

| Title                           | Fit for life after cancer: Does exercise timing matter?   |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Authors(s)                      | O'Connor, Dominic, Daly, Ailish, Mulvin, Conor, Lennon, Olive   |
| Publication date                | 2022-05   |
| Publication information         | O'Connor, Dominic, Ailish Daly, Conor Mulvin, and Olive Lennon. "Fit for Life after Cancer:<br>Does Exercise Timing Matter?" 12, no. e1 (May, 2022).  |
| Publisher                       | ВМЈ   |
| Item record/more<br>information | http://hdl.handle.net/10197/11633   |
| Publisher's statement           | This article has been accepted for publication in BMJ Supportive & Palliative Care 2018 following peer review, and the Version of Record can be accessed online at 10.1136/bmjspcare-2018-001581. © Authors or their employer(s). |
| Publisher's version (DOI)       | 10.1136/bmjspcare-2018-001581   |

## Downloaded 2023-10-05T14:16:07Z

The UCD community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters! (@ucd\_oa)



© Some rights reserved. For more information

# Fit for life after cancer: does exercise timing matter?

| 3  | Dominic O'Connor <sup>1,3*</sup> , Ailish Daly <sup>2</sup> , Conor Mulvin <sup>2</sup> , Olive Lennon <sup>3</sup> |
|----|---|
| 4  | <sup>1</sup> The Insight Centre for Data Analytics, O'Brien Centre for Science, University College Dublin,          |
| 5  | Ireland; <sup>2</sup> Beacon Hospital, Physiotherapy Department, Dublin, Ireland; <sup>3</sup> UCD School of Public |
| 6  | Health, Physiotherapy and Sports Sciences, Health Sciences Centre, University College                               |
| 7  | Dublin, Ireland.  |
| 8  |   |
| 9  | *Corresponding Author: Mr. Dominic O'Connor, The Insight Centre for Data Analytics,                                 |
| 10 | O'Brien Centre for Science, University College Dublin, Bellfield Campus, Dublin, Ireland,                           |
| 11 | Phone: +353 (89) 605 1993, Email: <u>dominic.oconnor@insight-centre.org</u> .                                       |
| 12 |   |
| 13 | Word count: 1609  |
| 14 |   |
| 15 |   |
| 16 |   |
| 17 |   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 |   |
| 20 |   |
| 20 |   |
| 21 |   |
| 22 |   |
|    |   |

24 Abstract

### 25 **Objectives:**

To assess the effects of a single exercise session per week for 6 weeks on quality of life, fatigue and exercise participation in male and female cancer survivors with follow up at 6-months. A secondary aim was to identify if the timing of exercise delivery determined its effect.

### 29 Methods:

An exploratory prospective cohort study design was implemented. Twenty-five patients undergoing, or who had completed cancer treatment (11 active treatment; 14 completed treatment) undertook exercise and educational sessions (Fit for Life) 1x/week. The Brief Fatigue Inventory (BFI), the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life C30 (EORTC QLQ C-30), and the Godin Leisure Time Exercise Questionnaire (GLTEQ) were used to assess fatigue, QoL and exercise levels respectively. Participants were evaluated before and after the intervention, and after 6 months.

## 37 **Results:**

There was a significant group x time interaction for the GLTEQ at 6-month post in favour of exercising during active treatment (p=0.01). No other group x time interactions were observed across the EORTC QLQ C-30 or BFI. There was a significant main effect for time for EORTC QLQ C-30 Global with a significant increase observed between pre-and 6-month post.

## 42 **Conclusions:**

Exercise 1x/week delivered during treatment may impact on long-term exercise participation
in adult cancer survivors. This lower volume programme may improve QoL, but has minimal
effect on fatigue suggesting an insufficient exercise dosage to impact this variable. This study
generates interesting proof of concept results and may be helpful in the development of larger
RCT's.

| 49 | Keywords: cancer; exercise; rehabilitation interventions; follow up; exercise timing. |
|----|---|
| 50 |   |
| 51 |   |
| 52 |   |
| 53 |   |
| 54 |   |
| 55 |   |
| 56 |   |
| 57 |   |
| 58 |   |
| 59 |   |
| 60 |   |
| 61 |   |
| 62 |   |
| 63 |   |
| 64 |   |
| 65 |   |
| 66 |   |
| 67 |   |
| 68 |   |
| 69 |   |
| 70 |   |
| 71 |   |
| 72 |   |
| 73 |   |

74 Cancer survivors often suffer from impaired quality of life (QoL), fatigue and reduced exercise 75 engagement [1-3]. Exercise has been shown to help combat the physical and psychosocial side 76 effects of treatment with both time of exercise delivery (during treatment (DT) vs post 77 treatment (PT)) and training frequency (sessions/week) highlighted as possible determinants of the response noted. Recent reviews have suggested that exercise provides physical benefits 78 79 when delivered both during and after cancer treatment [4,5], but may have an additional 80 psychosocial impact when delivered during treatment [6]. In addition, a training frequency of 81 3x/week has been recommended as optimal for improving quality of life (QoL) and outcomes associated with QoL such as aerobic capacity (VO<sub>2peak</sub>) and fatigue [6]. However, exercise 82 83 participation amongst cancer survivors remains poor. In addition, the expectance that sedentary 84 cancer survivors can achieve ~150mins/week of exercise may be unrealistic with barriers to 85 participation such as lack of time commonly reported [7]. Therefore, we aimed to assess the 86 effects a single weekly exercise session (60mins) provided as part of a clinical service for 87 oncology patients on QoL, fatigue and exercise participation over 6-weeks with follow up at 88 6-months' post (6-m POST). A secondary aim was to identify if the timing of the delivery of 89 the exercise intervention determined its effect.

90

```
91 METHODS
```

92

93 Experimental Design: An exploratory prospective cohort study comprising of a 6-wk training
94 and education intervention. Outcomes were measured at PRE, POST and 6-m POST.

95

96 Participants: Following institutional ethical approval, 25 male and female cancer survivors
97 volunteered to participate (See *Table 1*. for clinical characteristics). Individuals were screened
98 for eligibility which included; 1) undergoing or having completed treatment for cancer; and 2)

99 an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group status (ECOG) of 0-2. Individuals were excluded if; 100 1) they required assistance to mobilise; 2) were <6-wk post-surgery; or 3) had dementia or a 101 psychiatric illness which may preclude informed consent and/ or active exercise participation. 102 The intervention was provided as a clinical service to patients attending for cancer treatment 103 and physiotherapy services; therefore, allocation to the groups was not-randomised. Point of 104 commencement (DT vs PT) was self-selected, with patients offered access to the programme 105 at all treatment stages when attending hospital appointments. Participants were fully informed 106 of all experimental procedures prior to providing written informed consent.

107

108 **Training Intervention**: Supervised exercise and educational sessions (1x/week for 6-wks).

109 Exercise sessions: Cardiovascular, resistance and balance exercises. Sessions lasted 60-mins

110 (12 exercise stations – 2.5-min per exercise x 2). *Educational sessions*: Exercise pacing (x2),

111 healthy eating (x2), stress management (x1), and behaviour change (x1).

112

## 113 Assessments

*Fatigue*: Assessed by the Brief Fatigue Inventory (BFI), comprised of 9 questions under 4
headings. Each question was rated on a scale 1-10. A mean BFI score of 0, 1-3, 4-6 and 7-10
indicates no fatigue, mild fatigue, moderate fatigue and severe fatigue respectively (Mendoza
et al, 1999).

118

*Quality of life (QoL)*: Assessed by the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of
Cancer QoL C-30 (EORTC QLQ C-30). A change in subscale score of >10 is acknowledged
as clinically meaningful.

*Exercise participation*: Assessed by the Godin Leisure Time Exercise Questionnaire (GLTEQ).

124 Individuals self-report the frequency and duration of mild, moderate and strenuous exercise

125 over a 1-wk period.

| Characteristics           | N (%)   |
|---------------------------|---------|
| Age (years)               |         |
| 20-40                     | 1 (4)   |
| 40-65                     | 20 (80) |
| >65                       | 4 (16)  |
| Sex                       |         |
| Female                    | 20 (80) |
| Male                      | 5 (20)  |
| Diagnosis                 |         |
| Breast                    | 20 (80) |
| Prostate                  | 4 (16)  |
| Lung                      | 1 (4)   |
| Treatment stage           |         |
| Active treatment          | 11 (44) |
| Completed treatment       | 14 (56) |
| Treated with chemotherapy |         |
| Y                         | 5 (5)   |
| N                         | 20 (80) |

## 130 Statistical Analysis

Data were analysed using a 2 x 3 repeated analysis of variance (ANOVA) with between-factors
"group" (i.e. DT vs PT) and within-factor "time" (i.e. PRE, POST, 6-m POST) using SPSS.
Significance was set at p <0.05. Significant interactions and main effects were analysed using</li>
Tukey post hoc tests.

135

#### 136 **RESULTS**

137 Twenty-five participants completed the study (age=  $57.24 \pm 10.5$  years). *Fatigue:* The BFI 138 demonstrated no significant main effect for time (p=0.08) or significant interaction for group 139 x time (p=0.06). At POST, fatigue increased (+49%) and decreased (-15%) in the DT and PT 140 groups respectively. Fatigue decreased at 6-m POST vs POST in both groups (DT, -48%; PT, 141 -7%). *QoL*: No significant main effect for time for Function-QoL (p=0.08) or Symptom-QoL 142 (p=0.11) was noted. However, there was a significant main effect for time for Global-QoL 143 (p=0.015). Pairwise comparison showed a significant increase between PRE-and 6-m POST 144 time intervals  $(57.89 \pm 20.93 \text{ vs } 70.13 \pm 16.89, +21\%)$  (p=0.01). There was no significant 145 interaction for group x time (p>0.05). At POST, Global-QoL decreased by 13% and increased by 19% in DT and PT groups respectively. At 6-m POST, Global-QoL increased by 35% in 146 147 the DT with no change in the PT compared to POST. Exercise participation: The GLTEQ 148 demonstrated no significant main effect for time (p=0.12). A significant interaction for group 149 x-time (p=0.01) was noted with the DT group showing a significantly greater GLTEQ score at 150 6-m POST (50.97  $\pm$  26.57) vs PT group (35.62  $\pm$  14.87) (Figure 1). At POST, GLTEQ decreased by 22% and increased by 30% in DT and PT respectively. At 6-m POST, GLTEQ 151 152 increased by 70% and 2% vs POST in DT and PT respectively.

153

#### 154 **DISCUSSION**

These results suggest that an exercise-based intervention delivered 1x/weekly for 6-wks may have minimal impact on fatigue levels, but may lead to significant long-term improvements in Global-QoL. Overall the intervention delivered demonstrated no long-term effect on exercise participation but these preliminary results indicate that there was a significantly larger increase in long-term exercise participation in those who began exercising during active cancer treatment when compared to those who engaged after treatment.

161

162 Fatigue is a common side effect associated with cancer and can negatively impact QoL and 163 exercise participation (2). Therefore, strategies which can attenuate fatigue may positively 164 impact survivorship. In the current study, exercise delivered 1x/week for 6-wks had no 165 significant effect on fatigue levels irrespective of the timing of the intervention delivery. Of 166 note, while non-significant in this preliminary study, DT demonstrated an increase in fatigue 167 (+49%) from PRE-to POST, whilst fatigue levels fell in PT (-15%) over the same period. 168 Similar observations have previously been reported with better fatigue responses observed in 169 groups exercising post-treatment [8], most likely explained by increases in fatigue noted in 170 response to ongoing cancer treatment/s [9].

171

At 6-m POST, fatigue levels had fallen in both groups but this did not reach statistical significance. Previously, an 8-week (60 mins 3x/week) deep-water exercise programme led to reductions in fatigue when measured at 8-weeks and 6-months [10]. Current recommendations for improving outcomes such as fatigue [6] suggest a training frequency of 3x/week. Therefore, the training frequency in the current study may have been too low to exhibit an improvement in this measure. Further research with adequate numbers to confer statistical power in outcomes of fatigue are required to fully elucidate the exercise frequency/volume question.

The present study demonstrates that exercise and education delivered 1x/week for 6-weeks can lead to long-term improvements in Global-QoL with significant improvements seen at 6-m POST, independent of group. This is in line with findings from a recent systematic review [4] which concluded that additional exercise, when compared with normal care can lead to significant improvements in Global-QoL at 6 month follow-up. Interventions which exhibit sustained and longer-term health benefits warrant careful consideration, particularly given the increasing life expectancy in cancer survivorship [11].

187

In the current exploratory study, the prescribed exercise programme improved Global-QoL, but had no impact on QoL subscales of function and symptom. This could be related to the lack of change in fatigue, as fatigue may substantially impact QoL [12]. Indeed, a recent study in prostate cancer survivors identified disruption of daily living and increased dependency on others as negative factors associated with fatigue [13]. Therefore, exercise and educational programmes developed to improve QoL should be sufficiently tailored to address fatigue to impact on the multidimensional aspects of QoL.

195

196 Long-term exercise engagement is an important lifestyle choice and is considered essential for 197 the maintenance of long-term health [14]. The current study demonstrated that a low intensity 198 exercise and education based intervention completed during treatment led to greater long-term 199 lifestyle change (Figure 1). A cancer diagnosis is a period in which patients may show greater 200 motivation for lifestyle changes [15]. It is possible that participants who received an exercise-201 based intervention during treatment were more receptive to the health promotion message, in 202 what has been previously referred to as the teachable moment [16], and therefore found it easier 203 to maintain lifestyle changes upon completion of treatment. In addition, increased 204 patient/doctor contact for the DT group may have encouraged continued exercise engagement.

The influence of the oncologist on exercise participation has previously been noted in the literature [17]. However, in this study, oncologist contact time was not recorded so this potential confounding factor cannot be controlled for in the analysis conducted.

208

## 209 STUDY LIMITATIONS

The main study limitations were the small number of participants and the non-randomised allocation of the exercise timing. In addition, as the exercise intervention was provided as part of oncology care services, individuals self-selected when to commence the intervention suggesting that more motivated individuals may have chosen during "active treatment". However, despite these limitations, the results generate interesting, proof of concept results that may be helpful in the development of larger RCTs in the area.

216

### 217 CONCLUSION

In summary, Global-QoL at 6-m POST increased following 6-wks of exercise 1x/week, independent of treatment stage. Beginning exercise during treatment appears to be more effective for improving long-term exercise participation possibly due to greater motivation for lifestyle changes, but increased fatigue levels during the intervention period were noted. Further research in this area is warranted to confirm these findings.

223

224 **Competing Interests:** None declared.

225

License for publication: The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive licence (or non-exclusive for government employees) on a worldwide basis to the BMJ Publishing Group Ltd to permit this article (if accepted) to be published in BMJ Supportive and Palliative Care and any other

| 230 | BMJPGL products and sub-licences such use and exploit all subsidiary rights, as set out in our |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 231 | licen  | ce (http://group.bmj.com/products/journals/instructions-for-authors/licence-forms).    |  |  |  |  |
| 232 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 233 | Fund   | ling: D O'Connor is supported by a grant from the European Union's Horizon 2020        |  |  |  |  |
| 234 | research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement no.         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 235 | 7220   | 12.  |  |  |  |  |
| 236 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 237 | Cont   | ributorship: CM and AD were responsible for study design and data collection. DO'C     |  |  |  |  |
| 238 | analy  | reviewed the manuscript. OL critically reviewed the manuscript. All authors            |  |  |  |  |
| 239 | have   | read and approved the final version of the manuscript.                                 |  |  |  |  |
| 240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 241 | Refe   | rences   |  |  |  |  |
| 242 | 1  | Wagner LI, Cella D. Fatigue and cancer: causes, prevalence and treatment approaches.   |  |  |  |  |
| 243 |  | Br J Cancer Published Online First: 2004. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6602012                   |  |  |  |  |
| 244 | 2  | Curt GA. Impact of Cancer-Related Fatigue on the Lives of Patients: New Findings       |  |  |  |  |
| 245 |  | From the Fatigue Coalition. Oncologist 2000;5:353-60. doi:10.1634/theoncologist.5-     |  |  |  |  |
| 246 |  | 5-353  |  |  |  |  |
| 247 | 3  | Bellizzi KM, Rowland JH, Jeffery DD, et al. Health behaviors of cancer survivors:      |  |  |  |  |
| 248 |  | Examining opportunities for cancer control intervention. J Clin Oncol 2005;23:8884-    |  |  |  |  |
| 249 |  | 93. doi:10.1200/JCO.2005.02.2343   |  |  |  |  |
| 250 | 4  | Mishra SI, Scherer RW, Snyder C, et al. Exercise interventions on health-related       |  |  |  |  |
| 251 |  | quality of life for people with cancer during active treatment. Cochrane database Syst |  |  |  |  |
| 252 |  | <i>Rev</i> 2012;8:CD008465. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD008465.pub2                         |  |  |  |  |
| 253 | 5  | Mishra SI, Scherer RW, Snyder C, et al. Are Exercise Programs Effective for            |  |  |  |  |
| 254 |  | Improving Health-Related Quality of Life Among Cancer Survivors? A Systematic          |  |  |  |  |

255 Review and Meta-Analysis. *Oncol Nurs Forum* 2014;**41**:E326–42.

256 doi:10.1188/14.ONF.E326-E342

- 6 Gerritsen JKW, Vincent AJPE. Exercise improves quality of life in patients with
- 258 cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Br J
- 259 Sports Med 2015;:bjsports-2015-094787-. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2015-094787
- 260 7 Bourke L, Homer KE, Thaha MA, et al. Interventions for promoting habitual exercise
- 261 in people living with and beyond cancer. *Cochrane database Syst Rev*

262 2013;**9**:CD010192. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD010192.pub2

- 263 8 Schneider CM, Hsieh CC, Sprod LK, et al. Effects of supervised exercise training on
- 264 cardiopulmonary function and fatigue in breast cancer survivors during and after
- 265 treatment. *Cancer* 2007;**110**:918–25. doi:10.1002/cncr.22862
- Bower JE. Cancer-related fatigue mechanisms, risk factors, and treatments. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* 2014;11:597–609. doi:10.1038/nrclinonc.2014.127
- 268 10 Cantarero-Villanueva I, Fern??ndez-Lao C, Cuesta-Vargas AI, et al. The effectiveness
- 269 of a deep water aquatic exercise program in cancer-related fatigue in breast cancer
- survivors: A randomized controlled trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2013;**94**:221–30.

271 doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2012.09.008

- 272 11 Cao B, Bray F, Beltrán-Sánchez H, et al. Benchmarking life expectancy and cancer
- 273 mortality: global comparison with cardiovascular disease 1981-2010. BMJ
- 274 2017;:j2765. doi:10.1136/bmj.j2765
- 275 12 Flechtner H, Bottomley A. Fatigue and quality of life: lessons from the real world.
- 276 Oncologist 2003;8 Suppl 1:5–9. doi:10.1634/theoncologist.8-suppl\_1-5
- 277 13 Charalambous A, Kouta C. Cancer Related Fatigue and Quality of Life in Patients with
- Advanced Prostate Cancer Undergoing Chemotherapy. *Biomed Res Int* 2016;**2016**.
- doi:10.1155/2016/3989286

- Juvet LK, Thune I, Elvsaas IKØ, *et al.* The effect of exercise on fatigue and physical
  functioning in breast cancer patients during and after treatment and at 6 months
- 282 follow-up: A meta-analysis. *Breast* 2017;**33**:166–77. doi:10.1016/j.breast.2017.04.003
- 283 15 Demark-Wahnefried W, Aziz NM, Rowland JH, et al. Riding the crest of the teachable
- 284 moment: Promoting long-term health after the diagnosis of cancer. *J Clin Oncol*
- 285 2005;**23**:5814–30. doi:10.1200/JCO.2005.01.230
- 286 16 Broderick JM, Hussey J, Kennedy MJ, et al. Testing the 'teachable moment' premise:
- 287 Does physical activity increase in the early survivorship phase? *Support Care Cancer*
- 288 2014;**22**:989–97. doi:10.1007/s00520-013-2064-4
- 289 17 Jones LW, Courneya KS, Fairey AS, et al. Effects of an oncologist's recommendation
- 290 to exercise on self-reported exercise behavior in newly diagnosed breast cancer
- survivors: a single-blind, randomized controlled trial. Ann Behav Med 2004;28:105–
- 292 13. doi:10.1207/s15324796abm2802\_5
- 293
- 294



- 295 296
- 297





| 300<br>301 |  |  |  |
|------------|--|--|--|
| 302        |  |  |  |
| 303        |  |  |  |
| 304        |  |  |  |
| 305        |  |  |  |
| 306        |  |  |  |
| 307        |  |  |  |
| 308        |  |  |  |
| 309        |  |  |  |
| 310        |  |  |  |
| 311        |  |  |  |
| 312        |  |  |  |