

On generalized Hardy spaces associated with singular partial differential operators

A. GHANDOURI¹ 

H. MEJJAOLI² 

S. OMRI¹,  

¹*Department of mathematics, faculty of science of Tunis, Campus El Manar, 2092, Tunis, Tunisia.*

*amal.ghandouri@fst.utm.tn
slim.omri@fst.utm.tn*

²*Department of mathematics, Taibah university, Saudi Arabia.*

mejjaoli.hatem@yahoo.fr

ABSTRACT

We define and study the Hardy spaces associated with singular partial differential operators. Also, a characterization by mean of atomic decomposition is investigated.

RESUMEN

Definimos y estudiamos los espacios de Hardy asociados a operadores diferenciales parciales singulares. También investigamos una caracterización por medio de la descomposición atómica.

Keywords and Phrases: Riemann-Liouville operator, Hardy spaces, Poisson maximal function, atomic decomposition.

2020 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 42B10, 43A32.



1 Introduction

The foundations of the real Hardy space $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $p \in [1, +\infty[$, were started with the works of C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein [10]. Hardy spaces were deeply developed later by R. Coifman and G. Weiss [8]. The theory of Hardy spaces $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, plays a very important role in harmonic analysis and operator theory and it is shown that it has many interesting applications, for more details we refer the reader to [20]. In the euclidean case, there are many equivalent definitions of the Hardy spaces $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ either by using the Poisson maximal function or by using the atomic decomposition. Uchiyama [19] characterized also the Hardy spaces $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by means of Littlewood-Paley g -function.

In [5], Baccar, Ben Hamadi and Rachdi have considered the following singular partial differential operators

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \\ \Delta_2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2\alpha + 1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}; \quad (r, x) \in]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}; \quad \alpha \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

and they associated to Δ_1 and Δ_2 the so called Riemann-Liouville operator \mathcal{R}_α defined on $\mathcal{C}_e(\mathbb{R}^2)$ (The space of continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^2 , even with respect to the first variable), by

$$\mathcal{R}_\alpha(f)(r, x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 f(rs\sqrt{1-t^2}, x+rt)(1-t^2)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}(1-s^2)^{\alpha-1} dt ds, & \text{if } \alpha > 0, \\ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 f(r\sqrt{1-t^2}, x+rt) \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-t^2}}, & \text{if } \alpha = 0. \end{cases}$$

The Riemann-Liouville operator \mathcal{R}_α generalizes the spherical mean operator given by

$$\mathcal{R}_0(f)(r, x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(r \sin \theta, x + r \cos \theta) d\theta,$$

which plays an important role in image processing of the so-called synthetic aperture radar (SAR) data, and in the linearized inverse scattering problem in acoustics, as well as in the interpretation of many physical phenomena in quantum mechanics, see [9, 11, 12].

According to [5], the Fourier transform \mathcal{F}_α associated with the Riemann-Liouville operator is defined for every $(s, y) \in \Upsilon$, by

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha(f)(s, y) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(r, x) \mathcal{R}_\alpha(\cos(s \cdot) e^{-iy \cdot})(r, x) \frac{r^{2\alpha+1} dr dx}{2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1) \sqrt{2\pi}},$$

for a suitable integrable function, where Υ is a set that will be defined later.

Many harmonic analysis results have been already proved by Baccar, Ben Hamadi, Rachdi, Rouz and Omri for the Riemann-Liouville operator and its Fourier transform [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 18]. Hleili, Mejjaoli, Omri and Rachdi have also established several uncertainty principles for the same Fourier transform \mathcal{F}_α [13, 15, 16, 17].

Our purpose in this work is to define and study the Hardy's spaces \mathcal{H}_α^p related to the Riemann-Liouville operator and to characterize these spaces for $p \in [1, +\infty[$ by using Poisson maximal operator associated to \mathcal{R}_α and by using atomic decomposition as well.

The paper is organized as follows. In the second section, we give some classical harmonic analysis results related to the Riemann-Liouville operator, the third section is devoted to the characterization of the Hardy spaces related to \mathcal{R}_α by using its Poisson maximal function. In the last section, we introduce the atomic decomposition which allows us to characterize \mathcal{H}_α^1 .

2 Riemann-Liouville operator

In this section we give and develop some harmonic analysis results related to the Riemann-Liouville operator that we will use later. For the proofs of these results we refer the reader to [5] and [7]. In [5] Baccar, Ben Hamadi and Rachdi considered the following system

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_1 u = -i\lambda u(r, x) \\ \Delta_2 u = -\mu^2 u(r, x) \\ u(0, 0) = 1, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(0, x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

and showed that for all $(\mu, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, this system admits a unique infinitely differentiable solution given by

$$\varphi_{\mu, \lambda}(r, x) = j_\alpha \left(r \sqrt{\mu^2 + \lambda^2} \right) e^{-i\lambda x},$$

where j_α is the modified Bessel function of the first kind and index α , see [14, 21].

The function $\varphi_{\mu, \lambda}$ is bounded on $[0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$ if and only if (μ, λ) belongs to the set

$$\Upsilon = \mathbb{R}^2 \cup \{(ir, x), (r, x) \in \times \mathbb{R}^2, |r| \leq |x|\}.$$

In this case, we have

$$\sup_{(r, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2} |\varphi_{\mu, \lambda}(r, x)| = 1.$$

In the following we denote by

- ν_α the measure defined on $[0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$ by

$$d\nu_\alpha(r, x) = \frac{r^{2\alpha+1}}{2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \sqrt{2\pi}} dr dx,$$

- $L^p(d\nu_\alpha)$, $p \in [1, +\infty]$, is the Lebesgue space of all measurable functions f on $[0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|f\|_{p, \nu_\alpha} < +\infty$, where

$$\|f\|_{p, \nu_\alpha} = \begin{cases} \left(\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(r, x)|^p d\nu_\alpha(r, x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text{if } p \in [1, +\infty[\\ \text{ess sup}_{(r, x) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}} |f(r, x)|, & \text{if } p = +\infty. \end{cases}$$

- $L^1_{loc}(d\nu_\alpha)$ the space of measurable functions on $[0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$ that are locally integrable on $[0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$ with respect to the measure ν_α .

According to [2], the eigenfunction $\varphi_{\mu, \lambda}$ satisfies the following product formula

$$\varphi_{\mu, \lambda}(r, x) \varphi_{\mu, \lambda}(s, y) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\pi \left(\varphi_{\mu, \lambda}(\sqrt{r^2 + s^2 + 2rs \cos \theta}, x + y) \right) \sin^{2\alpha} \theta d\theta.$$

- $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_\alpha$ is the inner product on the Hilbert space $L^2(d\nu_\alpha)$ defined by

$$\langle f | g \rangle_\alpha = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(r, x) \overline{g(r, x)} d\nu_\alpha(r, x).$$

This allows us to define the translation operators as follows.

Definition 2.1. For every $(r, x) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$, the translation operator $\mathcal{T}_{(r, x)}$ associated with the operator \mathcal{R}_α is defined on $L^1(d\nu_\alpha)$ by, for every $(s, y) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathcal{T}_{(r, x)}(f)(s, y) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\pi f\left(\sqrt{r^2 + s^2 + 2rs \cos \theta}, x + y\right) (\sin \theta)^{2\alpha} d\theta,$$

whenever the integral on the right hand side is well defined.

Proposition 2.2. Let f be in $L^1(d\nu_\alpha)$, then for every $(r, x) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{T}_{(r, x)}(f)(s, y) d\nu_\alpha(s, y) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s, y) d\nu_\alpha(s, y).$$

Proposition 2.3. For every $f \in L^p(d\nu_\alpha)$, $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$, and for every $(r, x) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$, the function $\mathcal{T}_{(r, x)}(f)$ belongs to $L^p(d\nu_\alpha)$ and we have

$$\|\mathcal{T}_{(r, x)}(f)\|_{p, \nu_\alpha} \leq \|f\|_{p, \nu_\alpha}. \tag{2.1}$$

Definition 2.4. *The convolution product of two measurable functions f and g on $[0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$ is defined on $[0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$, by*

$$(f * g)(r, x) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{T}_{(r, -x)}(\check{f})(s, y) g(s, y) d\nu_{\alpha}(s, y),$$

where $\check{f}(s, y) = f(s, -y)$, whenever the integral on the right hand side is well defined.

Theorem 2.5. *If $p, q, r \in [1, +\infty]$ are such that $\frac{1}{r} + 1 = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$ then, for every function $f \in L^p(d\nu_\alpha)$ and $g \in L^q(d\nu_\alpha)$, $f * g$ belongs to $L^r(d\nu_\alpha)$ and we have the Young's inequality*

$$\|f * g\|_{r, d\nu_\alpha} \leq \|f\|_{p, \nu_\alpha} \|g\|_{q, \nu_\alpha}.$$

Definition 2.6. *The Fourier transform \mathcal{F}_α associated with the operator \mathcal{R}_α is defined for every integrable function f on $[0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$ with respect to the measure ν_α , by*

$$\forall (\mu, \lambda) \in \Upsilon, \quad \mathcal{F}_\alpha(f)(\mu, \lambda) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(r, x) \varphi_{\mu, \lambda}(r, x) d\nu_\alpha(r, x).$$

Proposition 2.7.

(i) *Let $f \in L^1(d\nu_\alpha)$ and $(r, x) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$ we have*

$$\forall (\mu, \lambda) \in \Upsilon, \quad \mathcal{F}_\alpha(\mathcal{T}_{(r, -x)}(f))(\mu, \lambda) = \varphi_{\mu, \lambda}(r, x) \mathcal{F}_\alpha(f)(\mu, \lambda).$$

(ii) *Let $f, g \in L^1(d\nu_\alpha)$, then we have*

$$\forall (\mu, \lambda) \in \Upsilon, \quad \mathcal{F}_\alpha(f * g)(\mu, \lambda) = \mathcal{F}_\alpha(f)(\mu, \lambda) \mathcal{F}_\alpha(g)(\mu, \lambda).$$

In the following, we denote by

- Υ_+ the subspace of Υ given by

$$\Upsilon_+ = [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R} \cup \{(ir, x), (r, x) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq r \leq |x|\}.$$

- B_{Υ_+} the σ -algebra defined on Υ_+ by

$$B_{\Upsilon_+} = \{\theta^{-1}(B), B \in \text{Bor}([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R})\}$$

where $\text{Bor}([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R})$ is the usual Borel σ -algebra on $[0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$ and θ is bijective function defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \theta : \quad \Upsilon_+ &\longrightarrow [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R} \\ (\mu, \lambda) &\longmapsto (\sqrt{\mu^2 + \lambda^2}, \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

- γ_α the measure defined on B_{Υ_+} by

$$\gamma_\alpha(A) = \nu_\alpha(\theta(A)), \quad \forall A \in B_{\Upsilon_+}.$$

- $L^p(d\gamma_\alpha)$, $p \in [1, +\infty]$ is the Lebesgue space of measurable functions f defined on Υ_+ satisfying $\|f\|_{p,\gamma_\alpha} < +\infty$, where

$$\|f\|_{p,\gamma_\alpha} = \begin{cases} \left(\int_{\Upsilon_+} |f(\mu, \lambda)|^p d\gamma_\alpha(\mu, \lambda) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text{if } p \in [1, +\infty[\\ \text{ess sup}_{(\mu, \lambda) \in \Upsilon_+} |f(\mu, \lambda)|, & \text{if } p = +\infty. \end{cases}$$

- $\mathcal{S}_e(\mathbb{R}^2)$ the space of infinitely differentiable functions on \mathbb{R}^2 , rapidly decreasing together with all their derivatives, even with respect the first variable.

The space $\mathcal{S}_e(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is equipped with the topology associated to the countable family of norms

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \rho_m(\varphi) = \sup_{\substack{(r,x) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R} \\ k+|\beta| \leq m}} (1+r^2+x^2)^k |D^\beta(\varphi)(r,x)|.$$

- $\mathcal{D}_e(\mathbb{R}^2)$ the space of infinitely differentiable functions on \mathbb{R}^2 with compact support, even with respect the first variable.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $f \in L^1(d\nu_\alpha)$. For every $(\mu, \lambda) \in \Upsilon$, we have*

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha(f)(\mu, \lambda) = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha(f) \circ \theta(\mu, \lambda),$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha(f)(\mu, \lambda) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(r, x) j_\alpha(r\mu) e^{-i\lambda x} d\nu_\alpha(r, x).$$

Theorem 2.9. *$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha$ is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{S}_e(\mathbb{R}^2)$ onto itself.*

Proposition 2.10. *For every $f \in L^1(d\nu_\alpha)$ and for all $(r, x), (\mu, \lambda) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha(\mathcal{T}_{(r,x)}f)(\mu, \lambda) = j_\alpha(r\mu) e^{-i\lambda x} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha(f)(\mu, \lambda).$$

Theorem 2.11 (Inversion formula for \mathcal{F}_α). *Let $f \in L^1(d\nu_\alpha)$ such that $\mathcal{F}_\alpha(f)$ belongs to $L^1(d\gamma_\alpha)$, then for almost every $(r, x) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$,*

$$f(r, x) = \int_{\Upsilon_+} \mathcal{F}_\alpha(f)(\mu, \lambda) \overline{\varphi_{\mu,\lambda}(r, x)} d\gamma_\alpha(\mu, \lambda).$$

Theorem 2.12 (Plancherel's theorem). *The Fourier transform \mathcal{F}_α can be extended to an isometric isomorphism from $L^2(d\nu_\alpha)$ onto $L^2(d\gamma_\alpha)$.*

3 Hardy space associated with the Riemann-Liouville operator

Definition 3.1. For every $t > 0$, the Poisson kernel p_t , associated with the Riemann-Liouville operator \mathcal{R}_α is defined on \mathbb{R}^2 by

$$p_t(r, x) = \int_{\Upsilon_+} e^{-t\sqrt{s^2+2y^2}} \varphi_{s,y}(r, x) d\gamma_\alpha(s, y) = \mathcal{F}_\alpha^{-1} \left(e^{-t\sqrt{\cdot^2+2\cdot^2}} \right) (r, x).$$

Lemma 3.2. For every $t > 0$, the Poisson kernel p_t is given by

$$\forall (r, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad p_t(r, x) = 2^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma(\alpha + 2) \frac{t}{(t^2 + r^2 + x^2)^{\alpha+2}}.$$

Proof. See [2]. □

Definition 3.3 (Bounded distribution). Let $v \in \mathcal{S}'_e(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we say that v is a bounded tempered distribution, if

$$\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_e(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad \varphi * v \in L^\infty(d\nu_\alpha)$$

and if the operator

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_v : \mathcal{S}_e(\mathbb{R}^2) &\longrightarrow L^\infty(d\nu_\alpha) \\ \varphi &\longmapsto \varphi * v \end{aligned}$$

is bounded.

Proposition 3.4. Let $v \in \mathcal{S}'_e(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be a bounded tempered distribution and $f \in L^1(d\nu_\alpha)$. Then, for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_e(\mathbb{R}^2)$

$$\langle f * v, \varphi \rangle = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \check{\varphi} * v(r, x) \check{f}(r, x) d\nu_\alpha(r, x).$$

where $\check{\varphi}(r, x) = \varphi(-r, -x)$, is well defined. Moreover, $f * v$ is a tempered distribution.

Proof. Let v be a bounded tempered distribution. For all $f \in L^1(d\nu_\alpha)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_e(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\check{\varphi} * v(r, x)| |\check{f}(r, x)| d\nu_\alpha(r, x) \leq \|\check{\varphi} * v\|_{\infty, \nu_\alpha} \|f\|_{1, \nu_\alpha} < +\infty$$

and consequently, the integral

$$\langle f * v, \varphi \rangle = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \check{\varphi} * v(r, x) \check{f}(r, x) d\nu_\alpha(r, x)$$

is well defined.

It is clear that $f * v$ is a linear operator. Now, for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_e(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle f * v, \varphi \rangle| &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\check{\varphi} * v(r, x)| |\check{f}(r, x)| d\nu_\alpha(r, x) \\ &\leq \|\check{\varphi} * v\|_{\infty, d\nu_\alpha} \|\check{f}\|_{1, \nu_\alpha} \leq C \rho_m(\check{\varphi}) \|f\|_{1, \nu_\alpha} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{1, d\nu_\alpha} \rho_m(\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

Then, $f * v$ is a tempered distribution. □

Proposition 3.5. *For every bounded tempered distribution $v \in \mathcal{S}'_e(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and for every $t > 0$, $p_t * v \in L^\infty(d\nu_\alpha)$.*

Proof. By Urysohn's lemma, we know that there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{D}_e(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} f \equiv 1, & \text{on } \overline{B(0, 1/2)} \\ f \equiv 0, & \text{on } B^c(0, 1) \\ 0 \leq f \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Let $\varphi = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha^{-1}(f)$ then $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_e(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha(\varphi) = f = 1$ on $\overline{B(0, 1/2)}$, hence for $\eta = 1 - \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha(\varphi)$, we deduce that $\eta \in \mathcal{C}_e^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\eta = 0$ on $\overline{B(0, 1/2)}$. Finally, let g the function defined by $g(r, x) = e^{-|r, x|} \eta(r, x)$ and $\psi = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha^{-1}(g)$, then for all $t > 0$ and for all $(r, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha(p_t)(r, x) &= e^{-t\sqrt{r^2+x^2}} \\ &= e^{-t\sqrt{r^2+x^2}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha(\varphi)(tr, tx) + \eta(tr, tx) \right) \\ &= e^{-t\sqrt{r^2+x^2}} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha(\varphi_t)(r, x) + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha(\psi_t)(r, x) \\ &= \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha(p_t)(r, x) \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha(\varphi_t)(r, x) + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha(\psi_t)(r, x) \\ &= \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha(p_t * \varphi_t + \psi_t)(r, x) \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by the fact that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\alpha$ is injective, we get

$$p_t = p_t * \varphi_t + \psi_t$$

and therefore

$$p_t * v = p_t * \varphi_t * v + \psi_t * v.$$

Since φ_t and ψ_t belongs to $\mathcal{S}_e(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\varphi_t * v$ and $\psi_t * v$ are bounded on \mathbb{R}^2 and $p_t \in L^1(\nu_\alpha)$, then $p_t * \varphi_t * v$ is a bounded function and the same holds for $p_t * v$. □

Definition 3.6. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'_e(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be a bounded tempered distribution. The Poisson maximal function \mathcal{P}_f^α associated with the Riemann-Liouville operator \mathcal{R}_α is defined on \mathbb{R}^2 by

$$\mathcal{P}_f^\alpha(r, x) = \sup_{t>0} |p_t * f(r, x)|.$$

Definition 3.7 (Hardy space). For every $p \in [1, +\infty[$, the Hardy space \mathcal{H}_α^p associated with the Riemann-Liouville operator is the space of all the bounded tempered distributions f on \mathbb{R}^2 satisfying

$$\mathcal{P}_f^\alpha \in L^p(d\nu_\alpha).$$

We set

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha^p} = \|\mathcal{P}_f^\alpha\|_{p, \nu_\alpha}. \quad (3.1)$$

Proposition 3.8. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'_e(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be a bounded tempered distribution. Then,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} p_t * f = f \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'_e(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

Proof. Let $\eta \in \mathcal{S}_e(\mathbb{R}^2)$. First, we will show that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} p_t * \eta * f = \eta * f$ in $\mathcal{S}'_e(\mathbb{R}^2)$, thus by using Fubini's theorem, we deduce that for every $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_e(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p_t * \eta * f, \psi \rangle_\alpha &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (p_t * \eta * f)(r, x) \psi(r, x) d\nu_\alpha(r, x) \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{T}_{(r, -x)}(\check{p}_t)(s, u) \eta * f(s, u) d\nu_\alpha(s, u) \right) \psi(r, x) d\nu_\alpha(r, x) \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{T}_{(r, -x)}(\check{p}_t)(s, u) \psi(r, x) d\nu_\alpha(r, x) \right) \eta * f(s, u) d\nu_\alpha(s, u) \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{T}_{(s, -u)}(\check{p}_t)(r, x) \psi(r, x) d\nu_\alpha(r, x) \right) \eta * f(s, u) d\nu_\alpha(s, u) \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_t * \psi(s, t) \eta * f(s, t) d\nu_\alpha(s, t). \end{aligned}$$

Using the dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \langle p_t * \eta * f, \psi \rangle_\alpha &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_t * \psi(s, t) \eta * f(s, t) d\nu_\alpha(s, t) \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} p_t * \psi(s, t) \eta * f(s, t) d\nu_\alpha(s, t) \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(s, t) \eta * f(s, t) d\nu_\alpha(s, t) \\ &= \langle \eta * f, \psi \rangle_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} p_t * \eta * f = \eta * f \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'_e(\mathbb{R}^2). \tag{3.2}$$

Now, we want to show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{F}_\alpha(p_t)(1 - \mathcal{F}_\alpha(\eta))\mathcal{F}_\alpha(f) = (1 - \mathcal{F}_\alpha(\eta))\mathcal{F}_\alpha(f) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'_e(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

$\mathcal{F}_\alpha(p_t)(1 - \mathcal{F}_\alpha(\eta))$ is a infinitely differentiable function on \mathbb{R}^2 , then for any $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_e(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \langle \mathcal{F}_\alpha(p_t)(1 - \mathcal{F}_\alpha(\eta))\mathcal{F}_\alpha(f), \psi \rangle_\alpha &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \langle \mathcal{F}_\alpha(f), \mathcal{F}_\alpha(p_t)(1 - \mathcal{F}_\alpha(\eta))\psi \rangle_\alpha \\ &= \left\langle \mathcal{F}_\alpha(f), \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{F}_\alpha(p_t)(1 - \mathcal{F}_\alpha(\eta))\psi \right\rangle_\alpha \\ &= \langle \mathcal{F}_\alpha(f), (1 - \mathcal{F}_\alpha(\eta))\psi \rangle_\alpha \\ &= \langle (1 - \mathcal{F}_\alpha(\eta))\mathcal{F}_\alpha(f), \psi \rangle_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{F}_\alpha(p_t)(1 - \mathcal{F}_\alpha(\eta))\mathcal{F}_\alpha(f) = (1 - \mathcal{F}_\alpha(\eta))\mathcal{F}_\alpha(f) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'_e(\mathbb{R}^2). \tag{3.3}$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{F}_\alpha(p_t * f - p_t * \eta * f) = \mathcal{F}_\alpha(f - \eta * f) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'_e(\mathbb{R}^2),$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} p_t * f - p_t * \eta * f = f - \eta * f.$$

Then,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} p_t * f - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} p_t * \eta * f = f - \eta * f.$$

From the Relation (3.2), we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} p_t * f - \eta * f = f - \eta * f.$$

Then,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} p_t * f = f - \eta * f + \eta * f = f,$$

which achieves the proof. □

Definition 3.9 (Hardy-Littlewood maximal function). *Let $f \in L^1_{loc}(d\nu_\alpha)$. The Hardy-Littlewood maximal function $M_\alpha(f)$ associated with the Riemann-Liouville operator \mathcal{R}_α is defined on $[0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$, by*

$$M_\alpha(f)(r, x) = \sup_{\eta > 0} \frac{1}{\nu_\alpha(B((0, 0), \eta))} \int_{B((0, 0), \eta)} \mathcal{T}_{(r, -x)}(|\check{f}|)(s, y) d\nu_\alpha(s, y).$$

Theorem 3.10 (The boundedness of M_α). *For every $p \in]1, +\infty[$, the maximal operator M_α is of strong type (p, p) from $L^p(d\nu_\alpha)$ into itself, that is for every $p \in]1, +\infty[$ there exists $C_p > 0$ such that for every $f \in L^p(d\nu_\alpha)$*

$$\|M_\alpha(f)\|_{p, \nu_\alpha} \leq C_p \|f\|_{p, \nu_\alpha}$$

Proof. See [1]. □

Proposition 3.11. *Let k be a nonnegative decreasing function on $[0, +\infty[$ which is continuous except possibly at finite number of points. We define the function K on $[0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$ by*

$$K(r, x) = k\left(\sqrt{r^2 + x^2}\right).$$

Then, for every locally integrable function f on $[0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\sup_{\epsilon > 0} (K_\epsilon * |f|)(r, x) \leq \|K\|_{1, \nu_\alpha} M_\alpha(f)(r, x), \quad (3.4)$$

where $K_\epsilon(r, x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2\alpha+3}} K\left(\frac{r}{\epsilon}, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)$.

Proof. First, we prove the relation (3.4), when K is continuous with compact support such that $\text{supp}(K) \subset B(0, R)$, where $R > 0$ and $f \in L^1_{loc}(d\nu_\alpha)$. We will prove that

$$\sup_{\epsilon > 0} (K_\epsilon * |f|)(0, 0) \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1)} M_\alpha(f)(0, 0) \|K\|_{1, \nu_\alpha}. \quad (3.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} K_\epsilon * |f|(0, 0) &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(s, x)| K_\epsilon(s, x) d\nu_\alpha(s, x) \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(s, x)| K_\epsilon(s, x) \frac{s^{2\alpha+1}}{2^\alpha \sqrt{2\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + 1)} ds dx \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} |f(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)| K_\epsilon(r, 0) r \frac{(r \cos(\theta))^{2\alpha+1}}{2^\alpha \sqrt{2\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + 1)} dr d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Let F and G be the functions defined on $[0, +\infty[$ by

$$\begin{aligned} F(r) &= \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} |f(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)| \cos^{2\alpha+1} \theta \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \\ G(r) &= \int_0^r F(y) y^{2\alpha+2} \frac{dy}{2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

By integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K_\epsilon * |f|(0, 0) &= \int_0^{+\infty} F(r)K_\epsilon(r, 0)r^{2\alpha+2} \frac{dr}{2^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \\ &= \int_0^{\epsilon R} F(r)K_\epsilon(r, 0)r^{2\alpha+2} \frac{dr}{2^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \\ &= G(\epsilon R)K_\epsilon(\epsilon R, 0) - G(0)K_\epsilon(0, 0) - \int_0^{\epsilon R} G(r)dK_\epsilon(r, 0) \\ &= - \int_0^{\epsilon R} G(r)dK_\epsilon(r, 0) \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} G(r)d(-K_\epsilon)(r, 0), \end{aligned}$$

where the last integrals are understood in the Lebesgue-Stieltjes sense.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} G(r) &= \int_0^r F(y) \frac{y^{2\alpha+2}}{2^\alpha\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} dy \\ &= \int_0^r \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} |f(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)| \frac{y^{2\alpha+2} \cos^{2\alpha+1} \theta}{2^\alpha\sqrt{2\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + 1)} d\theta dy \\ &= \int_{\{(s,x) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R} : \sqrt{s^2+x^2} \leq r\}} |f(s, x)| d\nu_\alpha(s, x) \\ &\leq M_\alpha(f)(0, 0)\nu_\alpha\left(\{(s, x) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R} : \sqrt{s^2+x^2} \leq r\}\right) \\ &= M_\alpha(f)(0, 0)\nu_\alpha\left(\{(s, x) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R} : \sqrt{s^2+x^2} \leq 1\}\right) r^{2\alpha+3}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we use the integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{+\infty} G(r)d(-K_\epsilon)(r, 0) \\ &\leq M_\alpha(f)(0, 0)\nu_\alpha\left(\{(s, x) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R} : \sqrt{s^2+x^2} \leq 1\}\right) \left(\int_0^{+\infty} r^{2\alpha+3}d(-K_\epsilon)(r, 0)\right) \\ &= (2\alpha + 3)M_\alpha(f)(0, 0)\nu_\alpha\left(\{(s, x) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R} : \sqrt{s^2+x^2} \leq 1\}\right) \int_0^{+\infty} r^{2\alpha+2}K_\epsilon(r, 0) dr \end{aligned}$$

Since,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_\alpha\left(\{(s, x) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R} : \sqrt{s^2+x^2} \leq 1\}\right) &= \int_{\{(r,x) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R} : \sqrt{s^2+x^2} \leq 1\}} \frac{s^{2\alpha+1}}{2^\alpha\sqrt{2\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + 1)} ds dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2^\alpha\sqrt{2\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \int_0^1 \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (r \cos \theta)^{2\alpha+1} r dr d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2^\alpha\sqrt{2\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{2\alpha + 3} \cos^{2\alpha+1} \theta d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^{+\infty} G(r)d(-K_\epsilon)(r, 0) \\
 & \leq \frac{2\alpha + 3}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1)} M_\alpha(f)(0, 0) \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{2\alpha + 3} (r \cos \theta)^{2\alpha+1} r K_\epsilon(r, 0) dr d\theta \\
 & = M_\alpha(f)(0, 0) \|K_\epsilon\|_{1, \nu_\alpha} \\
 & = M_\alpha(f)(0, 0) \|K\|_{1, \nu_\alpha}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For the general case, let us consider an integrable function K on $[0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$. We know that $C_c(\mathbb{R})$ is dense in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then, for every $K \in L^1(d\nu_\alpha)$, there exists a sequence $(K_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of radial, compactly supported, continuous functions increase to K such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} K_j = K$$

From the Relation (3.5), we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{\epsilon > 0} (K_{j,\epsilon} * |f|(0, 0)) \leq \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} M_\alpha(f)(0, 0) \|K_j\|_{1, \nu_\alpha}.$$

Then,

$$\sup_{\epsilon > 0} (K_\epsilon * |f|(0, 0)) \leq M_\alpha(f)(0, 0) \|K\|_{1, \nu_\alpha}.$$

Let $f \in L^1_{loc}(d\nu_\alpha)$ and $(\mu, \lambda) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$, we denote by

$$g(x, y) = \mathcal{T}_{(\mu, -\lambda)}(|\check{f}|)(x, y), \quad \forall (x, y) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_\alpha(g)(0, 0) &= \sup_{\eta > 0} \frac{1}{\nu_\alpha(B((0, 0), \eta))} \int_{B((0, 0), \eta)} \mathcal{T}_{(0, 0)}(|\check{g}|)(s, y) d\nu_\alpha(s, y) \\
 &= \sup_{\eta > 0} \frac{1}{\nu_\alpha(B((0, 0), \eta))} \int_{B((0, 0), \eta)} |\check{g}|(s, y) d\nu_\alpha(s, y) \\
 &= \sup_{\eta > 0} \frac{1}{\nu_\alpha(B((0, 0), \eta))} \int_{B((0, 0), \eta)} \mathcal{T}_{(\mu, -\lambda)}(|\check{f}|)(s, y) d\nu_\alpha(s, y) \\
 &= M_\alpha(f)(\mu, \lambda).
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for all $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_\epsilon * |g|(0, 0) &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{T}_{(0, 0)}(|\check{g}|)(s, y) K_\epsilon(s, x) d\nu_\alpha(s, x) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\check{g}|(s, y) K_\epsilon(s, x) d\nu_\alpha(s, x) \\
 &= K_\epsilon * |f|(r, x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the Relation (3.5)

$$\sup_{\epsilon > 0} (K_\epsilon * |f|(r, x)) \leq M_\alpha(f)(r, x) \|K\|_{1, \nu_\alpha}. \quad \square$$

Theorem 3.12. For every $p \in]1, +\infty[$, \mathcal{H}_α^p coincides with $L^p(d\nu_\alpha)$. Moreover, there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ such that for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha^p$, we have

$$\|f\|_{p, \nu_\alpha} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha^p} \leq C_p \|f\|_{p, \nu_\alpha}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha^p$. Using the Relation (3.1),

$$|p_t * f(r, x)| \leq \mathcal{P}_f^\alpha(r, x), \quad \forall (r, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

This implies that

$$\|p_t * f\|_{p, \nu_\alpha} \leq \|\mathcal{P}_f^\alpha\|_{p, \nu_\alpha} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha^p} < +\infty.$$

We deduce that the set $\{p_t * f, t > 0\}$ lies in the closed ball $\overline{B(0, \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha^p})}$ of $L^p(d\nu_\alpha)$. Moreover, $L^p(d\nu_\alpha)$ is the dual space of $L^q(d\nu_\alpha)$, where q is the conjugate exponent of p .

We define

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : L^p(d\nu_\alpha) &\longrightarrow (L^q(d\nu_\alpha))^* \\ f &\longmapsto \Phi_f \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_f : L^q(d\nu_\alpha) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ g &\longmapsto \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(r, x) g(r, x) d\nu_d(r, x). \end{aligned}$$

We know that for every $f \in L^p(d\nu_\alpha)$,

$$\|\Phi_f\|_{(L^q(d\nu_\alpha))^*} = \|f\|_{p, \nu_\alpha}.$$

Then,

$$\|\Phi_{p_t * f}\|_{(L^q(d\nu_\alpha))^*} = \|p_t * f\|_{p, \nu_\alpha} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha^p} < +\infty.$$

We deduce that the set $\{\Phi_{p_t * f}, t > 0\}$ lies in the closed ball $\overline{B(0, \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha^p})}$ of $L^p(d\nu_\alpha)$. Hence, by Banach-Alaoglu theorem, there exist a sequence $(t_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $f_0 \in L^p(d\nu_\alpha)$ such that

$$\lim_{t_j \rightarrow 0} \Phi_{p_{t_j} * f} = \Phi_{f_0}$$

in the the weak* topology of $L^p(d\nu_\alpha)$. Then,

$$\lim_{t_j \rightarrow 0} p_{t_j} * f = f_0 \quad \text{in } L^p(d\nu_\alpha).$$

By Proposition 3.8, we obtain that for every $f \in S'_e(\mathbb{R}^2)$ bounded tempered distribution

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} p_t * f = f \quad \text{in } S'_e(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

Thus, f and f_0 coincides. We have (see [2])

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|p_t * f - f\|_{p, \nu_\alpha} = 0.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{p, \nu_\alpha} &\leq \|p_t * f - f\|_{p, \nu_\alpha} + \|p_t * f\|_{p, \nu_\alpha} \\ &\leq \|p_t * f - f\|_{p, \nu_\alpha} + \|\mathcal{P}_f^\alpha\|_{p, \nu_\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\|f\|_{p, \nu_\alpha} \leq \|\mathcal{P}_f^\alpha\|_{p, \nu_\alpha} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha^p}.$$

Using Proposition 3.11 for the function p_t , we have

$$\sup_{t > 0} |p_t * f| \leq M_\alpha(f).$$

Then,

$$\|\mathcal{P}_f^\alpha\|_{p, \nu_\alpha} \leq \|M_\alpha(f)\|_{p, \alpha}.$$

Thus,

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha^p} \leq \|M_\alpha(f)\|_{p, \alpha}.$$

Now, from Theorem 3.10 we know that M_α is of strong type (p, p) , $p \in]1, +\infty]$, we deduce that there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha^p} \leq \|M_\alpha(f)\|_{p, \nu_\alpha} \leq C_p \|f\|_{p, \nu_\alpha},$$

which achieves the proof. □

Throughout this paper C denotes a positive constant that can change from one line to next.

4 Atomic Decomposition of Hardy Spaces

Definition 4.1 (Cube). A cube of $[0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$ is a subset of \mathbb{R}^2 such that

$$Q = [a_0, b_0] \times [a_1, b_1],$$

where $b_0 - a_0 = b_1 - a_1 = L > 0$.

Definition 4.2 (Atomic Decomposition). A measurable function f on \mathbb{R}^2 even with respect to the first variable is called an L^∞ -atom for \mathcal{H}_α^1 , if there exists a cube Q satisfying

- (i) $\text{Supp}(f) \subset Q$.
- (ii) $\|f\|_{\infty, \nu_\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{\nu_\alpha(Q)}$.
- (iii) $\int_Q f(r, x) d\nu_\alpha(r, x) = 0$.

In the next we define the atomic space $\mathcal{H}_{atomic}^\alpha$

Definition 4.3. The space $\mathcal{H}_{atomic}^\alpha$ is defined as the vector space of all functions $f \in L^1(d\nu_\alpha)$ for which there exists a sequence $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of L^∞ -atoms of \mathcal{H}_α^1 and a sequence $(\lambda_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N})$, such that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \lambda_i f_i.$$

We set

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{atomic}^\alpha} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} |\lambda_i| \mid f = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \lambda_i f_i \right\}.$$

Now we introduce the following notations

- $Z^{[\alpha]}$ the set of functions $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ satisfying $\varphi(0, 0) > 0$ and for every $(x, y) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$.

- $0 \leq \varphi(x, y) \leq \frac{C}{(1 + x^2 + y^2)^{\alpha+2}}$.
- $0 \leq \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(x, y) \leq \frac{Cx}{(1 + x^2 + y^2)^{\alpha+3}}$.
- $0 \leq \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y}(x, y) \leq \frac{Cy}{(1 + x^2 + y^2)^{\alpha+3}}$.

Where C a positive constant depending on φ .

- We define the function h on $]0, +\infty[\times]0, +\infty[$ by

$$h(r, \gamma) = \begin{cases} \gamma r^{-2\alpha-1} & \text{if } \gamma < r^{2\alpha+2}, \\ \gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}} & \text{if } \gamma \geq r^{2\alpha+2}. \end{cases}$$

- For every $\gamma > 0$ and for every $\varphi \in Z^{[\alpha]}$, $(r, x) \in]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$ and $(s, y) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$, we set

$$\Phi^\gamma((r, x), (s, y)) = \gamma \mathcal{T}_{(r,x)}(\varphi_{h(r,\gamma)})(-s, -y),$$

where $\varphi_{h(r,\gamma)}(r, x) = \frac{1}{(h(r,\gamma))^{2\alpha+2}} \varphi\left(\frac{r}{h(r,\gamma)}, \frac{x}{h(r,\gamma)}\right)$.

- $d_\alpha((r, x), (s, y)) = \max\left(\left|\int_r^s t^{2\alpha+1} dt\right|, |x - y|\right)$, where $(r, x), (s, y) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$.
- $p((r, x), (s, y)) = \max(|r - s|, |x - y|)$, where $(r, x), (s, y) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$.
- $p'((r, x), (y, y')) = \max(|r - s|^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}}, |x - y|)$, where $(r, x), (s, y) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$.

Our goal now, is to prove that $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha^1}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}_{atomic}^\alpha}$ are equivalent. To do this, we need some preparation.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $f \in L^1(d\nu_\alpha)$. For every $\lambda > 0$ and $(r, x), (s, y) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\mathcal{T}_{(\lambda r, \lambda x)}(f)(\lambda s, \lambda y) = \lambda^{-2\alpha-2} \mathcal{T}_{(r,x)}(f_{\lambda^{-1}})(s, y).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{(\lambda r, \lambda x)}(f)(\lambda s, \lambda y) &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\pi f\left(\sqrt{(\lambda r)^2 + (\lambda s)^2 + 2\lambda^2 r s \cos \theta}, \lambda x + \lambda y\right) \sin^{2\alpha} \theta \, d\theta \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\pi f\left(\lambda \sqrt{r^2 + s^2 + 2rs \cos \theta}, \lambda(x + y)\right) \sin^{2\alpha} \theta \, d\theta \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\pi \lambda^{-2\alpha-2} f_{\lambda^{-1}}\left(\lambda \sqrt{r^2 + s^2 + 2rs \cos \theta}, \lambda(x + y)\right) \sin^{2\alpha} \theta \, d\theta \\ &= \lambda^{-2\alpha-2} \mathcal{T}_{(r,x)}(f_{\lambda^{-1}})(s, y). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proposition 4.5. *For every $\gamma, \lambda > 0$ and $(r, x), (s, y) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$, we have*

- (i) $h(\lambda x, \lambda^{2\alpha+2} \gamma) = \lambda h(r, \gamma)$.
- (ii) $d_\alpha((\lambda r, \lambda^{2\alpha+2} x), (\lambda s, \lambda^{2\alpha+2} y)) = \lambda^{2\alpha+2} d_\alpha((r, x), (s, y))$.
- (iii) $\Phi^\gamma((\lambda r, \lambda x), (\lambda s, \lambda y)) = \Phi^{\lambda^{-2\alpha-2} \gamma}((r, x), (s, y))$.

Proof. (i)

$$\begin{aligned} h(\lambda x, \lambda^{2\alpha+2} \gamma) &= \begin{cases} \lambda^{2\alpha+2} \gamma (\lambda x)^{-2\alpha-1}; & \text{if } \lambda^{2\alpha+2} \gamma < (\lambda x)^{2\alpha+2}, \\ \lambda \gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}}; & \text{if } \gamma \geq x^{2\alpha+2}. \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \lambda \gamma x^{-2\alpha-1}; & \text{if } \gamma < x^{2\alpha+2}, \\ \lambda \gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}}; & \text{if } \gamma \geq x^{2\alpha+2}. \end{cases} \\ &= \lambda h(r, \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} d_\alpha((\lambda r, \lambda^{2\alpha+2}x), (\lambda s, \lambda^{2\alpha+2}y)) &= \max \left(\left| \int_{\lambda s}^{\lambda r} t^{2\alpha+1} dt \right|, |\lambda^{2\alpha+2}x - \lambda^{2\alpha+2}y| \right) \\ &= \max \left(\lambda^{2\alpha+2} \left| \int_s^r t^{2\alpha+1} dt \right|, \lambda^{2\alpha+2}|x - y| \right) \\ &= \lambda^{2\alpha+2} d_\alpha((r, x), (s, y)). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Using Proposition 4.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^\gamma((\lambda r, \lambda x), (\lambda s, \lambda y)) &= \gamma \mathcal{T}_{(\lambda r, \lambda x)}(\varphi_{h(\lambda r, \gamma)})(-\lambda s, -\lambda y) \\ &= \gamma \mathcal{T}_{(r, x)}(\varphi_{\lambda h(r, \lambda^{-2\alpha-2}\gamma)})(-\lambda s, -\lambda y) \\ &= \lambda^{-2\alpha-2} \gamma \mathcal{T}_{(r, x)}(\varphi_{h(r, \lambda^{-2\alpha-2}\gamma)})(-s, -y) \\ &= \Phi^{\lambda^{-2\alpha-2}\gamma}((r, x), (s, y)). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Lemma 4.6. *There exist constants $C > 0$, $\beta > 0$ such that for every $(r, x), (s, y), (t, z) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma > 0$ we have*

$$|\Phi^\gamma((r, x), (s, y)) - \Phi^\gamma((r, x), (t, z))| \leq C \left(\frac{d_\alpha((s, y), (t, z))}{\gamma} \right)^\beta. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the Relation (4.1) for $d_\alpha((s, y), (t, z)) < \frac{\gamma}{C}$, where C is a fixed constant large enough.

First, we will show that

$$L = |\Phi^\gamma((1, x), (s, y)) - \Phi^\gamma((1, x), (t, z))| \leq C \left(\frac{d_\alpha((s, y), (t, z))}{r} \right)^\beta. \quad (4.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} L &= C\gamma \left| \int_0^\pi \varphi_{h(1, \gamma)} \left(\sqrt{1 + s^2 - 2s \cos \theta}, x - y \right) \sin^{2\alpha} \theta d\theta \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^\pi \varphi_{h(1, \gamma)} \left(\sqrt{1 + t^2 - 2t \cos \theta}, x - z \right) \sin^{2\alpha} \theta d\theta \right| \\ &= C \frac{\gamma}{(h(1, \gamma))^{2\alpha+2}} \left| \int_0^\pi \left(\varphi \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 + s^2 - 2s \cos \theta}}{h(1, \gamma)}, \frac{x - y}{h(1, \gamma)} \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \varphi \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 + t^2 - 2t \cos \theta}}{h(1, \gamma)}, \frac{x - z}{h(1, \gamma)} \right) \right) \sin^{2\alpha} \theta d\theta \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Let f be a function defined by

$$\begin{aligned} g : [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (s, t) &\longmapsto (g_1(s, t), g_2(s, t)) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 + s^2 - 2s \cos \theta}}{h(1, \gamma)}, \frac{x - t}{h(1, \gamma)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial(\varphi \circ g)}{\partial s}(s, t) &= \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s}(g(s, t)) \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial s}(s, t) + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}(g(s, t)) \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial s}(s, t) \\ &= \frac{1}{h(1, \gamma)} \left(\frac{s - \cos \theta}{\sqrt{1 + s^2 - 2s \cos \theta}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s}(g(s, t)) - \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}(g(s, t)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial(\varphi \circ g)}{\partial t}(s, t) &= \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s}(g(s, t)) \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial t}(s, t) + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}(g(s, t)) \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial t}(s, t) \\ &= -\frac{1}{h(1, \gamma)} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}(g(s, t)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi \in Z^{[\alpha]}$, we use the mean value theorem, there exist $(u, u') \in [(s, y), (t, z)]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi \circ g(s, y) - \varphi \circ g(t, z)| &\leq \|(s, y) - (t, z)\|_\infty \sup_{(u, u') \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}} \|d(\varphi \circ g)(u, u')\| \\ &= p((s, y), (t, z)) \sup_{(u, u') \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}} \|d(\varphi \circ g)(u, u')\| \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} L &\leq C \frac{\gamma}{(h(1, \gamma))^{2\alpha+2}} p((s, y), (t, z)) \left| \int_0^\pi \sup_{(u, u') \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}} \|d(\varphi \circ g)(u, u')\| \sin^{2\alpha} \theta \, d\theta \right| \\ &\leq C \frac{\gamma}{(h(1, \gamma))^{2\alpha+3}} p((s, y), (t, z)) \\ &\quad \times \sup_{(u, u') \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}} \int_0^\pi \left| \frac{u - \cos \theta}{\sqrt{1 + u^2 - 2u \cos \theta}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s} \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 + u^2 - 2u \cos \theta}}{h(1, \gamma)}, \frac{x - u'}{h(1, \gamma)} \right) \right| \\ &\quad + 2 \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 + u^2 - 2u \cos \theta}}{h(1, \gamma)}, \frac{x - u'}{h(1, \gamma)} \right) \right| \sin^{2\alpha} \theta \, d\theta \\ &\leq C \gamma (h(1, \gamma))^2 p((s, y), (t, z)) \\ &\quad \times \sup_{(u, u') \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}} \int_0^\pi \frac{(|u - \cos \theta| + 2|x - u'|)}{((h(1, \gamma))^2 + 1 + u^2 - 2u \cos \theta + (x - u')^2)^{\alpha+3}} \sin^{2\alpha} \theta \, d\theta \\ &\leq C \gamma (h(1, \gamma))^2 p((s, y), (t, z)) \\ &\quad \times \sup_{(u, u') \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}} \int_0^\pi \frac{(1 - \cos \theta) + |u - 1| + 2|x - u'|}{((h(1, \gamma))^2 + 1 + u^2 - 2u \cos \theta + (x - u')^2)^{\alpha+3}} \sin^{2\alpha} \theta \, d\theta \\ &\leq C \gamma (h(1, \gamma))^2 p((s, y), (t, z)) \\ &\quad \times \sup_{(u, u') \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}} \int_0^\pi \frac{(1 - \cos \theta) + 3p((1, x), (u, u'))}{((h(1, \gamma))^2 + 1 + u^2 - 2u \cos \theta + (x - u')^2)^{\alpha+3}} \sin^{2\alpha} \theta \, d\theta \\ &\leq C \gamma (h(1, \gamma))^2 p((s, y), (t, z)) \\ &\quad \times \sup_{(u, u') \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}} \int_0^\pi \frac{(1 - \cos \theta) + 3p((1, x), (u, u'))}{((h(1, \gamma))^2 + (p((1, x), (u, u')))^2 + 2u(1 - \cos \theta))^{\alpha+3}} \sin^{2\alpha} \theta \, d\theta \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$E(\gamma, u, u', \theta) = \frac{(1 - \cos \theta) + 3p((1, x), (u, u'))}{((h(1, \gamma))^2 + (p((1, x), (u, u')))^2 + 2u(1 - \cos \theta))^{\alpha+3}}.$$

Case 1 $\gamma \geq 1$: we have $h(1, \gamma) = \gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}}$

- If $p((1, x), (u, u')) \leq \gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}}$. Then,

$$E(\gamma, u, u', \theta) \leq (2 + 3\gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}})\gamma^{-\frac{2\alpha+6}{2\alpha+2}} = 3\gamma^{-\frac{2\alpha+5}{2\alpha+2}}(\gamma^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}} + 1) \leq 6\gamma^{-\frac{2\alpha+5}{2\alpha+2}}.$$

We have

$$p((s, y), (t, z)) \leq (d_\alpha((s, y), (t, z)))^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}}.$$

Then,

$$L \leq C\gamma\gamma^{\frac{2}{2\alpha+2}}\gamma^{-\frac{2\alpha+5}{2\alpha+2}}p((y, y'), (z, z')) \leq C\frac{p((y, y'), (z, z'))}{\gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}}} \leq C\left(\frac{d_\alpha((y, y'), (z, z'))}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}}$$

- If $p((1, x), (u, u')) \geq \gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}}$. Then,

$$E(r, u, u', \theta) \leq 3\frac{1 + p((1, x), (u, u'))}{(p((1, x), (u, u')))^{2\alpha+6}} \leq 6\frac{p((1, x), (u, u'))}{(p((1, x), (u, u')))^{2\alpha+6}} = 6(p((1, x), (u, u')))^{-2\alpha-5}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} L &\leq C\gamma p((s, y), (t, z))\gamma^{\frac{2}{2\alpha+2}} \sup_{(u, u') \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}} (p((1, x), (u, u')))^{-2\alpha-5} \\ &\leq C\frac{p((s, y), (t, z))}{\gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}}} \\ &\leq C\left(\frac{d_\alpha((s, y), (t, z))}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2 $\gamma < 1$: $h(1, \gamma) = \gamma$.

- $p((1, x), (u, u')) \geq \frac{1}{4}$

$$\begin{aligned} E(\gamma, u, u', \theta) &= \frac{(1 - \cos \theta) + 3p((1, x), (u, u'))}{(\gamma^2 + (p((1, x), (u, u')))^2 + 2u(1 - \cos \theta))^{\alpha+3}} \\ &\leq \frac{2 + 3p((1, x), (u, u'))}{(p((1, x), (u, u')))^{2\alpha+6}} \\ &\leq 11(p((1, x), (u, u')))^{-2\alpha-5} \\ &\leq 4^{2\alpha+5}11. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$L \leq C\gamma^2 p((s, y), (t, z)) \leq C\left(\frac{d_\alpha((s, y), (t, z))}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}}.$$

- If $p((1, x), (u, u')) < \frac{1}{4}$ and $p((1, x), (u, u')) > \frac{\gamma}{4}$.

$$\begin{aligned} L &\leq C\gamma^3 p((s, y), (t, z)) \sup_{(u, u') \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}} \int_0^{p((1, x), (u, u'))} \frac{\theta^{2\alpha} (\frac{\theta^2}{2} + 3p((1, x), (u, u')))}{(p((1, x), (u, u')))^{2\alpha+6}} d\theta \\ &+ \int_{p((1, x), (u, u'))}^{\pi} \frac{\theta^{2\alpha} (\frac{\theta^2}{2} + 3p((1, x), (u, u')))}{\theta^{2\alpha+6}} d\theta \\ &\leq C\gamma^3 p((s, y), (t, z)) \sup_{(u, u') \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}} \frac{p((1, x), (u, u')) + 1}{(p((1, x), (u, u')))^4} \\ &+ \int_{p((1, x), (u, u'))}^{\pi} \frac{1}{2\theta^4} + \frac{3p((1, x), (u, u'))}{\theta^5} d\theta \\ &\leq C\gamma^3 p((s, y), (t, z)) \sup_{(u, u') \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}} \frac{5}{4(p((1, x), (u, u')))^4} + \frac{2}{(p((1, x), (u, u')))^3} \\ &\leq C\gamma^3 p((s, y), (t, z)) \sup_{(u, u') \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(p((1, x), (u, u')))^4} \\ &\leq C \frac{p((s, y), (t, z))}{\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

- If $p((1, x), (u, u')) < \frac{\gamma}{4}$

$$\begin{aligned} L &\leq C\gamma^3 p((s, y), (t, z)) \sup_{(u, u') \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}} \int_0^{\gamma} \frac{\theta^{2\alpha} (\frac{\theta^2}{2} + 2p((1, x), (u, u')))}{\gamma^{2\alpha+6}} d\theta \\ &+ \int_{\gamma}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\theta^{2\alpha} (\frac{\theta^2}{4} + 2p((1, x), (u, u')))}{\theta^{2\alpha+6}} d\theta + \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\pi} \frac{\theta^{2\alpha} (\frac{\theta^2}{4} + 2p((1, x), (u, u')))}{\theta^{2\alpha+6}} d\theta \\ &\leq C\gamma^3 p((s, y), (t, z)) \\ &\times \sup_{(u, u') \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{4\gamma^3} + \frac{2p((1, x), (u, u'))}{\gamma^5} + \frac{1}{8\gamma^3} + \frac{p((1, x), (u, u'))}{2\gamma^5} + 2p((1, x), (u, u')) \right) \\ &\leq C\gamma^3 p((s, y), (t, z)) \left(\frac{3}{8\gamma^3} + \frac{1}{\gamma^4} + \frac{\gamma}{2} \right) \\ &\leq Cp((s, y), (t, z)) \left(\frac{3}{8} + \frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{\gamma^3}{2} \right) \\ &\leq C \frac{p((s, y), (t, z))}{\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (ii) and (iii) of Proposition 4.5 and the Relation (4.2), we get

$$|\Phi^\gamma((r, x), (s, y)) - \Phi^\gamma((r, x), (t, z))| \leq C \left(\frac{d_\alpha((s, y), (t, z))}{\gamma} \right)^\beta. \quad \square$$

Proposition 4.7. *There exist constants $A > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ such that*

- (i) $\Phi^\gamma((r, x), (r, x)) > \frac{1}{A}$, $\gamma > 0$ and $(r, x) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$.
- (ii) $0 \leq \Phi^\gamma((r, x), (s, y)) \leq A \left(1 + \frac{d_\alpha((r, x), (s, y))}{\gamma} \right)^{-1-\beta}$, $\gamma > 0$ and $(r, x), (s, y) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$.
- (iii) For every $\gamma > 0$ and $(r, x), (s, y), (t, z) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$d_\alpha((s, y), (t, z)) \leq \frac{1}{4A} (\gamma + d_\alpha((r, x), (s, y))),$$

we have

$$|\Phi^\gamma((r, x), (s, y)) - \Phi^\gamma((r, x), (t, z))| \leq A \left(\frac{d_\alpha((s, y), (t, z))}{\gamma} \right)^\beta \left(1 + \frac{d_\alpha((r, x), (s, y))}{\gamma} \right)^{-1-2\beta}.$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in Z^{[\alpha]}$

(i) First, we will show that there exists a constant $A > 0$ such that

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \Phi^\gamma((1, x), (1, x)) > \frac{1}{A}.$$

We know that $\varphi(0, 0) > 0$. Then, there exist constants $a > 0$ and $b > 0$ such that for every $0 < r < b$ we have

$$\varphi(r, 0) > a. \tag{4.3}$$

- If $\gamma < 1$, then $h(1, \gamma) = \gamma$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^\gamma((1, x), (1, x)) &= \gamma \mathcal{T}_{(1,x)}(\varphi_\gamma)(-1, -x) \\ &= \gamma \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\pi \varphi_\gamma \left(\sqrt{2(1 - \cos \theta)}, 0 \right) \sin^{2\alpha} \theta \, d\theta. \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\pi \frac{1}{\gamma^{2\alpha+1}} \varphi \left(\frac{\sqrt{2(1 - \cos \theta)}}{\gamma}, 0 \right) \sin^{2\alpha} \theta \, d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

By the Relation (4.3), there exists b' such that for every $0 < \theta < \gamma b'$, we have

$$\varphi \left(\frac{\sqrt{2(1 - \cos \theta)}}{\gamma}, 0 \right) > a.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^\gamma((1, x), (1, x)) &\geq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\gamma b'} \frac{a}{\gamma^{2\alpha+1}} \sin^{2\alpha} \theta \, d\theta. \\ &\geq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\gamma b'} a \sin^{2\alpha} \theta \, d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

- If $\gamma \geq 1$, then $h(1, \gamma) = \gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^\gamma((1, x), (1, x)) &= \gamma \mathcal{T}_{(1,x)} \left(\varphi_{\gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}}} \right) (-1, -x) \\ &= \gamma \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\pi \varphi_{\gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}}} \left(\sqrt{2(1 - \cos \theta)}, 0 \right) \sin^{2\alpha} \theta \, d\theta \\ &= \gamma \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\pi \frac{1}{\gamma} \varphi \left(\frac{\sqrt{2(1 - \cos \theta)}}{\gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}}}, 0 \right) \sin^{2\alpha} \theta \, d\theta \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\pi \varphi \left(\frac{\sqrt{2(1 - \cos \theta)}}{\gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}}}, 0 \right) \sin^{2\alpha} \theta \, d\theta \\
 &\geq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{b'} a \sin^{2\alpha} \theta \, d\theta.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\Phi^\gamma((1, x), (1, x)) > \frac{1}{A}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $(r, x) \in]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$. Using (iii) of Proposition 4.5 we have

$$\Phi^\gamma((r, x), (r, x)) = \Phi^{\gamma r^{-2\alpha-3}} \left(\left(1, \frac{x}{r}\right), \left(1, \frac{x}{r}\right) \right) \geq \frac{1}{A}.$$

For $r = 0$ is obvious .

(ii) First, we have to show that

$$0 \leq \Phi^\gamma((1, x), (s, y)) \leq C \left(1 + \frac{d_\alpha((1, x), (s, y))}{\gamma} \right)^{-1-\beta}. \tag{4.4}$$

Case 1 $\gamma < 1$: $h(1, \gamma) = \gamma$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi^\gamma((1, x), (s, y)) &= \gamma \mathcal{T}_{(1,x)}(\varphi_\gamma)(-s, -y) \\
 &= \gamma \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\pi \varphi_\gamma \left(\sqrt{1 + s^2 - 2s \cos \theta}, \frac{x - y}{\gamma} \right) \sin^{2\alpha} \theta \, d\theta \\
 &= \gamma \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\pi \frac{1}{\gamma^{2\alpha+2}} \varphi \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 + s^2 - 2s \cos \theta}}{\gamma}, \frac{x - y}{\gamma} \right) \sin^{2\alpha} \theta \, d\theta \\
 &\leq \gamma \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\pi \frac{1}{\gamma^{2\alpha+2}} \frac{\gamma^{2\alpha+4}}{(\gamma^2 + 1 + s^2 - 2s \cos \theta + (x - y)^2)^{\alpha+2}} \sin^{2\alpha} \theta \, d\theta \\
 &\leq \gamma^3 \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\pi \frac{1}{(\gamma^2 + (1 - s)^2 + 2s - 2s \cos \theta + (x - y)^2)^{\alpha+2}} \sin^{2\alpha} \theta \, d\theta.
 \end{aligned}$$

- If $\frac{\gamma}{2} \leq |1 - s|$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 2$, then

$$d_\alpha((1, x), (s, y)) \sim p((1, x), (s, y)).$$

In fact,

$$\frac{1}{2^{2\alpha+1}} |1 - s| \leq \left| \int_1^s t^{2\alpha+1} dt \right| \leq 2^{2\alpha+1} |1 - s|.$$

Then,

$$\frac{1}{2^{2\alpha+1}} p((1, x), (s, y)) \leq d_\alpha((1, x), (s, y)) \leq 2^{2\alpha+1} p((1, x), (s, y)).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \Phi^\gamma((1, x), (s, y)) \\
 & \leq \gamma^3 \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\pi \frac{1}{(\gamma^2 + (p((1, x), (s, y)))^2 + 2s(1 - \cos \theta))^{\alpha+2}} \sin^{2\alpha} \theta \, d\theta \\
 & \leq C\gamma^3 \left(\int_0^{p((1, x), (s, y))} \frac{\sin^{2\alpha}(\theta)}{(p((1, x), (s, y)))^{2\alpha+4}} \, d\theta + \int_{p((1, x), (s, y))}^\pi \frac{\sin^{2\alpha} \theta}{\theta^{2\alpha+4}} \, d\theta \right) \\
 & \leq C\gamma^3 \left(\int_0^{p((1, x), (s, y))} \frac{\theta^{2\alpha}}{(p((1, x), (s, y)))^{2\alpha+4}} \, d\theta + \int_{p((1, x), (s, y))}^\pi \frac{\theta^{2\alpha}}{\theta^{2\alpha+4}} \, d\theta \right) \\
 & \leq C\gamma^3 \left(\frac{1}{(p((1, x), (s, y)))^3} - \frac{1}{3\pi^2} + \frac{1}{3(p((1, x), (s, y)))^3} \right) \\
 & \leq C\gamma^3 \frac{1}{(p((1, x), (s, y)))^3}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since, $\frac{\gamma}{2} \leq |1 - s| \leq p((1, x), (s, y))$ then

$$\gamma + p((1, x), (s, y)) \leq 3p((1, x), (s, y)).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi^\gamma((1, x), (s, y)) & \leq C\gamma^3 \frac{1}{(\gamma + p((1, x), (s, y)))^2} \\
 & = C\gamma^3 \left(1 + \frac{p((1, x), (s, y))}{\gamma} \right)^{-3} \\
 & \leq C \left(1 + \frac{d_\alpha((1, x), (s, y))}{\gamma} \right)^{-3}.
 \end{aligned}$$

- If $\frac{\gamma}{2} \leq |1 - s|$, $|x - y| > 1$ and $|1 - s| > \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$d_\alpha((1, x), (s, y)) \leq 2^{2\alpha+1} (p((1, x), (s, y)))^{2\alpha+2}.$$

In fact, we have

$$\frac{1}{2^{2\alpha+1}} \left| \int_1^s t^{2\alpha+1} dt \right| \leq |1 - s| |1 - s|^{2\alpha+1} \leq (p((1, x), (s, y)))^{2\alpha+2},$$

and

$$|x - y| \leq |x - y|^{2\alpha+2}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi^\gamma((1, x), (s, y)) & \leq \gamma^3 C \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin^{2\alpha} \theta}{(p((1, x), (s, y)))^{2\alpha+4}} \, d\theta \\
 & \leq \gamma^3 C \frac{1}{(p((1, x), (s, y)))^{2\alpha+4}} \\
 & \leq \gamma^3 C \frac{1}{(d_\alpha((1, x), (s, y)))^{\frac{2\alpha+4}{2\alpha+2}}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \gamma^3 C \frac{1}{(d_\alpha((1, x), (s, y)))^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}} \\
 &\leq \gamma^3 C \frac{1}{(r + d_\alpha((1, x), (s, y)))^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}} \\
 &\leq \gamma^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} C \frac{1}{(\gamma + d_\alpha((1, x), (s, y)))^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}} \\
 &= C \left(1 + \frac{d_\alpha((1, x), (s, y))}{\gamma}\right)^{-1-\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}
 \end{aligned}$$

- If $\frac{\gamma}{2} \leq |1-s|$, $|x-y| \leq 1$ and $|1-s| > \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\frac{1}{2^{2\alpha+1}} d_\alpha((1, x), (s, y)) \leq (p'((1, x), (s, y)))^{2\alpha+2}.$$

In fact, we have

$$\frac{1}{2^{2\alpha+1}} \left| \int_1^s t^{2\alpha+1} dt \right| \leq |1-s| |1-s|^{2\alpha+1} \leq |1-s|^{2\alpha+2},$$

and

$$|x-y| \leq \left(|x-y|^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}} \right)^{2\alpha+2}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi^\gamma((1, x), (s, y)) &\leq \gamma^3 C \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin^{2\alpha} \theta}{(p'((1, x), (s, y)))^{2\alpha+4}} d\theta \\
 &\leq \gamma^3 C \frac{1}{(p((1, x), (s, y)))^{2\alpha+4}} \\
 &\leq \gamma^3 C \frac{1}{(d_\alpha((1, x), (s, y)))^{\frac{2\alpha+4}{2\alpha+2}}} \\
 &= \gamma^3 C \frac{1}{(d_\alpha((1, x), (s, y)))^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}} \\
 &\leq \gamma^3 C \frac{1}{(\gamma + d_\alpha((1, x), (s, y)))^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}} \\
 &\leq \gamma^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} C \frac{1}{(\gamma + d_\alpha((1, x), (s, y)))^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}} \\
 &= C \left(1 + \frac{d_\alpha((1, x), (s, y))}{\gamma}\right)^{-1-\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}
 \end{aligned}$$

- If $\frac{\gamma}{2} > |1-s|$ and $|x-y| < \frac{\gamma}{2}$ then $\frac{1}{2} < s < \frac{3}{2}$ and $p((1, x), (s, y)) \sim d_\alpha((1, x), (s, y))$.

In fact, we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2\alpha+1} |1-s| \leq \left| \int_1^s t^{2\alpha+1} dt \right| \leq \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{2\alpha+1} |1-s|.$$

Then,

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2\alpha+1} p((1, x), (s, y)) \leq d_\alpha((1, x), (s, y)) \leq \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{2\alpha+1} p((1, x), (s, y)).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^\gamma((1, x), (y, y')) &\leq \gamma^3 C \left(\int_0^\gamma \frac{\sin^{2\alpha} \theta}{\gamma^{2\alpha+4}} d\theta + \int_\gamma^\pi \frac{\sin^{2\alpha} \theta}{\theta^{2\alpha+4}} d\theta \right) \\ &\leq \gamma^3 C \left(\int_0^\gamma \frac{\theta^{2\alpha}}{\gamma^{2\alpha+4}} d\theta + \int_\gamma^\pi \frac{\theta^{2\alpha}}{\theta^{2\alpha+4}} d\theta \right) \\ &\leq \gamma^3 C \left(\frac{\gamma^{2\alpha+1}}{\gamma^{2\alpha+4}} + \int_\gamma^\pi \frac{1}{\theta^4} d\theta \right) \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{\gamma}{2} > p((1, x), (s, y))$ then

$$1 + \frac{p((1, x), (s, y))}{\gamma} < \frac{3}{2}.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{8}{27} \left(1 + \frac{p((1, x), (s, y))}{\gamma} \right)^{-3} > 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^\gamma((1, x), (s, y)) &\leq C \left(1 + \frac{p((1, x), (s, y))}{r} \right)^{-3} \\ &\leq C \left(1 + \frac{d_\alpha((1, x), (s, y))}{\gamma} \right)^{-3} \end{aligned}$$

- If $\frac{\gamma}{2} > |1 - s|$ and $|x - y| \geq \frac{\gamma}{2}$ then, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} < s < \frac{3}{2} \text{ and } p((1, x), (s, y)) \leq d_\alpha((1, x), (s, y)).$$

$$\Phi^\gamma((1, x), (s, y)) \leq \gamma^3 \frac{1}{(p((1, x), (s, y)))^3}.$$

Since, $\frac{\gamma}{2} \leq p((1, x), (s, y))$ then, we have

$$\gamma + p((1, x), (s, y)) \leq 3p((1, x), (s, y)).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^\gamma((1, x), (s, y)) &\leq C\gamma^2 \frac{1}{(\gamma + p((1, x), (s, y)))^3} \\ &= C \left(1 + \frac{p((1, x), (s, y))}{\gamma}\right)^{-3} \\ &\leq C \left(1 + \frac{d_\alpha((1, x), (s, y))}{\gamma}\right)^{-3}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2 $\gamma \geq 1$: $h(1, \gamma) = \gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^\gamma((1, x), (s, y)) &= \gamma \mathcal{T}_{(1,x)} \left(\varphi_{\gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}}} \right) (-s, -y) \\ &\leq \gamma^{\frac{2\alpha+4}{2\alpha+2}} C \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin^{2\alpha} \theta}{(\gamma^{\frac{2}{2\alpha+2}} + (p((1, x), (s, y)))^2 + 2s(1 - \cos \theta))^{\alpha+2}} d\theta \end{aligned}$$

- If $\gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}} \leq |1 - s|$ and $|x - y| > \gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}}$, then $s \geq 2$ and

$$d_\alpha((1, x), (s, y))^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}} \sim p((1, x), (s, y)).$$

In fact, we have

$$|1 - s|^{2\alpha+2} \leq \left| \int_1^s t^{2\alpha+1} dt \right|$$

and

$$|x - y|^{2\alpha+2} \leq |x - y|.$$

Then,

$$p((1, x), (s, y))^{2\alpha+2} \leq d_\alpha((1, x), (s, y)).$$

We use the fact that $f(y) = \frac{y^{2\alpha+2} - 1}{(y - 1)^{2\alpha+2}}$ is a bounded function in $[2, +\infty[$. Then,

$$\left| \int_1^s t^{2\alpha+1} dt \right| \leq C|1 - s|^{2\alpha+2} \tag{4.5}$$

$$|1 - x| \leq |1 - x|^{2\alpha+2}.$$

Then,

$$d_\alpha((1, x), (s, y)) \leq Cp((1, x), (s, y))^{2\alpha+2}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^\gamma((1, x), (s, y)) &\leq \gamma^{\frac{2\alpha+4}{2\alpha+2}} C \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin^{2\alpha} \theta}{(p((1, x), (s, y)))^{2\alpha+4}} d\theta \\ &\leq \gamma^{\frac{2\alpha+4}{2\alpha+2}} C \frac{1}{(p((1, x), (s, y)))^{2\alpha+4}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \frac{1}{\left(\frac{d_\alpha((1,x),(s,y))}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha+4}{2\alpha+2}}} \\ &\leq C \left(1 + \frac{d_\alpha((1,x),(s,y))}{\gamma}\right)^{-1-\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

- If $\gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}} \leq |1-s|$ and $|x-y| \leq \gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}}$, then $s \geq 2$ and $|x-y| \leq |1-s|$. Using the Relation (4.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_1^s t^{2\alpha+1} dt \right| &\leq C|1-s|^{2\alpha+2} \leq Cp((1,x),(s,y))^{2\alpha+2} \\ |x-y| &\leq |1-s| \leq |1-s|^{2\alpha+2} \leq p((1,x),(s,y))^{2\alpha+2} \\ d_\alpha((1,x),(s,y))^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}} &\leq p((1,x),(s,y)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\Phi^\gamma((1,x),(s,y)) \leq C \left(1 + \frac{d_\alpha((1,x),(s,y))}{\gamma}\right)^{-1-\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}.$$

- If $\gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}} > |1-s|$ and $\gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}} > |x-y|$, then

$$d_\alpha((1,x),(s,y)) \leq 2^{2\alpha+2}\gamma.$$

In fact,

$$\left| \int_1^s t^{2\alpha+1} dt \right| \leq (\gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}} + 1)|1-s| \leq (\gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}} + 1)\gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}} \leq (\gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}} + 1)\gamma \leq 2^{2\alpha+2}\gamma,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |x-y| &\leq \gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}} \leq \gamma \\ \Phi^\gamma((1,x),(s,y)) &\leq \gamma^{\frac{2\alpha+4}{2\alpha+2}} C \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin^{2\alpha}\theta}{\gamma^{\frac{2\alpha+4}{2\alpha+2}}} d\theta \leq C \leq C \left(1 + \frac{d_\alpha((1,x),(s,y))}{\gamma}\right)^{-3}. \end{aligned}$$

- If $\gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}} > |1-s|$ and $\gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}} \leq |x-y|$, then

$$d_\alpha((1,x),(s,y)) \leq (p((1,x),(s,y)))^{2\alpha+2}.$$

In fact, we have

$$|1-s| < |x-y|.$$

This implies that

$$p((1,x),(s,y)) = |x-y|$$

$$\left| \int_1^s t^{2\alpha+1} dt \right| \leq (\gamma^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}} + 1)^{2\alpha+1} \leq 2^{2\alpha}(\gamma + 1) \leq 2^{2\alpha+1}\gamma \leq 2^{2\alpha+1}|x-y|^{2\alpha+2},$$

and

$$|x - y| < |x - y|^{2\alpha+2}$$

$$\tilde{\phi}_r((1, x), (s, y)) \leq C \left(1 + \frac{d_\alpha((1, x), (s, y))}{\gamma} \right)^{-1 - \frac{1}{\alpha+1}}.$$

From (4.4), we get

$$0 \leq \Phi^\gamma((r, x), (s, y)) \leq C \left(1 + \frac{d_\alpha((r, x), (s, y))}{\gamma} \right)^{-1-\beta}.$$

Now, we will prove (iii) of the Proposition 4.7. Assume that for every $\gamma > 0$ and $(r, x), (s, y), (t, z) \in [0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$d_\alpha((s, y), (t, z)) \leq \frac{r + d_\alpha((r, x), (s, y))}{4C}.$$

Then for every $\gamma' > 0$, we have

$$\left(1 + \frac{d_\alpha((r, x), (t, z))}{\gamma} \right)^{-1-\gamma'} \leq \left(1 + \frac{d_\alpha((r, x), (s, y))}{\gamma} \right)^{-1-\gamma'}. \quad (4.6)$$

Using (ii) and the Relation (4.6), we have

$$|\Phi^\gamma((r, x), (s, y)) - \Phi^\gamma((r, x), (t, z))| \leq C \left(\frac{d_\alpha((s, y), (t, z))}{\gamma} \right)^{-1-\beta}. \quad (4.7)$$

Finally, using Lemma 4.1 and the Relation (4.8) we have

$$|\Phi^\gamma((r, x), (s, y)) - \Phi^\gamma((r, x), (t, z))| \leq C \left(\frac{d_\alpha((s, y), (t, z))}{\gamma} \right)^\beta \left(1 + \frac{d_\alpha((r, x), (s, y))}{\gamma} \right)^{-1-2\beta}. \quad \square$$

Proposition 4.8. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $f \in L^1(d\nu_\alpha)$ we have*

$$\frac{1}{C} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha^1} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha_{atomic}}^\alpha} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_\alpha^1}. \quad (4.8)$$

Proof. It is clear that $p_t \in Z^{[\alpha]}$. Using Proposition 4.7, Corollary 1 of [19]. Thus, we have show that (4.6) holds. \square

References

- [1] B. Amri, “The Hardy-Littlewood operator associated with the Riemann-Liouville transform”, *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 1270–1289, 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.indag.2018.05.007
- [2] B. Amri and L. Rachdi, “The Littlewood-Paley g -function associated with the Riemann-Liouville operator”, *Ann. Univ. Paedagog. Crac. Stud. Math.*, vol. 12, pp. 31–58, 2013.
- [3] C. Baccar, N. Ben Hamadi, and L. Rachdi, “Best approximation for Weierstrass transform connected with Riemann-Liouville operator”, *Commun. Math. Anal.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 65–83, 2008.
- [4] C. Baccar, N. Ben Hamadi and S. Omri, “Fourier multipliers associated with singular partial differential operators”, *Oper. Matrices*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 37–53, 2017. doi: 10.7153/oam-11-03
- [5] C. Baccar, N. Ben Hamadi and L. T. Rachdi, “Inversion formulas for Riemann-Liouville transform and its dual associated with singular partial differential operators”, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, vol. 2006, Art. ID 086238, 2006. doi: 10.1155/IJMMS/2006/86238
- [6] C. Baccar and L. T. Rachdi, “Spaces of D_{L^p} -type and a convolution product associated with the Riemann-Liouville operators”, *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 16–41, 2009.
- [7] N. Ben Hamadi and L. T. Rachdi, “Weyl transforms associated with the Riemann-Liouville operator”. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, vol. 2006, Art. ID 094768, 2006. doi: 10.1155/IJMMS/2006/94768
- [8] R. Coifman and G. Weiss, “Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis”, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 83, no. 4, pp. 569–645, 1977. doi: 10.1090/S0002-9904-1977-14325-5
- [9] J. A. Fawcett, “Inversion of n -dimensional spherical averages”, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 336–341, 1985. doi: 10.1137/0145018
- [10] C. Fefferman and E. N. Stein, “ H^p spaces of several variables”, *Acta Math.*, vol. 129, no. 3-4, pp. 137–193, 1972. doi: 10.1007/BF02392215
- [11] H. Helesten and L. E. Anderson, “An inverse method for the processing of synthetic aperture radar data”, *Inverse Problems*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 111–124, 1987. doi: 10.1088/0266-5611/3/1/013
- [12] M. Herberthson, “A numerical implementation of an inverse formula for CARABAS raw Data”. Internal Report D 30430-3.2. National Defense Research Institute, FOA, Box 1165; S-581 11, Sweden, 1986.
- [13] K. Hleili, S. Omri and L. T. Rachdi, “Uncertainty principle for the Riemann-Liouville operator”, *Cubo*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 91–115, 2011. doi: 10.4067/s0719-06462011000300006

- [14] N. N. Lebedev, *Special Functions and Their Applications*. New York, USA: Dover Publications, Inc., 1972.
- [15] H. Mejjaoli and S. Omri, “Boundedness and compactness of Riemann-Liouville two-wavelet multipliers”, *J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl.*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 189–213, 2018. doi: 10.1007/s11868-018-0235-2
- [16] S. Omri and L. T. Rachdi, “An L^p - L^q version of Morgan’s theorem associated with Riemann-Liouville transform”, *Int. J. Math. Anal. (Ruse)*, vol. 1, no. 17-20, pp. 805–824, 2007.
- [17] S. Omri and L. T. Rachdi, “Heisenberg-Pauli-Weyl uncertainty principle for the Riemann-Liouville Operator”, *JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, vol. 9, no. 3, Art. ID 88, 2008.
- [18] L. T. Rachdi and A. Rouz, “On the range of the Fourier transform connected with Riemann-Liouville operator”, *Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 355–397, 2009. doi: 10.5802/ambp.272
- [19] A. Uchiyama, “A maximal function characterization of H^p on the space of homogeneous type”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 262, no. 2, pp. 579–592, 1980. doi: 10.2307/1999848
- [20] A. Uchiyama, *Hardy Spaces on the Euclidean Space*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Tokyo, Japan: Springer-Verlag, 2001. doi: 10.1007/978-4-431-67905-9
- [21] G. N. Watson, *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1995.