Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Alice Chen, Consultant, Potomac, MD, United States

REVIEWED BY Shikha Kumari, Yale University, United States Weihang Li, Fourth Military Medical University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Wenjuan Yu ⊠ drwjyu1977@zju.edu.cn Wenhai Huang ⊠ hwh@hmc.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 08 March 2023 ACCEPTED 20 September 2023 PUBLISHED 10 October 2023

CITATION

Wei J, Pan Y, Shen Z, Shen L, Xu L, Yu W and Huang W (2023) A hybrid energy-based and Al-based screening approach for the discovery of novel inhibitors of JAK3. *Front. Med.* 10:1182227. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2023.1182227

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Wei, Pan, Shen, Shen, Xu, Yu and Huang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

A hybrid energy-based and Al-based screening approach for the discovery of novel inhibitors of JAK3

Juying Wei^{1†}, Youlu Pan^{2†}, Zheyuan Shen³, Liteng Shen³, Lei Xu⁴, Wenjuan Yu^{1*} and Wenhai Huang^{2*}

¹MDS Center, Department of Hematology, The First Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China, ²Key Laboratory of Neuropsychiatric Drug Research of Zhejiang Province, School of Pharmacy, Hangzhou Medical College, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China, ³Hangzhou Institute of Innovative Medicine, Institute of Drug Discovery and Design, College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China, ⁴Institute of Bioinformatics and Medical Engineering, School of Electrical and Information Engineering, Jiangsu University of Technology, Changzhou, China

The JAKs protein family is composed of four isoforms, and JAK3 has been regarded as a druggable target for the development of drugs to treat various diseases, including hematologic tumors, cancer, and neuronal death. Therefore, the discovery of JAK3 inhibitors with novel scaffolds possesses the potential to provide additional options for drug development. This article presents a structure-based hybrid high-throughput virtual screening (HTVS) protocol as well as the DeepDock algorithm, which is based on geometric deep learning. These techniques were used to identify inhibitors of JAK3 with a novel sketch from a specific "In-house" database. Using molecular docking with varying precision, MM/GBSA, geometric deep learning scoring, and manual selection, 10 compounds were obtained for subsequent biological evaluation. One of these 10 compounds, compound 8, was found to have inhibitory potency against JAK3 and the MOLM-16 cell line, providing a valuable lead compound for further development of JAK3 inhibitors. To gain a better understanding of the interaction between compound 8 and JAK3, molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were conducted to provide more details on the binding conformation of compound 8 with JAK3 to guide the subsequent structure optimization. In this article, we achieved compound 8 with a novel sketch possessing inhibitory bioactivity against JAK3, and it would provide an acceptable "hit" for further structure optimization and modification to develop JAK3 inhibitors.

KEYWORDS

JAK3, virtual screening, bioactivity evaluation, molecular dynamics, geometric deep learning

1. Introduction

As a family of non-receptor tyrosine kinases, Janus kinases (JAKs) play a crucial role in type I and type II signal cascade pathways mediated by cytokines (1). Upon activation by binding with their specific ligands, JAKs undergo apposition and activation, leading to phosphorylation, dimerization, nuclear translocation, DNA binding, and target gene induction in the downstream signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) proteins (2). The activated JAK/STAT signal pathway is closely associated with inflammation, immune responses, and other physiological processes. Abnormal activation could lead to inflammatory diseases and cancer. Hence, JAKs have become an attractive target for the treatment of various clinical indications, such as autoimmune disease, myelofibrosis, tumors, and alopecia (3–5).

10.3389/fmed.2023.1182227

There are four members of the JAK family, namely JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, and tyrosine kinase 2 (Tyk2) (6). Of note, JAK3 is mainly expressed in hematopoietic cells and is involved in the ycommon chain (yc) to release the specific cytokines (i.e., IL-2, IL-4, IL-7, IL-9, IL15, and IL21) for the continuous development of T-cells, B-cells, and natural killer (NK) cells (7). By contrast, JAK1, JAK2, and Tyk2 are ubiquitous expressions compared with JAK3. It has been reported that JAK3 is a promising target for the treatment of hematological malignancies. For instance, the JAK3/STAT5b and JAK3/STAT6 cascade pathways play significant roles in leukemic B-cell precursors, and the overexpression of the JAK3 gene in B-lineage lymphoid malignancies has also been detected (8, 9). Meanwhile, the overexpression of mutated JAK3 has been confirmed in patients with acute T-cell lymphocytic leukemia, acute B-cell lymphocytic leukemia, acute myeloid leukemia, and lymphomas (10). Indeed, hematologic malignancies are among the most common cancers, and understanding their incidence and death is crucial for targeting prevention, clinical practice improvement, and appropriate research resources. Globally, incident cases of hematologic malignancies have been increasing since 1990, reaching 1,343.85 thousand in 2019. Of note, the age-standardized incidence rates (ASIR) for leukemia, multiple myeloma, non-Hodgkin, and Hodgkin lymphoma were 4.26, 1.42, 3.19, and 0.34 per 100,000 population in 2019, respectively (11).

Despite JAK3 exhibiting great capacity as a druggable target for hematological tumors, the discovery of JAK3 inhibitors remained an enormous challenge. At present, JAK1 and JAK2 antagonists have been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and other regulatory agencies (Figure 1). Nevertheless, the majority of JAK3 inhibitors are still in clinical studies (6, 12), such as Ritlecitinib (Figure 1) in Phase III, which is being evaluated for dermatological disorders and other inflammatory diseases (13, 14). Therefore, it was meaningful to discover novel drug-like JAK3 inhibitors with specific sketches and explore their potential usage as therapeutic agents for hematological tumors.

For the discovery of new backbone JAK3 inhibitors, we employed computer-aided drug design approaches. Computational methods have been applied in the drug development process for screening and discovery of compounds with novel sketches in recent years (15, 16). Among them, virtual screening tools could significantly reduce the time and cost of drug discovery and increase development efficiency (17). In the meantime, molecular dynamics (MD) simulation would accurately assess the interaction between active molecules and targets (18). We combined these two methods and constructed a computational screening protocol to identify novel compounds as potential JAK3 inhibitors. Initially, an "In-house" molecule library was well prepared. Subsequently, different precision molecular docking methods were used for further screening. The molecules were filtered using DeepDock, a geometric deep learning algorithm, and combined with manual selection to achieve the final molecules. Ultimately, we identified one JAK3 inhibitor (compound 8) with good inhibitory activity, exhibiting significant structural differences in the backbone with existing JAK3 inhibitors. Additionally, it also provided an acceptable "hit" for further optimization or modification to discover novel active compounds targeting JAK3. Meanwhile, the screening strategy in this article also provided a reliable reference for the development of active compounds with other targets.



2. Material and methods

2.1. Protein and ligand preparation

The x-ray co-crystallized protein structure (PDB ID: 5LWN) was acquired from the RCSB protein database for further protein preparation. A total of 110 JAK3 protein structures were recorded in the RCSB database. After filtering by species and noncovalent ligand, we finally selected 5LWN (1.6 Å) as our target for performing virtual screening. Protein preparation, including preprocessing, H-bond assignment, and restrained minimization, was performed through the Protein Preparation Wizard module (19) in the Schrödinger 2021-2 suite. In the preprocessing step, any issues with the protein were identified and resolved using default parameters by filling in the missing side chains and loops. Henceforth, the optimization of hydrogen bond assignments was implemented by sampling water orientations and using PROPKA at pH 7.4. Ultimately, it was followed by restrained energy minimization by adopting the OPLS4 force field to converge heavy atoms to an RMSD of 0.30 Å.

2.2. Database preparation

Two components, namely the commercial compound database ChemDiv and MCE's drug-like compound database, constituted the "*In-house*" database applied for screening. All ligands were performed by default parameters through the LigPrep module (Schrödinger 2012-2 suite). Hydrogenation, salt removal, tautomer generation, and ionization state calculation using the Epik (version 5.6) program at pH 7.0 \pm 2.0 were carried out under the OPLS4 force field. Furthermore, up to 32 stereoisomers can be generated for each ligand under the computational condition of retaining specific chirality.

2.3. Receptor grid generation

For the next docking step, the corresponding file was created based on the center coordinates of the protein pocket. The center coordinates of the original ligand were defined as the center coordinates for the docking process, and the original ligand was removed to generate the corresponding grid file. These procedures were utilized by the receptor grid generation module of the Schrödinger 2012-2 suite.

2.4. Docking-based virtual screening

In this article, this specific virtual screening workflow mainly contained a continuous filtering procedure with different precisions for SP, XP, and MM/GBSA. Initially, based on the "*In-house*" database screening and the performance of the SP precision of the Glide dock, the top 250,000 molecules were chosen for scoring. Subsequently, 10 docking conformations of each compound were set for the next round of screening through the XP precision of Glide docking. In the third round, 20,000 molecules gained

from the Glide docking were further filtered using MM/GBSA to obtain molecules, which were scored by applying the DeepDock approach combined with manual selection. Finally, 10 molecules were selected for further bioactivity evaluation.

2.5. Glide docking

The Glide molecular docking module of Schrödinger's kit is a powerful tool widely used in the field of computational drug discovery. It enables the prediction of the three-dimensional structure of a small molecule in a complex with a protein target, which is crucial for understanding the molecular interactions between a drug candidate and its target protein. These interactions ultimately determine the efficacy and specificity of the drug. The Glide module employs a combination of sophisticated force fields and scoring functions to model the binding of the small molecule to the protein target, considering various physical and chemical properties such as shape complementarity, hydrogen bonding, and electrostatic interactions.

In this experiment, both the SP precision and XP precision of the Glide docking modules were used in the same manner. First, the pre-prepared receptor grid file of the JAK3 target and the ligand file were loaded into the system. The force field was set to OPLS4, and the desired precision level was selected, which determines the accuracy of the simulation. To achieve optimal results, the maximum number of output structures and the number of poses per ligand to be included were all set to 5. Finally, the docking simulation was initiated by running the program.

2.6. MM/GBSA approach

Molecular mechanics/generalized Born surface area (MM/GBSA) is a computational method used to estimate the binding free energy of a molecule in a protein-ligand complex. The method is used in computational drug discovery to predict the binding affinity of a small molecule to a protein target. The MM/GBSA approach combines the strengths of molecular mechanics and generalized Born methods to provide an accurate and efficient prediction of binding free energy.

The binding free energy change was calculated using the MM/GBSA calculation method. The docked complexes of JAK3 with different ligands were minimized using the local optimization feature in Prime Wizard of Maestro (20). The OPLS4 force field was utilized to determine the binding energy for a set of receptors and ligands. The following equation was employed to calculate the binding free energy:

 $\Delta G(\text{bind}) = \Delta G(\text{solv}) + \Delta E(\text{MM}) + \Delta G(\text{SA})$

 $\Delta E(MM)$ represents the difference between the minimized energies of the protein-ligand complexes and the sum of the energies of the unbinding protein and inhibitor. $\Delta G(Solv)$ represents the variance between the GBSA solvation energy of the protein-ligand complexes and the sum of the solvation energies for the protein and ligand. $\Delta G(SA)$ encompasses some of the surface area energies in the protein and ligand and the difference in the surface area energies for the complexes. The minimization of the docked complexes was carried out using a local optimization feature of the Prime.

2.7. DeepDock

The DeepDock program on GitHub is a deep learning framework for protein-ligand docking, a computational technique used to predict the binding affinity between a protein and a small molecule. The program uses a convolutional neural network (CNN) to learn the relationship between a protein and ligand's threedimensional structures and their corresponding binding affinity. To begin, we applied the MASIF algorithm to determine the interfacial characteristics of JAK3 when bound to a molecule. The model then processes 2D molecular and protein pocket graphs as input and derives continuous representations. Using these representations, it calculates a statistical potential based on the likelihood of distances between protein-molecule pairs. Finally, it uses an optimization technique to produce the binding configuration of the molecule.

2.8. Induced fit docking

Induced fit docking (IFD) was applied for consistent poses of compound **8**. Standard protocol was employed to generate up to 20 poses by utilizing the above receptor grid and ligand sampled according to the conformations of rings. After that, the side chains of the protein were trimmed based on the B-factor before docking, and these residues were restored to their original form in the prime refinement stage. Ultimately, glide redocking was adopted to generate a small number of additional conformations within 30.0 kcal/mol of the best structure to improve the final pose.

2.9. Inhibition rate and IC₅₀ assay

The tested compounds were dissolved in DMSO to make a 10 mM stock solution, which was further diluted to a drug solution of 25 μ M. Initially, 2× ATP and substrate solution and 2× kinase and metal solution were prepared using assay buffer (MgCl₂ 2 mM, MnCl₂ 1 mM, SEB 12.5 nM, and DTT 0.5 mM). From each well of the 96-well plate, 25 µl of the drug solution was taken and then transferred to a 384-well plate, which was provided with two duplicate wells. Then, 2.5 μ l of 2× kinase and metal solution was mixed and incubated in a polystyrene-coated 384 assay plate for 10 min at 25°C. 2× XL665 and antibody solution were prepared using a detection buffer. A volume of 5 μ l of kinase detection reagent was added to the well and incubated for 60 min at 25°C. The fluorescence signals of 620 nm (Cryptate) and 665 nm (XL665) were read using a microtiter plate reader. Staurosporine is a positive control compound and is a prototypical ATP-competitive kinase inhibitor in that it binds to many kinases with high affinity. Its main biological activity is the inhibition of protein kinases through the prevention of ATP binding to the kinase (21). The experiment was performed in parallel three times, and the average value was taken.

Initially, the tested compound was dissolved in DMSO to create a 10-mM stock solution, which was further diluted to a drug solution with 50× test concentrations for later use. The test concentrations were reached through dilution at a 10-fold gradient and were 0.1 nM, 1 nM, 10 nM, 100 nM, 1,000 nM, and 10,000 nM, respectively. First, 2× ATP and substrate solution and 2× kinase and metal solution were prepared using assay buffer (MgCl₂ 2 mM, MnCl₂ 1 mM, SEB 12.5 nM, and DTT 0.5 mM). From each well of the 96-well plate, 25 µl of the drug solution was taken and then transferred to a 384-well plate, which was provided with two duplicate wells. Then, 2.5 μ l of 2× kinase and metal solution was mixed and incubated in a polystyrene-coated 384 assay plate for 10 min at 25° C. 2× XL665 and antibody solution were prepared using a detection buffer. A volume of 5 μ l of kinase detection reagent was added to the well, and incubated for 60 min at 25°C. The fluorescence signals of 620 nm (Cryptate) and 665 nm (XL665) were read using the microtiter-plate reader. Finally, the IC50 value of JAK3 kinase was calculated using the equations. In this assessment, tofacitinib was applied as the reference compound and dissolved in DMSO. Tofacitinib is a JAK inhibitor on the market, discovered and developed by the National Institutes of Health and Pfizer. The experiment was performed in parallel twice, and the average value was taken.

$$\label{eq:Y} \begin{split} Y &= Bottom \\ +(Top-Bottom)/(1+10^{\wedge}((LogIC_{50}-X)\times hillslope)) \end{split}$$

2.10. CCK-8 assay

Cell culture. The MOLM-16 cells were purchased from ATCC and cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (ATCC) with FBS (Gibco) and penicillin-streptomycin. All cells were maintained in a complete medium at 37° C with 5% CO₂.

Cell growth inhibition was tested by applying the enhanced cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8, Beyotime) assay. Initially, the MOLM-16 cells were counted, and approximately 10,000 cells per well were seeded in a 96-well cell culture plate (Corning Inc.). Subsequently, after incubation at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO2 for 24h, the culture medium was replaced by a series of concentrations of compound 8 diluted with the corresponding culture fluid. Three replicates were made for each measurement. After co-incubation for 72 h, 10 µl of CCK-8 reagent was added into each well, and OD at 450 nm was measured using a multifunction microplate reader after incubation for 1 h at 37°C. The percentage of each concentration that accounted for the control was presented as cell viability. The IC50 value was calculated using SPSS. The experiment was performed in parallel three times, and the average value was taken.

2.11. Molecular dynamics

The 10 molecules selected for complex conformations with JAK3 underwent MD simulation studies. These molecules were

then processed through the protein preparation wizard module. The input system was constructed using the System Builder module of the Schrödinger 2012-2 Suite. Under the default parameters, the complex molecule was placed in the center of a box filled with SPC water molecules. The ensemble class for molecular dynamics simulations was set to NPT. The temperature was set at 300 K. The boundaries of the box were established at a distance of 10 Å from the farthest radius of the protein. Notably, 0.15 M NaCl and small quantities of Na+ and Cl- were filled in to balance the system charge. The prepared model was loaded into the MD module for further simulation work. The duration of the simulation was set to 1,000 ns, and the recording interval was set to 1 ns for each recording. Finally, the dynamics simulation process was performed under a 300 K temperature and a 1.01325 bar pressure. The results of the simulations were analyzed using simulation interaction analysis.

3. Results

3.1. The preparation of protein

In the beginning, the protein structure of JAK3 was obtained from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) (PDB ID: 5LWN, https:// www.rcsb.org/). Using the Schrödinger 2021-2 suite's Protein Preparation Workflow, the protein was prepared for further analysis. Based on the default parameters, structural repair, hydrogenation protonation, hydrogen bond optimization, and energy minimization were performed for the JAK3 protein.

3.2. "In-house" database construction

The molecular diversity in the molecular library has been proven to be a vital factor in ensuring a high hit rate for



structures of the selected 10 compounds.

virtual screening. To enhance this diversity, we constructed an "*In-house*" database by combining the ChemDiv compound library and the drug-like molecule library from MCE. To further optimize this database, we utilized the LigPrep module from the Schrödinger 2021-2 suite to prepare it for future applications. This preparation step generates the three-dimensional structure and ensures the molecules have the correct protonation state (19).

3.3. Hybrid virtual screening workflow

Based on the resolved co-crystal structure of JAK3, highthroughput virtual screening (HTVS) was conducted to find the specific molecules based on the "*In-house*" database. The hybrid virtual screening workflow consisted of the following components, including Glide_SP and XP screening methods with two different accuracies, as well as MM/GBSA solvation scoring and DeepDock

TABLE 1 The docking score of selected 10 small molecules.

Entry	Force field	Docking score (SP) (kcal/mol)	Docking score (XP) (kcal/mol)	MM–GBSA dG bind (kcal/mol)	DeepDock score	Inhibit rate (%)
Compound 1	OPLS4	-9.978	-10.816	-77.05	-67.82	15.60 ± 0.68
Compound 2	OPLS4	-8.829	-8.583	-61.57	-98.95	44.50 ± 1.43
Compound 3	OPLS4	-8.491	-8.618	-72.72	-73.01	16.58 ± 2.18
Compound 4	OPLS4	-9.401	-9.756	-75.58	-115.33	93.37 ± 0.01
Compound 5	OPLS4	-8.821	-8.067	-71.47	-179.63	75.22 ± 0.85
Compound 6	OPLS4	-8.474	-8.114	-83.41	-82.11	27.94 ± 0.79
Compound 7	OPLS4	-8.374	-8.232	-76.62	-112.38	17.53 ± 1.63
Compound 8	OPLS4	-8.154	-8.079	-76.45	-160.79	83.46 ± 0.11
Compound 9	OPLS4	-8.350	-8.617	-68.84	-106.46	35.15 ± 1.61
Compound 10	OPLS4	-7.870	-8.419	-67.72	-85.46	25.56 ± 0.85



against the MOLM-16 cell line, n = 3; MOLM-16 cell line: megakaryoblastic leukemia cell line.

algorithms. The purpose of assembling such a hybrid screening workflow is to improve the precision of the final virtual screen and to better filter out molecules with no inhibitory activity. This selfbuilt database contained 1.6 million small molecules and was used as a screening library reference. The virtual screening workflow and the corresponding results are demonstrated in Figure 2 and Table 1, respectively. Notably, OPLS4 was applied as the force field during the calculation.

First, the molecules in the "*In-house*" database were screened using the Glide_SP module (22–24). After screening, the 250,000 molecules with scores \leq -7.068 kcal/mol were chosen, and a more precise screen was further conducted using the Glide_XP module, which used a more complex scoring function than the Glide_SP score, with more restrictive restrictions on ligandreceptor shape complementarity.

Subsequently, 20,000 molecules obtained from the Glide_XP stage with scores \leq -8.030 kcal/mol were subjected to the MM/GBSA calculation (25). A geometric deep learning framework algorithm "DeepDock" was further applied to evaluate the

contribution of different atoms to these molecules for target binding to assist in the subsequent manual selection. Finally, 10 compounds were acquired from the rest of the 5,000 molecules. Noteworthily, the selecting method included comprehensive consideration of the scoring and interactions to remove the wrong binding mode molecules. On the other hand, through the experience of medicinal chemists, the molecules possessing higher binding affinity were estimated, which possibly excluded some molecules that were not drug-like. In Figures 2B, C, the corresponding structures of 10 selected compounds based on our workflow were exhibited.

3.4. Inhibitory activity assay

In light of the HTVS results, the inhibition activity assay was conducted for the selected 10 compounds $(25 \,\mu M)$. As shown in Figure 3A, compounds 4, 5, and 8 exhibited a higher inhibition rate compared with other compounds. According to



FIGURE 4

(A) Differences in complex conformation before and after induced-fit docking. (B) Conformational variations of key amino acid residues (the yellow dashed line represents the H-bond interaction force, and the purple dashed line represents the salt bridge) in the binding pocket before and after induced fit docking. (C) The interactions of JAK3 and compound 8 before induced fit docking. (D) The interactions of JAK3 and compound 8 after induced fit docking. (E) The 2D diagram of protein-ligand interaction before induced fit docking. (F) The 2D diagram of protein-ligand interaction after induced fit docking.

the experimental results, the IC₅₀ values of compounds 4, 5, and 8 against JAK3 kinase were further evaluated (Figure 3B), and compound 8 showed inhibitory activity against JAK3 with an IC50 value of 4,114 nM. Moreover, the anti-proliferation test of compound 8 against hematologic cancer cells, like the megakaryoblastic leukemia cell line (MOLM-16), was carried out by applying cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8) (6). As demonstrated in Figure 3C, the IC₅₀ value was reached at 1,834 nM for the MOLM-16 cell line. Initially, compound 8 was reported as a type II CDK2 inhibitor in the clinical study. Herein, we discovered that this compound also exhibited bioactivity against JAK3. The combined activity assessment demonstrated the reliability of our HTVS workflow, and it also provided a favorable hit for further structure optimization to develop JAK3 inhibitors.

3.5. Induce fit docking

Due to the Glide SP/XP docking module, without taking into account the flexibility of the protein, the assessment of the effect on side-chain conformation change and backbone movement of the protein was further performed before MD simulation via an induced-fit docking approach (Figure 4). As shown in Figures 4A, B, the conformation displayed slight differences and also demonstrated the necessity of induced-fit docking. Furthermore, the more reasonable binding conformation of compound 8 with JAK3 was obtained, and additional Hbond and hydrophobic interactions were observed after inducedfit docking (Figures 4C-F). Finally, a more stable and rational binding mode was achieved for further MD simulation. Compared with Figures 4E, F, compound 8 could generate additional



arrows represented H-bond interactions. (E) The root-mean-square fluctuation (RMSF) measured the average deviation of the residue of the JAK3 protein.

H-bond interactions with Lys855 and Arg953 after induced-fit docking.

3.6. Molecular dynamics and binding mode analysis of compound 8

According to the results of bioactivity evaluation and inducedfit docking, the MD simulation of compound **8** with JAK3 was carried out using the Schrödinger 2021-2 suite (26, 27). As displayed in Figure 5A, the MD simulation was conducted for 1,000 ns, and the binding complex was in equilibrium. During the last 100 ns, the protein and ligand achieved stable status. Subsequently, the last conformation was chosen for further analysis. The N atom of the pyrimidine moiety could generate a strong H-bond interaction with Lys855. Besides, the O atom of amide formed an additional Hbond interaction with Leu905 (Figure 5B). Meanwhile, the $-CF_3$ of compound **8** could be inserted into a specific hydrophobic pocket surrounded by the side chains of Leu905, Gly906, and Arg953 to form strong hydrophobic interactions (Figures 5C, D). These specific interactions made compound **8** possess inhibitory activity against JAK3.

3.7. MD simulation analysis insights into the effective inhibition of JAK3 inhibitors

The selected inhibitors underwent individual MD simulations for 1,000 ns, and their protein-ligand interactions were continually monitored as shown in Figure 6. H-bond interaction played a crucial role in ligand-protein binding and was important in drug design due to its significant impact on active compound design, metabolism, and adsorption. For the 10 molecules being selected above, compound 8 formed H-bond interactions with the amino acid residues Lys855 and Leu905 of the protein. Of note, the interaction fractions (ordinate) reached 0.6, suggesting the specific interactions were stably maintained for at least 60% of the simulation time, and meanwhile, it also elucidated the reason why compound 8 demonstrated strong inhibitory activity against the JAK3 and MOLM-16 cell lines (Figure 6). Compound 8 could have a strong H-bond interaction with Arg953 compared with other compounds in the JAK3 complex, indicating the importance of this specific residue for the ligand-JAK3 binding. Additionally, our previous calculations indicated that compound 8 possessed the third and second highest free energy as calculated by MMGBSA and DeepDock, respectively



(Table 1). These results suggested that these two scoring methods in the JAK3 inhibitor discovery process might have higher evaluation efficacy.

4. Discussion and conclusion

As a druggable target, the JAK3 pathway has been studied widely, and some inhibitors are in clinical study (Figure 1) (2, 28). Importantly, JAK3 has also been confirmed as a target for the treatment of hematologic tumors (6). Therefore, it is meaningful to discover JAK3 inhibitors to treat cancer. Recently, virtual screening with artificial intelligence has become a popular method for drug discovery with low cost and high efficiency (29). Hence, we also introduced this combined strategy in our study.

The high expression or mutation of JAK3 is closely associated with cancer, especially hematologic tumors. Hence, the discovery of effective drugs targeting JAK3 is a hot area of research for the treatment of hematologic tumors and other related diseases. In this study, multiple HTVS methods were applied to identify molecules with high docking scores that could be evaluated for bioactivity. These methods included Glide_SP, Glide_XP, MM-GBSA, and DeepDock. Among the selected compounds, compound 8 exhibited inhibitory activity against JAK3 and MOLM-16 cells being tested in vitro (JAK3: 4,114 nM, MOLM-16 cell line: 1,834 nM). The precise binding mode between compound 8 and JAK3 was also characterized through MD simulations and indicated the importance of Arg953 for the ligand-JAK3 binding, which will serve as a reference for future structure optimization and drug development. Finally, this study also analyzed all 10 molecules through MD simulation, demonstrating the reason for compound 8 possessing better bioactivity against JAK3 compared with other compounds. This study identified a JAK3 inhibitor with a novel mother nucleus, providing a valuable foundation for the design and development of JAK3 inhibitors. Besides, our study also provided an appropriate "hit" for further structure modification to discover a new JAK3 inhibitor with high bioactivity and selectivity.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Author contributions

WY, JW, ZS, and WH: methodology. YP and ZS: software and writing—review and editing. LX: validation. YP, LS, and ZS: formal analysis. YP: investigation. LS and ZS: resources and writing—original draft preparation. WH: data curation, supervision, and project administration. JW, YP, and ZS: visualization. WY and WH: funding acquisition. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding

This research was supported by the Zhejiang Provincial Key Research & Development Plan (2021C03083).

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dr. Gangjian Li for providing technical support.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed.2023. 1182227/full#supplementary-material

References

- 1. O'Shea JJ. Jaks, STATs, cytokine signal transduction, and immunoregulation: are we there yet? *Immunity*. (1997) 7:1–11. doi: 10.1016/S1074-7613(00)80505-1
- 2. Clark JD, Flanagan ME, Telliez JB. Discovery and development of janus kinase (JAK) inhibitors for inflammatory diseases. *J Med Chem.* (2014) 57:5023–38. doi: 10.1021/jm401490p
- 3. Shuai K, Liu B. Regulation of JAK–STAT signalling in the immune system. Nat Rev Immunol. (2003) 3:900–11. doi: 10.1038/nri1226

4. Vainchenker W, Constantinescu SN. JAK/STAT signaling in hematological malignancies. *Oncogene*. (2013) 32:2601–13. doi: 10.1038/onc.2012.347

5. Schwartz DM, Kanno Y, Villarino A, Ward M, Gadina M, O'Shea JJ. JAK inhibition as a therapeutic strategy for immune and inflammatory diseases. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* (2017) 16:843–862. doi: 10.1038/nrd.2017.201

6. Li S, Si H, Song X, Lei C, He X, Wang J, et al. Discovery of Hexahydrofuro[3,2-b]furans as new kinase-selective and orally bioavailable JAK3 inhibitors for the

treatment of leukemia harboring a JAK3 activating mutant. J Med Chem. (2022) 65:10674–90. doi: 10.1021/acs.jmedchem.2c00922

7. O'Shea JJ, Gadina M, Schreiber RD. Cytokine signaling in 2002: new surprises in the Jak/Stat pathway. *Cell*. (2002) 109:S121-31. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(02)00701-8

8. Steele AJ, Prentice AG, Cwynarski K, Hoffbrand AV, Hart SM, Lowdell MW, et al. The JAK3-selective inhibitor PF-956980 reverses the resistance to cytotoxic agents induced by interleukin-4 treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells: potential for reversal of cytoprotection by the microenvironment. *Blood.* (2010) 116:4569– 77. doi: 10.1182/blood-2009-09-245811

9. Barua S, Chung JI, Kim AY, Lee SY, Lee SH, et al. Jak kinase 3 signaling in microgliogenesis from the spinal nestin+ progenitors in both development and response to injury. *Neuroreport.* (2017) 28:929. doi: 10.1097/WNR.00000000000854

10. O'Shea JJ, Holland SM, Staudt LM. JAKs and STATs in immunity, immunodeficiency, and cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine*. (2013) 368:161–70. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra1202117

11. Zhang N, Wu J, Wang Q, Liang Y, Li X, Chen G, et al. Global burden of hematologic malignancies and evolution patterns over the past 30 years. *Blood Cancer J.* (2023) 13:82. doi: 10.1038/s41408-023-00853-3

12. Chen C, Yin Y, Shi G, Zhou Y, Shao S, Wei Y, et al. A highly selective JAK3 inhibitor is developed for treating rheumatoid arthritis by suppressing γ c cytokine-related JAK-STAT signal. *Sci Adv.* (2022) 8:eabo4363. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.abo4363

13. Thorarensen A, Dowty ME, Banker ME, Juba B, Jussif J, Lin T, et al. Design of a Janus Kinase 3 (JAK3) Specific Inhibitor 1-((2\$,5R)-5-((7H-Pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)amino)-2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)prop-2-en-1-one (PF-06651600) allowing for the interrogation of JAK3 signaling in humans. *J Med Chem.* (2017) 60:1971–93. doi: 10.1021/acs.jmedchem.6b01694

14. King B, Guttman-Yassky E, Peeva E, Banerjee A, Sinclair R, Pavel AB, et al. A phase 2a randomized, placebo-controlled study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of the oral Janus kinase inhibitors ritlecitinib and brepocitinib in alopecia areata: 24-week results. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* (2021) 85:379–87. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2021.03.050

15. Liu Z, Wong ILK, Sang J, Liu F, Yan CSW, Kan JWY, et al. Identification of binding sites in the nucleotide-binding domain of P-glycoprotein for a potent and nontoxic modulator, the amine-containing monomeric flavonoid FM04. *J Med Chem.* (2023) 66:6160–83. doi: 10.1021/acs.jmedchem.2c02005

16. Zhang P, Chen W, Ma YC, Bai B, Sun G, Zhang S, et al. Design and synthesis of 4-fluorophenyl-5-methylene-2(5H)-furanone derivatives as potent quorum sensing inhibitors. *J Med Chem.* (2023) 66:8441–63. doi: 10.1021/acs.jmedchem.2c01866

17. Douguet D, Munier-Lehmann H, Labesse G, Pochet S. LEA3D: a computeraided ligand design for structure-based drug design. *J Med Chem.* (2005) 48:2457– 68. doi: 10.1021/jm0492296 18. Deng D, Yang Y, Zou Y, Liu K, Zhang C, Tang M, et al. Discovery and evaluation of 3-quinoxalin urea derivatives as potent, selective, and orally available ATM inhibitors combined with chemotherapy for the treatment of cancer via goaloriented molecule generation and virtual screening. *J Med Chem.* (2023) 66:9495–518. doi: 10.1021/acs.jmedchem.3c00082

19. Madhavi Sastry G, Adzhigirey M, Day T, Annabhimoju R, Sherman W. Protein and ligand preparation: parameters, protocols, and influence on virtual screening enrichments. *J Comput Aided Mol Des.* (2013) 27:221–34. doi: 10.1007/s10822-013-9644-8

20. Schrödinger Release. (2021). Protein Preparation Wizard; Epik, Impact, Prime. New York, NY: Schrödinger, LLC.

21. Karaman MW, Herrgard S, Treiber DK, Gallant P, Atteridge CE, Campbell BT, et al. A quantitative analysis of kinase inhibitor selectivity. *Nat Biotechnol.* (2008) 26:127–32. doi: 10.1038/nbt1358

22. Jorgensen WL, Maxwell DS, Tirado-Rives J. Development and testing of the OPLS all-atom force field on conformational energetics and properties of organic liquids. J Am Chem Soc. (1996) 118:11225–36. doi: 10.1021/ja9621760

23. Vass M, Tarcsay Á, Keseru GM. Multiple ligand docking by Glide: implications for virtual second-site screening. *J Comput Aided Mol Des.* (2012) 26:821–34. doi: 10.1007/s10822-012-9578-6

24. Lyu S, Zhao Y, Zeng X, Chen X, Meng Q, Ding Z, et al. Identification of phelligridin-based compounds as novel human CD73 inhibitors. *J Chem Inf Model.* (2021) 61:1275–86. doi: 10.1021/acs.jcim.0c00961

25. Halgren TA, Murphy RB, Friesner RA, Beard HS, Frye LL, Pollard WT, et al. Glide: a new approach for rapid, accurate docking and scoring. 2 enrichment factors in database screening. *J Med Chem.* (2004) 47:1750–9. doi: 10.1021/jm030644s

26. Sándor M, Kiss R, Keseru GM. Virtual fragment docking by glide: a validation study on 190 protein-fragment complexes. *J Chem Inf Model.* (2010) 50:1165-72. doi: 10.1021/ci1000407

27. Méndez-Lucio O, Ahmad M, Del Rio-Chanona EA, Wegner JK. A geometric deep learning approach to predict binding conformations of bioactive molecules. *Nat Mach Intell.* (2021) 3:1033–9. doi: 10.1038/s42256-021-00409-9

28. Bryan MC, Rajapaksa NS. Kinase inhibitors for the treatment of immunological disorders: recent advances. *J Med Chem.* (2018) 61:9030–58. doi: 10.1021/acs.jmedchem.8b00667

29. Gentile F, Yaacoub JC, Gleave J, Fernandez M, Ton AT, Ban F, et al. Artificial intelligence–enabled virtual screening of ultra-large chemical libraries with deep docking. *Nat Protoc.* (2022) 17:672–97. doi: 10.1038/s41596-021-00659-2