#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Lan Gong, University of New South Wales, Australia

REVIEWED BY Catherine Chaput, NEC Laboratories Europe, Germany Ming Shi, Harbin Institute of Technology, China Xinyuan Zhao, Nantong University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Desen Sun Sundesen@nbu.edu.cn Jinghao Sheng jhsheng@zju.edu.cn

RECEIVED 08 August 2023 ACCEPTED 14 September 2023 PUBLISHED 29 September 2023

#### CITATION

Sun D, Chen P, Xi Y and Sheng J (2023) From trash to treasure: the role of bacterial extracellular vesicles in gut health and disease. *Front. Immunol.* 14:1274295. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1274295

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Sun, Chen, Xi and Sheng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# From trash to treasure: the role of bacterial extracellular vesicles in gut health and disease

Desen Sun<sup>1\*</sup>, Pan Chen<sup>1</sup>, Yang Xi<sup>1</sup> and Jinghao Sheng<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Zhejiang Key Laboratory of Pathophysiology, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Health Science Center, Ningbo University, Ningbo, China, <sup>2</sup>Affiliated Hangzhou First People's Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, China

Bacterial extracellular vesicles (BEVs) have emerged as critical factors involved in gut health regulation, transcending their traditional roles as byproducts of bacterial metabolism. These vesicles function as cargo carriers and contribute to various aspects of intestinal homeostasis, including microbial balance, antimicrobial peptide secretion, physical barrier integrity, and immune system activation. Therefore, any imbalance in BEV production can cause several gut-related issues including intestinal infection, inflammatory bowel disease, metabolic dysregulation, and even cancer. BEVs derived from beneficial or commensal bacteria can act as potent immune regulators and have been implicated in maintaining gut health. They also show promise for future clinical applications in vaccine development and tumor immunotherapy. This review examines the multifaceted role of BEVs in gut health and disease, and also delves into future research directions and potential applications.

#### KEYWORDS

bacterial extracellular vesicles, outer membranes vesicles, gut health, intestinal barriers, immune barrier, inflammatory bowel disease, cancer, gut disease treatments

# Introduction

The gut is an intricate and dynamic ecosystem that plays a pivotal role in human health and disease (1-3). Housing approximately 100 trillion organisms, the influence of the gut microbiota extends beyond simple digestion (1, 4). They can shape metabolic functions, influence epithelial barrier integrity, regulate immune responses (5-7). These microorganisms interact with host cells in numerous ways, from direct cellular adhesion or invasion to the release of cell wall components and the secretion of metabolically functional products (8-10). Emerging research recognizes that bacteria can modulate gut health via producing bacterial extracellular vesicles (BEVs) (11).

BEVs represent a class of cellular products secreted by both gram-negative and positive bacteria (12–14). These vesicles are usually 20 – 400 nm in diameter and have a bilayer lipid membrane structure with a similar composition to that of the parent membrane (15). Protected by the membrane, BEVs encapsulate various substances including virulence factors, proteins, nucleic acids, and lipids (13). The primary function of BEVs are considered as an excretion

system for the disposal of unwanted metabolites and misfolded proteins (16). Moreover, BEVs are found to function as signal and material transmission tools that mediate bacteria-bacteria and bacteriahost interactions (13, 15). BEVs can aid bacteria in nutrient acquisition, resistance to antibiotics or antimicrobial peptides (AMPs), and elimination of specific microbes (17). Meanwhile, BEVs can deliver virulence factors and toxins to host cells, thereby disrupt barrier integrity, induce inflammation, and even promote carcinogenesis (18). Nevertheless, the BEVs from certain beneficial or commensal bacteria are contribute to host health maintenance by triggering a host defence response or immune activation (11).

In this review, we consolidate the published evidence demonstrating the impact of BEVs on gut health, particularly their role in regulating the integrity and function of the intestinal barrier. We also highlight the significant roles of BEVs in various gut diseases, including infection, inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), gut-related metabolic diseases, and gastrointestinal tumors. We discuss the limitations of current research on BEVs in the gut, while concurrently exploring their potential therapeutic applications in gut disease treatment.

# Biogenesis and types of BEVs in the gut

The gastrointestinal tract harbors a dynamic and symbiotic microbial ecosystem (19). These microorganisms exhibit remarkable metabolic abilities and continuously secrete BEVs into the lumen. Recent studies have reported a significant concentration of  $8 \times 10^{12}$  BEVs per milliliter in a solution containing 20 g of stool resuspended in 100 ml phosphate-buffered saline (20). Typically, these BEVs are classified into outer membrane vesicles (OMVs) and cytoplasmic membrane vesicles (CMVs), based on their constituent parts and unique biogenesis pathways (13).

The ability of gram-negative bacteria to secrete membrane vesicles originating from their outer membranes, termed as OMVs, was discovered over fifty years ago (14, 21). Subsequent research has revealed that gram-negative bacteria generate several types of BEVs under various conditions, including OMVs, outer inner membrane vesicles (OIMVs), and explosive outer membrane vesicles (EOMVs) (14, 22). Traditional OMVs are formed through a process known as "blebbing" (or the non-lytic route), resulting in a vesicle encapsulated in a single membrane bilayer (Figure 1A) (22). OMV generation is attributed to several mechanisms, including reduced outer membrane-peptidoglycan connection linkages, increased membrane curvature, increased periplasmic pressure, and flagellar rotation (22-24). Additionally, during genotoxic stress, gramnegative bacteria may utilize explosive cell lysis (or the lytic route) to produce OIMVs and EOMVs (13, 22). The prominent feature of these vesicles is both OIMVs and EOMVs contain many cytoplasmic components; moreover, OIMVs have two membrane bilavers, derived from the outer and inner membranes. (Figure 1A) (25).

Although enveloped in a dense peptidoglycan layer, grampositive bacteria have evolved to generate their own types of vesicles, termed as CMVs (14). Similar to OMVs, these vesicles are



Types and generation models of BEVs. (A) Gram-negative bacteria can release OMVs by blebbing of the outer membrane (left panel). Vesicles produced by explosive cell lysis are named explosive outer membrane vesicles (EOMVs) and outer-inner membrane vesicles (OIMVs), which are triggered by phage-derived endolysin that degrades the peptidoglycan layer (right panel). EOMVs and OIMVs randomly contain cytoplasmic components, while OMVs don't directly package cytoplasmic components. (B) Gram-positive bacteria can secret cytoplasmic membrane vesicles (CMVs) (left panel). Stress induced Gram-positive bacteria lysis, named "bubbling cell death", can lead to the release of ECMV (right panel)

encased in a lipid bilayer derived from the cytoplasmic membrane of the parent bacteria and exhibit a comparable size range (Figure 1B). The precise process underlying CMVs biogenesis remains elusive; however, a series of pivotal steps have been identified (26-28). First is cytoplasmic membrane budding, prompted by the accumulation of specific phospholipids in the outer leaflet of the membrane (26). Next is the formation and release of CMVs from the plasma; this is influenced by lipoprotein content reduction, which increases membrane fluidity, and accumulation of phenol-soluble modulins, which disrupt membranes due to their surfactant-like properties and amphipathic helical structure (26, 29). The final step is the passage of CMV through the cell wall. This process is facilitated by peptidoglycan-degrading enzymes (27, 29). In addition, explosive CMVs (ECMVs) can be formed in gram-positive bacteria via "bubbling cell death", which is similar to EOMV biogenesis (13). In this process, the release of CMVs under SOS response-inducing conditions is facilitated via prophage-derived endolysins (Figure 1B) (13, 30). However, the comprehensive elucidation of CMVs biogenesis in gram-positive bacteria remains unclear.

In addition, evidence suggests that BEV generation is accurately regulated. Recent studies on Salmonella enterica have indicated that the production of OMVs is upregulated by its PhoPQ system when attacked by host innate immunity (31). Antibiotic-induced oxidative stress in S. aureus triggers CMV production via increasing permeability of the peptidoglycan layer. Genetic regulation of vesiculation has also been investigated, with disruptions in gene encoding factor  $\sigma$  B (sigB) in Listeria monocytogenes (L. monocytogenes) (32) or the two-component system CovRS in Streptococcus pyogenes (33) resulting in altered CMVs production, which indicates a regulatory role in vesicle biogenesis. Furthermore, the cargos contained in OMVs are rigorously controlled (34, 35). The lipoprotein composition between the outer membrane of Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron and its OMVs were found to be significantly different (36). Moreover, a study showed that the exposure of Pseudomonas aeruginosa (P. aeruginosa) to the epoxide epibromohydrin resulted in the significant upregulation of the epoxide hydrolase (Cif) and outer membrane protein OprF in its OMVs (37).

### Role of BEVs in gut homeostasis

Gut homeostasis is fundamentally reliant on an intact barrier function composed of microbial, chemical, physical, and immune barriers that work together to form a defense line from the lumen to the basal layer (38–41). BEVs, which are products of gut commensal bacteria (42), serve as key messengers and regulators in this environment. They facilitate a range of interactions with the gut barrier that contribute to the maintenance of gut health (Figure 2).

#### Microbial barrier

The healthy gut microbiota is referred to as the microbial barrier, and comprises various species of commensal intestinal bacteria (43). These species either compete or cooperate to establish a balanced microbial community (43), which is critical for resisting the colonization, growth, and invasion of pathogenic microorganisms (44). BEVs can modulate the equilibrium of the gut microbiota in several ways (Figure 2B). First, BEVs promote the survival of their parent bacterium or other bacteria. For instance, P. aeruginosa OMVs carry many Pseudomonas quinolone signals, which can bind iron, an essential element for bacterial viability, and bring it to the outer membrane of the parent bacterium via fusion (18). Similarly, OMVs from Akkermansia muciniphila (A. muciniphila) can restore the disturbed balance of gut microbiota via selectively promoting the proliferation of beneficial bacteria through membrane fusion (45). Second, bacteria release BEVs as a defense mechanism against phage infections. For example, Manning et al. reported that the co-incubation of OMVs collected from Escherichia coli (E. coli) and T4 bacteriophages resulted in a significant reduction in the active phage number (46). Similarly, Reyes-Robles et al. found that Vibrio cholerae (V. cholerae) secreted OMVs carrying phage receptors as a defense mechanism that conferred protection against phage predation (47). Finally, BEVs function as tools to eliminate other bacteria. Li et al. reported that OMVs from 15 strains of gram-negative bacteria, including many commensal or pathogenic gut bacteria, such as Enterobacter, Escherichia, Morganella, Salmonella, and Shigella strains, could lyse many gram-positive and gram-negative cultures. Peptidoglycan hydrolases associated with BEVs are thought to account for bacterial lysis (48). Growing evidence has supported the antimicrobial functions of BEVs. For instance, OMVs from P. aeruginosa can kill competitor species such as S. aureus via peptidoglycan hydrolases, antimicrobial 4-hydroxy-3-methyl-2-(2-non-enyl)-quinoline, and rhamnolipid (49). OMVs from Lysobacter and Myxococcus contain a toxic mixture of bioactive compounds and lytic enzymes capable of killing the surrounding microbes (50).

### Chemical barrier

The intestinal chemical barrier is composed of AMPs and other antibacterial substances, such as bile acids (41), and inhibits growth of certain bacteria and segregates intestinal bacteria from intestinal epithelial cells. Several studies have suggested that BEVs can disrupt the function of the chemical barrier (Figure 2C). Nakayama-Imaohji et al. reported that a Bacteroides fragilis (B. fragilis) strain with hypervesiculating mutants (which release more OMVs) showed higher resistance to treatment with AMPs, such as LL-37 and defensin-2 (51). Similarly, Urashima et al. found that the outer membrane protein T, which was specifically enriched in the OMVs of enterohemorrhagic E. coli (EHEC), broke down LL-37 and inhibited its antimicrobial activity, thereby enhancing EHEC survival and adaptation to the host gut environment (52). Moreover, the exposure of P. aeruginosa to lysozyme significantly enhanced OMVs release (by approximately 100-fold) (53). Analogously, in vitro studies have shown that E. coli upregulates OMVs secretion upon encountering AMPs, and the addition of E. coli OMVs has been demonstrated to increase bacterial survival in vitro when challenged with antibiotics, such as Polymyxin B and



The functions of BEVs in gut homeostasis. (A) BEVs regulate gut health by interacting with microbial, chemical, physical, and immune barriers. (B) In microbial barrier, BEVs can promote the survival of their parent bacterium or other bacteria, protect against phage infection, and kill competitor species. (C) In chemical barrier, BEVs can neutralize the function of AMPs. Nevertheless, some BEVs also act as stimulators that induce the intestine to express more AMPs thus enhance the chemical barrier. (D) In physical barrier, BEVs can damage the integrality of epithelial barrier via reducing the tight junction protein and E-cadherin, or causing epithelial cell death. On the contrary, the BEVs from some beneficial bacteria could enhance the physical barrier function. (E) In immune barrier, BEVs could stimulate epithelial cell to secret cytokines through both cell surface receptors (such as TLR4) and inter intracellular receptors (such as NOD1). Macrophage can directly recognize and uptake BEVs and then activate inflammasome and secret cytokines. DCs can detect the polysaccharide (PSA) from OMV then result to promote the differentiation Tregs and the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10.

colistin (54). All in all, BEVs can digest or neutralize AMPs, potentially weakening the chemical barrier function.

Contrarily, some evidences indicated that BEVs act as stimulators, inducing the intestine to increase AMP expression, thereby enhancing the chemical barrier (Figure 2C). For instance, Kaparakis et al. discovered that OMVs from P. aeruginosa and Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori), which contained peptidoglycans, could induce epithelial cells to express human  $\beta$ -defensins (HBD), such as HBD2 and HBD3 (55). Lactobacillus derived CMVs have also been reported to stimulate the expression of the AMP REG3G, a c-type lectin, thus promoting the chemical barrier of the gastrointestinal tract and providing protection against pathogens (56). OMVs released from A. muciniphila were recently reported to stimulate goblet cells to produce mucus (45), which resisted the adhesion and stimulation of pathogenic bacteria to gut epithelial cells. These findings suggest that some BEVs can stimulate intestinal cells, leading to increased AMP and mucus production, thereby enhancing the chemical barrier.

#### **Physical barrier**

The intestinal epithelial barrier, a physical partition separating the body's internal environment from the lumen, is composed of a single layer of epithelial cells interconnected via tight junction proteins, such as occludin, claudins, and zonula occludens (39, 57). Although this physical barrier effectively limits the intrusion of most harmful substances, BEVs have been shown to internalize or permeate it (58). BEVs penetrate non-phagocytic host cells via five primary mechanisms: clathrin-mediated endocytosis, caveolinmediated endocytosis, lipid raft-mediated endocytosis, macropinocytosis, and membrane fusion (17, 59). BEVs can concurrently utilize one or more pathways to infiltrate host cells, depending on their size and components. For example, OMVs derived from *H. pylori* were found to enter epithelial cells via four different mechanisms (55, 60, 61).

Once internalized, BEVs traverse the endolysosomal pathway and are subsequently degraded in lysosomes or autophagosomes (62); however, recent studies suggest that some BEVs can escape degradation and deliver their cargos into cells. Bielaszewska et al. demonstrated that after the OMVs of EHEC O157 were internalized in early endosomes through a process reliant on dynamindependent endocytosis, virulence factors, including Shiga toxin 2a (Stx2a), cytolethal distending toxin V (CdtV), and EHEC hemolysin, were separately transported from the vesicles via intracellular trafficking (63). Although the precise mechanisms of BEVs internalization and cargo transport remain unclear, BEVs are surmised act as a significant cargo delivery system to intestinal epithelial cells, influencing the function and integrity of the physical barrier of the gut.

Evidence suggests that some gut pathogenic bacteria can damage the intestinal barrier via BEVs (Figure 2D). Upon internalization in human intestinal epithelial cells, gram-negative bacterial OMVs release lipopolysaccharides (LPS) into the cytosol (64), facilitated by sorting nexin 10 (SNX10), which activates caspase-5. This leads to Lyn phosphorylation, subsequently downregulating E-cadherin expression and impairing the intestinal barrier (64). EHEC O157 OMVs can disrupt the barrier through two pathways: the release of hemolysin, which increases mitochondrial permeability and triggers apoptosis (65), and the discharge of CdtV-B, which causes DNA damage and induces apoptosis (66). Moreover, OMVs of the pathogen Fusobacterium nucleatum (F. nucleatum) can activate the FADD-RIPK1-cCASP-3 signaling pathway, decreasing ZO-1 protein and increasing apoptosis, thereby damaging the epithelial barrier (67). Similarly, Campylobacter jejuni releases OMVs containing toxins that harm cellular DNA and impair the intestinal barrier (68-70). V. cholerae OMVs carry active proteases that induce apoptosis or necrosis, causing epithelial barrier loss during infection (71). Finally, Enterotoxigenic B. fragilis releases OMVs along with B. fragilis toxin, which disrupt the intestinal barrier via cleaving E-cadherin and affecting the zonula adherens and tight junctions in the intestinal epithelium (72).

Despite their disruptive potential, BEVs do not always impair the intestinal epithelial barrier (Figure 2D). The probiotic *E. coli* Nissle 1917 and commensal ECOR63 enhance barrier function via increasing tight junction protein expression (73, 74). Furthermore, OMVs produced by *E. coli* C25, a commensal bacterium, trigger a moderate release of the proinflammatory interleukin 8 (IL-8) and stimulate the transcriptional upregulation of Toll-like receptors (TLRs) in intestinal epithelial cell lines, subsequently enhancing the barrier function of epithelial cells and inhibiting bacterial internalization (75). Similarly, OMVs released from *A. muciniphila* help maintain the integrity of the intestinal barrier via penetrating the intestinal epithelial cells and boosting the expression of tight junction proteins and mucus (45, 76).

#### Immune barrier

The gut immune barrier, primarily comprising immune cells including macrophages, dendritic cells (DCs), lymphocytes, mast cells, and natural killer cells, resides in the lamina propria or Peyer's patch, situated beneath the physical barrier (77). They can gather information from the intestinal epithelial cells which produce a range of immunoregulatory signals (78). Furthermore, they directly recognize and accept certain bacterial components that permeate this barrier (79).

BEVs can stimulate intestinal epithelial cells to secrete various cytokines and chemokines that play pivotal roles in modulating intestinal immune functions (Figure 2E). For instance, *F. nucleatum* releases OMVs that stimulate epithelial cells, thereby increasing the activation of p-ERK, p-CREB, and NF- $\kappa$ B signaling pathways. This activation subsequently upregulates proinflammatory cytokines,

including tumor necrosis factor, keratinocyte chemoattractant, IL-6, interferon (IFN)-γ, and monocyte chemoattractant protein (MCP)-1 (55). Thapa et al. analyzed the effect of BEVs derived from 32 different gut bacteria (26 gram-negative and six grampositive bacteria) on intestinal epithelial cells. Their findings revealed that BEVs could induce species-specific immune responses in these cells. OMVs from gram-negative bacteria were found to trigger a stronger proinflammatory response than CMVs from gram-positive bacteria. A large proportion of the BEVs induced a significant increase in CCL20, IL-8, and CXCL1 levels in epithelial cell lines. Their research also identified LPS as the dominant proinflammatory bacterial effector that activated the caspase- and RIPK2-dependent pathways (80). OMVs can stimulate immune responses via cell surface and intracellular receptors in epithelial cells. For example, EHEC O157 OMVs induce IL-8 production in human intestinal epithelial cells via stimulating TLR4 and TLR5 (cell surface receptors), thus activating the nuclear factor NF-KB (81). In addition, these OMVs can deliver peptidoglycan into the host cell cytosol, thereby inducing innate immune responses through a NOD1 (intracellular receptor)/NF-KB dependent, but TLR-independent, mechanism (55, 59).

BEVs can also directly engage with intestinal immune cells (59), particularly macrophages, which play vital roles in the immune barrier (Figure 2E). Research shows that macrophages can uptake gram-negative OMVs via clathrin-mediated endocytosis. LPS from these OMVs can escape from early endosomes into the cytosol, triggering the caspase-11-dependent release of IL-1ß and cell death in a dose-dependent manner (82). Previous studies found that guanylate-binding proteins recognized LPS, bound to the OMV surface, and mediated activation of the caspase-11 non-canonical inflammasome (83). Similarly, Bitto et al. reported that OMVs from P. aeruginosa directly activated the inflammasome in macrophages (84), which were dependent on caspase-5, a human homolog of murine caspase-11, highlighting another pathway of activation of immune responses in mice and humans via OMVs (83). Moreover, gram-positive bacteria can also initiate an immune response in macrophages via signaling pathways that differ significantly from those used by OMVs. Wang et al. discovered that S. aureus released CMVs that interacted with TLR2, thereby activating the NLRP3 inflammasome via potassium efflux. This led to the recruitment of apoptosis-associated speck-like protein containing a caspase recruitment domain and caspase-1 activation, resulting in the cellular release of mature cytokines IL-1 $\beta$  and IL-18 and the induction of pyroptosis (85). Conversely, CMVs from Pediococcus pentosaceus demonstrated potent anti-inflammatory properties. These CMVs facilitate the differentiation of bone marrow precursors into myeloid-derived suppressor-like cells and promote M2 macrophage polarization in vitro and in vivo (85, 86).

DCs are phagocytes and antigen-presenting cells that regulate the activation of adaptive immune responses, particularly T-helper and regulatory T (Tregs) cells (Figure 2E) (87). Shen et al. and Chu et al. demonstrated that DCs could detect polysaccharide found in *B. fragilis* OMVs via TLR2, which then activated growth arrest and DNA damage-inducible protein (Gadd45a) signaling, resulting in increased proliferation of Tregs and secretion of the antiinflammatory cytokine IL-10 (88). This immune response process protected mice from severe experimental colitis (89). However, deficiencies in ATG16L1 or NOD2, two genes associated with IBD, disrupt DC-Treg cell interactions, thereby obstructing the protective function of *B. fragilis* OMVs (89). Additionally, *E. coli* OMVs induce DCs to generate T-helper cell responses in a strainspecific manner. Non-pathogenic *E. coli* strains, *E. coli* Nissle 1917 (probiotic) and ECOR63 (commensal), trigger increased secretion of Th1 polarizing cytokines (IFN- $\gamma$  and IL-12) from DCs. Conversely, OMVs from ECOR12 (commensal) or ECOR53 (pathogenic) stimulate the production of higher levels of Tregrelated cytokines (IL-10 and TGF- $\beta$ ). Despite the differences between strains, all OMVs enhance the secretion of Th17/Th22 priming cytokines (IL-6, IL-23, tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$ , and IL-1 $\beta$ ) (58).

What's more, BEVs can access Peyer's patches and then directly interact and activate the immune cells. Wang et al. found *A. muciniphila* OMVs are able to enter Peyer's patches after direct delivery into the intestinal lumen, and induce higher production of immune active DCs with CD80 expression (45). Consequently, with the help of activated DCs, the productions of CD69<sup>+</sup> B cells and IgA<sup>+</sup> plasma cells along with total B cells are significantly augmented, thereby increasing intestinal IgA concentration (45, 90). This process is believed to reduce the relative abundance of harmful pathogens in the gut microbiota.

In conclusion, the influence of BEVs on intestinal barrier regulation is complex, with some enhancing barrier function, and others contributing to its impairment. This highlights the intricate interplay between the gut microbiota and their multifaceted effects on human health and diseases.

### BEVs and gut related diseases

Considering the significant roles of BEVs in maintaining gut homeostasis, investigation of their possible involvement in the onset and progression of gut-related diseases is appropriate. Current research indicates a key role of BEVs in various diseases related to the gut. These conditions include infections, IBD, metabolic disorders, and cancer (Table 1). BEVs, with their diverse biological functions and intricate interactions with host cells, may be pivotal in the pathogenesis of these conditions. Details of the specific roles of BEVs in each of these disease categories are discussed in the following sections.

#### Infections

Numerous studies have highlighted the role of gut bacteria in exploiting BEVs to infect and harm hosts. BEVs can neutralize AMP activation, potentially undermining the effectiveness of the host chemical barriers and enhancing their susceptibility to pathogenic infections (53, 54). Furthermore, BEVs can foster the formation of biofilms and complex microbial communities, which are implicated in gastrointestinal infections and other diseases (22, 104, 105). A significant proportion of biofilm matrix-associated proteins originate from BEVs such as OMVs produced by *P. aeruginosa* (91). Several intestinal pathogens employ BEVs as vehicles for delivering toxins to gut cells during infection. *V. cholerae*, a noninvasive gram-negative pathogen, causes cholera via colonizing the small intestine and releasing a potent enterotoxin called cholera toxin (CT). Chatterjee et al. discovered that *V. cholerae* OMVs carried copious amounts of CT and could be internalized by intestinal epithelial cells, subsequently increasing cyclic adenosine monophosphate levels in a ganglioside GM1 (CT receptor)-dependent manner (92). Similarly, *L. monocytogenes*, a gram-positive intracellular pathogen, utilizes CMVs to release toxins, including listeriolysin O and phosphatidylinositol-specific phospholipase C, which cause mammalian cytotoxicity (93).

However, not all BEV effects on infections are harmful. Evidence suggests that BEVs can bolster gut defense against bacterial infections. During these infections, OMVs deliver LPS to macrophages, inducing a caspase-11-mediated inflammatory reaction that aids the host in pathogen clearance (82). Patten et al. observed that pre-incubation of intestinal epithelial cells with *E. coli* C25-derived OMVs impeded the internalization of the parent bacterium. They suggested that this was due to the mild proinflammatory response induced by OMVs in epithelial cells, which enhanced their ability to combat infection (75).

Moreover, BEVs are critical mediators in immune training and fortifying antiviral defenses. Bhar et al. found that co-inoculation of BEVs with murine norovirus led to the enhanced production and release of proinflammatory cytokines in macrophages, suggesting the potential role of BEVs in promoting an antiviral response (94). Erttmann et al. reported that gut microbiota depletion lowered systemic tonic IFN-I levels and antiviral priming, rendering the mice more susceptible to systemic viral infections. They found that the gut microbiota released DNA-containing BEVs that could permeate the intestinal barrier and circulate in the blood, delivering foreign DNA to distal host cells, thereby activating the cGAS-STING-IFN-I-dependent pathway to protect against RNA viruses (95). Additionally, Frantz et al. identified a specific small RNA (sRNA), rli32, partially derived from L. monocytogenes CMVs, that could infiltrate mammalian cell lines and increase IFN-I expression in a RIG-I-dependent manner (96).

#### IBD

Numerous studies have suggested that gut barrier dysfunction can exacerbate IBD progression. Current research indicates that BEVs contribute to IBD via damaging both physical and immune barriers, particularly the epithelial and immune cells (Figure 3A). The increased proportion of gram-negative bacteria observed in patients with IBD typically release excessive OMVs laden with LPS (64). These OMVs infiltrate epithelial cells and their LPS translocate into the cytosol, instigating immune reactions, downregulating Ecadherin expression, and causing intestinal barrier dysfunction (64). Tulkens et al. clinically investigated and revealed a significant correlation between the levels of BEV-associated LPS in the plasma and impaired barrier integrity in patients with intestinal mucositis, including IBD (20, 106). As a result, bacterial

#### TABLE 1 The function of BEVs in gut related disease.

Disease	Beneficial or harmful	Parent bacteria	Effective component	Target barrier layer	Influence	Reference
Gut infections	Harmful	Enterohemorrhagic <i>E. coli</i>	OmpT protease	Chemical barrier	Breaking down gut AMPs	(52)
		P. aeruginosa	Phospholipid bilayer	Chemical barrier	Absorbing and neutralizing AMPs	(53)
		E. coli	Phospholipid bilayer	Chemical barrier	Absorbing and neutralizing AMPs	(54)
		P. aeruginosa	Biofilm matrix- associated proteins	Physical barrier	Helping bacteria cope with stressful host environments by facilitating biofilm formation	(91)
		V. cholerae	Cholera toxin	Physical barrier	Delivering cholera toxin to epithelial cell and up- regulating cAMP	(92)
		L. monocytogenes	LLO and PI- PLC	Physical, immune barrier	Delivering a concentrated and varied toxin cargo to host cells.	(93)
	Beneficial	Gram-negative bacteria	LPS	Immune barrier	Eliciting caspase-11-mediated inflammatory reaction and helping the host to promote pathogens clearance	(82)
		E. coli C25	Unidentified	Physical barrier	Inhibiting the internalization of the parent bacterium	(75)
		Universal gut bacteria	Unidentified	Immune barrier	Stimulating immune cell to release of pro- inflammatory cytokines and promote an antiviral response	(94)
		Universal gut bacteria	DNA	Immune barrier	Activating the cGAS-STING-IFN-I dependent pathway to protect against RNA virus	(95)
		L. monocytogenes	sRNAs rli32	Immune barrier	Increase type I IFN expression in RIG-I-dependent manner	(96)
IBD	Harmful	Gram-negative bacteria	LPS	Physical barrier	Down-regulating E-cadherin expression	(64)
		F. nucleatum	Unidentified	Physical barrier	Activating RIPK1 and RIPK3 inducing epithelial necroptosis	(67)
				Immune barrier	Activating TLR4 and promoting pro-inflammatory cytokine production and leading to increased immune cell infiltration	(67)
	Beneficial	E. coli Nissle 1917	Unidentified	Physical barrier	Up-regulating tight junction proteins ZO-1, ZO-2, and claudin-14	(73)
		B. fragilis	Polysaccharide	Immune barrier	Interacting with DC cells and causeing immune tolerance	(88)
		A. muciniphila	Unidentified	Microbial, physical, and immune barrier	Restoring disturbed balance of the gut microbiota, maintaining the integrity of the intestinal barrier, activating B cells and DCs	(45)
Metabolism disease	Harmful	P. panacis	Unidentified	-	Blocking the insulin signaling pathway	(97)
	Beneficial	A. muciniphila	Unidentified	Physical barrier	Ameliorateing HFD-induced intestinal barrier dysfunction	(98)
Gastrointestinal Cancer	Harmful	E. coli MG1655	Ile-tRF-5X	-	Promoting the expression of the MAK3K4 gene, enhancing cell proliferation	(99)
		F. nucleatum	Unidentified	Physical and immune barrier	Inducing IL-8 expression and reducing E-cadherin and cadherin-1 gene expression	(100–102)

(Continued)

#### TABLE 1 Continued

Disease	Beneficial or harmful	Parent bacteria	Effective component	Target barrier layer	Influence	Reference
	Beneficial	Gram-negative bacteria	Unidentified	-	OMVs specifically targeted and accumulated in tumor tissues of syngeneic mouse colonic tumor model, subsequently triggering the production of antitumor cytokines	(103)
		A. muciniphila	Unidentified	Immune barrier	Enhance PD-1-based immunotherapy of CRC in a mouse model	(45)

BEVs significantly stimulate peripheral blood mononuclear cells to secrete proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-6, IL-8, MCP-1, and macrophage inflammatory protein-1 $\alpha$ . Specific OMVs released by gut pathogens are also associated with IBD (20). Liu et al. reported that *F. nucleatum* OMVs significantly exacerbated dextran sulfate sodium (DSS)-induced colitis symptoms in mice via activating receptor-interacting protein kinases 1 and 3 and inducing epithelial necroptosis. This process resulted in significant epithelial barrier loss and oxidative stress-related damage (67). Engevik et al. supported this result and, in addition, they found that *F. nucleatum* OMVs also activated TLR4 and downstream targets signal-regulated kinase, cAMP response element binding Protein, and NF- $\kappa$ B, thereby promoting proinflammatory cytokine production and leading to increased immune cell infiltration (107).

Conversely, several studies have reported the protective role of BEVs against IBD (Figure 3B). The probiotic *E. coli* Nissle 1917 enhances gut physical barrier integrity via upregulating the tight junction proteins ZO-1, ZO-2, and claudin-14, thereby attenuating DSS colitis in mice (73). The commensal bacterium *B. fragilis* secretes OMVs that interact with DCs, triggering immune

tolerance and thereby protecting animals from 2,4,6trinitrobenzenesulfonic acid solution-induced colitis and intestinal inflammation (88). *A. muciniphila* OMVs are reported to ameliorate DSS-induced colitis using several mechanisms, including restoring the disturbed balance of the gut microbiota, maintaining the integrity of the intestinal barrier, and activating B cells and DCs (45). The IBD-associated genes ATG16L1 and NOD2 are crucial for OMV-mediated activation of colitis protection. ATG16L1 T300A transgenic mice did not exhibit protection from 2,4-dinitrobenzene sulfonic acid-induced colitis. Individuals with Crohn's disease, a subtype of IBD, typically carry the ATG16L1 major risk variant T300A. This finding suggests a potential target for the early genetic diagnosis of IBD (89).

#### Metabolic diseases

The balance of gut microbiota significantly influences host metabolic homeostasis, and BEVs are crucial in this process. A significant increase in OMVs from *Pseudomonas panacis* was



#### FIGURE 3

The dual functions of BEVs in IBD pathogenesis. (A) Some beneficial OMVs play roles in inhibiting colitis. The mechanisms include: ① enhancing gut physical barrier integrity by up-regulating tight junction proteins; ③ interacting with DCs and increasing production of Tregs and the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10; ③ promoting anti-inflammation M2 macrophage polarization. ④ activating B cells to product mucosal immunoglobulin A. (B) The BEVs from pathogens can promote IBD. The mechanisms include: ① causing intestinal barrier dysfunction by down-regulating tight junction proteins and E-cadherin and inducing epithelial cell death; ③ promoting pro-inflammatory cytokine production and leading to increased immune cell infiltration. In addition, BEVs could enter the blood system and stimulate peripheral blood mononuclear cells to aggravate systemic immune activation.

observed in a high-fat diet-induced type 2 diabetes mouse model. Subsequent studies confirmed that these OMVs could block the insulin signaling pathway in skeletal muscles and adipose tissues (97). Conversely, *A. muciniphila*-derived OMVs ameliorate high-fat diet-induced obesity via various mechanisms, which include improved intestinal barrier integrity, reduced inflammation, balanced energy, and improved blood parameters (98). This contrasting effect of different BEVs on metabolic homeostasis emphasizes the complex and multifaceted roles of these entities in maintaining host health. It is thought that the characteristics of the parent bacteria determine whether their BEVs are harmful or beneficial, and normal quantity of BEVs could maintain immunological activity while excess amounts would be harmful.

#### Gastrointestinal cancer

Numerous reports have highlighted the influence of BEVs on cancer development and metastasis in the gastrointestinal tract. OMVs from E. coli MG1655 have been shown to deliver a tRNA fragment termed as Ile-tRF-5X, into human colorectal carcinoma cells (HCT116). This interaction promotes the expression of mitogen-activated protein kinase 3, thereby enhancing cell proliferation (99). F. nucleatum, widely recognized as a pathogen that promotes colorectal cancer (CRC) development, utilizes various mechanisms for this process, including OMVs. Proteomic analysis using mass spectrometry revealed an abundance of virulence factors and biologically active proteases present or selectively enriched in these OMVs (100). The specific roles of OMVs in CRC include inducing IL-8 expression (100, 101), which fosters a pro-inflammatory microenvironment favoring tumor growth; and reducing E-cadherin and cadherin-1 gene expression to promote an epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition-like genotype in tumor cells (100, 102), which ultimately promotes the migration and invasion of cancer cells in vivo (108).

Conversely studies have explored the potential of BEVs as therapeutic agents for cancer treatment via immunotherapy. Kim et al. found that gram-negative bacterial OMVs specifically targeted and accumulated in tumor tissues of a syngeneic mouse colonic tumor model, subsequently triggering the production of antitumor cytokines CXCL10 and IFN- $\gamma$ . This indicates that BEVs represent a promising new approach for cancer immunotherapy (103). Additionally, *A. muciniphila* OMVs have been found to enhance programmed cell death protein-1-based immunotherapy of CRC in mouse models. This suggests a potential clinical application of OMVs in improving the efficacy of immunotherapy by targeting programmed cell death protein-1 (45). These varied findings demonstrate the significant and multifaceted roles of BEVs in gastrointestinal cancer progression and potential therapeutic strategies.

# Current challenges and future perspectives

Despite substantial evidence supporting the process of BEVs generation are positive controlled, the regulation of BEVs

production and cargo selection remains unclear. Further research is required to elucidate these mechanisms, which will significantly facilitate basic research on BEVs functions in bacteria-bacteria and bacteria-host communication and its translational application. Moreover, the dual role of BEVs in gut health and the pathogenesis of intestinal-related diseases remains unclear. Furthermore, the precise active components of BEVs, their receptors, and the induced signaling pathways in host cells remain unidentified. The investigation of their impact on other intestinal cell types, such as intestinal stromal and neuronal cells is also required.

Considering their ability to penetrate the intestinal barrier and their correlation with various gut diseases, BEVs are potential diagnostic biomarkers for intestinal disorders (106). Their capacity to regulate host immune responses indicates their potential as vaccines against intestinal infections and inflammatory disorders. Preliminary studies have suggested that some BEVs can induce an antitumor immune response and inhibit tumor growth, suggesting their role in cancer immunotherapy (45, 103). Further studies are required to validate these findings and translate them into clinical applications.

Despite the encouraging findings on BEVs, this field of research still remains largely unexplored, and requires more comprehensive investigations a deeper understanding of BEV biogenesis, cargo selection, and their interaction mechanisms with host cells is crucial. With this knowledge, the full potential of BEVs in diagnostics, therapeutics, and vaccine development can be harnessed, thereby opening new frontiers for microbiome-related biomedical applications.

# Author contributions

DS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Funding Acquisition, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. PC: Data curation, Software, Visualization, Writing – original draft. YX: Data curation, Funding acquisition, Writing – review & editing. JS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Funding acquisition, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

This work was supported by grants from National Natural Science Foundation of China (32071289; 82200611); Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation (LR20H160004; LQ22H030005); Leading Innovation and Entrepreneur Team of Hangzhou (No. TD2020006); The Keynote Research Project of Ningbo City (2023Z171).

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Thursby E, Juge N. Introduction to the human gut microbiota. *Biochem J* (2017) 474:1823–36. doi: 10.1042/BCJ20160510

2. Valdes AM, Walter J, Segal E, Spector TD. Role of the gut microbiota in nutrition and health. *Bmj* (2018) 361:k2179. doi: 10.1136/bmj.k2179

3. Hou K, Wu Z-X, Chen X-Y, Wang J-Q, Zhang D, Xiao C, et al. Microbiota in health and diseases. *Signal Transduction Targeted Ther* (2022) 7:135. doi: 10.1038/s41392-022-00974-4

4. Hill DA, Artis D. Intestinal bacteria and the regulation of immune cell homeostasis. *Annu Rev Immunol* (2010) 28:623-67. doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-030409-101330

5. Fung TC, Olson CA, Hsiao EY. Interactions between the microbiota, immune and nervous systems in health and disease. *Nat Neurosci* (2017) 20:145–55. doi: 10.1038/ nn.4476

6. Zheng D, Liwinski T, Elinav E. Interaction between microbiota and immunity in health and disease. *Cell Res* (2020) 30:492–506. doi: 10.1038/s41422-020-0332-7

7. Wu J, Wang K, Wang X, Pang Y, Jiang C. The role of the gut microbiome and its metabolites in metabolic diseases. *Protein Cell* (2021) 12:360–73. doi: 10.1007/s13238-020-00814-7

8. Bhavsar AP, Guttman JA, Finlay BB. Manipulation of host-cell pathways by bacterial pathogens. *Nature* (2007) 449:827–34. doi: 10.1038/nature06247

9. Stevens EJ, Bates KA, King KC. Host microbiota can facilitate pathogen infection. *PloS Pathog* (2021) 17:e1009514. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1009514

10. Zheng Z, Tang J, Hu Y, Zhang W. Role of gut microbiota-derived signals in the regulation of gastrointestinal motility. *Front Med (Lausanne)* (2022) 9:961703. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.961703

11. Hosseini-Giv N, Basas A, Hicks C, El-Omar E, El-Assaad F, Hosseini-Beheshti E. Bacterial extracellular vesicles and their novel therapeutic applications in health and cancer. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* (2022) 12:962216. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2022.962216

12. Xie J, Haesebrouck F, Van Hoecke L, Vandenbroucke RE. Bacterial extracellular vesicles: an emerging avenue to tackle diseases. *Trends Microbiol* (2023) 15:S0966-842X (23)00163-4. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2023.05.010

13. Toyofuku M, Schild S, Kaparakis-Liaskos M, Eberl L. Composition and functions of bacterial membrane vesicles. *Nat Rev Microbiol* (2023) 21:415–30. doi: 10.1038/ s41579-023-00875-5

14. Toyofuku M, Nomura N, Eberl L. Types and origins of bacterial membrane vesicles. *Nat Rev Microbiol* (2019) 17:13–24. doi: 10.1038/s41579-018-0112-2

15. Liu H, Zhang Q, Wang S, Weng W, Jing Y, Su J. Bacterial extracellular vesicles as bioactive nanocarriers for drug delivery: Advances and perspectives. *Bioact Mater* (2022) 14:169–81. doi: 10.1016/j.bioactmat.2021.12.006

16. Schwechheimer C, Kuehn MJ. Outer-membrane vesicles from Gram-negative bacteria: biogenesis and functions. *Nat Rev Microbiol* (2015) 13:605–19. doi: 10.1038/ nrmicro3525

17. Caruana JC, Walper SA. Bacterial membrane vesicles as mediators of microbe - microbe and microbe - host community interactions. *Front Microbiol* (2020) 11:432. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.00432

18. Yu YJ, Wang XH, Fan GC. Versatile effects of bacterium-released membrane vesicles on mammalian cells and infectious/inflammatory diseases. *Acta Pharmacol Sin* (2018) 39:514–33. doi: 10.1038/aps.2017.82

19. Arenas-Gómez CM, Garcia-Gutierrez E, Escobar JS, Cotter PD. Human gut homeostasis and regeneration: the role of the gut microbiota and its metabolites. *Crit Rev Microbiol* (2022) 2022:1–22. doi: 10.1080/1040841X.2022.2142088

20. Tulkens J, De Wever O, Hendrix A. Analyzing bacterial extracellular vesicles in human body fluids by orthogonal biophysical separation and biochemical characterization. *Nat Protoc* (2020) 15:40–67. doi: 10.1038/s41596-019-0236-5

21. Gilmore WJ, Bitto NJ, Kaparakis-Liaskos M. Pathogenesis mediated by bacterial membrane vesicles. *Subcell Biochem* (2021) 97:101–50. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-67171-6\_6

22. Juodeikis R, Carding SR. Outer membrane vesicles: biogenesis, functions, and issues. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* (2022) 86:e0003222. doi: 10.1128/mmbr.00032-22

23. Aschtgen MS, Lynch JB, Koch E, Schwartzman J, McFall-Ngai M, Ruby E. Rotation of vibrio fischeri flagella produces outer membrane vesicles that induce host development. *J Bacteriol* (2016) 198:2156–65. doi: 10.1128/JB.00101-16

24. Avila-Calderón ED, Ruiz-Palma MDS, Aguilera-Arreola MG, Velázquez-Guadarrama N, Ruiz EA, Gomez-Lunar Z, et al. Outer membrane vesicles of gramorganizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

negative bacteria: an outlook on biogenesis. Front Microbiol (2021) 12:557902. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.557902

25. Bhar S, Edelmann MJ, Jones MK. Characterization and proteomic analysis of outer membrane vesicles from a commensal microbe, Enterobacter cloacae. *J Proteomics* (2021) 231:103994. doi: 10.1016/j.jprot.2020.103994

26. Briaud P, Carroll RK. Extracellular vesicle biogenesis and functions in grampositive bacteria. Infect Immun (2020) 88(12):e00433-20. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00433-20

27. Brown L, Wolf JM, Prados-Rosales R, Casadevall A. Through the wall: extracellular vesicles in Gram-positive bacteria, mycobacteria and fungi. *Nat Rev Microbiol* (2015) 13:620–30. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro3480

28. Gilmore WJ, Johnston EL, Zavan L, Bitto NJ, Kaparakis-Liaskos M. Immunomodulatory roles and novel applications of bacterial membrane vesicles. *Mol Immunol* (2021) 134:72–85. doi: 10.1016/j.molimm.2021.02.027

29. Bose S, Aggarwal S, Singh DV, Acharya N. Extracellular vesicles: An emerging platform in gram-positive bacteria. *Microb Cell* (2020) 7:312–22. doi: 10.15698/mic2020.12.737

30. Andreoni F, Toyofuku M, Menzi C, Kalawong R, Mairpady Shambat S, François P, et al. Antibiotics Stimulate Formation of Vesicles in Staphylococcus aureus in both Phage-Dependent and -Independent Fashions and *via* Different Routes. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* (2019) 63(2):e01439-18. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01439-18

31. Dehinwal R, Cooley D, Rakov AV, Alugupalli AS, Harmon J, Cunrath O, et al. Increased production of outer membrane vesicles by salmonella interferes with complementmediated innate immune attack. *mBio* (2021) 12:e0086921. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00869-21

32. Lee JH, Choi CW, Lee T, Kim SI, Lee JC, Shin JH. Transcription factor  $\sigma B$  plays an important role in the production of extracellular membrane-derived vesicles in Listeria monocytogenes. PloS One (2013) 8:e73196. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0073196

33. Murase K, Aikawa C, Nozawa T, Nakatake A, Sakamoto K, Kikuchi T, et al. Biological effect of streptococcus pyogenes-released extracellular vesicles on human monocytic cells, induction of cytotoxicity, and inflammatory response. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* (2021) 11:711144. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2021.711144

34. Bitto NJ, Zavan L, Johnston EL, Stinear TP, Hill AF, Kaparakis-Liaskos M. Considerations for the analysis of bacterial membrane vesicles: methods of vesicle production and quantification can influence biological and experimental outcomes. *Microbiol Spectr* (2021) 9:e0127321. doi: 10.1128/Spectrum.01273-21

35. Klimentová J, Stulík J. Methods of isolation and purification of outer membrane vesicles from gram-negative bacteria. *Microbiol Res* (2015) 170:1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2014.09.006

36. Valguarnera E, Scott NE, Azimzadeh P, Feldman MF. Surface exposure and packing of lipoproteins into outer membrane vesicles are coupled processes in bacteroides. *mSphere* (2018) 3(6):e00559-18. doi: 10.1128/mSphere.00559-18

37. Ballok AE, Filkins LM, Bomberger JM, Stanton BA, O'Toole GA. Epoxidemediated differential packaging of Cif and other virulence factors into outer membrane vesicles. *J Bacteriol* (2014) 196:3633–42. doi: 10.1128/JB.01760-14

38. Vancamelbeke M, Vermeire S. The intestinal barrier: a fundamental role in health and disease. *Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* (2017) 11:821–34. doi: 10.1080/17474124.2017.1343143

39. Chelakkot C, Ghim J, Ryu SH. Mechanisms regulating intestinal barrier integrity and its pathological implications. *Exp Mol Med* (2018) 50:1–9. doi: 10.1038/s12276-018-0126-x

40. Liu W, Luo X, Tang J, Mo Q, Zhong H, Zhang H, et al. A bridge for short-chain fatty acids to affect inflammatory bowel disease, type 1 diabetes, and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease positively: by changing gut barrier. *Eur J Nutr* (2021) 60:2317–30. doi: 10.1007/s00394-020-02431-w

41. Mu Q, Kirby J, Reilly CM, Luo XM. Leaky gut as a danger signal for autoimmune diseases. *Front Immunol* (2017) 8:598. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.00598

42. Ghosh S, Whitley CS, Haribabu B, Jala VR. Regulation of intestinal barrier function by microbial metabolites. *Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol* (2021) 11:1463–82. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmgh.2021.02.007

43. Kern L, Abdeen SK, Kolodziejczyk AA, Elinav E. Commensal inter-bacterial interactions shaping the microbiota. *Curr Opin Microbiol* (2021) 63:158–71. doi: 10.1016/j.mib.2021.07.011

44. Caballero-Flores G, Pickard JM, Núñez G. Microbiota-mediated colonization resistance: mechanisms and regulation. *Nat Rev Microbiol* (2023) 21:347–60. doi: 10.1038/s41579-022-00833-7

45. Wang X, Lin S, Wang L, Cao Z, Zhang M, Zhang Y, et al. Versatility of bacterial outer membrane vesicles in regulating intestinal homeostasis. *Sci Adv* (2023) 9: eade5079. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.ade5079

46. Manning AJ, Kuehn MJ. Contribution of bacterial outer membrane vesicles to innate bacterial defense. *BMC Microbiol* (2011) 11:258. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-11-258

47. Reyes-Robles T, Dillard RS, Cairns LS, Silva-Valenzuela CA, Housman M, Ali A, et al. Vibrio cholerae outer membrane vesicles inhibit bacteriophage infection. *J Bacteriol* (2018) 200(15):e00792-17. doi: 10.1128/JB.00792-17

48. Li Z, Clarke AJ, Beveridge TJ. Gram-negative bacteria produce membrane vesicles which are capable of killing other bacteria. *J Bacteriol* (1998) 180:5478–83. doi: 10.1128/JB.180.20.5478-5483.1998

49. Wang Y, Hoffmann JP, Chou CW, Höner Zu Bentrup K, Fuselier JA, Bitoun JP, et al. Burkholderia Thailandensis outer membrane vesicles exert antimicrobial activity against drug-resistant and competitor microbial species. *J Microbiol* (2020) 58:550–62. doi: 10.1007/s12275-020-0028-1

50. Collins SM, Brown AC. Bacterial outer membrane vesicles as antibiotic delivery vehicles. Front Immunol (2021) 12:733064. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.733064

51. Nakayama-Imaohji H, Hirota K, Yamasaki H, Yoneda S, Nariya H, Suzuki M, et al. DNA inversion regulates outer membrane vesicle production in bacteroides fragilis. *PloS One* (2016) 11:e0148887. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0148887

52. Urashima A, Sanou A, Yen H, Tobe T. Enterohaemorrhagic Escherichia coli produces outer membrane vesicles as an active defence system against antimicrobial peptide LL-37. *Cell Microbiol* (2017) 19(11):e12758. doi: 10.1111/cmi.12758

53. Metruccio MM, Evans DJ, Gabriel MM, Kadurugamuwa JL, Fleiszig SM. Pseudomonas aeruginosa outer membrane vesicles triggered by human mucosal fluid and lysozyme can prime host tissue surfaces for bacterial adhesion. *Front Microbiol* (2016) 7:871. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00871

54. Kulkarni HM, Nagaraj R, Jagannadham MV. Protective role of E. coli outer membrane vesicles against antibiotics. *Microbiol Res* (2015) 181:1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2015.07.008

55. Kaparakis M, Turnbull L, Carneiro L, Firth S, Coleman HA, Parkington HC, et al. Bacterial membrane vesicles deliver peptidoglycan to NOD1 in epithelial cells. *Cell Microbiol* (2010) 12:372–85. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2009.01404.x

56. Li M, Lee K, Hsu M, Nau G, Mylonakis E, Ramratnam B. Lactobacillus-derived extracellular vesicles enhance host immune responses against vancomycin-resistant enterococci. *BMC Microbiol* (2017) 17:66. doi: 10.1186/s12866-017-0977-7

57. Horowitz A, Chanez-Paredes SD, Haest X, Turner JR. Paracellular permeability and tight junction regulation in gut health and disease. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* (2023) 20(7):417–32. doi: 10.1038/s41575-023-00766-3

58. Diaz-Garrido N, Fábrega M-J, Vera R, Giménez R, Badia J, Baldomà L. Membrane vesicles from the probiotic Nissle 1917 and gut resident Escherichia coli strains distinctly modulate human dendritic cells and subsequent T cell responses. J Funct Foods (2019) 61:103495. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2019.103495

59. Tiku V, Tan MW. Host immunity and cellular responses to bacterial outer membrane vesicles. *Trends Immunol* (2021) 42:1024–36. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2021.09.006

60. Parker H, Chitcholtan K, Hampton MB, Keenan JI. Uptake of Helicobacter pylori outer membrane vesicles by gastric epithelial cells. *Infect Immun* (2010) 78:5054–61. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00299-10

61. Turner L, Bitto NJ, Steer DL, Lo C, D'Costa K, Ramm G, et al. Helicobacter pylori outer membrane vesicle size determines their mechanisms of host cell entry and protein content. *Front Immunol* (2018) 9:1466. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.01466

62. Bitto NJ, Cheng L, Johnston EL, Pathirana R, Phan TK, Poon IKH, et al. Staphylococcus aureus membrane vesicles contain immunostimulatory DNA, RNA and peptidoglycan that activate innate immune receptors and induce autophagy. *J Extracell Vesicles* (2021) 10:e12080. doi: 10.1002/jev2.12080

63. Bielaszewska M, Greune L, Bauwens A, Dersch P, Mellmann A, Rüter C. Virulence factor cargo and host cell interactions of shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli outer membrane vesicles. *Methods Mol Biol* (2021) 2291:177–205. doi: 10.1007/978-1-0716-1339-9\_8

64. Wang X, Ni J, You Y, Feng G, Zhang S, Bao W, et al. SNX10-mediated LPS sensing causes intestinal barrier dysfunction *via* a caspase-5-dependent signaling cascade. *EMBO J* (2021) 40:e108080. doi: 10.15252/embj.2021108080

65. Bielaszewska M, Rüter C, Kunsmann L, Greune L, Bauwens A, Zhang W, et al. Enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli hemolysin employs outer membrane vesicles to target mitochondria and cause endothelial and epithelial apoptosis. *PloS Pathog* (2013) 9:e1003797. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1003797

66. Bielaszewska M, Rüter C, Bauwens A, Greune L, Jarosch KA, Steil D, et al. Host cell interactions of outer membrane vesicle-associated virulence factors of enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli O157: Intracellular delivery, trafficking and mechanisms of cell injury. *PloS Pathog* (2017) 13:e1006159. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1006159

67. Liu L, Liang L, Yang C, Zhou Y, Chen Y. Extracellular vesicles of Fusobacterium nucleatum compromise intestinal barrier through targeting RIPK1-mediated cell death pathway. *Gut Microbes* (2021) 13:1–20. doi: 10.1080/19490976.2021.1902718

68. Lindmark B, Rompikuntal PK, Vaitkevicius K, Song T, Mizunoe Y, Uhlin BE, et al. Outer membrane vesicle-mediated release of cytolethal distending toxin (CDT) from Campylobacter jejuni. *BMC Microbiol* (2009) 9:220. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-9-220

69. Jinadasa RN, Bloom SE, Weiss RS, Duhamel GE. Cytolethal distending toxin: a conserved bacterial genotoxin that blocks cell cycle progression, leading to apoptosis of a broad range of mammalian cell lineages. *Microbiol (Reading)* (2011) 157:1851–75. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.049536-0

70. Elmi A, Watson E, Sandu P, Gundogdu O, Mills DC, Inglis NF, et al. Campylobacter jejuni outer membrane vesicles play an important role in bacterial interactions with human intestinal epithelial cells. *Infect Immun* (2012) 80:4089–98. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00161-12

71. Mondal A, Tapader R, Chatterjee NS, Ghosh A, Sinha R, Koley H, et al. Cytotoxic and inflammatory responses induced by outer membrane vesicleassociated biologically active proteases from vibrio cholerae. *Infect Immun* (2016) 84:1478–90. doi: 10.1128/IAI.01365-15

72. Zakharzhevskaya NB, Tsvetkov VB, Vanyushkina AA, Varizhuk AM, Rakitina DV, Podgorsky VV, et al. Interaction of bacteroides fragilis toxin with outer membrane vesicles reveals new mechanism of its secretion and delivery. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* (2017) 7:2. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2017.00002

73. Fábrega MJ, Rodríguez-Nogales A, Garrido-Mesa J, Algieri F, Badía J, Giménez R, et al. Intestinal anti-inflammatory effects of outer membrane vesicles from Escherichia coli nissle 1917 in DSS-experimental colitis in mice. *Front Microbiol* (2017) 8:1274. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01274

74. Alvarez CS, Giménez R, Cañas MA, Vera R, Díaz-Garrido N, Badia J, et al. Extracellular vesicles and soluble factors secreted by Escherichia coli Nissle 1917 and ECOR63 protect against enteropathogenic E. coli-induced intestinal epithelial barrier dysfunction. *BMC Microbiol* (2019) 19:166. doi: 10.1186/s12866-019-1534-3

75. Patten DA, Hussein E, Davies SP, Humphreys PN, Collett A. Commensalderived OMVs elicit a mild proinflammatory response in intestinal epithelial cells. *Microbiol (Reading)* (2017) 163:702-11. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.000468

76. Chelakkot C, Choi Y, Kim DK, Park HT, Ghim J, Kwon Y, et al. Akkermansia muciniphila-derived extracellular vesicles influence gut permeability through the regulation of tight junctions. *Exp Mol Med* (2018) 50:e450. doi: 10.1038/emm.2017.282

77. Daneman R, Rescigno M. The gut immune barrier and the blood-brain barrier: are they so different? *Immunity* (2009) 31:722–35. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2009.09.012

78. Peterson LW, Artis D. Intestinal epithelial cells: regulators of barrier function

 and immune homeostasis. Nat Rev Immunol (2014) 14:141–53. doi: 10.1038/nri3608
79. Kaparakis-Liaskos M, Ferrero RL. Immune modulation by bacterial outer membrane vesicles. Nat Rev Immunol (2015) 15:375–87. doi: 10.1038/nri3837

80. Thapa HB, Kohl P, Zingl FG, Fleischhacker D, Wolinski H, Kufer TA, et al. Characterization of the inflammatory response evoked by bacterial membrane vesicles in intestinal cells reveals an RIPK2-dependent activation by enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli vesicles. *Microbiol Spectr* (2023) 11(4):e0111523. doi: 10.1128/spectrum.01115-23

81. Bielaszewska M, Marejková M, Bauwens A, Kunsmann-Prokscha L, Mellmann A, Karch H. Enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli O157 outer membrane vesicles induce interleukin 8 production in human intestinal epithelial cells by signaling *via* Toll-like receptors TLR4 and TLR5 and activation of the nuclear factor NF+κB. *Int J Med Microbiol* (2018) 308:882–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmm.2018.06.004

82. Vanaja SK, Russo AJ, Behl B, Banerjee I, Yankova M, Deshmukh SD, et al. Bacterial outer membrane vesicles mediate cytosolic localization of LPS and caspase-11 activation. *Cell* (2016) 165:1106–19. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.04.015

83. Santos JC, Dick MS, Lagrange B, Degrandi D, Pfeffer K, Yamamoto M, et al. LPS targets host guanylate-binding proteins to the bacterial outer membrane for noncanonical inflammasome activation. *EMBO J* (2018) 37(6):e98089. doi: 10.15252/ embj.201798089

84. Bitto NJ, Baker PJ, Dowling JK, Wray-McCann G, De Paoli A, Trans LS, et al. Membrane vesicles from Pseudomonas aeruginosa activate the noncanonical inflammasome through caspase-5 in human monocytes. *Immunol Cell Biol* (2018) 96:1120–30. doi: 10.1111/imcb.12190

85. Wang X, Eagen WJ, Lee JC. Orchestration of human macrophage NLRP3 inflammasome activation by Staphylococcus aureus extracellular vesicles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2020) 117(6):3174-84. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1915829117

86. Alpdundar Bulut E, Bayyurt Kocabas B, Yazar V, Aykut G, Guler U, Salih B, et al. Human gut commensal membrane vesicles modulate inflammation by generating M2like macrophages and myeloid-derived suppressor cells. *J Immunol* (2020) 205:2707– 18. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.2000731

87. Hilligan KL, Ronchese F. Antigen presentation by dendritic cells and their instruction of CD4+ T helper cell responses. *Cell Mol Immunol* (2020) 17:587–99. doi: 10.1038/s41423-020-0465-0

88. Shen Y, Giardino Torchia ML, Lawson GW, Karp CL, Ashwell JD, Mazmanian SK. Outer membrane vesicles of a human commensal mediate immune regulation and disease protection. *Cell Host Microbe* (2012) 12:509–20. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2012.08.004

89. Chu H, Khosravi A, Kusumawardhani IP, Kwon AH, Vasconcelos AC, Cunha LD, et al. Gene-microbiota interactions contribute to the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease. *Science* (2016) 352:1116–20. doi: 10.1126/science.aad9948

90. Reboldi A, Arnon TI, Rodda LB, Atakilit A, Sheppard D, Cyster JG. IgA production requires B cell interaction with subepithelial dendritic cells in Peyer's patches. *Science* (2016) 352:aaf4822. doi: 10.1126/science.aaf4822

91. Couto N, Schooling SR, Dutcher JR, Barber J. Proteome profiles of outer membrane vesicles and extracellular matrix of Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms. *J Proteome Res* (2015) 14:4207–22. doi: 10.1021/acs.jproteome.5b00312

92. Zingl FG, Thapa HB, Scharf M, Kohl P, Müller AM, Schild S. Outer Membrane Vesicles of Vibrio cholerae Protect and Deliver Active Cholera Toxin to Host Cells *via* Porin-Dependent Uptake. *mBio* (2021) 12:e0053421. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00534-21

93. Coelho C, Brown L, Maryam M, Vij R, Smith DFQ, Burnet MC, et al. Listeria monocytogenes virulence factors, including listeriolysin O, are secreted in biologically active extracellular vesicles. *J Biol Chem* (2019) 294:1202–17. doi: 10.1074/jbc.RA118.006472

94. Bhar S, Zhao G, Bartel JD, Sterchele H, Del Mazo A, Emerson LE, et al. Bacterial extracellular vesicles control murine norovirus infection through modulation of antiviral immune responses. *Front Immunol* (2022) 13:909949. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.909949

95. Erttmann SF, Swacha P, Aung KM, Brindefalk B, Jiang H, Härtlova A, et al. The gut microbiota prime systemic antiviral immunity *via* the cGAS-STING-IFN-I axis. *Immunity* (2022) 55:847–861.e810. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2022.04.006

96. Frantz R, Teubner L, Schultze T, La Pietra L, Müller C, Gwozdzinski K, et al. The secRNome of Listeria monocytogenes Harbors Small Noncoding RNAs That Are Potent Inducers of Beta Interferon. *mBio* (2019) 10(5):e01223-19. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01223-19

97. Choi Y, Kwon Y, Kim DK, Jeon J, Jang SC, Wang T, et al. Gut microbe-derived extracellular vesicles induce insulin resistance, thereby impairing glucose metabolism in skeletal muscle. *Sci Rep* (2015) 5:15878. doi: 10.1038/srep15878

98. Ashrafian F, Shahriary A, Behrouzi A, Moradi HR, Keshavarz Azizi Raftar S, Lari A, et al. Akkermansia muciniphila-derived extracellular vesicles as a mucosal delivery vector for amelioration of obesity in mice. *Front Microbiol* (2019) 10:2155. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.02155

99. Diallo I, Ho J, Lambert M, Benmoussa A, Husseini Z, Lalaouna D, et al. A tRNAderived fragment present in E. coli OMVs regulates host cell gene expression and proliferation. *PloS Pathog* (2022) 18(9):e1010827. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1010827

100. Munshi R. Characterization of outer membrane vesicles from Fusobacterium nucleatum. Indian J Sci Technol (2020) 13:161–92. doi: 10.17485/ijst/2020/v13i02/148492

101. Wang S, Liu Y, Li J, Zhao L, Yan W, Lin B, et al. Fusobacterium nucleatum acts as a pro-carcinogenic bacterium in colorectal cancer: from association to causality. *Front Cell Dev Biol* (2021) 9:710165. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2021.710165

102. Hashemi Goradel N, Heidarzadeh S, Jahangiri S, Farhood B, Mortezaee K, Khanlarkhani N, et al. Fusobacterium nucleatum and colorectal cancer: A mechanistic overview. *J Cell Physiol* (2019) 234:2337–44. doi: 10.1002/jcp.27250

103. Kim OY, Park HT, Dinh NTH, Choi SJ, Lee J, Kim JH, et al. Bacterial outer membrane vesicles suppress tumor by interferon-γ-mediated antitumor response. *Nat Commun* (2017) 8:626. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-00729-8

104. Motta JP, Wallace JL, Buret AG, Deraison C, Vergnolle N. Gastrointestinal biofilms in health and disease. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* (2021) 18:314–34. doi: 10.1038/s41575-020-00397-y

105. McMillan HM, Kuehn MJ. The extracellular vesicle generation paradox: a bacterial point of view. *EMBO J* (2021) 40:e108174. doi: 10.15252/embj.2021108174

106. Tulkens J, Vergauwen G, Van Deun J, Geeurickx E, Dhondt B, Lippens L, et al. Increased levels of systemic LPS-positive bacterial extracellular vesicles in patients with intestinal barrier dysfunction. *Gut* (2020) 69:191–3. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2018-317726

107. Engevik MA, Danhof HA, Ruan W, Engevik AC, Chang-Graham AL, Engevik KA, et al. Fusobacterium nucleatum secretes outer membrane vesicles and promotes intestinal inflammation. *mBio* (2021) 12(2):e02706-20. doi: 10.1128/mBio.02706-20

108. Chen G, Gao C, Jiang S, Cai Q, Li R, Sun Q, et al. Fusobacterium nucleatum outer membrane vesicles activate autophagy to promote oral cancer metastasis. *J Adv Res* (2023) S2090-1232(23)00094-2. doi: 10.1016/j.jare.2023.04.002