

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Dispersion entropy: A Measure of Irregularity for Graph Signals

Citation for published version:

Fabila-Carrasco, JS, Tan, C & Escudero, J 2023 'Dispersion entropy: A Measure of Irregularity for Graph Signals' ArXiv. https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.18079>

Link: Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Early version, also known as pre-print

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Dispersion entropy: A Measure of Irregularity for Graph Signals

John Stewart Fabila-Carrasco¹, Chao Tan², and Javier Escudero¹

School of Engineering, Institute for Digital Communications,

University of Edinburgh, West Mains Rd, Edinburgh, EH9 3FB, UK.

² School of Electrical and Information Engineering, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China

(Dated: April 3, 2023)

We introduce a novel method, called Dispersion Entropy for Graph Signals, DE_G , as a powerful tool for analysing the irregularity of signals defined on graphs. We demonstrate the effectiveness of DE_G in detecting changes in the dynamics of signals defined on synthetic and real-world graphs, by defining mixed processing on random geometric graphs or those exhibiting with small-world properties. Remarkably, DE_G generalises the classical dispersion entropy for univariate time series, enabling its application in diverse domains such as image processing, time series analysis, and network analysis, as well as in establishing theoretical relationships (i.e., graph centrality measures, spectrum). Our results indicate that DE_G effectively captures the irregularity of graph signals across various network configurations, successfully differentiating between distinct levels of randomness and connectivity. Consequently, DE_G provides a comprehensive framework for entropy analysis of various data types, enabling new applications of dispersion entropy not previously feasible, and revealing relationships between graph signals and its graph topology.

Introduction. Entropy is a fundamental tool for assessing irregularity and non-linear behaviour in data. Permutation entropy (PE) is an effective algorithm for capturing dynamics in time series (1D data) [1] and has been widely used in finance, physics, and biology [2]. However, PE considers only the order of values, discarding important amplitude information. Dispersion Entropy (DE) was introduced to overcome this limitation [3], and has since been applied to EEG analysis [4] and rotary machines [5].

The growing availability of data defined on complex networks, such as social networks [6], transportation systems [7], and industrial processes [8], has driven interest in extending entropy metrics from time series to more general domains. Recently, PE has been extended to analyse images (2D data) [9] and irregular domains (graphs) [10]. While DE has been defined for 2D data [11], there is no existing DE algorithm for analysing data defined on graphs. Such an extension would enable analysis of real-world systems with graph-based structure where classical DE was not previously applicable, providing a powerful framework for data analysis across a wide range of applications in Graph Signal Processing (GSP) [7].

Smoothness is a fundamental property extensively studied in GSP [7, 12, 13], typically through the use of the combinatorial Laplacian's quadratic form. Intuitively, a graph signal is considered smooth if connected vertices exhibit similar values [13]. Nonetheless, this definition may not fully capture the complex dynamics of graph signals due to its relationship with the spectrum [14]. To address this limitation, we propose in this letter a novel method, based on classical DE for time series, which effectively captures the irregularity of graph signals, providing critical insights into the underlying graph structure and data.

To evaluate our method's performance, we employed synthetic and real-world graphs, including random geometric graphs (used to model wireless sensor networks [15]) and small-world networks (observed widely in biological systems [16], social networks [17], and complex systems [18]). In our analysis, we generalised the mix process MIX(p), a stochastic process combining a sinusoidal signal with random dynamics controlled by the parameter $p \in [0, 1]$. This process has been employed to assess the performance of various entropy metrics in time series [19, 20] and images [21]. Moreover, we analyse centrality measures, which assign ranking values to the graph's vertices based on their position or importance within the graph. Centrality measures play a crucial role in social network analysis for evaluating the importance of vertices in communication [22, 23].

Contribution. In this letter, we propose a method for defining Dispersion Entropy for Graph Signals, denoted as DE_G . Our approach generalises the classical univariate definition of DE by incorporating topological information through the adjacency matrix. We demonstrate the effectiveness of DE_G on synthetic and realworld datasets, and characterise the relationship between graph topology and signal dynamics. Our results indicate that DE_G is a promising technique for analysing graph data, holding potential for numerous applications in fields such as biomedicine and social sciences.

Notation. A simple undirected graph G is defined as a triple $G = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, \mathbf{A})$, where \mathcal{V} is a finite set of vertices (without isolated vertices), \mathcal{E} is the set of edges, and \mathbf{A} is the corresponding adjacency matrix. A graph signal is a real function defined on the vertices $\mathbf{X} : \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{R}$, represented as an N-dimensional column vector, $\mathbf{X} = [x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1}$, with the same indexing as the vertices. The combinatorial Laplacian and normalised Laplacian are denoted by Δ and L, respectively.

A *d*-dimensional Random Geometric Graph (RGG) is a graph in which each vertex $v_i \in \mathcal{V}$ is assigned a random *d*dimensional coordinate $v_i \to \mathbf{x}_i = (x_i^1, \ldots, x_i^d) \in [0, 1]^d$. Two vertices $v_i, v_j \in \mathcal{V}$ are connected by an edge if the distance between their assigned coordinates is below a predefined threshold $r > \mathbf{d}(v_i, v_j)$ (see [24]).

Dispersion Entropy for Graph Signals (DE_G). Let **X** be a graph signal defined on $G, 2 \leq m \in \mathbb{N}$ be the *embedding dimension*, $L \in \mathbb{N}$ be the *delay time* and $c \in \mathbb{N}$ be the *class number*. The DE_G is defined as follows:

1. The embedding matrix $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times m}$ is given by $\mathbf{Y} = [\mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{y}_{m-1}]$, defined by

$$\mathbf{y}_k = D\mathbf{A}^{kL}\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1} , \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, m-1 ,$$

where D is the diagonal matrix $D_{ii} = 1 / \sum_{j=1}^{N} (\mathbf{A}^{kL})_{ij}$.

2. Map function. Each entry of the embedding matrix **Y** is mapped to an integer number from 1 to c, called a class. The function $F \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{N}_c$ where $\mathbb{N}_c = \{1, 2, \ldots, c\}$ is applied element-wise on the matrix **Y**, i.e. $F(\mathbf{Y}) \in \mathbb{N}_c^{N \times m}$ where $F(\mathbf{Y})_{ij} = F(y_{ij})$.

3. Dispersion patterns. Each row of the matrix $F(\mathbf{Y})$, called an *embedding vector*, is mapped to a unique dispersion pattern. Formally, the *embedding vectors* consist of *m* integer numbers (ranged from 1 to *c*) corresponding to each row of the matrix $F(\mathbf{Y})$, i.e., $\operatorname{row}_i(F(\mathbf{Y})) =$ $(F(y_{ij}))_{j=1}^m$ for i = 1, 2, ..., N. The set of dispersion patterns is $\Pi = \{\pi_{v_1v_2...v_m} | v_i \in \mathbb{N}_c\}$. Each embedding vector is uniquely mapped to a dispersion pattern, i.e., $\operatorname{row}_i(F(\mathbf{Y})) \to \pi_{v_1v_2...v_m}$ where $v_1 = F(y_{i1}), v_2 =$ $F(y_{i2}), ..., v_m = F(y_{im})$.

4. Relative frequencies. For each dispersion pattern $\pi \in \Pi$, its relative frequency is obtained as:

$$p(\pi) = \frac{|\{i \mid i \in \mathcal{V}, \operatorname{row}_i(F(\mathbf{Y})) \text{ has type } \pi\}|}{N}$$

5. The Dispersion Entropy for Graph Signals DE_G is computed as the normalised Shannon's entropy for the distinct dispersion patterns as follows:

$$DE_{G}(\mathbf{X}, m, L, c) = -\frac{1}{\log(c^{m})} \sum_{\pi \in \Pi} p(\pi) \ln p(\pi) .$$

The DE_G algorithm offers several unique features and properties. The *embedding matrix* is a key component that captures the topological relationships between the graph and signal. With a chosen embedding dimension $3 \leq m \leq 7$, and delay time commonly set to L = 1(values suggested [1]), the embedding matrix $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times m}$ is constructed. Each column vector \mathbf{y}_k is calculated by averaging the signal values of neighbouring vertices, i.e. $\mathbf{y}_k = D\mathbf{A}^{kL}\mathbf{X}$, where the power of the adjacency matrix \mathbf{A}^{kL} denotes the number of kL-walks between two vertices. Additionally, the diagonal matrix D serves as a normalisation factor. The first column of the matrix \mathbf{Y} corresponds to the original graph signal, i.e., $\mathbf{y}_0 = \mathbf{X}$, and the second column is related to the normalised Laplacian L, specifically, $\mathbf{y}_1 = \mathbf{X} - L\mathbf{X}$. Map functions. To address limitations in assigning the signal **X** to only a limited number of classes, various maps functions $F \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{N}_c$ have been proposed [3]. The non-linear cumulative distribution function (NCDF) is commonly utilised. The map $G \colon (0, 1) \to \mathbb{N}_c$ is defined as G(x) = round(cx + 0.5), where rounding increases or decreases a number to the nearest digit. The map NCDF: $\mathbb{R} \to (0, 1)$ is defined as:

$$\mathrm{NCDF}(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{\frac{-(t-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \mathrm{d}t$$

where μ and σ represent the mean and standard deviation of **X**, respectively. Thus, $F = G \circ \text{NCDF} \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{N}_c$ is the map function used in our implementation of the DE_G algorithm.

Dispersion patterns. The number of possible dispersion patterns that can be assigned to each embedding vector is c^m . Moreover, the number of embedding vectors constructed in the DE_G algorithm is N, one for each vertex. In contrast, classical DE has a number of embedding vectors dependent on the parameters m and L, specifically, n - (m - 1)L.

Shannon's entropy provides a measure of irregularity that can be used to compare signals defined on different graphs. The value of Shannon's entropy ranges from 0 (regular behaviour) to 1 (irregular behaviour).

Dispersion entropy for directed graphs. The algorithm DE_G provides a tool for analysing undirected graph signals, and can be extended to *directed graphs* with minor modifications. Additionally, the algorithm can be applied to any graph signal, but for time series, it produces the same values as the classical DE [3]. This is established in Proposition 1.

Proposition 1 (Equivalence of DE and DE_G for time series). Let $\mathbf{X} = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be a time series and $\overrightarrow{G} = \overrightarrow{P}$ is the directed path on N vertices. Then, for all m, c and L the following equality holds:

$$DE(m, L, c) = DE_{\overrightarrow{B}}(m, L, c)$$
.

Proof. Please refer to the supplemental material [25]. \Box

MIX Processing on RGGs. We introduce a graphbased stochastic process $MIX_G(p)$ defined on RGGs to assess the performance of DE_G in capturing complex signal dynamics. Here, G is a d-dimensional RGG with Nvertices, and the graph signal $MIX_G(p)$ is defined by:

$$MIX_G(p)_i = (1 - R_i)S_i + R_iW_i \text{ for } 1 \le i \le N, (1)$$

where R_i is a random variable with a probability p of taking the value 1 and a probability 1-p of taking the value 0, W_i is uniformly distributed white noise sampled from the interval $\left[-\sqrt{3},\sqrt{3}\right]$, and $S_i = \sum_{j=1}^d \sin(fx_i^j)$ represents a sinusoidal signal with frequency f.

The construction of a *d*-dimensional RGG requires selecting two parameters, r and N, while the graph signal generated by the $MIX_G(p)$ process incorporates random



(c) $r = 0.06, f = 2\pi, p = 0.2$ and $DE_G = 0.6171$. (d) $r = 0.10, f = 4\pi, p = 0.2$ and $DE_G = 0.6697$

FIG. 1: Examples of RGGs with N = 1,500 and values r = 0.06and r = 0.10. The graph signals are generated by the MIX_G process with different parameter values.

noise (determined by p) into some values of the sinusoidal signal (determined by f). Our algorithm, DE_G, detects changes in the frequency of the signal (increasing f), the presence of white noise (increasing p), and the graph connectivity (increasing r) by increasing the entropy values of DE_G. Fig. 1 illustrates the effectiveness of DE_G in detecting the dynamics of the MIX_G process.

Fixing the graph, changing the signal. We analyse the impact of different parameter values on the irregularity of the graph signal $MIX_G(p)$ by fixing the underlying RGG with constant N = 1500 and r = 0.06. We employ a fixed embedding dimension of m = 3, the number of classes set at c = 3, time delay L = 1, and NCDF as the non-linear map (similar results are obtained for others non-linear mappings and values of m, c, and L).

Increasing the frequency parameter f of the MIX_G(p) process results in a more irregular graph signal. The frequency $f = 2\pi$ and $f = 4\pi$ of the sine function in Eq. 1 are depicted in Fig.1a)-b). This increase in frequency produces more variation in the graph signal values between neighbouring vertices. Our algorithm DE_G detects these dynamics by increasing the entropy values. Similarly, an increase in the randomness parameter p results in a more random signal. The parameters p = 0 and p = 0.2 in Eq. 1 are depicted in Fig.1a), c). The DE_G algorithm detects the change in randomness, by increasing the entropy values.

More generally, we compute the entropy values for a range of frequencies from $3/2\pi$ to 16π , as well as for different levels of noise, with probabilities ranging from 0 to 1. The results of 30 realizations are depicted in Fig. 2a, showing the mean and standard deviation. The DE_G algorithm effectively detects the increasing irregularity of the signal by increasing the entropy values. Moreover, the algorithm can distinguish between different levels of irregularity in the MIX_G(p) signal based on the chosen value of p.

Fixing the signal, changing the graph. By fixing the graph signal, we investigate the behaviour of the DE_G algorithm as the underlying graph changes. Specifically, we examine the impact of increasing the distance parameter r from 0.01 to 0.3 used for construct the RGG with



FIG. 2: Entropy values (a) for a fixed graph, increasing the noise and for several frequencies and (b) the underlying graph is more connected.



FIG. 3: Entropy values of DE_G and smoothness based on the Laplacian Δ for the eigenvalues as graph signals.

N = 1,500 vertices. Entropy values are computed for 20 realisations, and the mean and standard deviation are depicted in Fig. 2b for several values of m and c. As r increases, the number of edges increases, connecting more distant vertices with different values. The resulting patterns are more irregular, with more changes and a wider distribution, leading to an increase in the entropy value.

The spectrum of the Laplacian and DE_G . Let **X** be a graph signal, the smoothness of **X** is given by $\mathbf{X}^T \Delta \mathbf{X}$ [7]. We examine the relationship between DE_G and the spectrum of Δ acting on an RGGs (similar results are obtained for other random graphs).

Let G be a RGG with N = 1,500 vertices. The eigenvalues of Δ and its corresponding eigenvectors are denoted by $\sigma = \{\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_N\}$ and $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^N$, respectively. The smoothness of each eigenvector is evaluated and normalised based on the classical definition, i.e., $\lambda_N^{-1} f_i^T \Delta f_i$, and the results are shown in Fig. 3. Each eigenvector f_i is considered as a graph signal and DE_G is computed for c = 2, 3, 4 and m = 2. The results are depicted in Fig. 3. The smoothness definition is an increasing function, i.e., smaller eigenvalues correspond to smoother eigenvectors (also known as graph Fourier modes [26]). Such information is limited especially when eigenfunctions associated with equal eigenvalues (and equal smoothness) exhibit different levels of irregularity. By applying the DE_G algorithm, we can better understand and analyse the dynamics of these eigenfunctions.

The dispersion entropy computed for different values of m and c enables us to capture abrupt changes in entropy values when the dynamics of eigenfunctions change. Fig. 4 depicts six eigenvectors $\{f_j\}_{j=527}^{532}$ corresponding



FIG. 4: Several eigenfunctions and their entropy values.

to the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=527}^{532}$. The definition of smoothness of f_i coincides with the value λ , and the eigenvalue $\lambda_{528} = 15$ has a multiplicity equal to four, and its eigenfunctions $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=528}^{531}$ exhibit a regular behaviour, while f_{527} and f_{532} are more irregular. Hence, classical definitions are not able to fully capture the difference in dynamics within the graph signals. In contrast, the DE_G algorithm is capable of detecting them. In particular, the entropy value of the eigenfunctions is nearly close to 0 if the signal exhibits a more regular dynamic and close to 1 for the most irregular eigenfunctions. Thus, DE_{C} detects eigenvalues with high multiplicity, useful for the construction of isospectral graphs [27].

Small-world networks and DE_G . We evaluate the performance of DE_G in detecting dynamics on signals defined on small-world networks, generated by the Watts-Strogatz model [17], and changing the mean degree k and rewiring probability p. Let G be a small-world network with N = 1,500 and various graph signals, including a random signal, a recurrence relation (logistic map [1]), a stochastic process (Wiener process [28]), and a periodic signal (sine).

Fixing k, changing p. By fixing k = 1, we analyse the effect of the parameter p (ranging from 0 to 1) in the construction of the network G_p and the entropy values. We compute DE_G for each graph signal for 20 realizations, and the mean and standard deviation are depicted in Fig. 5a. For p = 0, the underlying graph G_p is a cycle of N vertices. A path graph is a geometric perturbation of a cycle [29, 30] and due to Prop. 1, we can consider the values of p = 0 to be the classical DE. The classical DE is able to detect the dynamics of various signals, but its computation does not involve the topological structure, thus it only works for the path graph. In contrast, DE_G takes into account not only the signal information but also the graph structure. In this setting, the dynamic of the random signal is almost constant, because it is not affected by G_p . The Wiener process and sine signals exhibit lower entropy values for p = 0 (e.g., the cycle), as their dynamics stem from either periodicity (sine) or stochastic processes (Wiener). However, as p increases, the underlying graph becomes more random, and hence the entropy value also increases. In any case, DE_G is still able to distinguish the random signal from the periodic signal and the Wiener process (for all p < 0.8).



2 3 4 5

> Parameter k (b)

FIG. 5: Entropy values for different signals defined on a small-world network generated by the Watts-Strogatz model.

0.8

Parameter p

(a)

0.8

Entropy value 9.0 entropy value

0.2

0 0.2

Two logistic map signals are generated, one with oscillatory behaviour (r = 3.3) and one with chaotic behaviour (r = 3.7). These characteristics are is well detected by DE_G for all values of p.

Fixing p, changing k. By fixing p = 0.05, the underlying graph G_k where $1 \le k \le 6$ increases the connectivity. In Fig. 5b, we present the entropy values for each graph signal. The entropy values for the sine and Wiener signals almost remain constant, independent of G_k , due to their periodicity and stochastic dynamics. However, the logistic map exhibits a higher degree of variability in its entropy values as k increases. This is because the logistic map is defined by a recurrence formula, where each value depends only on the previous sample, and if k increases, the underlying G_k has more connections between neighbourhoods, which may disrupt the recurrence relation, generating more irregular signals and resulting in higher entropy values. Conversely, the random signal shows a reduction in entropy values as k increases, as the creation of more connections leads to a more robust average value due to the law of large numbers.

Graph Centrality Measures and DE_G . Each centrality measure can be considered as a graph signal, allowing the application of the DE_{G} algorithm to assess the irregularity of centrality measures on real and synthetic graphs (refer to Table I in the supplemental material [25]).

We used six centrality measures as graph signals, namely [22, 23]: Eigenvector centrality, Betweenness, Closeness, Harmonic centrality, Degree and Pagerank. The DE_{C} algorithm leverages the graph topology to effectively detect irregularities generated by each centrality measure, as demonstrated in Fig. 6. In particular, the *Eigenvector Centrality* produces smooth signals [13] in most graphs, and this is reflected in low entropy values. Well-connected vertices tend to appear on the shortest paths between other vertices. When the graph has only a few such vertices, the entropy of the Betweenness measure is lower. In cases where the graph has a more irregular distribution of vertices with this characteristic (e.g., in the sphere due to its symmetry), the entropy values are higher. A similar effect occurs when considering the average length of the shortest path between the vertex and all other vertices, as detected by the *Close*ness measure. Finally, the Degree and PageRank measures produce more irregular graph signals because each signal's value defined on the graph depends only on local properties (the degree or the number and importance of the other vertices connected to it) rather than global properties (such as average paths between vertices in the previous measures).



FIG. 6: The dispersion entropy for various centrality measures.

Comparing DE_G and PE_G **Performance** The Permutation Entropy for Graph Signals, denoted by PE_G [10], marked the first entropy metric specifically designed for graph-based data analysis. Both methods rely on the adjacency matrix, but PE_G primarily focuses on the order of amplitude values (local properties), which might result in the loss of valuable information regarding the amplitudes (global properties). DE_G addresses these limitations by providing a more comprehensive way to characterize the dynamics of graph signals. We conducted the same previous analysis with PE_G (supplemental material [25]), and found that DE_G consistently outperforms PE_G in all cases, highlighting the potential of our novel method for effectively analysing graph signal irregularities.

Conclusions. We have introduced Dispersion Entropy for Graph Signals (DE_G), a method that enhances the analysis of irregularities in graph signals. Our approach generalises classical dispersion entropy, enabling its application to a wide array of domains, including realworld graphs, directed and weighted graphs, and unveiling novel relationships between graph signals and graph-theoretic concepts (e.g., eigenvalues and centrality measures). By overcoming the limitations of the classical smoothness definition, DE_G offers a more comprehensive approach to analysing graph signals and holds significant potential for further research and practical applications, as it effectively captures the complex dynamics of signals across diverse topology configurations.

Acknowledgement This work was supported by the Leverhulme Trust via a Research Project Grant (RPG-2020-158).

Supplemental Materials for "Dispersion entropy: A Measure of Irregularity for Graph Signals"

I. DISPERSION ENTROPY FOR DIRECTED GRAPHS.

In the letter, we have introduced the Dispersion Entropy for graph signals, denoted as DE_G , in the context of *undirected* graphs. To extend this concept to *directed* graphs or *digraphs*, the approach remains analogous, with the primary distinction being the need to incorporate specific constraints on the rows of the embedding matrix. These constraints are imposed by the well-defined vectors \mathbf{y}_k .

Let $\overline{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, \mathbf{A})$ be a digraph with N vertices, where **A** denotes the adjacency matrix of the directed graph, and $\mathbf{X} = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a signal defined on \overrightarrow{G} . Given an *embedding dimension* m with $2 \leq m \in \mathbb{N}$, a *delay time* $L \in \mathbb{N}$, and a *class number* $c \in \mathbb{N}$, the Dispersion Entropy for Directed Graphs (DE $_{\overrightarrow{G}}$) is defined as follows:

1. Embedding matrix. Let $\mathcal{V}^* \subset \mathcal{V}$ be the set given by:

$$V^* = \{ i \in \mathcal{V} \mid \sum_{j=1}^n (\mathbf{A}^{kL})_{ij} \neq 0 \text{ for all } k = 0, 1, \dots, m-1 \}.$$

The embedding matrix $\mathbf{Y}^* \in \mathbb{R}^{|V^*| \times m}$ is given by:

$$\mathbf{Y}^* = [\mathbf{y}_0^*, \mathbf{y}_1^*, \cdots, \mathbf{y}_{m-1}^*]$$
(S0)

where $\mathbf{y}_k^* \in \mathbb{R}^{|V^*| \times 1}$, given by the restriction of \mathbf{y}_k to the vertices in V^* , i.e., $\mathbf{y}_k^* = \mathbf{y}^k \big|_{V^*}$.

2. Map function. Each element of the embedding matrix \mathbf{Y}^* is mapped to an integer number from 1 to c, called a class, i.e., we define a function $F \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{N}_c$ where $\mathbb{N}_c = \{1, 2, \ldots, c\}$ that applies element-wise on the matrix \mathbf{Y}^* , i.e. $F(\mathbf{Y}^*) \in \mathbb{N}_c^{N \times m}$ where $F(\mathbf{Y}^*)_{ij} = F(y_{ij}^*)$.

3. Dispersion patterns. Each row of the matrix $F(\mathbf{Y}^*)$, called an *embedding vector*, is mapped to a unique dispersion pattern. Formally, the *embedding vectors* consist of m integer numbers (from 1 to c) corresponding to each row of the matrix $F(\mathbf{Y}^*)$, i.e., $\operatorname{row}_i(F(\mathbf{Y}^*)) = (F(y_{ij}^*))_{j=1}^m$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. The set of dispersion patterns is defined as $\Pi = \{\pi_{v_1^*v_2^*\dots v_m^*} | v_i^* \in \mathbb{N}_c\}$. Each embedding vector is uniquely mapped to a dispersion pattern, i.e., $\operatorname{row}_i(F(\mathbf{Y}^*)) \to \pi_{v_1^*v_2^*\dots v_m^*}$ where $v_1 = F(y_{i1}^*), v_2 = F(y_{i2}^*), \ldots, v_m = F(y_{im}^*)$.

4. Relative frequencies. For each dispersion pattern $\pi \in \Pi$, its relative frequency is obtained as:

$$p(\pi) = \frac{\left|\{i \mid i \in \mathcal{V}, \operatorname{row}_i(F(\mathbf{Y})) \text{ has type } \pi\}\right|}{|\mathcal{V}^*|}$$

5. Shannon's entropy. The dispersion entropy for graph signals $DE_{\overrightarrow{G}}$ is computed as the normalised Shannon's entropy for the distinct dispersion patterns as follows:

$$\mathrm{DE}_{\overrightarrow{G}}(\mathbf{X},m,L,c) = -\frac{1}{\log(c^m)} \sum_{\pi \in \Pi} p(\pi) \ln p(\pi) \; .$$

Properties The $DE_{\overrightarrow{G}}$ algorithm for directed graphs exhibits the following properties:

The directed graph version of $DE_{\overrightarrow{G}}$ serves as a generalization of its undirected counterpart. If G is an undirected connected (non-trivial) graph, then $\mathcal{V}^* = \mathcal{V}$, and all the steps remain the same in both the directed and undirected versions of the algorithm.

The restriction process $\mathbf{y}_{k}^{*} = \mathbf{y}^{k}|_{V^{*}}$ is equivalent to the vertex virtualisation process presented in [31].

Similarly, the $DE_{\overrightarrow{G}}$ algorithm can be extended to weighted (directed or undirected) graphs by restricting the subset to

$$V^* = \{ i \in \mathcal{V} \mid \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\mathbf{W}^{kL})_{ij} \neq 0 \text{ for all } k = 0, 1, \dots, m-1 \}$$

where **W** represents the weighted adjacency matrix. This generalisation allows for a more comprehensive analysis of graph signals in various contexts.

II. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.

The classical dispersion entropy for time series was established in the literature by [3]. In the following proposition, we demonstrate that when the DE_G is restricted to time series (considering the directed path as the underlying graph), the DE_G is equivalent to the classical DE.

A directed path on k vertices is a directed graph that connects a sequence of distinct vertices with all edges oriented in the same direction, denoted as \overrightarrow{P} . Its vertices are given by $\mathcal{V} = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ and its arcs are (i, i + 1) for all $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$.

Proposition 1 (Equivalence of DE and DE_G for time series). Let $\mathbf{X} = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be a time series and consider $\vec{G} = \vec{P}$ the directed path on n vertices, then for all m, c and L, the following equality holds:

$$DE(m, L, c) = DE_{\overrightarrow{P}}(m, L, c)$$
.

Proof. The adjacency matrix for the directed path \mathbf{A} is given by

$$\mathbf{A}_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1 & \text{and} \quad j = i+1 , \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the matrix \mathbf{A}^k is given by

$$\left(\mathbf{A}^{k}\right)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 1, 2, \dots, N-k & \text{and } j = i+k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise } , \end{cases}$$

in particular, for all $k = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} (\mathbf{A}^{kL})_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 1, \dots, N - (m-1)L ,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Thus, we have

$$\mathbf{y}_{k}^{*} = \mathbf{y}_{k}|_{V^{*}} = D\mathbf{A}^{kL}\mathbf{X}|_{V^{*}}$$

= $[x_{1+kL}, x_{2+kL}, \dots, x_{i+kL}, \dots, x_{N-(m-1)L}]^{T}$.

The *embedding matrix* is given by:

$$\mathbf{Y}^* = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_{1+L} & \dots & x_{1+(m-1)L} \\ x_2 & x_{2+L} & \dots & x_{2+(m-1)L} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{N-(m-1)L} & x_{N-(m-2)L} & \dots & x_N \end{pmatrix},$$

and, given a map function $F \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{N}_c$ defined by $F = G \circ \text{NCDF} \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{N}_c$, the matrix $F(\mathbf{Y}^*)$ is given by:

$$F(\mathbf{Y}^*) = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_{1+L} & \dots & z_{1+(m-1)L} \\ z_2 & z_{2+L} & \dots & z_{2+(m-1)L} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{N-(m-1)L} & z_{N-(m-2)L} & \dots & z_N \end{pmatrix} .$$

Subsequently, the embedding vectors are represented as $\operatorname{row}_i(F(\mathbf{Y})) = (z_i, z_{i+L}, \dots, z_{i+(m-1)L})$. Due to the fact that $|\mathcal{V}| = N - (m-1)L$, the *relative frequencies* and *Shannon's entropy* associated with the graph-based dispersion entropy (DE $_{\overrightarrow{G}}$) and the classical dispersion entropy (DE) are identical.

III. GRAPHS USED FOR ANALYSING CENTRALITY MEASURES.

Underlying Graph	$ \mathcal{V} $	$ \mathcal{E} $	Reference
Minnesota road network	2,642	3,303	[32]
Social circles: Facebook	3,959	84,243	[33]
Arxiv GR-QC collaboration	5,241	14,484	[34]
The US power grid	4,941	6,594	[16]
Pointcloud (Stanford Bunny)	2,503	13,726	[35]
Sphere	4,000	$22,\!630$	[36]

TABLE S1 $\,$

IV. COMPARING DE_G AND PE_G PERFORMANCE

In this section, we demonstrate the superior performance of the Dispersion Entropy for Graph Signals (DE_G) over the Permutation Entropy for Graph Signals, denoted by PE_G [10]. By applying both algorithms to all the examples in the manuscript, we consistently observe that DE_G outperforms PE_G , highlighting the potential and efficacy of DE_G for analysing graph signal irregularities.

Following the same setting used to produced Fig. 2, 3, 5 and 6 in the manuscript, we substitute PE_G for DE_G . The results are depicted in Fig. S1, S2, S3 and S4, respectively.

Random Graphs and PE_G. The PE_G algorithm is not able to detect increasing of the signal irregularity (due to frequency increments) and is unable to differentiate between distinct levels of irregularity in the MIX_G(p) signal based on the parameter p (Fig. S1a). Similarly, in Fig. S1b, as graph connectivity increases (by raising r) the algorithm saturates for an embedding dimension of m = 2. To achieve accurate characterisations, it is necessary to increase m > 2 and even that, the behaviour is not monotonous, whereas DE_G performs well with smaller embedding dimensions.



FIG. S1: Entropy values using PE_G (a) for a fixed graph, increasing the noise and for several frequencies and (b) the underlying graph is more connected.

The spectrum of the Laplacian and PE_G . The entropy values of PE_G exhibit a highly consistent and regular behaviour, with minimal variations (Fig. S2). Despite the varying degrees of irregularity in the eigenvalues (as shown in Fig. 4 of the manuscript), the PE_G algorithm fails to detect these differences.



FIG. S2: Entropy values of PE_{G} and smoothness based on the Laplacian Δ for the eigenvalues as graph signals.

Small-world Networks and PE_G . The stochastic dynamics of the Wiener process are not adequately characterized by PE_G (Fig. S3a), as its entropy values are higher than those of random behaviour (random signal). Periodic dynamics are detected only with lower parameter values of p, and the chaotic and oscillation behaviour (Logistic map) are identified by PE_G , which is consistent with the results presented in [10]. However, as the



FIG. S3: Entropy values of PE_G for different signals defined on a small-world network generated by the Watts-Strogatz model.



FIG. S4: The permutation entropy for various centrality measures.

parameter k is increased (Fig. S3b), the performance of PE_G remains similar when the parameter p is changed. This is due to PE_G considering the order of the values but not their amplitude.

Graph Centrality Measures and PE_G. Smooth signals produced by the *Eigenvector Centrality* are not effectively detected by PE_G (with the exception of the Arxiv and Facebook graphs). The remaining centrality measures yield similar entropy values, making it challenging to establish a relationship with PE_G (Fig. S4). This limitation highlights the greater value of DE_G for such analyses.

- C. Bandt and B. Pompe, Permutation entropy: A natural complexity measure for time series, Physical Review Letters 88, 174102 (2002).
- [2] Y. Cao, W.-w. Tung, J. Gao, V. A. Protopopescu, and L. M. Hively, Detecting dynamical changes in time series using the permutation entropy, Phys. Rev. E 70, 046217 (2004).
- [3] M. Rostaghi and H. Azami, Dispersion entropy: A measure for time-series analysis, IEEE Signal Processing Letters 23, 610 (2016).
- [4] H. Azami, M. Rostaghi, D. Abásolo, and J. Escudero, Refined composite multiscale dispersion entropy and its application to biomedical signals, IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering 64, 2872 (2017).
- [5] M. Rostaghi, M. R. Ashory, and H. Azami, Application of dispersion entropy to status characterization of rotary machines, Journal of Sound and Vibration 438, 291 (2019).
- [6] W. Huang, T. A. Bolton, J. D. Medaglia, D. S. Bassett, A. Ribeiro, and D. Van De Ville, A graph signal processing perspective on functional brain imaging, Proc. IEEE 106, 868 (2018).
- [7] A. Ortega, P. Frossard, J. Kovačević, J. M. F. Moura, and P. Vandergheynst, Graph signal processing: Overview, challenges, and applications, Proceedings of the IEEE 106, 808 (2018).
- [8] J. S. Fabila-Carrasco, C. Tan, and J. Escudero, A noise-robust multivariate multiscale permutation entropy for two-phase flow characterisation, arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.09030 (2022).
- [9] C. Morel and A. Humeau-Heurtier, Multiscale permutation entropy for two-dimensional patterns, Pattern Recognition Letters 150, 139 (2021).

- [10] J. S. Fabila-Carrasco, C. Tan, and J. Escudero, Permutation entropy for graph signals, IEEE Transactions on Signal and Information Processing over Networks 8, 288 (2022).
- [11] H. Azami, L. E. V. da Silva, A. C. M. Omoto, and A. Humeau-Heurtier, Two-dimensional dispersion entropy: An information-theoretic method for irregularity analysis of images, Signal Processing: Image Communication 75, 178 (2019).
- [12] D. I. Shuman, S. K. Narang, P. Frossard, A. Ortega, and P. Vandergheynst, The emerging field of signal processing on graphs: Extending high-dimensional data analysis to networks and other irregular domains, IEEE signal processing magazine **30**, 83 (2013).
- [13] X. Dong, D. Thanou, P. Frossard, and P. Vandergheynst, Learning laplacian matrix in smooth graph signal representations, IEEE Trans. Signal Process. 64, 6160 (2016).
- [14] L. Stanković, M. Daković, and E. Sejdić, Introduction to graph signal processing, Vertex-Frequency Analysis of Graph Signals, 3 (2019).
- [15] H. Kenniche and V. Ravelomananana, Random geometric graphs as model of wireless sensor networks, in 2010 The 2nd International Conference on Computer and Automation Engineering (ICCAE), Vol. 4 (IEEE, 2010) pp. 103–107.
- [16] D. J. Watts and S. H. Strogatz, Collective dynamics of 'small-world' networks, Nature **393**, 440 (1998).
- [17] M. E. Newman, S. H. Strogatz, and D. J. Watts, Random graphs with arbitrary degree distributions and their applications, Phys. Rev. E 64, 026118 (2001).
- [18] M. E. Newman, Models of the small world, J. Stat. Phys. 101, 819 (2000).
- [19] S. M. Pincus and A. L. Goldberger, Physiological time-

series analysis: What does regularity quantify?, American Journal of Physiology - Heart and Circulatory Physiology **266** (1994).

- [20] H. Azami and J. Escudero, Amplitude-and fluctuationbased dispersion entropy, Entropy 20, 210 (2018).
- [21] L. E. V. Silva, Two-dimensional sample entropy: Assessing image texture through irregularity, Biomedical Physics and Engineering Express 2, 45002 (2016).
- [22] S. P. Borgatti and M. G. Everett, A graph-theoretic perspective on centrality, Social Networks 28, 466 (2006).
- [23] K. Das, S. Samanta, and M. Pal, Study on centrality measures in social networks: a survey, Social Network Analysis and Mining 8, 1 (2018).
- [24] J. Dall and M. Christensen, Random geometric graphs, Physical Review E 66, 016121 (2002).
- [25] See supplemental material at link, .
- [26] B. Girault, A. Ortega, and S. S. Narayanan, Irregularityaware graph fourier transforms, IEEE Trans. Signal Process. 66, 5746 (2018).
- [27] J. S. Fabila-Carrasco, F. Lledó, and O. Post, A geometric construction of isospectral magnetic graphs, arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.07280 (2022).
- [28] N. Wiener, The homogeneous chaos, Am. J. Math. 60, 897 (1938).

- [29] J. S. Fabila-Carrasco, F. Lledó, and O. Post, Spectral preorder and perturbations of discrete weighted graphs, Mathematische Annalen 382, 1775 (2022).
- [30] J. S. Fabila-Carrasco, *The discrete magnetic laplacian:* geometric and spectral preorders with applications, Ph.D. thesis, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid (2020).
- [31] J. S. Fabila-Carrasco, F. Lledó, and O. Post, Spectral gaps and discrete magnetic laplacians, Linear Algebra Appl. 547, 183 (2018).
- [32] D. Gleich, The matlabbgl matlab library (2015).
- [33] J. Leskovec and J. Mcauley, Learning to discover social circles in ego networks, Adv. Neural Inf. Process. Syst. 25 (2012).
- [34] J. Leskovec, J. Kleinberg, and C. Faloutsos, Graph evolution: Densification and shrinking diameters, ACM Trans. Knowl. Discov. Data 1, 2 (2007).
- [35] G. Turk and M. Levoy, Zippered polygon meshes from range images, in Proc. 21st Annu. Conf. Comput. Graph. Interact. Tech. (1994) pp. 311–318.
- [36] N. Perraudin, J. Paratte, D. Shuman, L. Martin, V. Kalofolias, P. Vandergheynst, and D. K. Hammond, Gspbox: A toolbox for signal processing on graphs, arXiv preprint arXiv:1408.5781 (2014).