BMJ Open Effect of multi-level interventions on mental health outcomes among adolescents in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review

Nondumiso Mthiyane (),^{1,2} Antony M Rapulana,^{1,3} Guy Harling (),^{1,2,4,5} Andrew Copas (),² Maryam Shahmanesh^{1,2}

ABSTRACT

Objective In sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), multiple factors contribute to the considerable burden of mental health disorders among adolescents, highlighting the need for interventions that address underlying risks at multiple levels. We reviewed evidence of the effectiveness of community or family-level interventions, with and without individual level interventions, on mental health disorders among adolescents in SSA.

Design Systematic review using the Grades of Recommendation, Assessment, Development and Evaluation approach.

Data sources A systematic search was conducted on Cochrane Library, MEDLINE, EMBASE, PSYCINFO and Web of Science up to 31 March 2021.

Eligibility criteria Studies were eligible for inclusion in the review if they were randomised controlled trials (RCTs) or controlled quasi-experimental studies conducted in sub-Saharan African countries and measured the effect of an intervention on common mental disorders in adolescents aged 10–24 years.

Data extraction and synthesis We included studies that assessed the effect of interventions on depression, anxiety, post-traumatic stress disorder and substance abuse. Substance abuse was only considered if it was measured alongside mental health disorders. The findings were summarised using synthesis without meta-analysis, where studies were grouped according to the type of intervention (multi-level, community-level) and participants. Results Of 1197 studies that were identified, 30 studies (17 RCTs and 3 guasi-experimental studies) were included in the review of which 10 delivered multilevel interventions and 20 delivered community-level interventions. Synthesised findings suggest that multi-level interventions comprise economic empowerment, peersupport, cognitive behavioural therapy were effective in improving mental health among vulnerable adolescents. Majority of studies that delivered interventions to community groups reported significant positive changes in mental health outcomes.

Conclusions The evidence from this review suggests that multi-level interventions can reduce mental health disorders in adolescents. Further research is needed to understand the reliability and sustainability of these promising interventions in different African contexts. **PROSPERO registration number** CRD42021258826.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- ⇒ Study selection was done by two independent reviewers to ensure all relevant studies were included.
- ⇒ As we only searched published studies, we may have missed important evidence from unpublished literature.
- ⇒ The diversity in the characteristics of included studies limited our ability to meta-analyse the findings.

INTRODUCTION

Mental health disorders account for 16% of the global burden of disease and injury in young people.¹ Common mental disorders such as depression and anxiety are among the leading causes of illness and disability in adolescents aged 10-24 years.¹² Globally, an estimated 10% of adolescents have a mental disorder and majority of these cases are not diagnosed or treated, leading to high risk of long-term physical and mental health problems in later life.³ It is vital to intervene early, as half of mental disorders that are experienced during adulthood have their onset during adolescence.⁴ Additionally, mental disorders are associated with poor academic and work performance and risky behaviours during adolescence.5-7

In sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), adolescents are disproportionately exposed to traumatic life experiences such as violence, armed conflicts and natural disasters, leading to high post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) prevalence rates.⁸ ⁹ They also face other multiple challenges, including HIV-AIDS, early pregnancy, substance abuse and poverty.¹⁰⁻¹³ All these factors can directly or indirectly contribute to the risk of mental health disorders. For example, mental disorders are common in both people living with HIV and those at high risk of HIV acquisition,¹⁴⁻¹⁶ and they can also increase the risk for HIV acquisition.^{17 18}

1

To cite: Mthiyane N, Rapulana AM, Harling G, *et al.* Effect of multi-level interventions on mental health outcomes among adolescents

outcomes among adolescents in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. *BMJ Open* 2023;**13**:e066586. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2022-066586

Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2022-066586).

Received 28 July 2022 Accepted 09 August 2023



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Ms Nondumiso Mthiyane; Nondumiso.Mthiyane@ahri.org Given the complexity of problems that adolescents face and dearth of resources for mental health in SSA, there is a critical need for combination interventions that will address the underlying risk factors at multiple socioecological levels to improve mental health.^{19 20}

To date, there is limited evidence from SSA regarding interventions that promote mental health and prevent or treat mental disorders in adolescents.²¹ Previous reviews from low/middle-income countries (LMICs) tended to focus on interventions that intervene only at interpersonal (family) or community-level to improve mental health outcomes in adolescents, or among specific groups (eg, HIV positive adolescents).^{22 23} For example, Bhana and colleagues²³ previous study reviewing interventions targeted to adolescents living with or affected by HIV in LMICs found family-based and economic strengthening interventions to be effective in improving mental health. Similarly, Barry and colleagues demonstrated that mental health interventions can be implemented effectively in school and community-based settings.²² Despite growing evidence on the effectiveness of combination interventions on health outcomes (eg, HIV and sexual health outcomes) among adolescents in SSA,²⁴ little is known about how these interventions could improve mental health in adolescents. The aim of this review was therefore to assess the effect of combining community or family-level interventions with individual level interventions on mental disorders in adolescents living in SSA. We used a socio-ecological model for combining interventions which suggests that people's behaviour is influenced by multiple factors operating at different levels of influence (eg, individual, interpersonal-level (family/community)).^{25 26} This approach has been used by few studies to deliver mental health interventions to people living with HIV.²⁷ The objective of the review was:

1. To assess the effect of interventions that are delivered to either individuals, groups or both on mental disorders (depression, anxiety, PTSD and substance abuse) among adolescents in SSA.

METHODS

The conduct of this study was informed by the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions and Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines.²⁸

Search strategy and selection criteria

A systematic literature search was conducted on the following electronic databases: Cochrane Library, MEDLINE, EMBASE, PSYCINFO and Web of Science. The search was restricted to English language. A search strategy was developed and evaluated in MEDLINE and adapted for other databases. Cochrane and SIGN filters for RCT search were applied in Ovid databases.²⁹ The results were not filtered by publication date. A detailed search strategy is shown in online supplemental S1 appendix. Other articles were searched by manually

reviewing the references of the selected studies. The last search was completed on 31 March 2021. All retrieved articles were sent to EndNote which was also used to remove duplicates. The screening of articles and full-text review were conducted by two independent reviewers (NM and AMR), and disagreements were resolved through discussion. Studies were included in the review if they met the following criteria:

- 1. Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) (individually or cluster randomised) and quasi-experimental studies (with control group) that were conducted in SSA.
- 2. Participants were adolescents aged between 10 and 24 years (age when the intervention was implemented). We used an expanded definition of adolescence based on the culturally and contextually influenced delays in the transition roles to adulthood in resource-limited settings.^{30 31} Studies with adults above 24 years were only considered if data was disaggregated by age group so that outcomes in those aged 10–24 could be extracted. Studies were excluded if they did not specify age range of participants or did not mention that participants were adolescents.
- 3. Measured depression, anxiety, PTSD and substance abuse using a validated screening tool. Substance abuse (alcohol or drug abuse) was only included if it was measured alongside common mental disorders or PTSD.

Studies that met the criteria were classified according to the type of intervention which they delivered as: (a) individual-level only; (b) community-level only (including family-based); or (c) multi-level. Interventions were defined according to whether they were delivered directly to individuals or groups, irrespective of level of randomisation (cluster or individual). We defined individual-level interventions as those delivered directly to individuals (eg, one-on-one counselling, drug therapy) to develop copying strategies, change attitudes and behaviour. Community-level interventions were defined as interventions delivered to groups of people, including families and communities. Multi-level interventions were defined as a combination of individual and community-level interventions; interventions that were delivered to groups but also included one-on-one counselling sessions were categorised as multi-level interventions.

Data extraction and management

For each study, we extracted data on methods, participants, interventions and outcomes (see online supplemental S1 and S2 tables). For studies with multiple reports, we selected the most complete report, preferably including both baseline and last follow-up/postintervention data. For studies with pilot and main study reports, we preferred main study reports. Mean differences and SEs were used to generate forest plots. For studies that did not report SEs, the CIs, F statistic and p value were used to calculate SEs.²⁸ For studies that reported ORs or rate ratios, a natural logarithm of each estimate was calculated.

Assessment of risk of bias in the included studies

Studies were critically assessed for risk of bias using Cochrane Tools.³² ³³ Random sequence generation or allocation concealment (or bias due to confounding for non-RCTs), blinding of outcome assessment and incomplete outcome data were considered critical for assessing the quality of studies in this review. No studies could blind participants due to the nature of interventions and we therefore did not include this criterion. Other biases which may rise due to use of inappropriate statistical analyses were also considered for cluster randomised trials, cross-over and non-randomised trials. None of the studies were excluded based on their risk of bias.

Assessment of heterogeneity

Clinical heterogeneity was assessed by considering the type of participants, duration of intervention and intervention components as these factors are likely to influence the effect of intervention.³⁴ Statistical heterogeneity was assessed using visual inspection of forest plots and subgroup analysis in RevMan V.5 software.³⁵ χ^2 tests for heterogeneity with 10% level of significance was used and the degree of heterogeneity was measured using I² statistic with values above 50% indicating substantial heterogeneity.³⁴ For outcomes with enough studies (minimum five studies per subgroup), subgroup analyses were conducted based on the type of participants and type of intervention.³⁴

Data synthesis

As the interventions and participants in the included studies were too diverse to allow a quantitative synthesis of the study findings, synthesis without meta-analysis was used to summarise the results.³⁶ For all studies, we used outcomes measured at the last follow-up or postintervention regardless of whether the study had multiple follow-up times. The findings were synthesised separately for studies that delivered multi-level intervention and community-level interventions. Within each group, studies were subdivided according to type of participants who participated in the studies.

Assessment of the certainty of the evidence

The certainty of the body of evidence for each outcome was assessed using Grades of Recommendation, Assessment, Development and Evaluation approach within each of the four domains (risk of bias, inconsistency, imprecision and indirectness). The inconsistency in the findings was measured by looking at the direction of the effect among studies which measured the same outcome. The imprecision was measured by looking at the number of participants within each study and studies with less than 100 participants were judged as having serious imprecision. Indirectness was measured by looking at whether the included studies addressed the research question for this review. The quality of evidence was downgraded to a lower level (starting from high to very low) if one of the domains raised serious concerns.

Open access

Patient and public involvement

Since this is a systematic review, it was not possible to involve patients or public in the design or conduct or reporting of our research.

RESULTS

The search results are described in figure 1. A total of 1197 articles were identified through database search and hand searching. Of these, 122 articles were assessed for eligibility and 30 studies were included in the synthesis.^{37–66} The remaining 92 articles were excluded due to various reasons described in online supplemental S3 table. Most studies were RCTs, except for three which used controlled quasi-experimental designs.^{54–58–61} Fourteen studies were cluster-randomised trials including one cross-over trial.⁶⁶ Most studies had two groups except for four studies^{37–44–51–58} which randomised participants into three groups (two intervention groups and one control). Study durations ranged from 1 week to 4 years and the length of the interventions ranged from 1 hour to 4 years.

Intervention

Interventions were heterogeneous across the included studies. The included studies were categorised according to the type of intervention (multi-level, community-based or individual). Of 30 included studies, 10 studies delivered multi-level interventions and the remaining studies delivered only community-based interventions. None of the included studies reported only individual-level interventions. For multi-level interventions, studies were divided into following categories: HIV affected; orphaned or bereaved; and war-affected adolescents. The studies that delivered community-level interventions were grouped in a similar way using the following categories: vulnerable adolescents comprised orphans, adolescents with trauma experiences and from poor households, students and general population.

Multi-level interventions

The multi-level interventions included a combination of community-level and individual- level interventions (table 1, online supplemental S4 table). Two studies delivered trauma-focused cognitive and behavioural therapy (CBT) for groups which also included trauma narratives modules that were delivered to individuals.45 46 Three studies delivered economic empowerment interventions which were combined with antiretroviral therapy (ART) or counselling.³⁷⁻³⁹ One study delivered HIV status disclosure to groups, and ART and counselling to individuals.⁴⁰ One study delivered CBT and interpersonal therapy (IPT) intervention for groups (families) which included trauma narratives sessions for individuals.⁴¹ Participants in this study were all required to take ART. Two studies delivered a writing therapy or IPT in combination with counselling.^{43 44} One study delivered a peer-support intervention to participants who also had access to monthly healthcare.⁴² Most interventions targeted multiple mental

Identification





(n = 1197)

Records identified through database searching (n =2242)

MEDLINE (518), EMBASE (569),

Cochrane (607). Web of Science (258), PsycINFO (283)

Figure 1 Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses flow diagram. PTSD, post-traumatic stress disorder: RCT. randomised controlled trial.

health outcomes including those that are not common mental disorders (eg, self-concept, hopelessness, selfefficacy, grief, etc) and three studies targeted physical and sexual health in addition to mental health^{37 40 41} (online supplemental S5 table).

Community-level interventions

Twenty studies delivered community-level interventions including CBT, IPT, writing for recovery, wise interventions (group and single digital session), psychoeducation (including parenting), prolonged exposure therapy, economic empowerment, cash transfers, parenting programme and sport for development (table 1). Five studies delivered economic empowerment interventions,⁴⁷ ⁴⁸ ^{64–66} of which two

delivered economic empowerment alongside family coaching or parenting programme.^{48 66} Five studies delivered CBT including CBT-based interventions^{50 54 56 58 63} such as prolonged exposure therapy,⁵⁰ rational emotive behaviour therapy⁵⁸ and The Living Well intervention.⁵⁵ Prolonged exposure therapy helps individuals to gradually confront their fears. Rational emotive behaviour therapy involves identifying and altering negative thoughts and beliefs that lead to unhealthy behaviour. The Living Well programme teaches about different behaviour change techniques such as problem solving, monitoring of emotional consequences and self-talk. Two studies delivered IPT^{51 53} and one study delivered The Resourceful

| Study (author) and setting | Target population | Study design, sample | Study and intervention duration | Intervention components |
|---|--|----------------------------------|---|--|
| Multi level | | 5120 | Study and intervention duration | intervention components |
| HIV/AIDS-affected adolesce | ents | | | |
| Securemela et al. Llaende ³⁷ | | DCT (aluator) | 49 months. The intervention was | |
| Ssewamala et al, Oganda | orphans in fifth or sixth grade (average age=12) | N=1383 | provided for the first 24 months. Twelve 1–2 hour workshops | Individual: counselling |
| Cavazos-Rehg <i>et al</i> , Uganda ³⁸ | Adolescents living with HIV, 10-16 years | RCT (cluster) N=702 | 48 months: The intervention was received for the first 24 months. 4 sessions and additional 12 sessions with a mentor for 24 months | Group (family): economic empowerment Individual: ART, weekly-monthly healthcare |
| Han <i>et al</i> , Uganda ³⁹ | AIDS orphaned adolescents, 10–14 years | RCT (cluster) N=297 | 12 months, 1–2 hours training sessions and one mentorship meeting per month over 12-month period | Group: economic empowerment Individual: counselling |
| Vreeman <i>et al</i> , Kenya ⁴⁰ | Adolescents HIV- infected and in active care, 10–14 years | RCT (cluster) N=285 | 24 months | Group: HIV status disclosure (counselling) Individual: ART, counselling |
| Dow <i>et al</i> , Tanzania ⁴¹ | Young people living with HIV, 12–24 years | Pilot RCT (individual) N=105 | 6 months. Ten group sessions, two one-on-one sessions. 90 min every Saturday for 3 months | Group: cognitive and behavioural therapy (CBT), interpersonal therapy (IPT), motivational interviewing (MI) Individual: trauma narratives, ART |
| Kumakech <i>et al</i> , Uganda ⁴² | Adolescents AIDS orphans, 10–15 years | RCT (cluster) N=326 | 16 exercises over 10 weeks. 1- hour play | Group: peer-group support Individual: monthly healthcare |
| Orphaned adolescents | | | | |
| Thurman <i>et al</i> , South Africa ⁴³ | Bereaved female adolescents, 13–17 years | RCT (individual) N=382 | 8 sessions. Weekly 90 min sessions (average three activities) | Group: theory-based support group (IPT), cultural adaptation Individual: counselling |
| Unterhitzenberger and Rosner, Rwanda ⁴⁴ | Orphaned adolescents, 14–18 years | RCT (individual) N=69 | 3 weeks. 30 min writing periods each week on three consecutive Thursdays | Group: writing therapy Individual: counselling |
| War-affected adolescents | | | | |
| O'Callaghan <i>et al</i> , Democratic Republic of Congo ⁴⁵ | Sexually exploited, war- affected adolescent girls aged 12–17 years | RCT (individual) N=52 | 3 months. The intervention was offered for 2 hours per day, 3 days per week for 5 weeks | Group: CBT Individual: trauma narratives |
| McMullen <i>et al</i> , Democratic Republic of Congo ⁴⁶ | Adolescent boys-former child soldiers aged 13–17 years | RCT (individual) N=50 | 3 months. 15 sessions. The intervention was delivered for approximately 5 weeks | Group: trauma Focused-CBT Individual: trauma narratives |
| Community_level | | | | |
| Vulnerable adolescents | | | | |
| Green <i>et al</i> , Kenya ⁴⁷ | Orphaned adolescents (average age 14 years) | RCT (cluster) N=835 | 4 years. The intervention was provided annually from 2011 until 2015, or until the student dropped out of school | Cash transfers (school support) |
| Ismayilova <i>et al</i> , Burkina Faso ⁴⁸ | Children aged 10–15 years from extremely poor households | RCT (cluster) N=360 | 24 months. Monthly one-on-one mentoring over 24 months. Family sessions conducted once monthly (35–45 min each) | Economic strengthening, family coaching |
| Cluver et al, South Africa ⁴⁹ | Adolescents aged 10–18 years and their caregivers from families reporting conflict with their adolescents | Pragmatic RCT (cluster) N=552 | 9 months. 10 weekly child- caregiver sessions four separately | Parenting programme |
| Rossouw <i>et al</i> (2018), South Africa ⁵⁰ | Adolescents who had experienced or witnessed an interpersonal trauma and had chronic PTSD (>3 months), 13–18 years | RCT (individual) N=63 | 6 months. 7–14 weekly, 60 min sessions | Prolonged exposure therapy, control group received supportive counselling |

Continued

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-066586 on 3 October 2023. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on October 11, 2023 at UCL Library Services. Protected by copyright.

| Study (author) and setting | Target population | Study design, sample size | Study and intervention duration | Intervention components |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| Betancourt <i>et al</i> , Uganda ⁵¹ | Adolescent war- survivors, 14–17 years | RCT (individual) N=314 | 1 year. 16 weekly group meetings, lasting 1.5–2 hours each | Group interpersonal psychotherapy (IPT) and creat play |
| Getanda and Vostanis, Kenya ⁵² | Adolescents aged 14–17 years and experienced traumatic events in the past year | RCT (individual) N=54 | 1 week. Six sessions of writing over three consecutive days | Writing for recovery-psycho- social-educational group intervention |
| Thurman <i>et al</i> , South Africa ⁵³ | Orphaned and vulnerable adolescents, 14–17 years | RCT (cluster) N=489 | 2 years. 16 weekly 90 min group sessions | Interpersonal psychotherapy groups (IPTG) |
| School/college-based adole | escents | | | |
| McMullen and McMullen, Uganda ⁵⁴ | Adolescent students, 13–18 years | Quasi-controlled (cluster) N=620 | 1 year. 24 sessions, 45–60 min each | The Living Well manualised intervention (based on CBT) |
| Rivet-Duval <i>et al,</i> Mauritius ⁵⁵ | Adolescents from single-sex secondary schools, 12–16 years | RCT (individual). N=160 | 6 months. 11 1 hour weekly sessions | The Resourceful Adolescent Programme based on CBT ar |
| Ede <i>et al</i> , Nigeria ⁵⁶ | College adolescents, 16–21 years | RCT (individual) N=162 | 12 sessions (one session per week) lasted for 1 hour each. | Group cognitive behavioural therapy |
| Richards <i>et al</i> , Uganda ⁵⁷ | Adolescent primary school pupils aged 11–14 years | RCT (individual) N=1462 | 11 weeks. At least one 1.5-hour training session per week over 9 weeks. 40 min game each weekend | The sport-for-development intervention |
| Eifediyi <i>et al</i> , Nigeria ⁵⁸ | Secondary school students, 14–19 years | Quasi-experimental controlled N=160 | 7 weeks. 45 min each of six sessions lasted for 7 weeks | Rational emotive behaviour therapy |
| Osborn <i>et al</i> , Kenya ⁵⁹ | Adolescent students, 14–17 years | RCT (individual) N=51 | 4 weeks. Four 1-hour sessions that were 1 week apart included homework exercises | Wise intervention |
| Berger <i>et al</i> , Tanzania ⁶⁰ | Primary school students, aged 11–14 years in grades 6–8 | RCT (cluster) N=183 | 16 sessions=two weekly 45 min sessions | Stress-Prosocial programme (ESPS) |
| Jordans <i>et al</i> , Burundi ⁶¹ | School-going children aged 10–14 years with elevated psychological distress | Quasi-experimental with controls N=161 | Two sessions of an average 2.5 and 3.0 hours, respectively | Brief parenting psychoedue |
| Osborn <i>et al</i> , Kenya ⁶² | Adolescent high school students aged 14–17 years | RCT (individual) N=103 | The intervention took 1 hour | Digital wise intervention |
| Bella-Awusah <i>et al</i> , Nigeria ⁶³ | Adolescents with depressive symptoms and aged 14–17 years | RCT (cluster) N=40 | Five structured sessions offered weekly, each lasting 45–60 min | Brief school-based, group cognitive behavioural therapy |
| General population | | | | |
| Kilburn <i>et al</i> , Kenya ⁶⁴ | Adolescents, 15–24 years | RCT (cluster) N=1960 | 4 years | Large-scale unconditional ca transfer |
| Angeles et al, Malawi ⁶⁵ | Adolescents, 13–19 years | RCT (cluster) N=2099 | 2 years. Monthly cash transfers for 2 years | Social Cash Transfer Program |
| Puffer et al, Kenya ⁶⁶ | Adolescents (and caregivers) aged 10–16 vears | RCT (cluster) stepped wedge (four churches, n=237) | 3 months. Nine sessions (2-hour each) | Parenting programme, HIV prevention, CBT, economic empowerment |

Adolescent Programme which used both CBT and IPT techniques.⁵⁴ Two studies delivered group and digital wise interventions that focus on how people make sense of themselves, the people or situations they are in.^{59 62} Ten studies delivered community-level interventions that targeted multiple outcomes and two of these studies did not target mental health as primary outcomes.49 66

Implementation of interventions

The follow-up periods of the studies varied by type of intervention (online supplemental S4 table). Studies that delivered economic empowerment or HIV disclosure interventions had longer follow-up time ranging between 12 and 48 months. The retention rates at follow-up were high (above 80%) for both multi-level and communitylevel interventions, except two studies that reported

attrition rates of 23% and 37% 53 65 and one study that did not report retention rate. ³⁸ Interventions in most studies were delivered by non-specialists (lay community health workers, teachers) who were trained and supervised by mental health specialists (see online supplemental S5 table).

Participants

The participants in the included studies varied across studies. Among studies that delivered multi-level interventions, six studies included participants who were living with HIV or affected by HIV,^{37–41} two studies included war-affected adolescents^{43 44} and two included orphans and bereaved female adolescents.^{45 46}

Of 20 studies that delivered community-based interventions, 10 included school-going or college adolescents,^{54–63} seven studies included vulnerable populations such as orphans, war survivors, adolescents who have experienced trauma and vulnerable orphans (from poor households)^{47–53} and three studies included general population.^{64–66}

Risk of bias in the included studies

All included studies were assessed for risk of bias. Ten studies were rated as having an overall high risk of bias due to not blinding the outcome assessors, incomplete outcome data and use of inappropriate statistical methods (eg, not accounting for clustering),⁴⁰ 42 44 54 55 58 61 63 64 66 while 11 studies were judged as having an overall low risk of bias.⁴¹ 43 46-51 53 56 The remaining nine studies were judged as having unclear risk of bias. The summary of risk of bias is shown in online supplemental S6 table.

Effect of multi-level interventions on mental health Depression

Eight studies measured depression (figure 2).³⁷⁻⁴⁴ Three studies found a significant decrease in depressive symptoms among participants in the intervention group compared with control group.^{39 42 43} Of these studies, two delivered peer or theory-based support to AIDS orphans⁴² or bereaved females,⁴³ and one study delivered economic empowerment intervention.³⁹ In four studies, multilevel intervention was not significantly associated with decrease in depressive symptoms. However, one study that delivered a family economic empowerment intervention found a significant decrease in depressive symptoms at 24-month follow-up (end of intervention) but no significant effect observed thereafter at 36 and 48 months.³⁷ One study by Unterhitzenberger and Rosner evaluated the effect of emotional writing on depression and found that emotional writing was associated with increased



Figure 2 Effect of multi-level interventions on depression, anxiety and PTSD. ART, antiretroviral therapy; CBT, cognitive and behavioural therapy; IPT, interpersonal therapy; MI, motivational interviewing; PTSD, post-traumatic stress disorder; RCT, randomised controlled trial.

| Depression | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|------------|----------|-------------------------|--|
| | | | | Std. Mean Difference | Std. Mean Difference |
| Study or Subgroup | Std. Mean D | oifference | SE | IV, Random, 95% CI | I IV, Random, 95% CI |
| Economic empowerment (Social cash tran | nsfers) | -2.051 | 0.475 | -2.05 [-2.98, -1.12] | + |
| Economic empowerment (school support) |) | -0.28 | 0.08 | -0.28 [-0.44, -0.12] | • |
| Economic empowerment, family coaching | 1 | -2.2 | 1.23 | -2.20 [-4.61, 0.21] | -+- |
| Economic empowerment | | -0.27 | 0.12 | -0.27 [-0.51, -0.03] | |
| Parenting, CBT, economic empowerment | | -0.01 | 0.02 | -0.01 [-0.05, 0.03] | |
| Parenting program | | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.02 [-0.25, 0.29] | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • |
| Brief psychoeducation (parenting) | | -0.98 | 2.18 | -0.98 [-5.25, 3.29] | -+- |
| Brief CBT | | -9.3 | 0.24 | -9.30 [-9.77, -8.83] | + |
| CBT | | -36.85 | 0.92 | -36.85 [-38.65, -35.05] | + |
| Prolonged exposure therapy | | -6.81 | 2.92 | -6.81 [-12.53, -1.09] | -+ |
| The Resourceful Adolescent Program (CE | BT and IPT) | -3.04 | 0.855 | -3.04 [-4.72, -1.36] | + |
| Interpersonal psychotherapy for groups (I | PTG) | -0.838 | 1.129 | -0.84 [-3.05, 1.37] | + |
| IPTG | | -9.52 | 0.83 | -9.52 [-11.15, -7.89] | + |
| Wise intervention | | -1.41 | 0.67 | -1.41 [-2.72, -0.10] | + |
| Digital- wise intervention | | -2.7 | 1.22 | -2.70 [-5.09, -0.31] | + |
| Writing for Recovery | | -10.2 | 2.98 | -10.20 [-16.04, -4.36] | —+ — |
| Sport for development | | 0.67 | 0.17 | 0.67 [0.34, 1.00] | t |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | Favours Community-level Favours Control |
| | | | | | rateale continuing loter rateale control |
| | | | | | |
| Anvioty | | | | | |
| Anxiety | | | | | |
| | | St | d. Mear | n Difference | Std. Mean Difference |
| Study or Subgroup | Std. Mean Difference | SE | IV, Rai | 1dom, 95% Cl | IV, Random, 95% Cl |
| Stress-Prosocial program | -2.37 | 0.31 | -2.37 | [-2.98, -1.76] | + |
| Rational emotive behaviour therapy | -17.42 | 2.36 -1 | 7.42 [-2 | 22.05, -12.79] | |
| Writing for Recovery | -2.8 | 0.8 | -2.80 | [-4.37, -1.23] | + |
| Wise intervention | -1.31 | 0.62 | -1.31 | [-2.53, -0.09] | + |
| Digital- wise intervention | -1.35 | 1.25 | -1.35 | 5 [-3.80, 1.10] | -++ |
| Sport for development | 0.63 | 0.17 | 0.6 | 3 [0.30, 0.96] | t |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | Fav | vours Community-level Eavours Control |
| | | | | Fav | ours continunity-level i avours control |

Figure 3 Effect of community-level interventions on depression and anxiety. CBT, cognitive and behavioural therapy; IPT, interpersonal therapy.

depression symptoms compared with positive writing and no writing. $^{\rm 44}$

Anxiety

There was only one study that measured anxiety. Kumakech and colleagues found a significant decrease in anxiety among adolescents who received a peer support and monthly care compared with those who in the control group.⁴²

Post-traumatic stress disorders

Three studies measured PTSD.^{41 45 46} Of these studies, two showed a significant decrease in PTSD symptoms among participants in the intervention group compared with participants in the control group.^{45 46} Both studies delivered CBT to war-affected adolescents.

Depression and anxiety-like symptoms

There were two studies^{45 46} that looked at depression and anxiety-like symptoms (see online supplemental S1 figure). Both studies delivered CBT and found a significant decrease in depression and anxiety-like symptoms among participants in the intervention group compared with control group.

Effect of community-level interventions on mental health Depression

Seventeen studies measured depression (figure 3). As there were enough studies that measured depression, subgroup analyses (by intervention and participants) were conducted to assess heterogeneity among studies (see online supplemental S2 and S3 figures). There was substantial unexplained heterogeneity (I^2 >90%) between all studies and within each of the subgroups. Therefore, the intervention effect estimate was not calculated.

Eleven studies showed a significant decrease in depressive symptoms among participants in the intervention group in comparison with the control group. Of the 11 studies, five delivered theory-based interventions (CBT, IPT and wise interventions) to school or college students^{55–57 62 63} and two delivered economic empowerment intervention to general adolescents.^{64 65} The remaining four studies delivered interventions to vulnerable adolescents: three delivered theory-based interventions to adolescents who had trauma experiences,^{50–52} and one delivered economic empowerment (cash transfers) to orphans.⁴⁷

Four studies did not show any significant effect of intervention on depressive symptoms.^{48 49 54 61} One study that used sport to promote physical fitness and mental well-being showed a significant increase in depressive symptoms among adolescent boys who participated in the sport-for-development interventions compared with control group.⁵⁷

Anxiety

There were six studies that looked at anxiety (figure 3). Four studies found a significant decrease in anxiety symptoms among participants in the intervention group compared with control group and all these studies were conducted in schools.^{52 57–60} Richards and colleagues⁵⁷ evaluated the effect of sport-for-development intervention and found an increase in anxiety symptoms among participants in the intervention group compared with participants in the control group.



Figure 4 Effect of community-level interventions on post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and substance abuse.

Post-traumatic stress disorder

Three studies measured PTSD (figure 4). Two studies that delivered prolonged exposure therapy and writing for recovery to adolescents with trauma experiences found a significant decrease in PTSD symptoms among participants in the intervention group.^{50,52} One study by Ismayilova and colleagues delivered economic strengthening intervention but found no significant effect of the intervention on PTSD.⁴⁸

Substance abuse

One study that looked at substance abuse alongside mental disorders found a significant decrease in substance abuse among participants in the intervention group compared with control group.⁴⁹ The study delivered a parenting programme (figure 4).

Depression and anxiety-like symptoms

There was only one study that assessed depression and anxiety-like symptoms⁵⁴ (see online supplemental S4 figure). This study found a significant decrease in depression and anxiety-like symptoms among participants in the intervention group compared with participants in the control group.

Certainty of evidence

The main strength of this review is that it is the first to assess the effect of multi-level interventions on mental health in adolescents in SSA. However, it is important to note the quality of evidence when interpreting these findings. Full details on quality appraisal are provided in online supplemental S7 table.

Multi-level interventions

The quality of evidence for studies that delivered multilevel interventions ranged from low to moderate. The results for effect of multi-level interventions on depression were not consistent across eight studies. Anxiety was measured by only one study which had a high attrition rate in the control group and did not adjust for clustering of participants in the analysis.⁴² Among three studies that measured PTSD, two studies had small sample sizes below 100.^{45 46}

Community-level interventions

The quality of evidence for studies that delivered community-level interventions ranged from low to high. For depression, most studies showed a positive intervention effect, however, the studies that delivered economic empowerment or parenting intervention seemed to have no or smaller effect than studies that delivered CBT or IPT interventions. The quality of evidence was not downgraded as the direction of effect was consistent for most studies. For anxiety, the quality of evidence was rated as high. For PTSD, the evidence was downgraded to moderate because two of the three studies that measured PTSD had sample sizes smaller than 100. For depression and anxiety-like symptoms (combined outcome), only one study was included. This study had a high risk of bias due to high attrition rate (only 27% completed both pre-questionnaire and post-questionnaire).⁵⁴ Thus, the quality of evidence was downgraded to low. For substance abuse, only one study was included. The study included enough participants and had a low risk of bias. Thus, the quality of evidence was rated as high.

DISCUSSION

The findings from this systematic review suggest that multi-level interventions that include economic empowerment, peer-support or CBT can improve mental health in adolescents. Similar patterns indicating the positive effect of these interventions were also observed for studies that delivered only community-level interventions. However, due to high variability in intervention components and study participants between studies identified in this review, further research on these interventions is needed to help us understand their effect when scaled up in different contexts and to demonstrate if they can be reliable and sustainable. The variability in intervention components found in this review is consistent with previous reviews that looked at mental health interventions for adolescents in LMICs.^{22 23 67}

Among five studies that found multi-level interventions to be effective in reducing mental health problems, the intervention components included group-based economic empowerment, peer support, CBT and IPT. These group-based interventions were offered alongside counselling or healthcare or included one-on-one trauma narrative sessions. One study that delivered an economic empowerment intervention did not show significant intervention effect after the intervention had been stopped,³⁷ and other two studies with longer duration (12 months or more) did not have an impact on depression.^{38 40} This suggests that some interventions may be effective but fail to show positive long-term impact on mental health. This also highlights the importance of investing in sustainable interventions that have a longer positive health impact.

Multi-level interventions were generally delivered to highly vulnerable populations such as adolescents infected with or affected by HIV, orphans and war-affected adolescents, with individual-level components offered based on individual's need. While vulnerabilities may vary within youth populations, it is important to consider their individual needs when designing interventions. Engaging young people in the development of interventions may help identify their needs and ensure that interventions are relevant to their individual needs. Youth engagement have been found to be effective in improving mental health in youth,⁶⁸ however it is still limited in SSA.⁶⁹

Community-level interventions varied substantially. Sixteen of the twenty studies reviewed significantly reduced mental health problems including substance abuse. Of these studies, four delivered economic empowerment interventions and family coaching or parenting interventions. Economic empowerment interventions like cash transfers have been shown to have a positive impact on mental health outcomes in SSA.⁷⁰ Parenting and family-focused interventions have been shown to have a significant positive effect on child and youth mental health in LMICs.^{23 71} Another eleven effective studies delivered theory-based interventions such as CBT and IPT, of which ten were conducted in schools. This suggests that schools provide a good opportunity for implementation of interventions that are relevant to adolescents and youth; a previous review has demonstrated that school-based mental health interventions can be effective in improving mental health and could be integrated into education programmes.²² Nevertheless, care must be taken not to exclude out-of-school youth by targeting effort too much on school settings. Peer-led community-based and digital mental health interventions including internet-based CBT may be able to reach many young people irrespective of whether they are enrolled in school.72

While there is overlap between mental health problems and substance abuse, in this review we identified only one study that measured both mental health and substance abuse. Cluver and colleagues found a significant positive effect of parenting programme on substance use among adolescents' families reporting conflicts, but no observed effect on mental health.⁴⁹ This finding highlights the importance of comprehensive interventions that involve parents, to prevent and reduce substance abuse in adolescents. In SSA, where substance abuse among adolescents is a major public health problem,¹² there is need for BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-066586 on 3 October 2023. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on October 11, 2023 at UCL Library Services. Protected by copyright.

further research to identify effective interventions that will simultaneously reduce substance abuse and mental health problems.

Most studies in this review were targeting adolescents who were already having symptoms of common mental disorders. This highlights the gap in the evidence of preventive interventions on mental health in SSA and suggests the need for universal mental health interventions (whole school or community) that can reduce the risks of poor mental health. In settings like SSA where it may not be affordable to treat mental health conditions, preventive interventions such as economic empowerment and family strengthening interventions that are already known to be effective may be vital to reducing social determinants of poor mental health in adolescents. Future research should identify strategies to implement preventive interventions and consider task-shifting delivery model to achieve sustainable long-term mental health gains.

This review has a number of limitations. First, we only searched published studies and included only RCTs or quasi-experimental (controlled) studies, therefore some evidence from unpublished and qualitative studies may have been excluded. Second, we were unable to report summary effect measures due to variability in intervention components, participants and study duration in the included studies. Third, majority of studies measured the outcomes using a continuous scale without a cut-off or further confirmation of diagnosis by the specialist. Fourth, none of the included studies looked at individuallevel interventions, so we could not compare multilevel interventions with individual-level interventions. Finally, we restricted the search to studies that included adolescents aged 10-24 years, this might have excluded important information. Despite these limitations, this review adds new knowledge about mental health interventions for adolescents. Our findings highlight the need to combine individual-level and community or familylevel interventions when addressing mental health problems in vulnerable youth population. For example, social support or social protection interventions such as cash transfers, parenting programmes and school-based interventions (including feeding scheme) may be delivered in combination with individual-level interventions tailored to young people's needs.

CONCLUSION

There is evidence that multi-level interventions can improve mental health in young people in SSA. Economic empowerment, peer-support or CBT found to be effective when delivered alone or in combination with individual-level interventions tailored to individual needs. However, due to limited number of studies and substantial heterogeneity in intervention components, study participants and duration among studies that delivered multi-level interventions, it is difficult to identify intervention components that are most effective. Future research should involve replicating these promising interventions in different settings to understand their long-term effect and reliability under different circumstances.

Author affiliations

¹Africa Health Research Institute, Durban, South Africa

²Institute for Global Health, University College London, London, UK

³School of Laboratory Medicine and Medical Science, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa

⁴MRC/Wits Rural Public Health & Health Transitions Research Unit (Agincourt), University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

⁵Department of Epidemiology & Harvard Center for Population and Development Studies, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Twitter Guy Harling @harlingg and Maryam Shahmanesh @MaryamShJ

Contributors NM, MS, GH and AC conceptualised and designed the study. NM and AMR undertook the literature searches, independently screened, filtered and selected the articles. NM and AMR completed the full text reviewing of articles and compared the database for discrepancies. NM analysed data and drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed to interpreting the data. All authors critically reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript. The corresponding author affirms that all listed authors meet authorship criteria. NM is the guarantor.

Funding MS is supported by the National Institute of Health (5R01MH114560-03). GH is supported by a fellowship from the Wellcome Trust and Royal Society [grant number 210479/Z/18/Z]. This research was funded in whole, or in part, by Wellcome [Grant number Wellcome Strategic Core award: 201433/Z/16/A]. For the purpose of open access, the author has applied a CC BY public copyright licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission,

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement All data relevant to the study are included in the article or uploaded as supplementary information. The data supporting the findings of this study are provided in the supplemental material.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Nondumiso Mthiyane http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9881-7267 Guy Harling http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6604-491X Andrew Copas http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8968-5963

REFERENCES

- 1 GBD 2019 Diseases and Injuries Collaborators. Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2019. *The Lancet* 2020;396:1204–22.
- 2 Eyre O, Thapar A. Common adolescent mental disorders: transition to adulthood. *The Lancet* 2014;383:1366–8.
- 3 WHO. Adolescent mental health Geneva. 2020. Available: https:// www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/adolescent-mentalhealth [Accessed 11 Feb 2021].

- 4 Jones PB. Adult mental health disorders and their age at Onset. Br J Psychiatry 2013;202:s5–10.
- 5 Kusi-Mensah K, Donnir G, Wemakor S, et al. Prevalence and patterns of mental disorders among primary school age children in Ghana: correlates with academic achievement. J Child Adolesc Ment Health 2019;31:214–23.
- 6 Folayan MO, Arowolo O, Mapayi B, *et al.* Associations between mental health problems and risky oral and sexual behaviour in adolescents in a sub-urban community in Southwest Nigeria. *BMC Oral Health* 2021;21:401.
- 7 Maina BW, Orindi BO, Osindo J, et al. Depressive symptoms as predictors of sexual experiences among very young adolescent girls in slum communities in Nairobi, Kenya. Int J Adolesc Youth 2020;25:836–48.
- 8 Koenen KC, Ratanatharathorn A, Ng L, et al. Posttraumatic stress disorder in the world mental health surveys. *Psychol Med* 2017;47:2260–74.
- 9 Charlson F, van Ommeren M, Flaxman A, *et al.* New WHO prevalence estimates of mental disorders in conflict settings: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2019;394:240–8.
- 10 UNAIDS. Confronting inequalities. Lessons for pandemic responses from 40 years of AIDS. 2021. Available: https://www.unaids.org/en/ resources/documents/2021/2021-global-aids-update?msclkid=e300 ef16b9d911ec80981ac58dea961e [Accessed 2 Feb 2022].
- 11 Kassa GM, Arowojolu AO, Odukogbe AA, *et al.* Prevalence and determinants of adolescent pregnancy in Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Reprod Health* 2018;15:195.
- 12 Olawole-Isaac A, Ogundipe O, Amoo EO, et al. Substance use among adolescents in sub-Saharan Africa. A systematic review and meta-analysis. S Afr J CH 2018;12:79.
- 13 Mbirimtengerenji ND. Is HIV/AIDS epidemic outcome of poverty in sub-Saharan Africa Croat Med J 2007;48:605–17.
- 14 Remien RH, Stirratt MJ, Nguyen N, et al. Mental health and HIV/ AIDS: the need for an integrated response. AIDS 2019;33:1411–20.
- 15 Lassi ZS, Salam RA, Bhutta ZA. Recommendations on arresting global health challenges facing adolescents and young adults. *Ann Glob Health* 2017;83:704–12.
- 16 Kinyanda E, Levin J, Nakasujja N, *et al.* Major depressive disorder: longitudinal analysis of impact on clinical and behavioral outcomes in Uganda. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2018;78:136–43.
- 17 Tlhajoane M, Eaton JW, Takaruza A, et al. Prevalence and associations of psychological distress, HIV infection and HIV care service utilization in East Zimbabwe. *AIDS Behav* 2018;22:1485–95.
- 18 Peltzer K, Pengpid S, Tiembre I. Mental health, childhood abuse and HIV sexual risk behaviour among university students in Ivory Coast. Ann Gen Psychiatry 2013;12:18.
- 19 McLeroy KR, Bibeau D, Steckler A, et al. An ecological perspective on health promotion programs. *Health Educ Q* 1988;15:351–77.
- 20 Castillo EG, Ijadi-Maghsoodi R, Shadravan S, et al. Community interventions to promote mental health and social equity. Curr Psychiatry Rep 2019;21.
- 21 Skeen S, Laurenzi CA, Gordon SL, *et al*. Adolescent mental health program components and behavior risk reduction: a meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* 2019;144:e20183488.
- 22 Barry MM, Clarke AM, Jenkins R, *et al.* A systematic review of the effectiveness of mental health promotion interventions for young people in low and middle income countries. *BMC Public Health* 2013;13:835.
- 23 Bhana A, Abas MA, Kelly J, et al. Mental health interventions for adolescents living with HIV or affected by HIV in low- and middleincome countries: systematic review. BJPsych Open 2020;6:e104.
- 24 Mebrahtu H, Skeen S, Rudgard WE, et al. Can a combination of interventions accelerate outcomes to deliver on the sustainable development goals for young children? Evidence from a longitudinal study in South Africa and Malawi. *Child Care Health Dev* 2022;48:474–85.
- 25 Weiner BJ, Lewis MA, Clauser SB, et al. In search of synergy: strategies for combining interventions at multiple levels. JNCI Monographs 2012;2012:34–41.
- 26 Schölmerich VLN, Kawachi I. Translating the socio-ecological perspective into multilevel interventions: gaps between theory and practice. *Health Educ Behav* 2016;43:17–20.
- 27 van Luenen S, Garnefski N, Spinhoven P, et al. The benefits of psychosocial interventions for mental health in people living with HIV: a systematic review and meta-analysis. AIDS Behav 2018;22:9–42.
- 28 Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, eds. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 6.2 (updated February 2021). Cochrane, 2021.
- 29 Glanville J, Kotas E, Featherstone R, *et al.* Which are the most sensitive search filters to identify randomized controlled trials in MEDLINE J Med Libr Assoc 2020;108:556–63.

- 30 Kinghorn A, Shanaube K, Toska E, *et al*. Defining adolescence: priorities from a global health perspective. *The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health* 2018;2:e10.
- 31 Sawyer SM, Azzopardi PS, Wickremarathne D, et al. The age of adolescence. The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health 2018;2:223–8.
- 32 Sterne JA, Hernán MA, Reeves BC, et al. ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. BMJ 2016;i4919.
- 33 Sterne JAC, Savović J, Page MJ, et al. Rob 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ* 2019;366:I4898.
 A Dealer H, Huinging JZ, Chanta 42.
- 34 Deeks JJ, Higgins JPT. Chapter 10: analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. In: Higgins JPT TJ, Chandler J, Cumpston M, et al, eds. *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions*. 2nd Edition. Chichester (UK): John Wiley & Sons, 2019: 241–84.
- 35 The Cochrane Collaboration. Review manager (Revman) [computer program]. version 5.4. 2020.
- 36 Campbell M, McKenzie JE, Sowden A, et al. Synthesis without meta-analysis (swim) in systematic reviews: reporting guideline. BMJ 2020;368:16890.
- 37 Ssewamala FM, Shu-Huah Wang J, Brathwaite R, et al. Impact of a family economic intervention (bridges) on health functioning of adolescents orphaned by HIV/AIDS: A 5-year (2012-2017) cluster randomized controlled trial in Uganda. Am J Public Health 2021;111:504–13.
- 38 Cavazos-Rehg P, Byansi W, Xu C, et al. The impact of a familybased economic intervention on the mental health of HIV-infected adolescents in Uganda: results from Suubi + adherence. J Adolesc Health 2021;68:742–9.
- 39 Han C-K, Ssewamala FM, Wang JS-H. Family economic empowerment and mental health among AIDS-affected children living in AIDS-impacted communities: evidence from a randomised evaluation in southwestern Uganda. J Epidemiol Community Health 2013;67:225–30.
- 40 Vreeman RC, Nyandiko WM, Marete I, et al. Evaluating a patientcentred intervention to increase disclosure and promote resilience for children living with HIV in Kenya. AIDS 2019;33 Suppl 1:S93–101.
- 41 Dow DE, Mmbaga BT, Gallis JA, et al. A group-based mental health intervention for young people living with HIV in Tanzania: results of a pilot individually randomized group treatment trial. BMC Public Health 2020;20:1358.
- 42 Kumakech E, Cantor-Graae E, Maling S, et al. Peer-group support intervention improves the psychosocial well-being of AIDS orphans: cluster randomized trial. Social Science & Medicine 2009;68:1038–43.
- 43 Thurman TR, Luckett BG, Nice J, *et al.* Effect of a bereavement support group on female adolescents' psychological health: a randomised controlled trial in South Africa. *Lancet Glob Health* 2017;5:e604–14.
- 44 Unterhitzenberger J, Rosner R. Lessons from writing sessions: a school-based randomized trial with adolescent orphans in Rwanda. *Eur J Psychotraumatol* 2014;5:24917.
- 45 O'Callaghan P, McMullen J, Shannon C, et al. A randomized controlled trial of trauma-focused cognitive behavioral therapy for sexually exploited, war-affected congolese girls. *Journal* of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry 2013;52:359–69.
- 46 McMullen J, O'Callaghan P, Shannon C, et al. Group trauma-focused cognitive-behavioural therapy with former child soldiers and other war-affected boys in the DR Congo: a randomised controlled trial. J Child Psychol Psychiatr 2013;54:1231–41.
- 47 Green EP, Cho H, Gallis J, et al. The impact of school support on depression among adolescent orphans: a cluster-randomized trial in Kenya. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 2019;60:54–62.
- 48 Ismayilova L, Karimli L, Sanson J, et al. Improving mental health among ultra-poor children: two-year outcomes of a clusterrandomized trial in Burkina Faso. Social Science & Medicine 2018;208:180–9.
- 49 Cluver LD, Meinck F, Steinert JI, *et al.* Parenting for lifelong health: a pragmatic cluster randomised controlled trial of a noncommercialised parenting programme for adolescents and their families in South Africa. *BMJ Glob Health* 2018;3:e000539.
- 50 Rossouw J, Yadin E, Alexander D, et al. Prolonged exposure therapy and supportive counselling for post-traumatic stress disorder in adolescents: task-shifting randomised controlled trial. Br J Psychiatry 2018;213:587–94.
- 51 Betancourt TS, Newnham EA, Brennan RT, et al. Moderators of treatment effectiveness for war-affected youth with depression in northern Uganda. J Adolesc Health 2012;51:544–50.

- 52 Getanda EM, Vostanis P. Feasibility evaluation of psychosocial intervention for internally displaced youth in Kenya. *Journal of Mental Health* 2022;31:774–82.
- 53 Thurman TR, Nice J, Taylor TM, *et al.* Mitigating depression among orphaned and vulnerable adolescents: a randomized controlled trial of interpersonal psychotherapy for groups in South Africa. *Child Adolesc Ment Health* 2017;22:224–31.
- 54 McMullen JD, McMullen N. Evaluation of a teacher-led, life-skills intervention for secondary school students in Uganda. *Social Science & Medicine* 2018;217:10–7.
- 55 Rivet-Duval E, Heriot S, Hunt C. Preventing adolescent depression in Mauritius: a universal school-based program. *Child Adolesc Ment Health* 2011;16:86–91.
- 56 Ede MO, Igbo JN, Eseadi C, et al. Effect of group cognitive behavioural therapy on depressive symptoms in a sample of college adolescents in Nigeria. J Rat-Emo Cognitive-Behav Ther 2020;38:306–18.
- 57 Richards J, Foster C, Townsend N, *et al.* Physical fitness and mental health impact of a sport-for-development intervention in a post-conflict setting: randomised controlled trial nested within an observational study of adolescents in Gulu, Uganda. *BMC Public Health* 2014;14:619.
- 58 Eifediyi G, Ojugo AI, Aluede O. Effectiveness of rational Emotive behaviour therapy in the reduction of examination anxiety among secondary school students in Edo state, Nigeria. *Asia Pacific Journal* of *Counselling and Psychotherapy* 2018;9:61–76.
- 59 Osborn TL, Wasil AR, Venturo-Conerly KE, et al. Group intervention for adolescent anxiety and depression: outcomes of a randomized trial with adolescents in Kenya. *Behav Ther* 2020;51:601–15.
- 60 Berger R, Benatov J, Cuadros R, et al. Enhancing resiliency and promoting Prosocial behavior among Tanzanian primary-school students: a school-based intervention. *Transcult Psychiatry* 2018;55:821–45.
- 61 Jordans MJD, Tol WA, Ndayisaba A, *et al.* A controlled evaluation of a brief parenting psychoeducation intervention in Burundi. Soc *Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2013;48:1851–9.
- 62 Osborn TL, Rodriguez M, Wasil AR, et al. Single-session digital intervention for adolescent depression, anxiety, and well-being: outcomes of a randomized controlled trial with Kenyan adolescents. J Consult Clin Psychol 2020;88:657–68.
- 63 Bella-Awusah T, Ani C, Ajuwon A, *et al.* Effectiveness of brief school-based, group cognitive behavioural therapy for depressed adolescents in South West Nigeria. *Child Adolesc Ment Health* 2016;21:44–50.
- 64 Kilburn K, Thirumurthy H, Halpern CT, et al. Effects of a large-scale unconditional cash transfer program on mental health outcomes of young people in Kenya. J Adolesc Health 2016;58:223–9.
- 65 Angeles G, de Hoop J, Handa S, *et al.* Government of Malawi's unconditional cash transfer improves youth mental health. *Social Science & Medicine* 2019;225:108–19.
- 66 Puffer ES, Green EP, Sikkema KJ, *et al*. A church-based intervention for families to promote mental health and prevent HIV among adolescents in rural Kenya: results of a randomized trial. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* 2016;84:511–25.
- 67 Bevan Jones R, Thapar A, Stone Z, et al. Psychoeducational interventions in adolescent depression: a systematic review. Patient Educ Couns 2018;101:804–16.
- 68 Dunne T, Bishop L, Avery S, *et al.* A review of effective youth engagement strategies for mental health and substance use interventions. *J Adolesc Health* 2017;60:487–512.
- 69 Asuquo SE, Tahlil KM, Muessig KE, et al. Youth engagement in HIV prevention intervention research in sub-Saharan Africa: a Scoping review. J Int AIDS Soc 2021;24:e25666.
- 70 Owusu-Addo E, Renzaho AMN, Smith BJ. The impact of cash transfers on social determinants of health and health inequalities in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. *Health Policy Plan* 2018;33:675–96.
- 71 Pedersen GA, Smallegange E, Coetzee A, et al. A systematic review of the evidence for family and parenting interventions in Low- and middle-income countries: child and youth mental health outcomes. J Child Fam Stud 2019;28:2036–55.
- 72 Khanna MS, Carper M. Digital mental health interventions for child and adolescent anxiety. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice* 2022;29:60–8.
- 73 Rose-Clarke K, Bentley A, Marston C, *et al.* Peer-facilitated community-based interventions for adolescent health in Lowand middle-income countries: a systematic review. *PLoS One* 2019;14:e0210468.

Supplementary material

S1 Appendix: Search strategy

Medline

1 (adolesc* or child* or young or youth or teen* or pediatric* or paediatric*).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, floating sub-heading word, keyword heading word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]

2 (depress* or anxiety or mental health or mental disorder or post-traumatic stress disorder or psychiatric disorder).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, floating sub-heading word, keyword heading word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]

3 (randomized controlled trial or randomised controlled trial or randomized trial).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, floating sub-heading word, keyword heading word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]

4 1 and 2 and 3

5 (Angola or Benin or Botswana or Burkina Faso or Burundi or Cameroon or Cape Verde or Central African Republic or Chad or Comoros or Congo or Cote dIvoire or Djibouti or Equatorial Guinea or Eritrea or Ethiopia or Gabon or Gambia or Ghana or Guinea or Kenya or Lesotho or Liberia or Madagascar or Malawi or Mali or Mauritania or Mauritius or Mozambique or Namibia or Niger or Nigeria or Reunion or Rwanda or Sao Tome or Senegal or Seychelles or Sierra Leone or Somalia or South Africa or Sudan or Swaziland or Tanzania or Togo or Uganda or Western Sahara or Zambia or Zimbabwe).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, floating sub-heading word, keyword heading word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]

- 6 4 and 5
- 7 adolescent/ or child/
- 8 Pediatrics/
- 9 1 or 7 or 8
- 10 2 and 3 and 5 and 9
- 11 exp "Africa South of the Sahara"/

12 (subsahara* or sub-sahara* or sahara* or south sahara*).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, floating sub-heading word, keyword heading word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]

- 13 5 or 11 or 12
- 14 2 and 3 and 9 and 13
- 15 mental disorders/ or exp anxiety disorders/ or exp depressive disorder/
- 16 exp Stress Disorders, Post-Traumatic/
- 17 exp Mental Health/
- 18 exp Depression/

19 exp Anxiety/

20 2 or 15 or 16 or 17 or 18 or 19

- 21 3 and 9 and 13 and 20
- 22 randomized controlled trial.pt.
- 23 (random\$ or placebo\$ or single blind\$ or double blind\$ or triple blind\$).ti,ab.
- 24 (retraction of publication or retracted publication).pt.
- 25 or/22-24
- 26 (animals not humans).sh.

27 ((comment or editorial or meta-analysis or practice-guideline or review or letter) not randomized controlled trial).pt.

28 (random sampl\$ or random digit\$ or random effect\$ or random survey or random regression).ti,ab. not randomized controlled trial.pt.

- 29 25 not (26 or 27 or 28)
- 30 3 or 29
- 31 9 and 13 and 20 and 30

EMBASE

1 (adolesc* or child* or young or youth or teen* or pediatric* or paediatric*).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, floating sub-heading word, keyword heading word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]

2 (depress* or anxiety or mental health or mental disorder or post-traumatic stress disorder or psychiatric disorder).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, floating sub-heading word, keyword heading word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]

3 (randomized controlled trial or randomised controlled trial or randomized trial).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, floating sub-heading word, keyword heading word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]

4 1 and 2 and 3

5 (Angola or Benin or Botswana or Burkina Faso or Burundi or Cameroon or Cape Verde or Central African Republic or Chad or Comoros or Congo or Cote dIvoire or Djibouti or Equatorial Guinea or Eritrea or Ethiopia or Gabon or Gambia or Ghana or Guinea or Kenya or Lesotho or Liberia or Madagascar or Malawi or Mali or Mauritania or Mauritius or Mozambique or Namibia or Niger or Nigeria or Reunion or Rwanda or Sao Tome or Senegal or Seychelles or Sierra Leone or Somalia or South Africa or Sudan or Swaziland or Tanzania or Togo or Uganda or Western Sahara or Zambia or Zimbabwe).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, floating sub-heading word, keyword heading word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]

- 7 exp juvenile/
- 8 exp "Africa south of the Sahara"/
- 9 1 or 7
- 10 5 or 8
- 11 Clinical Trial/
- 12 Randomized Controlled Trial/
- 13 controlled clinical trial/
- 14 multicenter study/
- 15 Phase 3 clinical trial/
- 16 Phase 4 clinical trial/
- 17 exp RANDOMIZATION/
- 18 Single Blind Procedure/
- 19 Double Blind Procedure/
- 20 Crossover Procedure/
- 21 PLACEBO/
- 22 randomi?ed controlled trial\$.tw.
- 23 rct.tw.
- 24 (random\$ adj2 allocat\$).tw.
- single blind\$.tw.
- 26 double blind\$.tw.
- 27 ((treble or triple) adj blind\$).tw.
- 28 placebo\$.tw.
- 29 Prospective Study/
- 30 or/11-29
- 31 Case Study/
- 32 case report.tw.
- 33 abstract report/ or letter/
- 34 Conference proceeding.pt.
- 35 Conference abstract.pt.
- 36 Editorial.pt.
- 37 Letter.pt.

- 38 Note.pt.
- 39 or/31-38
- 40 30 not 39
- 41 3 or 40
- 42 2 and 9 and 10 and 41

PsycINFO

1 (adolesc* or child* or young or youth or teen* or pediatric* or paediatric*).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, floating sub-heading word, keyword heading word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]

2 (depress* or anxiety or mental health or mental disorder or post-traumatic stress disorder or psychiatric disorder).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, floating sub-heading word, keyword heading word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]

3 (randomized controlled trial or randomised controlled trial or randomised trial or randomized trial).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, floating sub-heading word, keyword heading word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]

4 1 and 2 and 3

5 (Angola or Benin or Botswana or Burkina Faso or Burundi or Cameroon or Cape Verde or Central African Republic or Chad or Comoros or Congo or Cote dIvoire or Djibouti or Equatorial Guinea or Eritrea or Ethiopia or Gabon or Gambia or Ghana or Guinea or Kenya or Lesotho or Liberia or Madagascar or Malawi or Mali or Mauritania or Mauritius or Mozambique or Namibia or Niger or Nigeria or Reunion or Rwanda or Sao Tome or Senegal or Seychelles or Sierra Leone or Somalia or South Africa or Sudan or Swaziland or Tanzania or Togo or Uganda or Western Sahara or Zambia or Zimbabwe).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, floating sub-heading word, keyword heading word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]

- 6 4 and 5
- 7 mental disorders/ or exp affective disorders/ or exp anxiety disorders/
- 8 2 or 7
- 9 1 and 3 and 5 and 8

Web of Science

 TS=(("adolesc*" OR "child*" OR "young" OR "youth" OR "teen*") AND ("depress*" OR "anxiety "OR "mental health" OR "mental disorder" OR "post-traumatic stress disorder" OR "psychiatric disorder") AND ("randomized controlled trial" OR "randomised controlled trial" OR "randomized trial"))

- TS=(("Angola" OR "Benin" OR "Botswana" OR "Burkina Faso" OR "Burundi" OR "Cameroon" OR "Cape Verde" OR "Central African Republic" OR "Chad" OR "Comoros" OR "Congo" OR "Cote dIvoire" OR "Djibouti" OR "Equatorial Guinea" OR "Eritrea" OR "Ethiopia" OR "Gabon" OR "Gambia" OR "Ghana" OR "Guinea" OR "Kenya" OR "Lesotho" OR "Liberia" OR "Madagascar" OR "Malawi" OR "Mali" OR "Mauritania" OR "Mauritius" OR "Mozambique" OR "Namibia" OR "Niger" OR "Nigeria" OR "Reunion" OR "Rwanda" OR "Sao Tome" OR "Senegal" OR "Seychelles" OR "Sierra Leone" OR "Somalia" OR "South Africa" OR "Sudan" OR "Swaziland" OR "Tanzania" OR "Togo" OR "Uganda" OR "Western Sahara" OR "Zambia" OR "Zimbabwe") OR "subsahara*" OR "sub saharan africa")
- **3**. #2 AND #1

Cochrane Library

- #1 MeSH descriptor: [Africa South of the Sahara] this term only
- #2 adolesc* OR child* OR youth OR teen*

#3 depress* OR anxiety OR "mental health" OR "mental disorders" OR "post traumatic stress disorder" OR "psychiatric disorders"

#4 Angola OR Benin OR Botswana OR Burkina Faso OR Burundi OR Cameroon OR "Cape Verde" OR "Central African Republic" OR Chad OR Comoros OR Congo OR "Cote dIvoire" OR Djibouti OR "Equatorial Guinea" OR Eritrea OR Ethiopia OR Gabon OR Gambia OR Ghana OR Guinea OR Kenya OR Lesotho OR Liberia OR Madagascar OR Malawi OR Mali OR Mauritania OR Mauritius OR Mozambique OR Namibia OR Niger OR Nigeria OR Reunion OR Rwanda OR Sao Tome OR Senegal OR Seychelles OR Sierra Leone OR Somalia OR "South Africa" OR Eswatini OR Sudan OR Swaziland OR Tanzania OR Togo OR Uganda OR "Western Sahara" OR Zambia OR Zimbabwe OR subsahara* OR "sub saharan Africa"

- #5 #1 OR #4
- #6 MeSH descriptor: [Young Adult] explode all trees
- #7 #2 OR #6
- #8 #3 AND #5 AND #7

S1 Table. Extracted data included in the synthesis for studies that delivered multi-level interventions.

| Study (Author, year) | Intervention | | Interventi | on | Control | | Statistic | p-value | Statistical method | Estimated effect |
|---|---------------------------|-----|-------------|----|-------------------|-----|-----------|---------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| O'Callaghan, et al. (2013) (45) | M (SD) | Ν | | | M (SD) | Ν | F | | | |
| PTSD | 18.38 (10.53) | 24 | | | 42.93 (13.67) | 28 | 52.708 | < 0.001 | ANCOVA | |
| Depression/anxiety | 13.96 (10.30) | 24 | | | 40.04 (15.18) | 28 | 52.371 | < 0.001 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| McMullen, et al. (2013) (46) | M (SD) | N | | | M (SD) | N | F | 0.004 | | |
| PTSD | 10.6 (4.5) | 24 | | | 34.8 (11.6) | 24 | 89.27 | < 0.001 | ANCOVA | |
| Depression/anxiety | 7.0 (5.8) | 24 | | | 29.3 (13.6) | 24 | 52.82 | < 0.002 | | |
| $M_{\rm resource} \rightarrow 1.(2010)(40)$ | ·· (0/) | N | | | | NT | | | | OD (050/ CI) |
| vreeman, et al. (2019) (40) | n (%) | IN | | | n (%) | IN | | | mixed offects ordinal logistic | OR (95% CI) |
| Depression | No: 52 (42 6) | 122 | | | 80 (61 1) | 131 | | | regression | 1.06(0.52-2.17) |
| Depression | Minimal: 53 (43.4) | 122 | | | 40 (30 5) | 151 | | | regression | 1.00 (0.32-2.17) |
| | Moderate/severe:17 (13.9) | | | | 11(84) | | | | | |
| | | | | | (0.1.) | | | | | |
| Unterhitzenberger, et al. (2014) (44) | M (SD) | Ν | M (SD) | Ν | M (SD) | Ν | t(44) | | | |
| depression | 20.6 (11.4) | 23 | 13.0 (10.0) | 23 | 12.1 (10.3) | 23 | 2.948 | 0.01 | | |
| • | ``´´ | | | | | | | | | |
| Thurman, et al. (2017) (43) | M (range, SD) | Ν | | | M (range, SD) | Ν | | | | B (SE) |
| | 12.4 (0-41; 10.2) | 193 | | | 14.0 (0-45; 10.4) | 189 | | 0.01 | GEE models | -2.70 (1.025) |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dow, et al. (2020) (41) | M (SD) | Ν | | | M (SD) | Ν | | | | B (95% CI) |
| depression | 4.1 (3.4) | 55 | | | 5.1 (3.9) | 38 | | | Mixed effect models | -0.60 (-2.67, 1.47) |
| PTSD | 8.6 (7.5) | 55 | | | 8.9 (6.3) | 38 | | | | -0.03 (-2.38, 2.32) |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kumakech, et al. (2009) (42) | M (SD) | Ν | | | M (SD) | Ν | F | | | |
| depression | 13.2 (9.4) | 157 | | | 17.1 (7.9) | 141 | 16.12 | < 0.001 | ANCOVA | |
| anxiety | 18.0 (10.0) | 157 | | | 21.1 (8.4) | 141 | 9.09 | 0.003 | ANCOVA | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cavazos-Rehg, et al. (2020) (38) | | | | | | | | | | B (95% CI) |
| depression | | | | | | | | | Mixed effect models | 0.04 (0.00 - 0.09) |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Han, et al. (2013) (39) | M (SD) | Ν | | | M (SD) | Ν | F | | | B(SE) |
| depression | 8.42 (4.96) | 179 | | | 10.58 (5.60) | 118 | 4.37 | < 0.001 | ANCOVA | -1.76 (0.63) |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ssewamala, et al. (2021) (37) | | | | | | | | | | B (95% CI) |
| depression | | | | | | | | | Mixed effect models | B: 0.02 (-0.13, 0.18) |
| | | | | | | | | | | B plus: 0.01 (-0.14, 0.15) |

M-means, SD - standard deviation, OR - odds ratio, CI - confidence interval, B - coefficient, SE - standard error

S2 Table. Extracted data included in the synthesis for studies that delivered community-level interventions.

| Study (Author, year) | Intervention | | Control | | Statistic | p-value | Statistical method | Estimated effect |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|-----|----------------------|-----|-----------|---------|---------------------|------------------|
| Getanda, et al. (2020) (52) | M (SD) | N | M (SD) | Ν | F (2, 47) | | | |
| | | | | | | | Repeated measure | |
| depression | 8.6 (8.8) | 25 | 18.8 (4.0) | 25 | 11.74 | < 0.001 | ANOVA | |
| anxiety | 49.1 (11.7) | 23 | 51.9 (7.7) | 25 | 12.31 | < 0.002 | | |
| PTSD | 12.0 (6.4) | 25 | 35.2 (9.7) | 25 | 52.67 | < 0.003 | | |
| Rivet-Duval, et al. (2011) (55) | M (SD) | Ν | M (SD) | Ν | F | | | |
| depression | 47.45 (7.95) | 80 | 50.49 (10.94) | 80 | 12.65 | | ANCOVA | |
| Eifediyi, et al. (2018) (58) | M (SD) | Ν | M (SD) | Ν | F | | | |
| Examination anxiety | 37.23 (12.29) | 69 | 54.65 (5.02) | 86 | 54.656 | < 0.001 | ANCOVA | |
| Ede, et al. (2020) (56) | M (SD) | Ν | M (SD) | Ν | F (1,161) | | | |
| | | | | | | | Repeated measure | |
| depression | 12.45 (6.61) | 82 | 49.3 (4.99) | 80 | 1596.886 | < 0.001 | ANOVA | |
| Bella-Awusah, et al. (2016) (63) | | | | | F (1,34) | | | |
| depression | 11.8 (9.5) | 20 | 21.1 (7.9) | 20 | 15 | < 0.001 | ANCOVA | |
| Thurman, et al. (2017) (53) | M (95% CI) | Ν | M (95% CI) | Ν | | | | B(SE) |
| depression | 17.03 [14.91, 19.14] | 241 | 16.60 (16.27, 17.94) | 223 | | 0.46 | Mixed effect models | -0.838 (1.129) |
| Rossouw, et al. (2018) (50) | M (95% CI) | Ν | M (95% CI) | Ν | | | | MD |
| | | | | | | | unadjusted linear | |
| depression | 6.15 (3.23-9.08) | 31 | 14.42 (9.42–19.41) | 32 | | 0.02 | mixed models | 6.81 |
| PTSD | 4.15 (1.77-6.54) | 31 | 14.64 (8.98-20.30) | 32 | | < 0.001 | | 10.4 |
| Berger, et al. (2018) (60) | M (SD) | Ν | M (SD) | Ν | F | | | |
| | | | | | | | Repeated measure | |
| Anxiety | 13.68 (2.52) | 95 | 16.05 (3.12) | 88 | 56.92 | < 0.001 | ANOVA | |
| Betancourt, et al. (2012) (51) | | | | | | | | B (for IPT G) |
| depression |] | | | | | < 0.001 | | -9.52 |
| McMullen, et al. (2018) (54) | M(SD) | Ν | M(SD) | Ν | F (1,167) | | | |
| depression/anxiety-like symptoms | 11.99(8.38) | 92 | 14.17(8.14) | 78 | 10.58 | 0.001 | ANCOVA | |
| Osborn, et al. (2020) (59) | M (SD) | Ν | M (SD) | Ν | | | | B(SE) |
| depression | 10.21(4.39) | 28 | 12.52 (4.23) | 23 | | 0.04 | Mixed effect models | -1.41 (0.67) |
| anxiety | 9.29 (3.67) | 28 | 11.65 (3.41) | 23 | | 0.04 | | -1.31 (0.62) |
| | | | | | | | | |

S2 Table. (continued)

| Study (Author, year) | Intervention | | Control | | Statistic | p-value | Statistical method | Estimated effect |
|------------------------------------|---------------|-----|---------------|-----|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Ismayilova, et al. (2018) (48) | | | | | | | | aMD/IRR |
| depression | | | | | | 0.03 ^a | Mixed effect models | -3.58 [-6.71, -0.45]] |
| | | | | | | 0.54 ^b | | -1.04 [-4.32, 2.24] |
| PTSD | | | | | | 0.09 ^a | | 0.69 [0.45, 1.05] |
| | | | | | | 0.85 ^b | | 0.97 [0.70, 1.34] |
| Jordans, et al. (2013) (61) | MD (SD) | | MD (SD) | | t | | | |
| depression | 1.02 (4.45) | | 0.61 (5.28) | | -0.449 | 0.65 | | |
| Kilburn, et al. (2016) (64) | | | | | | | | aOR |
| | | | | | | | Logistic regression | |
| | | | | | | | models (clustered | |
| depression | | | | | | < 0.05 | standard errors) | 0.76 [0.60,0.96] |
| Green, et al. (2019) (47) | | | | | | | | B(95% CI) |
| depression | | | | | | | Mixed effect models | -0.28 (-0.45, -0.12) |
| Angeles, et al. (2019) (65) | | | | | | | | B(SE) |
| depression | | | | | | < 0.001 | ANCOVA | -2.051 (0.475) |
| Cluver, et al. (2018) (49) | M (SD) | | M (SD) | | | | | IRR |
| | | | | | | | Poisson regression | |
| depression | 1.98 (2.88) | 270 | 1.84 (2.44) | 278 | | 0.91` | models | 1.02 (0.77, 1.35) |
| substance abuse | 0.14 (0.44) | | 0.27 (0.71) | | | 0.03 | | 0.55 (0.33, 0.93) |
| Osborn, et al. (2020) Digital (62) | M (SD) | Ν | M (SD) | Ν | | | | B(SE) |
| depression | 8.35 (4.69) | 50 | 10.00 (4.65) | 52 | | 0.03 | Mixed effect models | -2.70 (1.22) |
| anxiety | 7.92 (4.48) | | 9.00 (4.45) | | | 0.28 | | -1.35 (1.25) |
| Richards, 2014 (57) | M (SD) | Ν | M (SD) | Ν | | | ANCOVA | B(SE) |
| depression-like symptoms | 24.35 (13.92) | 74 | 18.63 (10.32) | 71 | | | | 0.67 (0.33, 1.00) |
| anxiety-like symptoms | 8.73 (4.90) | 74 | 7.31 (3.71) | 71 | | | | 0.63 (0.30, 0.96) |
| Puffer, et al. (2016) (66) | | | | | | | | B(SE) |
| | | | | | | | Fixed effect OLS | |
| depression | | | | | | | models | -0.01 (0.02) |

M-means, SD – standard deviation, MD- mean difference, OR – odds ratio, IRR – incidence rate ratio, CI – confidence interval, B – coefficient, SE – standard error, a – Trickle Up group, b – Trickle Up- plus group

S3 Table. Characteristics of excluded studies

| | Study (Author, year) | Reasons |
|-----|------------------------|--|
| 1. | Zuilkowski, 2016 | Not RCT |
| 2. | Yeomans, 2010 | Wrong population (includes adults) |
| 3. | Yamaguchi, 2020 | Wrong setting (not conducted in SSA) |
| 4. | Wogrin, 2021 | not RCT |
| 5. | Willis, 2019 | Did not measure outcome of interest |
| 6. | Widmann, 2017 | Wrong population (includes adults and did not measure the outcome of interest) |
| 7. | Visagie, 2021 | Wrong population (includes children younger than 10) |
| 8. | Ugwuanyi, 2020 | Age range for participants is not specified |
| 9. | Tol, 2010 | Wrong setting (not conducted in SSA) |
| 10. | Tol, 2014 | Wrong population (includes young children – age not disaggregated) |
| 11. | Tol, 2020 | Age range for participants is not specified |
| 12. | Teivaanmaki, 2018 | Not RCT |
| 13. | Stockton, 2020 | Wrong population (includes adults only) |
| 14. | Ssewamala, 2009 | Did not measure Outcome of interest |
| 15. | Sikkema, 2018 | Age range for participants is not specified |
| 16. | Sensoy Bahar, 2020 | not RCT |
| 17. | Scholte, 2011 | Wrong population (adult population) |
| 18. | Schaal, 2009 | Wrong population (includes adults) |
| 19. | Rotheram-Borus, 2012 | Did not measure the outcome of interest |
| 20. | Robjant, 2019 | Wrong population (intervention group includes adults) |
| 21. | Rethorst, 2010 | Wrong setting (not conducted in SSA) |
| 22. | Rasmussen, 2019 | not RCT |
| 23. | Ramdhonee-Dowlot, 2021 | Wrong population (not conducted in SSA) |
| 24. | Radcliffe, 2020 | Other (Study only included participants who received the intervention) |
| 25. | Petersen, 2014 | Wrong population (includes only adults) |
| 26. | Pengpid, 2013 | Wrong population (includes adults) |
| 27. | Oshodi, 2020 | Not RCT |
| 28. | Olowokere, 2014 | Wrong population (includes children below 10 years) |
| 29. | Ofoegbu, 2020 | Wrong population (adults only) |
| 30. | Oduguwa, 2017 | Did not measure the outcome of interest |
| 31. | O'Donnell, 2014 | Wrong population (children only) |
| 32. | O'Callaghan, 2014 | Wrong population (includes children below 10 years) |
| 33. | Neuner, 2008 | Wrong population (adults above 40 years) |
| 34. | Nduna, 2010 | Did not measure the outcome of interest |
| 35. | Schaal 2009 | Wrong population (includes adults) |
| 36. | Mutamba, 2018 | Age range for participants is not specified |
| 37. | Murray, 2015 | Wrong population (includes young children) |

| 38. | Murray, 2013 | Not RCT |
|-----|------------------|---|
| 39. | Mueller, 2011 | Wrong population (includes young children) |
| 40. | Mon, 2016 | Wrong setting (not conducted in SSA) |
| 41. | Mfidi, 2018 | Not RCT |
| 42. | Meinck, 2019 | Not RCT |
| 43. | Mavhu, 2013 | Not RCT |
| 44. | Levy, 2021 | Did not measure the outcome of interest |
| 45. | Kobach, 2017 | Wrong population (includes adults) |
| 46. | Kariuki, 2020 | Wrong population (includes adults) |
| 47. | Kapiga, 2017 | Did not measure the outcome of interest |
| 48. | Kane, 2016 | Wrong population (includes young children) |
| 49. | Jewkes, 2010 | Wrong population (includes adults) and outcomes only measured at baseline |
| 50. | Jani, 2016 | Not RCT |
| 51. | Jacob, 2014 | Wrong population (adults only) |
| 52. | Isa, 2018 | Not RCT |
| 53. | Ireri, 2019 | Wrong population (includes young children) |
| 54. | Harding, 2019 | Did not measure the outcome of interest |
| 55. | Gupta, 2008 | Did not measure the outcome of interest |
| 56. | Green, 2016 | Wrong population (includes adults) |
| 57. | Goin, 2020 | Did not measure the outcome of interest |
| 58. | Glass, 2014 | Wrong population (includes adults) |
| 59. | Glass, 2017 | Wrong population (includes adults) |
| 60. | Gandi, 2010 | Wrong population (includes adults) and did not measure Outcome of interest |
| 61. | Ezeudu, 2020 | Age range for participants is not specified |
| 62. | Ezegbe, 2019 | Age range for participants is not specified |
| 63. | Ertl, 2011 | Wrong population (includes adults >25 years) |
| 64. | Dow, 2018 | Did not measure the outcome of interest |
| 65. | Dorsey, 2020 | Wrong population (includes young children) |
| 66. | Cluver, 2020 | Other (CMD was measured among caregivers only) |
| 67. | Cluver, 2016 | Not RCT |
| 68. | Chibanda, 2016 | Wrong population (includes adults) |
| 69. | Chibanda, 2011 | Not RCT |
| 70. | Chaudhury, 2016 | Wrong population (includes young children) |
| 71. | Bliznashka, 2020 | Wrong population (includes adults) |
| 72. | Bhana, 2014 | Did not measure the outcome of interest |
| 73. | Betancourt, 2012 | Not RCT |
| 74. | Betancourt, 2017 | Wrong population (includes young children) |
| 75. | Betancourt, 2013 | Not RCT |
| 76. | Betancourt, 2014 | Did not measure the outcome of interest |
| 77. | Betancourt, 2020 | Wrong setting (not conducted in SSA) |

| 78. | Amone-P'Olak, 2013 | Not RCT | | | |
|-----|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 79. | Alangea, (2020) | Wrong population (includes adults) | | | |
| 80. | Akol, (2018) | Wrong population (participants are service providers) | | | |
| 81. | Agboeze, (2020) | Wrong population (includes young children) | | | |
| 82. | Ssewamala, (2012) | Age range for participants is not specified | | | |
| 83. | Oladeji, (2019) | Other (The study compares adults and adolescents within study groups) | | | |
| 84. | Kuo, (2020) | Other (Comparison between intervention and control group not done) | | | |
| 85. | Vreeman (2018) | Same study as Vreeman (2019) | | | |
| 86. | Ssewamala, (2018) | Same study as Ssewamala, (2021) | | | |
| 87. | Rossouw, (2016) | Same study as Rossouw, (2018) | | | |
| 88. | Kivumbi, (2019) | Same study as Ssewamala, (2021) | | | |
| 89. | Karimli, (2019) | Same study as Ssewamala, (2021) | | | |
| 90. | Chang-Keun, (2013) | Same study as Han, (2013) | | | |
| 91. | Bolton, (2007) | Same study as Betancourt, et al. (2012) | | | |
| 92. | Cavazos-Rehg, (2020) | Same study as other Cavazos-Rehg, 2020 but different analysis (used baseline data) | | | |

S4 Table. Characteristics of included studies that delivered multi-level and community-level interventions

| Study (Author, year) and setting | Participants | Study design, unit of randomisation (individual or cluster) and sample size | Study and intervention duration | Intervention and control descriptions | Multi-level intervention components | Outcome (instrument), Assessments |
|--|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|
| MULTI-LEVEL INTER | VENTIONS | | | | | |
| A. HIV- affected | d adolescents | | | | | |
| Ssewamala, et al. (2021), Uganda (37) | Adolescents, AIDS orphans | RCT (Cluster). Control (16 schools, n =487), Bridges (16 schools, n=396), Bridges PLUS (16 schools, n=500) | 48 months. The intervention was provided for the first 24 months. | The Bridges to the future- savings-led family economic empowerment intervention. The two treatment conditions (Bridges and Bridges PLUS) included standard of care as well as: (1) Twelve 1-2 hour workshops focused on asset building, financial literacy, and future planning; (2) peer mentors to reinforce learning and (3) matched financial savings account to be used for education for the participating adolescent or family microenterprise development. The only difference between Bridges and Bridges PLUS was the matching rate for financial savings: participants in the Bridges condition received a 1:1 savings match rate, whereas participants in the Bridges PLUS condition received a 2:1 savings matching rate. Usual care included counselling, food aid, and scholastic materials (textbooks, notebooks, and school uniforms). The control group only received usual care. | Group: Economic empowerment Individual: Counselling | Depressive symptoms (CDI) Baseline, 12, 24, 36 and 48 months |

| Cavazos-Rehg, et al. (2020), Uganda (38) | Adolescents living with HIV, 10-16 years | RCT (cluster). N=702, Intervention (19 health facilities, n=358), Control (20 health facilities, n=344) | 48 months: The intervention was revised for the first 24 months. | The Suubi+Adherence intervention (treatment condition) included four sessions on financial management and training in income-generating activities and combined a matched savings account— opened in the child and caregiver names. The account was matched on 1:1 ratio. The intervention was intended to meet the needs associated with managing HIV as a chronic illness, including support for adherence to antiretroviral therapy (ART), microenterprises to generate family income, and/or to pay for schooling. Participants were also paired with a mentor and could attend 12 educational sessions, including, but not limited to, financial planning, business development, saving, setting short- and long-term goals, and avoiding risk-taking behaviours. Control group received standard of care only | Group (family): Economic empowerment Individual: ART, weekly-monthly healthcare | depressive symptoms (CDI) Baseline, 12, 24, 36 and 48 months |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| Han, et al. (2013), Uganda (39) | AIDS orphaned adolescents, 10-14 years | RCT (cluster). N=297, Intervention (5 schools, n=179), Control (5 schools, n=118) | 12 months | Suubi- Innovative family economic empowerment intervention has three key components: (1) promoting monetary savings for educational opportunities.; (2) financial management workshops and family-level income generating projects to enhance economic stability and reduce poverty and (3) providing adult mentors to children. Intervention included usual care comprised counselling and mentorship, food aid and scholastic materials. Control group received usual care only | Group: Economic empowerment Individual: Counselling | Depressive symptoms (CDI) Baseline and 12 months |

| Vreeman, et al. (2019), Kenya (40) | Adolescents HIV- infected and in active care, 10-14 years | RCT (Cluster). N=285 Intervention (4 health facilities, n=143, Control (4 health facilities, n= 142) | 24 months | The intervention components, referred to cumulatively as the HADITHI ('Helping AMPATH Disclose Information and Talk about HIV Infection') intervention, centre on participants' access to intensive counselling sessions (group and one-on- one) with trained counsellors and culturally tailored materials such as pamphlets and videos designed locally. Participants were offered ART. Participants in the control clinics received standard of care | Group: HIV status disclosure (counselling) Individual: ART, counselling | depression severity (PHQ-9) Baseline, 6, 12, 18 and 24 months |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| Dow, et al. (2020), Tanzania (41) | Young people living with HIV, 12–24 years | Pilot RCT (individual). N=105 (Intervention=58, Control=47) | 6 months. The intervention was delivered for 10 weeks. | Sauti ya Vijana (SYV; The Voice of Youth) consisted of 10 group sessions and two individual sessions (trauma narratives). The first four group sessions (CBT) encouraged youth to identify their worries about living with HIV and to utilize relaxation methods such as deep breathing and mindfulness activities to cope with distress. Emphasis on nurturing strong familial and social relationships (IPT) was targeted for group sessions five through seven with a joint youth/caregiver meeting designed to prepare caregivers to listen and support their youth in trauma narratives. Group sessions eight through ten (MI) incorporated prior session teachings to cultivate a safe and healthy living environment through choices influenced by stigma, education about HIV, disclosure, and values. Participants received ART. Control group received standard of care | Group: Cognitive and behavioural therapy (CBT), interpersonal therapy (IPT), Motivational Interviewing (MI) Individual: Trauma narratives, ART | Depressive symptoms (PHQ-9), PTSD (UCLA - PTSD RI) Baseline and 6 months |

Kumakech, et al. (2009), Uganda (42) Adolescents AIDS orphans, 10-15 years

RCT (cluster). 10 weeks.

N=326, Intervention (10 schools, n=159), Control (10 schools, n=167) Peer-group support intervention comprised 16 semi-structured exercises designed in a form a game or play and presented in a problem-posing manner to stimulate thinking. In the first sessions, orphans introduced themselves and to share fears, worries and concerns about orphanhood. The subsequent meetings involved exercises such as name games, blindfolded walk, sharing of past-presentfuture hopes, all of which were aimed at building trust within the group. The last group of exercises was aimed at raising self-esteem and included sending messages to another orphan, sharing the aspects they like about themselves, physically bearing the weight of each other, and the "big hug" exercise where participants held, supported, and hugged each other. Intervention also included monthly healthcare. Each exercise lasted for 1 hour and two peer-group support exercises were held per week Orphans in the control group did not receive intervention.

Group: Peergroup support Individual: Monthly I healthcare

Depressive symptoms (BYI), anxiety (BYI)

Baseline and 10 weeks

B. Orphaned adolescents

| Thurman, et al. (2017), South Africa (43) | Bereaved female adolescents, 13-17 years | RCT (individual). N=382 (intervention=193 , Controls=189) | Intervention delivered for three school terms (~9 months). | Theory-based support group called 'Abangane'. the intervention had 8 sessions which included an average of three structured activities focused on experiences of loss and grief, coping skills, and the links between feelings, thoughts and behaviour. All sessions included an opening and closing ritual and time for reflection. Weekly interactive 90 min sessions offered at three time periods, corresponding with the school year's first three terms. All participants had access to the standard of care consisting of a school-based counsellor available to serve students based on self-referral or referral by a teacher. Control group only received standard of care. | Group: Theory- based support group (IPT), cultural adaptation Individual: Counselling | Depressive symptoms (CES-DC) Baseline and 3 months post-intervention |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|
| Unterhitzenberger, et al. (2014), Rwanda (44) | Orphaned Adolescents, 14-18 years | RCT (individual). N=69 (Treatment=23, Trivial writing=23, control=23) | 3 weeks. | The intervention included Emotional and positive writing therapy delivered in school. The EW participants wrote about their deepest emotions concerning their loss. In the PW condition, participants wrote about their favourite hobby, which is basically trivial but could also be experienced as positive topic and activating resources. 30-min writing periods started at 5 p.m. each week on three consecutive Thursdays. Adolescents had access to their guidance counsellor whenever they felt stressed, sad, or depressed. Control group received no intervention. | Group: Writing therapy Individual: Counselling | Depressive symptoms (MINI-KID A) Baseline and post- intervention |

C. War-affected adolescents

| O'Callaghan (2013) (45) | Sexually exploited, war- affected adolescent girls aged 12-17 years | RCT (individual). N=52 (Intervention=24, Controls=28) | 3 months. The intervention was offered for 2 hours per day, 3 days per week for five weeks | The intervention group received a 15- session, manualized, culturally modified, trauma-focused cognitive behavioural therapy intervention. The manual was based on "A Web-based learning course for Trauma-Focused Cognitive Behavioural Therapy. It included the following modules: introduction (psychoeducation); stress management; feelings; cognitive coping, the relationship between thoughts, feelings, and behaviour; trauma narratives; and identifying and changing inaccurate or unhelpful cognitions. All modules were delivered in a group, except for module 5, for which three individual sessions were provided. Control group received no intervention during the study. | Group: CBT Individual: Trauma narratives | PTSD (UCLA-PTSD RI), depression and anxiety-like symptoms (AYPA) Baseline, post- intervention and 3 months |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| McMullen (2013) Democratic Republic of Congo (46) | Adolescent boys- former child soldiers aged 13-17 years | RCT (individual). N=50 Intervention (n = 25), Control(n=25) | 3 months. The intervention was delivered for approximately 5 weeks | A 15-session, manualised, TF-CBT intervention included the following modules: (a) Psycho-education (b) Stress management/Relaxation techniques (c) Affect expression and modulation (d) Cognitive coping (e) Creating a trauma narrative, (f) Cognitive processing (g) Future Hopes. All of these were completed as a group except for sessions exploring the boys' trauma narratives. These took place in 2–4 individual sessions to avoid the possibility of vicarious traumatisation within the group. TF-CBT intervention took place within an existing psychosocial programme which provided vocational training, food and shelter to both study groups. Control group received no intervention | Group: TF-CBT Individual: Trauma narratives | PTSD (UCLA-PTSD RI), depression and anxiety-like symptoms (AYPA) Pre-intervention, postintervention and 3- month follow-up |

A. Vulnerable adolescents

| Green, et al. (2019), Kenya (47) | Orphaned adolescents | RCT (cluster). N=835 Intervention (133 schools, n=410), Control (13 schools, n=425) | 4 years. The intervention was provided from 2011 until 2015, or until the student dropped out of school. | The intervention consisted of three components: (a) payment of school tuition fees for secondary school; (b) provision of a school uniform in primary school and the first year of secondary school; and (c) nurse visits. The average cost of secondary school fee payments per intervention participant was approximately \$360 per year. The intervention paid all fees (tuition, exam, uniform) directly to schools as long as students remained enrolled, but students were free to attend any school that granted them admission based on their primary school exit examination scores. Schools in the control arm received cash incentives of \$240 annually for their school development projects. | Depressive symptoms (CESD-R) Baseline, Year 2, 3 and 4 |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| Ismayilova, et al. (2018), Burkina Faso (48) | Children aged 10-15 years from extremely poor households | RCT (cluster) Intervention 1 (Trickle Up plus): 4 villages, n=120 households Intervention 2 (Trickle Up): 4 villages, n=120 households Control: 4 villages, n=120 | 24 months. | Study had three arms: Wait-list condition (Control arm); economic strengthening intervention alone, (Trickle Up/TU arm); and a combination of economic strengthening and family coaching component (Trickle Up Plus/TU + arm). Economic strengthening intervention included Savings group formation and training, Livelihood training and planning, Seed capital grants and Monthly one-on- one mentoring. Family coaching for household members was designed to address normative beliefs related to protection of children from violence and exploitation. Monthly one-on-one caregiver mentoring took 24 months. Family coaching sessions were conducted once a month (each session lasted for 35–45 min). Control group received no intervention during the study. | Depressive symptoms (CES-DC), PTSD (CRIES-8) Baseline, 12 and 24 months |

| Cluver, et al. (2018), South Africa (49) | Adolescents aged 10-18 years and their caregivers from families reporting conflict with their | Pragmatic RCT (cluster). N=552 Intervention (20 villages, n=270), Control (20 | 9 months. The intervention was provided for 4 months | 14-session parenting programme, 'Sinovuyo Teen'. Weekly sessions were conducted in local community halls, churches and outdoors under trees. Session content was based on social | Depressive symptoms (MINI-Kid), substance abuse (WHO Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test) |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| | adolescents | villages, n=282) | | learning principles and included praise and relationship building, managing stress and anger, family problem-solving, planning together to protect adolescents from community violence, monthly family budgeting, saving and responding to crises. Control clusters received a hygiene and hand-washing promotion programme | Pre-test, 1 month and 5–9 months post- interventions |
| Rossouw, et al. (2018), South Africa (50) | Adolescents who had experienced or witnessed an interpersonal trauma and had chronic PTSD (>3 months), 13-18 years | RCT (individual). N=63 intervention (n=31), Control (n=32) | 6 months. | Prolonged exposure therapy consisted of manualised 8 modules. It covered sessions on identifying the index trauma and conducting a breathing retraining exercise; conducting imaginal exposure which also focused on trauma worst moments. The imaginal exposure module was repeated for 4-7 sessions. The number of sessions required was | PTSD (CPSS-I) Pre-treatment, post- treatment, and at 3- and 6-month follow-up |
| | | | | determined on the basis on an adolescent achieving a reduction of at least 70% on the CPSS-SR. Treatment completers were defined as having completed at least | |

seven sessions, which would have ensured that participants in PE-Treatment group received the main components of treatment across the sessions. Control group received supportive counselling

| Betancourt, et al. (2012), Uganda (51) | Adolescent war- survivors, 14-17 years | RCT (individual). N=314 Creative play(n=105), IPT(n=105), Control (n=104) | 1 year. The intervention was provided for 16 weeks (~4 months) | Group interpersonal psychotherapy (IPT) and creative play. Both interventions comprised 16 weekly group sessions. The intervention was delivered to 12 IPT-G groups (each consisted of 6 or 8 adolescents) using locally adapted treatment manual specifying IPT-G strategies and techniques. CP was provided to 4 groups drawing from verbal and non-verbal expression of thoughts and feelings to interpret life lessons and personal difficulties. Control arm received no intervention during the study. | Depressive symptoms (APAI) Pre-intervention and post-intervention |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Getanda, et al. (2020), Kenya (52) | Adolescents aged 14-17 years and experienced traumatic events in the past year | RCT (individual). N=54 (Intervention=27, Control=27) | 1 week, Intervention lasted for 3 days | Writing for Recovery- psycho-social- educational group intervention. Writing gradually progressed from a general unstructured exploration of innermost feelings and thoughts related to experienced trauma, to more structured writing encouraging insight and shifting of perspective. Six sessions of writing over three consecutive days. Control group received no intervention during the study. | PTSD (CRIES-13), anxiety (RCMAS), depressive symptoms (DSRS) Pre-intervention, post- intervention and 1-week post-intervention |
| Thurman, et al. (2017), South Africa (53) | Orphaned and vulnerable adolescents, 14-17 years | RCT (cluster). N=489, 31 drop- in centers and 43 villages (Intervention=26 0, Control=229) | 2 years. Intervention was delivered for 4 months | Interpersonal psychotherapy for groups (IPTG). The intervention consisted of 16 weekly 90-minutes group sessions (manualised). The program model focuses on four interpersonal areas that trigger depressive symptoms: grief, interpersonal disputes, role transitions, and relationship deficits. Control arm received standard of care comprised basic economic and educational support services | Depressive symptoms (CES-DC score ≥15) Pre-intervention, 3 months and 1-year post-intervention |

B. School/college adolescents

| McMullen, et al. (2018), Uganda (54) | Adolescent students, 13-18 years | Quasi- controlled (cluster). N=620 Intervention (3 schools, n=456), Control (one school, n=164) | 1 year | The Living Well manualised intervention was designed to support the social, emotional, and behavioural development of east Africa youth through promoting mental health and resilience; developing communication skills; supporting good choices; and learning life skills to help in their present and their future. It included 6 lessons in each of four overarching themes: 1) Living Well with Ourselves and Others; 2) Living Well with Worry and Stress; 3) Living Well with Life's Issues; and 4) Living Well in the Future. Each session took 45–60 minutes. Control school did not receive the intervention | depression/anxiety-like symptoms (AYPA) Pre-intervention and post-intervention |
|--|--|--|-----------|---|--|
| Rivet-Duval, et al. (2011), Mauritius (55) | Adolescents from single-sex secondary schools, 12-16 years | RCT (individual). N=160 Intervention (n=80), Control (n=80) | 6 months. | during the study. The Resourceful Adolescent Program (Adolescent version) based on cognitive- behavioural and interpersonal therapy. It involved 11 one-hour weekly manualised- sessions with 8 to 12 participants per group. It covers topics such as building self-esteem, keeping calm, self-talk, thinking resourcefully, problem solving, identifying and accessing support | Depressive symptoms (RADS-2) Pre-intervention, post- intervention and 6- month follow-up |

networks, considering the perspective of others and keeping the peace. Control group received no intervention

| Ede, et al. (2020), Nigeria (56) | College adolescents, 16-21 years | RCT (individual). N=162 Intervention (n=82), Control (n=80) | Intervention was delivered for 3 months | Group Cognitive Behavioural Therapy comprised of 12 sessions delivered in 3 phases (one session per week, each session was 1 hour). The first four sessions addressed the contribution of thought pattern to human feelings. During phase 2, participants learned about ways enjoyable activities could decrease their depressive symptoms. They also narrated their experiences. Phase 3 focused on the impacts of social support and communication styles on participants' moods. Adolescents in the control group received no intervention | Depressive symptoms (CES-DC) Pre-intervention, post- intervention, and follow- up |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| Richards, et al. (2014), Uganda (57) | Adolescent primary school pupils aged 11- 14 years | RCT (individual). N=1462 (Intervention=22 7, Control=1235) (intervention: boys = 74, girls = 81; wait-list: boys = 72; comparison: boys = 472, girls = 763) | 11 weeks. Intervention lasted for 9 weeks. | The sport-for-development intervention was a community-based programme called the Gum Marom Kids League (GMKL) and took place over an eleven- week period. The GMKL aimed to use sport as a vehicle to promote physical fitness and mental health as well as achieve peace-building objectives in the community. Team allocation took place one week after registration day. The registered adolescents were either assigned to a team for the first season of GMKL or informed that they had been wait-listed for the next season. One week | depression-like- syndrome (APAI) Baseline and 1-month follow-up |

after team allocation, the intervention group commenced a nine-week (40 minutes game every weekend) competitive football league. Control groups (waitlisted and unregistered) did not participate in

GMKL activities

| Eifediyi, et al. (2018), Nigeria (58) | Secondary school students, 14-19 years | Quasi- experimental controlled. N=160 Intervention (n=72), Controls (n=88) | 7 weeks | Rational emotive behaviour therapy. The therapy was psycho –educational in nature. The six sessions included lecture on test anxiety, introduction to treatment package and how to stop irrational thoughts (Distraction techniques). Control group received placebo therapy on health | examination anxiety (TAI – Nigerian vesrion) Pre-intervention and post intervention |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| Osborn, et al. (2020), Kenya (59) | Adolescent students, 14-17 years | RCT (individual). N=51 Intervention (n=28), Control (n=23) | 4 weeks | SSUES. Shamiri- wise interventions - delivered to groups in person. During sessions one and two, students learned about growth mindsets. During session three, students learned about gratitude. During session four, students learned about values and completed a value affirmation exercise. Each session included reading activities, group discussions, and writing activities. Control group received study skills | Depressive symptoms (PHQ-8), generalised anxiety disorder (GAD- 7) Baseline, 2-weeks and weeks |
| Berger, et al. (2018), Tanzania (60) | Primary school students, aged 11-14 years in grades 6-8 | RCT (cluster). N=183 Intervention (3 classes, n=95), Control (3 classes, n=88) | Intervention was delivered for 8 weeks. | ERSAE-Stress-Prosocial program (ESPS) is a universal school-based program composed of sixteen 90-minute sessions divided into two sets of strategies: stress- reduction interventions and prosocial interventions (i.e. perspective-taking, empathy training, mindfulness and compassion-cultivating practices), The control classes received 2-hour social studies classes weekly based on the Ministry of Education curriculum for primary schools | Anxiety (SCAS) Pre-intervention, post- intervention and 8- month follow-up |
| Jordans, et al. (2013), Burundi (61) | School-going children aged 10-14 years with elevated psychological distress | Quasi- experimental with controls. N=161 Intervention (n=97), Control (n=64) | Th intervention took an average of 5.5 hours | Brief parenting psychoeducation intervention delivered to parents consisted of two sessions: The first session aimed at increasing dialogue and understanding of problems affecting children as well as of ways of communicating with children. The second session focused on advising parents how to manage their children's problems. The sessions 1 and 2 took average 2.5 and 3.0 hours, respectively. Control group received no intervention. | Depressive symptoms (DSRS) Pre-intervention and 3- weeks post-intervention |

| Osborn, et al. (2020) Digital, Kenya (62) | Adolescent high school students aged 14-17 years | RCT (individual). N=103 Intervention (n=50), Control (n=53) | The intervention took 1 hour. | Single session Shamiri-Digital intervention consists of three modules: growth mindset, gratitude, and value affirmation. In the growth-mindset module, participants learned about the brain's ability to grow in response to challenges in various domains (e.g., academic, interpersonal, and personality). Then, participants read a growth testimonial written by a Kenyan peer. Afterward, participants wrote their own growth stories about a challenge they faced and overcame. In the gratitude module, participants learned about the importance of practicing and expressing gratitude. In the value-affirmation module, participants learned about the importance of affirming personal value. Control group received study skills Brief school-based, group cognitive | Depressive symptoms (PHQ-8), anxiety (GAD- 7) Baseline and 2-week follow-up |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| Bella-Awusah, et al. (2016), Nigeria (63) | Adolescents with depressive symptoms and aged 14-17 years | RCT (cluster). N=40, intervention (one school, n=20), Control (one school, n=20) | The intervention was offered for 5 weeks. | Brief school-based, group cognitive behavioural therapy consisted of 5 sessions which were delivered as interactive lectures and small group discussions. It included sessions on psychoeducation, monitoring of moods, avoidant activities and relaxation techniques. Control school did not receive intervention during the study. | depressive symptoms (BDI) Baseline, 1-week and 16-week post- intervention |
| C. General pop Kilburn, et al. (2016), Kenya (64) | ulation Adolescents, 15-24 years | RCT (cluster). N=1960 Intervention (14 locations, n=1408), Control (14 locations, n=598) | 4 years | Large-scale unconditional cash transfer program. US\$20 per month transfer irrespective of household size, directly to the caregiver. Payment is not conditional on any child or adult behaviours, although caregivers are instructed that receipt of the money is for the care and protection of orphans and vulnerable children. Control locations did not participate in the | Depressive symptoms (CES-D10) Post-intervention. Baseline data was not collected |

intervention.

| Angeles, et al. (2019), Malawi (65) | Adolescents, 13-19 years | RCT (cluster). N=2099 | 2 years | Malawi Social Cash Transfer Program. The objectives of the SCTP are to reduce | depressive symptoms (CES-D10) |
|--|---|---|----------|--|--|
| | | Intervention (14 villages, n=1040 households), Control (15 villages, n=1059 households), | | poverty and hunger, and to increase school enrolment rates. Eligibility is determined based on households being: 1) ultra-poor (defined as being unable to meet basic and essential needs, including food), and 2) labour-constrained (defined as having no household members who are 'fit to work'—i.e., below 19 or above 64, or having a chronic disability or illness—, or if the ratio of unfit to fit exceeds three). Villages in the control arm received no intervention during the study | Baseline, midline and endline |
| Puffer, et al. (2016), Kenya (66) | Adolescents (and caregivers) aged 10-16 years | RCT (Cluster)- stepped wedge. (four churches, n=237) | 3 months | Family-behavioural family communication skills training, skills-based HIV prevention interventions, behavioural parent training, and cognitive behavioural therapies. | Depressive symptoms (CDI) Baseline, 1-month and 6-month follow-up |

Children's Depression Inventory (CDI), Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ), The Beck Youth Inventories (BYI), Center for Disease Control Depression Index (CES-D), University of Califonia Los Angeles Post Traumatic Stress Disorder Reaction Index (UCLA - PTSD RI)), The African Youth Psychosocial Assessment Instrument (AYPA), Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview-Kid (MINI-Kid), Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale Revised (CESD-R), Children's Revised Impact of Events Scale (CRIES), Acholi Psychosocial Assessment Instrument (APAI),

S5 Table. Intervention implementation and target outcomes

| Study | Intervention and number of sessions (including length) | Providers | Attrition/retention rate | Target outcomes |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| Ssewamala, et al. (2021), Uganda (37) | The Bridges to the future- savings-led family economic empowerment intervention Twelve 1–2-hour workshops. Other intervention components (e.g., saving accounts) provided for 24 months | Counselling was provided by priests in the community. Provided of other intervention components not specified | Attrition rate was: 8.8% for Bridges, 10.6% for Bridges PLUS, and 8.6%. for control, | physical, mental (depressive symptoms), and sexual health |
| Cavazos-Rehg, et al. (2020), Uganda (38) | The Suubi+Adherence intervention. Four sessions and additional 12 sessions with a mentor for 24 months (unclear how many hours per session) | Adherence counselling delivered by trained lay workers. | Not specified | Three mental health measures (hopelessness, depression, and poor self-concept) |
| Han, et al. (2013), Uganda (39) | Suubi- Innovative family economic empowerment intervention. 1-2 hour training sessions and one mentorship meeting per month over 12- month period | Trained lay counselors and priest | Attrition rate was 9.1% | Mental health functioning (depression, hopelessness) |
| Vreeman, et al. (2019), Kenya (40) | HADITHI ('Helping AMPATH Disclose Information and Talk about HIV Infection'). Number of sessions not specified. | Counselling was provided by trained counselors. | Attrition rate was 11.2% | To increase the proportion of children who know their HIV status, and support their clinical, mental (depression) and behavioural health through the disclosure process |
| Dow, et al. (2020), Tanzania (41) | Sauti ya Vijana (SYV; The Voice of Youth). 10 group sessions, two one-on-one sessions 90 minutes every Saturday for 3 months. Fidelity to the intervention was maintained | The sessions were facilitated by group leaders (young adults) with intensive two-week training, supervised weekly | Attendance at the six- month follow-up visit was 89% with 95% among those in the intervention arm and 81% among those receiving standard of care | mental health (depression, post- traumatic stress disorder), stigma, ART adherence, and HIV RNA |

| Kumakech, et al. (2009), Uganda (42) | Peer-group support intervention. 16 exercises over 10 weeks. 1 hour play | The intervention was delivered by selected teachers who were supervised weekly by the researcher and experienced counselor | Attrition was 8.6% (2 in the intervention group and 26 in the control group) | depression, anger, anxiety, and self-concept |
|--|--|---|--|---|
| Orphaned adolescents | | | | |
| Thurman, et al. (2017), South Africa (43) | Theory-based support group called 'Abangane'. Eight sessions, Weekly 90 minutes sessions (average 3 activities) | Sessions were facilitated by social workers or social auxiliary workers facilitated. They received 4-day training and 3-day refresher training just before the study. Also attended weekly supervision meetings | Retention at follow-up was 96.5%. Intervention participation was 148 (77%) attended seven or more sessions, and 126 (65%) completed the full eight session programme. | Grief and depression |
| Unterhitzenberger, et al. (2014), Rwanda (42) | Emotional and positive writing therapy. 30-min writing periods each week on three consecutive Thursdays | Offered by the investigator | No attrition | Grief and depression |
| War-affected adolescents | | | | |
| O'Callaghan (2013) Democratic Republic of Congo (45) | Trauma-Focused Cognitive Behavioural Therapy. The sessions ran for 2 hours per day, 3 days per week for five weeks | The intervention was delivered by social workers | The average attendance was 13.19 sessions (attendance range, 9–15). Four participants from the intervention group were moved to waitlist due to failing to attend.sessions | posttraumatic stress, depression, and anxiety and conduct problems and increasing prosocial behavior |
| McMullen, et al. (2013), Democratic Republic of Congo (46) | Trauma-Focused Cognitive Behavioural Therapy. 15 sessions (length not specified) Fidelity was maintained. | The intervention was delivered by study authors who hold a doctorate in educational, child and adolescent psychology and two experienced counselors. Daily training and evaluation sessions with facilitators | The mean number of sessions attended was 13.4 (range 10–15). One participant in the waitlist group dropped out | symptoms of posttraumatic stress, depression/anxiety-like symptoms, conduct problems and prosocial behaviour |

| Vulnerab adolesce | le Ints | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| Green, et Kenya (47 | al. (2019), 7) | Three components (school fess, uniform, nurse visits) delivered annually for 4 years. High fidelity to the intervention maintained | A registered nurses tracked delivery of fees and health of student | Attrition rate was 9% among the intervention group and 11.6% among the control group. | depression |
| Ismayilova, et al. (2018), Burkina Faso (48) (48) Economic streng and family coach Livelihood training Monthly one-on-or over 24 months Family sessions or once monthly (35- each) | | Economic strengthening and family coaching Livelihood training for 6 days Monthly one-on-one mentoring over 24 months Family sessions conducted once monthly (35-45 min each) | Field agents received five monthly training sessions | Attrition rates at 24 month: 11.7%, 14.2%, 12.5% for waitlist, Trickle Up and Trickle Up plus | Depressive symptoms, self-esteem, trauma symptoms |
| Cluver, et South Afri | : al. (2018), ica (49) | 14-session parenting programme , 'Sinovuyo Teen' . 10 weekly sessions attended by both child and a caregiver, four attended separately | The intervention was delivered by local social auxiliary workers trained for 1- week and supervised | Participation rates ranged between 96% - 98% for intervention and control group. | Primary outcomes. Abuse and parenting practices. Secondary outcomes: caregiver and adolescent mental health and substance use, adolescent behavioural problems, social support, exposure to community violence and family financial well-being at 5– 9 months postintervention |
| Rossouw, South Afri | , et al. (2018), ica (50) | Prolonged exposure therapy. 7–14 weekly, 60 min sessions | The intervention was delivered by non-specialist health workers who were qualified psychosocial treatment naïve nurse – trained 1-year advanced diploma in psychiatric nursing | Participation rates: In the intervention group 25 out of 31 completed >7 sessions, Control: 27 out of 32 completed >7 | post-traumatic stress disorder |

| Betancourt, et al. (2012), Uganda (51) | Group interpersonal psychotherapy (IPT) and creative play. Both interventions comprised 16 weekly group meetings, lasting 1.5 to 2 hours each | Facilitators received 2- weeks training and weekly supervision | Attrition rates were 6.8%, 11.1%, 13.7% for group IPT, creative play and waitlist respectively | depression |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| Getanda, et al. (2020), Kenya (52) | Writing for Recovery- psycho-social-educational group intervention. Six sessions of writing over three consecutive days. The duration of each session not specified. | The facilitator had social care background and worked closely with school counselor and teacher responsible for pastoral care | Completion rates (intervention group: 85%; control group: 92%) | Mental health (stressful life events; post- traumatic stress, depressive and anxiety symptoms; quality of life) |
| Thurman, et al. (2017), South Africa (53) | Interpersonal psychotherapy for groups. 16 weekly 90-min group sessions | The intervention was delivered by group facilitators who had completed at least 12 th grade recruited from community received 2 weeks training from African psychologists | Attrition rate was 23%. IPTG assignees attended an average of nine sessions out of a possible total of 16 sessions | Depressive symptoms |
| School/college-based adolescents | | | | |
| McMullen, et al. (2018), Uganda (54) | The Living Well manualised intervention. 24 sessions, 45–60 minutes each | The intervention was delivered by teachers who were trained for at least 3 days. Further training, supervision, and monitoring was also required. | Only 27% of the students completed both pre and post- intervention assessments | self-efficacy, internalising problems (depression and anxiety-like symptoms), promoting prosocial behaviour, and developing a sense of connectedness |
| Rivet-Duval, et al. (2011), Mauritius (55) | The Resourceful Adolescent Program (Adolescent version) based on cognitive- behavioural and interpersonal therapy. 11 one-hour weekly sessions with 8 to 12 participants per group | Facilitators were experienced teachers who attended a 2 day training and received ongoing support from a certified RAP trainer. Half-day booster training session was organized 6 months following initial training | Attrition rate: Only three students missed one session throughout the entire program | Depressive symptoms |
| Ede, et al. (2020), Nigeria (56) | Group Cognitive Behavioural Therapy. 12 sessions (one session per week) lasted for 1 hour each | Mental health therapists. | No attrition | Depressive symptoms |

| Richards, et al. (2014), Uganda (57) | The sport-for-development intervention. At least one 1.5- hour training session per week over 9 weeks. 40 minutes game each weekend | The intervention was delivered by six paid staff who selected and 32 volunteer adults who received 2-weeks training to become football and peace building coaches | Attrition rate: In the intervention group nine boys and eight girls In the control group , 10 boys dropped out | physical fitness and mental health (depression and anxiety- like symptoms) |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| Eifediyi, et al. (2018), Nigeria (58) | Rational emotive behaviour therapy. 45 minutes each of six sessions (therapy or placebo therapy) lasted for 7 weeks | Two professional counselors. | The participation rate was about 80–85% per week. | Examination anxiety |
| Osborn, et al. (2020), Kenya (59) | Shamiri- wise interventions. Four 1-hour sessions that were 1 week apart and included between-session homework exercises. Fidelity to the intervention was high | Facilitators were high school graduates completed 20 hours of training over 5 days. Training led by first 3 authors. | Participation rate ranged between 86.3% - 96.1% of all participants. Attrition rate for post-test was 3.1% | primary outcome measures (depressive and anxiety symptoms) and secondary outcome measures (social support, perceived control, and academic outcomes) |
| Berger, et al. (2018), Tanzania (60) | ERSAE-Stress-Prosocial program (ESPS) is a universal school-based program. Intervention group: 16 sessions in two weekly 45- minute sessions. Control received 2-hour social studies classes weekly. High fidelity was maintained | Homeroom teachers were trained 4-days by the first author in collaboration with mental health professionals who actively facilitated the activities | No Attrition | anxiety, hyperactivity, somatization, and social difficulties and increased prosocial behaviors and functioning |
| Jordans, et al. (2013), Burundi (61) | Brief parenting psychoeducation. Two sessions of on average 2.5 and 3.0 h, respectively | Lay community workers trained for 3 months | Attrition: Two children in the control group were lost to follow-up | Mental health (child- reported levels of aggression, depression symptoms and perceived family social support) |
| Osborn, et al. (2020) Digital, Kenya (62) | Single session Shamiri- Digital intervention: Session took 60 minutes | The intervention was iterative adapted from group-based intervention but It is not clear who facilitated the intervention session. | No attrition | depressive and anxiety symptoms and greater improvements in overall wellbeing |

| Bella-Awusah, et al. (2016), Nigeria (63) | Brief school-based, group cognitive behavioural therapy: Five structured sessions offered weekly, each lasting 45–60 min. Good adherence to the intervention manual. | First author consultant psychiatrist with training in CBT, supervised by other authors | Attrition: four participants in the intervention group were lost to follow-up | Depressive symptoms |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| General population | | | | |
| Kilburn, et al. (2016), Kenya (64) | Large-scale unconditional cash transfer program. Low- income households and those with OVCs began receiving monthly cash transfers of \$20 in 2007 | not applicable | Attrition between 2009 and 2011 was only five percent across all study districts | The primary outcome was depressive symptoms. Secondary outcomes include Hope and physical health measures |
| Angeles, et al. (2019), Malawi (65) | Malawi Social Cash Transfer Program. Monthly cash transfers for 2 years | not applicable | Attrition was 37% (no difference between intervention and control group) | Depressive symptoms |
| Puffer, et al. (2016), Kenya (66) | Family-focused communication intervention and cognitive behavioural therapy. Nine sessions (2- hour each) | Local Community Advisory Committee (two from each church attended 5-day training) | Attrition was 9.3% | Primary outcomes included family communication, HIV risk knowledge, self-efficacy, and beliefs. Secondary outcomes included parenting, social support, mental health, and adolescent sexual behavior |

S6 Table. Summary of risk of bias in the included studies (grouped by type intervention)

| | Random sequence generation | Allocation concealment | Blinding of outcome assessment | Incomplete outcome data | Selective reporting | Baseline characteristics and outcome | Other bias | Overall rating |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|--|---------------|-------------------|
| Multilovol | | | | | | measurement | | |
| Seewamala 2021 | 9 | 9 | 9 | + | + | + | + | Unclear |
| Cavazos-Rehg 2020 | • | • • | • • | 9 | + | + | + | Unclear |
| Han 2013 | 9 | | | | + | + | 9 | Unclear |
| Vreeman 2019 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | • | • + | 9 | + | • + | High |
| Dow 2020 | + | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | + | + | + | + | | Low |
| Kumakech 2009 | + | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | + | _ | 9 | + | | High |
| Thurman 2017 | + | ? | + | + | + | + | | Low |
| Unterhitzenberger 2014 | + | ? | | + | ? | + | | High |
| O'Callaghan 2013 | + | + | + | + | + | + | | Low |
| McMullen 2013 | + | + | + | + | + | + | | Low |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Community-level | | | | | | | | |
| Green 2019 | + | ? | + | + | + | + | + | Low |
| Ismayilova 2018 | + | ? | + | + | + | + | + | Low |
| Cluver 2018 | + | ? | + | + | + | ? | + | Low |
| Rossouw, 2018 | + | ? | + | + | + | + | | Low |
| Betancourt 2012 | + | ? | + | + | + | + | | Low |
| Getanda, 2020 | ? | ? | ? | + | ? | + | | Unclear |
| Thurman 2017 | + | ? | + | + | + | + | + | Low |
| McMullen 2018 | | | + | - | ? | ? | - | High |
| Rivet-Duval 2011 | ? | ? | - | + | ? | + | | High |
| Ede 2020 | + | ? | + | + | + | + | | Low |
| Richards 2014 | ? | + | + | + | + | + | | Unclear |
| Eifediyi 2018 | | | ? | + | ? | ? | - | High |
| Osborn 2020 | + | ? | ? | + | + | + | | Unclear |
| Berger 2018 | + | ? | + | ? | ? | + | + | Unclear |
| Jordans 2013 | | | ? | - | ? | + | + | High |
| Osborn 2020 | ? | ? | + | + | + | + | | Unclear |
| Bella-Awusah 2016 | ? | ? | ? | + | ? | + | - | High |
| Kilburn 2016 | ? | + | - | + | ? | ? | + | High |
| Angeles 2019 | ? | ? | ? | + | ? | ? | + | Unclear |
| Puffer 2016 | ? | ? | ? | + | ? | - | + | High |

| + | Low risk |
|---|----------------|
| - | High |
| ? | Unclear |
| | Not applicable |
| | ** |



O'Callaghan 2013, McMullen 2013

S1 Figure. Effect of multi-level interventions on depression and anxiety-like symptoms

| | | | | Std. Mean Difference | Std. Mean Difference |
|---|---------------------------------|-------|---------------|---|----------------------|
| Study or Subgroup | Std. Mean Difference | SE | Weight | IV, Random, 95% CI | IV, Random, 95% CI |
| 3.1.1 Economic empowerment/family interventions | | | | | |
| Economic empowerment (Social cash transfers) | -2.051 | 0.475 | 6.8% | -2.05 [-2.98, -1.12] | - |
| Economic empowerment (school support) | -0.28 | 0.08 | 7.1% | -0.28 [-0.44, -0.12] | • 1 |
| Economic empowerment, family coaching | -2.2 | 1.23 | 5.5% | -2.20 [-4.61, 0.21] | |
| Economic empowerment | -0.27 | 0.12 | 7.1% | -0.27 [-0.51, -0.03] | • |
| Parenting, CBT, economic empowerment | -0.01 | 0.02 | 7.1% | -0.01 [-0.05, 0.03] | • |
| Parenting program | 0.02 | 0.14 | 7.1% | 0.02 [-0.25, 0.29] | t |
| Brief psychoeducation (parenting) Subtotal (95% CI) | -0.98 | 2.18 | 3.7% 44.3% | -0.98 [-5.25, 3.29] -0.26 [-0.51, -0.02] | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.06; Chi ² = 36.23, df = 6 (P < 0.0 Test for overall effect: Z = 2.11 (P = 0.03) | 0001); I² = 83% | | | | |
| 3.1.2 CBT/IPT | | | | | |
| Brief CBT | -9.3 | 0.24 | 7.0% | -9.30 [-9.77, -8.83] | • |
| CBT | -36.85 | 0.92 | 6.1% | -36.85 [-38.65, -35.05] | - |
| Prolonged exposure therapy | -6.81 | 2.92 | 2.7% | -6.81 [-12.53, -1.09] | |
| The Resourceful Adolescent Program (CBT and IPT) | -3.04 | 0.855 | 6.2% | -3.04 [-4.72, -1.36] | - |
| Interpersonal psychotherapy for groups (IPTG) | -0.838 | 1.129 | 5.7% | -0.84 [-3.05, 1.37] | - |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | -9.52 | 0.83 | 5.3% 34.0% | -9.52 [-11.15, -7.89] - 11.11 [-19.83 , - 2.38] | - |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 116.93; Chi ² = 993.76, df = 5 (P < Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.50$ (P = 0.01) | 0.00001); l² = 99% | | | | |
| 3.1.3 Wise interventions | | | | | |
| Wise intervention | -1.41 | 0.67 | 6.5% | -1.41 [-2.72, -0.10] | - |
| Digital- wise intervention | -2.7 | 1.22 | 5.5% | -2.70 [-5.09, -0.31] | - |
| Sublotal (95% Cl) | 17 000 | | 12.0% | -1.71 [-2.80, -0.30] | • |
| Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.91$ (P = 0.004) |), I= 0% | | | | |
| 3.1.4 Other | | | | | |
| Writing for Recovery | -10.2 | 2.98 | 2.6% | -10.20 [-16.04, -4.36] | |
| Sport for development | 0.67 | 0.17 | 7.1% | 0.67 [0.34, 1.00] | • |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | | | 9.7% | -4.36 [-14.98, 6.26] | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 54.62; Chi ² = 13.26, df = 1 (P = 0. Test for overall effect: Z = 0.80 (P = 0.42) | 0003); I² = 92% | | | | |
| Total (95% CI) | | | 100.0% | -4.71 [-5.90, -3.52] | ♦ |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 5.20; Chi ² = 3299.66, df = 16 (P < | 0.00001); I ^z = 100% | | | | |
| Test for overall effect: Z = 7.76 (P < 0.00001) | | | | | -20 -10 0 10 20 |
| Test for subaroup differences: Chi ² = 12.21, df = 3 (P = | 0.007), I² = 75.4% | | | | |

35

S2 Figure. Effect of community-level interventions on depression, by type of intervention

| | | | | stu. wean Difference | Stu. Mean Difference |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| Study or Subgroup | Std. Mean Difference | SE | Weight | IV, Random, 95% CI | IV, Random, 95% CI |
| 3.1.1 Vulnerable | | | | | |
| Economic empowerment (school support) | -0.28 | 0.08 | 7.1% | -0.28 [-0.44, -0.12] | - |
| Economic empowerment, family coaching | -2.2 | 1.23 | 5.5% | -2.20 [-4.61, 0.21] | |
| Parenting program | 0.02 | 0.14 | 7.1% | 0.02 [-0.25, 0.29] | + |
| Prolonged exposure therapy | -6.81 | 2.92 | 2.7% | -6.81 [-12.53, -1.09] | |
| Interpersonal psychotherapy for groups (IPTG) | -0.838 | 1.129 | 5.7% | -0.84 [-3.05, 1.37] | |
| IPTG | -9.52 | 0.83 | 6.3% | -9.52 [-11.15, -7.89] | + |
| Writing for Recovery | -10.2 | 2.98 | 2.6% | -10.20 [-16.04, -4.36] | <u> </u> |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | | | 36.9% | -2.78 [-4.05, -1.52] | • |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.72; Chi ² = 147.27, df = 6 (P < 0. | 00001); I² = 96% | | | | |
| Test for overall effect: Z = 4.31 (P < 0.0001) | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 3.1.2 School-based | | | | | |
| Brief psychoeducation (parenting) | -0.98 | 2.18 | 3.7% | -0.98 [-5.25, 3.29] | |
| Brief CBT | -9.3 | 0.24 | 7.0% | -9.30 [-9.77, -8.83] | • |
| CBT | -36.85 | 0.92 | 6.1% | -36.85 [-38.65, -35.05] | + |
| The Resourceful Adolescent Program (CBT and IPT) | -3.04 | 0.855 | 6.2% | -3.04 [-4.72, -1.36] | - |
| Wise intervention | -1.41 | 0.67 | 6.5% | -1.41 [-2.72, -0.10] | * |
| Digital- wise intervention | -2.7 | 1.22 | 5.5% | -2.70 [-5.09, -0.31] | - |
| Sport for development | 0.67 | 0.17 | 7.1% | 0.67 [0.34, 1.00] | |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | | | 42.1% | -7.69 [-14.35, -1.04] | - |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 79.58; Chi ² = 2509.64, df = 6 (P < | 0.00001); I² = 100% | | | | |
| Test for overall effect: Z = 2.27 (P = 0.02) | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 3.1.3 General | | | | | |
| Economic empowerment (Social cash transfers) | -2.051 | 0.475 | 6.8% | -2.05 [-2.98, -1.12] | • |
| Economic empowerment | -0.27 | 0.12 | 7.1% | -0.27 [-0.51, -0.03] | 1 |
| Parenting, CBT, economic empowerment | -0.01 | 0.02 | 7.1% | -0.01 [-0.05, 0.03] | |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | | | 21.0% | -0.46 [-0.95, 0.03] | 1 |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.15; Chi ² = 22.87, df = 2 (P < 0.0 | 001); I² = 91% | | | | |
| Test for overall effect: Z = 1.83 (P = 0.07) | | | | | |
| Total (OFM CI) | | | 400.05 | 47415.00 0.50 | ▲ |
| 10tal (95% CI) | | | 100.0% | -4.71 [-5.90, -3.52] | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau [*] = 5.20; Chi [*] = 3299.66, df = 16 (P < | 0.00001); I* = 100% | | | | -20 -10 0 10 20 |
| Test for overall effect: $Z = 7.76$ (P < 0.00001) | | | | | Favours Community-level Favours Control |
| Parenting program Prolonged exposure therapy Interpersonal psychotherapy for groups (IPTG) IPTG Writing for Recovery Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.72; Chi ² = 147.27, df = 6 (P < 0. Test for overall effect: $Z = 4.31$ (P < 0.0001) 3.1.2 School-based Brief psychoeducation (parenting) Brief CBT CBT The Resourceful Adolescent Program (CBT and IPT) Wise intervention Digital- wise intervention Sport for development Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 79.58; Chi ² = 2509.64, df = 6 (P < Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.27$ (P = 0.02) 3.1.3 General Economic empowerment (Social cash transfers) Economic empowerment Parenting, CBT, economic empowerment Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.15; Chi ² = 22.87, df = 2 (P < 0.0 Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.83$ (P = 0.07) Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 5.20; Chi ² = 3299.66, df = 16 (P < Test for overall effect: $Z = 7.76$ (P < 0.00001) Test for overall effect: $Z = 7.76$ (P < 0.00001) Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² = 15.39, df = 2 (P = 2.07) | 0.02 -6.81 -0.838 -9.52 -10.2 000001); I ² = 96% -0.98 -9.3 -36.85 -3.04 -1.41 -2.7 0.67 0.000001); I ² = 100% 0.000001); I ² = 100% 0.000001); I ² = 87.0% | 0.14 2.92 1.129 0.83 2.98 2.18 0.24 0.92 0.855 0.67 1.22 0.17 0.475 0.12 0.02 | 7.1% 2.7% 5.7% 6.3% 2.6% 36.9% 3.7% 7.0% 6.1% 6.2% 6.5% 5.5% 7.1% 42.1% 6.8% 7.1% 21.0% | -0.98 [-5.25, 3.29] -0.84 [-3.05, 1.37] -9.52 [-11.15, -7.89] -10.20 [-16.04, -4.36] -2.78 [-4.05, -1.52] -3.01 [-9.77, -8.83] -36.85 [-38.65, -35.05] -3.04 [-4.72, -1.36] -1.41 [-2.72, -0.10] -2.70 [-5.09, -0.31] 0.67 [0.34, 1.00] -7.69 [-14.35, -1.04] -2.05 [-2.98, -1.12] -0.27 [-0.51, -0.03] -0.01 [-0.05, 0.03] -0.46 [-0.95, 0.03] | |

S3 Figure. Effect of community-level interventions on depression, by type of participants

| | | | Std. Mean Difference | | Std. Mean | Difference | |
|-----------------------|----------------------|------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Study or Subgroup | Std. Mean Difference | SE | IV, Random, 95% CI | | IV, Rando | m, 95% Cl | |
| The Living Well (CBT) | -2.18 | 0.67 | -2.18 [-3.49, -0.87] | | | | |
| | | | | -10 - Favours Cor | 5 mmunity-level | Favours Cont | 5 10 trol |

McMullen 2018

S4 Figure. Effect of community-level interventions and depression and anxiety-like symptoms

S7 Table. Quality of Evidence

| Outcome | Risk of bias | Imprecision | Inconsistency | Indirectness | Level of | Justification |
|---|--------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|---|
| | | | | | certainty | |
| Nulti-level interventions | | | | | | Eight studies was some didemonstere. Trees |
| Depression | not serious | not serious | serious | not serious | moderate | studies did not blind outcome assessors. There was inconsistency in the direction of effect that could not be explained. Therefore, the quality of evidence was |
| | | | | | | downgraded to moderate |
| Anxiety | serious | not serious | N/A | not serious | low | There is only one study that measured anxiety. The quality of evidence was downgraded to low due to high attrition rate in the control group and failure to account for clustering of participants in the analysis. |
| PTSD | not serious | serious | not serious | not serious | moderate | Three studies measured PTSD. Two studies observed reduction in PTSD symptoms, however, their sample sizes were below 100. Thus, the quality of evidence was downgraded to moderate |
| Depression and anxiety-like symptoms | not serious | serious | not serious | not serious | moderate | Two studies measured this outcome, and both have small sample sizes. Thus, the quality of evidence was downgraded to moderate |
| Community-level interventions | | | | | | |
| Depression | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | high | Seventeen studies measured depression. The majority of studies showed positive intervention effect, however, the effect sizes for studies that delivered economic empowerment or parenting intervention had small effect sizes than studies that delivered CBT or IPT interventions. The quality of evidence was not downgraded. |

S7 Table. (continued)

| Outcome | Risk of bias | Imprecision | Inconsistency | Indirectness | Level of certainty | Justification |
|---|--------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|
| Anxiety | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | high | Six studies measured anxiety. Majority of studies (n=4) had adequate sample sizes and reported positive effect of intervention on anxiety. The quality of evidence was rated as high. |
| PTSD | not serious | serious | not serious | not serious | moderate | Three studies measured PTSD. Two of these studies have sample sizes smaller than 100. Although one study did not show significant effect on PSTD, the effect sizes were in the same direction and therefore the evidence rated as moderate and not downgraded due to inconsistency. |
| Depression and anxiety-like symptoms | serious | not serious | N/A | not serious | low | Only one study measured this outcome. The quality of evidence was downgraded to very low due to high risk of bias. The study had high attrition rate and did not show how confounding was dealt with since the study design was non-randomised study. The quality of evidence was downgraded to low. |
| Substance abuse | not serious | not serious | N/A | not serious | high | Only one study measured substance abuse. The study had adequate sample size and addressed our review objectives. Hence, the quality of evidence was not downgraded. |