FLIPPING AFTER A PANDEMIC: A CASE STUDY

Stephen George-Williams^a, Susan Hemsley^a, Francesca van den Berg^b, and Timothy Lee^b

Presenting Author: Stephen George-Williams (Stephen.george-williams@sydney.edu.au)

^aThe School of Chemistry, The University of Sydney, Sydney NSW 2006, Australia

^bSchool of Life and Environmental Sciences, The University of Sydney, Sydney NSW 2006, Australia

KEYWORDS: questionnaires, interviews, contextualization, blended learning, active learning

The idea of 'flipping' a course, i.e., delivering all content before class time and instead focusing on active learning opportunities, is not a new one. Studies have shown that these classrooms can increase student engagement and performance, while decreasing the required number of face-to-face hours (Karabulut-Ilgu et al., 2018). However, it has also been shown that students state a lowered preference for these activities, believing they learn better in passive environments (Deslauriers et al., 2019).

This mismatch of student preference and actual performance is particularly important as the COVID-19 pandemic has seen extremely low attendance rates across most science lectures and tutorials worldwide. In this study, the method of content delivery was flipped in a single unit from 3 lectures and one tutorial a week to 1 workshop a week and all content delivered before class time. The laboratory content remained the same. In particular, we used:

- lightboard videos made with Mayer's Multimedia principles (Mayer, 2002) in mind,
- a blended online delivery platform with interactive H5P embedded questions,
- and full contextualised problem sets with weekly in-class quizzes.

Using a range of questionnaires and student/staff interviews, alongside marks analysis of the cohort, we have found:

- 1) High attendance rates.
- 2) Students preferred the new mode.
- 3) Tutors stated an increase in the 'level' of student questions.
- 4) Marks surprisingly remained the same!

REFERENCES

Deslauriers, L., McCarty, L. S., Miller, K., Callaghan, K., & Kestin, G. (2019). Measuring actual learning versus feeling of learning in response to being actively engaged in the classroom. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *116*(39), 19251-19257.

Mayer, R. E. (2002). Multimedia learning. In *Psychology of learning and motivation* (Vol. 41, pp. 85-139). Academic Press. Karabulut-Ilgu, A., Jaramillo Cherrez, N., & Jahren, C. T. (2018). A systematic review of research on the flipped learning method in engineering education. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *49*(3), 398-411.

Proceedings of the Australian Conference on Science and Mathematics Education, The University of Tasmania, 30 August – 1 September 2023, page 33, ISSN 2653-0481.