



Liberty University
DigitalCommons@Liberty
University

Faculty Publications and Presentations

Jerry Falwell Library

April 2003

Exploring Darwin's Correspondence: Some Important but Lesser Known Correspondents and Projects

Tyler Veak

Liberty University, tveak@liberty.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.liberty.edu/lib_fac_pubs

Recommended Citation

Veak, Tyler, "Exploring Darwin's Correspondence: Some Important but Lesser Known Correspondents and Projects" (2003). *Faculty Publications and Presentations*. Paper 12.

http://digitalcommons.liberty.edu/lib_fac_pubs/12

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jerry Falwell Library at DigitalCommons@Liberty University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications and Presentations by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@Liberty University. For more information, please contact scholarlycommunication@liberty.edu.

Exploring Darwin's correspondence: some important but lesser known correspondents and projects

T. VEAK

St Andrews College, Department of Philosophy, Laurinburg, North Carolina 28352, USA.

ABSTRACT: This paper explores Darwin's 14,000 plus letters and suggests that in spite of the enormous amount of published material on Darwin and his work, there remains much untapped information in his correspondence. A quantitative analysis of his correspondence reveals that many of Darwin's most important sources and projects have not been researched. I provide examples in two of his correspondents, William B. Tegetmeier and John Scott, who were extremely important to Darwin's work in domestic animal breeding and plant hybrid studies, respectively. In addition, Darwin's work on seed viability and distribution are discussed to illustrate both the extent of his correspondence network and the complexity of his many sub-projects. The appendices suggest avenues for the further research of Darwin's correspondence by correlating the amount of correspondence with the amount of published material on the correspondents.

KEY WORDS: Charles Darwin – William B. Tegetmeier – John Scott – seed distribution – seed viability – correspondence.

INTRODUCTION

Volume 11 of *The correspondence of Charles Darwin* (Burkhardt *et alii*, 2001) was published recently. Correspondence covering the years 1821 through 1864 is now in print. Darwin scholars continue to rave about the research prospects that these volumes hold. In his survey of the Darwin "industry" Ruse (1996: 219) claimed that in comparison to *The correspondence of Charles Darwin* "all other items of Darwin material come across as a bit anti-climactical". In one of the first reviews of the project, Moore (1985: 578) stated that "the *Calendar* [Burkhardt *et alii*, 1985] is, in short, a monumental achievement – one of the most important books to be published in the twentieth century on the culture of science, technology, and medicine ... the opportunities for microdarwinian investigation have redoubled at a stroke". Undoubtedly, there is a phenomenal amount of information contained in these volumes with more to come. Darwin corresponded voluminously until his death in 1882. However, there remains the question of how to approach such an enormous amount of information.

To this end, I offer a quantitative analysis of the more than 14,000 extant letters.¹ Moore (1985) included a graph of the annual quantities of letters to and from Darwin, and discussed some of the research possibilities revealed by his analysis. Montgomery (1987) performed a more in-depth quantitative analysis of Darwin's correspondence, and Garber (1994) gave a thorough analysis of Darwin's network of Pacific correspondents. My approach builds on these works, but also attempts to correlate Darwin's correspondence with his research projects. Such an analysis reveals that there is a large number of lesser-known figures who Darwin relied heavily on for information. Setting the "big names" aside (for example, Joseph Dalton Hooker, Charles Lyell, Asa Gray, Thomas Henry Huxley), there remain more than 50 correspondents with whom Darwin exchanged 30 or more letters. As Moore (1985: 576) suggested, a closer examination of these important, but lesser known, figures seems an

appropriate next step for Darwin scholarship.

Another avenue of research made available through the *Correspondence* is the examination of Darwin's research projects. Secord's (1985) and Bartley's (1992) works on Darwin and domestic animal breeding are two such examples. In so doing, it becomes possible, as Garber (1994) demonstrated, to map out Darwin's network of correspondents and the various projects that he was investigating. A host of research questions can be asked. Who did Darwin rely on and for what kind of information? Where were his correspondents located geographically? What was the education and social status of his correspondents, and did this make a difference in the type and legitimacy of responses he received?² To what extent, if at all, were Darwin's queries simply rhetorical attempts to enroll others into his projects?³

METHODS

Using the same resources as Garber (1994), a list of correspondents (Appendix 1) was established by the following method. First, *A calendar of the correspondence of Charles Darwin 1821–1882* (Burkhardt and Smith, 1994) was used to determine correspondents with whom Darwin had more than five exchanges. There is no objective basis for making the cut-off at five; however, it seems reasonable to assume that any significant correspondence that Darwin engaged in would necessitate more than a "few" letters. Secondly, correspondents with whom Darwin's communication was primarily personal in nature were eliminated. This was determined by examining the brief biographies in the *Calendar*. For example, scientists, breeders, foreign correspondents and queries to journals were included, whereas family and friends were not unless Darwin clearly drew on them for his projects.⁴ Admittedly, it is difficult to make a decision about the nature of Darwin's correspondence from this limited information; nevertheless, the biographies in conjunction with the author's tacit knowledge from working on the Darwin Correspondence Project provide a fairly sound basis for making these decisions.⁵ If there was any doubt, the correspondent was included in the list.

After paring down the list by the above methods, *Isis* cumulative bibliographies over a 20 year period (1978–1998) were examined to determine the extent of existing research on the correspondents. Those individuals with more than three entries in the *Isis* bibliographies were eliminated. The intention here was to develop a list of Darwin's correspondents who have received little attention from researchers. Finally, to provide some idea of the significance of the correspondents, the *Dictionary of British and Irish botanists and horticulturists* (Desmond and Ellwood, 1994) was consulted (Appendix 1).

A more difficult task was determining date ranges during which Darwin worked on his various projects. As any Darwin scholar knows, Darwin had a number of ongoing projects at any one time. Some of his investigations spanned the majority of his working life. For example, although Darwin's theory of the transmutation of species was largely formulated by 1842, it can reasonably be argued that the bulk of Darwin's post-1842 projects (possibly with the exception of some of his geological research) were efforts to bolster this theory. Hence, any attempt to narrow a date range on a project as far reaching as the transmutation of species is difficult, to say the least. I have, however, chosen to include the period between Darwin's return from the *Beagle* voyage in 1836 to 1842 when he wrote the first draft of his species theory. During this period Darwin openly discussed his thoughts on transmutation with a number of correspondents: William D. Fox, Charles Lyell, John S. Henslow, Leonard Jenyns and George Waterhouse (Porter, 1993). The years immediately after his voyage were

Table 1. Date ranges for Darwin's projects.

Project	began	ended
entomology	1827	1831
zoology of the <i>Beagle</i> voyage	1837	1843
transmutation of species	1837	1844
Cirripedia	1846	1854
breeding domestic animals	1855	1861
seed dispersal and viability	1855	1867
insectivorous plants	1860	1875
climbing plants	1863	1865
pangensis	1865	1881
expressions	1867	1872
man and sexual selection	1867	1871
movement in plants	1873	1880
worms	1876	1881

also significant in that during this time Darwin began to establish a network of correspondents for his questions relating to artificial selection (plant and animal breeders), which would ultimately play an important role in his theory of natural selection (Burkhardt and Smith, 1986: xvii–xviii).

Darwin's work on geology and botany (for example, pollination and fertilization, and related research on forms of flowers) is also impossible to demarcate. Darwin's interest in geology began prior to the *Beagle* voyage (Desmond and Moore, 1992), was intensified by his voyage around the world, and culminated in the publication of a number of major works on geology in the 1840s (Darwin, 1842, 1844, 1846). However, Darwin continued to discuss relevant issues in geology with leading figures in the field (for example with Charles Lyell, Thomas F. Jamieson, Andrew C. Ramsay, Joseph B. Jukes, and the noted physicist, John Tyndall) into the 1860s and 1870s (Burkhardt *et alii*, 1994: xviii–xix).

Darwin began investigating variation in cultivated plants, which included crossing and pollination experiments, in the early 1840s. His fascination with plant variation as a mechanism for supporting his theory of transmutation continued off and on throughout his life and resulted in major publications in the 1860s and 1870s (Darwin, 1862, 1876, 1877).

For the purposes of the present analysis, date ranges have been established for those projects that can be fairly clearly demarcated and which are temporally limited to some extent (Table 1). This was accomplished primarily through examination of Darwin's journal (de Beer, 1959), and the introductions to the *Correspondence* volumes (Burkhardt and Smith, 1985, 1986, 1987, 1988, 1989, 1990, 1991; Burkhardt *et alii*, 1993, 1994, 1997).

These date ranges were correlated with the date ranges of Darwin's correspondents in an effort to determine which correspondents Darwin may have drawn on for particular projects (Appendix 1). Obviously, an overlap between the project date ranges and the range of correspondence does not necessarily establish a connection; Appendix 1 is merely a starting point for further research. In order to demonstrate the value of taking a closer look

at Darwin's correspondence, a brief discussion of two of his lesser known correspondents (William B. Tegetmeier and John Scott), and one of his research projects (seed dissemination and viability) are included.

In regard to Tegetmeier and Scott, both played important roles in Darwin's investigations in the transmutation of species; however, little is known about them. There are no entries pertaining to either Tegetmeier or Scott in the past 20 years of *Isis* cumulative bibliographies. There is only one significant work on Tegetmeier, written by his son-in-law (Richardson, 1916), which is dated and largely anecdotal. Of the four most recent biographies on Darwin (Bowler, 1990; Bowlby, 1991; Desmond and Moore, 1992; Browne, 1996), only Browne (1996: 525) mentioned Tegetmeier, but she did not elaborate on his relationship with Darwin. Even less has been written on Scott. Bowlby (1991: 375) briefly mentioned his significance to Darwin's work.

WILLIAM B. TEGETMEIER (1816–1912)

Tegetmeier began his long career as a naturalist when a youth. Initially, he lived in Colnbrook, Buckinghamshire, where he spent a great deal of time exploring natural history (Richardson, 1916: 2). When he was 12, Tegetmeier's family moved to London, where he maintained his natural history inclinations by raising pigeons – a pursuit that remained with him throughout his life (Richardson, 1916: 6).

Tegetmeier was apprenticed to his father to become a doctor and apothecary, and enrolled at University College London in 1833 at age 17. He was an excellent student and received many honors and medals (Richardson, 1916: 10–11). But after ten years of study and apprenticeship, Tegetmeier forsook medicine for a life as a “Bohemian journalist” (Richardson, 1916: 27). This move was no doubt partly a result of receiving an inheritance from his father; however, he was by no means wealthy and had to work hard most of his life.

In 1859 Tegetmeier began contributing articles to *The field*, a journal devoted to “the farm, the garden, the country gentleman's newspaper”. Shortly thereafter Tegetmeier was appointed head of the Poultry and Pigeon Department at *The field*, where he contributed weekly articles for more than 50 years (Richardson, 1916: 140). In addition to his career as a journalist, Tegetmeier also lectured and wrote textbooks on subjects ranging from botany to domestic economy. His *Manual of domestic economy* (1858), which was oriented toward women's education, went through 14 editions (Richardson, 1916: 37). He became widely recognized as one of the leading authorities on domestic fowls, the breeding of domestic animals and bee-keeping in England. However, it was primarily his expertise on fowls that initially drew Darwin to Tegetmeier.

Darwin and Tegetmeier met in 1855 through William Yarrell⁶, a mutual friend. Darwin immediately tapped into Tegetmeier's wealth of knowledge on animal breeding and bees. Most importantly, he was a vital link to the pigeon and poultry fancying community (Burkhardt and Smith, 1989: xix). Bartley (1992) points out that Darwin's work on domesticates, while important to his theory of natural selection, was equally important to his interest in inheritance and variability. In this regard, Tegetmeier played a substantial role by performing a variety of sexual selection and inheritance experiments with birds in the 1850s and 1860s (Bartley, 1992).

Darwin (1859: 250, 254) cited Tegetmeier twice in *Origin*, which was admittedly a reference-sparse “abstract” of his theory; and eight times in *The descent of man, and*

selection in relation to sex (Darwin, 1871). However, in *Variation of plants and animals under domestication* (Darwin, 1868), Tegetmeier was cited 33 times on such far reaching topics as “a cat with monstrous teeth”, “the length of the middle toe in Cochin fowl” and “intercrossing in bees”.

Because Darwin relied so heavily on domestic breeding (artificial selection) as a correlate for natural selection in nature, he was anxious to gather data on the types and extent of variation possible in domestic animals. Tegetmeier assisted Darwin in this endeavor by supplying specimens (particularly pigeons), identifying and describing specimens that Darwin had procured from his extensive network of correspondents⁷, and by answering queries about the breeding of domestic animals, or directing Darwin to others who could assist him (see Appendix 2 for a complete list of topics discussed).

JOHN SCOTT (1836–1880)

John Scott was born in Denholm, Scotland, in 1836. His father and mother died when he was quite young and he was brought up by his grandmother. Scott attended parish school, but left at the age of 14 to work as a gardener. In 1859, after serving in several gardening positions, Scott became foreman of the propagating department at the Royal Botanic Garden, Edinburgh (Kennedy, 1908). It was in this position that Scott began corresponding with Darwin in 1862.

Ironically, Scott first wrote to Darwin to point out an error that Darwin had made in the first edition of his orchid book (Darwin, 1862). In a letter to Darwin dated 11 November 1862 (Burkhardt *et alii*, 1997: 516), Scott claimed that Darwin was mistaken about his identification of a particular genus of orchids. Darwin responded appreciatively, and somewhat demurely, stating that “Botany is a new subject to me” (Burkhardt *et alii*, 1997: 522).

Although their most active period of correspondence only lasted a few years (1862–1864), they both benefited immensely. Darwin was instrumental in obtaining a position for Scott as the head of the herbarium department at the Calcutta Botanic Garden, and in encouraging him to publish his work (See Appendix 2 for a list of letters on these subjects). In terms of Scott's assistance to Darwin, he is referenced twice in *Origin* (Darwin, 1859), six times in *On the various contrivances by which British and foreign orchids are fertilised by insects* (Darwin, 1862), nine times in *The variation of animals and plants under domestication* (Darwin, 1868), once in *The descent of man, and selection in relation to sex* (Darwin, 1871), five times in *The effects of cross and self-fertilisation in the vegetable kingdom* (Darwin, 1876), and 19 times in *The different forms of flowers on plants of the same species* (Darwin, 1877). The majority of their correspondence centered around cross-pollination studies of hybrids to determine the effects on sterility/fertility. However, like many of his correspondents, Darwin gleaned a variety of information from Scott. For example, when Darwin was doing research for *The descent of man* in the late 1860s, he requested information on human variation from Scott (See Appendix 2).

Aside from Joseph D. Hooker and Daniel Oliver, Scott is likely the most important correspondent in regard to Darwin's studies on plant sterility and plant varieties. These studies were of great importance to Darwin because he believed that they were the clearest evidence of evolutionary gradation from varieties to species. Huxley had made the issue of cross-sterility of paramount importance in his assertion that species were delineated by mutual sterility (Burkhardt *et alii*, 1997: 700). Darwin disagreed: “Sterility ... has been

acquired ... to favour intercrossing. Sterility may ... have been slowly acquired for a distinct object, namely, to prevent two forms ... becoming blended by marriage" (Burkhardt *et alii*, 1997: 702). In an attempt to counter Huxley, Darwin drew on Scott's extensive knowledge and experience in plant propagating. Most significantly, Darwin persuaded Scott to replicate Karl Friedrich von Gärtner's cross-sterility experiments on *Verbascum* (Scott, 1867). The importance of Gärtner's (1849) experiments cannot be overemphasized, as Darwin stated in a letter to Hooker: "I do not think any experiment can be more important on Origin of species; for if [Gärtner] is correct, we certainly have what Huxley calls new physiological species arising" (Burkhardt *et alii*, 1994: 284).

SEED DISPERSAL AND VIABILITY

Nelson (2000) demonstrated that seed distribution and viability were significant projects of Darwin throughout his working life. Similar plant species were observed to be widely dispersed, but the question was how to explain this phenomenon. Darwin was motivated by the fact that island floras were known to be highly endemic (Murray, 1986: 76–77) and by the observations of his widely traveled botanist friend, Joseph D. Hooker (1847a, 1847b).

Although Darwin's interest in plant dispersal was not unique (Nelson, 2000: 34), his motivations were. Browne (1983: 196) summed up the importance of this project to Darwin: "the crux of Darwin's system was the proposition that species could spread virtually all over the world, given plenty of time and no physical barriers on the way". Since Darwin rejected independent creation, he sought an alternative explanation for the geographical distribution of plant species. Much of the impetus for Darwin's research was fueled by a desire to refute one of the prevailing hypotheses of his time: that distribution occurred via continental land-bridges, a position espoused by noted geologists such as Edward Forbes, and botanists including Joseph D. Hooker (Burkhardt and Smith, 1989: 331, 349). Darwin knew that if the idea of land-bridges could be refuted, creationists would be forced into the unsavory position of espousing "multiple creations" (Browne, 1983: 199–200).⁸

Although Darwin investigated seed viability as early as 1837 (Burkhardt and Smith, 1986: 13), his research began in earnest in 1855 (Darwin, 1855a, 1855b, 1855c, 1855d, 1855e, 1855f), initiated by a comment by Hooker (Burkhardt and Smith, 1989: 299, note 4; 321). Darwin believed that if he could demonstrate that seeds remain viable in salt water long enough to be transported by ocean currents, a plausible mechanism for plant distribution could be established. The manner in which Darwin conducted these experiments is only one instance among many where he was clearly attempting to buttress his projects by using empirical scientific methods.

Darwin had "sea water" artificially mixed by a local chemist. Then, following Hooker's advice on which seeds to test (Burkhardt and Smith, 1989: 304), Darwin placed a number of seeds of each species in small bottles containing 2–4 fluid ounces of sea water. The bottles containing salt water and seeds were then exposed to two different temperature ranges (at 44°–48°F, and at 32°F) to determine if temperature had any effect on germination. The higher temperature range was accomplished simply by keeping the bottles outside in the shade; however, to maintain 32°F, Darwin was forced continually to pack the bottles in snow. He was, in fact, able to find a number of different species that could endure immersion in salt water for a significant period of time (Table 2). Darwin discussed and summarized these experiments in a series of letters to the *Gardeners' chronicle* (Darwin, 1855a, 1855b, 1855c,

Table 2. Summary of Darwin's seed salting experiments; ordered by length of immersion.

Botanical name	common name	length of immersion	germination success ⁹
<i>Allium cepa</i>	onion	42 days	a few
<i>Apium graveolens</i> var. <i>dulce</i>	celery	42 days	Well
<i>Daucus carota</i>	carrot	42 days	Well
<i>Lactuca sativa</i>	lettuce	42 days	All
<i>Lepidium sativum</i>	common cress	42 days	All
<i>Raphanus sativus</i>	radishes	42 days	less well
<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i>	kidney beans	30 days	None
<i>Atriplex hortensis</i>	orache, or Atriplex	28 days	Well
<i>Avena sativa</i>	oats	28 days	Well
<i>Beta vulgaris</i>	beet	28 days	Well
<i>Borago officinalis</i>	borage	28 days	Well
<i>Capsicum</i>	peppers (chili, red, or sweet)	28 days	Well
<i>Cucurbita ovifera</i>	gourd	28 days	Well
<i>Hordeum vulgare</i>	barley	28 days	Well
<i>Phalaris canariensis</i>	canary grass	28 days	Well
<i>Rheum</i> × <i>hybridum</i>	rhubarb	28 days	Well
<i>Satureja hortensis</i>	savory, or Satureja	28 days	less well
<i>Brassica oleracea</i>	cabbage	14 days	only one
<i>Linum usitatissimum</i>	flax	14 days	only one
<i>Phaseolus</i> species	beans	14 days	a few
<i>Pisum sativum</i>	peas	14 days	None
<i>Ulex europaeus</i>	furze, or Ulex	14 days	a few
<i>Trifolium incarnatum</i>	crimson clover	7 days	None

1855d, 1855e, 1855f, 1856).

From the results of this experiment Darwin determined that the majority of the seeds that he tested could survive long enough to travel 1,300 to 1,400 nautical miles¹⁰ in the ocean, a number that he arrived at by multiplying the average ocean current speed (33 nautical miles per day) by the number of days that the majority of seeds survived (42 days) (Darwin, 1855e). Land-bridges were no longer necessary to explain the observed plant distribution patterns.

In addition to his seed-salting experiments, Darwin performed a number of investigations to determine mechanisms of plant dispersal – driftwood, birds, icebergs and fish (see Appendix 3). For example, he obtained clumps of mud from the legs and feet of various types of birds (ducks, pigeons and partridges). The mud frequently contained seeds which Darwin planted and found viable. In a letter to Joseph Hooker on 5 December 1863, Darwin discussed how he had obtained 32 seeds from mud attached to a partridge's foot (Burkhardt *et alii*, 1999: 687).

Another experiment involved birds of prey. Darwin had sparrows with full crops feed to hawks and owls at the Zoological Society gardens (Burkhardt and Smith, 1990: 248, note

2). Darwin extracted the seeds from the boluses that had been expelled 12 to 18 hours later by the hawks and owls, planted them, and determined that the seeds were indeed viable. From this, Darwin (1859: 357) hypothesized yet another means of transporting seeds, this time up to 500 miles (Burkhardt and Smith, 1990: 249).

It is also interesting to examine the diversity of correspondents (Appendix 4) with whom Darwin discussed his seed experiments. These correspondents were spread throughout the world: France, South Africa, United States, Azores, Jamaica and Norway; and they were equally diverse professionally: geologists, botanists, ornithologists, and conchologists. In addition to these “professional” scientists, Darwin also consulted a number of amateur naturalists and gardeners: his cousin William D. Fox; his sister Susan Darwin; his son William E. Darwin; and Miss Holland, the daughter of Henry Holland.¹¹

From this analysis one can easily see the lengths undertaken by Darwin for this one, apparently minor, research project which amounted to only five pages of summary in *Origin* (Darwin, 1859: 355–360). However, the results played a crucial role in Darwin’s argument for the geographical distribution of plant species (Darwin, 1859: chapter 12). It was in fact no small accomplishment. The results of these experiments were instrumental in convincing Joseph Hooker (at the time the world’s foremost authority on the geographic distribution of plants) that mechanisms for distribution existed. From his extensive travels and research, Hooker had long been convinced of the widespread distributions of many plant species; however, aside from the idea of continental extensions, for which there was then little evidence, the means of distribution were virtually unknown. Persuading Hooker encouraged him to speak openly on behalf of Darwin’s theory, which he did in his introduction to *Flora Tasmania* (Hooker, 1860). More importantly, Darwin’s experiments “proved highly significant for the theory of evolution, because the results established beyond doubt that species were capable of spreading far more widely than had hitherto been supposed” (Browne, 1983: 199).

DISCUSSION

The primary intent of this paper is to offer fresh research possibilities for Darwin’s correspondence. In this regard, several points should be obvious: First, Darwin’s over-arching project – a meta-theory of the unity of nature – was composed of numerous sub-projects which were frequently complex and scientific in nature (as defined by Darwin’s context). Although Darwin is more frequently thought of as a grand theorizer, a close examination of his sub-projects reveals the extent to which he was a “practicing” scientist in every sense of the word. Darwin’s correspondence is, therefore, invaluable because it reveals the intricacies of his work that cannot be found elsewhere. This may appear to some historians of science to be overly “internalistic” but it must also be noted that this science is being articulated via correspondence *between* individuals – a fact that significantly broadens the historical context.

Secondly, the present analysis demonstrates how important relatively unknown figures were to Darwin’s work. William B. Tegetmeier and John Scott are just two of numerous examples (see Appendix 1). This does not negate the originality of Darwin’s discovery; however, it goes a long way toward supporting the idea that science was, at least in Darwin’s context, a highly communal enterprise. A more thorough examination of the networks created by Darwin would be enlightening from a variety of scholarly perspectives.

Lastly, the publication of Darwin's correspondence is opening vast new research opportunities in nineteenth-century natural history. While Darwin remains a significant figure in this research, his correspondence opens doors for viewing numerous other "actors" and "sub-plots" on this particular stage of history.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to thank Dr Duncan M. Porter, Director of the Darwin Correspondence Project, for his patient guidance, and for the opportunity to work on this project, and an anonymous referee for helpful suggestions.

NOTES

¹ This immense quantity does not include the majority of his pre-1862 incoming correspondence, which Darwin did not retain (Montgomery, 1987: 15).

² Secord (1985: 537) claimed that Darwin distinguished between naturalists and breeders of domestic animal in terms of reliability of data. He did, however, make exceptions (Tegetmeier, for example).

³ Darwin was keenly aware that the scientific community had to be won over, and that this process was not entirely a matter of "evidence". For example, Darwin's effort to publish Asa Gray's *Atlantic monthly* articles, which argued for a theistic evolution, was clearly a rhetorical device (Dupree, 1968).

⁴ William Darwin Fox (1805–1880), Darwin's cousin, is one such example of a relative from whom Darwin solicited information.

⁵ In addition, Dr Duncan M. Porter, Director of the Darwin Correspondence Project, was also consulted.

⁶ Yarrell (1784–1856) was a zoologist who "engaged in business as newspaper agent and bookseller in London. An original member of the Zoological Society, 1826. Wrote standard works on British birds and fishes" (Burkhardt and Smith, 1989: 657).

⁷ For a list of these correspondents, see Darwin's memorandum dated [December] 1855 (Burkhardt and Smith, 1989: 510).

⁸ For Darwin's discussion of continental extensions see *Origin* (Darwin, 1859: 353–354).

⁹ This was Darwin's terminology.

¹⁰ In his discussion of these experiments in *Origin* Darwin (1859: 355) used the more conservative estimate of 28 days immersion to calculate the number of possible miles traveled (the result being 924 miles).

¹¹ "Physician. Distant cousin of Darwins and Wedgwoods" (Burkhardt and Smith, 1994: 614).

¹² URL (accessed 15 November 2002): <http://www.lib.cam.ac.uk/Departments/Darwin/calintro.html> (1999 Online Calendar of the Correspondence of Charles Darwin. The Darwin Correspondence Project. Cambridge University Library).

REFERENCES

- BARTLEY, M., 1992 Darwin and domestication: studies in inheritance. *Journal of the history of biology* 25: 307–333.
- BOWLBY, J., 1991 *Charles Darwin: a new life*. New York: W. W. Norton. Pp xiv, 511.
- BOWLER, P., 1990 *Charles Darwin: the man and his influence*. Oxford & Cambridge, Massachusetts: Blackwell. Pp xii, 250.
- BROWNE, J., 1983 *The secular ark: studies in the history of biogeography*. New Haven & London: Yale University Press. Pp x, 273.
- BROWNE, J., 1996 *Charles Darwin: a biography*. Princeton: Princeton University Press. Pp 622.
- BURKHARDT, F. *et alii* (editors), 1985 *A calendar of correspondence of Charles Darwin, 1821–1882*. New York & London: Garland Publishing. Pp 690.

- BURKHARDT, F. and SMITH, S. (editors), 1985 *The correspondence of Charles Darwin. Volume 1: 1821–1836*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Pp 702.
- BURKHARDT, F. and SMITH, S. (editors), 1986 *The correspondence of Charles Darwin. Volume 2: 1837–1843*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Pp xxxiii, 603.
- BURKHARDT, F. and SMITH, S. (editors), 1987 *The correspondence of Charles Darwin. Volume 3: 1844–1846*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Pp xxix, 523.
- BURKHARDT, F. and SMITH, S. (editors), 1988 *The correspondence of Charles Darwin. Volume 4: 1847–1850*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Pp 752.
- BURKHARDT, F. and SMITH, S. (editors), 1989 *The correspondence of Charles Darwin. Volume 5: 1851–1855*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Pp 705.
- BURKHARDT, F. and SMITH, S. (editors), 1990 *The correspondence of Charles Darwin. Volume 6: 1856–1857*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Pp xxix, 673.
- BURKHARDT, F. and SMITH, S. (editors), 1991 *The correspondence of Charles Darwin. Volume 7: 1858–1859*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Pp xxx, 671.
- BURKHARDT, F. and SMITH, S. (editors), 1994 *A calendar of the correspondence of Charles Darwin 1821–1882*. Second Edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Pp viii, 690.
- BURKHARDT, F. *et alii* (editors), 1993 *The correspondence of Charles Darwin. Volume 8: 1860*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Pp 808.
- BURKHARDT, F. *et alii* (editors), 1994 *The correspondence of Charles Darwin. Volume 9: 1861*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Pp xxxiii, 609.
- BURKHARDT, F. *et alii* (editors), 1997 *The correspondence of Charles Darwin. Volume 10: 1862*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Pp xxx, 936.
- BURKHARDT, F. *et alii* (editors), 1999 *The correspondence of Charles Darwin. Volume 11: 1863*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Pp xxxix, 1038.
- BURKHARDT, F. *et alii* (editors), 2001 *The correspondence of Charles Darwin. Volume 12: 1864*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Pp 700.
- DARWIN, C., 1842. *The structure and distribution of coral reefs. Being the first part of the geology of the voyage of the Beagle, under the command of Capt. Fitzroy, R.N. during the years 1832 to 1836*. London: Smith Elder & Co. Pp xii, 214.
- DARWIN, C., 1844 *Geological observations on the volcanic islands visited during the voyage of H.M.S. Beagle, together with some brief notices of the geology of Australia and the Cape of Good Hope. Being the second part of the geology of the voyage of the Beagle, under the command of Capt. Fitzroy, R.N. during the years 1832 to 1836*. London: Smith Elder & Co. Pp vii, 175.
- DARWIN, C., 1846 *Geological observations on South America. Being the third part of the geology of the voyage of the Beagle, under the command of Capt. Fitzroy, R.N. during the years 1832 to 1836*. London: Smith Elder & Co. Pp vii, 279.
- DARWIN, C., 1855a Does sea-water kill seeds? *Gardeners' chronicle* no. 15: 242.
- DARWIN, C., 1855b Does sea-water kill seeds? *Gardeners' chronicle* no. 21: 356–357.
- DARWIN, C., 1855c Vitality of seeds. *Gardeners' chronicle* no. 46: 758.
- DARWIN, C., 1855d Effect of salt-water on the germination of seeds. *Gardeners' chronicle* no. 47: 773.
- DARWIN, C., 1855e Effect of salt-water on the germination of seeds. *Gardeners' chronicle* no. 48: 789.
- DARWIN, C., 1855f Longevity of seeds. *Gardeners' chronicle* no. 52: 854.
- DARWIN, C., 1856 On the action of sea-water on the germination of seeds. *The journal of proceedings of the Linnean society of London (botany)* 1: 130–140.
- DARWIN, C., 1859 *On the origin of species by means of natural selection or the preservation of favoured races in the struggle for life*. London: John Murray. Pp ix, 502.
- DARWIN, C., 1862 *On the various contrivances by which British and foreign orchids are fertilised by insects, and the good effects of intercrossing*. London: John Murray. Pp vi, 365.
- DARWIN, C., 1868 *The variation of animals and plants under domestication*. London: John Murray. 2 volumes.
- DARWIN, C., 1871 *The descent of man, and selection in relation to sex*. London: John Murray. 2 volumes.

- DARWIN, C., 1876 *The effects of cross and self fertilisation in the vegetable kingdom*. London: John Murray. Pp viii, 482.
- DARWIN, C., 1877 *The different forms of flowers on plants of the same species*. London: John Murray. Pp viii, 352.
- DARWIN, F., 1909 *The foundations of the origin of species. two essays written in 1842 and 1844*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Pp xxix, 263.
- DE BEER, G., 1959 Darwin's journal. *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History), historical series*, 2 (1): 1–21.
- DESMOND, A. J. and MOORE, J., 1992 *Darwin: a tormented evolutionist*. New York: Warner Books. Pp xxi, 808.
- DESMOND, R. and ELLWOOD, C., 1994 *Dictionary of British and Irish botanists and horticulturists: including plant collectors, flower painters, and garden designers*. London: Taylor & Francis. Pp xl, 825.
- DUPREE, A. H., 1968 *Asa Gray, 1810–1888*. New York: Athenaeum. Pp x, 505.
- GARBER, J., 1994 Darwin's correspondents in the Pacific: through the looking glass to the antipodes, pp 169–211, in MACLEOD, R. and REHBOCK, P. (editors), *Darwin's laboratory: evolutionary theory and natural history in the Pacific*. Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press. Pp x, 540.
- GÄRTNER, K. F. VON, 1849 *Versuche und beobachtungen über die bastarderzeugung im pflanzenreich. mit hinweisung auf die ähnlichen erscheinungen im thierreiche*. Stuttgart: E. Schweizerbart. Pp xvi, 790.
- HOOKER, J. D., 1847a. *The botany of the Antarctic voyage of H. M. Discovery Ships Erebus and Terror, in the years 1839–1843, under the command of Captain Sir James Clark Ross*. Part 1. *Flora Antarctica*. London: Reeve Brothers. 2 volumes.
- HOOKER, J. D., 1847b. *The botany of the Antarctic voyage of H. M. Discovery Ships Erebus and Terror, in the years 1839–1843, under the command of Captain Sir James Clark Ross*. Part 2. *Flora Novae Zelandiae*. London: Reeve Brothers. 2 volumes.
- HOOKER, J. D., 1860. *The botany of the Antarctic voyage of H. M. Discovery Ships Erebus and Terror, in the years 1839–1843, under the command of Captain Sir James Clark Ross*. Part 3. *Flora Tasmania*. London: Reeve Brothers. 2 volumes.
- KENNEDY, J. W., 1908 Notable men of Upper Tevoitdale. No. 1. John Scott of Denholm, a distinguished naturalist. *Transactions of the Hawick archaeological society*: 65–72.
- MONTGOMERY, W., 1987 Editing the Darwin correspondence: a quantitative perspective. *British journal for the history of science* 20: 13–27.
- MOORE, J., 1985 Darwin's genesis and revelations. Essay review of BURKHARDT, F. *et alii*, (editors) 1985 *A calendar of correspondence of Charles Darwin, 1821–1882*. (New York and London: Garland Publishing. Pp 690), and BURKHARDT, F. *et alii*, (editors) 1985 *The correspondence of Charles Darwin. Volume 1: 1821–1836*. (New York: Cambridge University Press. Pp xxix, 702). *Isis* 76 (4): 570–580.
- MURRAY, D. R., 1986 Seed dispersal by water, pp 49–85, in MURRAY, D. R. (editor), *Seed dispersal*. North Ryde, N.S.W.: Academic Press Australia. Pp xiv, 322.
- NELSON, E. C., 2000 *Sea beans and nickar nuts: a handbook of exotic seeds and fruits stranded on beaches in north-western Europe*. London: Botanical Society of the British Isles. Pp 156.
- PORTER, D. M., 1993 On the road to the *Origin* with Darwin, Hooker, and Gray. *Journal of the history of biology* 26 (1): 1–38.
- RICHARDSON, E. W., 1916 *A veteran naturalist: being the life and work of W. B. Tegetmeier*. London: Witherby & Co. Pp xxiv, 232.
- RUSE, M., 1996 The Darwin industry: a guide. *Victorian studies* 39 (2): 217–235.
- SCOTT, J. 1867 On the reproductive functional relations of several species and varieties of *Verbascum*. *Journal of the asiatic society of Bengal* 36 (2): 145–174.
- SECORD, J., 1985 Darwin and the breeders: a social history, pp 519–542, in KOHN, D. (editor), *The Darwinian heritage*. Princeton: Princeton University Press. Pp xii, 1138.
- TEGETMEIER, W. B., 1858 *A manual of domestic economy: with hints on domestic medicine and surgery*. London: Home & Colonial School Society. Pp viii, 164.

APPENDIX 1. Correlation between date ranges of Darwin's correspondence and date ranges of Darwin's projects (see Table 1, p. 120): X indicates an overlap.

* These correspondents are noted in *Dictionary of British and Irish botanists and horticulturists* (Desmond and Ellwood, 1994).

Columns

A — entomology

B — zoology of the *Beagle* voyage

C — transmutation of species

D — Cirripedia

E — domestic animal breeding

F — seed dispersal and transport

G — insectivorous plants

H — climbing plants

I — pangenesis

J — expressions

K — man and sexual selection

L — movement in plants

M — worms

Correspondent	Dates of correspondence	Number of letters	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
Abbott, Francis	1871–1880	16							X		X	X	X	X	X
Agassi, Alexander*	1869–1881	12							X		X	X	X	X	X
Airy, Hubert	1871–1876	27							X		X	X	X	X	X
Alglave, Emile	1869–1877	8							X		X	X	X	X	X
Allen, Charles G.*	1878–1882	13									X			X	X
Anderson-Henry, Issaac*	1863–1867	17						X	X	X	X	X	X		
Arruda Furtado, Francisco d'	1881	10									X				X
Asher, George	1877–1879	7									X			X	X
Aubertin, Joshua	1863–1872	7						X	X	X	X	X	X		
Aveling, Edward*	1878–1881	7									X			X	X
Babington, Charles*	1837–1877	20		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Balfour, Francis	1873–1881	17							X		X			X	X
Bartlett, Abraham	1861–1872	14					X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Bartlett, Edward*	1871	6							X		X	X	X		
Bate, Charles S.	1850–1871	20				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Baxter, William*	1855–1882	31					X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Becker, Lydia*	1863–1877	15						X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Belt, Thomas*	1867–1877	15						X	X		X	X	X	X	X
Bennett, Alfred*	1869–1876	18							X		X	X	X	X	X
Bentham, George*	1856–1880	66					X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Berkeley, Miles*	1840–1875	11		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Blackley, Charles	1873–1879	7							X		X			X	X
Blair, Rueben	1877–1881	7									X			X	X
Bonn, Heinrich	1860–1862	18					X	X	X						

Correspondent	Dates of correspondence	Number of letters	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
Bosquet, Joseph	1852–1856	11				×	×	×							
Bowerbank, James*	1847–1864	14				×	×	×	×	×					
Bowman, William*	1865–1878	30						×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Breitenbach, Wilhelm	1876–1882	12									×			×	×
Brent, Bernard	1857–1864	9					×	×	×	×					
Browne, Walter	1880–1881	6									×			×	×
Brunton, Thomas	1873–1882	38							×		×			×	×
Buckland, Francis	1863–1870	14						×	×	×	×	×	×		
Buckley, Arabella	1868–1881	21							×		×	×	×	×	×
Bunbury, Charles*	1855–1860	10					×	×	×						
Busk, George	1858–1873	18					×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	
Butler, Arthur	1870–1879	15							×		×	×	×	×	×
Caird, James	1878–1881	9									×			×	×
Canestrini, Giovanni	1868–1880	9							×		×	×	×	×	×
Carus, Julius	1866–1881	179						×	×		×	×	×	×	×
Caspary, Johann*	1866–1876	11						×	×		×	×	×	×	×
Caton, John	1868–1877	9							×		×	×	×	×	×
Child, Gilbert	1868–1869	6							×		×	×	×		
Clarke, Richard T.*	1862–1877	7						×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Claus, Carl	1869–1877	8							×		×	×	×	×	×
Cobbe, Frances	1870–1872	7							×		×	×			
Coe, Henry	1857–1858	6					×	×							
Cohn, Ferdinand	1874–1882	22							×		×			×	×
Conway, Moncure	1863–1878	8						×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Covington, Sims	1843–1859	10		×	×	×	×	×							
Cresy, Edward	1845–1860	45				×	×	×	×						
Crichton-Browne, James	1869–1875	41							×		×	×	×	×	
Crick, Walter D.	1879–1882	11									×			×	×
Crocker, Charles*	1862–1863	9						×	×	×					
Croll, James	1968–1881	14							×		×	×	×	×	×
Crüger, Hermann*	1863–1864	6						×	×	×					
Cupples, George	1868–1878	61							×		×	×	×	×	×
Dallas, William	1867–1880	55						×	×		×	×	×	×	×
Dareste, Gabriel	1863–1870	9						×	×	×	×	×	×		
Darwin, Francis*	1857–1882	194					×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Darwin, George H.	1856–1882	263					×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Darwin, Horace	1859–1881	17					×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Darwin, Leonard	1859–1881	27					×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Darwin, Reginald	1879	19									×			×	×

Correspondent	Dates of correspondence	Number of letters	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
Darwin, William E.*	1851–1882	219				×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Davidson, Thomas	1856–1873	7					×	×	×	×	×	×	×		
Dawkins, William	1867–1875	20						×	×		×	×	×	×	
De Chaumont, Francis	1871–1875	10							×		×	×	×		
Delpino, G.G. Federico	1867–1880	26						×	×		×	×	×	×	×
Denny, Henry*	1844–1865	8			×	×	×	×	×	×					
Denny, John*	1872–1879	6							×		×	×		×	×
Dieffenbach, Ernest*	1843–1847	13		×	×	×									
Dobell, Horace	1863–1871	11						×	×	×	×	×	×		
Dodel-Port, Arnold	1874–1880	13							×		×			×	×
Donders, Francis	1869–1874	29							×		×	×	×	×	
Doubleday, Henry*	1857–1868	11					×	×	×	×	×	×	×		
Elliot, Walter	1856–1869	6					×	×	×	×	×	×	×		
Ernst, Adolf	1878–1882	9									×			×	×
Errera, Leo	1877–1879	10									×			×	×
Eyton, Thomas*	1833–1876	39		×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Falconer, Hugh*	1855–1865	52					×	×	×	×	×				
Farrer, Thomas*	1868–1882	134							×		×	×	×	×	×
Fayner, Joseph	1874–1882	12							×		×			×	×
Fiske, John	1871–1880	14						×		×	×	×	×	×	×
Fitch, Robert	1849–1851	17				×									
Fitzgerald, Robert D.*	1875–1881	7							×		×			×	×
Flower, William	1863–1880	29						×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Forbes, David	1860–1872	12					×	×	×	×	×	×	×		
Forel, August	1874–1876	7							×		×			×	×
Foster, Michael*	1871–1882	14							×		×	×	×	×	×
Fox, William D.	1828–1879	206	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Geikie, James	1876–1881	13									×			×	×
Gilbert, Joseph*	1869–1882	13							×		×	×	×	×	×
Gladstone, William	1872–1881	11							×		×	×		×	×
Goodacre, Francis	1873–1880	18							×		×			×	×
Gould, August	1848–1859	9				×	×	×							
Gray, George R.*	1838–1869	11		×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×		
Gray, John E.*	1847–1873	37				×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	
Günther, Albert C.	1860–1881	66					×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Haast, Sir Johann*	1862–1879	22						×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Hancock, Albany*	1849–1869	24				×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×		
Hartogh, Heijs	1870–1874	14							×		×	×	×	×	
Heckel, Edouard M.	1876–1881	7									×			×	×

Correspondent	Dates of correspondence	Number of letters	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
Heer, Oswald	1860–1877	7					×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Henslow, George*	1865–1879	35						×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Henslow, John S.*	1831–1860	144	×	×	×	×	×	×	×						
Hildebrand, Friedrich	1862–1880	29						×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Hoffmann, Hermann	1870–1877	6							×		×	×	×	×	×
Hooker, William J.*	1843–1858	9		×	×	×	×	×							
Horner, Leonard	1838–1862	13		×	×	×	×	×	×						
Hunt, Robert	1855–1880	6					×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Hyatt, Alpheus	1872–1881	11							×		×	×		×	×
Jamieson, Thomas*	1861–1866	7					×	×	×	×	×				
Jenyns, Leonard*	1837–1877	42		×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Jesse, George	1871–1881	6							×		×	×	×	×	×
Judd, John	1876–1882	12									×			×	×
Jukes, Joseph B.	1838–1864	9		×	×	×	×	×	×	×					
Kindt, Hermann	1864–1865	10						×	×	×	×				
King, George*	1871–1881	14							×		×	×	×	×	×
Kingsley, Charles*	1859–1869	17					×	×	×	×	×	×	×		
Kippist, Richard*	1857–1877	12					×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Krause, Ernst	1877–1881	108									×			×	×
Krefft, Johann	1872–1876	16							×		×	×		×	×
Lankester, Edwin*	1850–1853	13				×									
Lankester, Edwin R.	1869–1881	8							×		×	×	×	×	×
Leighton, William*	1840–1865	6		×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×				
Lindley, John*	1843–1862	13		×	×	×	×	×	×						
Lonsdale, William	1837–1868	9		×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×		
Lynch, Richard*	1877–1878	11									×			×	×
MacKintosh, David	1867–1882	12						×	×		×	×	×	×	×
Marsh, O.C.	1875–1880	6							×		×			×	×
Master, Max	1860–1880	44					×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Matthew, Patrick	1862–1871	6						×	×	×	×	×	×		
Maw, George*	1861–1880	29					×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
McLennan, John*	1871–1880	12							×		×	×	×	×	×
Meehan, Thomas*	1871–1880	12							×		×	×	×	×	×
Meldola, Raphael	1871–1882	88							×		×	×	×	×	×
Mengozi, Giovanni	1880–1881	6									×			×	×
Miller, William H.*	1839–1859	10		×	×	×	×	×							
Moggridge, John T.*	1864–1874	43						×	×	×	×	×	×	×	
More, Alexander*	1860–1881	24					×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Moschkan, Alfred	1873–1878	6							×		×			×	×

Correspondent	Dates of correspondence	Number of letters	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
Romanes, George*	1874–1882	136							×		×			×	×
Royle, John*	1838–1847	7		×	×	×									
Rüttimeyer, Karl	1861–1874	7					×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	
Sabine, Edward*	1854–1864	7				×	×	×	×	×					
Salvin, Osbert*	1863–1875	20						×	×	×	×	×	×	×	
Sanderson, John S.*	1873–1880	63							×		×			×	×
Saporta, Louis	1868–1881	21							×		×	×	×	×	×
Scherzer, Karl von	1867–1878	9						×	×		×	×	×	×	×
Sclater, Philip	1860–1882	29					×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Scott, John*	1862–1877	91						×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Semper, Carl G.	1874–1881	17							×		×			×	×
Sharpe, Daniel*	1846–1854	7				×									
Shaw, James*	1865–1868	10						×	×	×	×	×	×		
Simpson, J.F.	1881–1882	6									×				×
Skertchly, Sydney*	1878–1881	6									×			×	×
Smith, Andrew	1839–1871	7		×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×		
Smith, Frederick	1857–1878	20					×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Sowerby, George*	1844–1846	12			×	×									
Stainton, Henry	1855–1881	14					×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Steenstrup, Japetus	1849–1881	16				×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Stephen, Leslie	1879–1882	8									×			×	×
Strickland, Hugh*	1842–1849	11		×	×	×									
Sullivan, Bartholomew*	1832–1881	72		×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Swinhoe, Robert*	1862–1874	18						×	×	×	×	×	×	×	
Tait, Robert	1871–1882	76							×		×	×	×	×	×
Tait, William*	1869	18							×		×	×	×		
Tegetmeier, William	1855–1881	188					×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Thiselton-Dyer, William*	1868–1881	148							×		×	×	×	×	×
Thomas, Charles	1870–1878	6							×		×	×	×	×	×
Thwaites, George*	1855–1877	30					×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Torbitt, James	1876–1882	93									×			×	×
Treat, Mary	1871–1876	15							×		×	×	×	×	×
Trimen, Roland*	1863–1877	39						×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Voysey, Charles*	1869–1876	6							×		×	×	×	×	×
Walker, Francis	1838–1876	6		×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Wallich, George*	1860–1882	7					×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Walsh, Benjamin D.	1864–1868	34						×	×	×	×	×	×		
Waterhouse, George R.	1838–1868	45		×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×		
Watson, Hewett*	1847–1864	45				×	×	×	×	×					

Correspondent	Dates of correspondence	Number of letters	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
Weale, John	1865–1874	14						×	×	×	×	×	×	×	
Weir, John J.*	1868–1881	72							×		×	×	×	×	×
Westwood, John	1856–1864	9					×	×	×	×					
Whitelegge, Thomas*	1878	7									×			×	×
Williamson, William C.*	1846–1880	16				×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Wilson, Alexander S.*	1878–1881	22									×			×	×
Wollaston, Thomas*	1855–1860	10					×	×	×						
Woodward, Samuel P.*	1842–1863	25		×	×	×	×	×	×	×					
Wright, Chaucey	1871–1875	20							×		×	×	×	×	
Württemberg, Leopold	1879–1881	7									×			×	×
Yarrell, William	1838–1856	6		×	×	×	×	×							
Zacharias, Otto	1875–1877	10							×		×			×	×
Zincke, Foster	1876–1881	7									×			×	×

APPENDIX 3. Darwin's work on seeds and dispersal with list of letters by topics discussed (numbers correspond to letter in Burckhardt and Smith, 1994¹²).

Dispersal by birds	1608	1816	1866	1948	1948	1978	2328	2338	2343	2395	2722	3587	4054	4351	4353
	4435	4440	4446	4453	4456	5287	5300	6780	10340	10341	12435				
Dispersal by fish	1948	2042	2064	2069	2081										
Dispersal by ice	1636														
Dispersal by insects	5649	5659	5714												
Floating plants	1950														
General on dispersal	849	1948	1956	2075	2315	3667	4269	5007	5305	5364	5373	5659	7848	10370	
General on vitality	353	390	579	668	669	690	691	696	699	701	705	706	707	708	711
	712	713	716	720	799	10802	13331								
Request for seeds	1708	1777													
Salting experiment	1660	1661	1667	1669	1671	1680	1681	1684	1704	1708	1710	1711	1733	1742	1763
	1783	1787	1834	1911	1985	1994	2074	2075	2117						

