

OpenRiver

Early Year Research & Creative Mentoring 2022-2023

Early-Year Research & Creative Mentoring

9-1-2023

Welding 3D Printed Structures for Composite Sacrificial Tooling

Dominic L. Perez Winona State University

Kyle Gerold Winona State University

Matt K. Ficker Winona State University

Matthew D. Wooden Winona State University

Aidan M. Dungy Winona State University

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://openriver.winona.edu/earlyyearresearch2023

Recommended Citation

Perez, Dominic L.; Gerold, Kyle; Ficker, Matt K.; Wooden, Matthew D.; Dungy, Aidan M.; and Coit, Brennan G., "Welding 3D Printed Structures for Composite Sacrificial Tooling" (2023). *Early Year Research & Creative Mentoring 2022-2023*. 6.

https://openriver.winona.edu/earlyyearresearch2023/6

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Early-Year Research & Creative Mentoring at OpenRiver. It has been accepted for inclusion in Early Year Research & Creative Mentoring 2022-2023 by an authorized administrator of OpenRiver. For more information, please contact klarson@winona.edu.

Authors

Dominic L. Perez, Kyle Gerold, Matt K. Ficker, Matthew D. Wooden, Aidan M. Dungy, and Brennan G. Coit

This article is available at OpenRiver: https://openriver.winona.edu/earlyyearresearch2023/6

Welding 3D Printed Structures for Composite Sacrificial Tooling

2 years of First Year Research

Kellie Hardecopf, Alex Hilo, Regan Harvey, Tasha Kemna, Dominic Perez, Matt Ficker, Kyle Gerold, Matt Wooden, Aidan Dungy, Brennon Coit

Dr. Eric Kerr-Anderson, Assistant Professor

Winona State University, Composite Materials Engineering

Composite Tooling



➤Composites can be light, stiff, and strong.

- Composite Tooling can be simple or complex depending on the part geometry and processing method.
- >As complexity increases, the cost and lead time also increases.

Simple



Flat Parts on a Flat Table





Complex

Complex Geometry Parts with machined, multi-cavity tooling

Curved parts on a thin sheet of metal clamped to a wooden Form

3D Printing





- It is very easy to generate 3D printed parts that have complex geometries that would be difficult and time consuming to produce with conventional machining.
- The print time can be 20+ hours for a large part, but the touch time may be less than 30 minutes.
- Multiple printers can be used as a manufacturing print farm.





Size and Structural issues with 3D Printing



Small Printers

- Cheap
- Technologically mature
- High Detail
- Not big enough to print large items for composite tooling use
- Variety of materials

➤ Large Printers

- Expensive
- Depending on type/brand may be still a prototype
- Either low resolution or very long print times
- Some printers can print boats, cars, and small homes
- Variety of materials
- Even heating, surface levelness, part shifting, and plate debonding becomes more difficult as parts get larger



Welding 3D Printed Parts

Thermoplastic materials processing is relatively simple



- Several processing methods were initially screened in 2022
 - 3D Printing Pens
 - Friction Stirring
 - Melt surfaces and clamp
 - Heating copper wire clamped between two parts



3D Printing Pens



Friction Welding



Heat and Press



Tensile Testing 3D Printed Welds - Setup

Single lap shear specimens were 3D printed to create ISO 527 – 1A tensile specimens

➢Settings included:

- Iayer height of 0.15mm
- wall count of 8
- top/bottom set to 6 layers
- 20% Infill

A small chamfer was added to the weld lines

| nt settings | | | | × | |
|----------------------|---|-------------|------|----------|--|
| ofile Fine - | | | | * ~ | |
| 𝒫 Search settings | | | | \equiv | |
| Quality | | | | ~ | |
| Layer Height | | 0 | 0.15 | mm | |
| 🔛 Walls | | | | \sim | |
| Wall Thickness | | | 0.8 | mm | |
| Wall Line Count | 5 | f_{\star} | 8 | | |
| Horizontal Expansion | | | 0.0 | mm | |
| Top/Bottom | 1 | | | ~ | |
| Top/Bottom Thickness | | | 0.8 | mm | |
| Top Thickness | | | 0.8 | mm | |
| Top Layers | | | 6 | | |
| Bottom Thickness | | | 0.8 | mm | |
| Bottom Layers | | | 6 | | |
| 🔀 Infill | | | | \sim | |
| | | | | | |





Tensile Testing 3D Printed Welds - Results



- The exploratory round of welding resulted in a grouping that broke outside of the weld using both a 3D Extruding Pen and a flat smoothing soldering iron.
- The second round with less than 2 hours of practice resulted in several strong welds using both pen extrusion and soldering iron.



Flexural Testing 3D Printed Welds - Setup

Both



- All bars were welded with 3D printing pens and smoothed with a soldering iron
- Baseline comparison bars were printed with no weld at 20% fill with 0.15 mm layer height and 4 walls/tops/bottoms
- Each group had 5 specimens tested (135 total)

| | | Spacing | | | | | | |
|------------|-------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | | 0.25" | 0.3125" | 0.4167" | | | | |
| Ч | 0.25" | Тор | Тор | Тор | | | | |
| | | Bottom | Bottom | Bottom | | | | |
| | | Both | Both | Both | | | | |
| Weld Lengt | | Тор | Тор | Тор | | | | |
| | 0.50" | Bottom | Bottom | Bottom | | | | |
| | | Both | Both | Both | | | | |
| | | Тор | Тор | Тор | | | | |
| | 0.75" | Bottom | Bottom | Bottom | | | | |
| | | Both | Both | Both | | | | |



Flexural Testing 3D Printed Welds - Results







STATE UNIVERSITY

Interaction of position, length, and spacing



Flexural Strength increased:

- As weld spacing decreased
- As weld length increased
- As weld was placed on the tensile side of the bend
- There was no significant run effect or specimen effect



Modelling a Kyle Arm

WINONA STATE UNIVERSITY

Rubber bands were used to space out the measurements at 1" increments
Caliper was used to determine principal dimensions of the ellipses
Offset planes were used to create a lofted extrusion in Solidworks







Printer size, cost, and timing



Several pieces of a part printed on cheaper smaller printers simultaneously will be much faster than one big part



Sacrificial Tooling

Filament Winding

- Traditional tooling requires forming, machining, and polishing of metal with release agents to ensure resin does not adhere to tool. (Multiple parts produced)
- Sacrificial tooling is used to make fast, unique prototypes by either leaving the tool form in the part after production or cut/melted out of the part after production. (One part produced)

Vacuum Assisted

➤Tooling can be used to wind filament, vacuum fabric, or pull braided fabric over while the resin cures and the composite is created.





Braided sock





Future Work



How many layers are needed on walls, top, and bottom relative to part thickness and fill %?

What part thickness is required for structural support during Vacuum Assisted processing?

>What material selection is compatible with Epoxy?

How to program an auto generated puzzle piece parting of a large structure?



Questions?







First Year Research and Creative Mentoring



Eric Kerr-Anderson, Assistant Professor Winona State University Composite Materials Engineering

