 86 |
| 32.99 | 11.12.99 | 1548 | 21.4 | 22.3 | 1452 | 11.12 .99 | 8.6 | 0729 | 11.12.99 | 44 | 97 |
| 32.99 | 11.13 .99 | 1548 | 22.2 | 22.6 | 1424 | 11.13 .99 | 8.6 | 0603 | 11.13.99 | 44 | 97 |
| 32.99 | 11.14.99 | 1513 | 24.3 | 24.3 | 1511 | 11.14.99 | 9.9 | 0445 | 11.14.99 | 45 | 97 |
| 32.99 | 11.15 .99 | 1515 | 14.4 | 24.3 | 1527 | 11.14.99 | 7.1 | 0833 | 11.15.99 | 31 | 70 |
| 32.99 | 11.16.99 | 1607 | 11.7 | 14.6 | 1552 | 11.15 .99 | '1.1 | 0734 | 11.16.99 | 34 | 96 |
| 32.99 | 11.17 .99 | 1458 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 1456 | 11.17 .99 | -0.6 | 0756 | 11.17.99 | 36 | 96 |
| 32.99 | 11.18 .99 | 1612 | 15.4 | 17.1 | 1428 | 11.18.99 | -1.1 | 0737 | 11.18.99 | 37 | 97 |
| 32.99 | 11.19 .99 | 1158 | 14.8 | 15.3 | 1609 | 11.18 .99 | 1.2 | 0726 | 11.19 .99 | 47 | 97 |
| 32.99 | 11.22 .99 | 1544 | 22.0 | 22.1 | 1537 | 11.22 .99 | 8.5 | 0733 | 11.20 .99 | 65 | 97 |
| 32.99 | 11.23 .99 | 1612 | 21.9 | 22.9 | 1351 | 11.23.99 | 8.8 | 0558 | 11.23.99 | 55 | 97 |
| 32.99 | 11.24 .99 | 1544 | 18.1 | 22.2 | 1635 | 11.23 .99 | 11.6 | 0616 | 11.24.99 | 92 | 97 |
| 32.99 | 11.25 .99 |  | 15.8 | 19.1 |  |  | 10.2 |  |  |  |  |


| ID\# | Date | Time of H Hum | Date of H Hum | L Hum | Time of $L$ Hum | Date of $L$ Hum | ADD | AHD | MAX BRC | MID BRC | MIN BRC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32.99 | 11.9.99 |  |  | 34 |  |  | 19.15 | 65.5 | 5180 | 4800 | 3390 |
| 32.99 | 11.10 .99 | 0614 | 11.10.99 | 43 | 1458 | 11.9.99 | 37.15 | 135.5 | 5900 | 5190 | 3530 |
| 32.99 | 11.11.99 | 0717 | 11.11.99 | 33 | 1431 | 11.11.99 | 55.8 | 195 | 6680 | 6550 | 6370 |
| 32.99 | 11.12.99 | 0938 | 11.12.99 | 36 | 1635 | 11.11.99 | 71.25 | 261.5 | 10200 | 9900 | 7560 |
| 32.99 | 11.13.99 | 1023 | 11.13 .99 | 40 | 1424 | 11.13 .99 | 86.85 | 330 | 24000 | 23100 | 21600 |
| 32.99 | 11.14.99 | 0934 | 11.14.99 | 43 | 1543 | 11.13 .99 | 103.95 | 400 | 18700 | 15200 | 3910 |
| 32.99 | 11.15 .99 | 0755 | 11.15 .99 | 33 | 2249 | 11.14.99 | 119.65 | 451.5 | 27200 | 12900 | 9470 |
| 32.99 | 11.16.99 | 0806 | 11.16.99 | 26 | 1553 | 11.15 .99 | ${ }^{1} 27.5$ | 512.5 | 7500 | 7430 | 2850 |
| 32.99 | 11.17 .99 | 0804 | 11.17 .99 | 34 | 1615 | 11.16.99 | 133.1 | 577.5 | 18600 | 16100 | 14200 |
| 32.99 | 11.18.99 | 0909 | 11.18.99 | 99 | 1438 | 11.18.99 | 141.1 | 675.5 | 5600 | 5190 | 5150 |
| 32.99 | 11.19 .99 | 0914 | 11.19 .99 | 36 | 1610 | 11.18.99 | 149.35 | 742 | 25700 | 9090 |  |
| 32.99 | 11.22 .99 | 1035 | 11.22.99 | 39 | 1403 | 11.19 .99 | 164.65 | 810 | 11600 | 8620 | 5220 |
| 32.99 | 11.23 .99 | 1032 | 11.23 .99 | 48 | 1617 | 11.22 .99 | 180.5 | 882.5 | 6860 | 6150 |  |
| 32.99 | 11.24 .99 | 1232 | 11.24.99 | 53 | 1632 | 11.23.99 | 197.4 | 957.5 | 9500 | 8390 | 6170 |
| 32.99 | 11.25 .99 |  |  |  |  |  | 212.05 |  |  |  |  |


| ID\# | Date | Rain (cm) | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32.99 | 11.9.99 | 0.00 | White Male 98, COD pneumonia. DOD 11.9.99, 0400 |
| 32.99 | 11.10.99 | 0.00 | No noticeable odor, no fly activity, a few bees. No signs of decomp. |
| 32.99 | 11.11.99 | 0.00 | Heavy fly activity. Small amount of decomp fluid collected between the legs. Maggot mass visible inside the mouth. Control sample taken. |
| 32.99 | 11.12.99 | 0.00 | Fly activity heavy especially in abdomen cavity. Animal activity. Hole punctured in $R$ arm and $L$ cheek adjacent to mouth. A lot of maggot eggs in mouth and abdomen cavity--no maggot activity. No noticeable odor. |
| 32.99 | 11.13.99 | 0 | Heavy fly activity. Dry weather since he arrived only fog in the morning. Egg masses have begun to hatch. Noticeable odor. |
| 32.99 | 11.14.99 | 0 | Body bag flapped closed between sampling, Zipper broken by animals. Animal activity--ate muscle off R forearm. Heavy fly activity. Noticeable odor. Maggot activity on head intense. |
| 32.99 | 11.15.99 | 0 | Maggot activity continues on face and abdomen. No more noticeable animal activity. Bowels have been pulled out of the abdomen but only a small amount. Definite odor, greasy. Few flies present |
| 32.99 | 11.16.99 | 0 | Maggot activity continues-abdominal skin black and greasy. R arm chewed by animals. Noticeable odor. |
| 32.99 | 11.17.99 | 0 | Body bag flapped open. Maggot activity less but continues. Still greasy smell. |
| 32.99 | 11.18 .99 | 0 | Maggot mound located both in face and abdomen area, Maggots age getting fat. Still smells greasy. |
| 32.99 | 11.19.99 | 0 | Maggots continue, maybe slightly less in number. Smell--greasy. |
| 32.99 | 11.22.99 | 0.00 | Maggot activity remains but only in thorax and pubic area. Odor is strong and greasy. Decomp soup has formed. |
| 32.99 | 11.23.99 | 0.00 | Maggot activity continues in pubic area, thorax, and face. Greasy smell. |
| 32.99 | 11.24.99 |  | Rained lightly today. Rain gage upset-- didn't record it. Odor smells different-more decomp, less greasy. Maggot activity continues, not great. Pump stopped during sampling. |
| 32.99 | 11.25.99 |  | No sample taken |


| ID\# | Date | Time | Temp (C) | H Temp (C) | Time of H Temp | Date of H Temp | L Temp (C) | Time of $L$ Temp | Date of L Temp | Humidity | H Hum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32.99 | 11.26 .99 |  | 13.6 | 19.1 |  |  | 7.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 32.99 | 11.27 .99 |  | 12.2 | 19.4 |  |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 32.99 | 11.28 .99 |  | 14.0 | 21.8 |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 32.99 | 11.29.99 |  | 12.8 | 18.6 |  |  | 7.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 32.99 | 11.30 .99 |  | 7.2 | 11.0 |  |  | 7.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 32.99 | 12.1.99 |  | 8.4 | 16.6 |  |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 32.99 | 12.2.99 | 1626 | 12.0 | 18.1 | 1518 | 11.28.99 | 4.3 | 0744 | 12.2.99 | 65 | 97 |
| 32.99 | 12.3.99 |  | 10.4 | 15.2 |  |  | 3.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 32.99 | 12.3.99 | 1106 | 8.2 | 12.8 | 1628 | 142.2.99 | 1.6 | 0707 | 12.3.99 | 53 | 91 |
| 32.99 | 12.5.99 | 1337 | 21.7 | 22.5 | 1332 | 12.5.99 | 3.3 | 0656 | 12.5.99 | 40 | 97 |
| 32.99 | 12.6.99 |  | 15.6 | 16.8 |  |  | 2.1 |  |  | 40 | 97 |
| 32.99 | 12.7 .99 | 1607 | 10.1 | 10.3 | 1504 | 12.7.99 | -3.1 | 0726 | 12.7.99 | 43 | 97 |


| ID\# | Date | Time of H Hum | Date of H Hum | L Hum | Time of L Hum | Date of L Hum | ADD | AHD | MAX BRC | MID BRC | MIN BRC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32.99 | 11.26.99 |  |  |  |  |  | 225.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 32.99 | 11.27 .99 |  |  |  |  |  | 238.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 32.99 | 11.28.99 |  |  |  |  |  | 252.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 32.99 | 11.29 .99 |  |  |  |  |  | 265.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 32.99 | 11.30 .99 |  |  |  |  |  | 274.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 32.99 | 12.1.99 |  |  |  |  |  | 286.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 32.99 | 12.2.99 | 1057 | 11.28.99 | 36 | 1557 | 11.15 .99 | 297.5 | 1024 | 9580 | 6870 | 4140 |
| 32.99 | 12.3.99 |  |  |  |  |  | 306.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 32.99 | 12.3.99 | 0806 | 12.3.99 | 34 |  |  | 313.9 | 1086.5 | 11600 | 9260 | 7820 |
| 32.99 | 12.5.99 | 1052 | 12.5.99 | 35 | 1524 | 12.3.99 | 326.8 | 1152.5 | 7730 | 7070 | 6940 |
| 32.99 | 12.6.99 |  |  | 36 |  |  | 336.25 | 1219 |  |  |  |
| 32.99 | 12.7.99 | 1025 | 12.7.99 | 40 | 1522 | 12.7.99 | 339.85 | 1287.5 | 8050 | 6680 | 5400 |


| ID\# | Date | Rain (cm) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32.99 | 11.26 .99 |  | Comments |
| 32.99 | 11.27 .99 |  | No sample taken |
| 32.99 | 11.28 .99 |  | No sample taken |
| 32.99 | 11.29 .99 |  | No sample taken |
| 32.99 | 11.30 .99 |  | No sample taken |
| 32.99 | 12.1 .99 |  | No sample taken |
| 32.99 | 12.2 .99 |  | No sample taken |
| 32.99 | 12.3 .99 |  | New pump charger. Odor strong. Animal activity seems to have |
| stopped. |  |  |  |
| 32.99 | 12.3 .99 |  | No sample taken |
| 32.99 | 12.5 .99 | 3.00 | Odor slightly less than yesterday. Visual changes stopped. |
| 32.99 | 12.6 .99 |  | Strong odor. Visually, same as yesterday. |
| 32.99 | 12.7 .99 |  | No sample taken |


| ID\# | Date | Time | Temp (C) | H Temp (C) | Time of H Temp | Date of H Temp | L Temp (C) | Time of $L$ Temp | Date of L Temp | Humidity | H Hum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33.99 | 11.12 .99 |  | 4.4 | 4.4 |  |  | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 33.99 | 11.13 .99 | 1625 | 22.2 | 23.6 |  |  | 9.7 |  |  | 44 | 97 |
| 33.99 | 11.14.99 | 1540 | 24.3 | 24.3 | 1511 | 11.14.99 | 9.9 | 0445 | 11.14.99 | 45 | 97 |
| 33.99 | 11.15.99 | 1535 | 14.4 | 24.3 | 1527 | 11.14.99 | 7.1 | 0833 | 11.15.99 | 31 | 70 |
| 33.99 | 11.16.99 | 1639 | 11.7 | 14.6 | 1552 | 11.15 .99 | 1.1 | 0734 | 11.16.99 | 34 | 96 |
| 33.99 | 11.17.99 | 1527 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 1456 | 11.17.99 | -0.6 | 0756 | 11.17.99 | 36 | 96 |
| 33.99 | 11.18.99 | 1630 | 15.4 | 17.1 | 1428 | 11.18.99 | -1.1 | 0737 | 11.18.99 | 37 | 97 |
| 33.99 | 11.19.99 | 1215 | 14.8 | 15.3 | 1609 | 11.18 .99 | 1.2 | 0726 | 11.19.99 | 47 | 97 |
| 33.99 | 11.20 .99 |  | 16 | 18.2 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 50 | 97 |
| 33.99 | 11.21 .99 |  | 19.3 | 21.6 |  |  | 6.2 |  |  | 52 | 97 |
| 33.99 | 11.22.99 | 1602 | 22.0 | 22.1 | 1537 | 11.22 .99 | 8.5 | 0733 | 11.20 .99 | 65 | 97 |
| 33.99 | 11.23.99 | 1630 | 21.6 | 22.9 | 1351 | 11.23 .99 | 8.8 | 0558 | 11.23 .99 | 55 | 97 |


| ID\# | Date | Time of H Hum | Date of H <br> Hum | L Hum | Time of L Hum | Date of $L$ <br> Hum | ADD | AHD | MAX BRC | MID BRC | MIN BRC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33.99 | 11.12 .99 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 33.99 | 11.13 .99 |  |  | 42 |  |  | 21.05 | 69.5 | 5490 | 4560 | 5410 |
| 33.99 | 11.14.99 | 0934 | 11.14.99 | 43 | 1543 | 11.13 .99 | 38.15 | 139.5 | 5650 | 5390 | 5200 |
| 33.99 | 11.15.99 | 0755 | 11.15.99 | 33 | $2249$ | 11.14.99 | 53.85 | 191 | 4950 | 4950 | 4880 |
| 33.99 | 11.16 .99 | 0806 | 11.16.99 | 26 | 1553 | 11.15:99 | 61.7 | 252 | 4880 | 4700 | 4410 |
| 33.99 | 11.17.99 | 0804 | 11.17.99 | 34 | 1615 | 11.16.99 | 67.3 | 317 | 5010 | 4910 | 4790 |
| 33.99 | 11.18 .99 | 0709 | 11.18.99 | 33 | 1433 | 11.18.99 | 75.3 | 382 | 5530 | 5310 | 4390 |
| 33.99 | 11.19 .99 | 0914 | 11.19.99 | 36 | 1610 | 11.18 .99 | 83.55 | 448.5 | 5160 | 3340 | 2900 |
| 33.99 | 11.20 .99 |  |  | 34 |  |  | 93.15 | 514 |  |  |  |
| 33.99 | 11.21 .99 |  |  | 38 |  |  | 107.05 | 581.5 |  |  |  |
| 33.99 | 11.22.99 | 1035 | 11.22.99 | 39 | 1403 | 11.19.99 | 122.35 | 649.5 |  |  |  |
| 33.99 | 11.23.99 | 1032 | 11.23.99 | 48 | 1617 | 11.22 .99 | 138.2 | 722 | 4640 | 4500 | 3140 |


| ID\# | Date | Rain (cm) | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33.99 | 11.12.99 |  | Body in Morgue cooler |
| 33.99 | 11.13.99 |  | W-F-94 Died approx. 1900 11.12.99 picked up11.13.99@11:00. |
| 33.99 | 11.14 .99 |  | Fly activity heavy. No maggots. Abdomen patches of green. No noticeable odor except when broads were open. |
| 33.99 | 11.15 .99 |  | No fly activity. No visible maggot masses green discoloration same as yesterday. No noticeable odor. Both top and bottom body bag flap closed--may have picked up slight odor from 32.99 white positioning pipette-doubt it. |
| 33.99 | 11.16.99 |  | No fly activity. Maggot egg mass observable in vestibule of nose. Signs of decomp remain the same. No noticeable odor. |
| 33.99 | 11.17.99 |  | Signs of decay remain to be minimal. Same maggot egg. Discoloration may increases on R side of abdomen. No noticeable odor. |
| 33.99 | 11.18.99 |  | We forgot to put cage back over body so animal activity. Both abdomen and thoracic rib open left open. Body bag flipped closed for sample few flies. |
| 33.99 | 11.19 .99 |  | Very small amount of maggot in nose just hatched. Noticeable odor. Temperature has raised a bit and there is a noticeable increase in fly activity around both 32.99 \&33.9. The flies can't seem to get into the cage despite the large screen openings. I wonder if this is effecting the odor. I will leave the top off so the flies can get access. |
| 33.99 | 11.20 .99 |  | no sample taken |
| 33.99 | 11.21 .99 |  | no sample taken |
| 33.99 | 11.22.99 |  | no sample taken |
| 33.99 | 11.23.99 |  | Fly activity heavy. Buzzards ate off skin and muscles of face and thorax. Will cover cage tonight. Noticeable odor. Pump stopped during sampling. |


| ID\# | Date | Time | Temp (C) | H Temp <br> (C) | Time of H Temp | Date of H Temp | L Temp (C) | Time of L Temp | Date of L Temp | Humidity | H Hum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HC6-99 | 3.14 .00 |  | 4.4 | 4.4 |  |  | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |
| HC6-99 | 3.15 .00 |  | 4.4 | 4.4 |  |  | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |
| HC6-99 | 3.16.00 |  | 4.4 | 4.4 |  |  | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |
| HC6-99 | 3.17 .00 |  | 4.4 | 4.4 |  | , | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |
| HC6-99 | 3.18 .00 |  | 4.4 | 4.4 |  |  | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |
| HC6-99 | 3.19 .00 |  | 4.4 | 4.4 |  |  | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |
| HC6-99 | 3.20 .00 | . | 4.4 | 4.4 |  |  | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |
| HC6-99 | 3.21 .00 | 1215 | 17.6 | 20.2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 45 | 97 |
| HC6-99 | 3.22 .00 | 1300 | 18 | 20.4 | 1716 | 3.21 .00 | 5 | 655 | 3.22.00 | 45 | 97 |
| HC6-99 | 3.23 .00 | 1356 | 21.5 | 21.5 | 1342 | 3.25 .00 | 5.9 | 656 | 3.23 .00 | 34 | 97 |
| HC6-99 | 3.24.00 | 1400 | 25.4 | 25.4 | 1359 | 3.24.00 | 7.4 | 644 | 3.24.00 | 34 | 97 |
| HC6-99 | 3.25 .00 | 1630 | 20.8 | 28.1 | 1343 | 3.25 .00 | 13.1 | 309 | 3.25 .00 | 71 | 97 |
| HC6-99 | 3.26 .00 | 1300 | 22.6 | 23.6 | 1524 | 3.26.00 | 15 | 720 | 3.26 .00 | 97 | 97 |
| HC6-99 | 3.27 .00 | 1316 | 13.1 | 23 | 1702 | 3.26 .00 | 8.1 | 244 | 3.27 .00 | 97 | 97 |
| HC6-99 | 3.28.00 | 1451 | 18.4 | 19.6 | 1705 | 3.27 .00 | 4.1 | 439 | 3.28.00 | 20 | 97 |
| HC6-99 | 3.29 .00 | 1355 | 13 | 18 | 1451 | 3.28 .00 | 0.6 | 627 | 3.29.00 | 35 | 89 |
| HC6-99 | 3.30 .00 | 1610 | 17 | 18.6 | 1334 | 3.30 .00 | 6.4 | 117 | 3.30 .00 | 60 | 97 |
| HC6-99 | 3.31 .00 | 1240 | 19.6 | 19.9 | 1236 | 3.31 .00 | 6.1 | 700 | 3.31 .00 | 34 | 97 |
| HC6-99 | 4.1 .00 | 1154 | 22.9 | 23 | 1658 | 3.31 .00 | 6.4 | 639 | 4.1 .00 | 40 | 92 |
| HC6-99 | 4.2.00 | 1207 | 19.9 | 26.8 | 1508 | 4.1 .00 | 13.9 | 508 | 4.2 .00 | 97 | 97 |
| HC6-99 | 4.3 .00 | 1230 | 16.6 | 21.6 | 1805 | 4.2 .00 | 14.6 | 732 | 4.3 .00 | 97 | 97 |
| HC6-99 | 4.4 .00 | 1416 | 12.6 | 17.7 | 1710 | 4.3.00 | 6.7 | 910 | 4.4.00 | 37 | 97 |
| HC6-99 | 4.5 .00 | 1230 | 12.5 | 13.1 | 1521 | 4.4 .00 | -0.7 | 727 | 4.5 .00 | 35 | 97 |
| HC6-99 | 4.6.00 | 1430 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 1426 | 4.6.00 | 11.2 | 2200 | 4.5.00 | 39 | 56 |
| HC6-99 | 4.7.00 | 1400 | 24.7 | 26.3 | 1757 | 4.6.00 | 11.2 | 620 | 4.7.00 | 41 | 93 |
| HC6-99 | 4.8.00 |  | 13.2 | 21.12 |  |  | 4.2 |  |  | 39 | 95 |
| HC6-99 | 4.9.00 | 1330 | 12.5 | 26.2 | 1758 | 4.7 .00 | -0.3 | 704 | 4.9.00 | 36 | 97 |
| HC6-99 | 4.10 .00 |  | 23.3 | 23.8 | 14.57 | 4.10 .00 | 3.9 | 528 | 4.10 .00 | 25 | 80 |
| HC6-99 | 4.11 .00 |  | 18 | 23.3 | 15.15 | 4.10 .00 | 8.1 | 715 | 4.11 .00 | 55 | 86 |
| HC6-99 | 4.12.00 | 1600 | 19 | 19.1 | 1603 | 4.12.00 | 10.1 | 930 | 4.12.00 | 46 | 97 |


| ID\# | Date | Time of H Hum | Date of H Hum | L Hum | Time of $L$ Hum | Date of $L$ Hum | ADD | AHD | MAX BRC | MID BRC | MIN BRC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HC6-99 | 3.14 .00 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |
| HC6-99 | 3.15 .00 |  |  |  |  |  | 8.8 |  |  |  |  |
| HC6-99 | 3.16 .00 |  |  |  |  |  | 13.2 |  |  |  |  |
| HC6-99 | 3.17 .00 |  |  |  |  |  | 17.6 |  |  |  |  |
| HC6-99 | 3.18 .00 |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |  |
| HC6-99 | 3.19 .00 |  |  |  |  |  | 26.4 |  |  |  |  |
| HC6-99 | 3.20 .00 |  |  |  |  |  | 30.8 |  |  |  |  |
| HC6-99 | 3.21 .00 |  |  | 34 |  |  | 36.8 | 65.5 | 5080 | 2170 | 2070 |
| HC6-99 | 3.22 .00 | 900 | 3.22 .00 | 36 | 1722 | 3.21 .00 | 49.5 | 132 |  |  |  |
| HC6-99 | 3.23 .00 | 849 | 3.23.00 | 34 | 1344 | 3.23.00 | 63.2 | 197.5 | 5280 | 4610 | 3530 |
| HC6-99 | 3.24 .00 | 851 | 3.24.00 | 31 | 1728 | 3.23.00 | 79.6 | 261.5 | 5430 | 5430 | 4560 |
| HC6-99 | 3.25.00 | 1502 | 3.25.00 | 31 | 1600 | 3.24.00 | 100.2 | 325.5 | 5150 | 4810 | 4200 |
| HC6-99 | 3.26 .00 | 918 | 3.26 .00 | 21 | 1545 | 3.26 .00 | 119.5 | 384.5 | 9130 | 8970 | 8170 |
| HC6-99 | 3.27 .00 | 1314 | 3.27.00 | 22 | 1750 | 3.26 .00 | 135.05 | 444 | 9890 | 5460 | 5010 |
| HC6-99 | 3.28 .00 | 1423 | 3.27.00 | 18 | 1431 | 3.28 .00 | 146.9 | 501.5 | 5830 | 5100 | 4910 |
| HC6-99 | 3.29 .00 | 820 | 3.29 .00 | 20 | 1458 | 3.28 .00 | 156.2 | 556 | 21900 | 19800 | 15800 |
| HC6-99 | 3.30 .00 | 1029 | 3.30 .00 | 32 | 1523 | 3.29.00 | 168.7 | 620.5 | 21900 | 14800 | 12200 |
| HC6-99 | 3.31 .00 | 845 | 3.31 .00 | 33 | 1244 | 3.31 .00 | 181.7 | 685.5 | 22700 | 19700 | 15900 |
| HC6-99 | 4.1 .00 | 705 | 4.1 .00 | 22 | 1720 | 3.31 .00 | 196.4 | 742.5 | 24300 | 22600 | 20100 |
| HC6-99 | 4.2 .00 | 1121 | 4.2 .00 | 32 | 1524 | 4.1 .00 | 216.75 | 807 | 22900 | 18200 | 6370 |
| HC6-99 | 4.3 .00 | 12.36 | 4.3 .00 | 75 | 1808 | 4.2 .00 | 234.85 | 893 | 22400 | 13800 | 9120 |
| HC6-99 | 4.4 .00 | 855 | 4.4 .00 | 35 | 1350 | 4.4.00 | 247.05 | 959 | 21500 | 20600 | 19700 |
| HC6-99 | 4.5 .00 | 900 | 4.5 .00 | 31 | 1703 | 4.4 .00 | 253.25 | 1023 | 20400 | 19700 | 17300 |
| HC6-99 | 4.6.00 | 816 | 4.6 .00 | 26 | 1622 | 4.5 .00 | 270.55 | 1064 | 40700 | 38000 | 34000 |
| HC6-99 | 4.7 .00 | 635 | 4.7 .00 | 36 | 1800 | 4.6.00 | 289.3 | 1128.5 | 35700 | 35600 | 31600 |
| HC6-99 | 4.8 .00 |  |  | 36 |  |  | 301.96 | 1194 |  |  |  |
| HC6-99 | 4.9 .00 | 1024 | 4.8 .00 | 36 | 1321 | 4.9 .00 | 314.91 | 1260.5 |  |  |  |
| HC6-99 | 4.10 .00 | 616 | 4.10 .00 | 24 | 1513 | 4.10 .00 | 328.76 | 1312.5 |  |  |  |
| HC6-99 | 4.11 .00 | 735 | 4.11 .00 | 24 | 1648 | 4.10 .00 | 344.46 | 1367.5 |  |  |  |
| HC6-99 | 4.12 .00 | 740 | 4.12.00 | 45 | 1604 | 4.12.00 | 359.06 | 1438.5 | 52200 | 49800 | 48200 |


| ID\# | Date | Rain (cm) | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HC6-99 | 3.14 .00 |  | Body in Morgue cooler |
| HC6-99 | 3.15 .00 |  | Body in Morgue cooler |
| HC6-99 | 3.16 .00 |  | Body in Morgue cooler |
| HC6-99 | 3.17 .00 |  | Body in Morgue cooler |
| HC6-99 | 3.18 .00 |  | Body in Morgue cooler |
| HC6-99 | 3.19 .00 |  | Body in Morgue cooler |
| HC6-99 | 3.20 .00 |  | Body in Morgue cooler |
| HC6-99 | 3.21 .00 |  | fresh |
| HC6-99 | 3.22 .00 |  | No sample taken |
| HC6-99 | 3.23 .00 |  | fresh |
| HC6-99 | 3.24 .00 | 0 | externally-fresh |
| HC6-99 | 3.25 .00 | 4 | discoloration, definate odor, rained briefly but hard before sampling |
| HC6-99 | 3.26 .00 | 0 | discolored |
| HC6-99 | 3.27 .00 | 7 | bloated |
| HC6-99 | 3.28 .00 | 3 | bloated intense fly activity |
| HC6-99 | 3.29 .00 | 0 | bloated intense fly activity |
| HC6-99 | 3.30 .00 | 0 | Foul Smell |
| HC6-99 | 3.31 .00 | 0 | foul smell-bowel |
| HC6-99 | 4.1 .00 | 1 | Intense marbling-stage bloated |
| HC6-99 | 4.2 .00 | 0 | Slight rain overnight. bloat and liquefy. Small maggots in chest. |
| HC6-99 | 4.3.00 | 3 | Raining during sampling. Scrotum balloon overnight. Bloated. |
| HC6-99 | 4.4 .00 | 7 | Bloated and discolored. Pump quit during sample |
| HC6-99 | 4.5 .00 | 0 | Pump weak. Foul smell from the body bag. |
| HC6-99 | 4.6.00 | 0 | Bloated and marbling, cranium skeletonized. |
| HC6-99 | 4.7 .00 | 0 | Maggots in A,B,\& C. Decomp fluid in B \& C, a lot in B, a little in A. |
| HC6-99 | 4.8 .00 | 0 | No sample taken |
| HC6-99 | 4.9 .00 | 4 | No sample taken |
| HC6-99 | 4.10 .00 | 0 | No sample taken |
| HC6-99 | 4.11 .00 | 0 | no sample pump broken. |
| HC6-99 | 4.12.00 | 1 | New pump. Strong NH4 odor. Decomp fluid spilling from bag. DF and mags in all pipettes |


| ID\# | Date | Time | Temp (C) | H Temp <br> (C) | Time of H H <br> Temp | Date of H <br> Temp | LTemp <br> (C) | Time of L <br> Temp | Date of $L$ <br> Temp | Humidity | H Hum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HC6-99 | 4.13 .00 | 1400 | 9.9 | 19.7 | 1632 | 4.12 .00 | 7.4 | 840 | 4.13 .00 | 97 | 97 |
| HC6-99 | 4.14 .00 | 1400 | 20.6 | 20.6 | 1347 | 4.14 .00 | 8.7 | 522 | 4.14 .00 | 59 | 97 |
| HC6-99 | 4.15 .00 |  | 17.2 | 20.6 |  |  | 12.6 |  |  | 60 | 97 |
| HC6-99 | 4.16 .00 |  | 21.2 | 20.6 |  |  | 12.6 |  |  | 60 | 97 |
| HC6-99 | 4.17 .00 | 1300 | 23.4 | 27 | 1705 | 4.16 .00 | 12.2 | 747 | 4.16 .00 | 60 | 97 |
| HC6-99 | 4.18 .00 | 1630 | 14.7 | 23.5 | 1240 | 4.17 .0 | 11 | 913 | 4.18 .00 | 73 | 97 |
| HC6-99 | 4.19 .00 | 1300 | 20.2 | 20.2 | 1250 | 4.19 .00 | 8.7 | 713 | 4.19 .00 | 56 | 97 |
| HC6-99 | 4.20 .00 | 1530 | 28.6 | 28.7 | 1521 | 4.20 .00 | 11 | 656 | 4.20 .00 | 37 | 97 |


| ID\# | Date | Time of H <br> Hum | Date of H <br> Hum | L Hum | Time of L <br> Hum | Date of L <br> Hum | ADD | AHD | MAX BRC | MID BRC | MIN BRC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HC6-99 | 4.13 .00 | 1356 | 4.13 .00 | 43 | 1707 | 4.12 .00 | 372.61 | 1508.5 | 44200 | 42400 | 36700 |
| HC6-99 | 4.14 .00 | 1055 | 4.14 .00 | 57 | 1349 | 4.14 .00 | 387.26 | 1585.5 | 47700 | 46000 | 45600 |
| HC6-99 | 4.15 .00 |  |  | 46 |  |  | 403.86 | 1657 |  |  |  |
| HC6-99 | 4.16 .00 |  |  | 46 |  |  | 420.46 | 1728.5 |  |  |  |
| HC6-99 | 4.17 .00 | 1012 | 4.17 .00 | 34 | 1716 | 4.16 .00 | 440.06 | 1794 | 54100 | 51700 | 50300 |
| HC6-99 | 4.18 .00 | 1001 | 4.18 .00 | 47 | 1746 | 4.17 .00 | 457.31 | 1866 | 48500 | 48400 | 45800 |
| HC6-99 | 4.19 .00 | 1006 | 4.19 .00 | 54 | 1247 | 4.19 .00 | 471.76 | 1941.5 | 45900 | 45600 | 28300 |
| HC6-99 | 4.20 .00 | 934 | 4.20 .00 | 34 | 1249 | 4.20 .00 | 491.61 | 2007 | 37300 | 36200 | 35900 |


| ID\# | Date | Rain (cm) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HC6-99 | 4.13 .00 | 5 | Comments |
| HC6-99 | 4.14 .00 | 2 | non-bloated. Maggots and DF in 3 pipets. Pipet B has a ton of |
| HC6-99 | 4.15 .00 | 0 | naggots. |
| HC6-99 | 4.16 .00 | 0 | no sample taken |
| HC6-99 | 4.17 .00 | 0 | body almost complete skeleton. Tiny amount of DF in all pipets |
| HC6-99 | 4.18 .00 | 1 | Little bit of fluid in pipet C |
| HC6-99 | 4.19 .00 | 1 | Big maggots in A\&C, pump laboring. |
| HC6-99 | 4.20 .00 | 0 | complete skeleton, large maggot in A. small maggots in B |


| ID\# | Date | Time | Temp (C) | H Temp <br> (C) | Time of H <br> Temp | Date of H <br> Temp | L Temp <br> (C) | Time of L <br> Temp | Date of L <br> Temp | Humidity | H Hum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HC7-99 | 5.1 .00 | 1500 | 22.3 | 27.2 |  |  | 16.9 |  |  | 29 | 97 |
| HC7-99 | 5.2 .00 | 1300 | 16.9 | 22.5 | 1541 | 4.27 .00 | 11.5 | 749 | 4.28 .00 | 77 | 97 |
| HC7-99 | 5.3 .00 | 1230 | 21.1 | 21.7 | 1735 | 4.28 .00 | 9.8 | 700 | 4.28 .00 | 40 | 97 |
| HC7-99 | 5.4 .00 | 1200 | 19.4 | 22.1 | 1305 | 4.29 .00 | 8 | 719 | 4.30 .00 | 43 | 97 |
| HC7-99 | 5.5 .00 | 1630 | 26.3 | 27.2 | 1458 | 5.1 .00 | 9.5 | 723 | 5.1 .00 | 33 | 97 |
| HC7-99 | 5.6 .00 | 1800 | 25.2 | 26.2 | 1702 | 5.2 .00 | 16.9 | 542 | 5.2 .00 | 56 | 86 |
| HC7-99 | 5.7 .00 | 1530 | 27.8 | 27.8 | 1521 | 5.3 .00 | 15.4 | 727 | 5.3 .00 | 48 | 97 |
| HC7-99 | 5.8 .00 |  | 29.2 | 29.5 | 1509 | 5.7 .00 | 18.7 | 632 | 5.8 .00 | 40 | 93 |
| HC7-99 | 5.9 .00 | 1530 | 26.8 | 28.2 | 1710 | 5.3 .00 | 15.9 | 737 | 5.5 .00 | 50 | 97 |
| HC7-99 | 5.10 .00 | 1500 | 27.7 | 27.8 | 1421 | 5.6 .00 | 16.4 | 7.22 | 5.6 .00 | 47 | 97 |
| HC7-99 | 5.11 .00 | 1600 | 29.4 | 29.4 | 1445 | 5.7 .00 | 17.3 | 733 | 5.7 .00 | 35 | 97 |
| HC7-99 | 5.12 .00 | 1430 | 29.2 | 29.5 | 15 | 5.7 .00 | 18.7 | 632 | 5.8 .00 | 40 | 93 |
| HC7-99 | 5.13 .00 |  | 27.8 | 30.5 | 1505 | 5.13 .00 | 12.9 | 700 | 5.11 .00 | 50 | 95 |
| HC7-99 | 5.14 .00 | 1430 | 23.7 | 29.5 | 1542 | 2.8 .00 | 18.1 | 1452 | 5.8 .000 | 45 | 97 |
| HC7-99 | 5.15 .00 |  | 21.6 | 29.1 | 1426 | 5.13 .00 | 12.6 | 710 | 5.15 .00 | 33.3 | 73 |
| HC7-99 | 5.16 .00 |  | 18.4 | 26.2 |  |  | 10.9 |  |  | 42 | 97 |
| HC7-99 | 5.17 .00 | 1330 | 27.8 | 30.5 | 1505 | 5.12 .00 | 12.9 | 700 | 5.11 .00 | 50 | 95 |
| HC7-99 | 5.18 .00 | - | 26.2 | 30.8 |  |  | 19.2 |  |  | 45 | 92 |
| HC7-99 | 5.19 .00 | 1400 | 21.6 | 29.1 | 1426 | 5.13 .00 | 12.6 | 710 | 5.15 .00 | 33 | 73 |
| HC7-99 | 5.20 .00 |  | 22.9 | 16.3 |  |  | 19.2 |  |  | 97 | 97 |
| HC7-99 | 5.21 .00 | 1621 | 22.6 | 26.2 | 1653 | 5.16 .00 | 10.9 | 713 | 5.16 .00 | 65 | 82 |
| HC7-99 | 5.22 .00 | 730 | 15.8 | 30.8 | 1609 | 5.18 .00 | 15.7 | 713 | 5.22 .00 | 97 | 97 |


| ID\# | Date | Time of H Hum | Date of H Hum | L Hum | Time of L Hum | Date of $L$ Hum | ADD | AHD | MAX BRC | MID BRC | MIN BRC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HC7-99 | 5.1 .00 |  |  | 26 |  |  | 22.05 | 61.5 | 30800 | 30800 | 27400 |
| HC7-99 | 5.2 .00 | 749 | 4.28 .00 | 27 | 1550 | 4.27 .00 | 39.05 | 123.5 | 6680 | 6300 | 6250 |
| HC7-99 | 5.3 .00 | 920 | 4.29 .00 | 38 | 1227 | 4.29 .00 | 54.8 | 191 | 7330 | 6570 | 6490 |
| HC7-99 | 5.4 .00 | 1029 | 4.30 .00 | 32 | 1307 | 4.29 .00 | 69.85 | 255.5 |  |  |  |
| HC7-99 | 5.5.00 | 902 | 5.1 .00 | 26 | 1810 | 4.30 .00 | 88.2 | 317 | 31300 | 9780 | 5360 |
| HC7-99 | 5.6 .00 | 544 | 5.2 .00 | 34 | 1754 | 5.1 .00 | 109.75 | 377 | 31300 | 23300 | 17100 |
| HC7-99 | 5.7 .00 | 959 | 5.3 .00 | 48 | 1522 | 5.3 .00 | 131.35 | 449.5 | 39700 | 27800 | 22300 |
| HC7-99 | 5.8 .00 | 710 | 5.8 .00 | 32 | 1512 | 5.7 .00 | 155.45 | 512 | 43200 | 31100 | 20900 |
| HC7-99 | 5.9.00 | 1040 | 5.5 .00 | 44 | 1547 | 5.3 .00 | 177.5 | 582.5 |  |  |  |
| HC7-99 | 5.10 .00 | 1001 | 5.6 .00 | 42 | 1438 | 5.6 .00 | 199.6 | 652 | 28000 | 23100 | 20400 |
| HC7-99 | 5.11 .00 | 904 | 5.7 .00 | 32 | 1432 | 5.7 .00 | 222.95 | 716.5 |  |  |  |
| HC7-99 | 5.12 .00 | 710 | 5.8 .00 | 32 | 1512 | 5.7 .00 | 247.05 | 779 |  |  |  |
| HC7-99 | 5.13 .00 | 616 | 5.11 .00 | 42 | 1432 | 5.11.00 | 274.85 | 829 | 36900 | 35100 | 34500 |
| HC7-99 | 5.14 .00 | 1002 | 5.10 .00 | 37 | 1452 | 5.8.00 | 298.55 | 874 |  |  |  |
| HC7-99 | 5.15 .00 | 9.24 | 5.15.00 | 32 | 1350 | 5.15 .00 | 320.15 | 907.3 | 30200 | 23400 | 3240 |
| HC7-99 | 5.16 .00 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 338.55 | 949.3 |  |  |  |
| HC7-99 | 5.17 .00 | 616 | 5.11 .00 | 42 | 1432 | 5.11 .00 | 366.35 | 999.3 | 13300 | 13000 | 8580 |
| HC7-99 | 5.18 .00 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 392.55 | 1044.3 |  |  |  |
| HC7-99 | 5.19 .00 | 9.24 | 5.15.00 | 32 | 1350 | 5.15 .00 | 414.15 | 1077.3 |  |  |  |
| HC7-99 | 5.20 .00 |  |  | 29 |  |  | 437.05 | 1174.3 |  |  |  |
| HC7-99 | 5.21 .00 | 858 | 5.16 .00 | 25 | 1757 | 5.15 .00 | 459.65 | 1239.3 |  |  |  |
| HC7-99 | 5.22 .00 | 723 | 5.22 .00 | 32 | 14.18 | 5.12 .00 | 475.45 | 1336.3 | 13300 | 13000 | 8580 |


| ID\# | Date | Rain (cm) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HC7-99 | 5.1 .00 | 0 | facility 5.1 .00 1730 abdomin discolored lower r quadrant |
| HC7-99 | 5.2 .00 | 6 | continues to discolor |
| HC7-99 | 5.3 .00 |  | discolored |
| HC7-99 | 5.4 .00 |  | no sample |
| HC7-99 | 5.5 .00 |  | discolored |
| HC7-99 | 5.6 .00 |  | begins to bloate r side of belly |
| HC7-99 | 5.7 .00 |  | continues to bloat, skin slippage, lot of liquid in bag |
| HC7-99 | 5.8 .00 |  | full bloate, skin slippage increases, marbling begins |
| HC7-99 | 5.9 .00 |  | no sample |
| HC7-99 | 5.10 .00 |  | complete bloate mandible and mid face skeletonized |
| HC7-99 | 5.11 .00 |  | no sample |
| HC7-99 | 5.12 .00 |  | no sample body complete maggot cover |
| HC7-99 | 5.13 .00 | 0 | no sample |
| HC7-99 | 5.14 .00 | 0 | no |
| HC7-99 | 5.15 .00 | 0 | bloating in abdomin continues to skeletonized extensive maggots |
| HC7-99 | 5.16 .00 |  | no sample |
| HC7-99 | 5.17 .00 | 0 | body continues to skeletonize no bloating |
| HC7-99 | 5.18 .00 |  | no sample |
| HC7-99 | 5.19 .00 | 0 | no sample |
| HC7-99 | 5.20 .00 |  | no sample |
| HC7-99 | 5.21 .00 | 0 |  |
| HC7-99 | 5.22 .00 |  | no sample |



| ID\# | Date | Time of H Hum | Date of H Hum | L Hum | Time of $L$ Hum | Date of $L$ Hum | ADD | AHD | MAX BRC | MID BRC | MIN BRC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.00 | 3.22 .00 | 900 | 3.22 .00 | 36 | 1722 | 3.21 .00 | 12.7 | 0 | 4910 | 2840 | 2670 |
| 3.00 | 3.23 .00 | 849 | 3.23.00 | 34 | 1344 | 3.23.00 | 26.4 | 65.5 | 5280 | 3580 | 2400 |
| 3.00 | 3.24 .00 | 851 | 3.24.00 | 31 | 1728 | 3.23 .00 | 42.8 | 129.5 |  |  |  |
| 3.00 | 3.25 .00 | 1502 | 3.25.00 | 31 | 1600 | 3.24 .00 | 63.4 | 193.5 | 4950 | 4870 | 2160 |
| 3.00 | 3.26 .00 | 918 | 3.26.00 | 21 | 1545 | 3.26.00 | 82.7 | 252.5 |  |  |  |
| 3.00 | 3.27 .00 | 1314 | 3.27 .00 | 22 | 1750 | 3.26 .00 | 98.25 | 312 | 11600 | 8100 | 4630 |
| 3.00 | 3.28 .00 | 1423 | 3.27 .00 | 18 | 1431 | 3.28 .00 | 110.1 | 369.5 | 13200 | 11800 | 8070 |
| 3.00 | 3.29 .00 | 820 | 3.29 .00 | 20 | 1458 | 3.28.00 | 119.4 | 424 | 26700 | 25300 | 23200 |
| 3.00 | 3.30 .00 | 1029 | 3.30 .00 | 32 | 1523 | 3.29.00 | 131.9 | 488.5 |  |  |  |
| 3.00 | 3.31 .00 | 845 | 3.31 .00 | 33 | 1244 | 3.31 .00 | 144.9 | 553.5 | 26700 | 24000 | 19800 |
| 3.00 | 4.1 .00 | 705 | 4.1 .00 | 22 | 1720 | 3.31 .00 | 159.6 | 610.5 | 49700 | 30200 | 12200 |
| 3.00 | 4.2 .00 | 1121 | 4.2 .00 | 32 | 1524 | 4.1 .00 | 179.95 | 675 | 49000 | 42500 | 26500 |
| 3.00 | 4.3 .00 | 12.36 | 4.3 .00 | 75 | 1808 | 4.2.00 | 198.05 | 761 |  |  |  |
| 3.00 | 4.4 .00 | 855 | 4.4 .00 | 35 | 1350 | 4.4 .00 | 210.25 | 827 | 31400 | 25900 | 25600 |
| 3.00 | 4.5 .00 | 900 | 4.5 .00 | 31 | 1703 | 4.4 .00 | 216.45 | 891 | 9940 | 9910 | 9190 |
| 3.00 | 4.6 .00 | 816 | 4.6 .00 | 26 | 1622 | 4.5 .00 | 233.75 | 932 | 28000 | 22600 | 15800 |
| 3.00 | 4.7 .00 | 635 | 4.7 .00 | 36 | 1800 | 4.6 .00 | 252.5 | 996.5 |  |  |  |
| 3.00 | 4.8 .00 |  |  | 36 |  |  | 265.16 | 1062 |  |  |  |
| 3.00 | 4.9 .00 | 1024 | 4.8 .00 | 36 | 1321 | 4.9 .00 | 278.11 | 1128.5 |  |  |  |
| 3.00 | 4.10 .00 | 616 | 4.10 .00 | 24 | 1513 | 4.10 .00 | 291.96 | 1180.5 |  |  |  |
| 3.00 | 4.11 .00 | 735 | 4.11 .00 | 24 | 1648 | 4.10 .00 | 307.66 | 1235.5 |  |  |  |
| 3.00 | 4.12 .00 | 740 | 4.12 .00 | 45 | 1604 | 4.12 .00 | 322.26 | 1306.5 | 49700 | 46600 | 45500 |
| 3.00 | 4.13 .00 | 1356 | 4.13 .00 | 43 | 1707 | 4.12 .00 | 335.81 | 1376.5 | 47300 | 44700 | 44400 |
| 3.00 | 4.14 .00 | 1055 | 4.14.00 | 57 | 1349 | 4.14 .00 | 350.46 | 1453.5 | 47100 | 45500 | 44000 |
| 3.00 | 4.15 .00 |  |  | 46 |  |  | 367.06 | 1525 |  |  |  |
| 3.00 | 4.16 .00 |  |  | 46 |  |  | 386.395 | 1596.5 |  |  |  |
| 3.00 | 4.17.00 | 1012 | 4.17 .00 | 34 | 1716 | 4.16 .00 | 405.995 | 1662 | 39300 | 38700 | 38100 |
| 3.00 | 4.18 .00 | 1001 | 4.18.00 | 47 | 1746 | 4.17 .00 | 423.245 | 1734 | 37400 | 36300 | 27200 |
| 3.00 | 4.19 .00 | 1006 | 4.19 .00 | 54 | 1247 | 4.19 .00 | 437.695 | 1809.5 | 29900 | 27000 | 19600 |
| 3.00 | 4.20 .00 | 934 | 4.20 .00 | 34 | 1249 | 4.20 .00 | 457.545 | 1875 | 37400 | 31700 | 31200 |


|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID\# | Date | Rain (cm) | Comments |
| 3.00 | 3.22 .00 |  | fresh |
| 3.00 | 3.23 .00 |  | fresh |
| 3.00 | 3.24 .00 | 0 | no sample |
| 3.00 | 3.25 .00 | 4 | fresh |
| 3.00 | 3.26 .00 | 0 | no sample |
| 3.00 | 3.27 .00 | 7 | discolored-face |
| 3.00 | 3.28 .00 | 3 | discolored-face |
| 3.00 | 3.29 .00 | 0 | bloated |
| 3.00 | 3.30 .00 | 0 | no sample |
| 3.00 | 3.31 .00 | 0 | bloated |
| 3.00 | 4.1 .00 | 1 | no comment |
| 3.00 | 4.2 .00 | 0 | Bloated |
| 3.00 | 4.3 .00 | 3 | no sample |
| 3.00 | 4.4 .00 | 7 | FD spilled out of body bag. Skeletonizing |
| 3.00 | 4.5 .00 | 0 | Weak pump. Thick DF spilling from bag |
| 3.00 | 4.6 .00 | 0 | A lot of DF flowing from bag |
| 3.00 | 4.7 .00 | 0 | no sample taken |
| 3.00 | 4.8 .00 | 0 | no sample |
| 3.00 | 4.9 .00 | 4 | no sample taken |
| 3.00 | 4.10 .00 | 0 | no sample |
| 3.00 | 4.11 .00 | 0 | no sample |
| 3.00 | 4.12 .00 | 1 | no comment |
| 3.00 | 4.13 .00 | 5 | nomern not much odor |
| 3.00 | 4.14 .00 | 2 | continues to foam |
| 3.00 | 4.15 .00 | 0 | no longer bloated-gooing greatly |
| 3.00 | 4.16 .00 | 0 | no sample |
| 3.00 | 4.17 .00 | 0 | body nearly complete skeleton great maggots decomp foam in pipets |
| 3.00 | 4.18 .00 | 1 | a lot of foam and foul |
| 3.00 | 4.19 .00 | 1 | nolle |
| 3.00 | 4.20 .00 | 0 |  |



| ID\# | Date | Time of H Hum | Date of H Hum | L Hum | Time of L Hum | Date of L Hum | ADD | AHD | MAX BRC | MID BRC | MIN BRC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.00 | 3.24 .00 | 851 | 3.24.00 | 31 | 1728 | 3.23.00 | 16.4 | 64 | 4900 | 4660 | 4550 |
| 4.00 | 3.25 .00 | 1502 | 3.25.00 | 31 | 1600 | 3.24 .00 | 37 | 128 | 5100 | 4990 | 3120 |
| 4.00 | 3.26 .00 | 918 | 3.26 .00 | 21 | 1545 | 3.26.00 | 56.3 | 187 |  |  |  |
| 4.00 | 3.27 .00 | 1314 | 3.27 .00 | 22 | 1750 | 3.26 .00 | 71.85 | 246.5 | 6570 | 5790 | 4940 |
| 4.00 | 3.28 .00 | 1423 | 3.27 .00 | 18 | 1431 | 3.28.00 | 83.7 | 304 | 7300 | 4950 | 4260 |
| 4.00 | 3.29 .00 | 820 | 3.29 .00 | 20 | 1458 | 3.28 .00 | 93 | 358.5 | 20600 | 18500 | 7940 |
| 4.00 | 3.30 .00 | 1029 | 3.30 .00 | 32 | 1523 | 3.29.00 | 105.5 | 423 |  |  |  |
| 4.00 | 3.31 .00 | 845 | 3.31 .00 | 33 | 1244 | 3.31 .00 | 118.5 | 488 |  |  |  |
| 4.00 | 4.1 .00 | 705 | 4.1 .00 | 22 | 1720 | 3.31 .00 | 133.2 | 545 |  |  |  |
| 4.00 | 4.2 .00 | 1121 | 4.2 .00 | 32 | 1524 | 4.1 .00 | 153.55 | 609.5 | 23100 | 21300 | 15500 |
| 4.00 | 4.3.00 | 12.36 | 4.3 .00 | 75 | 1808 | 4.2 .00 | 171.65 | 695.5 | 20300 | 18900 | 17900 |
| 4.00 | 4.4 .00 | 855 | 4.4 .00 | 35 | 1350 | 4.4 .00 | 183.85 | 761.5 | 29400 | 25900 | 25200 |
| 4.00 | 4.5 .00 | 900 | 4.5 .00 | 31 | 1703 | 4.4.00 | 190.05 | 825.5 | 29200 | 13600 | 13100 |
| 4.00 | 4.6 .00 | 816 | 4.6 .00 | 26 | 1622 | 4.5 .00 | 207.35 | 866.5 | 30600 | 27900 | 27600 |
| 4.00 | 4.7 .00 | 635 | 4.7 .00 | 36 | 1800 | 4.6 .00 | 226.1 | 931 | 36800 | 34000 | 24000 |
| 4.00 | 4.8 .00 |  |  | 36 |  |  | 238.76 | 996.5 |  |  |  |
| 4.00 | 4.9 .00 | 1024 | 4.8 .00 | 36 | 1321 | 4.9 .00 | 251.71 | 1063 | 42000 | 40400 | 25000 |
| 4.00 | 4.10 .00 | 616 | 4.10 .00 | 24 | 1513 | 4.10 .00 | 265.56 | 1115 |  |  |  |
| 4.00 | 4.11 .00 | 735 | 4.11 .00 | 24 | 1648 | 4.10 .00 | 281.26 | 1170 |  |  |  |
| 4.00 | 4.12 .00 | 740 | 4.12 .00 | 45 | 1604 | 4.12 .00 | 295.86 | 1241 | 36400 | 36400 | 36200 |
| 4.00 | 4.13 .00 | 1356 | 4.13 .00 | 43 | 1707 | 4.12 .00 | 309.41 | 1311 | 36200 | 34400 | 34300 |
| 4.00 | 4.14.00 | 1055 | 4.14.00 | 57 | 1349 | 4.14 .00 | 324.06 | 1388 | 37000 | 35900 | 34400 |
| 4.00 | 4.15 .00 |  |  | 46 |  |  | 340.66 | 1459.5 |  |  |  |
| 4.00 | 4.16 .00 |  |  | 46 |  |  | 359.995 | 1531 |  |  |  |
| 4.00 | 4.17 .00 | 1012 | 4.17 .00 | 34 | 1716 | 4.16 .00 | 379.595 | 1596.5 | 44300 | 38600 | 38600 |
| 4.00 | 4.18 .00 | 1001 | 4.18 .00 | 47 | 1746 | 4.17 .00 | 396.845 | 1668.5 | 33000 | 29800 | 29600 |
| 4.00 | 4.19 .00 | 1006 | 4.19 .00 | 54 | 1247 | 4.19 .00 | 411.295 | 1744 | 38100 | 35600 | 25800 |
| 4.00 | 4.20 .00 | 934 | 4.20 .00 | 34 | 1249 | 4.20 .00 | 431.145 | 1809.5 | 29700 | 27200 | 25800 |


| ID\# | Date | Rain (cm) | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.00 | 3.24.00 | 0 | fresh |
| 4.00 | 3.25 .00 | 4 | discolored |
| 4.00 | 3.26 .00 | 0 | no sample |
| 4.00 | 3.27 .00 | 7 | discolored animals eating arm |
| 4.00 | 3.28 .00 | 3 | discolored animals eating arm |
| 4.00 | 3.29 .00 | 0 | discolored animals eating arm |
| 4.00 | 3.30 .00 | 0 | no sample |
| 4.00 | 3.31 .00 | 0 | no sample |
| 4.00 | 4.1.00 | 1 |  |
| 4.00 | 4.2 .00 | 0 | no bloating massive cranial maggots |
| 4.00 | 4.3 .00 | 3 | massive cranial maggots. Sampled near abdomen |
| 4.00 | 4.4 .00 | 7 | pump stopped during sampling |
| 4.00 | 4.5 .00 | 0 | weak pump |
| 4.00 | 4.6 .00 | 0 | no comment |
| 4.00 | 4.7 .00 | 0 | DF collecting in body bag. Body never bloated. |
| 4.00 | 4.8 .00 | 0 | no sample |
| 4.00 | 4.9 .00 | 4 | pump stopped during sampling |
| 4.00 | 4.10 .00 | 0 | no sample |
| 4.00 | 4.11 .00 | 0 | no sample |
| 4.00 | 4.12 .00 | 1 | Body smells minty maggot activity continues but slow |
| 4.00 | 4.13 .00 | 5 | Good sample |
| 4.00 | 4.14 .00 | 2 | body never bloated, dry decay |
| 4.00 | 4.15 .00 | 0 | no sample |
| 4.00 | 4.16 .00 | 0 | no sample |
| 4.00 | 4.17 .00 | 0 | raining hard during sample |
| 4.00 | 4.18 .00 | 1 | dry decomp odd smell |
| 4.00 | 4.19 .00 | 1 | lots of beetles |
| 4.00 | 4.20 .00 | 0 | pipets fell out of bag while sampling. |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ¹ } \\ & \text { エ } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\infty$ | దু | ） | の | N | 人 | か | 人 | ¢ | 0 | \％ | ${ }^{\circ}$ |  | 8 | $\bigcirc$ | か | － | ¢ | へ－ | の | ふ | ¢ | － | － |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\bar{E}}$ | 은 | 10 | 8 | O | ¢ | 안 | か | 人） | n | 10 | －9 | $テ$ | ¢ | ¢ | $\stackrel{4}{\sim}$ | 10 | $\bigcirc$ | ¢ | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | $\cdots$ | $\bigcirc$ | ल |
|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & m \\ & m \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \vdots \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \\ & ल \end{aligned}\right.$ | 8 <br> 0 <br> 0 |  | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \vdots \\ & \dot{m} \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 8 \\ \stackrel{8}{\dot{j}} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 8 \\ \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{j}} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 8 \\ 0 \\ \dot{寸} \end{gathered}\right.$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 8 \\ \hline 10 \\ 8 \\ 8 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 8 \\ 0 \\ + \\ + \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 8 \\ \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{v}} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \hline 8 \\ & \hline \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 8 \\ \stackrel{8}{\dot{o}} \\ \underset{\dot{\sigma}}{ } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 8 \\ \frac{8}{\dot{\sigma}} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 8 \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{i}} \\ \dot{\mathrm{j}} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ \dot{9} \\ \dot{j} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 8 \\ 8 \\ \dot{寸} \\ \dot{寸} \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{8} \\ & \dot{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 8 \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ \dot{\sim} \\ \dot{\sim} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline- \\ & \hline-1 \end{aligned}$ | － |
|  | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | N |  |  | 앗 | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 9 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | \|o | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | $\left\|\frac{0}{\bar{\sigma}}\right\|$ | $\hat{N}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{N}{N} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|c} 0 \\ \mathbf{N} \end{array}\right.$ |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & N \\ & N \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\|\frac{10}{N}\right\|$ | $\|\stackrel{প}{\bar{\circ}}\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} N \\ N \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | $\|\stackrel{\pi}{\sigma}\|$ | $\frac{m}{N}$ | ¢ |
| $\underset{\underset{O}{\square}}{\stackrel{0}{\square}}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\text { F }}$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | $\pm$ | ＋ | \％ | $\underset{\circ}{\dot{\circ}}$ | $\left.\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}\right.\right\}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \dot{\sim} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 1 \\ \mathbf{o} \\ \hline \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} N \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \\ \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} N \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} n \\ 0 \\ i \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & m \\ & m \end{aligned}$ | $\cdots$ | 둥 | $\stackrel{+}{\mathbf{N}}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ \underset{\sim}{c} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | $F$ | ${ }_{0}$ | $\stackrel{-}{\square}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \underset{~}{n} \\ & \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 8 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \underset{c}{c} \\ \infty \end{array}\right\|$ | $\mathfrak{c}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{O} \\ \mathbf{c} \\ ल \\ m \end{gathered}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \\ & \underset{子}{2} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & m \\ & \dot{m} \\ & \dot{2} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \underset{O}{0} \\ \dot{q} \\ \dot{d} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{寸} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 8 \\ 0 \\ \dot{寸} \\ \dot{寸} \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{8} \\ & \dot{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & \dot{8} \\ & \dot{y} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 8 \\ \underset{\sim}{\dot{j}} \\ \dot{子} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 8 \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \\ \stackrel{子}{+} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 8 \\ \dot{寸} \\ \dot{\dot{f}} \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{6} \\ & \dot{+} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \stackrel{0}{\mathrm{r}} \\ \dot{r} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 8 \\ \frac{8}{9} \\ \dot{r} \end{array}\right\|$ | － |
|  | $\frac{6}{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{2} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{O}_{2} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \infty \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c} \infty \\ 0 \\ i n \\ \\ \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & -1 \\ & -1 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \underset{N}{N} \\ \stackrel{N}{2} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\,\right.$ | $\left\|\frac{N}{N}\right\|$ |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{n} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \dot{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \stackrel{10}{10} \\ \stackrel{10}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} n \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} N \\ \mathbf{N} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \hat{y} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \underset{4}{\underset{\sim}{2}} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\|\stackrel{O}{\mathrm{~N}}\|$ | $\stackrel{\text { N}}{\sim}$ |
| $\frac{\stackrel{O}{E}}{\stackrel{0}{0}} \underset{I}{I}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \dot{2} \\ & \stackrel{2}{2} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\cdots$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\stackrel{\sigma}{\sigma}$ | N |  | \|o | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{j} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{gathered}\right.$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ \stackrel{O}{N} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{j}{j} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} ⿳ ⺈ \\ \end{array}\right\|$ | $\|\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{F}}\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \hat{i} \\ \dot{\sigma} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 0 \\ \dot{N} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 0 \\ \underset{N}{0} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \underset{\sim}{c} \\ \underset{\sim}{c} \end{array}\right\|$ | N | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 10 \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \underset{\sim}{N} \\ \underset{N}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | N－ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \widehat{U} \\ & \stackrel{a}{c} \\ & \stackrel{O}{\square} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | N |  | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\dot{\sigma}} \stackrel{+}{\tau}$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & \dot{\sim} \\ & \dot{N} \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sigma} \end{array}\right\|$ | $$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \infty \\ \stackrel{\sim}{\mathrm{N}} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\underset{\substack{c \\ N \\ N}}{1}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \underset{\sim}{N} \\ \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\cdots$ | $9$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 0 \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}} \underset{\mathrm{~N}}{ }$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} N \\ \underset{N}{N} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} + \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \underset{\sim}{\dot{f}} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} N \\ \underset{N}{N} \end{array}\right\|$ | － |
| $\stackrel{\text { E }}{\stackrel{0}{=}}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \overline{10} \\ \stackrel{5}{8} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{10}{10}}{\stackrel{10}{2}}$ | $\frac{0}{9}$ |  | $\stackrel{O}{\underset{N}{N}}$ | $\frac{4}{5}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\frac{\infty}{\tau}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N}}{\underset{N}{2}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|c} \hline 8 \\ \hline \end{array}\right.$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { en } \\ \stackrel{c}{c} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | － |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B} \\ & \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{e} \\ \mathbf{C} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\stackrel{-}{\square}$ | － |
| $\stackrel{9}{\stackrel{0}{0}}$ | O N ल m | 0 <br> 0 <br> N <br> N | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & m \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 8 \\ \stackrel{0}{\dot{N}} \\ \dot{寸} \end{array}\right\|$ | 8 $\stackrel{8}{8}$ $\stackrel{+}{4}$ |  | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 8 \\ \hline 10 \\ \vdots \\ \underset{y}{2} \end{array}\right\}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & - \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \hline \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | -1 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> $\vdots$ <br> + | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ \stackrel{8}{\dot{~}} \\ \dot{\sim} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{u}} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{~}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 0 \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{\gamma}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{O}{0} \\ & \dot{f} \\ & \dot{j} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 8 \\ \stackrel{8}{2} \\ \stackrel{8}{8} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c} \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ \dot{0} \\ \dot{寸} \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \underset{\sim}{\dot{~}} \\ & \dot{+} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ \dot{\sigma} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \circ \\ 0 \\ \dot{8} \\ \dot{寸} \end{array}\right\|$ | O－ |
| 亘 | $\left\|\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 8 \\ 5 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\mid 8$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 8 \\ 0 \\ 10 \end{array}\right\|$ | 8 |  |  |  | 8 | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ \hline 8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{gathered} 8 \\ \hline 1 \\ 10 \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ |  |  |  | 8 | $8$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 8 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 8 \\ 8 \\ i \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c} 8 \\ \hline \\ \dot{8} \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 8 \\ 8 \\ 10 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 8 \\ \hline 10 \\ 10 \end{array}\right\|$ | －8 |


| ID\# | Date | Time of H Hum | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date of } \mathrm{H} \\ \text { Hum } \end{gathered}$ | L Hum | Time of $L$ Hum | Date of $L$ Hum | ADD | AHD | MAX BRC | MID BRC | MIN BRC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.00 | 3.28 .00 | 1423 | 3.27 .00 | 18 | 1431 | 3.28 .00 | 11.85 | 57.5 | 11300 | 8550 | 8530 |
| 5.00 | 3.29 .00 | 820 | 3.29.00 | 20 | 1458 | 3.28 .00 | 21.15 | 112 |  |  |  |
| 5.00 | 3.30 .00 | 1029 | 3.30 .00 | 32 | 1523 | 3.29 .00 | 33.65 | 176.5 | 17900 | 17200 | 15000 |
| 5.00 | 3.31 .00 | 845 | 3.31 .00 | 33 | 1244 | 3.31 .00 | 46.65 | 241.5 | 19400 | 19000 | 18200 |
| 5.00 | 4.1.00 | 705 | 4.1.00 | 22 | 1720 | 3.31 .00 | 61.35 | 298.5 | 87400 | 14700 |  |
| 5.00 | 4.2 .00 | 1121 | 4.2 .00 | 32 | 1524 | 4.1 .00 | 81.7 | 363 | 74400 | 7270 | 5910 |
| 5.00 | 4.3.00 | 12.36 | 4.3 .00 | 75 | 1808 | 4.2 .00 | 99.8 | 449 | 18100 | 11500 | 11500 |
| 5.00 | 4.4.00 | 855 | 4.4.00 | 35 | 1350 | 4.4.00 | 112 | 515 | 16800 | 14900 | 14900 |
| 5.00 | 4.5 .00 | 900 | 4.5.00 | 31 | 1703 | 4.4 .00 | 118.2 | 579 | 21800 | 21100 | 16700 |
| 5.00 | 4.6.00 | 816 | 4.6.00 | 26 | 1622 | 4.5 .00 | 135.5 | 620 | 31200 | 28700 |  |
| 5.00 | 4.7 .00 | 635 | 4.7.00 | 36 | 1800 | 4.6.00 | 154.25 | 684.5 | 38300 | 36700 |  |
| 5.00 | 4.8.00 |  |  | 36 |  |  | 166.91 | 750 |  |  |  |
| 5.00 | 4.9.00 | 1024 | 4.8.00 | 36 | 1321 | 4.9 .00 | 179.86 | 816.5 | 45200 | 40600 |  |
| 5.00 | 4.10 .00 | 616 | 4.10 .00 | 24 | 1513 | 4.10 .00 | 193.71 | 868.5 |  |  |  |
| 5.00 | 4.11 .00 | 735 | 4.11 .00 | 24 | 1648 | 4.10 .00 | 209.41 | 923.5 |  |  |  |
| 5.00 | 4.12 .00 | 740 | 4.12 .00 | 45 | 1604 | 4.12 .00 | 224.01 | 994.5 | 35500 | 27100 | 26900 |
| 5.00 | 4.13 .00 | 1356 | 4.13 .00 | 43 | 1707 | 4.12 .00 | 237.56 | 1064.5 | 46700 | 41900 | 27300 |
| 5.00 | 4.14 .00 | 1055 | 4.14 .00 | 57 | 1349 | 4.14 .00 | 252.21 | 1141.5 | 49400 | 47400 | 31100 |
| 5.00 | 4.15 .00 |  |  | 46 |  |  | 268.81 | 1213 |  |  |  |
| 5.00 | 4.16 .00 |  |  | 46 |  |  | 288.145 | 1284.5 |  |  |  |
| 5.00 | 4.17 .00 | 1012 | 4.17 .00 | 34 | 1716 | 4.16 .00 | 307.745 | 1350 | 51100 | 48600 | 45500 |
| 5.00 | 4.18 .00 | 1001 | 4.18 .00 | 47 | 1746 | 4.17 .00 | 324.995 | 1422 |  |  |  |
| . 5.00 | 4.19 .00 | 1006 | 4.19 .00 | 54 | 1247 | 4.19 .00 | 339.445 | 1497.5 | 43500 | 43100 |  |
| 5.00 | 4.20 .00 | 934 | 4.20 .00 | 34 | 1249 | 4.20 .00 | 359.295 | 1563 | 39000 | 35200 | 33400 |


|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID\# | Date | Rain (cm) | Comments |
| 5.00 | 3.28 .00 | 0 | no sample taken and eye. |
| 5.00 | 3.29 .00 | 0 | discolored |
| 5.00 | 3.30 .00 | 0 | discolored |
| 5.00 | 3.31 .00 | 0 | discolored odor of bowel |
| 5.00 | 4.1 .00 | 1 | bloat |
| 5.00 | 4.2 .00 | 1 | odor like bowel raining during sampling |
| 5.00 | 4.3 .00 | 0 | foul odor |
| 5.00 | 4.4 .00 | 3 | bloating and green |
| 5.00 | 4.5 .00 | 7 | many flies in body bag. |
| 5.00 | 4.6 .00 | 0 | Fluid in B |
| 5.00 | 4.7 .00 | 0 | no sample taken |
| 5.00 | 4.8 .00 | 0 | no comment |
| 5.00 | 4.9 .00 | 0 | 4.10 .00 no sample taken |
| 5.00 | 4.10 .00 | 4 | 4.11 .00 no sample |
| 5.00 | 4.11 .00 | 0 | Raining during sample lots on maggots |
| 5.00 | 4.12 .00 | 0 | heavy DF spilling from bag. B lost MS Few maggots in pipets |
| 5.00 | 4.13 .00 | 1 | strong smell of NH4 body still bloated |
| 5.00 | 4.14 .00 | 5 | no sample taken |
| 5.00 | 4.15 .00 | 2 | no sample taken |
| 5.00 | 4.16 .00 | 0 |  |
| 5.00 | 4.17 .00 | 0 | reduced bloating. Lots DF spilling from bag Maggots in all pipets |
| 5.00 | 4.18 .00 | 0 | no sample taken |
| 5.00 | 4.19 .00 | 1 | Many flies and DF from bag. |
| 5.00 | 4.20 .00 | 1 | foul odor |
|  |  |  |  |


| ID\# | Date | Time | Temp (C) | H Temp <br> (C) | Time of H Temp | Date of H Temp | L Temp (C) | Time of $L$ Temp | Date of $L$ Temp | Humidity | H Hum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.00 | 4.21 .00 |  | 4.4 | 4.4 |  |  | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 9.00 | 4.22 .00 |  | 4.4 | 4.4 |  |  | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 9.00 | 4.23.00 |  | 4.4 | 4.4 |  |  | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 9.00 | 4.24.00 |  | 4.4 | 4.4 |  |  | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 9.00 | 4.25 .00 |  | 4.4 | 4.4 |  |  | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 9.00 | 4.26 .00 |  | 4.4 | 4.4 |  |  | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 9.00 | 4.27 .00 | 1500 | 22.3 | 4.4 |  |  | 4.4 |  |  | 29 | 97 |
| 9.00 | 4.28 .00 | 1300 | 16.9 | 22.5 | 1541 | 4.27 .00 | 11.5 | 749 | 4.28.00 | 77 | 97 |
| 9.00 | 4.29 .00 | 1230 | 21.1 | 21.7 | 1735 | 4.28 .00 | 9.8 | 700 | 4.28 .00 | 40 | 97 |
| 9.00 | 4.30 .00 | 1200 | 19.4 | 22.1 | 1305 | 4.29 .00 | 8 | 719 | 4.30 .00 | 43 | 97 |
| 9.00 | 5.1 .00 | 1630 | 26.3 | 27.2 | 1458 | 5.1 .00 | 9.5 | 723 | 5.1 .00 | 33 | 97 |
| 9.00 | 5.2.00 | 1800 | 25.2 | 26.2 | 1702 | 5.2 .00 | 16.9 | 542 | 5.2 .00 | 56 | 86 |
| 9.00 | 5.3.00 | 1530 | 27.8 | 27.8 | 1521 | 5.3.00 | 15.4 | 727 | 5.3.00 | 48 | 97 |
| 9.00 | 5.4.00 |  | 21.8 | 17.5 |  |  | 17.5 |  |  | 49 | 97 |
| 9.00 | 5.5 .00 | 1530 | 26.8 | 28.2 | 1710 | 5.3 .00 | 15.9 | 737 | 5.5 .00 | 50 | 97 |
| 9.00 | 5.6.00 | 1500 | 27.7 | 27.8 | 1421 | 5.6 .00 | 16.4 | 722 | 5.6.00 | 47 | 97 |
| 9.00 | 5.7.00 | 1600 | 29.4 | 29.4 | 1445 | 5.7 .00 | 17.3 | 733 | 5.7 .00 | 35 | 97 |
| 9.00 | 5.8.00 | 1430 | 29.2 | 29.5 | 15 | 5.7 .00 | 18.7 | 632 | 5.8.00 | 40 | 93 |
| 9.00 | 5.9 .00 |  | 25.1 | 29.6 |  |  | 20.3 |  |  | 43 | 97 |
| 9.00 | 5.10 .00 | 1430 | 23.7 | 29.5 | 1542 | 2.8.00 | 18.1 | 1452 | 5.8.000 | 45 | 97 |
| 9.00 | 5.11 .00 |  | 20.6 | 29 |  |  | 11.4 |  |  | 47 | 97 |
| 9.00 | 5.12 .00 |  | 22.9 | 30.5 |  |  | 21.4 |  |  | 47 | 95 |
| 9.00 | 5.13 .00 | 1330 | 27.8 | 30.5 | 1505 | 5.12 .00 | 12.9 | 700 | 5.11.00 | 50 | 95 |
| 9.00 | 5.14 .00 |  | 19 | 23.5 |  |  | 14.2 |  |  | 41.5 | 80 |
| 9.00 | 5.15 .00 | 1400 | 21.6 | 29.1 | 1426 | 5.13.00 | 12.6 | 710 | 5.15.00 | 33 | 73 |
| 9.00 | 5.16 .00 |  | 18.4 | 26.2 |  |  | 10.9 |  |  | 49 | 77 |
| 9.00 | 5.17 .00 | 1621 | 22.6 | 26.2 | 1653 | 5.16.00 | 18.1 | 713 | 5.16.00 | 65 | 82 |
| 9.00 | 5.18 .00 |  | 26.2 | 31.7 |  |  | 19.2 |  |  | 70 | 75 |
| 9.00 | 5.19 .00 |  | 26.8 | 31.8 |  |  | 21.4 |  |  | 73 | 95 |
| 9.00 | 5.20 .00 |  | 22.9 | 32.6 |  |  | 19.2 |  |  | 80 | 97 |


| ID\# | Date | Time of H Hum | Date of H Hum | L Hum | Time of $L$ Hum | Date of $L$ Hum | ADD | AHD | MAX BRC | MID BRC | MIN BRC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.00 | 4.21 .00 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 9.00 | 4.22 .00 |  |  |  |  |  | 8.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 9.00 | 4.23 .00 |  |  |  |  |  | 12.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 9.00 | 4.24 .00 |  |  |  |  |  | 17.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 9.00 | 4.25 .00 |  |  |  |  |  | 21.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 9.00 | 4.26 .00 |  |  |  |  |  | 26 |  |  |  |  |
| 9.00 | 4.27 .00 |  |  | 26 |  |  | 30.4 | 61.5 | 6080 | 5280 | 5060 |
| 9.00 | 4.28 .00 | 749 | 4.28 .00 | 27 | 1550 | 4.27 .00 | 47.4 | 123.5 | 6490 | 6270 | 5920 |
| 9.00 | 4.29 .00 | 920 | 4.29 .00 | 38 | 1227 | 4.29.00 | 63.15 | 191 | 7000 | 6770 | 3570 |
| 9.00 | 4.30 .00 | 1029 | 4.30 .00 | 32 | 1307 | 4.29 .00 | 78.2 | 255.5 | 7600 | 6940 | 5720 |
| 9.00 | 5.1 .00 | 902 | 5.1 .00 | 26 | 1810 | 4.30 .00 | 96.55 | 317 | 7090 | 6490 | 5410 |
| 9.00 | 5.2.00 | 544 | 5.2 .00 | 34 | 1754 | 5.1 .00 | 118.1 | 377 | 7030 | 6270 | 5930 |
| 9.00 | 5.3 .00 | 959 | 5.3 .00 | 48 | 1522 | 5.3.00 | 139.7 | 449.5 | 14100 | 13100 | 5700 |
| 9.00 | 5.4.00 |  |  | 46 |  |  | 157.2 | 521 |  |  |  |
| 9.00 | 5.5 .00 | 1040 | 5.5.00 | 44 | 1547 | 5.3 .00 | 179.25 | 591.5 | 41400 | 39100 | 35500 |
| 9.00 | 5.6.00 | 1001 | 5.6.00 | 42 | 1438 | 5.6 .00 | 201.35 | 661 | 49200 | 48900 | 42200 |
| 9.00 | 5.7 .00 | 904 | 5.7 .00 | 32 | 1432 | 5.7 .00 | 224.7 | 725.5 | 42300 | 37500 | 34800 |
| 9.00 | 5.8.00 | 710 | 5.8 .00 | 32 | 1512 | 5.7 .00 | '248.8 | 788 | 38400 | 38000 | 32500 |
| 9.00 | 5.9 .00 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 273.75 | 854 |  |  |  |
| 9.00 | 5.10 .00 | 1002 | 5.10 .00 | 37 | 1452 | 5.8 .00 | 297.55 | 921 | 36000 | 31000 | 5410 |
| 9.00 | 5.11 .00 |  |  | 40 |  |  | 317.75 | 989.5 |  |  |  |
| 9.00 | 5.12 .00 |  |  | 39 |  |  | 343.7 | 1056.5 |  |  |  |
| 9.00 | 5.13 .00 | 616 | 5.11.00 | 42 | 1432 | 5.11 .00 | 365.4 | 1125 | 37900 | 36000 | 32300 |
| 9.00 | 5.14 .00 |  |  | 42 |  |  | 384.25 | 1186 |  |  |  |
| 9.00 | 5.15 .00 | 9.24 | 5.15.00 | 32 | 1350 | 5.15.00 | 405.1 | 1238.5 | 39500 | 34900 | 33300 |
| 9.00 | 5.16 .00 |  |  | 29 |  |  | 423.65 | 1291.5 |  |  |  |
| 9.00 | 5.17.00 | 858 | 5.16.00 | 25 | 1757 | 5.15 .00 | 445.8 | 1345 | 37700 | 34600 | 33400 |
| 9.00 | 5.18 .00 |  |  | 28 |  |  | 471.25 | 1396.5 |  |  |  |
| 9.00 | 5.19 .00 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 497.85 | 1461.5 |  |  |  |
| 9.00 | 5.20 .00 |  |  | 32 |  |  | 523.75 | 1526 |  |  |  |


| ID\# | Date | Rain (cm) | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.00 | 4.21 .00 |  | Morgue Cooler |
| 9.00 | 4.22 .00 |  | Morgue Cooler |
| 9.00 | 4.23 .00 |  | Morgue Cooler |
| 9.00 | 4.24 .00 |  | Morgue Cooler |
| 9.00 | 4.25 .00 |  | Morgue Cooler |
| 9.00 | 4.26 .00 |  | Morgue Cooler |
| 9.00 | 4.27 .00 | 0 | TOD 2009 on 4.21 .00 facility 4.27 .00 w-f-43 possible drug overdose |
| 9.00 | 4.28 .00 | 6 | fresh-no maggots |
| 9.00 | 4.29 .00 | 0 | fresh-more fly activity |
| 9.00 | 4.30 .00 | 0 | fresh |
| 9.00 | 5.1 .00 | 0 | r arm beginning to marble intestines beginning to discolor |
| 9.00 | 5.2.00 | 0 | face bloated abdomen slightly are continues to marble odor |
| 9.00 | 5.3 .00 | 0 | body bloated marbling organs liquefying |
| 9.00 | 5.4 .00 |  | no sample |
| 9.00 | 5.5 .00 |  | body significantly more bloated-small maggots-intestines ballooning |
| 9.00 | 5.6 .00 |  | body still severely bloated great odor |
| 9.00 | 5.7 .00 |  | many maggots along zipper of bag |
| 9.00 | 5.8.00 |  | body still bloated maggot pop increasing leg skin darkehing |
| 9.00 | 5.9 .00 |  | no sample |
| 9.00 | 5.10 .00 | 0 | body still bloated |
| 9.00 | 5.11 .00 |  | no sample |
| 9.00 | 5.12 .00 |  | no sample |
| 9.00 | 5.13 .00 | 0 | motor quit running during sampling extensive maggots. Body bloated |
| 9.00 | 5.14 .00 |  | no sample |
| 9.00 | 5.15 .00 | 0 | bloating declining |
| 9.00 | 5.16 .00 |  | no sample |
| 9.00 | 5.17 .00 | 0 | bloating absent...skeletonizing |
| 9.00 | 5.18 .00 |  | no sample |
| 9.00 | 5.19 .00 |  | no sample |
| 9.00 | 5.20 .00 |  | no sample |


| 1D\# | Date | Time | Temp (C) | H Temp <br> (C) | Time of H Temp | Date of H Temp | L Temp <br> (C) | Time of L Temp | Date of L Temp | Humidity | H Hum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.00 | 5.21 .00 |  | 22.9 | 25.7 |  |  | 17.1 |  |  | 97 | 97 |
| 9.00 | 5.22 .00 | 719 | 15.8 | 30.8 | 1609 | 5.18.00 | 15.7 | 713 | 5.22.00 | 97 | 97 |


| ID\# | Date | Time of H Hum | Date of H Hum | L Hum | Time of $L$ Hum | Date of $L$ Hum | ADD | AHD | MAX BRC | MID BRC | MIN BRC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.00 | 5.21 .00 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 545.15 | 1592 |  |  |  |
| 9.00 | 5.22 .00 | 726 | 5.22.00 | 32 | 1418 | 5.18 .00 | 568.4 | 1656.5 | 39700 | 37500 | 35200 |



| ID\# | Date | Time | Temp (C) | H Temp <br> (C) | Time of H Temp | Date of H Temp | L Temp <br> (C) | Time of $L$ Temp | Date of $L$ Temp | Humidity | H Hum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1100 | 6.14 .00 |  | 4.4 | 4.4 |  |  | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 1100 | 6.15 .00 |  | 28.4 | 32.9 |  |  | 23.6 |  |  | 97 | 97 |
| 1100 | 6.16 .00 |  | 25.1 | 27.9 |  |  | 22 |  |  | 95 | 97 |
| 1100 | 6.17.00 |  | 26.8 | 31.3 |  |  | 21.4 |  |  | 97 | 97 |
| 1100 | 6.18 .00 | 1600 | 25.2 | 26.5 | 1234 | 6.18 .00 | 20.9 | 745 | 6.18 .00 | 97 | 97 |
| 1100 | 6.19 .00 | 700 | 21.3 | 26 | 1826 | 6.18 .00 | 21.4 | 652 | 6.19.00 | 97 | 97 |
| 1100 | 6.20 .00 |  | 26.2 | 29 |  |  | 23.1 |  |  | 97 | 97 |
| 1100 | 6.21 .00 | 700 | 22 | 29.7 | 1531 | 6.20.00 | 21.5 | 726 | 6.19.00 | 97 | 97 |
| 1100 | 6.22 .00 |  | 25.6 | 29 |  |  | 22 |  |  | 95 | 97 |
| 1100 | 6.23.00 |  | 26.2 | 31.8 |  |  | 19.7 |  |  | 97 | 97 |
| 1100 | 6.24.00 | 700 | 22.3 | 32.9 |  |  | 19.5 |  |  | 92 | 97 |
| 1100 | 6.25 .00 | 1400 | 29.6 | 31.8 | 1653 | 6.24 .00 | 21.7 | 610 | 6.25.00 | 66 | 92 |
| 1100 | 6.26 .00 |  | 27.3 | 31.3 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  | 68 | 95 |
| 1100 | 6.27.00 | 1700 | 27.1 | 31.6 | 1453 | 6.26.00 | 22.3 | 740 | 6.27.00 | 71 | 93 |
| 1100 | 6.28.00 |  | 25.6 | 27.4 |  |  | 23.1 |  |  | 97 | 97 |
| 1100 | 6.29 .00 |  | 24 | 27.4 |  |  | 19.7 |  |  | 95 | 95 |
| 1100 | 6.30 .00 | 700 | 17.7 | 28.2 | 1731 | 6.27 .00 | '17.7 | 715 | 6.30.00 | 96 | 97 |
| 1100 | 7.1 .00 |  | 24.5 | 30.1 |  |  | 18.1 |  |  | 86 | 92 |
| 1100 | 7.2 .00 |  | 24.5 | 31.3 |  |  | 17.5 |  |  | 95 | 95 |
| 1100 | 7.3.00 |  | 26.8 | 32.4 |  |  | 20.8 |  |  | 66 | 97 |
| 1100 | 7.4 .00 |  | 27.3 | 33.5 |  |  | 22.5 |  |  | 75 | 97 |
| 1100 | 7.5 .00 | 1800 | 28.6 | 32.2 | 1454 | 7.3.00 | 17.7 | 718 | 6.30 .00 | 71 | 97 |
| 1100 | 7.6.00 |  | 28.4 | 32.9 |  |  | 17.9 |  |  | 68 | 95 |
| 1100 | 7.7 .00 | 1430 | 30.6 | 31.9 | 1656 | 7.6.00 | 21.6 | 658 | 7.7 .00 | 47 | 97 |
| 1100 | 7.8.00 |  | 27.3 | 32.9 |  |  | 21.4 |  |  | 60 | 92 |
| 1100 | 7.9 .00 | 1800 | 32.6 | 33.5 | 1455 | 7.9.00 | 20.5 | 643 | 7.8.00 | 58 | 95 |
| 1100 | 7.10 .00 |  | 30.1 | 34.6 |  |  | 22.3 |  |  | 55 | 97 |
| 1100 | 7.11 .00 | 1500 | 34 | 34.3 | 1508 | 7.10 .00 | 22.5 | 835 | 7.11.00 | 53 | 97 |
| 1100 | 7.12.00 |  | 27.3 | 30.7 |  |  | 22.4 |  |  | 48 | 97 |


| ID\# | Date | Time of H Hum | Date of H Hum | L Hum | Time of L Hum | Date of $L$ Hum | ADD | AHD | MAX BRC | MID BRC | MIN BRC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1100 | 6.14 .00 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.4 |  | 8220 | 7050 | 6080 |
| 1100 | 6.15.00 |  |  | 81 |  |  | 32.65 | 81 |  |  |  |
| 1100 | 6.16 .00 |  |  | 85 |  |  | 57.6 | 180 |  |  |  |
| 1100 | 6.17 .00 |  |  | 80 |  |  | 83.95 | 268.5 |  |  |  |
| 1100 | 6.18 .00 | 1600 | 6.18 .00 | 81 | 1253 | 6.18 .00 | 107.65 | 357.5 | 14400 | 14000 | 11300 |
| 1100 | 6.19 .00 | 700 | 6.19 .00 | 83 | 1919 | 6.18 .00 | 131.35 | 447.5 | 15000 | 15000 | 11300 |
| 1100 | 6.20 .00 |  |  | 84 |  |  | 157.4 | 538 |  |  |  |
| 1100 | 6.21 .00 | 432 | 6.20 .00 | 68 | 1450 | 6.20.00 | 183 | 620.5 | 5050 | 4870 | 4780 |
| 1100 | 6.22 .00 |  |  | 79 |  |  | 208.5 | 708.5 |  |  |  |
| 1100 | 6.23.00 |  |  | 68 |  |  | 234.25 | 791 |  |  |  |
| 1100 | 6.24.00 |  |  | 72 |  |  | 260.45 | 875.5 | 5390 | 5180 | 4710 |
| 1100 | 6.25 .00 | 936 | 6.24.00 | 42 | 1744 | 6.24.00 | 287.2 | 942.5 | 6190 | 5000 | 4760 |
| 1100 | 6.26 .00 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 314.1 | 1012.5 |  |  |  |
| 1100 | 6.27 .00 | 312 | 6.27 .00 | 50 | 1553 | 6.26 .00 | 341.05 | 1084 | 4910 | 4710 | 3740 |
| 1100 | 6.28.00 |  |  | 68 |  |  | 366.3 | 1166.5 |  |  |  |
| 1100 | 6.29.00 |  |  | 52 |  |  | 389.85 | 1240 |  |  |  |
| 1100 | 6.30 .00 | 1310 | 6.29.00 | 43 | 1933 | 6.29.00 | ${ }^{1} 412.8$ | 1310 | 5030 | 4760 | 4700 |
| 1100 | 7.1 .00 |  |  | 52 |  |  | 436.9 | 1382 |  |  |  |
| 1100 | 7.2 .00 |  |  | 46 |  |  | 461.3 | 1452.5 |  |  |  |
| 1100 | 7.3 .00 |  |  | 49 |  |  | 487.9 | 1525.5 |  |  |  |
| 1100 | 7.4.00 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 515.9 | 1591.5 |  |  |  |
| 1100 | 7.5 .00 | 855 | 6.30.00 | 33 | 1612 | 7.2.00 | 540.85 | 1656.5 | 5110 | 4650 | 4340 |
| 1100 | 7.6 .00 |  |  | 39 |  |  | 566.25 | 1723.5 |  |  |  |
| 1100 | 7.7.00 | 826 | 7.7.00 | 46 | 1432 | 7.7 .00 | 593 | 1795 | 4930 | 4900 | 2430 |
| 1100 | 7.8.00 |  |  | 46 |  |  | 620.15 | 1864 |  |  |  |
| 1100 | 7.9.00 | 815 | 7.9 .00 | 46 | 1443 | 7.7.00 | 647.15 | 1934.5 | 4870 | 4640 | 4340 |
| 1100 | 7.10 .00 |  |  | 42 |  |  | 675.6 | 2004 |  |  |  |
| 1100 | 7.11 .00 | 929 | 7.11 .00 | 32 | 1439 | 7.10 .00 | 704 | 2068.5 | 5160 | 4840 | 4780 |
| 1100 | 7.12.00 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 730.55 | 2134.5 |  |  |  |


| ID\# | Date | Rain (cm) | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1100 | 6.14 .00 |  | COD heart problems, obese, died 6.14.00, received 6.15.00, first sampled 6.18.00, 40F at morgue, sample taken outside body bag. |
| 1100 | 6.15 .00 |  | no sample |
| 1100 | 6.16 .00 |  | no sample |
| 1100 | 6.17 .00 |  | no sample |
| 1100 | 6.18 .00 | 0 | heavy rain earlier, body bloated, marbled, skin slippage, maggot activity, odor, lack abd. Dis. |
| 1100 | 6.19 .00 | 0 | bloated, skin slippage, heavy maggots mild odor |
| 1100 | 6.20 .00 |  | no sample |
| 1100 | 6.21 .00 | 0.63 | body black, bloated, greasy, extreme maggots and odor |
| 1100 | 6.22 .00 |  | no sample |
| 1100 | 6.23 .00 |  | no sample |
| 1100 | 6.24.00 |  | body bloated, face skeletonized, foul odor |
| 1100 | 6.25 .00 | 0 | bloated, marbled, face skeletonized, odor |
| 1100 | 6.26 .00 |  | no sample |
| 1100 | 6.27 .00 | 0 | bloating decreasing in legs and arms, odor |
| 1100 | 6.28.00 |  | no sample |
| 1100 | 6.29 .00 |  | no sample |
| 1100 | 6.30 .00 | 0.09 | maggot activity decreased, bloating gone, slight odor, slowed decomp |
| 1100 | 7.1.00 |  | no sample |
| 1100 | 7.2 .00 |  | no sample |
| 1100 | 7.3.00 |  | no sample |
| 1100 | 7.4 .00 |  | no sample |
| 1100 | 7.5 .00 | 0.04 | decomp stopped, no odor |
| 1100 | 7.6 .00 |  | no sample |
| 1100 | 7.7 .00 | 0.08 | body unchanged pump not working |
| 1100 | 7.8.00 |  | no sample |
| 1100 | 7.9 .00 | 0 | body same, greasy odor |
| 1100 | 7.10 .00 |  | no sample |
| 1100 | 7.11 .00 | 0 | body same, abd more sunken, no odor |
| 1100 | 7.12.00 |  | no sample |


| ID\# | Date | Time | Temp (C) | H Temp <br> (C) | Time of H <br> Temp | Date of H <br> Temp | L Temp <br> (C) | Time of L <br> Temp | Date of L <br> Temp | Humidity | H Hum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1100 | 7.13 .00 |  | 26.8 | 31.3 |  |  | 21.3 |  |  | 54 | 97 |
| 1100 | 7.14 .00 |  | 26.8 | 31.3 |  |  | 20.7 |  |  | 53 | 97 |
| 1100 | 7.15 .00 |  | 26.2 | 30.7 |  |  | 20.6 |  |  | 52 | 97 |
| 1100 | 7.16 .00 |  | 25.6 | 30.1 |  |  | 19.6 |  |  | 58 | 97 |
| 1100 | 7.17 .00 | 1300 | 28.2 | 34.2 | 1642 | 7.11 .00 | 18.7 | 638 | 7.17 .00 | 52 | 97 |


| ID\# | Date | Time of H <br> Hum | Date of H <br> Hum | Time of L Hum <br> Hum | Date of L <br> Hum | ADD | AHD | MAX BRC | MID BRC | MIN BRC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1100 | 7.13 .00 |  |  | 42 |  |  | 756.85 | 2204 |  |  |  |
| 1100 | 7.14 .00 |  |  | 32 |  |  | 782.85 | 2268.5 |  |  |  |
| 1100 | 7.15 .00 |  |  | 34 |  |  | 808.5 | 2334 |  |  |  |
| 1100 | 7.16 .00 |  |  | 38 |  |  | 833.35 | 2401.5 |  |  |  |
| 1100 | 7.17 .00 | 1325 | 7.14 .00 | 34 | 1821 | 7.16 .00 | 859.8 | 2467 |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID\# | Date | Rain (cm) | Comments |
| 1100 | 7.13 .00 |  | no sample |
| 1100 | 7.14 .00 |  | no sample |
| 1100 | 7.15 .00 |  | no sample |
| 1100 | 7.16 .00 |  | no sample |
| 1100 | 7.17 .00 | 1.34 | body same no odor |


| ID\# | Date | Time of H <br> Hum | Date of H <br> Hum | L Hum | Time of L <br> Hum | Date of $L$ <br> Hum | ADD | AHD | MAX BRC | MID BRC | MIN BRC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HC01-00 | 8.14 .00 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| HC01-00 | 8.15 .00 | 841 | 8.15 .00 | 33 | 1734 | 8.14 .00 | 29 | 67 | 7950 | 5070 | 4150 |
| HC01-0 | 8.16 .00 | 651 | 8.16 .00 | 37 | 1815 | 8.15 .00 | 56.95 | 133.5 |  |  |  |
| HC01-00 | 8.17 .00 | 826 | 8.17 .00 | 40 | 1827 | 8.16 .00 | 85.85 | 209 | 18500 | 9610 | 7600 |
| HC01-00 | 8.18 .99 | 342 | 8.18 .00 | 43 | 1515 | 8.18 .00 | 113.1 | 296 |  |  |  |
| HC01-00 | 8.19 .00 |  |  | 44 |  |  | 139.75 | 380.5 | 20500 | 10300 | 9970 |
| HC01-00 | 8.20 .00 |  |  | 44 |  |  | 164 | 467 |  |  |  |
| HC01-00 | 8.21 .00 | 524 | 8.21 .00 | 42 | 1748 | 8.19 .00 | 188.45 | 564 | 10500 | 9600 | 8730 |
| HC01-00 | 8.22 .00 |  |  | 44 |  |  | 211.95 | 652 |  |  |  |
| HC01-00 | 8.23 .00 | 900 | 8.22 .00 | 46 | 1755 | 8.22 .00 | 235.8 | 738.5 | 11100 | 10700 | 10700 |
| HC01-00 | 8.24 .00 |  |  | 52 |  |  | 262.35 | 831 |  |  |  |
| HC01-00 | 8.25 .00 | 708 | 8.25 .00 | 57 | 1508 | 8.24 .00 | 287.25 | 928 | 13500 | 13200 | 9460 |
| HC01-00 | 8.26 .00 |  |  | 46 |  |  | 312.65 | 1015.5 |  |  |  |
| HC01-00 | 8.27 .00 |  |  | 54 |  |  | 337.85 | 1105.5 |  |  |  |
| HC01-00 | 8.28 .00 |  |  | 57 |  |  | 365.25 | 1198 |  |  |  |
| HC01-00 | 8.29 .00 |  |  | 62 |  |  | 391.8 | 1292.5 | 21800 | 20500 | 18100 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\varepsilon}{1} \\ & \frac{\stackrel{1}{1}}{I} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ふ® |  |  |  | ぶ |  |  |  |  | ¢人 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ¢ | － | ＋ | $\stackrel{N}{\sim}$ | 융 | os | R | $0$ | $\infty$ | ¢ | ～ | \％ | $\infty$ | \％ |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ \stackrel{c}{c} \\ \underset{\infty}{\circ} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{8}{\infty} \underset{\infty}{\infty} \underset{\infty}{8}$ | $\stackrel{c}{9}$ |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 8 \\ \stackrel{8}{2} \\ \infty \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ |  | 8 <br> in <br> N <br> in |  | 8 <br> 0 <br> N <br> 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | $5$ | $9 \text { g }$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{o}}$ |  | N |  | \％ |  |  |  |  |
| $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{O}}}{\stackrel{\circ}{E}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{*}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim}}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  | $\stackrel{\cdots}{\mathrm{N}} \stackrel{\substack{\dot{\sim}}}{\substack{2}}$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 7 \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{array}\right\|$ | Non |  | $\left.\begin{array}{l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \\ \hline \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\frac{1}{n}$ | $\dot{d}\left\|\frac{1}{N}\right\|$ | － |
|  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{c}{2}$ |  |  | $\circ$ <br> $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ <br> $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 8 \\ \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{~N}} \\ \underset{\infty}{ } \end{gathered}\right.$ |  | － |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{1}$ |  | Non |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 8 \\ \hline 6 \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \underset{y}{n} \\ \stackrel{n}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ |  | ¢ | － |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\stackrel{e}{\substack{\mathrm{~N}}} \begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\infty$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\dot{\sim}}$ | N | $\underset{\sim}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\mathrm{N}}\|\underset{\sim}{\circ}\|$ |  |  | － | $\mathfrak{n}$ | N | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { c／}}$ | － |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{E}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{E}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} n \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\text { ciplon }}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{c}$ | $\stackrel{\Gamma}{M} \underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\underset{v}{v} \left\lvert\, \frac{10}{\grave{N}}\right.$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{n} \underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\underset{\sim}{*}$ | $=\left\|\begin{array}{c} \underset{N}{N} \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | $\dot{+} \dot{+}$ |  | N | $\stackrel{m}{n}$ | － |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ |  |  |  | প্রি | ? | $8$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | － |  | ¢ |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{ \pm}{\square}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{c} \\ \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathfrak{c}$ |  |  | $: \begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 亘 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $3 \begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & i \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\square$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \frac{0}{0} \\ \frac{1}{8} \\ \frac{0}{1} \end{array}\right.$ |  |  |
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