

Haptic pleasantness, naturalness, and complexity, of geometric raised line drawings

Citation for published version (APA):

Plaisier, M. A., Janssen, N., & Chamilothori, K. (2023). *Haptic pleasantness, naturalness, and complexity, of geometric raised line drawings*. Poster session presented at IEEE World Haptics 2023, Delft, Netherlands.

Document status and date:

Published: 11/07/2023

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Haptic pleasantness, naturalness, and complexity, of geometric raised line drawings

Myrthe A. Plaisier¹ and Naud R.H. Janssen¹ and Kynthia Chamilothoni¹

I. INTRODUCTION

In vision, stimulus pleasantness has been shown to relate to complexity following an inverted U-curve [1] or a linear relationship [2]. At the same time, geometric patterns that are more associated with nature are found to be perceived as more pleasant [3]. However, little is known about how pleasantness relates to naturalness and complexity of tactile geometric patterns. Therefore, we investigated whether haptic pleasantness depends on complexity, and naturalness of a geometric pattern. Because exploratory hand movements have been shown to depend on the haptic property to be extracted [4] and can depend on complexity [5] we also recorded hand movements. We examined the influence of perceived naturalness and complexity on movement speed.

II. METHOD

Twenty right-handed participants (age range 20–29 years) took part in the study after giving informed consent. The study was approved by the local ethical review board.

Stimuli consisted of 15 raised line patterns (Figure 1). The stimulus was fixed on a table below a camera. The camera images were used to track the tip of the index finger with a custom Python script using the OpenCV and Mediapipe Python libraries. Participants were blindfolded and started with the right index finger in the lower right corner. They were asked to explore up to a maximum of 30 s. After exploration, they rated the stimulus on pleasantness, naturalness, and complexity using free magnitude estimation. They rated each stimulus twice in blocked-random order.

III. RESULTS

First, the ratings for pleasantness, naturalness and complexity were converted to z-scores. The lmerTest package in R were used to run a Linear Mixed Model (LMM) with pleasantness rating as dependent variable and naturalness and complexity ratings as independent variables ($Pleasantness \sim naturalness + complexity + (1|Participant)$). The LMM showed a significant positive relation between pleasantness and naturalness ($\beta = 0.34, t = 10.5, p < 0.0001$) and a significant negative relation between pleasantness and complexity ($\beta = -0.18, t = -6.1, p < 0.0001$).

From the hand tracking data we calculated the average speed for each trial. To gain insight into possible effects of

of naturalness and complexity on the speed of the exploratory movements we again used an LMM ($Average\ speed \sim naturalness + complexity + (1|Participant)$). This showed a significant negative relation for complexity ($\beta = -5.1, t = -14.1, p < 0.0001$), with participants making slower movements with increasing complexity. The relation between speed and naturalness was not significant ($\beta = -0.14, t = -0.3, p = 0.75$).




Fig. 1. The 15 geometric raised line drawings (Zytech Swellpaper) presented in the experiment. Line shape, spatial frequency and orientation were varied to ensure variations in perceived naturalness and complexity. Lines were rotated over a random angle between -10° and 10° (middle row) or -20° and 20° (bottom row).

IV. DISCUSSION

Both naturalness and complexity were predictors for haptic pleasantness, where the relation with complexity was negative and with naturalness positive. This is consistent with visual literature. Movement speed depended on the complexity, and not on naturalness, showing that exploratory movements were slower for more complex scenes.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. E. Berlyne, “Novelty, complexity, and hedonic value,” *Perception & Psychophysics*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 279–286, 1970.
- [2] Y. Güçlütürk, R. H. A. H. Jacobs, and R. v. Lier, “Liking versus complexity: Decomposing the inverted U-curve,” *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, vol. 10, 2016.
- [3] K. Chamilothoni, R. Lemmens, Ö. Karaman-Madan, and Y. de Kort, “Effects of dappled light patterns on preference, fascination, and restoration in an online study,” in *Proceedings of Lux Europa 2022*. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, 2022.
- [4] S. J. Lederman and R. L. Klatzky, “Hand movements: a window into haptic object recognition,” *Cognitive Psychology*, vol. 19, pp. 342–368, 1987.
- [5] P. J. Locher and R. W. Simmons, “Influence of stimulus symmetry and complexity upon haptic scanning strategies during detection, learning, and recognition tasks,” *Perception & Psychophysics*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 110–116, 1978.

¹M.A.P, N.R.H.J and K.C. are with the Human Technology Interaction group of Eindhoven University of Technology, 5612 AZ, Eindhoven, The Netherlands. Email: (m.a.plaisier, k.chamilothoni)@tue.nl