

Accepted Article

- Title: Discovery of a Potent Deubiquitinase (DUB) Small Molecule Activity-based Probe Enables Broad Spectrum DUB Activity Profiling in Living Cells
- Authors: Dan Conole, Fangyuan Cao, Christopher W. am Ende, Liang Xue, Sheila Kantesaria, Dahye Kang, Jun Jin, Dafydd Owen, Linda Lohr, Monica Schenone, Jaimeen D. Majmudar, and Edward William Tate

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2023, e202311190

Link to VoR: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202311190

WILEY VCH

WILEY-VCH

Discovery of a Potent Deubiquitinase (DUB) Small Molecule Activity-based Probe Enables Broad Spectrum DUB Activity **Profiling in Living Cells**

Daniel Conole^[a]†[#], Fangyuan Cao^{[a]#}, Christopher W. am Ende^[b], Liang Xue^[c], Sheila Kantesaria^[b], Dahye Kang^[c], Jun Jin^[d], Dafydd Owen^[c], Linda Lohr^[b], Monica Schenone^[c], Jaimeen D. Majmudar^{[c],*}, Edward W. Tate^{[a],*}

[a]	Dr. D. Conole, Dr. F. Cao, Prof. Dr. E. W. Tate
	Department of Chemistry, Molecular Sciences Research Hub
	Imperial College London
	82 Wood Lane, London, W12 0BZ, United Kingdom
	E-mail: e.tate@imperial.ac.uk
[b]	Dr.C.W. Am Ende, S. Kantesaria, Dr. L. Lohr
	Pfizer Worldwide Research and Development
	Pfizer Inc.
	Eastern Point Road, Groton, Connecticut 06340, United States
[c]	Dr. L. Xue, Dr. D. Kang, Dr. D. Owen, Dr. M. Schenone, Dr J. D. Majmudar
	Pfizer Worldwide Research and Development
	Pfizer Inc.
	1 Portland Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts 2139, United States
	E-mail: jaimeendevraj.majmudar@pfizer.com
[d]	J. Jin
	BioDuro
	No.233 North FuTe Rd., WaiGaoQiao Free Trade Zone, Shanghai 200131, P.R. China

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document

Abstract: Deubiquitinases (DUBs) are a family of >100 proteases that hydrolyze isopeptide bonds linking ubiquitin to protein substrates. This leads to reduced substrate degradation through the ubiquitin proteasome system. Deregulation of DUB activity has been implicated in many diseases, including cancer, neurodegeneration and auto-inflammation, and several have been recognized as attractive targets for therapeutic intervention. Ubiquitin-derived covalent activity-based probes (ABPs) provide a powerful tool for DUB activity profiling, but their large recognition element impedes cellular permeability and presents an unmet need for small molecule ABPs which can account for regulation of DUB activity in intact cells or organisms. Here, through comprehensive chemoproteomic warhead profiling, we identify cyanopyrrolidine (CNPy) probe IMP-2373 (12) as a small molecule pan-DUB ABP to monitor DUB activity in physiologically relevant live cells. Through proteomics and targeted assays, we demonstrate that IMP-2373 quantitatively engages more than 35 DUBs across a range of non-toxic concentrations in diverse cell lines. We further demonstrate its application to quantification of changes in intracellular DUB activity during pharmacological inhibition and during MYC deregulation in a model of B cell lymphoma. IMP-2373 thus offers a complementary tool to ubiquitin ABPs to monitor dynamic DUB activity in the context of disease-relevant phenotypes.

Introduction

The ubiquitin proteasome system (UPS) regulates myriad intracellular processes including protein turnover, transcriptional regulation, DNA damage, protein complex formation, cellular trafficking and localization, and inflammation and autophagy.^[1] The E1/E2/E3 ligase cascade appends ubiquitin (Ub) to substrate proteins, a small post-translational modification which often tags proteins for degradation at the proteasome, although E3 ligase-mediated elongation of ubiquitin chains to various branched or linear forms can lead to diverse functional outcomes.^[2-4] Approximately 110 individual deubiquitinase (DUB) proteases catalyze Ub hydrolysis from protein substrates or Ub chains, thereby counteracting Ub ligase activity and regulating the highly dynamic UPS. Altered DUB activity has been linked to a number of diseases and several DUBs are considered promising drug targets, with DUB inhibitors at various stages of preclinical or clinical development;^[5,6] however, target validation for DUB inhibitors has proved challenging. For example, a recent Phase I/II multiple myeloma trial of VLX1570, a putative USP14/UCHL5 covalent inhibitor, was terminated due to dose-limiting toxicity,^[7] with subsequent proteomic analyses revealing crosslinking of a diverse range of proteins and offtarget toxicity through protein aggregation.^[8] There is a pressing need for improved chemical tools and technologies to better understand DUB abundance, localization, activity and substrate profiles in health and disease, and to support development of novel, effective and selective DUB-targeted therapeutics.^[9]

RESEARCH ARTICLE

DUB activity-based probes (ABPs) represent a uniquely powerful tool for exploring changes in cellular activity, and are based on an electrophilic warhead targeted to the DUB active site by a recognition scaffold, with DUB activity read out by a reporter group such as a dye or affinity handle.^[10] The majority of DUBs contain papain-class cysteine peptidase active sites amenable to covalent labeling by an appropriately designed ABP.^[5,6] The first generation of DUB ABPs based on ubiquitin as the recognition element (Ub ABPs)^[11] have served to monitor DUB proteolytic activity and substrates in disease states,[12]Ub chain cleavage selectivity,^[13] and DUB inhibitor potency and selectivity.[14]Whilst the 8.5 kDa Ub recognition element makes extensive interactions with the DUB and thereby delivers specific DUB enrichment from complex biological media, very poor cellular uptake restricts their effective use to analysis of cell lysates (Fig. 1A). The consequent loss of native organelle compartmentalization leads to dilution of DUB concentration and dissociation of protein-protein interactions (PPIs) involved in DUB activity.^[15] The disconnect between enzyme activity in lysates and live cells is well-recognized,^[6] and places limits on the capacity of Ub ABPs to profile dynamic intracellular DUB activity or its role in a particular disease state.^[16] Ub ABP uptake can be forced by high concentration and conjugation to cellpenetrating peptides (CPPs),^[15] but these complex approaches further disrupt cell membrane integrity and are not generally applicable to diverse cell lines, primary cells or animal models.^[17] Small molecule DUB ABPs with broad in-family DUB reactivity, which can passively diffuse into cells with minimal perturbation

to cell physiology, have the potential to complement Ub ABPs by profiling intracellular DUB activity or inhibition across many DUBs simultaneously. Two types of small molecule DUB ABP with intracellular labeling activity have been reported to date: highly targeted cyanopyrrolidine (CNPy) probes for the DUB UCHL1 (e.g. IMP-1710),^[17-20] and the pan-reactive chloromethyl ketone (CMK) pyrrole benzylamide probe (4).[21] ABP 4 was shown to engage at least nine Ubiguitin-Specific Proteases (USPs) by proteomics, and could be used to measure USP4 activity in live osteosarcoma cells. Whilst this probe offered a promising proof of concept, it lacks pan-DUB coverage and inclass selectivity, and its limited DUB specificity leads to considerable toxicity at concentrations useful for profiling. We envisaged expanding the scope of this small molecule scaffold to engage the active DUB proteome (or DUBome) at scale, with sufficient potency and selectivity to permit activity profiling without toxicity. Here we designed and extensively profiled a library of probes based on this scaffold covering a diverse range of warhead reactivities and electrophile geometries, exploring intracellular protein labeling, cell viability and DUB target engagement and activity profiles. These screens led to the discovery of next generation, pan-active, cell permeable DUB ABP IMP-2373 (12), bearing a cyanopyrrolidine (CNPy) warhead, exhibiting privileged DUB labeling and selectivity across a range of cell lines and disease models. We show that IMP-2373 (12) represents a novel and versatile small molecule tool for probing DUB biology in complex physiological systems, which complement existing Ub ABPs (Fig 1B).



Figure 1. Pipeline of activity-based probe applications. (A). Ubiquitin activity-based probes; (B) Small molecule activity-based probe.

2

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Results and Discussion

Chemical proteomic profiling of small molecule electrophilic warheads uncovers cyanopyrrolidine as a privileged DUB-targeting moiety

We first designed and synthesized a library of fourteen pyrrole benzylamide probes displaying diverse cysteine reactive electrophiles (Fig. 2A), including previously reported CMK probe **4**, selected to reflect a range of reactivity and geometric diversity whilst maintaining synthetic tractability.^[22] Osteosarcoma (U2OS), glioblastoma (U87-MG) and breast cancer (T47D) cell lines were selected for initial probe screening experiments, as these widely-used lines together express >80% of all DUBs, as measured by mRNA profiles (Fig S1). Live cells from each cell line were incubated with each probe for proteome-wide target engagement profiles determined by multiplexed quantitative Tandem Mass Tag (TMT) Activity-Based Protein Profiling (ABPP) at 3 μ M (Fig. 2B). As reported previously, CMK probe **4** exhibited broad DUB target engagement with 14 DUBs enriched by log₂ fold change >0.3 compared with vehicle (DMSO) (Fig. S3A). Interestingly, CNPy probe **12** exhibited the most consistent DUB target engagement (log₂ fold change >0.3 vs DMSO) among all the probes (Fig. 2B), with a broadly complementary profile to CMK probe **4** (Fig. S3A). These data suggested that CNPy may be a privileged DUB ABP warhead, consistent with several reports on CNPy covalent DUB inhibitors.^[19,20,23–25]



Figure 2. Quantitative proteomic activity-based protein profiling of a series of electrophile-armed methyl pyrroles in three cell lines identifies cyanopyrrolidine (CNPy) probe **IMP-2373** (**12**) as a multi-DUB targeting probe. (A) Synthesized chemical structures of cysteine reactive electrophile warhead library. (B) Proteomics analysis of DUB target engagement obtained by ABPP (numbers represent the number of DUBs quantitatively engaged log₂ fold change >0.3 vs vehicle (DMSO) in each data set) for the compound library at 3 µM incubation concentrations for 1.5 h in each of three cell lines (T47D, U2OS, U87-MG). (C) Cyanopyrrolidine ABP (CNPy probe **12**) engages a wide range of DUBs in biochemical activity profiling (D) Cell viability measured by EthD-1 and Calcein AM dual dye cell death assay of CNPy probe **12** (**IMP-2373**) and CMK probe **4** in T47D, U2OS, and U87-MG cells.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Cyanopyrrolidine probe IMP-2373 (12) is a potent broadspectrum DUB enzyme inhibitor with minimal off-target cytotoxicity

We next compared this indicative assessment of cellular activity and selectivity against capacity to inhibit enzyme activity across a panel of 42 recombinant DUBs (Fig. 2C). Strikingly, CNPy probe 12 displayed a markedly superior profile with respect to potency and promiscuity of DUB inhibition over all other warheads tested, similar to that observed by proteomic profiling, and complementary to that previously reported for CMK probe 4 (Fig. S3B).^[21] Furthermore, despite its promising DUB engagement profile (Fig. 2B), 4 exhibits cytotoxic effects within a few hours at 1 µM which are likely due to the high electrophilicity and promiscuous reactivity of the CMK warhead, confounding its use as a DUB ABP which should ideally show minimal impact on cell physiology at concentrations sufficient for measurable probe engagement (Fig. 2D, S4A-F).^[21] Conversely, CNPy probe 12 did not affect cell viability at 8 h treatment, and remained tolerated up to 50 µM after 24 h, as measured by two distinct cell death assays, such as the ethidium homodimer (EthD-1), Calcein AM dual dye (Fig 2D, S5A-C), and a Sytox Green time-resolved cell death imaging (Fig S4D-F), with the exception of U87-MG cells, which may be due in part to the strong dependence of gliomas on UCHL1 activity for proliferation.^[26] Competition experiments with Ub-VME suggested inhibition of multiple DUBs in cell lysates, consistent with activity-based binding of CNPy probe 12 (Fig S5A), which was prioritized for further experiments and renamed IMP-2373 to support future reference beyond the present study.

CNPy IMP-2373 is a broad-spectrum ABP for a significant proportion of the DUBome

Encouraged by the low cytotoxicity of **IMP-2373**, we explored probe concentration and an extended incubation time (4 h) to optimize DUB engagement (Fig S5B). A total of 28 DUBs were enriched over DMSO control (log_2 fold change > 0.5 vs DMSO) at one or more concentrations tested by activity-based protein profiling (Fig 3A and C). Furthermore, enrichment of 20 DUBs engaged by a standard HA (human influenza hemagglutinin peptide)-tagged ubiquitin propargyl amide (HA-Ub-PA) ABP could be outcompeted by **IMP-2373** (log_2 fold change < -0.5, negative for competition) (Fig. 3B and C).

14 DUBs were identified as hits in both studies, consistent with activity-based engagement by **IMP-2373** at the DUB active site (Fig 3C). Statistical analysis of relative protein abundance after probe enrichment between 10 μ M and 25 μ M suggested that DUBs were differentially enriched not only relative to all proteins identified, but also relative to hydrolases in general, the parent enzyme class which encompasses DUBs (Fig. 3D). A total of approximately 70 non-DUB proteins were enriched over control under at least one of the concentrations tested, with only eight non-DUB proteins enriched consistently across the three cell

lines (Fig S6A-D); gene ontology analysis confirmed that these off-targets are also primarily peptidases and related enzymes (Fig S6E). To confirm dependence on DUB catalytic activity for cellular target engagement, we expressed a series of diverse FLAG-tagged wild-type (WT) DUBs (UCHL1, USP30, USP7 and OTUB1) across three DUB subfamilies and corresponding active site Cys to Ser mutants (CS) in HEK293T cells (Fig S7A). Western blot analysis of affinity-enriched DUB following 1 hour treatment with 0, 10 or 25 μ M IMP-2373 suggested that the probe selectively engaged the catalytic cysteine of the tested DUBs (Fig 3E-H, Fig S7A-E). Since the CNPy warhead features a stereogenic center, we were interested to explore whether the enantiomers showed a preference for different DUB subclasses. We separated the enantiomers by chiral chromatography and profiled each by ABPP and Ub-ABP proteomics in T47D cells (Fig S8A). Interestingly, statistical analysis suggested that one of the enantiomers exhibited slightly more potent DUB enrichment at low concentration (0.5 µM, Fig S8B) with a trend towards selectivity observed only for the UCH DUB subfamily, consistent with previous reports on stereoselectivity in CNPy UCHL1 ABPs (Fig S8C-D).[19]

We next explored the capacity of IMP-2373 to act as a competitive probe for in-cell target engagement by selective DUB inhibitors, a powerful and useful application of ABPs in drug discovery and development.^[8,27] Cells were pretreated for 1 h with increasing concentrations of a selective active site inhibitor for UCHL1 (IMP-1711-S)^[19] or USP30 (FT385), ^[28] followed by 10 µM IMP-2373 for 1 h. Competitive activity-based profiling (Fig. S9A-C) by pull-down and Western blot analysis confirmed potent concentration-dependent and selective in-cell target engagement for each inhibitor (Fig. 4A and 4B, S9D-E). No competition was observed at any concentration for IMP-1711-R, the inactive enantiomer of the UHCL1 inhibitor (Fig. 4A, S9D), consistent with robust activity-based profiling.[19]

CNPy ABP IMP-2373 enables differential DUB activity profiling during MYC deregulation in a B cell lymphoma model

To demonstrate the potential of **IMP-2373** as a chemical tool to monitor changes in DUB activity in disease models, we turned to a widely-used model of MYC deregulation in cancer.^[29] MYC is a multifunctional transcription factor which regulates expression of a large number of genes involved in cellular growth, proliferation and metabolism.^[30] MYC deregulation can lead to dramatically altered protein synthesis by driving massive increases in gene transcription, and enhanced production of ribosomes and translation initiation factors,^[32] promoting cell growth, cell cycle progression, and genome instability, ultimately leading to oncogenesis and malignant tumor growth. Aberrant MYC is an oncogenic driver in > 50% of human cancers, and the mechanisms by which MYC-deregulated cancers cope with radically altered protein turnover may present novel therapeutic targets.^[30]

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Figure 3. (A) Higher CNPy probe **IMP-2373** concentrations and a longer treatment time (4 h) allows for activity-based profiling of >35% of the DUBome. Heat maps for activity-based protein profiling (ABPP), and competitive ubiquitin activity-based profiling (Ub-ABP) (B) CNPy probe **IMP-2373** (concentrations: 3.125, 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50 and 100 μ M, 4 h treatment, 37°C, 5% CO₂) in 3 cell lines. N.D. - not detected. (C) CNPy probe IMP-2373 inhibits the activity–of 36 DUBs (log₂ fold change >0.5 vs DMSO or <-0.5 vs Ub-ABP) across all sub-classes (with the exception of ZUP1) by ABPP or Ub-ABP competition. (D) In T47D cells, DUBs were preferentially enriched over all other proteins, and over hydrolases in general, the parent enzyme class of DUBs. HEK293T cells were transfected to overexpress FLAG-tagged WT UCHL1 (E), USP30(F), USP7(G), OTUB1(H) or catalytic CS mutants, and pulldown following treatment with **IMP-2373** for 1h. (PD: pulldown, TL: total lysate). The corresponding workflow and uncropped images of Fig. 3E-F are shown in Fig. S7A-E in the Supporting Information.

Multiple DUBs have been proposed to regulate MYC ubiquitination and stability, including USP13,^[31] USP29,^[32] OTUB1^[33]. Here, we applied **IMP-2373** to test the hypothesis that MYC drives dynamic changes in DUB activity as part of the adaptation of cancer cells to deregulated protein synthesis, employing human lymphoblastoid B cell line P493-6, in which conditional MYC expression is under the control of an inducible promoter.^[29] P493-6 cells constitutively express c-MYC (high-MYC), however addition of doxycycline and β -estradiol for 72 h potently downregulates expression, resulting in a low-MYC state (Fig 4C, S10). Cells in each state were exposed to a short

treatment with **IMP-2373**, (25 μ M, 1 h), and whole proteome and activity-based proteomic analyses undertaken to enable differential quantification of overall protein expression and probe labeling in high- vs low-MYC cells, for each probe-treated or vehicle-treated condition (Fig 4D). **IMP-2373** captured the activity of 38 DUBs across MYC high and low cells (Fig S11-S14), and this broad coverage of DUBs by both ABPP and quantitative whole proteome analysis allowed direct comparisons between DUB abundance and activity across high-and low-MYC cell lines.

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Figure 4. Cyanopyrrolidine ABP **IMP-2373** can be applied to profile inhibitors and to monitor DUB activity in response to differential MYC expression. Target engagement of either compound **IMP-1711-S** (UCHL1 active inhibitor), **IMP-1711-R** (UCHL1 inactive inhibitor) (A), or **FT385** (USP30 selective inhibitor) (B) was captured by competition ABPP with CNPy probe **IMP-2373**. TL – Total Lysate; PD-Pull down. The corresponding workflow and uncropped images of Fig. 4A-B are shown in Fig. S9A-E in the Supporting Information. (C) Western blot validation of statistically significant MYC protein level reduction in P493-6 cells in response to treatment with doxycycline and β -estradiol for 72 h. The replicates images of Fig. 4C are shown in Fig. S10 in the Supporting Information. (D) Experimental design to detect changes in DUB activity in response to MYC, as measured by ABPP and whole proteome profiling with and without CNPy ABP **IMP-2373** (25 µM, 1 h, n = 3).

Whilst the abundance of most DUBs showed only small changes between MYC states, global DUB activity was markedly lower in high-MYC cells (Fig S13A-B, S14A), and changes in DUB activity and abundance were essentially uncoupled (Fig S14B). Statistically significant upregulation of activity relative to abundance was observed in low-MYC cells for multiple DUBs, including UCHL3, USP7, USP47, USP10 and ATXN3, offering the first insights into MYC-dependent differential regulation of DUB activity in intact cells (Fig 4E, S13B).

ABPs are well-established for certain enzyme classes, such as fluorophosphonate ABPs for serine hydrolases.^[34,35] A similar "in-family pan-reactive" ABP for DUBs could enable detection of changes in enzyme activity in response to specific cellular perturbations, and elucidation of how DUBs drive particular

RESEARCH ARTICLE

cellular phenotypes.^[36] In this work, we introduce CNPy probe IMP-2373 as a versatile in-cell DUB ABP, with applications including inhibitor profiling and quantification of DUB activity changes in a biological context. DUB substrate selectivity is typically driven by extensive macromolecular interactions, presenting a significant challenge for small molecule DUB probe design which must retain small size to avoid cell impermeability, as is the case for 8.5 kDa Ub ABPs. Covalent capture of the DUB active enzyme site with an electrophilic warhead offers a potentially powerful approach for potent and selective DUB inhibition, however challenges remain in tuning such warheads towards DUBs. Several studies point to cyanopyrrolidines as a privileged warhead for DUBs, with enhanced reactivity toward the DUB active site relative to other classes of protease, including a recent report suggesting that this warhead may organize the DUB catalytic site in UCHL1.^[17,19,20,24,25,37]

CNPy probe IMP-2373 exhibits a clear preference for DUBs over other proteins in general, and even within the hydrolase class, resulting in greatly reduced cytotoxicity compared to previous designs. However, whilst labeling can be readily achieved at sub-toxic concentrations in multiple cell lines, IMP-2373 retains residual labeling activity at eight conserved off-target proteins (Fig S6D) which may perturb cellular phenotype. Additionally, whilst competition against a Ub-ABP (Fig 3B) and competition against inhibitors (Fig. 4A, 4B) indicate robust dose-responsive labeling by IMP-2373, ABPP experiments with probe alone suggests variable and even counter-intuitive inverse dose responses for some DUBs (Fig 3A). The cause of this phenomenon remains unclear, but it may be in part due to changes in DUB turnover triggered by high target occupancy, or probe-activated cellular stress pathways.^[38] Caution should therefore be exercised when selecting concentration and incubation time for in-cell probe applications. Our comprehensive study suggests that the working concentration range of the probe should not exceed 50 µM, and that one-hour treatment with ca. 10-25 µM probe is sufficient to capture most DUB activities. However lower probe concentrations may permit capture of specific highly engaged DUB subsets, such as the UCHL family. Future probe optimization could be achieved by mining the rapidly growing biochemical CNPy DUB inhibitor patent literature^[23-25,39-42] coupled with systematic docking analyses across known and predicted human DUB structures (e.g. AlphaFold)^[43] to optimize the scaffold attached to the CNPy warhead for DUBs over off-target proteins, or for a particular DUB subfamily. The present probe design requires two-step labeling, and although this adds an additional click ligation step and requires removal of excess reagents through precipitation prior to enrichment, the small alkyne handle minimizes negative impacts on probe physicochemical properties and cell uptake.[44] In conjunction with conventional structure-based design and optimization, this approach may eventually permit discovery of even more potent and selective DUB-privileged small molecules and ABPs for future fundamental biology and therapeutic application.

To our knowledge, the present ABPP experiments using IMP-2373 in intact B cell lymphoma cells provide the first evidence for dynamic changes in DUB activity uncoupled from changes in abundance, as a result of oncogenic MYC deregulation. Interestingly, our results also suggest that MYC deregulation may provoke downregulation of multiple DUB activities, which we hypothesize is consistent with adaptation to increased protein translation in these cells,^[31] as it will tend to upregulate ubiquitination, protein degradation and protein turnover. In future it will be interesting to explore potentially cytotoxic activation of UCHL3, USP7, USP47, USP10 or ATXN3 in MYC-deregulated cancers, and to investigate the substrate profiles of these enzymes in MYC-deregulated cells. Further to this, we suggest that IMP-2373 could be applied to understand changes in DUB activity in other pathological and physiological processes featuring rapid changes in protein turnover, such as the switch to cellular quiescence^[45] or senescence in cancer,^[46] UPSindependent but Ub-dependent degradation pathways such as autophagy or mitophagy,^[47] or host-pathogen interactions, for example during infection by viruses which hijack endogenous DUB activity.^[48]

Conclusion

By exploring a diverse range of electrophiles attached to a constant 4-methylpyrrole benzylamide scaffold, we have identified cyanopyrrolidine as a privileged warhead for broad spectrum ABPs targeting DUB enzymes. CNPy probe **IMP-2373** represents the most potent and selective pan-DUB small molecule ABP reported to date and permits profiling of DUB activities and DUB inhibitors in intact cells, providing a useful complement to Ub ABPs in studies to uncover regulation of DUB activity.

Acknowledgements

Associated content

Supporting Information 1. Supplementary figures for additional experimental results (Figures S1-14) .pdf

Supplementary Information 2. Additional experimental details, materials, and methods .pdf

Supplementary Information 3. ¹H NMR spectra of final chemical probes.pdf

The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE^[49] partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD035417. Reviewer account details are as follows:

Username: reviewer_pxd035417@ebi.ac.uk Password: V4mSEeOH

Author information

Present Addresses

[†]Auckland Cancer Society Research Centre, Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, University of Auckland, 85 Park Road, Grafton, Auckland, 1023, New Zealand.

7

Author Contributions

D.C. and F.C. contributed equally to this work.

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

The authors thank L. Haigh (Department of Chemistry Mass Spectrometry Facility, Imperial College London) for assistance in acquiring nanoLC-MS/MS data, and Linxin Wu, Renyuan Hong, Youzhen Wu and Edelweiss Evrard for their synthetic contributions and outsourcing support.

Funding Sources

This study was supported by Pfizer Inc.

Abbreviations

DUB, Deubiquitinase; Ub, ubiquitin; UPS, ubiquitin proteasome system; ABP, activity-based probe; Ub-ABP, Ub-activity-based probe; CNPy, cyanopyrrolidine.

Conflicts of Interest

Jaimeen D. Majmudar, Christopher am Ende, Dafydd Owen, Monica Schenone, Dahye Kang, Liang Xue, Sheila Kantesaria and Linda Lohr are/were employees of Pfizer during the execution of this work. Edward W. Tate is a founding director and shareholder of Myricx Pharma Ltd., an advisor of and holds share options in Sasmara Therapeutics and receives current or recent funding from Myricx Pharma Ltd, Pfizer Ltd, Kura Oncology, AstraZeneca, Merck & Co., GSK.

Keywords: Deubiquitinase, ubiquitin activity-based probe,

chemical probe, electrophilic warhead, MYC-deregulated cancer.

Reference

- D. Komander, M. Rape, Annu Rev Biochem 2012, 81, 203-229. [1]
- J. Peng, D. Schwartz, J. E. Elias, C. C. Thoreen, D. Cheng, G. Marsischky, J. Roelofs, D. Finley, S. P. Gygi, *Nat Biotechnol* **2003**, [2] 21, 921-926.
- [3] K. N. Swatek, D. Komander, Cell Res 2016, 26, 399-422.
- D. L. Haakonsen, M. Rape, Trends Cell Biol 2019, 29, 704-716. [4]
- J. A. Harrigan, X. Jacq, N. M. Martin, S. P. Jackson, Nat Rev Drug [5] Discov 2018, 17, 57-77.
- [6] D. S. Hewings, J. A. Flygare, M. Bogyo, I. E. Wertz, FEBS Journal 2017, 284, 1555-1576
- B. T. Gutierrez-Diaz, W. Gu, P. Ntziachristos, Trends Immunol [7] 2020, 41, 327-340.
- [8] J. A. Ward, A. Pinto-Fernandez, L. Cornelissen, S. Bonham, L. Díaz-Sáez, O. Riant, K. V. M. Huber, B. M. Kessler, O. Feron, E. W. Tate, J Med Chem 2020, 63, 3756-3762.
- J. W. Bushman, K. A. Donovan, N. J. Schauer, X. Liu, W. Hu, A. C. [9] Varca, S. J. Buhrlage, E. S. Fischer, Cell Chem Biol 2021, 28, 78-87
- H. J. Benns, C. J. Wincott, E. W. Tate, M. A. Child, Curr Opin [10] Chem Biol 2021, 60, 20-29.
- A. Borodovsky, H. Ovaa, N. Kolli, T. Gan-Erdene, K. D. Wilkinson, [11] H. L. Ploegh, B. M. Kessler, *Chem Biol* **2002**, *9*, 1149–1159. P. Gong, G. A. Davidson, W. Gui, K. Yang, W. P. Bozza, Z.
- [12] Zhuang, Chem Sci 2018, 9, 7859-7865.
- [13] J. F. McGouran, S. R. Gaertner, M. Altun, H. B. Kramer, B. M. Kessler, Chem Biol 2013, 20, 1447-1455

WILEY-VCH

- A. C. Varca, D. Casalena, W. C. Chan, B. Hu, R. S. Magin, R. M. Roberts, X. Liu, H. Zhu, H. S. Seo, S. Dhe-Paganon, J. A. Marto, [14] D. Auld, S. J. Buhrlage, Cell Chem Biol 2021, 28, 1758-1771.
- [15] W. Gui, C. A. Ott, K. Yang, J. S. Chung, S. Shen, Z. Zhuang, J Am Chem Soc 2018, 140, 12424-12433.
- [16] D. Conole, M. Mondal, J. D. Majmudar, E. W. Tate, Front Chem 2019, 7, DOI 10.3389/fchem.2019.00876.
- A. D. Krabill, H. Chen, S. Hussain, C. Feng, A. Abdullah, C. Das, [17] U. K. Aryal, C. B. Post, M. K. Wendt, P. J. Galardy, D. P. Flaherty, ChemBioChem 2020, 21, 712–722. A. D. Krabill, H. Chen, S. Hussain, C. S. Hewitt, R. D. Imhoff, C. S.
- [18] Muli, C. Das, P. J. Galardy, M. K. Wendt, D. P. Flaherty, Molecules 2021, 26, DOI 10.3390/molecules26051227.
- N. Panyain, A. Godinat, T. Lanyon-Hogg, S. Lachiondo-Ortega, E. J. Will, C. Soudy, M. Mondal, K. Mason, S. Elkhalifa, L. M. Smith, [19] J. A. Harrigan, E. W. Tate, J Am Chem Soc 2020, 142, 12020-12026.
- R. Kooij, S. Liu, A. Sapmaz, B. T. Xin, G. M. C. Janssen, P. A. van Veelen, H. Ovaa, P. ten Dijke, P. P. Geurink, *J Am Chem Soc* **2020**, *142*, 16825–16841. [20]
- J. A. Ward, L. McLellan, M. Stockley, K. R. Gibson, G. A. Whitlock, [21] C. Knights, J. A. Harrigan, X. Jacq, E. W. Tate, ACS Chem Biol 2016, 11, 3268-3272.
- [22] R. Lonsdale, J. Burgess, N. Colclough, N. L. Davies, E. M. Lenz, A. L. Orton, R. A. Ward, J Chem Inf Model 2017, 57, 3124–3137.
- [23] M. L. Stockley, M. I. Kemp, A. Madin, M. D. Woodrow, A. Jones, Cyanopyrolidine Derivatives with Activity as Inhibitors of USP30, 2018, WO 2018/060689 AI.
- M. I. Kemp, M. Stockley, A. Jones, Cyanopyrolidines as DUB [24] Inhibitors for the Treatment of Cancer, 2018, US 2018 / 0194724
- [25] K. R. Gibson, A. Jones, A. Madin, M. I. Kemp, G. A. Whitlock, M. L. Stockley, M. D. Woodrow, Novel Compounds, 2017, WO2017141036A1.
- P. C. Sanchez-Diaz, J. C. Chang, E. S. Moses, T. Dao, Y. Chen, J.
 Y. Hung, *PLoS One* 2017, *12*, DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0176879.
 A. C. M. Van Esbroeck, A. P. A. Janssen, A. B. Cognetta, D. [26]
- [27] Ogasawara, G. Shpak, M. Van Der Kroeg, V. Kantae, M. P. Baggelaar, F. M. S. De Vrij, H. Deng, M. Allarà, F. Fezza, Z. Lin, T. Van Der Wel, M. Soethoudt, E. D. Mock, H. Den Dulk, I. L. Baak, B. I. Florea, G. Hendriks, L. De Petrocellis, H. S. Overkleeft, T. Hankemeier, C. I. De Zeeuw, V. Di Marzo, M. Maccarrone, B. F. Cravatt, S. A. Kushner, M. Van Der Stelt, Science (1979) 2017, 356, 1084-1087.
- [28] E. V Rusilowicz-Jones, J. Jardine, A. Kallinos, A. Pinto-Fernandez, F. Guenther, M. Giurrandino, F. G. Barone, K. McCarron, C. J. Burke, A. Murad, A. Martinez, E. Marcassa, M. Gersch, A. J. Buckmelter, K. J. Kayser-Bricker, F. Lamoliatte, A. Gajbhiye, S. Davis, H. C. Scott, E. Murphy, K. England, H. Mortiboys, D. Komander, M. Trost, B. M. Kessler, S. Ioannidis, M. K. Ahlijanian, S. Urbé, M. J. Clague, Life Sci Alliance 2020, 3, e202000768.
- [29] A. Pajic, D. Spitkovsky, B. Christoph, B. Kempkes, M. Schuhmacher, M. S. Staege, M. Brielmeier, J. Ellwart, F Kohlhuber, G. W. Bornkamm, A. Polack, D. Eick, Int J Cancer 2000, 87, 787-793.
- L. Schukur, T. Zimmermann, O. Niewoehner, G. Kerr, S. Gleim, B. [30] Bauer-Probst, B. Knapp, G. G. Galli, X. Liang, A. Mendiola, J. Reece-Hoyes, M. Rapti, I. Barbosa, M. Reschke, T. Radimerski, C. R. Thoma, *Sci Rep* **2020**, *10*, DOI 10.1038/s41598-020-76960-z.
- G. A. Lueg, M. Faronato, A. Gorelik, A. Goya Grocin, F. Falciani, [31] R. Solari, R. Carr, A. S. Bell, J. A. Hutton, M. Llorian-Sopena, P. Chakravarty, B. Brzezicha, M. Janz, M. J. Garnett, D. P. Calado, E. W. Tate, bioRxiv 2021, DOI 10.1101/2021.03.20.436222
- [32] R. Tu, W. Kang, M. Yang, L. Wang, Q. Bao, Z. Chen, Y. Dong, J. Wang, J. Jiang, H. Liu, G. Qing, Oncogene 2021, 40, 6417-6429. [33] S. E. Moree, L. Maneix, P. Iakova, F. Stossi, E. Sahin, A. Catic,
- Cancers (Basel) 2022, 14, DOI 10.3390/cancers14030806.
- [34] D. Leung, C. Hardouin, D. L. Boger, B. F. Cravatt, Nat Biotechnol 2003, 687-691
- J. Z. Long, B. F. Cravatt, Chem Rev 2011, 111, 6022-6063. [35]
- [36] F. Faucher, J. M. Bennett, M. Bogyo, S. Lovell, Cell Chem Biol 2020, 27, 937-952.
- [37] C. Grethe, M. Schmidt, G.-M. Kipka, R. O'Dea, K. Gallant, P. Janning, M. Gersch, Nat Commun 2022, 13, 5950.
- E. V. Vinogradova, X. Zhang, D. Remillard, D. C. Lazar, R. M. [38] Suciu, Y. Wang, G. Bianco, Y. Yamashita, V. M. Crowley, M. A. Schafroth, M. Yokoyama, D. B. Konrad, K. M. Lum, G. M. Simon,

Accepted Manuscr

RESEARCH ARTICLE

E. K. Kemper, M. R. Lazear, S. Yin, M. M. Blewett, M. M. Dix, N. Nguyen, M. N. Shokhirev, E. N. Chin, L. L. Lairson, B. Melillo, S. L. Schreiber, S. Forli, J. R. Teijaro, B. F. Cravatt, Cell 2020, 182, 1009-1026.

- [39] M. L. Stockley, M. I. Kemp, Substituted Cyanopyrolidines with Activuty as DUB Inhibitors, 2018, Wo2018234775A1.
- [40] A. Jones, M. I. Kemp, M. L. Stockley, M. D. Woodrow, 1-Cyano-Pyrolidine Derivatives as DUB Inhibitors, 2017, WO2017163078A1.
- J. R. Butler, D. Erlanson, R. Graceffa, J. Iwig, J. W. Jeong, R. D. [41] White, Y. Wu, S. Yi, A. Banerjee, J. M. Mcfarland, X. M. Zheng, N-Cyano-7-Azanorbornane Derivatives and Uses Thereof, 2020, WO2020036940A1.
- [42] M. I. Kemp, M. D. Woodrow, Cyanopyrolidine Derivatives as
- M. I. Kemp, M. D. Woodrow, Cyanopyrolidine Derivatives as Inhibitors for DUBs, 2017, WO2017109488A1.
 J. Jumper, R. Evans, A. Pritzel, T. Green, M. Figurnov, O. Ronneberger, K. Tunyasuvunakool, R. Bates, A. Židek, A. Potapenko, A. Bridgland, C. Meyer, S. A. A. Kohl, A. J. Ballard, A. Cowie, B. Romera-Paredes, S. Nikolov, R. Jain, J. Adler, T. Back, S. Petersen, D. Reiman, E. Clancy, M. Zielinski, M. Steinegger, M. [43] Pacholska, T. Berghammer, S. Bodenstein, D. Silver, O. Vinyals, A. W. Senior, K. Kavukcuoglu, P. Kohli, D. Hassabis, Nature 2021, 596, 583-589.
- [44] W. W. Kallemeijn, T. Lanyon-Hogg, N. Panyain, A. Goya Grocin, P. Ciepla, J. Morales-Sanfrutos, E. W. Tate, Nat Protoc 2021, 16, 5083-5122.
- [45] P. Baldominos, A. Barbera-Mourelle, O. Barreiro, Y. Huang, A. Wight, J. W. Cho, X. Zhao, G. Estivill, I. Adam, X. Sanchez, S. McCarthy, J. Schaller, Z. Khan, A. Ruzo, R. Pastorello, E. T. Richardson, D. Dillon, P. Montero-Llopis, R. Barroso-Sousa, J. Forman, S. A. Shukla, S. M. Tolaney, E. A. Mittendorf, U. H. von Andrian, K. W. Wucherpfennig, M. Hemberg, J. Agudo, Cell 2022, 185, 1694–1708.
- D. Hanahan, Cancer Discov 2022, 12, 31-46. [46]
- [47]
- D. Handrian, Carleer Discov 2022, 12, 31–40.
 T. Csizmadia, P. Löw, Int J Mol Sci 2020, 21, 4196.
 W. Gao, Y. Rui, G. Li, C. Zhai, J. Su, H. Liu, W. Zheng, B. Zheng, W. Zhang, Y. Yang, S. Hua, X. Yu, Front Immunol 2021, 12, DOI 10.3389/fimmu.2021.740713. [48]
- Y. Perez-Riverol, J. Bai, C. Bandla, D. García-Seisdedos, S. [49] Hewapathirana, S. Kamatchinathan, D. J. Kundu, A. Prakash, A. Frericks-Zipper, M. Eisenacher, M. Walzer, S. Wang, A. Brazma, J. A. Vizcaíno, Nucleic Acids Res 2022, 50, D543–D552.

Entry for the Table of Contents



IMP-2373 is the first activity-based probe that captures the activity of a large proportion of the "DUBome" across multiple deubiquitinase (DUB) sub-classes with limited off-target effects in living cells, providing a complementary tool to ubiquitin ABPs, which function only in lysates. This probe enables researchers to profile DUB inhibitors target engagement and selectivity in cells, and to understand DUB activity in disease-relevant systems.

Institute and/or researcher Twitter usernames: @TateScience; @ConoleDaniel; @Fanlin53263223, @Pfizer; @MajmudarJaimeen



This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.