

GOPEN ACCESS

Citation: Lord JS, Bonsall MB (2023) Mechanistic modelling of within-mosquito viral dynamics: Insights into infection and dissemination patterns. PLoS Comput Biol 19(10): e1011520. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1011520</u>

Editor: Rob J De Boer, Utrecht University, NETHERLANDS

Received: November 24, 2022

Accepted: September 15, 2023

Published: October 9, 2023

Copyright: © 2023 Lord, Bonsall. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All code to produce figures is available here: https://github.com/ jenniesuz/within_mosquito_virus_dynamics.

Funding: JSL receives salary from an MRC Career Development Award: MR/W017059/1. The funder had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Mechanistic modelling of within-mosquito viral dynamics: Insights into infection and dissemination patterns

Jennifer S. Lord^{1*}, Michael B. Bonsall²

1 Department of Vector Biology, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, United Kingdom, 2 Department of Biology, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

* jennifer.suzanne.lord@gmail.com

Abstract

Vector or host competence can be defined as the ability of an individual to become infected and subsequently transmit a pathogen. Assays to measure competence play a key part in the assessment of the factors affecting mosquito-borne virus transmission and of potential pathogen-blocking control tools for these viruses. For mosquitoes, competence for arboviruses can be measured experimentally and results are usually analysed using standard statistical approaches. Here we develop a mechanistic approach to studying within-mosquito virus dynamics that occur during vector competence experiments. We begin by developing a deterministic model of virus replication in the mosquito midgut and subsequent escape and replication in the hemocoel. We then extend this to a stochastic model to capture the between-individual variation observed in vector competence experiments. We show that the dose-response of the probability of mosquito midgut infection and variation in the dissemination rate can be explained by stochastic processes generated from a small founding population of virions, caused by a relatively low rate of virion infection of susceptible cells. We also show that comparing treatments or species in competence experiments by fitting mechanistic models could provide further insight into potential differences. Generally, our work adds to the growing body of literature emphasizing the importance of intrinsic stochasticity in biological systems.

Author summary

Mosquitoes are vectors of viruses, like dengue or Zika, that can cause disease in humans. However, not all mosquito species and not all individuals are equally likely to become infected and subsequently transmit virus. To study the virus infection process in mosquitoes, experiments are usually designed where groups of mosquitoes are provided with an infected blood meal and after an incubation period the proportion of flies that are infected and the proportion that are capable of transmission are assessed. Researchers have shown, using experimental infection, that the amount of virus in a blood meal affects the probability of infection and how long it takes for a mosquito to be able to transmit. Here, we develop a mechanistic model of this process which demonstrates that these phenomena can be explained by stochasticity that is intrinsic to the system.

Introduction

Mosquito-borne viruses, particularly those transmitted by *Aedes* mosquitoes, are a major global health problem. In 2017, the combined burden of dengue, yellow fever and Japanese encephalitis was estimated to be greater than 2,000,000 disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) [1]. Similarly, between 2010 and 2019, Zika (ZIKV) and chikungunya viruses caused an estimated annual combined loss of greater than 150,000 DALYs [2]. Interventions to reduce the public health burden of these diseases have focused mainly on mosquito control. Control programmes against mosquito-borne viruses often focus on increasing vector mortality to reduce transmission and therefore disease risk. However, any interventions that involve mosquito population suppression, including insecticide-treated bed nets or genetic control, face the problem of resistance evolution in affected populations [3]. The importance of new, and combined, approaches to reducing the burden of mosquito-borne viral disease has therefore been highlighted [4, 5].

Some alternative approaches to control mosquito-borne viruses aim to reduce vector competence. Here, we define vector competence as the ability of mosquitoes to become infected and subsequently transmit virus. Under this definition, the extrinsic incubation period (EIP), which is the time it takes for virus to reach the salivary glands of an infected mosquito, is included as a component of competence [5-7]. At the population-level, vector competence contributes to the force of infection from host-to-mosquito via the probability of mosquito midgut infection given a bite on an infected host. It also influences mosquito-to-host transmission, through the EIP. Approaches that aim to reduce vector competence have gained traction since the successful deployment of *Wolbachia*-infected *Aedes* mosquitoes, rendering them unable to transmit dengue virus. In Yogyakarta, Indonesia, releases of *Wolbachia*-infected *Aedes* reduced dengue incidence by *c*. 70% [8].

Measuring vector competence under experimental conditions plays a key part in the development and assessment of pathogen-blocking control tools. Vector competence experiments are also important more generally in implicating mosquito species in arbovirus transmission [9, 10]. In addition to inter-species differences due to vector and virus genetics, studies have shown that vector competence varies within a species (e.g. [11, 12]), due to both intrinsic and extrinsic factors, including mosquito genetics, microbiome [13], age [14], larval environment and temperature [15]. Variation in these factors, therefore, likely contribute to spatiotemporal variation in outbreak risk [16].

To our knowledge there are no mechanistic models of within-mosquito virus dynamics and vector competence. Vector competence is determined by the ability of virus particles (virions) to infect a mosquito's midgut epithelial cells, multiply, and escape the midgut to disseminate to other tissues and infect the salivary glands, where they can be transmitted to a vertebrate host [17]. Therefore, to measure vector competence, typically: i) female mosquitoes are exposed to virus via an infected host or artificial blood meal; ii) then these infected mosquitoes are incubated over a pre-specified number of days; after which iii) *in vivo* assessment of virus transmission and/ or assays are performed to detect the presence of virus in the midgut (to demonstrate infection), legs (to demonstrate dissemination), and salivary glands or saliva (to demonstrate an ability to transmit). The resulting experimental data may also be used to estimate the EIP [18]. Data from vector competence experiments, to our knowledge, are usually analysed using separate phenomenological models of infection, dissemination and transmission. Analyses include using ANOVA at single virus concentrations and time points to compare between species or treatments (e.g. [19]) and the calculation of the infectious dose at which 50% of mosquitoes are infected (e.g. [20]). Logistic regression is used to model the probability of infection, dissemination or transmission as a function of virus dose or time (e.g. [21, 22]). Survival analyses of the time response of dissemination and transmission have also been used [23]. Such analyses may give biologically meaningful parameter estimates, but they do not link the initial input virus, the process of midgut infection dynamics with dissemination and transmission. Although, in their study of ZIKV infection in *Ae. albopictus*, Lequime *et. al* mechanistically model virus dynamics in the vertebrate host, their approach to then using this to predict vector competence is phenomenological [22]. Here we show that developing mechanistic models, that explicitly account for viral replication dynamics, would provide a formal definition of vector competence, could inform the design and interpretation of experiments and potentially explain phenomena observed in these empirical investigations.

An important observation, likely common to all mosquito-borne viruses, is that the probability of mosquito midgut infection increases sigmoidally with virus concentration of the blood meal. This has been shown for Barmah forest virus [20], dengue virus [24], Ross River virus [19], Rift Valley fever virus [25], West Nile virus [26] and Zika virus [22] and summarised in [27]. Furthermore, virus concentration in the blood meal not only affects the probability of midgut infection but also the time it takes for virus to disseminate to other tissues, including the salivary glands and thus the EIP [21, 22]. Understanding these processes can inform the design of vector competence experiments comparing different treatments or species.

Despite the possibility of thousands of virions being present in a blood meal, infection in the midgut is typically initiated in very few (c. < 15) cells [28–30]. Given this small founding population, the dose-response phenomena of midgut infection and between-individual variation in the duration of the EIP suggest that infection could arise from stochastic processes in the mosquito. Lord (2006) [31] show that infection of vectors should be considered in a probabilistic sense, however their work focused only on the process of midgut infection. In addition, their probabilistic model of mosquito midgut infection focused only on modelling a random distribution of virions in the blood, and they assumed that a threshold level of virions was still required for midgut infection.

Here we present a mechanistic model of virus dynamics within the mosquito, focusing on midgut infection and dissemination to the hemocoel. We aimed to: i) show that the dose-response of the probability of mosquito midgut infection and the effect of virus concentration in the blood meal on dissemination patterns is an emergent property of the stochastic system; and ii) demonstrate that the mechanistic model can produce results similar to that observed in experimental tests of vector competence. Our model provides a complementary approach that could be used alongside phenomenological models to provide further mechanistic insight.

Materials and methods

Deterministic model

We model virus infection of cells in the mosquito midgut and hemocoel using a set of coupled ordinary differential equations ODEs (Eq 1), which track the numbers of free virions in the blood meal (G_v), the number of infected midgut cells (M_c), the number of virions within the midgut epithelium (M_v) and in the hemocoel (H_v) and the number of infected cells in the

hemocoel (H_c) :

$$\frac{dG_{\nu}}{dt} = -G_{\nu}\beta(c_{max} - M_{c}) - \mu_{\nu}G_{\nu}$$

$$\frac{dM_{c}}{dt} = G_{\nu}\beta(c_{max} - M_{c}) + \alpha M_{c}(c_{max} - M_{c})$$

$$\frac{dM_{\nu}}{dt} = \gamma M_{c} - \mu_{\nu}M_{\nu} - \rho M_{\nu}$$

$$\frac{dH_{\nu}}{dt} = \rho M_{\nu} + \gamma H_{c} - \mu_{\nu}H_{\nu}$$

$$\frac{dH_{c}}{dt} = H_{\nu}\beta(h_{max} - H_{c})$$
(1)

where β is the rate at which virions infect susceptible cells (combining the contact rate and the probability of infection), c_{max} and h_{max} are the total number of cells in the midgut and hemocoel respectively, μ_{ν} is the virus decay rate, γ is the rate at which virions are produced by infected cells, α is the rate at which virions spread between infected and susceptible midgut cells, and ρ is the rate at which virions escape from the midgut epithelium into the hemocoel.

We make the assumption that there is no cell death or virus-induced apoptosis; virions escape cells via budding from the cell membrane. While this assumption likely doesn't hold in nature [32], relaxing this simplification will be dealt with in future work. We also assume that the rate at which susceptible cells become infected and the rate at which infected cells produce virions are the same for both cells in the midgut and hemocoel. Again this is a simplification of what is likely to occur. The main drivers of viral decay in the midgut will be blood meal digestion together with the formation of the peritrophic matrix [33] and in the hemocoel will be natural decay or clearance due to immune responses [34]. Virions enter the midgut via a blood meal and are either subject to decay (μ_{ν}) or enter susceptible midgut cells at rate β . On infection, virions are produced at rate γ and may escape the midgut at rate ρ to then circulate in the hemocoel and infect hemocoel cells (Fig 1). To demonstrate the dynamics of virus replication in this system, we ran a single simulation for the equivalent of seven days with arbitrary values of $\beta = 10^{-8} h^{-1}$, $\mu_{\nu} = 0.1 h^{-1}$, $\alpha = 10^{-3.5} h^{-1}$, $\rho = 0.05 h^{-1}$.

Stochastic model and numerical analyses

The change in the numbers of infected midgut cells over time is described by the second equation of Eq.1. Prior to infection ($M_c = 0$), when virions are introduced ($G_v > 0$) the event of a midgut cell becoming infected depends entirely on $G_v\beta(c_{max})$ and the rate at which G_v is depleted depends on the virus decay rate (μ_v). With these model assumptions, it is therefore the susceptible cell infection rate (β) and virus decay rate (μ_v) which govern initial infection of midgut cells.

We assume that the rate at which virions infect susceptible cells (β) is low such that infection is established in the midgut by only a few virions, despite the presence of 1000s in the blood meal (G_{ν}). Under this assumption, it is therefore possible, depending on the values of β and G_{ν} , by chance, for an infection not to occur. This is supported by observations that infection in the midgut is established from < 15 cells [28–30] and that it is common for not all mosquitoes in a sample to become infected under experimental conditions [19, 20, 22, 24–26].

The deterministic ODE is incapable of capturing this sort of variation and heterogeneity in virus-cell interactions. By introducing stochasticity, particularly forms of demographic stochasticity, offers the potential to capture the between individual variation observed in vector competence experiments where mosquitoes are given blood meals infected with virus. We





Fig 1. Model schematic and relation to results from vector competence experiments. A: In a vector competence experiment, mosquitoes are provided a blood meal containing virus. Individual mosquitoes ingest G_v virions. After virions enter the midgut, infection must be initiated as shown in B. B: Ingested virions infect susceptible midgut epithelial cells (M_c) at rate β and can spread between cells at rate α . New virions are produced at rate γ to produce free virus M_v and can escape through the basal lamina at rate (ρ). Here only infected hemocoel cells are modelled (H_c) to represent dissemination and free virus in the hemocoel (H_v). Virus is cleared at all stages at rate μ_v . We also make the simplifying assumption that

parameter values are the same across tissues. C: Vector competence experiments usually compare at least two treatments or mosquito species to quantify the ability of mosquitoes, under set experimental conditions to become infected by and subsequently transmit virus; here shown as conceptual results for two different mosquito species. In simulations of the stochastic model and as realised in experiments, multiple individuals can be tracked and the proportion which develop a midgut infection quantified in addition to the proportion infected which develop a disseminated infection. Here we argue that these processes should be seen as functions of initial number of input virions in the blood meal and time post blood meal respectively.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1011520.g001

therefore develop a stochastic version of $\underline{Eq 1}$. In this version of the framework, continuous variables are replaced with integers, and rates are replaced by probabilities. There are nine such probabilistic processes in the stochastic framework corresponding to events that occur in the deterministic model outlined in $\underline{Eq 1}$ (Table 1).

To simulate the stochastic dynamics, we use the tau-leap version of the Gillespie algorithm [35] (implemented using the adaptivetau package in R). This algorithm is a brute-force method that uses a set of random number generators to determine which process (drawn randomly from all possible processes based on their respective probabilities) occurs in a given small time interval and how many time steps can be aggregated over before the next process occurs.

The dose-response of the probability of mosquito midgut infection. We first focus on midgut dynamics and show how a low rate at which virus infects susceptible cells (β), relative to the number of input virions, generates stochasticity in the mosquito-virus interaction that can explain the dose-response of the probability of mosquito midgut infection observed in experiments. To do this, for a single set of parameter values, we ran the stochastic model 30 times; simulating viral dynamics in 30 individual mosquitoes. The model was run for 124 hourly time steps. We then determined the proportion of simulated mosquitoes developing a midgut infection as the number of simulations where there was at least one infected midgut cell ($M_c > 1$) as the numerator and the total number of simulations as the denominator. This was evaluated at the end of the 124 hourly time steps. We repeated this across a range of values for G_{ν} that reflect possible virus concentrations in a blood meal, to explore the possibility of a dose-response. Initial input G_{ν} was between therefore 10³ and 10¹⁰ virus particles per ml, multiplied by 0.003, assuming this is the average size of a mosquito blood meal [36].

Table 1. Transitions and reaction rates.

Event	Change	Rate	
Viri <mark>on</mark> loss from th <mark>e bl</mark> ood meal	$G_{v} - 1$	$\mu_{\nu}G_{\nu}$	
Midgut cell infection	$G_{v} - 1, M_{c} + 1$	$\beta G_{\nu}(c_{max}-M_c)$	
Spread of infection between midgut cells	$M_c + 1$	$\alpha M_c (c_{max} - M_c)$	
Virion production in midgut	$M_v + 1$	γM_c	
Virion decay in midgut	$M_{\nu} - 1$	$\mu_{\nu}M_{\nu}$	
Virion escape to hemocoel	$M_{\nu} - 1, H_{\nu} + 1$	$ ho M_{ u}$	
Virion production in hemocoel	$H_v + 1$	γH_c	
Virion decay in hemocoel	$H_{\nu} - 1$	$\mu_{\nu}H_{\nu}$	
Hemocoel cell infection	$H_c + 1$	$\beta H_{\nu}(h_{max} - H_c)$	

 G_{ν} is the number of free virions in the blood meal, M_c is the number of infected midgut cells, M_{ν} is the number of virions in the midgut epithelium, H_{ν} is the number of virions in the hemocoel and H_c the number of infected cells in the hemocoel. Rates involve μ_{ν} which is the virus decay rate, β the rate at which virions infect susceptible cells, c_{max} the total number of midgut cells, α the rate at which virions spread between midgut cells, γ the rate at which virions are released from infected cells, ρ the rate at which virions escape through the basal lamina to the hemocoel and h_{max} the total number of hemocoel cells.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1011520.t001

As outlined above, the initial virus numbers (G_v) , the rate at which virus infects susceptible cells (β) and the virus decay rate (μ_V) influence the probability of midgut infection. We therefore carried out a sensitivity analysis, varying β between 10⁻¹⁴ and 10⁻⁴ h⁻¹ and μ_V between 1/ 36 and 1/6 h⁻¹ and repeated the stochastic simulations with each value of Gv to quantify the effects of these parameters on the probability of mosquito midgut infection as a function of virus dose. The range of μ_v used was chosen to reflect viral decay due to digestion of the blood meal and decay due to other factors [37]. When varying β , μ_v was set to 0.02 h⁻¹ and when varying μ_v , $\beta = 10^{-8}$ h⁻¹. Other parameters were kept constant: $\gamma = 20$, $\alpha = 10^{-4}$ h⁻¹ and $\rho =$ 0.12 h⁻¹. To aid visualisation of the simulated dose-response curves, we fitted logistic regression models to the stochastic model outputs, with input virus concentration (G_v) the explanatory variable and the proportion of simulations resulting in midgut infection the dependent variable.

The effect of stochasticity and input number of virions on dissemination patterns. We next explored the effects of variation in the number of input virions (G_v) on virus dynamics across individual mosquitoes that develop a midgut infection and how stochasticity influences dissemination patterns. We acknowledge here that we only account for the time it takes for virus to move from the midgut to the hemocoel and not to salivary glands once dissemination has occurred.

To quantify the effect of the number of input virions on the variation in the time to dissemination; determining some of the duration of the EIP, we ran 30 simulations for each of four different values of G_v for an equivalent of 14 days. Across all simulations, the rate at which virions infect susceptible cells was set to 10^{-7} h⁻¹, the virus decay rate (μ_v) to 0.1 h⁻¹, the virus production rate (γ) to 10 h⁻¹, the rate at which virions spread between midgut epithelial cells (α) was 10^{-6} h⁻¹ and the escape rate (ρ) was 0.005 h⁻¹. On completion of the simulations, we summarised the range of values for M_v across runs at 24 hrs and the range in the time taken for midgut infection to be established. Next, simulations for which M_c remained equal to zero were discarded so that only those with 'midgut' infections remained. From these, for each set of simulations corresponding to a different value of G_v , we determined the proportion of simulations where $H_c > 0$, indicating a 'disseminated' infection at intervals of 24 time steps (equivalent to per day), taking the last output for each daily time step.

Application to vector competence experiments. We demonstrate that the stochastic model can yield similar results to data generated from vector competence experiments. We selected two published studies which used the HND strain of ZIKV to infect *Ae. albopictus* colonised from Long Island, USA and *Ae. aegypti* colonised Poza Rica, Mexico [38, 39]. Combining these two studies provided three different virus concentrations for which to quantify the dose-response for each species in addition to three time points post blood meal for quantifying the probability of dissemination given infection as a function of time (Table 2).

Our approach was to first fit the stochastic model of midgut infection dynamics to the data on the proportion of mosquitoes with a midgut infection as a function of virus concentration, to estimate the virus decay rate (μ_v) and the rate at which virions infect susceptible cells (β). We then fitted the model including midgut and hemocoel dynamics to the data on the proportion of infected mosquitoes with disseminated infection as a function of time, estimating the rate at which virus spreads to new midgut epithelial cells (α), the virus production rate from infected cells (γ) and the rate at which virus escapes from the basal lamina (ρ). We made the simplifying assumption that the virus production rate and rate at which new cells are infected are the same for all cell types in the mosquito.

For model fitting, we used the approach described by Wood [40]. Both the observed data and the simulation outputs were summarised by a statistical model and the coefficients of the statistical model used in a pseudo-likelihood function, assuming that the coefficients follow a

Table 2. Data used in model fitting.

Species	Dose	Time	Infected	Disseminated	Total	Reference	
Ae. aegypti	8.9	21	20	19	22	[38]	
Ae. aegypti	7.7	21	14	12	30	[38]	
Ae. aegypti	6.6	21	5	2	30	[38]	
Ae. aegypti	4.6	21	1	0	30	[38]	
Ae. albopictus	8.9	21	30	28	30	[38]	
Ae. albopictus	7.5	21	28	21	30	[38]	
Ae. albopictus	5.9	21	10	4	30	[38]	
Ae. albopictus	4.1	21	3	2	30	[38]	
Ae. aegypti	8.3	4	27	15	30	[39]	
Ae. albopictus	8.3	4	21	6	30	[39]	
Ae. aegypti	8.3	7	26	20	30	[39]	
Ae. albopictus	8.3	7	29	25	30	[39]	
Ae. aegypti	8.3	14	22	19	30	[39]	
Ae. albopictus	8.3	14	21	21	30	[39]	

Dose is virus concentration in the blood meal provided as \log_{10} PFU/ml. Time is days post ingestion of blood meal that the assay to detect infection or dissemination was carried out. Infected, disseminated and total are numbers of indvidual mosquitoes. See references for further experimental details. See Fig 6 for graphs of the proportions as a function of dose and time.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1011520.t002

multivariate normal distribution:

$$l_s(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2}(s - \hat{\mu}_{\theta})^T \hat{\sum}_{\theta}^{-1}(s - \hat{\mu}_{\theta}) - \frac{1}{2}log|\hat{\sum}_{\theta}|$$
(2)

where *s* is a vector of summary statistics, θ represents the unknown model parameters, μ_{θ} is the unknown mean vector and Σ_{θ} the unknown covariance matrix.

We assumed that the data concerning the proportion of mosquitoes with midgut infection and the proportion of mosquitoes with a disseminated infection, given a midgut infection, follow a binomial distribution. The fitting method for midgut infection dynamics proceeded as follows: i) for a given set of parameter values, the model was run 30 times across each of seven input virus values (G_{ν}) from 10⁵ to 10⁸ to obtain the proportion of simulations, at each virus dose, that resulted in infection (defined as at least one midgut cell becoming infected, $M_c > 0$); ii) the simulations across virus concentrations were themselves repeated 30 times; iii) the resulting proportions as a function of G_{ν} were used to fit logistic regression models, to obtain coefficients for the intercept and slope; iv) these coefficients were used to evaluate the likelihood of observing the logistic regression coefficients estimated from the observed data using Eq 2. For the model of midgut and hemocoel dynamics, the process was the same, but using a single value for G_{ν} and fitting logistic regression models to the proportion of simulations where at least one hemocoel cell was infected ($H_c > 0$) as a function of time. To estimate, in daily time steps, the proportion of simulations for which $H_c > 0$, we rounded up time steps in model outputs to daily equivalents and took the last run for each 'day' to assess whether by that point $H_c > 0$. Maximum likelihood fitting was implemented using a simulated annealing algorithm [41]. Within the fitting algorithm we constrained the virus production rate (γ) to $< 1000 \text{ h}^{-1}$ and the escape rate (ρ) and cell spread (α) to be $< 1 \text{ h}^{-1}$. We first fitted the model to the probability of midgut infection as a function of virus dose for the two species, from [38] to estimate μ_v and β . We fitted a single estimate for μ_v between the species but separate values for β . For fitting, ρ was arbitrarily fixed to 0.05, γ to 1 and α to 10⁻⁴. With the fitted

values for μ_{ν} and β , we then fitted the dissemination model to the proportion of mosquitoes with disseminated infection from [39]. We assumed separate parameter values for ρ , γ and α between the two species. The code for implementing the fitting process is provided in a Github repository.

Results

The ODE model in Eq 1 simulates virus infection and replication dynamics in the midgut and hemocoel of a single mosquito. Including a parameter (ρ) for the rate at which virions can escape through the basal lamina into the hemocoel, enables simulation of the delay observed between midgut infection and subsequent dissemination to other mosquito tissues (Fig 2). In our model, as the numbers of midgut and hemocoel cells are limited, the numbers of virus particles produced per unit time eventually plateaus.

The ODE model will always produce the same results given the same parameter values and starting numbers of virions (G_v) and is therefore not reflective of experimental infections of mosquitoes with virus where not all mosquitoes become infected and the time it takes for virus to disseminate varies between individuals. Assuming that infection and replication within an individual mosquito is essentially a stochastic process, we show that this can be reflected by a stochastic form of the model in Eq.1, with multiple simulations representing infection in multiple individual mosquitoes.

Stochastic processes can generate the dose-response of the probability of mosquito midgut infection

A sigmoidal dose-response of the probability of midgut infection (Fig 3) emerges from realizations the stochastic process(es) for increasing numbers of input virions (G_v). This dose-



Fig 2. Simulation of virus infection and replication within a single mosquito. A: Numbers of infected cells over time. B: Numbers of virus particles over time. The model tracks numbers of virions in the blood meal (G_v), numbers of infected midgut cells (M_c) (total cells 400 (c_{max})), numbers of virions produced in the midgut (M_v), numbers of infected hemocoel cells (H_c) (total cells available 900 (h_{max})) and numbers of virions in the hemocoel (H_v). The model is run in hourly time steps and a single simulation was run for the equivalent of seven days, with an initial input of 3000 virus particles (G_v). The rate at which susceptible cells become infected (β) was set to 10⁻⁸ h⁻¹, the virus decay rate (μ_v) was 0.1 h⁻¹, the rate virions spread between cells (α) was 10^{-3.5} h⁻¹, the rate at which virions are produced by infected cells (γ) was 10 h⁻¹ and the rate virions escape from the midgut into the hemocoel (ρ) was 0.05 h⁻¹.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1011520.g002



Fig 3. The dose-response of the probability of mosquito midgut infection. Model outputs (points) and fitted logistic regression (lines). Showing the effect of varying: A) the rate at which virions infect susceptible cells (β); and B) the virus decay rate (μ_V). Each point reflects the results of 30 model simulations. For A, μ_v was set to 0.02 h⁻¹, the virus production rate (γ) was 20 h⁻¹, the rate of cell spread (α) was 10⁻⁴ h⁻¹ and the escape rate (ρ) 0.12 h⁻¹.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1011520.g003

response is only apparent when the rate at which a virus infects susceptible cells (β) is sufficiently small relative to the input number of virions (G_v), otherwise a midgut infection is established with probability one. With respect to sensitivity analysis, lower values of β shift the sigmoid curve to the right; the lower the rate the more input virus is required to guarantee all simulations resulted in a midgut infection (Fig 3A). Changes in the rate at which virus is cleared from the midgut (μ_V) had less of an effect on the resulting dose-response curve relative to changes in β (Fig 3B), over the range of parameter values used.

The number of virions in the blood meal impacts the duration of the extrinsic incubation period

When the input number of virions (G_v) falls within the range that produces a sigmoid doseresponse curve (as shown in Fig 3), the amount of virus in the midgut epithelium (M_v) at any given time varies substantially (Fig 4A). For simulations with $\beta = 10^{-7}$ h⁻¹ and $G_v = 30,000$ (equivalent to a mosquito taking 0.03 µL of a blood meal containing 10^7 PFU/ml), the number of midgut virions (M_v) ranged from *c*. 500 to > 1500 at 24 hrs (Fig 4A, Gv = 30,000). Here, the amount of starting virus (G_v) not only impacts whether or not an infection will establish, but



Fig 4. Effect of the input number of virions on viral replication dynamics across tissues. Each line represents a single run of the stochastic model. A: Number of virions in the midgut epithelium (M_{ν}) and B: Number of virions in the hemocoel (H_{ν}). Parameter values for all simulations were: the rate virions infect susceptible cells, $\beta = 10^{-7} h^{-1}$; virus decay rate, $\mu_{\nu} = 0.1 h^{-1}$; virus production rate $\gamma = 10 h^{-1}$; rate virions spread between cells, $\alpha = 10^{-6} h^{-1}$; and virus escape through the basal lamina, $\rho = 0.005 h^{-1}$.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1011520.g004





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1011520.g005

also the time since the start of the simulation (post 'blood meal') when midgut infection initiates and replication within midgut cells begins; the more virions present the more likely infection will be initiated earlier. For simulations shown in Fig 4A when $G_v = 3000$, the difference between simulations in the time that midgut infection commenced was *c*. 24 hrs, compared with < 3 hrs for the two higher values of G_v (Fig 4A). This variation in the time the infection begins, and the number of virions produced in the midgut (M_v) therefore has implications for the number of virions available to escape to the hemocoel at any given time and, therefore, starting G_v affects the time to dissemination (Fig 4B). An increase in the number of input virions increases the chances of infection starting earlier and thus the chances of earlier dissemination are also increased and therefore a shorter EIP is more likely (Fig 5).

Model fits to data from vector competence experiments

To demonstrate that the model can produce results similar to those observed in vector competence experiments, we fitted the stochastic model to data from experimental infections of *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* with Zika virus strain HND [38, 39], generating stochastic outputs.





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1011520.g006

Preliminary model fits were generally capable of reproducing observed patterns in the data for both infection and dissemination (Fig 6). The estimated higher rate of susceptible cell infection (β) for *Ae. albopictus* could explain the greater susceptibility for midgut infection, but this did not result in greater permissiveness for dissemination compared with *Ae. aegypti* (Fig 6).

Discussion

Arbovirus proliferation within infected hosts can lead to 1000s of virions being imbibed by a mosquito when it takes a blood meal on such a host. However, evidence suggests that very few, perhaps two or three orders of magnitude fewer, virions initiate mosquito midgut infections [28, 29]. That these sorts of infections are established by relatively few virions suggests that virus infection is an intrinsically stochastic process. Using a demographic stochastic approach, we have shown that a stochastic model for midgut and haemoceol infection processes can explain relationships between the amount of virus in a blood meal, the probability an infection occurs and the rate of dissemination to other tissues when infection does occur.

The emergence of a dose-response curve for the probability of mosquito midgut infection as a consequence of a low rate of virion infection of susceptible cells (β), is a simple property due to founder effects and stochastic establishment of infections. The interaction between the numbers of virions (G_{ν}) present and the rate at which virions infect susceptible cells determines the probability of infection and therefore the dose-response curve. Virus decay (μ_{ν}) affects this process indirectly by modulating G_{ν} with time post blood meal. However, the subsequent impact on dissemination patterns of this stochastic infection process is less straightforward. Here, our aim has been to unpack virus dissemination within the mosquito using a mechanistic framework. We show what might initially be viewed as a simple dose dependency relationship, is in fact underpinned by complex non-linear dynamics.

Stochastic processes are often used as representation of an inadequate understanding of a physical and/or biological process. They represent uncertainty in details due to precision and knowledge of key processes. Yet here we conjecture that arbovirus infection in mosquitoes is an inherently random process as it is established by relatively few virions. Intrinsic stochasticity is critically influential in biology [42] such as determining gene expression patterns [43], ecological population growth [44] and quantum biological processes such as electron transport in photosynthesis, and magnetic field effects in bird migration [45]. Viruses are no exception. Through laboratory experimentation and modelling of tomato mosaic virus infection, Miyashita *et. al.* [46] demonstrated that the common finding of small (< 10) founding numbers of viral genomes in establishing between-cell transmission is due to the stochastic behaviour of viral genomes; the probability a genome will get degraded is much higher than the probability it will form a replication complex. They concluded that this stochastic process is advantageous in that it essentially isolates adaptive genomes from defective ones, enabling the rapid selection of *trans* acting genes. They argued their finding also helped to explain why some viruses, including poliovirus, have a high ratio of total virions to those able to infect susceptible cells. Their findings may extend to arboviruses. With respect to arboviruses, the amount of virus present in a blood meal taken from a vertebrate host is constrained by vertebrate host factors. Given this constraint, it should be advantageous for arboviruses to evolve a higher probability of infecting mosquito midgut cells. It could be merely that arboviruses are unable to evolve ways of increasing the rate at which they infect mosquito midgut cells, being constrained by the necessity to infect two different organisms. Alternatively, as found for tomato mosaic virus, the resulting stochasticity could be evolutionarily advantageous.

Our model fits to the Zika vector competence data estimated a very low rate of viral infection of susceptible cells (β). This parameter (β) combines all the processes of viral cell entry, uncoating, successful replication and encapsidation. One possible explanation is that, like tomato mosaic and poliovirus, individual arbovirus virions may exhibit a very low probability of establishing an initial cellular infection relative to the genome degradation rate inside epithelial midgut cells, and that < 10 cells are initially infected in the midgut may be an extension of this process. This bottleneck may be advantageous in suppressing the replication of defective particles early in infection, as per [46].

Our preliminary work here has shown that we can use a mechanistic description of withinmosquito virus dynamics (the model) and parameterise this from experimental data. This provides a novel way in which to interpret dose- and time-response patterns in the mosquito and highlights how a mechanistic model can produce results similar to that observed in vector competence experiments. A next step would be to develop a robust fitting process that could fully account for uncertainty, permitting hypothesis testing. Additional data including viral titers at different time points may also permit analysis and fitting of more complex models. The mechanistic model comes with a computational burden, therefore it could be employed after logistic regression analyses of the probability of midgut infection, dissemination and salivary gland infection to test for biologically significant differences between experimental treatments [22]. Adding additional transitions from the hemocoel/ tissues to salivary glands would be similar to that for the transition from midgut infection to hemocoel and could be done in future work to extend the model. In addition, our study only models stochasticity arising from a small rate at which virions infect susceptible cells and does not attempt to model the complexities of mosquitoes imbibing blood from a vertebrate host, which may itself introduce further stochasticity.

In our model, the influence of the input virus concentration on the time to dissemination was due to the time of initiation of midgut infection, with later times of establishment leading to a lag in virus accumulation in the midgut and therefore a longer duration before virus finally escaped. This suggests the possibility that mosquitoes taking a blood meal at the peak of host viremia will have a shorter EIP than those taking a blood meal at the beginning and end. Similarly, hosts producing higher viremias will cause not only more mosquitoes to become infected but also for those mosquitoes to experience a shorter EIP. This has been demonstrated under laboratory conditions for Zika virus [21, 22]. While Mayton et. al. found that a second blood meal seven days post infection did not shorten the EIP [47], Armstrong et. al. [48] found that a second blood meal at three days post infection shortens the EIP due to micro-perforations in the basal lamina. Our model does not account for any change in the rate at which virions escape through the basal lamina (ρ) with time post blood meal and with subsequent blood meals. Other model assumptions which could be relaxed in future work include that infected cells can immediately release progeny virions and that there is no cell renewal in the midgut. We do not model salivary gland infection dynamics and we assumed that the rates of virus replication and escape were the same between midgut and hemocoel cells, whereas in reality there could be differences. More complex modelling and detailed data on virus dynamics in the mosquito would be required to estimate these differences and explore mechanisms. Despite these assumptions our model gives insights into the mechanisms driving vector competence and parameters of importance in causing differences between mosquito species, populations and viral strains.

Vector competence experiments often aim to compare the probability of infection as well as dissemination and/ or transmission between viral strains, mosquito species or different treatments using standard statistical tests. Our model fits to data on Zika virus infection in *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* demonstrated that further insights can be gained from fitting mechanistic models. While the data appeared to suggest a similar dissemination rate and thus duration of the EIP between the two species (Fig 6), our model fit suggested that the rate of viral escape from the basal lamina was actually substantially lower for *Ae. albopictus*, despite a lower-dose response of the probability of midgut infection in this species. This has been observed experimentally for dengue virus [24, 49]. Further insights could likely be gained if models were also fitted to data on viral titers over time in addition to proportions of mosquitoes with midgut and disseminated infections. Simulations over multiple input virus concentrations also demonstrated that there is substantial heterogeneity (Fig 5) in dynamics even for concentrations where all mosquitoes become infected and considering this in experimental design when treatments are going to be compared may help to inform sample size allocations across virus concentrations in the blood meal.

Our fitting of the model to ZIKV data is intended as an example of how the model could be used to gain further insight into processes determining results from vector competence experiments. The outputs of this example are specific to ZIKV and *Aedes aegypti/Ae. albopictus* and the context within which these studies were carried out, but the model is intended to be extendable to other mosquito-virus systems. As suggested by Chen *et al.* [50], establishing an open-source repository where results from vector competence experiments could be submitted when published would assist the ability for meta-analyses of existing data. When vector competence studies are carried out, we suggest the design of experiments that produce data permitting the quantification of both the dose-response of the probability of mosquito midgut infection and the time-response of the probability of dissemination. As outlined by Wu *et. al.* [51] improved data reporting standards for vector competence experiments would be valuable. Combining improved data reporting standards with mechanistic approaches to understanding and analysing outputs will strengthen conclusions made from vector competence experiments comparing species and virus strains to those assessing novel control approaches.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Jennifer S. Lord, Michael B. Bonsall.

Formal analysis: Jennifer S. Lord, Michael B. Bonsall.

Methodology: Jennifer S. Lord, Michael B. Bonsall.

Writing – original draft: Jennifer S. Lord.

Writing - review & editing: Jennifer S. Lord, Michael B. Bonsall.

References

- 1. WHO. Global vector control response 2017-2030; 2017.
- 2. Puntasecca CJ, King CH, Labeaud AD. Measuring the global burden of chikungunya and Zika viruses: A systematic review. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2021; 15:1–18. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pntd.0009055 PMID: 33661908
- Moyes CL, Vontas J, Martins AJ, Ng LC, Koou SY, Dusfour I, et al. Contemporary status of insecticide resistance in the major Aedes vectors of arboviruses infecting humans. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2017; 11:1–20. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005625 PMID: 28727779
- Achee NL, Grieco JP, Vatandoost H, Seixas G, Pinto J, Ching-Ng L, et al. Alternative strategies for mosquito-borne arbovirus control. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2019; 13:1–22. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pntd.0007275
- Shaw WR, Catteruccia F. Vector biology meets disease control: using basic research to fight vectorborne diseases. Nature Microbiology. 2019; 4:20–34. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-018-0214-7 PMID: 30150735
- Vasilakis N, Tesh R. Insect-specific viruses and their potential impact on arbovirus transmission. Current Opinion in Virology. 2015; 15:69–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coviro.2015.08.007 PMID: 26322695
- Jones RT, Ant TH, Cameron MM, Logan JG. Novel control strategies for mosquito-borne diseases. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 2021; 376:20190802. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2019.0802 PMID: 33357056
- Indriani C, Tantowijoyo W, Rancès E, Andari B, Prabowo E, Yusdi D, et al. Reduced dengue incidence following deployments of Wolbachia-infected Aedes aegypti in Yogyakarta, Indonesia: a quasi-experimental trial using controlled interrupted time series analysis. Gates Open Research. 2020; 4:1–16. https://doi.org/10.12688/gatesopenres.13122.1 PMID: 32803130
- Turell MJ, O'Guinn ML, Dohm DJ, Jones JW. Vector competence of North American mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) for West Nile virus. Journal of Medical Entomology. 2001; 38:130–4. https://doi.org/10. 1603/0022-2585-38.2.130 PMID: 11296813
- Blagrove MSC, Caminade C, Diggle PJ, Patterson EI, Sherlock K, Chapman GE, et al. Potential for Zika virus transmission by mosquitoes in temperate climates. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 2020; 287. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2020.0119 PMID: 32635867
- Bennett KE, Olson KE, Muñoz MDL, Fernandez-Salas I, a Farfan-Ale J, Higgs S, et al. Variation in vector competence for dengue 2 virus among 24 collections of Aedes aegypti from Mexico and the United States. The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 2002; 67:85–92. https://doi.org/10. 4269/ajtmh.2002.67.85 PMID: 12363070
- Aubry F, Dabo S, Manet C, Filipović I, Rose NH, Miot EF, et al. Enhanced Zika virus susceptibility of globally invasive Aedes aegypti populations. Science. 2020; 370:991–996. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.abd3663 PMID: 33214283</u>
- Hegde S, Rasgon JL, Hughes GL. The microbiome modulates arbovirus transmission in mosquitoes. Current Opinion in Virology. 2015; 15:97–102. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coviro.2015.08.011</u> PMID: 26363996
- Richards SL, Lord CC, Pesko KN, Tabachnick WJ. Environmental and biological factors influencing Culex pipiens quinquefasciatus (Diptera: Culicidae) vector competence for West Nile virus. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 2010; 83:126–134. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2010.09-0776 PMID: 20595491
- Muturi EJ, Blackshear M, Montgomery A. Temperature and density-dependent effects of larval environment on Aedes aegypti competence for an alphavirus. Journal of Vector Ecology. 2012; 37:154–161. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1948-7134.2012.00212.x PMID: 22548549
- 16. Azar SR, Weaver SC. Vector competence: What has Zika virus taught us? Viruses. 2019; 11:1–24.

- Hardy JL, Houk EJ, Kramer LD, Reeves WC. Intrinsic factors affecting vector competence of mosquitoes for arboviruses. Annual Review of Entomology. 1983; 28:229–62. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev. en.28.010183.001305 PMID: 6131642
- Meyer R, Hardy J, Presser S, Reisen W. Procedures for evaluating the vector competence of mosquitoes for arbovirsues. Proceedings and Papers of the Annual Conference of the California Mosquito and Vector Control Association. 1986;54.
- Jennings CD, Kay BH. Dissemination barriers to Ross River virus in Aedes vigilax and the effects of larval nutrition on their expression. Medical and Veterinary Entomology. 1999; 13:431–438. https://doi.org/ 10.1046/j.1365-2915.1999.00196.x PMID: 10608233
- Jeffery JA, Ryan PA, Lyons SA, Kay BH. Vector competence of Coquillettidia linealis (Skuse) (Diptera: Culicidae) for Ross River and Barmah Forest viruses. Australian Journal of Entomology. 2002; 41:339– 344. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1440-6055.2002.00316.x
- Tesla B, Demakovsky LR, Packiam HS, Mordecai EA, Rodríguez AD, Bonds MH, et al. Estimating the effects of variation in viremia on mosquito susceptibility, infectiousness, and R0 of Zika in Aedes aegypti. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2018; 12:1–19. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd. 0006733 PMID: 30133450
- Lequime S, Dehecq JS, Matheus S, Laval FD, Almeras L, Briolant S, et al. Modeling intra-mosquito dynamics of Zika virus and its dose-dependence confirms the low epidemic potential of Aedes albopictus. PLoS Pathogens. 2020; 16:1–25. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1009068 PMID: 33382858
- Christofferson R, Mores C. Potential for extrinsic incubation temperature to alter Interplay between transmission potential and mortality of dengue-Infected Aedes aegypti. Environmental Health Insights. 2016; p. 119–123. https://doi.org/10.4137/EHI.S38345 PMID: 27478382
- 24. Whitehorn J, Kien DTH, Nguyen NM, Nguyen HL, Kyrylos PP, Carrington LB, et al. Comparative susceptibility of Aedes albopictus and Aedes aegypti to dengue virus infection after feeding on blood of viremic humans: Implications for public health. Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2015; 212:1182–1190. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiv173 PMID: 25784733
- Turell MJ, Britch SC, Aldridge RL, Kline DL, Boohene C, Linthicum KJ. Potential for mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) from Florida to transmit Rift Valley fever virus. Journal of Medical Entomology. 2013; 50:1111–1117. https://doi.org/10.1603/ME13049 PMID: 24180117
- Tiawsirisup S, Kinley J, Tucker B, Evans R, Rowley W, Platt K. Vector competence of Aedes vexans (Diptera: Culicidae) for West Nile virus and potential as an enzootic vector. Journal of Medical Entomology. 2008; 45:452–457. https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-2585(2008)45%5B452:VCOAVD%5D2.0.CO;2 PMID: 18533439
- Althouse BM, Hanley KA. The tortoise or the hare? Impacts of within-host dynamics on transmission success of arthropod-borne viruses. Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B, Biological sciences. 2015; 370:20140299–. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2014.0299 PMID: 26150665
- Whitfield SG, Murphy FA, Sudia WD. St. Louis encephalitis virus: an ultrastructural study of infection in a mosquito vector. Virology. 1973; 56:70–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822(73)90288-2 PMID: 4583310
- Smith D, Adams A, Kenney J, Wang E, Weaver S. Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus in the mosquito vector Aedes taeniorhynchus: infection initiated by a small number of susceptible epithelial cells and a population bottleneck. Virology. 2008; 372:176–186. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2007.10.011 PMID: 18023837
- Lequime S, Fontaine A, A Gouilh M, Moltini-Conclois I, Lambrechts L. Genetic drift, purifying selection and vector genotype shape dengue virus intra-host genetic diversity in mosquitoes. PLoS Genetics. 2016; 12. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006111 PMID: 27304978
- Lord CC, Rutledge CR, Tabachnick WJ. Relationships between host viremia and vector susceptibility for arboviruses. Journal of Medical Entomology. 2006; 43:1–17. <u>https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-2585</u> (2006)43[623:rbhvav]2.0.co;2 PMID: 16739425
- Ayers JB, Coatsworth HG, Kang S, Dinglasan RR, Zhou L. Clustered rapid induction of apoptosis limits ZIKV and DENV-2 proliferation in the midguts of Aedes aegypti. Communications Biology. 2021; 4. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-020-01614-9 PMID: 33452408
- Perrone J, Spielman A. Time and site of assembly of the peritrophic membrane of the mosquito Aedes aegypti. Cell and Tissue Research. 1988; 252:473–478. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00214391 PMID: 3383223
- Leite THJF, Ferreira AGA, Imler JL, Marques JT. Distinct roles of hemocytes at different stages of infection by dengue and zika viruses in Aedes aegypti mosquitoes. Frontiers in Immunology. 2021; 12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.660873 PMID: 34093550

- T GD. A general method for numerically simulating the stochastic time evolution of coupled chemical reactions. Journal of Computational Physics. 1976; 22:403–434. https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9991(76). 90041-3
- Graumans W, Heutink R, V Gemert GJ, VD Vegte-Bolmer M, Bousema T, Collins KA. A mosquito feeding assay to examine Plasmodium transmission to mosquitoes using small blood volumes in 3D printed nano-feeders. Parasites and Vectors. 2020; 13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-020-04269-x</u> PMID: 32771047
- Drummond C, Gebhardt ME, Robles MTS, Carpi G, Hoyer I, Pastusiak A, et al. Stability and detection of nucleic acid from viruses and hosts in controlled mosquito blood feeds. PLoS ONE. 2020; 15. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231061 PMID: 32525960
- Ciota AT, Bialosuknia SM, Zink SD, Brecher M, Ehrbar DJ, Morrissette MN, et al. Effects of Zika virus strain and Aedes mosquito species on vector competence. Emerging Infectious Diseases. 2017; 23:1110–1117. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2307.161633 PMID: 28430564
- Onyango MG, Bialosuknia SM, Payne AF, Mathias N, Kuo L, Vigneron A, et al. Increased temperatures reduce the vectorial capacity of Aedes mosquitoes for Zika virus. Emerging Microbes and Infections. 2020; 9:67–77. https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2019.1707125 PMID: 31894724
- Wood SN. Statistical inference for noisy nonlinear ecological dynamic systems. Nature. 2010; 466:1102–1104. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09319 PMID: 20703226
- Bélisle C. Convergence theorems for a class of simulated annealing algorithms on Rd on. Journal of Applied Probability. 1992; 29:885–985. https://doi.org/10.2307/3214721
- 42. Tsimring LS. Noise in biology-IOPscience. Reports on Progress in Physics. 2014; 77:1–62.
- Pájaro M, Otero-Muras I, Vázquez C, Alonso AA. Transient hysteresis and inherent stochasticity in gene regulatory networks. Nature Communications. 2019; 10:1–7. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12344-w PMID: 31594925
- 44. Kendall DG. Stochastic Processes and Population Growth. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological). 1949; 11:230–282.
- Marais A, Adams B, Ringsmuth AK, Ferretti M, Gruber JM, Hendrikx R, et al. The future of quantum biology. Journal of the Royal Society Interface. 2018; 15. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2018.0640 PMID: 30429265
- Miyashita S, Ishibashi K, Kishino H, Ishikawa M. Viruses roll the dice: the stochastic behavior of viral genome molecules accelerates viral adaptation at the cell and tissue levels. PLoS Biology. 2015; 13:1– 27. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002094 PMID: 25781391
- Mayton EH, Tramonte AR, Wearing HJ, Christofferson RC, Christofferson RC. Age-structured vectorial capacity reveals timing, not magnitude of within-mosquito dynamics is critical for arbovirus fitness assessment. Parasites and Vectors. 2020; 13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-020-04181-4 PMID: 32539759
- Armstrong PM, Ehrlich HY, Magalhaes T, Miller MR, Conway PJ, Bransfield A, et al. Successive blood meals enhance virus dissemination within mosquitoes and increase transmission potential. Nature Microbiology. 2020; 5:239–247. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0619-y PMID: 31819213
- Lambrechts L, Scott TW, Gubler DJ. Consequences of the expanding global distribution of Aedes albopictus for dengue virus transmission. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2010; 4. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pntd.0000646 PMID: 20520794
- Chen B, Sweeny AR, Wu VY, Christofferson RC, Ebel G, Fagre AC, et al. Exploring the mosquito–arbovirus network: a survey of vector competence experiments. The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 2023. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.22-0511 PMID: 37037424
- Wu VY, Chen B, Christofferson R, Ebel G, Fagre AC, Gallichotte E, et al. A minimum data standard for vector competence experiments. Scientific Data. 2022; 9:1–6. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-022-01741-4 PMID: 36261651