# Working together — scheduling operations in assisted order picking

Jelmer Pier van der Gaast School of Management, Fudan University



#### Introduction

- There is a need for more efficient ways to organize the order picking process as
- the number of daily orders to be processed increase

#### **Solution model**

- We minimize the makespan of an order wave, where each order can consists of multiple pick tasks
- Min Makespan of an order wave

- the required lead time becomes shorter
- We propose/analyze
- an analytical model for assisted order picking systems
- the effect of product allocation on the system makespan

# Assisted order picking (AOP)

- In AOP, humans and pick robots collaborate to pick orders
- The robots transports the picked products, whereas the humans pick the products from their storage location
- The advantages are
- Easy to apply and no reconfiguration of warehouse needed
- The number of robots is scalable
- Orders pickers can purely focus on picking

# System layout



- s.t. Every pick task for a robot has a successor & predecessor
  Every pick task for a human has a successor & predecessor
  |P| pickers start and finish at the IO point
  |C| robots start and finish at the IO point
  Each robot can work on one order at a time
  A pick task completion time equals the maximum arrival time of the
  - robot/human plus the time to pick

The decision variables are the pick task sequence for the robots/humans

## **Solution method**

- Previous model computational difficult to solve with a regular MIP solver
- Regular sized instances can be solved with a good starting LB/UB and additional valid cuts

Calculate shortest tour to pick each order

Obtain minimum time to pick orders with only robots (LB)



#### **Research overview**

- We study/model different operational settings for AOP
- The model gives insight into the performance
- different strategies (picker-in-lead, robot-in-lead)
- configurations (order picking area, number of robots, number of orders)
- product allocation (within-aisle, across-aisle, middle-aisle)

### Flow diagram assisted order picking



# Construct a feasible starting solution (UB)

# Solve previous model with new starting LB/UB and cuts

#### **Results**

|        |         | Numb   | er of or | ders in the | e wave ( | Robot-in-l  | ead) |
|--------|---------|--------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|------|
|        |         | 10     |          | 25          |          | 50          |      |
| Size   | Storage | Value  | Gap      | Value       | Gap      | Value       | Gap  |
| Small  | Random  | 79.92  | 0.05     | 184.79      | 80.0     | 380.38      | 0.10 |
|        | Within  | 46.08  | 0.11     | 116.33      | 0.17     | 231.67      | 0.28 |
|        | Across  | 59.62  | 0.09     | 132.67      | 0.11     | 241.46      | 0.14 |
|        | Middle  | 74.59  | 0.06     | 173.36      | 0.08     | 340.90      | 0.07 |
| Medium | Random  | 200.54 | 0.02     | 425.03      | 0.06     | 914.03      | 30.0 |
|        | Within  | 97.49  | 0.08     | 248.82      | 0.13     | 531.44      | 0.1  |
|        | Across  | 127.36 | 0.08     | 277.38      | 0.05     | 567.74      | 0.10 |
|        | Middle  | 95.13  | 0.05     | 225.44      | 0.08     | 517.64      | 0.10 |
| Large  | Random  | 381.05 | 0.02     | 797.56      | 0.07     | 1789.7      | 0.05 |
|        | Within  | 157.23 | 0.04     | 411.67      | 0.13     | 873.46      | 0.17 |
|        | Across  | 229.00 | 0.06     | 544.54      | 0.07     | 1148.8      | 0.0  |
|        | Middle  | 172.00 | 0.03     | 402.10      | 0.10     | 945.69      | 0.14 |
|        |         | Numb   | er of or | ders in the | e wave ( | Picker-in-l | ead) |
|        |         | 10     |          | 25          |          | 50          |      |
| Size   | Storage | Value  | Gap      | Value       | Gap      | Value       | Gap  |
| Small  | Random  | 82.97  | 0.09     | 189.54      | 0.10     | 389.03      | 0.12 |
|        | Within  | 54.49  | 0.25     | 126.74      | 0.23     | 239.38      | 0.3  |
|        | Across  | 60.46  | 0.10     | 136.15      | 0.14     | 243.03      | 0.15 |
|        | Middle  | 75.77  | 0.07     | 177.49      | 0.10     | 348.08      | 0.0  |
| Medium | Random  | 205.26 | 0.05     | 424.15      | 0.06     | 937.82      | 0.10 |
|        | Within  | 104.51 | 0.14     | 263.82      | 0.18     | 582.44      | 0.19 |
|        | Across  | 127.36 | 0.08     | 288.82      | 0.09     | 577.10      | 0.11 |
|        | Middle  | 97.95  | 0.07     | 228.69      | 0.09     | 526.67      | 0.1  |
| Large  | Random  | 389.62 | 0.05     | 791.18      | 0.06     | 1890.3      | 0.10 |
|        | Within  | 174.23 | 0.13     | 409.67      | 0.12     | 882.54      | 0.18 |



#### **Conclusions and further research**

- Assisted order picking leads to significant improvements in makespan (and other statistics).
- Well suited for e-commerce companies that deliver same-day
- Possible extensions
- Zoning
- Stochastic order arrivals



16<sup>th</sup> International Material Handling Research Colloquium Dresden, Saxony, Germany, June 20-23, 2023



THE INDUSTRY THAT MAKES SUPPLY CHAINS WORK™