Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Samer El Hayek, Erada Center for Treatment and Rehab, United Arab Emirates

REVIEWED BY Naseem Akhtar Qureshi, Al-Falah University, India Saurabh Agarwal, Ganesh Shankar Vidyarthi Memorial Medical College, India

*CORRESPONDENCE Rehana Khalil ⊠ rn.noman@qu.edu.sa

RECEIVED 10 July 2023 ACCEPTED 19 September 2023 PUBLISHED 05 October 2023

CITATION

Al-Johani MS, Khalil R, Al-Mohaimeed YA, Al-Mundarij OM, Al-Samani AS, Al-saqry OS, Al-saawi AA, Al-dhali IK and Al-Essa WA (2023) Post-COVID-19 fatigue and health-related quality of life in Saudi Arabia: a populationbased study. *Front. Public Health* 11:1254723.

doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1254723

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Al-Johani, Khalil, Al-Mohaimeed, Al-Mundarij, Al-Samani, Al-saqry, Al-saawi, Al-dhali and Al-Essa. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other

forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Post-COVID-19 fatigue and health-related quality of life in Saudi Arabia: a population-based study

Moath S. Al-Johani¹, Rehana Khalil¹, Yazeed A. Al-Mohaimeed², Omar M. Al-Mundarij², Abdulmajeed S. Al-Samani², Osama S. Al-saqry², Alwaleed A. Al-saawi², Ibrahim K. Al-dhali² and Waleed A. Al-Essa²

¹Department of Family and Community Medicine, Unaizah College of Medicine and Medical Sciences, Qassim University, Unaizah, Saudi Arabia, ²Research Unit, Unaizah College of Medicine and Medical Sciences, Qassim University, Unaizah, Saudi Arabia

Background: Despite substantial literature on symptoms and long-term health implications associated with COVID-19; prevalence and determinants of post-acute COVID-19 fatigue (PCF) remain largely elusive and understudied, with scant research documenting health-related quality of life (HRQoL). Hence, prevalence of PCF and its associated factors, and HRQoL among those who have survived Covid-19 within the general population of Saudi Arabia (KSA) is the subject under examination in this research.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted on 2063 individuals, selected from the KSA's general population, using a non-probability sampling approach. An online survey was used to employ a self-administered questionnaire to the participants, which included socio-demographic information, the patient's COVID-19 infection history, 12-item Short Form Health Survey (SF-12) to assess quality of life, and Chalder Fatigue Scale (CFS) (CFQ 11) to evaluate the extent and severity of fatigue. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 26. A p < 0.05 was considered to be strong evidence against the null hypothesis.

Results: The median age of participants was 34 (IQR = 22) years, with females comprising the majority (66.2%). According to the SF-12 questionnaire, 91.2% of patients experienced physical conditions, and 77% experienced depression. The prevalence of PCF was 52% on CFQ 11 scale. Female gender, higher levels of education, a pre-existing history of chronic disease, as well as the manifestations of shortness of breath and confusion during acute COVID-19 infection, were identified as independent predictors of fatigue.

Conclusion: To facilitate timely and effective intervention for post-acute COVID-19 fatigue, it is essential to continuously monitor the individuals who have recovered from acute COVID-19 infection. Also, it is critical to raise health-education among these patients to improve their quality of life. Future research is required to determine whether COVID-19 survivors would experience fatigue for an extended duration and the impact of existing interventions on its prevalence and severity.

KEYWORDS

post-COVID-19, fatigue, quality of life, SF12, CFQ 11, Saudi Arabia, public health, epidemiology

1. Introduction

Over the past two decades, there have been two coronavirus outbreaks, namely severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 1 (SARS-CoV-1) and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) (1). Both of these viruses have been linked with enduring post-disease effects in numerous individuals (2, 3). A new species (SARS-CoV-2) of this family emerged in December of 2019, leading to a global COVID-19 pandemic (4). Given that the COVID-19 virus is closely related to MERS and SARS, it seems reasonable to anticipate a higher probability of post-disease manifestations (5). The comprehensive range of clinical symptoms that occur following acute phase of COVID-19 infection has been labeled as "post-COVID-19 syndrome (PCS)" by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). PCS is characterized by new and/or persistent clinical manifestations that persist for a duration of more than 12 weeks following the initial acute infection (6).

Based on existing research on COVID-19, common post-acute symptoms encompass diarrhea, depression, arthralgia, headache, vertigo, sleep disturbances, post-exertional dyspnea, chronic cough, and fatigue (7, 8). A considerable amount of medical literature has been examined in a systematic review and meta-analysis which showed that PCS patients exhibited symptoms predominantly related to the musculoskeletal, nervous system, and digestive system (9). Arthralgia and diarrhea were experienced by more than 40% of PCS patients. Moreover, a recent study revealed that 30% of the individuals had at least one post-COVID-19 symptom, and 16% had multiple symptoms (10). Post-COVID-19 fatigue (PCF) was found to be the most prevalent, persistent, and primary complaint in several contemporary studies (11–16). Evidence suggests that PCF not just has an impact on physical, or mental health, but on overall quality of life (17).

According to a research conducted in Egypt, the presence of fatigue, cognitive impairment, stress, depression, sleep difficulties, and frequent falls in the recovery phase of COVID-19 were all found to be strongly associated with PCF (18). The prevalence of PCF in posthospitalized patients varies between 52 and 70% at 1-3 months after discharge from the hospital (8, 19, 20). While the non-hospitalized COVID-19 patients represent a comparatively large proportion of population than hospitalized, but most of the present-day information is focused on hospitalized ones (21). In a sample of non-hospitalized individuals, a proportion of 50-75% reported an experiencing fatigue during the COVID-19 pandemic, but there is a paucity of information with regards to the long-term follow-up (22). Another study conducted in the general population indicated 24% ongoing fatigue during follow-up ensured via telephone (23). The aforementioned studies employed single item to assess fatigue (22, 23). There is a dearth of information regarding the use of more exhaustive questionnaires designed to assess fatigue, except one recent study which demonstrated that fatigue was present in 52% of their sample on Chalder fatigue scale (CFQ-11) (24).

Upon conducting a comprehensive examination of the extant literature, it has been discerned that several investigations have been done worldwide on the persistence of symptoms post-acute COVID-19 infection. However, the prevalence of post-acute COVID fatigue (PCF) has only been examined in limited studies, with the majority of inquiries relying on general questionnaires rather than specific ones. Moreover, research work on the persistent symptoms following recovery from acute COVID-19 in Saudi Arabia is scarce (25–27). Additionally, there have been no inquiries into PCF and HRQoL. Our research aimed to evaluate the post-COVID-19 fatigue (PCF) utilizing the CFQ-11 questionnaire, and to identify potential risk factors that contribute to persistent fatigue. Furthermore, the study sought to determine the health related quality of life (HRQoL) in COVID-19 Survivors. A comprehensive understanding of the HRQoL, and relationship between PCF and its predictors, would enable medical practitioners to be more precise in choosing appropriate strategies to optimize care of post-COVID-19 patients, and deal with any future coronavirus outbreak in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study design, participants, and procedure

This cross-sectional study was carried out from June to September 2022, among the general population of the KSA using a non-probability sampling technique. An online survey (SurveyMonkey) was used to send a self-administered questionnaire to the participants, and the study link was distributed using social media networks such as Twitter and WhatsApp. The inclusion criteria were (i) COVID-19 infected subjects, (ii) age \geq 18 years, (iii) inhabitants of KSA, and (iv) willing to participate in the study.

2.2. Data collection tool

The questionnaire was developed on the basis of recently published literature to address the study's objectives (21, 24, 28–32). A pilot study using Arabic version of the questionnaire was conducted on 35 subjects and data were not included in the final results. The final Arabic version of the questionnaire was reviewed by experts to ensure face and content validity.

The objective of the study along with the inclusion/exclusion criteria, the time required for survey completion, and the contact information of the study investigators were mentioned on the cover page of the survey, and consent to participate was needed before filling out the questionnaire. The questionnaire comprised of four sections. Section 1 was about socio-demographic factors (age, gender, marital status, level of education, smoking status, BMI, and history of chronic disease). Section 2 included COVID-19 infection history, COVID-19 vaccine, post-acute COVID-19 symptoms, hospitalization, and time elapsed since diagnosed with COVID-19 infection. Section 3 involved SF-12 HRQoL assessment and Section 4 consisted of the Chalder Fatigue Scale (CFS) (CFQ-11).

2.2.1. Fatigue assessment

The PCF was assessed using the self-administered validated Arabic version of Chalder Fatigue Scale (CFQ-11), which is used to assess fatigue symptoms in clinical and non-clinical settings (31, 33, 34). It has 11 items on an ordinal scale of 0–3, which are summed together to get a total score range from 0 to 33 and it also covers physical (0–21) and psychological (0–12) fatigue domains (28). Moreover, CFQ-11 also provides fatigued vs. non-fatigued case-status

(a cut-off at <4 vs. \geq 4) based on bimodal scoring which dichotomizes the response of 0 and 1 ("Better than usual"/"No worse than usual") as zero score and 2 and 3 ("Worse than usual"/"Much worse than usual") as 1 score (28, 34). We utilized case-status (fatigue vs. non-fatigued) using the bimodal scoring method for the current study with a cut-off at <4 vs. \geq 4 (21, 24, 35).

2.2.2. HRQoL assessment

The health-related quality of life (HRQoL) of the participants was assessed using validated Arabic version of SF-12 which comprises of same eight domains of SF-36 (29, 32, 36). The SF-12 questionnaire has 12 items and two subscales: the physical health component scale (PCS) and the mental health component scale (MCS). Each subscale comprises four domains: PCS: physical functioning, role physical, bodily pain, and overall health; MCS: vitality, social functioning, role emotional, and mental health. The PCS and MCS were classified based on their overall score (29, 30). A score of 50 or less on the PCS-12 has been recommended as a cut-off for determining a physical condition, but a score of 42 or less on the MCS-12 may indicate "clinical depression" (30).

2.3. Sample size calculation

The minimum sample size was determined with Raosoft software, and it was estimated to be 490. The assumptions included a response distribution of 50% to attain the maximum sample size, a 5% margin of error, a confidence interval (CI) of 95, and 30% added to account for incomplete or missing responses.

2.4. Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using SPSS version 26 (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp, United States). Numbers, percentages, the mean, and standard deviation (SD) were used to summarize descriptive statistics. The Chi-square test examined the association between fatigue levels, and physical and mental health conditions in relation to predictors. Significant findings were then incorporated into multivariate regression models to establish the significant independent predictors of fatigue, along with the corresponding adjusted odds ratio and 95% confidence range. A p < 0.05 was considered to be strong evidence against the null hypothesis.

3. Results

A total of 2063 participants were included in the study. The baseline characteristics of the participants are presented in Table 1. The participants' median age was 34 (IQR = 22) years, with 51.6% of them being of age below 35 years. Females (66.2%) outnumbered males (33.8%). Just over half of the participants (56.6%) were married, besides 59.9% had a bachelor & higher education degree. Most of them (86.5%) were non-smokers, while 59% were overweight or obese, and 55.5% had a history of chronic disease. Among all subjects majority were infected only once (85.1%) and rest had COVID-19 infection more than once. A duration of 10 months or less was elapsed for half (50.8%) of the participants since their diagnosis of COVID-19 infection. The

Variable	N (%)									
Age group [median (IQR)]	34 (22)									
<35 years	1064 (51.6%)									
\geq 35 years	999 (48.4%)									
Gender										
Male	698 (33.8%)									
Female	1365 (66.2%)									
Marital status										
Unmarried	896 (43.4%)									
Married	1167 (56.6%)									
Level of education	1									
Diploma and below	828 (40.1%)									
Bachelor and higher education	1235 (59.9%)									
Smoking status										
Current or former smoker	279 (13.5%)									
Non-smoker	1784 (86.5%)									
BMI level										
Normal (18.5–24.9 kg/m ²) or Underweight	846 (41.0%)									
(<18.5 kg/m ²)										
Overweight $(25-29.9 \text{ kg/m}^2)$ or Obese	1217 (59.0%)									
$(\geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2)$										
History of chronic disease										
No	919 (44.5%)									
Yes	1144 (55.5%)									
History of COVID-19 infection										
Yes, at least once	1765 (85.1%)									
Yes, more than once	307 (14.9%)									
Having shortness of breath during COVID-1	9 infection									
No	1104 (53.5%)									
Yes	959 (46.5%)									
Experienced confusion during COVID-19 in	nfection									
No	1315 (63.8%)									
Yes	745 (36.2%)									
Time since diagnosed with COVID-19 infection										
10 months or less	1048 (50.8%)									
More than 10 months	1015 (49.2%)									
History of vaccination prior COVID-19 infection										
No	595 (27.1%)									
Yes	1504 (72.9%)									
Hospitalization due to COVID-19										
No	2006 (97.2%)									
Yes	57 (2.8%)									

proportions of participants with shortness of breath and confusion were 46.5 and 36.2%, respectively. Only 2.8% of the participants were admitted to the hospital for COVID-19 infection. Almost three-quarter

TABLE 2 Descriptive statistics of SF-12 form and Chalder fatigue scale	
(CFQ-11) (<i>n</i> = 2,063).	

SF-12 domains	N (%)					
Total physical component score	42.4±6.36					
(mean±SD)						
Physical functioning score	4.76 ± 1.23					
Role physical score	0.85±0.89					
Bodily pain score	4.06±0.95					
General health score	4.03±0.99					
PCS level						
• With physical condition (score \leq 50)	1881 (91.2%)					
 Without physical condition (score >50) 	182 (8.8%)					
Total mental component score (mean ± SD)	37.6±6.13					
Vitality score	3.94±1.18					
Social functioning score	2.44 ± 1.25					
Role emotional score	0.89±0.92					
Mental health score	8.26±2.21					
MCS level						
• With depression (score ≤ 42)	1588 (77.0%)					
• Without depression (score >42)	475 (23.0%)					
Total fatigue score (mean ± SD)	4.17 ± 3.58					
Level of fatigue	1					
 Fatigue (score ≥4) 	1072 (52.0%)					
• Normal (score <4)	991 (48.0%)					

(72.9%) of the participants had a history of vaccination against COVID-19 before contracting COVID-19 infection.

The SF-12 and CFS (CFQ-11) descriptive statistics are displayed in Table 2. The mean physical component score (PCS) on the SF-12 was 42.4 (SD 6.36). The PCS domains' mean scores for physical functioning, role physical, bodily discomfort, and general health were 4.76, 0.85, 4.06, and 4.03, respectively. Based on the overall score, 91.2% of those who took the test were classified as having a physical condition, and the remaining 8.8% were normal. The mean mental component score (MCS) was 37.6 (SD 6.13). The MCS domains' respective mean scores for vitality, social functioning, emotional role, and mental health were 3.94, 2.44, 0.89, and 8.26. Over three-quarters (77%) were found to have depression, while 23% did not. The overall mean fatigue score on CFS (CFQ-11) was 4.17 (SD 3.58). Around half (52%) of the of the participants were categorized as fatigued, while 48% were normal. Table 3 shows that the study found that post-COVID-19 fatigue (PCS) was more common among females (p < 0.001), those with better education (p = 0.029), non-smokers (p = 0.010), overweight and obese (p = 0.027), those without chronic diseases (p < 0.001), those experiencing shortness of breath infection (p < 0.001), confusion (p < 0.001), those diagnosed for 10 months or less (p < 0.001), and those who received vaccinations before the infection (p = 0.003). Physical conditions were significantly related with the participants who were of older age group (p = 0.019), married (p = 0.005), overweight and obese (p = 0.023), and those without fatigue (p = 0.004). Participants with depression were more likely to be older adult (p = 0.036), married (p = 0.012), and fatigued (p = 0.007).

In multivariate regression model displayed as Table 4, females were predicted to have a 1.9 times higher risk of fatigue (AOR 1.945, 95% CI 1.558–2.428, p < 0.001) as compared to male participants of the study. Those with an education level of bachelor or higher had a 1.26-fold higher risk of fatigue than those with a lower level (Diploma or below) of education (AOR 1.262, 95% CI 1.040–1.532, *p*=0.018). Participants with shortness of breath during COVID-19 infection were 1.29 times (AOR 1.297, 95% CI 1.067–1.577, p=0.009). and with confusion during COVID-19 infection were 3 times (AOR 3.032, 95% CI 2.466–3.728, p < 0.001) more likely to suffer from fatigue. On the other hand, participants with a history of chronic disease, were predicted to have a lower risk of fatigue (AOR 0.414, 95% CI 0.341-0.504, p < 0.001) as compared to those without any history of chronic disease. Also, the patients with more than 10 months elapsed since their diagnosis of COVID-19 infection were predicted to have a lower risk of fatigue (AOR 0.662, 95% CI 0.535-0.819, p < 0.001) than those with less than 10 months of COVID-19 diagnosis.

4. Discussion

Our results demonstrate that the prevalence of fatigue among COVID-19 survivors was 52%, which is much higher than the prevalence (11.5%) reported by previous Saudi study (27). A plausible rationale behind this disagreement could be the difference in assessment methods and temporal proximity to the onset of acute COVID-19 infection between two studies. The aforementioned Saudi study employed a general identification questionnaire for post-acute COVID-19 symptoms, unlike the present study's utilization of a specialized fatigue measurement scale, CQF-11. Furthermore, the former study reported symptoms surpassing 4 weeks following the diagnosis of acute COVID-19 infection, while our data documented fatigue based on criteria of 10 months or more. A surprisingly similar prevalence of 52% was found by an Irish study, which used the CQF-11 and same cut-off to define fatigue as in the current study (24). Our finding is also comparable with a recent study conducted in Egypt, which reported that the estimated prevalence of chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS) was 60%, supported by another contemporary Sweden based study that found a fatigue prevalence of 64.4% (18, 37). Nevertheless, a Chinese study based on multi-item scale, declared a prevalence of 53% after 4 weeks of hospitalization for COVID-19 infection (38). Also, a study in Netherlands reported 69% of fatigue on a clinical screening instrument after 3 months of hospitalization for COVID-19 infection (39). Even though, it would not be a misapprehension that the prevalence of fatigue appears to be higher than 50% in multiple TABLE 3 Relationship between the level of fatigue, physical health and depression with socio-demographic, and COVID-19 infection related factors of the participants (*n* = 2,063).

	Level of fatigue		<i>P-</i> value⁵	Level of phy	Level of physical health		Level of depression		P- value
	Fatigue N (%) (n = 1072)	Normal N (%) (n = 991)		Physical condition N (%) (n = 1881)	No physical condition N (%) (n = 182)		Depressed N (%) (<i>n</i> = 1588)	Not depressed N (%) (n = 475)	
Age group (mea	n±SD)		1			1	I		
<35 years	574 (53.5)	490 (49.4)	0.063	955 (50.8)	109 (59.9)	0.019**	799 (50.3)	265 (55.8)	0.036**
\geq 35 years	498 (46.5)	501 (50.6)		926 (49.2)	73 (40.1)		789 (49.7)	210 (44.2)	
Gender			1			1	1		
Male	258 (24.1)	440 (44.4)	<0.001**	642 (34.1)	56 (30.8)	0.360	526 (33.1)	172 (36.2)	0.212
Female	814 (75.9)	551 (55.6)		1239 (65.9)	126 (69.2)		1062 (66.9)	303 (63.8)	
Marital status		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Unmarried	474 (44.2)	422 (42.6)	0.455	799 (42.5)	97 (53.3)	0.005**	666 (41.9%)	230 (48.4%)	0.012**
Married	598 (55.8)	569 (57.4)		1082 (57.5)	85 (46.7)		922 (58.1%)	245 (51.6%)	
Level of education	on								
Diploma and below	406 (37.9)	422 (42.6)	0.029**	763 (40.6)	65 (35.7)	0.203	630 (39.7)	198 (41.7)	0.433
Bachelor and higher education	666 (62.1)	569 (57.4)		1118 (59.4)	117 (64.3)		958 (60.3)	277 (58.3)	
Smoking status			1						
Current or former smoker	125 (11.7)	154 (15.5)	0.010**	253 (13.5)	26 (14.3)	0.753	210 (13.2)	69 (14.5)	0.467
Non-smoker	947 (88.3)	837 (84.5)		1628 (86.5)	156 (85.7)		1378 (86.8)	412 (85.5)	
BMI									
Normal (18.5– 24.9 kg/m²) or Underweight (<18.5 kg/m²)	415 (38.7)	431 (43.5)	0.027	757 (40.2)	89 (48.9)	0.023**	644 (40.6)	202 (42.5)	0.443
Overweight (25-29.9 kg/ m ²) or Obese (≥30 kg/m ²)	657 (61.3)	560 (56.5)		1124 (59.8)	93 (51.1)		944 (59.4)	273 (57.5)	
History of chron	ic disease								
No	604 (56.3)	315 (31.8)	<0.001**	840 (44.7)	79 (43.4)	0.746	726 (45.7)	193 (40.6)	0.050
Yes	468 (43.7)	676 (68.2)		1041 (55.3)	103 (56.6)		862 (54.3)	282 (59.4)	
Having shortnes	s of breath during	COVID-19 infec	tion						
No	503 (46.9)	601 (60.6)	<0.001**	1005 (53.4)	99 (54.4)	0.803	853 (53.7)	251 (52.8)	0.738
Yes	569 (53.1)	390 (39.4)		876 (46.6)	83 (45.6)		735 (46.3)	224 (47.2)	
Experienced cor	fusion during CO	VID-19 infection							
No	541 (50.5)	774 (78.2)	<0.001**	1202 (63.9)	113 (62.1)	0.620	1010 (63.6)	305 (64.3)	0.767
Yes	531 (49.5)	216 (21.8)		678 (36.1)	69 (37.9)		578 (36.4)	169 (35.7)	
Time since diag	nosed with COVID	-19 infection							
10 months or less	596 (55.6)	452 (45.6)	<0.001**	960 (51.0)	88 (48.4)	0.489	809 (50.9)	239 (50.3)	0.810
More than 10 months	476 (44.4)	539 (54.4)		921 (49.0)	94 (51.6)		779 (49.1)	236 (49.7)	

(Continued)

	Level of	Level of fatigue		Level of physical health		<i>P-</i> value⁵	Level of depression		P- value⁵
	Fatigue N (%) (<i>n</i> = 1072)	Normal N (%) (<i>n</i> = 991)		Physical condition N (%) (n = 1881)	No physical condition N (%) (n = 182)		Depressed N (%) (<i>n</i> = 1588)	Not depressed N (%) (n = 475)	
History of va	ccination prior COVI	D-19 infection							
No	261 (24.3)	298 (30.1)	0.003**	512 (27.2)	47 (25.8)	0.686	430 (27.1)	129 (27.2)	0.973
Yes	811 (75.7)	693 (69.9)		1369 (72.8)	135 (74.2)		1158 (72.9)	346 (72.8)	
Hospitalizatio	on due to COVID-19	1		1	1		1	1	
No	1037 (96.7)	969 (97.8)	0.148	1826 (97.1)	180 (98.9)	0.151	1549 (97.5)	457 (96.2)	0.120
Yes	35 (03.3)	22 (02.2)		55 (02.9)	02 (01.1)		39 (02.5)	18 (03.8)	
Level of fatig	ue				1		1	1	
Fatigue	-	-	-	959 (51.0)	113 (62.1)	0.004**	851 (53.6)	221 (46.5)	0.007**
Normal	_	-		922 (49.0)	69 (37.9)		737 (46.4)	254 (53.5)	

TABLE 3 (Continued)

[§]P-value has been calculated using Chi-square test. **Significant at p < 0.05 level.

studies conducted worldwide, but the differences in methods, populations, and timing relative to the acute COVID-19 infection phase could complicate the comparisons across studies. For example, some studies employed single item tools to assess post COVID-19 fatigue (8, 19, 20, 40). Moreover, about 60–70% fatigue was reported among hospitalized patients 48 days after their hospital discharge by one study, while another research revealed 53% of hospitalized patients on average 36 days after hospital discharge, compared to the study which showed 87% fatigue on average 79 days after onset of COVID-19 infection (8, 19, 40). Notwithstanding the uncertain evidence about temporal connection, our results support the notion that participants with more than 10 months elapsed since their diagnosis of COVID-19 infection were predicted to experience a decrease in the likelihood of experiencing fatigue.

In the current study, being female was found to be associated with fatigue. This finding is inconformity with other contemporary studies conducted on the subject of persistent fatigue in post-acute phase of COVID-19 (21, 24, 41–43). Previous research aimed at comprehending fatigue in general population suggests that a greater level of fatigue is experienced by females in specific situations as compared to males (35, 44, 45). However, the existing evidence is not conclusive (46).

We found a higher likelihood of post-acute COVID-19 fatigue among participants with a higher educational level. Inconsistent findings have emerged in previous studies on the relationship between education and fatigue as a long-term symptom of COVID-19 infection. For instance, a nationwide registry-based study carried out in Sweden found no correlation between education and post-acute COVID-19 fatigue (47). Conversely, other study demonstrated that individuals with lower levels of education are at a higher risk of developing persistent symptoms following an acute COVID-19 infection (48).

The results of the present study indicate that the history of chronic disease does not appear to have a significant impact on the likelihood of experiencing fatigue. However, there is evidence that complaint of fatigue is very common among people with chronic illnesses (49). This could potentially be explained by various rationales. One of the

possible explanations could be a difficulty of those participants to quantify any change in their existing chronic fatigue which they used to bear due to their preexisting chronic illness. Another conceivable explanation is that our study subjects did not have any chronic illnesses that typically yield fatigue. Alternatively, it could be posited that fatigue may take a longer time to develop in case of chronic conditions and was not observable in our sample. Moreover, the age range of our participants makes it plausible that any chronic diseases they have not yet caused fatigue symptoms. Consequently, it may be inferred that individuals who lack certain factors related to chronic medical conditions either before, during or after acute COVID-19 infection may have a reduced susceptibility to post-infection fatigue. This necessitates further exploration to assess the potential association between the risk of post-COVID-19 fatigue and a history of chronic disease.

Other predictors of fatigue in our study during the post-acute phase included shortness of breath and confusion during acute COVID-19. These findings are in line with an existing study showing that fatigue is linked to shortness of breath and confusion during acute phase of COVID-19 (21).

Our findings on respondents' perception of their own health status showed that a vast majority or nearly all (91.2%) had a score of 50 or less on the PCS-12 indicating they had a physical condition, while 77% scored less than 42 on the MCS-12 indicating having a clinical depression that interfered with their everyday activities. Different findings from the studies on the association between COVID-19 severity in post-acute symptoms development and declining HRQoL have been reported, which may be due to variations in the methodologies and samples used. A study by Halpin et al., found a relationship between COVID-19 severity and poorer HRQoL, whereas Garrigues et al. found no differences between patients in the ICU and Wards in terms of their frequency of post-acute symptoms development and decreased health-related quality of life (HRQoL) on the basis of their acute COVID-19 severity (19, 50). Nonetheless, other research studies have concluded that patients who experience post-COVID-19 syndrome

Variable	COR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value	AOR	95% CI	<i>P</i> -value					
Gender										
Male	Ref		Ref							
Female	2.519 (2.088-3.040)	0.001	1.945	1.558-2.428	<0.001**					
Level of education										
Diploma or below			Ref							
Bachelor or higher	1.217 (1.020–1.451)	0.029	1.262	1.040-1.532	0.018**					
Smoking status										
Current or former smoker	Ref		Ref							
Non-smoker	1.394 (1.082–1.796)	0.010	0.922	0.681-1.248	0.599					
BMI				I						
Normal (18.5–24.9 kg/m ²) or	Ref		Ref							
Underweight (<18.5 kg/m ²)										
Overweight $(25-29.9 \text{ kg/m}^2)$ or	1.218 (1.022–1.453)	0.028	1.064	0.876-1.293	0.531					
Obese (≥30 kg/m²)										
History of chronic disease	1			1						
No	Ref		Ref							
Yes	0.361 (0.302-0.432)	0.001	0.414	0.341-0.504	<0.001**					
Shortness of breath during COVID1	9 infection			1						
No	Ref		Ref							
Yes	1.743 (1.463–2.077)	0.001	1.297	1.067-1.577	0.009**					
Experienced confusion during COV	ID19 infection									
No	Ref		Ref							
Yes	3.517 (2.901-4.264)	0.001	3.032	2.466-3.728	<0.001**					
Time since diagnosed with COVID1	9 infection									
10 months or less			Ref							
More than 10 months	0.670 (0.563–0.797)	0.001	0.662	0.535-0.819	<0.001**					
History of vaccination prior to COV	ID19 infection				-					
No	Ref		Ref							
Yes	1.363 (1.100-1.623)	0.004	1.082	0.851-1.376	0.520					

TABLE 4 Multivariate regression analysis to determine the independent significant predictors of post-COVID-19 fatigue (PCF) (n = 2063).

AOR, Adjusted odds ratio; CI, Confidence Interval. **Significant at p < 0.05 level.

(PCS) are likely to report a lower HRQoL (8, 19, 51, 52). The most prevalent physical symptoms related to post-acute COVID-19 reported in literature include fatigue, anosmia, dyspnea, cough, insomnia, arthralgia, palpitations, chest pain, and headache (27, 53–58). Even though the mechanism behind post-acute physical symptoms still unclear but, Doykov et al. reported that inflammatory markers and upregulation of mitochondrial protein in COVID-19 patients lead to mitochondrial stress 40–60 days after infection (59). This can cause cytokine dysregulation and eventually to the cytokine release storms. Additionally, it is evident through autopsies that Coronavirus can spread to the central nervous system through nerves. So, the cytokine storm along with the virus entry to CNS can result in neuroinflammation and produce symptoms like fatigue, myalgias, headache, dyspnea, and psychiatric consequences (60–62).

The potential long-term cause of poor mental quality of life due to PCS is linked to anxiety, cognitive impairments, and depressive symptoms (63, 64). Factors including social isolation, financial costs, and prolonged symptoms have a negative impact on mental health and their perceived quality of life (65-67). At 1-, 3-, 6-, and 12-month follow-up after being infected with SARS-CoV-2, about 30-40% of patients were found with clinically significant depressive psychopathology (68-72). Depressive symptoms, including depressed mood, cognitive impairment, and diminished interest, seem to have a negative impact on everyday quality of life. Both pre-existing history of depression and COVID-19-related depressive symptoms were identified as risk factors for poor SARS-CoV-2 infection outcomes such as higher infection rate, hospitalization, admission in intensive care unit, and mortality (73, 74). We found a high rate of depression in subjects on SF-12 and this could explain high prevalence of fatigue on chalder fatigue scale. The notion of association between depression after COVID-19 with Post-COVID-19 fatigue (PCF) is supported by several studies (24, 75, 76). It appears that there is a shared pathophysiological mechanism between post-COVID fatigue and post-COVID depression, which could potentially be related to indirect immune-inflammatory

mediated neuroinflammation (61, 77). Numerous studies have hypothesized and confirmed a causal relationship between systemic inflammation and both post-COVID depression and post-COVID fatigue (55, 69, 72, 78). Furthermore, since depressive symptoms have been associated with neurocognitive functioning and quality of life in COVID-19 survivors, it seems that depression is one of the most pertinent predictors of the post-COVID syndrome (79–82). Considering alarmingly high prevalence of post-COVID-19 depression, it is the need of the hour to provide follow-up services for COVID-19 survivors to monitor mental health and provide early interventions (64, 83).

Although the current study provides information on the prevalence of post-COVID-19 fatigue (PCF) and health-related quality of life (HRQoL) assessments, its cross-sectional nature provides a measurement at a certain point in time and limits information on the specific timing and its association with acute COVID-19 infection. Given that the questionnaire was distributed via social media platforms, which may prove inadequate in accurately representing the study population owing to the fact that the study did not include the illiterate, the older adult, those devoid of smartphones, and individuals with limited internet accessibility across different regions of the kingdom. Also, a constraint of this study is the lack of specificity in the factor denoting the presence or absence of chronic disease, as it does not offer details on the type, duration, and severity of the chronic condition. Furthermore, the PCF assessment was not conducted by clinical examination in a healthcare context but through a self-reported tool, and study was restricted to assess solely the presence of shortness of breath and confusion as COVID-19 infection-related symptoms. Serial cross-sectional or prospective investigations are required to determine whether PCF prevalence rate remains stable and the influence of specific therapies on its intensity and prevalence.

5. Conclusion

The current study has shown that the prevalence of PCF was 52% among COVID-19 survivors. Furthermore, female, highly educated individuals, who experienced shortness of breath and confusion during COVID-19 infection were more likely to exhibit fatigue symptoms than the rest of the study participants. Results suggest that both physical and mental components scores showed poor self-perceived HRQoL in post-acute phase of COVID-19. We recommend longitudinal quantitative studies to assess patients at multiple time points, examine their immune markers, and describe fatigue persistence at every 6 months and beyond. Even though, Chalder Fatigue Scale (CFQ-11) is appropriate, but further a large cohort study is needed to identify subgroups and related complex factors. We also recommend qualitative studies to understand the subjective differences in experiences of people in their post-acute phase of this viral infection. To implement timely and efficient intervention, continuous monitoring of COVID-19 survivors should be done, and analysis of multi-disciplinary fatigue management strategies is recommended in post-COVID phase. It is critical to raise health-education among COVID-19 survivors to improve their quality of life. Participation in physical activities and mental therapies are the most significant things that our healthcare authorities can teach to COVID-19 survivors in order to improve their capacity and quality of life.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The study involving humans was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Regional Research Ethics Committee in Qassim region (reference no. 21-18-12). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants involved in the study.

Author contributions

MA-J: Methodology, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Supervision. RK: Methodology, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YA-M: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. OA-M: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. AA-S: Investigation, Writing – original draft. OA-s: Investigation, Writing – original draft. OA-s: Investigation, Writing – original draft. Investigation, Writing – original draft. NA-S: Investigation, Writing – original draft.

Acknowledgments

The researchers would like to thank the Deanship of Scientific Research, Qassim University, for funding the publication of this project.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

10.3389/fpubh.2023.1254723

References

1. Zhu Z, Lian X, Su X, Wu W, Marraro GA, Zeng Y. From SARS and MERS to COVID-19: a brief summary and comparison of severe acute respiratory infections caused by three highly pathogenic human coronaviruses. *Respir Res.* (2020) 21:1–4. doi: 10.1186/s12931-020-01479-w

2. Østergaard L. SARS CoV-2 related microvascular damage and symptoms during and after COVID-19: consequences of capillary transit-time changes, tissue hypoxia and inflammation. *Physiol Rep.* (2021) 9:e14726. doi: 10.14814/phy2.14726

3. Leow MK, Kwek DS, Ng AW, Ong KC, Kaw GJ, Lee LS. Hypocortisolism in survivors of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). *Clin Endocrinol.* (2005) 63:197–202. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2265.2005.02325.x

4. Zheng J. SARS-CoV-2: an emerging coronavirus that causes a global threat. Int J Biol Sci. (2020) 16:1678–85. doi: 10.7150/ijbs.45053

5. Zhang P, Li J, Liu H, Han N, Ju J, Kou Y, et al. Long-term bone and lung consequences associated with hospital-acquired severe acute respiratory syndrome: a 15-year follow-up from a prospective cohort study. *Bone Res.* (2020) 8:8. doi: 10.1038/ s41413-020-0084-5

6. Venkatesan P. NICE guideline on long COVID. Lancet Respir Med. (2021) 9:129. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(21)00031-X

7. Perumal R, Shunmugam L, Naidoo K, Abdool Karim SS, Wilkins D, Garzino-Demo A, et al. Long COVID: a review and proposed visualization of the complexity of long COVID. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1117464. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1117464

8. Carfi A, Bernabei R, Landi F. Gemelli against COVID-19 post-acute care study group. Persistent symptoms in patients after acute COVID-19. *JAMA*. (2020) 324:603–5. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.12603

9. Anaya JM, Rojas M, Salinas ML, Rodríguez Y, Roa G, Lozano M, et al. Post-COVID syndrome. A case series and comprehensive review. *Autoimmun Rev.* (2021) 20:102947. doi: 10.1016/j.autrev.2021.102947

10. Mahmud R, Rahman MM, Rassel MA, Monayem FB, Sayeed SKJB, Islam MS, et al. Post-COVID-19 syndrome among symptomatic COVID-19 patients: a prospective cohort study in a tertiary care center of Bangladesh. *PLoS One*. (2021) 16:e0249644. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0249644

11. Davis HE, Assaf GS, McCorkell L, Wei H, Low RJ, Re'em Y, et al. Characterizing long COVID in an international cohort: 7 months of symptoms and their impact. *EClinicalMedicine*. (2021) 38:101019. doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2021.101019

12. Galal I, Hussein AA, Amin MT, Saad MM, Zayan HE, Abdelsayed MZ, et al. Determinants of persistent post-COVID-19 symptoms: value of a novel COVID-19 symptom score. *Egypt J Bronchol.* (2021) 15:1–8. doi: 10.1186/s43168-020-00049-4

13. Logue JK, Franko NM, McCulloch DJ, McDonald D, Magedson A, Wolf CR, et al. Sequelae in adults at 6 months after COVID-19 infection. *JAMA Netw Open*. (2021) 4:e210830. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.0830

14. El Sayed S, Shokry D, Gomaa SM. Post-COVID-19 fatigue and anhedonia: a crosssectional study and their correlation to post-recovery period. *Neuropsychopharmacol Rep.* (2021) 41:50–5. doi: 10.1002/npr2.12154

15. Sudre CH, Murray B, Varsavsky T, Graham MS, Penfold RS, Bowyer RC, et al. Attributes and predictors of long COVID. *Nat Med.* (2021) 27:626–31. doi: 10.1038/s41591-021-01292-y

16. Sykes DL, Holdsworth L, Jawad N, Gunasekera P, Morice AH, Crooks MG. Post-COVID-19 symptom burden: what is long-COVID and how should we manage it? *Lung*. (2021) 199:113–9. doi: 10.1007/s00408-021-00423-z

17. Priya S, Abhilash PV, Naik GM. Impact of fatigue on quality of life among COVID-19 survivors. Int J Sci Healthc Res. (2021) 6:327–32. doi: 10.52403/ijshr.20210756

18. Aly MA, Saber HG. Long COVID and chronic fatigue syndrome: a survey of elderly female survivors in Egypt. *Int J Clin Pract.* (2021) 75:e14886. doi: 10.1111/ ijcp.14886

19. Halpin SJ, McIvor C, Whyatt G, Adams A, Harvey O, McLean L, et al. Postdischarge symptoms and rehabilitation needs in survivors of COVID-19 infection: a cross-sectional evaluation. *J Med Virol.* (2021) 93:1013–22. doi: 10.1002/jmv.26368

20. Liang L, Yang B, Jiang N, Fu W, He X, Zhou Y, et al. Three-month follow-up study of survivors of coronavirus disease 2019 after discharge. *J Korean Med Sci.* (2020) 35:e418. doi: 10.3346/jkms.2020.35.e418

21. Stavem K, Ghanima W, Olsen MK, Gilboe HM, Einvik G. Prevalence and determinants of fatigue after COVID-19 in non-hospitalized subjects: a population-based study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2021) 18:2030. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18042030

22. Burke RM, Killerby ME, Newton S, Ashworth CE, Berns AL, Brennan S, et al. Symptom profiles of a convenience sample of patients with COVID-19—United States, January–April 2020. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* (2020) 69:904–8. doi: 10.15585/mmwr. mm6928a2

23. Petersen MS, Kristiansen MF, Hanusson KD, Danielsen ME, á Steig B, Gaini S, et al. Long COVID in the Faroe Islands: a longitudinal study among nonhospitalized patients. *Clin Infect Dis.* (2021) 73:e4058–63. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa1792

24. Townsend L, Dyer AH, Jones K, Dunne J, Mooney A, Gaffney F. Persistent fatigue following SARS-CoV-2 infection is common and independent of severity of initial infection. *PLoS One.* (2020) 15:e0240784. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0240784

25. Mahmoud MH, Alghamdi FA, Alghamdi GA, Alkhotani LA, Alrehaili MA, el-Deeb DK, et al. Study of post-COVID-19 syndrome in Saudi Arabia. *Cureus*. (2021) 13:e17787. doi: 10.7759/cureus.17787

26. AlShakhs A, Almomen A, AlYaeesh I, AlOmairin A, AlMutairi AA, Alammar Z, et al. The association of smell and taste dysfunction with COVID19, and their functional impacts. *Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck*. (2022) 74:2847–52. doi: 10.1007/s12070-020-02330-w

27. AlRadini FA, Alamri F, Aljahany MS, Almuzaini Y, Alsofayan Y, Khan A, et al. Post-acute COVID-19 condition in Saudi Arabia: a national representative study. *J Infect Public Health.* (2022) 15:526–32. doi: 10.1016/j.jiph.2022.03.013

28. Jackson C. The Chalder fatigue scale (CFQ 11). Occup Med. (2015) 65:86. doi: 10.1093/occmed/kqu168

29. Ware JE Jr, Kosinski M, Keller SD. A 12-item short-form health survey: construction of scales and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. *Med Care*. (1996) 34:220–33. doi: 10.1097/00005650-199603000-00003

30. Ware John E, Kosinski M, Keller S. SF-12: how to score the SF-12 physical and mental health summary scales. 2nd ed. Boston: The Health Institute of New England Medical Center (1995).

31. McIlvenny S. The translation into Arabic and revalidation of a fatigue questionnaire. *East Mediterr Health J.* (1999) 5:503–14. doi: 10.26719/1999.5.3.503

32. Haddad C, Sacre H, Obeid S, Salameh P, Hallit S. Validation of the Arabic version of the "12-item short-form health survey" (SF-12) in a sample of Lebanese adults. *Arch Public Health*. (2021) 79:56. doi: 10.1186/s13690-021-00579-3

33. Butler S, Chalder T, Ron M, Wessely S. Cognitive behaviour therapy in chronic fatigue syndrome. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. (1991) 54:153–8. doi: 10.1136/ jnnp.54.2.153

34. Chalder T, Berelowitz G, Pawlikowska T, Watts L, Wessely S, Wright D, et al. Development of a fatigue scale. *J Psychosom Res.* (1993) 37:147–53. doi: 10.1016/0022-3999(93)90081-P

35. Loge JH, Ekeberg Ø, Kaasa S. Fatigue in the general Norwegian population: normative data and associations. *J Psychosom Res.* (1998) 45:53–65. doi: 10.1016/S0022-3999(97)00291-2

36. Ware J, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36): conceptual framework and item selection. *Med Care.* (1992) 30:473-83. doi: 10.1097/00005650-199206000-00002

37. Hussain N, Samuelsson CM, Drummond A, Persson CU. Prevalence of fatigue at one-year follow-up from the Gothenburg recovery and rehabilitation after COVID-19 and intensive care unit study. *Sci Rep.* (2022) 12:11501. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-14787-6

38. Qi R, Chen W, Liu S, Thompson PM, Zhang LJ, Xia F, et al. Psychological morbidities and fatigue in patients with confirmed COVID-19 during disease outbreak: prevalence and associated biopsychosocial risk factors. *MedRxiv*. (2020). doi: 10.1101/2020.05.08.20031666

39. van den Borst B, Peters JB, Brink M, Schoon Y, Bleeker-Rovers CP, Schers H, et al. Comprehensive health assessment 3 months after recovery from acute coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Clin Infect Dis.* (2021) 73:e1089–98. doi: 10.1093/cid/ ciaa1750

40. Goërtz YM, van Herck M, Delbressine JM, Vaes AW, Meys R, Machado FV, et al. Persistent symptoms 3 months after a SARS-CoV-2 infection: the post-COVID-19 syndrome? *ERJ Open Res.* (2020) 6:00542–2020. doi: 10.1183/23120541.00542-2020

41. Huang C, Huang L, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Gu X, et al. 6-month consequences of COVID-19 in patients discharged from hospital: a cohort study. *Lancet.* (2021) 397:220–32. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32656-8

42. Zhang X, Wang F, Shen Y, Zhang X, Cen Y, Wang B, et al. Symptoms and health outcomes among survivors of COVID-19 infection 1 year after discharge from hospitals in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Netw Open.* (2021) 4:e2127403. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.27403

43. Joli J, Buck P, Zipfel S, Stengel A. Post-COVID-19 fatigue: a systematic review. Front Psych. (2022) 13:947973. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.947973

44. Pawlikowska T, Chalder T, Hirsch SR, Wallace P, Wright DJ, Wessely SC. Population based study of fatigue and psychological distress. *BMJ*. (1994) 308:763–6. doi: 10.1136/bmj.308.6931.763

45. Faro M, Sàez-Francás N, Castro-Marrero J, Aliste L, de Sevilla TF, Alegre J. Gender differences in chronic fatigue syndrome. *Reumatol Clín.* (2016) 12:72–7. doi: 10.1016/j. reumae.2015.05.009

46. David A, Pelosi A, McDonald E, Stephens D, Ledger D, Rathbone R, et al. Tired, weak, or in need of rest: fatigue among general practice attenders. *Br Med J*. (1990) 301:1199–202. doi: 10.1136/bmj.301.6762.1199

47. Westerlind E, Palstam A, Sunnerhagen KS, Persson HC. Patterns and predictors of sick leave after Covid-19 and long Covid in a national Swedish cohort. *BMC Public Health*. (2021) 21:1–9. doi: 10.1186/s12889-021-11013-2

48. Badinlou F, Forsström D, Jansson-Fröjmark M, Abzhandadze T, Lundgren T. Impairments following COVID-19 infection: manifestations and investigations of related factors. *Sci Rep.* (2023) 13:6564. doi: 10.1038/s41598-023-33810-y

49. Swain MG. Fatigue in chronic disease. *Clin Sci.* (2000) 99:1–8. doi: 10.1042/ cs0990001

50. Garrigues E, Janvier P, Kherabi Y, Le Bot A, Hamon A, Gouze H, et al. Postdischarge persistent symptoms and health-related quality of life after hospitalization for COVID-19. J Infect. (2020) 81:e4–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2020.08.029

51. Arab-Zozani M, Hashemi F, Safari H, Yousefi M, Ameri H. Health-related quality of life and its associated factors in COVID-19 patients. *Osong Public Health Res Perspect.* (2020) 11:296–302. doi: 10.24171/j.phrp.2020.11.5.05

52. Moreno-Pérez O, Merino E, Leon-Ramirez JM, Andres M, Ramos JM, Arenas-Jiménez J, et al. Post-acute COVID-19 syndrome. Incidence and risk factors: a Mediterranean cohort study. J Infect. (2021) 82:378–83. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2021.01.004

53. Soriano JB, Murthy S, Marshall JC, Relan P, Diaz JV. A clinical case definition of post-COVID-19 condition by a Delphi consensus. *Lancet Infect Dis.* (2022) 22:e102–7. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(21)00703-9

54. Augustin M, Schommers P, Stecher M, Dewald F, Gieselmann L, Gruell H, et al. Post-COVID syndrome in non-hospitalised patients with COVID-19: a longitudinal prospective cohort study. *Lancet Reg Health Eur.* (2021) 6:100122. doi: 10.1016/j. lanepe.2021.100122

55. Ceban F, Ling S, Lui LM, Lee Y, Gill H, Teopiz KM, et al. Fatigue and cognitive impairment in post-COVID-19 syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Brain Behav Immun.* (2022) 101:93–135. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2021.12.020

56. Lopez-Leon S, Wegman-Ostrosky T, Perelman C, Sepulveda R, Rebolledo PA, Cuapio A, et al. More than 50 long-term effects of COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci Rep.* (2021) 11:16144. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-95565-8

57. Michelen M, Manoharan L, Elkheir N, Cheng V, Dagens A, Hastie C, et al. Characterising long COVID: a living systematic review. *BMJ Glob Health.* (2021) 6:e005427. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2021-005427

58. Schou TM, Joca S, Wegener G, Bay-Richter C. Psychiatric and neuropsychiatric sequelae of COVID-19-a systematic review. *Brain Behav Immun.* (2021) 97:328–48. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2021.07.018

59. Doykov I, Hällqvist J, Gilmour KC, Grandjean L, Mills K, Heywood WE. 'The long tail of Covid-19'-the detection of a prolonged inflammatory response after a SARS-CoV-2 infection in asymptomatic and mildly affected patients. *F1000Res*. (2020) 9:9. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.27287.1

60. Amruta N, Chastain WH, Paz M, Solch RJ, Murray-Brown IC, Befeler JB, et al. SARS-CoV-2 mediated neuroinflammation and the impact of COVID-19 in neurological disorders. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* (2021) 58:1–5. doi: 10.1016/j. cytogfr.2021.02.002

61. Troyer EA, Kohn JN, Hong S. Are we facing a crashing wave of neuropsychiatric sequelae of COVID-19? Neuropsychiatric symptoms and potential immunologic mechanisms. *Brain Behav Immun.* (2020) 87:34–9. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.04.027

62. Passavanti M, Argentieri A, Barbieri DM, Lou B, Wijayaratna K, Mirhosseini AS, et al. The psychological impact of COVID-19 and restrictive measures in the world. *J Affect Disord.* (2021) 283:36–51. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2021.01.020

63. Mazza MG, Palladini M, Poletti S, Benedetti F. Post-COVID-19 depressive symptoms: epidemiology, pathophysiology, and pharmacological treatment. *CNS Drugs*. (2022) 36:681–702. doi: 10.1007/s40263-022-00931-3

64. Khraisat B, Toubasi A, AlZoubi L, Al-Sayegh T, Mansour A. Meta-analysis of prevalence: the psychological sequelae among COVID-19 survivors. *Int J Psychiatry Clin Pract.* (2022) 26:234–43. doi: 10.1080/13651501.2021.1993924

65. Chang MC, Park D. Incidence of post-traumatic stress disorder after coronavirus disease. *Healthcare*. (2020) 8:373. doi: 10.3390/healthcare8040373

66. Chopra V, Flanders SA, O'Malley M, Malani AN, Prescott HC. Sixty-day outcomes among patients hospitalized with COVID-19. *Ann Intern Med.* (2021) 174:576–8. doi: 10.7326/M20-5661 67. Hwang TJ, Rabheru K, Peisah C, Reichman W, Ikeda M. Loneliness and social isolation during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Int Psychogeriatr.* (2020) 32:1217–20. doi: 10.1017/S1041610220000988

68. Mazza MG, Palladini M, De Lorenzo R, Bravi B, Poletti S, Furlan R, et al. One-year mental health outcomes in a cohort of COVID-19 survivors. *J Psychiatr Res.* (2022) 145:118–24. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2021.11.031

69. Mazza MG, Palladini M, de Lorenzo R, Magnaghi C, Poletti S, Furlan R, et al. Persistent psychopathology and neurocognitive impairment in COVID-19 survivors: effect of inflammatory biomarkers at three-month follow-up. *Brain Behav Immun.* (2021) 94:138–47. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2021.02.021

70. Taquet M, Geddes JR, Husain M, Luciano S, Harrison PJ. 6-month neurological and psychiatric outcomes in 236 379 survivors of COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study using electronic health records. *Lancet Psychiatry*. (2021) 8:416–27. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(21)00084-5

71. Taquet M, Luciano S, Geddes JR, Harrison PJ. Bidirectional associations between COVID-19 and psychiatric disorder: retrospective cohort studies of 62 354 COVID-19 cases in the USA. *Lancet Psychiatry*. (2021) 8:130–40. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366 (20)30462-4

72. Mazza MG, de Lorenzo R, Conte C, Poletti S, Vai B, Bollettini I, et al. Anxiety and depression in COVID-19 survivors: role of inflammatory and clinical predictors. *Brain Behav Immun.* (2020) 89:594–600. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.07.037

73. Vai B, Mazza MG, Colli CD, Foiselle M, Allen B, Benedetti F, et al. Mental disorders and risk of COVID-19-related mortality, hospitalisation, and intensive care unit admission: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry*. (2021) 8:797–812. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(21)00232-7

74. Ceban F, Nogo D, Carvalho IP, Lee Y, Nasri F, Xiong J, et al. Association between mood disorders and risk of COVID-19 infection, hospitalization, and death: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry*. (2021) 78:1079–91. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2021.1818

75. Al-Jassas HK, Al-Hakeim HK, Maes M. Intersections between pneumonia, lowered oxygen saturation percentage and immune activation mediate depression, anxiety, and chronic fatigue syndrome-like symptoms due to COVID-19: a nomothetic network approach. J Affect Disord. (2022) 297:233–45. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2021.10.039

76. Grover S, Sahoo S, Mishra E, Gill KS, Mehra A, Nehra R, et al. Fatigue, perceived stigma, self-reported cognitive deficits and psychological morbidity in patients recovered from COVID-19 infection. *Asian J Psychiatr.* (2021) 64:102815. doi: 10.1016/j. ajp.2021.102815

77. Wu Y, Xu X, Chen Z, Duan J, Hashimoto K, Yang L, et al. Nervous system involvement after infection with COVID-19 and other coronaviruses. *Brain Behav Immun.* (2020) 87:18–22. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.03.031

78. Benedetti F, Palladini M, Paolini M, Melloni E, Vai B, De Lorenzo R, et al. Brain correlates of depression, post-traumatic distress, and inflammatory biomarkers in COVID-19 survivors: a multimodal magnetic resonance imaging study. *Brain Behav Immun Health*. (2021) 18:100387. doi: 10.1016/j.bbih.2021.100387

79. Gouraud C, Bottemanne H, Lahlou-Laforêt K, Blanchard A, Günther S, Batti SE, et al. Association between psychological distress, cognitive complaints, and neuropsychological status after a severe COVID-19 episode: a cross-sectional study. *Front Psych.* (2021) 12:725861. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.725861

80. Mattioli F, Stampatori C, Righetti F, Sala E, Tomasi C, De Palma G. Neurological and cognitive sequelae of Covid-19: a four month follow-up. *J Neurol.* (2021) 268:4422–8. doi: 10.1007/s00415-021-10579-6

81. Poletti S, Palladini M, Mazza MG, De Lorenzo R, Furlan R, Ciceri F, et al. Longterm consequences of COVID-19 on cognitive functioning up to 6 months after discharge: role of depression and impact on quality of life. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* (2021) 272:773–82. doi: 10.1007/s00406-021-01346-9

82. Babicki M, Bogudzińska B, Kowalski K, Mastalerz-Migas A. Anxiety and depressive disorders and quality of life assessment of poles—a study covering two waves of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Front Psych.* (2021) 12:704248. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.704248

83. Deng J, Zhou F, Hou W, Silver Z, Wong CY, Chang O, et al. The prevalence of depression, anxiety, and sleep disturbances in COVID-19 patients: a meta-analysis. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* (2021) 1486:90–111. doi: 10.1111/nyas.14506