

Liberty University DigitalCommons@Liberty University

Faculty Publications and Presentations

School of Religion

1983

Who Are the "Real" Pseudo-Fundamentalists?

Edward Hindson Liberty University, ehindson@liberty.edu

Ed Dobson

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.liberty.edu/sor fac pubs

Recommended Citation

Hindson, Edward and Dobson, Ed, "Who Are the "Real" Pseudo-Fundamentalists?" (1983). Faculty Publications and Presentations. Paper 139.

http://digitalcommons.liberty.edu/sor_fac_pubs/139

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Religion at DigitalCommons@Liberty University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications and Presentations by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@Liberty University. For more information, please contact scholarlycommunication@liberty.edu.

FUNDAME TODAY





by Ed Dobson and Ed Hindson

Who Are the "Real" Pseudo-Fundamentalists?

cclesiastical labels are invented by men to categorize people and groups. A survey of church advertisements reveals much about a church's attitude toward labels. In New Testament times the early believers were simply called Christians. However, the term Christian has been diluted over the centuries. In the quest for absolute identity, a lot of additives have been created to supposedly better define the term Christian. Churches are known by their denominational labels: Baptist, Lutheran, Methodist, Presbyterian, and so forth. Some Baptists, for example, are further defined as "Independent Fundamental Baptists." Others are known as "Premillennial Independent Baptists." And still others are defined as "Bible-believing, soulwinning, Freewill Baptists," and so on. One advertisement recently identified a church as part of the "King James Only Cult!" One wonders how many other additives will be developed: separatist, pro-life, antipornography, pro-simple lifestyle, anti-simple lifestyle...ad infinitum.

Defining Fundamentalism

Originally the term Fundamentalist referred to someone who believed the "fundamentals" of the Christian faith. The label came from the publication of a series of volumes known as The Fundamentals. These were a collection of articles written by a wide range of scholars defending traditional Christian orthodoxy against the critical attacks of theological Liberalism and Modernism. In the early twentieth century the sides were clearly drawn among Protestants. One was either a Fundamentalist or a Modernist. However, by the 1940s, the conservative movement began to fragment into several splinters. Over the years a variety of additives were produced: Evangelical, neo-Evangelical, moderate, militant, modified, and now pseudo-Fundamentalist. While no one claims the term, certain Fundamentalists use it as a label of disdain for others who call themselves Fundamentalists but who disagree on some issues. Thus, this label is used only by those who consider themselves to be the only true Fundamentalists.

Pseudo: A Biblical Perspective

A Christian's final authority in all matters of faith and practice is the Bible. All human "additives" to Christianity must be judged by the clear teaching of Scripture. *Pseudo* is a

Greek word that occurs a number of times through the New Testament. Before calling anyone a pseudo it must be ascertained that he warrants such a description.

The verb from which pseudo comes is the verb pseudomail rels was It means "to deceive, to cheat, to show oneself deceitful, to lie, or to speak deliberate falsehoods" (Thayer, p. 675-676). Liberalis

All human "additives" to Christianity must be judged by the clear teaching of Scripture.

The noun *pseudos*, which means a "lie, or a conscious and intentional falsehood" (Thayer, p. 676), also occurs frequently in Scripture. A pseudo is then a person who makes a deliberate, conscious, and intentional effort to lie, cheat, or deceive others.

The New Testament identified those who are psuedos. The Antichrist is described as one "whose coming is after the working of Satan with all power and signs and *lying* wonders (2 Thess. 2:9). This word is also used to describe the activities of Ananias and Sapphira. Peter spoke to Ananias and said "Why hath Satan filled thine heart *to lie* to the Holy Ghost, and to keep back part of the price of the land?" (Acts 5:3). The term is used to describe those who have rejected God and in so doing have "changed the truth of God into a lie" (Rom. 1:25).

What is clear from the teaching of Scripture is that pseudos are false Christians. They represent the spirit and message of Antichrist. In fact, the apostle John clearly identifies their ultimate destination when he states that "all liars [pseudesin], shall have their part in the lake which burneth with fire and brimstone: which is the second death" (Rev. 21.8)

Those Fundamentalists who are calling other fellow Fundamentalists "pseudo-Fundamentalists" are certainly not implying that these pseudos are heretics whose ultimate destining the lake of fire. Consequently, they are not utilizing the term within a biblical framework. From a biblical perspective a pseudo-Fundamentalist is someone who denies the essential

loctrines re comp bel. Ho fod, we man, a olute au

_{Pseu}do:

Nearl recolumes "set fo ho sub nown a m Mo the grantld.

In res sm, the doctrines sith. The rals was sian at Liberalis Scripture substitut from the From rinal mo Christian

then on-

Liberal r

nfallibil believe i believe I cumstan believe i resurrect the Bibla tines o ecularis

There fund add the fi

A ps
undam
The me
diverse
ommit
aith. Ir
over de



Real" llists?

through the New of it must be ascer-

he verb *pseudomai*, neself deceitful, to nayer, p. 675-676).

ristianity teaching

a conscious and inoccurs frequently who makes a det to lie, cheat, or

o are psuedos. The oming is after the and lying wonders' scribe the activities Ananias and said, o the Holy Ghost, land?" (Acts 5:3). have rejected God of God into a lie."

Scripture is that ent the spirit and John clearly identates that "all liars the which burneth cond death" (Rev.

y other fellow Fune certainly not imse ultimate desting e not utilizing the piblical perspective denies the essential doctrines of Christianity. He is not a Christian at all. So we are compelled to search beyond the biblical intent of their label. However, in our journey beyond the objective Word of God, we must remember we are entering the subjective views of man, and this is dangerous territory in which to claim absolute authority.

pseudo: A Historical Perspective

Nearly all historians agree that the Fundamentalist movement received its name from the publication of a series of volumes called *The Fundamentals* in 1909. These were written o "set forth the fundamentals of the Christian faith." People who subscribed to these essential Christian doctrines were known as Fundamentalists. They were clearly distinguished from Modernists, who sought to accommodate the Scriptures to the great cultural changes of the early twentieth-century gorld.

In resisting the rising influence of Liberalism and Modernsm, these early Fundamentalists identified five essential doctrines that were basic (fundamental) to the Christian faith. They argued that anything less than these fundamentals was not another form of Christianity, but was not Christian at all. These fundamentals were the very doctrines Liberalism was attacking: the inspiration and infallibility of Scripture; the deity of Christ and His Virgin Birth; the substitutionary atonement of Christ; His literal Resurrection from the dead; and His literal Second Coming.

From a historical perspective, Fundamentalism is a doctrinal movement committed to the essential doctrines of the Christian faith. In this context, a pseudo-Fundamentalist is then one who subtracts from or adds to these fundamentals. Liberal ministers who claim to believe the Bible but deny its infallibility are in essence pseudo-Fundamentalists. They believe in Jesus but not His deity and Virgin Birth. They believe He died as a moral influence and a victim of His circumstances, but not as a substitute for man's sin. They believe in a spiritual resurrection of Christ, but not a literal resurrection. On the one hand, they talk piously of God and the Bible, but on the other hand, they deny the essential doctrines of Christianity. They have reduced Christianity to secularism in religious terminology. They deny the very foundational truths of Scripture. Theirs is not a genuine Christianity; it is, rather, a pseudo religion.

There are those within the fundamentalist movement who want to add their own beliefs and practices to the five fundamentals.

A pseudo-Fundamentalist is not only one who denies the fundamentals, he is also one who adds to the fundamentals. The men who contributed to *The Fundamentals* had widely diverse backgrounds. They were united by their common commitment to the basics ("fundamentals") of the Christian laith. In their defense of the faith they refused to be divided over denominational distinctives or personal biases. Since

that early coalition, the situation has dramatically changed. There are those within the Fundamentalist movement who want to add their own beliefs and practices to the five fundamentals. Their list continually expands until it eventually excludes everyone who disagrees with any position they represent. The issue is no longer a commitment to the five fundamentals but rather allegiance to what they claim are the 7 fundamentals, the 10 fundamentals, the 20 fundamentals, the 50 fundamentals, and so on.

Theirs is a distortion of the historical meaning of Fundamentalism. Webster defines pseudo as "not corresponding to reality." The reality of history is that anyone who subscribes in faith and practice to the fundamentals is a Fundamentalist. Anyone who demands more than this is denying the historical roots of the movement. They are the real pseudo-Fundamentalists.

Conclusion

Who are the "real" pseudo-Fundamentalists? From a biblical perspective they are those who deny the basic doctrines of Christianity and who have "changed the truth of God into a lie" (Rom. 1:25). From a historical perspective, they are those who have added their personal preferences to the fundamentals and have demanded allegiance to every jot and tittle of their law. They do not represent the historical position of Fundamentalism and in that sense are pseudo-Fundamentalists.

Most of the processed food we consume is saturated with preservatives and additives. Medical research indicates that some additives are hazardous to one's health and can even cause cancer. Within Fundamentalism are those who want to saturate the movement with their own brand of additives. Their insistence that everyone else accept their additives is dangerous to the health of the movement and, unrestrained, may produce a cancer that will destroy its life and vitality. Perhaps the time has come to perform major surgery in order to deal with the cancer.

God's Work Needs You!

Urgent: Christian organizations are suffering critical personnel shortages. Thousands of openings need to be filled now All vocations, in the U.S. and overseas. Discover opportunities that fit you ... contact Intercristo!

You'll receive a current list of openings that require your skills and experience. God's work needs you.

Contact Intercristo today: 1(800)426-1342 (Alaska, Hawaii, Washington state, (206) 546-7330). Or return the coupon below.

| Rigo | Intercristo The Christian | a division of CRISTA |
|---------|--|---|
| | Career Specialists. P.O. Box 33487 Seattle, WA 98133 | Please send me information on finding "my place" in God's work. |
| Name | | |
| Address | | **** |
| City | | |
| State | | Zip |

MENTALIST JOURNAL JUNE 1983