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#### Abstract

In this article，we introduce a new and efficient data augmentation approach to the posterior inference of the models with shape parameters when the reciprocal gamma function appears in full conditional densities．Our approach is to approximate full conditional densities of shape parameters by using Gauss＇s multiplication formula and Stirling＇s formula for the gamma function，where the approximation error can be made arbitrarily small．We use the techniques to construct efficient Gibbs and Metropolis－Hastings algorithms for a variety of models that involve the gamma distribution，Student＇s $t$－distribution，the Dirichlet distribution，the negative binomial distribution，and the Wishart distribution．The proposed sampling method is numerically demonstrated through simulation studies．Supplementary materials for this article are available online．
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## 1．Introduction

Markov chain Monte Carlo（MCMC）algorithms are now widely adopted in Bayesian posterior computation，where parameters are iteratively sampled from their respective conditional dis－ tributions．However，when the models of interest involve the gamma and related distributions，it is computationally costly to sample the shape parameters from their full conditional posteri－ ors．The main difficulty here is that the full conditional densities of the shape parameters involve the reciprocal gamma function， $1 / \Gamma(\xi), \xi>0$ ，and are not any well－known distributions．Thus， it is not straightforward to construct efficient MCMC algorithms when the shape parameters are also estimated．

Several sampling strategies that have been proposed in the literature are customized for each class of distributions． For gamma distributions，Miller（2019）provided an accurate approximation of the full conditional distribution of the shape parameter．For Student＇s $t$－distributions，Fonseca，Ferreira，and Migon（2008）considered the unknown degrees of freedom，at the cost of the complication of the priors．Custom sampling algorithms have also been proposed for the Dirichlet models （Nandram 1998），negative binomial processes（Zhou and Carin 2015），Dirichlet processes（Escobar and West 1995）and Pitman－ Yor processes（Bacallado et al．2022）．

Rather than focusing on a particular class of distributions，it is also possible to devise the sampling methods that are appli－ cable to the general class of models with shape parameters，at the cost of efficiency and computational time．For example，the approximation of log－concave densities（Gilks and Wild 1992； Devroye 2012）and the MH acceptance－rejection method（Tier－ ney 1994；Chib and Greenberg 1995）can be used for the poste－ rior inference for models with the reciprocal gamma functions．

The latter needs to be further customized to each model，as practiced for Student＇s $t$－models in Watanabe（2001）．Another approach is the data augmentation scheme，where several latent variables are introduced to simplify the full conditionals of the model．He，Polson，and Xu（2021）proposed a general and efficient data augmentation for models with reciprocal gamma functions，where the simulation from power truncated normal （PTN）distributions become necessary．In this article，we also take the data－augmentation approach，but propose a new aug－ mentation where we only need to simulate from well－known distributions．

Our strategy for deriving an augmented model is 2－fold：（i） using Gauss＇s multiplication formula for the gamma function to introduce conditionally beta－distributed latent variables and （ii）approximating the augmented densities by Stirling＇s formula． The full conditionals of the shape parameters and latent vari－ ables of the resulting model are all well－known distributions， such as gamma and beta distributions，from which it is easy and fast to simulate．Finally，the accept／reject step is added to justify the sampling algorithm as an independent Metropolis－Hastings （MH）method．

To assess the efficiency of the sampling algorithm based on the proposed augmentation，we evaluate the upper and lower bounds of the approximation error and show that，in many cases，the acceptance probability is close to one．Due to its simplicity，our augmentation scheme can be applied directly to many models with reciprocal gamma functions，including the Student＇s $t$－distribution，Dirichlet－multinomial distribution， negative binomial distribution and Wishart distribution．

The remainder of the article is organized as follows．In Sec－ tion 2，we develop a new data augmentation and approximation of the reciprocal gamma function and illustrate our approach
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using a simple gamma model．For simplicity，we consider only proper priors for shape parameters as well as other variables， which ensures that full conditional distributions are always proper．In Section 3，we use our approach for a model based on Student＇s $t$－distribution．In Section 4，we consider a Dirichlet－ multinomial model and apply a generic method．Some conclud－ ing remarks are given in Section 5．Proofs and additional results are provided in the supplementary materials．

## 2．Beta Data Augmentation

## 2．1．General Ideas

The most important result for our method is the following integral expression，which is based on Gauss＇s multiplication formula for the gamma function．

Theorem 1．Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ．Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{\{\Gamma(\xi)\}^{m}}= & C_{m} \frac{1}{\xi^{m \xi}} \xi^{m+1 / 2-1} e^{m \xi}\left\{\prod_{j=2}^{m} \int_{0}^{1} \rho_{j}^{\xi+(j-1) / m-1}\right.  \tag{1}\\
& \left.\left(1-\rho_{j}\right)^{(m-j+1) / m-1} d \rho_{j}\right\} \frac{(m \xi)^{m \xi-1 / 2}}{\Gamma(m \xi) e^{m \xi}},
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\xi>0$ ，where $C_{m}=1 /\left\{(2 \pi)^{(m-1) / 2} \prod_{j=2}^{m} \Gamma((m-j+\right.$ 1）$/ m)\}$ ．

The proof is given in the supplementary materials．By The－ orem 1，we can rewrite the $m$ th power of the reciprocal gamma function， $1 /\{\Gamma(\xi)\}^{m}$ ，by using integrals of $m-1$ beta densities， such that the reciprocal gamma function appears only once in the right－hand side．

Suppose that the target distribution，or the posterior distribu－ tion，is the joint density of shape parameter $\xi$ and other variables $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ of the form，

$$
p(\xi, \vartheta) \propto f(\xi, \vartheta) \frac{1}{\{\Gamma(\xi)\}^{m}},
$$

where typically $f(\xi, \vartheta) \stackrel{\xi}{\propto} \mathrm{Ga}\left(\xi \mid a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$ for some $a_{1}, b_{1}>0$ ．This framework covers，for example，the case of $n$ independent obser－ vations from a gamma distribution，$x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \sim \mathrm{Ga}(\alpha, \beta)$ ； in this case，$m=n,(\xi, \vartheta)=(\alpha, \beta)$ ，and $p(\alpha, \beta)$ is the posterior of $(\alpha, \beta)$ given $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ ，or $p(\alpha, \beta) \propto \pi(\alpha, \beta) \times$ $\beta^{n \alpha}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)^{\alpha} e^{-\beta \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}}$ ，where $\pi(\alpha, \beta)$ is a prior density（see Section 2．2）．In general，some of the variables $\vartheta$ may be latent variables introduced based on data augmentation．We are inter－ ested in the repeated sampling from the conditional distribu－ tions，$p(\xi \mid \boldsymbol{\vartheta})$ and $p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \mid \xi)$ ．We assume that it is relatively easy to sample $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ from $p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \mid \xi)$ ，and we focus on the problem of sampling $\xi$ from $p(\xi \mid \boldsymbol{\vartheta})$ in the following．

The derivation of the augmented model is a three－step pro－ cess．First，we rewrite $p(\xi, \boldsymbol{\vartheta})$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\xi, \vartheta) \propto & \frac{f(\xi, \vartheta)}{\xi^{m \xi}} \xi^{m+1 / 2-1} e^{m \xi}\left\{\prod_{j=2}^{m} \int_{0}^{1} \rho_{j}^{\xi+(j-1) / m-1}\right. \\
& \left.\left(1-\rho_{j}\right)^{(m-j+1) / m-1} d \rho_{j}\right\} \frac{(m \xi)^{m \xi-1 / 2}}{\Gamma(m \xi) e^{m \xi}}
\end{aligned}
$$

by using Theorem 1 ．The $m$ th power， $1 /\{\Gamma(\xi)\}^{m}$ ，is simplified to a single reciprocal gamma function， $1 / \Gamma(m \xi)$ ，which we further evaluate in the following steps．A set of additional latent variables， $\boldsymbol{\rho}=\left(\rho_{2}, \ldots, \rho_{m}\right) \in(0,1)^{m-1}$ ，has the full conditional of the simple form，$\prod_{j=2}^{m} \operatorname{Beta}\left(\rho_{j} \mid \xi+(j-1) / m,(m-j+1) / m\right)$ ， from which we can easily sample．

Second，the conditional density of $(\xi, \vartheta)$ given $\rho$ is

$$
p(\xi, \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \mid \boldsymbol{\rho}) \propto \frac{f(\xi, \boldsymbol{\vartheta})}{\xi^{m \xi}} \xi^{a_{2}-1} e^{-b_{2} \xi} \frac{(m \xi)^{m \xi-1 / 2}}{\Gamma(m \xi) e^{m \xi}}
$$

where $a_{2}=m+1 / 2$ and $b_{2}=-m+\sum_{j=2}^{m} \log \left(1 / \rho_{j}\right)$ ． In the above expression，there are two factors that make it difficult to sample $\xi$ from the full conditional： $1 / \xi^{m \xi}$ and $(m \xi)^{m \xi-1 / 2} /\left\{\Gamma(m \xi) e^{m \xi}\right\}$ ．Here，in order to eliminate $1 / \xi^{m \xi}$ ，we assume that we can make the change of variables $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}=\varphi(\boldsymbol{\vartheta} ; \xi)$ with Jacobian $\xi^{m \xi}$ ，so that $f(\xi, \vartheta) d(\xi, \vartheta)=\xi^{m \xi} \tilde{f}(\xi, \tilde{\vartheta}) d(\xi, \widetilde{\vartheta})$ ， and the density of interest becomes

$$
p(\xi, \widetilde{\vartheta} \mid \boldsymbol{\rho}) \propto \tilde{f}(\xi, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}) \xi^{a_{2}-1} e^{-b_{2} \xi} \frac{(m \xi)^{m \xi-1 / 2}}{\Gamma(m \xi) e^{m \xi}}
$$

This change－of－variable is available for many models，includ－ ing the gamma model of Section 2．2．The models for which there is no such change－of－variable，including the Dirichlet－ multinomial model of Section 4，are discussed in Section 2．3．

Third，we use the above expression to construct an indepen－ dent MH algorithm．Let $\xi^{\text {old }}$ be a current value of $\xi$ ．To generate a new value $\xi^{\text {new }}$ ，we first sample a proposal $\xi^{*}$ from the approx－ imate full conditional density proportional to $\tilde{f}(\xi, \widetilde{\vartheta}) \xi^{a_{2}-1} e^{-b_{2} \xi}$ and compute

$$
p=\min \left\{1, \frac{\left(m \xi^{*}\right)^{m \xi^{*}-1 / 2}}{\Gamma\left(m \xi^{*}\right) e^{m \xi^{*}}} / \frac{\left(m \xi^{\text {old }}\right)^{m \xi^{\text {old }}-1 / 2}}{\Gamma\left(m \xi^{\text {old }}\right) e^{m \xi^{\text {old }}}}\right\}
$$

Then we set $\xi^{\text {new }}=\xi^{*}$ with probability $p$ ，otherwise $\xi^{\text {new }}=$ $\xi^{\text {old }}$ ．We note that in all the models considered in this article， proposal distributions corresponding to $\tilde{f}(\xi, \widetilde{\vartheta}) \xi^{a_{2}-1} e^{-b_{2} \xi}$ are easy to sample from．The factor dropped in the approximate distribution can be evaluated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{e^{-1 /(12 \xi)}}{(2 \pi)^{1 / 2}}<\frac{\xi^{\xi-1 / 2}}{\Gamma(\xi) e^{\xi}}<\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{1 / 2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\xi>0$ by Stirling＇s formula．This expression shows that the factor is almost constant when $\xi$ is not extremely small， and that the acceptance probability $p$ is close to one．This can be confirmed by bounding the acceptance probability below as $p \geq e^{-1 /\left(12 m \xi^{*}\right)} \geq 1-1 /\left(12 m \xi^{*}\right)$ ，where the lower bound is almost unity unless $\xi^{*}$ is extremely small．

## 2．2．An Illustration using a Gamma Model

Here，we consider a simple gamma model for illustration．For this model，several methods for posterior inference are available （e．g．，Gilks and Wild 1992）．In particular，the method of Miller （2019）is customized for this model and highly efficient．

Suppose that observations $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ have been inde－ pendently generated from a gamma distribution $\mathrm{Ga}(\alpha, \beta)$ ．We assume the independent gamma prior distributions for $\alpha$ and $\beta$ ：
$\mathrm{Ga}(a, b)$ and $\mathrm{Ga}(c, d)$ ，respectively．Then the posterior of $(\alpha, \beta)$ is

$$
p(\alpha, \beta \mid \boldsymbol{x}) \propto \mathrm{Ga}(\alpha \mid a, b) \beta^{c-1} e^{-d \beta} \frac{\beta^{n \alpha}}{\{\Gamma(\alpha)\}^{n}}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)^{\alpha} e^{-\beta \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}} .
$$

Using Theorem 1，we can rewrite the above posterior density as

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\alpha, \beta \mid \boldsymbol{x}) \propto & \operatorname{Ga}(\alpha \mid a, b) \beta^{c-1} e^{-d \beta} \beta^{n \alpha}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)^{\alpha} e^{-\beta \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}} \\
& \times \frac{1}{\alpha^{n \alpha}} \alpha^{n+1 / 2-1} e^{n \alpha}\left\{\prod_{i=2}^{n} \int_{0}^{1} \rho_{i}^{\alpha+(i-1) / n-1}\right. \\
& \left.\left(1-\rho_{i}\right)^{(n-i+1) / n-1} d \rho_{i}\right\} \frac{(n \alpha)^{n \alpha-1 / 2}}{\Gamma(n \alpha) e^{n \alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now，we consider $\rho=\left(\rho_{2}, \ldots, \rho_{n}\right) \in(0,1)^{n-1}$ as a set of additional latent variables．Then the conditional distribution of $(\alpha, \beta, \boldsymbol{\rho})$ given $\boldsymbol{x}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\alpha, \beta, \boldsymbol{\rho} \mid \boldsymbol{x}) \propto & \mathrm{Ga}(\alpha \mid a, b) \beta^{c-1} e^{-d \beta} \beta^{n \alpha}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)^{\alpha} e^{-\beta \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}} \\
& \times \frac{1}{\alpha^{n \alpha}} \alpha^{n+1 / 2-1} e^{n \alpha}\left[\prod _ { i = 2 } ^ { n } \left\{\rho_{i}^{\alpha+(i-1) / n-1}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left(1-\rho_{i}\right)^{(n-i+1) / n-1}\right\}\right] \frac{(n \alpha)^{n \alpha-1 / 2}}{\Gamma(n \alpha) e^{n \alpha}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to obtain MCMC samples of $(\alpha, \beta, \boldsymbol{\rho}) \mid \boldsymbol{x}$ ，we can use the MH within Gibbs sampler．It is easy to sample $\rho$ from its full conditional distribution since $p(\boldsymbol{\rho} \mid \alpha, \beta, \boldsymbol{x})=\prod_{i=2}^{n} \operatorname{Beta}\left(\rho_{i} \mid \alpha+\right.$ $(i-1) / n,(n-i+1) / n)$ ．Meanwhile，the full conditional of $(\alpha, \beta)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\alpha, \beta \mid \rho, x) \propto & \frac{1}{\alpha^{n \alpha}} \alpha^{n-1 / 2+a-1} \exp \left\{-\alpha\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log x_{i}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\sum_{i=2}^{n} \log \frac{1}{\rho_{i}}-n+b\right)\right\} \\
& \times \beta^{n \alpha+c-1} \exp \left\{-\beta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}+d\right)\right\} \frac{(n \alpha)^{n \alpha-1 / 2}}{\Gamma(n \alpha) e^{n \alpha}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Although the full conditional of $\beta$ is a gamma distribution，the full conditional density of $\alpha$ does not have a standard form because of the two factors：$g_{1}(\alpha)=1 / \alpha^{n \alpha}$ and $g_{2}(\alpha)=$ $(n \alpha)^{n \alpha-1 / 2} /\left\{\Gamma(n \alpha) e^{n \alpha}\right\}$ ．

First，in order to eliminate $g_{1}(\alpha)$ from the above expression， we make the change of variables $\gamma=\beta / \alpha$ ．Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\alpha, \gamma \mid \rho, x) \propto & \alpha^{n-1 / 2+c+a-1} \exp \left\{-\alpha\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log x_{i}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\sum_{i=2}^{n} \log \frac{1}{\rho_{i}}-n+b\right)\right\} \\
& \times \gamma^{n \alpha+c-1} \exp \left\{-\alpha \gamma\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}+d\right)\right\} g_{2}(\alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$

The full conditional of $\gamma=\beta / \alpha$ is given by $\mathrm{Ga}(\gamma \mid n \alpha+$ $\left.c, \alpha\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}+d\right)\right)$ and tractable similar to that of the original parameter $\beta$ ．

Next，we use the MH algorithm to update $\alpha$ ．The full condi－ tional density of $\alpha$ is given by $p(\alpha \mid \gamma, \boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{x}) \propto \mathrm{Ga}(\alpha \mid A, B) g_{2}(\alpha)$ ， where $A=n-1 / 2+c+a$ and $B=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log x_{i}+$ $\sum_{i=2}^{n} \log \left(1 / \rho_{i}\right)-n-n \log \gamma+\gamma\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}+d\right)+b$ ．We sample a proposal $\alpha^{*}$ from $\operatorname{Ga}(\alpha \mid A, B)$ ．We accept $\alpha^{*}$ if an independent standard uniform variable $U$ is less than or equal to $g_{2}\left(\alpha^{*}\right) / g_{2}\left(\alpha^{\text {old }}\right)$ ，where $\alpha^{\text {old }}$ denotes the current value of $\alpha$ ． The new value of $\alpha$ ，or $\alpha^{\text {new }}$ ，is set to $\alpha^{*}$ if $\alpha^{*}$ is accepted，and to $\alpha^{\text {old }}$ otherwise．

The MH within Gibbs sampler is summarized as follows．
Algorithm 1．The variables $\alpha, \gamma$ ，and $\rho$ are updated in the following way．
－Sample $\gamma^{*} \sim \mathrm{Ga}\left(n \alpha+c, \alpha\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}+d\right)\right)$ ．
－Sample $\rho^{*}=\left(\rho_{2}^{*}, \ldots, \rho_{n}^{*}\right) \sim \prod_{i=2}^{n} \operatorname{Beta}(\alpha+(i-1) / n,(n-$ $i+1) / n)$ ．
－Sample $\alpha^{*} \sim \operatorname{Ga}(A, B)$ ，where $A=n-1 / 2+c+a$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
B= & -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log x_{i}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} \log \frac{1}{\rho_{i}^{*}}-n-n \log \gamma^{*} \\
& +\gamma^{*}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}+d\right)+b,
\end{aligned}
$$

and accept $\alpha^{*}$ with probability

$$
\min \left\{1, \frac{\left(n \alpha^{*}\right)^{n \alpha^{*}-1 / 2}}{\Gamma\left(n \alpha^{*}\right) e^{n \alpha^{*}}} / \frac{(n \alpha)^{n \alpha-1 / 2}}{\Gamma(n \alpha) e^{n \alpha}}\right\} .
$$

The accuracy of approximation，or the acceptance probabil－ ity，has already been evaluated in（3）．The acceptance probability is，at least， $1-1 /\left(12 n \alpha^{*}\right)$ ．

## 2．3．PTN Data Augmentation

The key to the augmentation strategy of Section 2.1 is to find suitable changes of variables $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}=\varphi(\boldsymbol{\vartheta} ; \xi)$ to eliminate the factor $1 / \xi^{m \xi}$ in the second step．Because this is not always straight－ forward，an alternative method is developed in this section． We modify the proposed method of Section 2.1 by introducing additional latent variables．The main tool is the integral expres－ sion in the following lemma．

Lemma 1．Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ．Then

$$
\frac{1}{\xi m \xi}=(m \xi)^{1 / 2} e^{m \xi} \frac{(m \xi)^{m \xi-1 / 2}}{\Gamma(m \xi) e^{m \xi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} w^{m \xi-1} e^{-w m \xi^{2}} d w
$$

for all $\xi>0$ ．
We assume that $f(\xi, \vartheta) \stackrel{\xi}{\propto} \operatorname{Ga}\left(\xi \mid a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$ for simplicity and consider the conditional density

$$
p(\xi \mid \boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \propto \frac{1}{\xi^{m \xi}} \xi^{a_{3}-1} e^{-b_{3} \xi} \frac{(m \xi)^{m \xi-1 / 2}}{\Gamma(m \xi) e^{m \xi}}
$$

where $a_{3}=a_{1}+m-1 / 2$ and $b_{3}=b_{1}-m+\sum_{j=2}^{m} \log \left(1 / \rho_{j}\right)$ ． Using Lemma 1，we see that $p(\xi \mid \boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta})$ is the marginal density of
$p(\xi, w \mid \boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \propto \xi^{a_{3}-1 / 2} e^{-\left(b_{3}-m\right) \xi}\left\{\frac{(m \xi)^{m \xi-1 / 2}}{\Gamma(m \xi) e^{m \xi}}\right\}^{2} w^{m \xi-1} e^{-w m \xi^{2}}$,
where $w \in(0, \infty)$ is an additional latent variable．Clearly， $p(w \mid \xi, \boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta})=\mathrm{Ga}\left(w \mid m \xi, m \xi^{2}\right)$ ．On the other hand，

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(\xi \mid w, \boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) /\left\{\frac{(m \xi)^{m \xi-1 / 2}}{\Gamma(m \xi) e^{m \xi}}\right\}^{2} \propto \xi^{c-1} e^{-a \xi^{2}+b \xi} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=a_{3}+1 / 2, a=m w$ ，and $b=m \log w+m-b_{3}$ ．The right－hand side is proportional to the power truncated normal （PTN）distribution（He，Polson，and Xu 2021）with parameters $c, a$ ，and $b$ ，which is denoted by $\operatorname{PTN}(c, a, b)$ ．Since the denom－ inator of the left－hand side in（4）is almost constant as seen in（3），the conditional density $p(\xi \mid w, \boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta})$ is approximated by $\operatorname{PTN}(\xi \mid c, a, b)$ ．Then，we generate a proposal，$\xi^{*} \sim \operatorname{PTN}(c, a, b)$ ， and accept it with probability

$$
\min \left\{1,\left\{\frac{\left(m \xi^{*}\right)^{m \xi^{*}-1 / 2}}{\Gamma\left(m \xi^{*}\right) e^{m \xi^{*}}} / \frac{\left(m \xi^{\text {old }}\right)^{m \xi^{\text {old }}-1 / 2}}{\Gamma\left(m \xi^{\text {old }}\right) e^{m \xi \xi^{\text {old }}}}\right\}^{2}\right\}
$$

where $\xi^{\text {old }}$ is the current state of $\xi$ ．

## 2．4．Additional Data Augmentation for the PTN Distribution

In order to sample from the PTN distribution（4），one can use the accept／reject algorithm described by He，Polson，and Xu （2021）．In this article，we consider other approaches so that we do not necessarily need to use accept／reject algorithms． Our approaches also have potential flexibility that they are easily extended to the case where $f(\xi, \vartheta)$ is proportional to a generalized－inverse－Gaussian density as a function of $\xi$ ．

Let $M>0$ be a constant possibly dependent on $w, \boldsymbol{\rho}$ ，and $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ such that $M>b$ ．（A convenient choice is $M=1+\max \{0, b\}$ ．） Then，the PTN density is written as

$$
\operatorname{PTN}(\xi \mid c, a, b) \propto \xi^{c-1} e^{-a \xi^{2}-b^{\prime} \xi} e^{M \xi}
$$

where $c, a, b^{\prime}=M-b$ ，and $M$ are all positive．
The exponential term $e^{M \xi}$ can be augmented in two ways． The first approach is based on the following expression：

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{PTN}(\xi \mid c, a, b) \propto & \xi^{c-1} e^{-a \xi^{2}-b^{\prime} \xi} \sum_{\zeta=0}^{\infty} \frac{M^{\zeta} \xi^{\zeta}}{\zeta!} \\
= & \sum_{\zeta=0}^{\infty} \frac{M^{\zeta} \xi^{\zeta}}{\zeta!} \xi^{c-1} e^{-a \xi^{2}} \\
& \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \eta^{1 / 2-1} e^{-\eta / 2} e^{-\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2} \xi^{2} /(2 \eta)} d \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

where we consider $\zeta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$ and $\eta \in(0, \infty)$ as additional latent variables．Then the full conditional distri－ butions of $\zeta$ and $\eta$ are $\operatorname{Po}(\zeta \mid M \xi)$ and $\operatorname{GIG}\left(\eta \mid 1 / 2,1,\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2} \xi^{2}\right)$ ， respectively．The full conditional density of $\xi$ divided by $\left\{(m \xi)^{m \xi-1 / 2} / \Gamma(m \xi) e^{m \xi}\right\}^{2}$ is proportional to

$$
\xi^{\zeta+c-1} e^{-\left\{a+\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2} /(2 \eta)\right\} \xi^{2}}
$$

We can easily sample from the above distribution since it is simply the square root of a gamma variable．

The second approach uses the integral expression based on the normal density．

Lemma 2．For all $\xi>0$ ，we have

$$
e^{\xi}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \xi}} e^{-\theta^{2} /(4 \xi)+\theta} d \theta
$$

By this lemma，we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{PTN}(\xi \mid c, a, b) & \propto \xi^{c-1} e^{-a \xi^{2}-b^{\prime} \xi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi^{1 / 2-1} e^{-\theta^{2} /(4 M \xi)+\theta} d \theta \\
& \propto \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\theta} \xi^{c-1 / 2-1} e^{-a \xi^{2}} \\
& {\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \eta^{1 / 2-1} e^{-\eta / 2} e^{-\left\{b^{\prime} \xi+\theta^{2} /(4 M \xi)\right\}^{2} /(2 \eta)} d \eta\right] d \theta }
\end{aligned}
$$

where we consider $\theta \in(-\infty, \infty)$ and $\eta \in(0, \infty)$ as addi－ tional latent variables．Sampling from the full conditional of $(\eta, \theta)$ can be done in a compositional way；we sample $\theta$（with $\eta$ marginalized out）from $\mathrm{N}(\theta \mid 2 M \xi, 2 M \xi)$ ，then $(\eta \mid \theta)$ from $\operatorname{GIG}\left(\eta \mid 1 / 2,1,\left\{b^{\prime} \xi+\theta^{2} /(4 M \xi)\right\}^{2}\right)$ ．The full conditional density of $\xi$ divided by $\left\{(m \xi)^{m \xi-1 / 2} / \Gamma(m \xi) e^{m \xi}\right\}^{2}$ is proportional to

$$
\xi^{c-1 / 2-1} e^{-\left\{a+\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{2} /(2 \eta)\right\} \xi^{2}} e^{-\left\{\theta^{4} /\left(32 M^{2} \eta\right)\right\} / \xi^{2}}
$$

which is the square root of a generalized－inverse－Gaussian dis－ tribution．

## 3．Student＇s t－Distribution

## 3．1．Sampling Algorithm

Student＇s $t$－distribution is widely adopted in Bayesian infer－ ence to handle outliers in samples or heavy－tailed properties of data generating processes（e．g．，Geweke 1993；Fonseca，Fer－ reira，and Migon 2008；Villa and Rubio 2018；da Silva，Prates， and Goncalves 2020）．A typical problem in using Student＇s $t$－ distribution is that the posterior inference of the degrees of freedom is not straightforward since its full conditional distri－ bution has a complicated form．However，we can use our data－ augmentation approach．We here consider the simplest case where the means of all observations are the same，and we use the normal－scale－mixture representation of Student＇s $t$－distribution， under which the degrees－of－freedom parameter is regarded as the shape parameter in the gamma distribution．

Suppose that for $i=1, \ldots, n$ ，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{i} \sim \mathrm{t}\left(x_{i} \mid(\theta, \tau), 2 \alpha\right)= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1 / 2)}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \tau^{1 / 2} \alpha^{1 / 2} \Gamma(\alpha)} \\
&\left\{1+\frac{\left(x_{i}-\theta\right)^{2}}{2 \tau \alpha}\right\}^{\alpha+1 / 2} \\
&(\theta, \tau) \sim p(\theta, \tau), \quad \alpha \sim p(\alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ ，and $\tau \in(0, \infty)$ ．Then the posterior dis－ tribution $p(\theta, \tau, \alpha \mid \boldsymbol{x})$ is obtained as the marginal distribution of
$p(\theta, \tau, \alpha, \boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{\rho} \mid \boldsymbol{x}) \propto \frac{p(\theta, \tau)}{\tau^{n / 2}} p(\alpha) \alpha^{n+1 / 2-1} e^{n \alpha}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left[w_{i}^{\alpha+1 / 2-1} e^{-w_{i}\left\{\alpha+\left(x_{i}-\theta\right)^{2} /(2 \tau)\right\}}\right]\right) \\
& \times\left[\prod_{i=2}^{n}\left\{\rho_{i}^{\alpha+(i-1) / n-1}\left(1-\rho_{i}\right)^{(n-i+1) / n-1}\right\}\right] \\
& \quad \times \frac{(n \alpha)^{n \alpha-1 / 2}}{\Gamma(n \alpha) e^{n \alpha}} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{w}=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right) \in(0, \infty)^{n}$ and $\boldsymbol{\rho}=\left(\rho_{2}, \ldots, \rho_{n}\right) \in$ $(0,1)^{n-1}$ are additional latent variables．The above expression is derived in Section S6 of the supplementary materials by using Theorem 1.

If we use the priors $p(\theta, \tau)=\mathrm{N}(\theta \mid b, \tau / a) \operatorname{IG}(\tau \mid c, d)$ and $p(\alpha)=\mathrm{Ga}\left(\alpha \mid a_{0}, b_{0}\right)$ for $a, c, d \in(0, \infty)$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a_{0}, b_{0} \in(0, \infty)$ ，we can use the following algorithm to generate posterior samples．

Algorithm 2．The variables $\theta, \tau, \alpha, \boldsymbol{w}$ ，and $\rho$ are updated in the following way．
－Sample $\tau^{*} \sim \operatorname{IG}\left(c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right)$ ，where $c^{\prime}=n / 2+c$ and

$$
d^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{a b^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}^{2}-\frac{\left(a b+\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}\right)^{2}}{a+\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}}\right\}+d
$$

－Sample $\theta^{*} \sim \mathrm{~N}\left(b^{\prime}, \tau^{*} / a^{\prime}\right)$ ，where $a^{\prime}=a+\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}$ and

$$
b^{\prime}=\frac{a b+\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}}{a+\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}}
$$

－Sample $\boldsymbol{w}^{*}=\left(w_{1}^{*}, \ldots, w_{n}^{*}\right) \sim \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{Ga}\left(\alpha+1 / 2, \alpha+\left(x_{i}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\theta^{*}\right)^{2} /\left(2 \tau^{*}\right)\right)$ ．
－Sample $\boldsymbol{\rho}^{*}=\left(\rho_{2}^{*}, \ldots, \rho_{n}^{*}\right) \sim \prod_{i=2}^{n} \operatorname{Beta}(\alpha+(i-1) / n,(n-$ $i+1) / n)$ ．
－Sample $\alpha^{*} \sim \operatorname{Ga}\left(a_{0}{ }^{\prime}, b_{0}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ ，where $a_{0}{ }^{\prime}=a_{0}+n-1 / 2$ and

$$
b_{0}{ }^{\prime}=b_{0}-n+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(w_{i}^{*}-\log w_{i}^{*}\right)+\sum_{i=2}^{n} \log \frac{1}{\rho_{i}^{*}},
$$

and accept $\alpha^{*}$ with probability

$$
\min \left\{1, \frac{\left(n \alpha^{*}\right)^{n \alpha^{*}-1 / 2}}{\Gamma\left(n \alpha^{*}\right) e^{n \alpha^{*}}} / \frac{(n \alpha)^{n \alpha-1 / 2}}{\Gamma(n \alpha) e^{n \alpha}}\right\}
$$

Since we introduce the additional latent variables $\rho_{2}, \ldots, \rho_{n}$ ， our method is less efficient than an alternative method in terms of the effective sample size for an MCMC sequence of a fixed number of parameter values．However，since we do not need to use numerical approximation，our method takes less time．These are confirmed in Section 3．2．

We remark that our method is flexible and we can use many other types of priors．For example，we can use a scale mixture of gamma distributions as a prior for $\alpha$ ．We can use a truncated gamma prior for $\alpha$ and this case is considered in the second half of Section 3．2．Also，for $a_{0}, b_{0}, c_{0} \in(0, \infty)$ ，we can use the beta－ type prior $p(\alpha) \propto \alpha^{a_{0}-1}\left(1-\alpha / c_{0}\right)^{b_{0}-1} \chi_{\left(0, c_{0}\right)}(\alpha)$ ．

## 3．2．Simulation Study

Here，we compare the performance of our method based on data augmentation（DA）with the performance of an alternative method based on the approximation proposed by Miller（2019） （A－MH）．See Section S7 of the supplementary materials for details of the A－MH method．

First，we set either $n=10, n=30$ ，or $n=100$ and use the conjugate prior $p(\theta, \tau)=\mathrm{N}(\theta \mid 0, \tau /(1 / 10)) \times \operatorname{IG}(\tau \mid 1 / 10,1 / 10)$ and the gamma prior $p(\alpha)=\operatorname{Ga}(\alpha \mid 1 / 10,1 / 10)$ ．We generate $x_{i}$ from $\mathrm{t}\left(x_{i} \mid(3,1), 2 \alpha_{0}\right)$ ．We consider the cases $2 \alpha_{0}=1 / 10,2 \alpha_{0}=$ 1 ，and $2 \alpha_{0}=10$ ．Then，for each of the two methods，we generate 4,000 posterior samples after discarding the first 1000 samples． We use $(\varepsilon, M)=\left(10^{-8}, 10\right)$ for the convergence tolerance and the maximum number of iterations for the A－MH method as recommended in Miller（2019）．We repeat this simulation 100 times．

Boxplots of the ratios of the effective sample sizes for $\alpha, \tau$ ， and $\theta$ to the computation times for the two methods are shown in Figure 1 for $n=10$ ．（For the boxplots for $n=30$ and $n=100$ ，see Figures S1 and S2 of the supplementary materials．） Table 1 reports the averages over the simulations of the ratios （sESS）and the original effective sample sizes（ESS），as well as the mean squared error（MSE）ratios of the estimators of $\alpha$ ， $\tau$ ，and $\theta$ ，where the MSE ratio is defined as the MSE of the alternative method divided by that of our proposed method． In terms of MSE，there is little difference between the two methods in many cases including those in the supplementary materials．In terms of sESS ，our method is better especially for $\theta$ and $\tau$ when $n=10$ ．When $n=30$ ，the alternative method becomes better in terms of $\alpha$ and competitive in terms of $\tau$ and $\theta$ ．When $n=100$ ，the alternative method is clearly better than ours．This increase of sESS of the DA method for large $n$ is most likely due to the increased number of latent parame－ ters $\rho_{2: n}$ ，affecting both efficiency and computational time．For example，the ESSs of center parameter $\theta$ are almost unchanged （or even improve）when $n$ increases from 30 to 100 ，hence， the decrease of the sESSs for $\theta$ is mainly due to the increased computational time．

Thus，when $n$ is large，our method benefits rather from its simplicity and applicability to more complicated models．To see this point，we consider additional scenarios where a trun－ cated gamma prior is used for the shape parameter；$p(\alpha) \propto$ $\mathrm{Ga}(\alpha \mid 1 / 10,1 / 10) \chi_{(\underline{\alpha}, \infty)}(\alpha)$ ，where $\underline{\alpha}>0$ ．With this truncated priors，the method of Miller（2019）must evaluate the expected values of truncated gamma distributions，taking longer time for posterior computation．In contrast，no complication is needed for our method to use the truncated prior，except that we now need to sample from truncated distributions．We set $2 \alpha_{0}=10$ and conduct the same simulation study for the truncated gamma prior with $2 \underline{\alpha}=1,3$ ．

Boxplots of sESSs are shown in Figure 2 for $n=10$（and in Figures S3 and S4 for $n=30$ and $n=100$ ，respectively）， and Table 2 lists the averages of ESSs，sESSs and the ratios of MSEs computed in this experiment．In these scenarios，our method becomes more competitive even for large $n$ ．In partic－ ular，our method outperforms the A－MH method in terms of sESS for $\theta$ and $\tau$ when $n=10$ and $n=30$ ，and for $\theta$ when $n=100$ ．


Figure 1．Boxplots of the effective sample sizes standardized by the computation times for the proposed method（DA）and the alternative method（A－MH）for $n=10$ ．

Table 1．The averages of the effective sample sizes（ESS）for the proposed method（DA）and the alternative method（A－MH）by Miller（2019），the averages of those standardized by computation time（sESS），and the ratios of the mean squared errors（MSE）of the A－MH method to those of the DA method．

| n | $2 \alpha_{0}$ | Method | ESS |  |  | sESS |  |  | MSE ratio |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\theta$ | $\tau$ | $\alpha$ | $\theta$ | $\tau$ | $\alpha$ | $\theta$ | $\tau$ | $\alpha$ |
| 10 | 0.1 | DA | 874 | 382 | 1972 | 7148 | 3121 | 16058 | － | － | － |
| 10 | 0.1 | A－MH | 805 | 361 | 2097 | 5887 | 2643 | 15408 | 1.14 | 8.87 | 1.06 |
| 10 | 1 | DA | 1506 | 686 | 466 | 12749 | 5815 | 3926 | － | － | － |
| 10 | 1 | A－MH | 1514 | 755 | 581 | 11252 | 5648 | 4285 | 1.00 | 1.05 | 0.93 |
| 10 | 10 | DA | 2276 | 1862 | 288 | 19406 | 15875 | 2458 | － | － | － |
| 10 | 10 | A－MH | 2316 | 1989 | 505 | 17634 | 15193 | 3854 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 0.84 |
| 30 | 0.1 | DA | 796 | 188 | 2068 | 4836 | 1135 | 12525 | － | － | － |
| 30 | 0.1 | A－MH | 834 | 178 | 2103 | 5115 | 1095 | 12914 | 1.10 | 0.79 | 1.02 |
| 30 | 1 | DA | 1408 | 444 | 510 | 9019 | 2837 | 3252 | － | － | － |
| 30 | 1 | A－MH | 1440 | 473 | 645 | 9114 | 2991 | 4072 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 30 | 10 | DA | 2371 | 715 | 122 | 15235 | 4570 | 782 | － | － | － |
| 30 | 10 | A－MH | 2448 | 921 | 220 | 16451 | 6235 | 1479 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.03 |
| 100 | 0.1 | DA | 904 | 109 | 1770 | 3200 | 384 | 6270 | － | － | － |
| 100 | 0.1 | A－MH | 895 | 105 | 1802 | 4040 | 472 | 8120 | 1.00 | 0.77 | 1.00 |
| 100 | 1 | DA | 1359 | 386 | 527 | 4889 | 1388 | 1888 | － | － | － |
| 100 | 1 | A－MH | 1364 | 391 | 640 | 6251 | 1794 | 2926 | 1.02 | 1.01 | 1.03 |
| 100 | 10 | DA | 2711 | 284 | 56 | 10380 | 1093 | 214 | － | － | － |
| 100 | 10 | A－MH | 2721 | 407 | 100 | 13793 | 2075 | 507 | 1.01 | 1.04 | 0.98 |



Figure 2．Boxplots of the effective sample sizes standardized by the computation times for the proposed method（DA）and the alternative method（A－MH）for $2 \underline{\alpha}=1,3$ for $n=10$ ．

Table 2．The averages of the effective sample sizes（ESS）for the proposed method（DA）and the alternative method（A－MH），the averages of those standardized by computation time（sESS），and the ratios of the mean squared errors（MSE）of the A－MH method to those of the DA method for $2 \underline{\alpha}=1,3$ ．

| n | $2 \alpha_{0}$ | Method | ESS |  |  | sESS |  |  | MSE ratio |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\theta$ | $\tau$ | $\alpha$ | $\theta$ | $\tau$ | $\alpha$ | $\theta$ | $\tau$ | $\alpha$ |
| 10 | 1 | DA | 2376 | 2033 | 293 | 7029 | 6013 | 867 | － | － | － |
| 10 | 1 | A－MH | 2371 | 2092 | 533 | 3866 | 3419 | 868 | 1.01 | 0.98 | 0.96 |
| 10 | 3 | DA | 2885 | 2735 | 396 | 8963 | 8494 | 1230 | － | － | － |
| 10 | 3 | A－MH | 2893 | 2761 | 695 | 4877 | 4660 | 1171 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 |
| 30 | 1 | DA | 2341 | 601 | 126 | 6354 | 1627 | 342 | － | － | － |
| 30 | 1 | A－MH | 2371 | 767 | 217 | 4074 | 1325 | 373 | 1.01 | 0.99 | 0.89 |
| 30 | 3 | DA | 2710 | 1057 | 141 | 7376 | 2871 | 383 | － | － | － |
| 30 | 3 | A－MH | 2702 | 1206 | 251 | 4567 | 2051 | 424 | 1.00 | 1.03 | 1.03 |
| 100 | 1 | DA | 2660 | 247 | 59 | 5595 | 521 | 123 | － | － | － |
| 100 | 1 | A－MH | 2678 | 346 | 106 | 4278 | 552 | 168 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 0.94 |
| 100 | 3 | DA | 2679 | 283 | 63 | 5670 | 600 | 134 | － | － | － |
| 100 | 3 | A－MH | 2719 | 381 | 108 | 4300 | 604 | 170 | 1.00 | 1.03 | 1.04 |

## 4．The Dirichlet－Multinomial Distribution

## 4．1．Sampling Algorithm

Dirichlet－multinomial distribution is useful for modeling multi－ label variables，as used in topic modeling（e．g．，Blei，Ng，and Jordan 2003）．Since the full conditional distribution of the shape parameters of the Dirichlet distribution includes the recipro－ cal gamma function，their posterior sampling is typically not straightforward（e．g．，Nandram 1998）．Although in this section our focus is the estimation of the shape parameters of the Dirichlet－multinomial distribution，our result is also relevant in the context of finite mixture modeling（e．g．，Frühwirth－ Schnatter 2006）．

Suppose that for $i=1, \ldots, n$ ，

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{x}_{i} & \sim \operatorname{Multin}_{L}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i} \mid N_{i}, \boldsymbol{p}_{i}\right)=\frac{N_{i}!}{\prod_{l=0}^{L}\left(x_{i, l}!\right)} \prod_{l=0}^{L} p_{i, l}^{x_{i, l}}, \\
\boldsymbol{p}_{i} & \sim \operatorname{Dir}_{L}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{i} \mid \boldsymbol{\alpha}\right)=\frac{\Gamma\left(\sum_{l=0}^{L} \alpha_{l}\right)}{\prod_{l=0}^{L} \Gamma\left(\alpha_{l}\right)} \prod_{l=0}^{L} p_{i, l}^{\alpha_{l}-1} \\
\boldsymbol{\alpha} & \sim p(\boldsymbol{\alpha})
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{x}_{i}=\left(x_{i, 1}, \ldots, x_{i, L}\right), x_{i, 0}=N_{i}-\sum_{l=1}^{L} x_{i, l}, \boldsymbol{p}_{i}=$ $\left(p_{i, 1}, \ldots, p_{i, L}\right) \in D_{L}=\left\{\left(\tilde{p}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{p}_{L}\right) \in(0,1)^{L} \mid \tilde{p}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{p}_{L}>\right.$ $\left.0, \sum_{l=1}^{L} \tilde{p}_{l}<1\right\}, p_{i, 0}=1-\sum_{l=1}^{L} p_{i, l}$ ，and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=\left(\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{L}\right)$ ． Let $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{n}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{p}=\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{p}_{n}\right)$ ．Since we have been
unable to find good changes of variables to perform the second step of Section 2．1，we use the flexible method of Section 2．3． In Section S6 of the supplementary materials，we prove that the posterior distribution $p(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{\alpha} \mid \boldsymbol{x})$ is obtained as the marginal distribution of

$$
\begin{align*}
& p(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{\rho} \mid \boldsymbol{x}) \propto p(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\left\{\prod_{l=0}^{L}\left(\alpha_{l}^{n} e^{2 n \alpha_{l}}\right)\right\}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{l=0}^{L} p_{i, l}^{x_{i, l}+\alpha_{l}-1}\right) \\
&\left\{\prod _ { i = 1 } ^ { n } \left(z_{i} \sum_{l=0}^{L} \alpha_{l}-1\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.e^{-z_{i}}\right)\right\} \\
& \times\left\{\prod_{l=0}^{L}\left(w_{l}^{n \alpha_{l}-1} e^{-w_{l} n \alpha_{l}^{2}}\right)\right\} \\
& {\left[\prod_{i=2}^{n} \prod_{l=0}^{L}\left\{\rho_{i, l}^{\alpha_{l}+(i-1) / n-1}\left(1-\rho_{i, l}\right)^{(n-i+1) / n-1}\right\}\right] }  \tag{6}\\
& \times \prod_{l=0}^{L}\left\{\frac{\left(n \alpha_{l}\right)^{n \alpha_{l}-1 / 2}}{\Gamma\left(n \alpha_{l}\right) e^{n \alpha_{l}}}\right\}^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{z}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \in(0, \infty)^{n}, \boldsymbol{w}=$ $\left(w_{0}, \ldots, w_{L}\right) \in(0, \infty)^{L+1}$ ，and $\rho=\left(\rho_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\rho}_{n}\right)=$ $\left(\left(\rho_{2,0}, \ldots, \rho_{2, L}\right), \ldots,\left(\rho_{n, 0}, \ldots, \rho_{n, L}\right)\right) \in(0,1)^{(n-1)(L+1)}$ are additional latent variables．

If we use the prior $p(\boldsymbol{\alpha})=\prod_{l=0}^{L} \mathrm{Ga}\left(\alpha_{l} \mid a, b\right)$ for example，we can use the following algorithm to generate posterior samples．

Algorithm 3．The variables $\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{w}$ ，and $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ are updated in the following way．
－Sample $\boldsymbol{p}^{*}=\left(\left(p_{1,0}^{*}, \ldots, p_{1, L}^{*}\right), \ldots,\left(p_{n, 0}^{*}, \ldots, p_{n, L}^{*}\right)\right)$ $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Dir}_{L}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}+\boldsymbol{\alpha}\right)$ ．
－Sample $\boldsymbol{z}^{*}=\left(z_{1}^{*}, \ldots, z_{n}^{*}\right) \sim\left\{\mathrm{Ga}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{L} \alpha_{l}, 1\right)\right\}^{n}$ ．
－Sample $\boldsymbol{w}^{*}=\left(w_{0}^{*}, \ldots, w_{L}^{*}\right) \sim \prod_{l=0}^{L} \mathrm{Ga}\left(n \alpha_{l}, n \alpha_{l}^{2}\right)$ ．
－Sample $\rho^{*}=\left(\left(\rho_{2,0}^{*}, \ldots, \rho_{2, L}^{*}\right), \ldots,\left(\rho_{n, 0}^{*}, \ldots, \rho_{n, L}^{*}\right)\right)$ $\prod_{i=2}^{n} \prod_{l=0}^{L} \operatorname{Beta}\left(\alpha_{l}+(i-1) / n,(n-i+1) / n\right)$.
－For $l=0, \ldots, L$ ，let $c_{l}=n+a, a_{l}=n w_{l}^{*}$ ，and

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{l}= & -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \frac{1}{p_{i, l}^{*}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log z_{i}^{*}+2 n+n \log w_{l}^{*} \\
& -\sum_{i=2}^{n} \log \frac{1}{\rho_{i, l}^{*}}-b
\end{aligned}
$$

and sample $\alpha_{l}^{*}$ in one of the following three ways and accept it with probability

$$
\min \left\{1,\left\{\frac{\left(n \alpha_{l}^{*}\right)^{n \alpha_{l}^{*}-1 / 2}}{\Gamma\left(n \alpha_{l}^{*}\right) e^{n \alpha_{l}^{*}}}\right\}^{2} /\left\{\frac{\left(n \alpha_{l}\right)^{n \alpha_{l}-1 / 2}}{\Gamma\left(n \alpha_{l}\right) e^{n \alpha_{l}}}\right\}^{2}\right\} .
$$

（i）Sample $\alpha_{l}^{*} \sim \operatorname{PTN}\left(c_{l}, a_{l}, b_{l}\right)$ by using the PTN sampler developed by He ，Polson，and Xu （2021）．
（ii）Let $M_{l}=1+\max \left\{0, b_{l}\right\}$ and $b_{l}^{\prime}=M_{l}-b_{l}$ ．
－Sample $\zeta_{l}^{*} \sim \operatorname{Po}\left(M_{l} \alpha_{l}\right)$ ．
－Sample $\eta_{l}^{*} \sim \operatorname{GIG}\left(1 / 2,1,\left(b_{l}\right)^{2} \alpha_{l}^{2}\right)$ ．
－Sample $\tilde{\alpha}_{l}^{*} \sim \mathrm{Ga}\left(\left(\zeta_{l}^{*}+c_{l}\right) / 2, a_{l}+\left(b_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{2} /\left(2 \eta_{l}^{*}\right)\right)$ and set $\alpha_{l}^{*}=\left(\tilde{\alpha}_{l}^{*}\right)^{1 / 2}$ ．
（iii）Let $M_{l}=1+\max \left\{0, b_{l}\right\}$ and $b_{l}^{\prime}=M_{l}-b_{l}$ ．
－Sample $\theta_{l}^{*} \sim \mathrm{~N}\left(2 M_{l} \alpha_{l}, 2 M_{l} \alpha_{l}\right)$ ．
－Sample $\eta_{l}^{*} \sim \operatorname{GIG}\left(1 / 2,1,\left\{b_{l}^{\prime} \alpha_{l}+\theta_{l}^{2} /\left(4 M_{l} \alpha_{l}\right)\right\}^{2}\right)$ ．
－Sample $\tilde{\alpha}_{l}^{*} \sim \operatorname{GIG}\left(c_{l} / 2-1 / 4,2 a_{l}+\right.$ $\left.\left(b_{l}^{\prime}\right)^{2} / \eta_{l}^{*},\left(\theta_{l}^{*}\right)^{4} /\left(16 M_{l}^{2} \eta_{l}^{*}\right)\right)$ and set $\alpha_{l}^{*}=\left(\tilde{\alpha}_{l}^{*}\right)^{1 / 2}$ ．

## 4．2．Simulation Study

In this section，we conduct a simulation study－the poste－ rior inference of Dirichlet shape parameters－to compare our method and the method of He ，Polson，and Xu （2021）．Both methods are based on data augmentation but in different ways． Many other standard methods，including one by Miller（2019）， are not directly applicable．

Following He ，Polson，and Xu （2021），we set $L+1=10$ and $N_{1}=\cdots=N_{n}=500$ and use the prior $p(\boldsymbol{\alpha})=$ $\prod_{j=0}^{9} \mathrm{Ga}\left(\alpha_{l} \mid b / 10, b\right)$ with $b=1$ ．We generate $\boldsymbol{p}_{i}$ from $\operatorname{Dir}_{9}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{0}\right)$ and then $\boldsymbol{x}_{i}$ from Multin ${ }_{9}\left(500, \boldsymbol{p}_{i}\right)$ ．We consider the cases $n=$ 100 and $n=1000$ ．For each of these cases，we consider two scenarios：（I） $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{0}=(1 / 10, \ldots, 1 / 10)$（equal case）and（II） $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{0}=$ $(1 / 10,2 / 10, \ldots, 10 / 10)$ ．Other scenarios are also considered and reported in the supplementary materials．We generate 4000 posterior samples after discarding the first 1000 samples．We repeat this simulation 100 times．The method of $\mathrm{He}, \mathrm{Polson}$ ，and Xu （2021）requires sampling from the exponential reciprocal gamma（ERG）distribution，for which they gave three methods． We use the first method because it is the easiest to implement． Setting $N$ equal to a large value in（16）of He，Polson，and Xu （2021）makes their approximation accurate．We set $N=3$ ，so that their approximation is sufficiently accurate．

We consider the proposed method based on（iii），（ii），and （i）of Algorithm 3 （DA－N，DA－P and DA－PT，respectively），as well as the method of He ，Polson，and Xu（2021）（ERG）．Using these methods，we calculate the averages over the simulations of

Table 3．The average effective sample size（ESS），the average computation time （CT），the standardized effective sample size by the computation time（sESS），and the mean squared error（MSE）for the proposed data－augmentation method with normal latent variables（DA－N），Poisson latent variables（DA－P），and the PTN sampler of He ，Polson，and Xu （2021）（DA－PT）and the original method proposed by He ， Polson，and Xu（2021）（ERG）．

| n | Scenario | Method | ESS | CT | sESS | MSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | （I） | DA－N | 863 | 2.1 | 414 | 0.82 |
|  |  | DA－P | 856 | 1.8 | 480 | 0.83 |
|  |  | DA－PT | 1199 | 1.8 | 667 | 0.82 |
|  |  | ERG | 1808 | 81.4 | 22 | 0.82 |
| 100 | （II） | DA－N | 580 | 2.0 | 287 | 5.70 |
|  |  | DA－P | 668 | 1.7 | 389 | 5.68 |
|  |  | DA－PT | 846 | 1.8 | 478 | 5.73 |
|  |  | ERG | 1315 | 79.5 | 17 | 5.66 |
| 1000 | （I） | DA－N | 846 | 7.7 | 110 | 0.80 |
|  |  | DA－P | 846 | 7.4 | 115 | 0.80 |
|  |  | DA－PT | 1192 | 7.4 | 162 | 0.80 |
|  |  | ERG | 1800 | 819.1 | 2 | 0.80 |
| 1000 | （II） | DA－N | 582 | 7.6 | 76 | 5.06 |
|  |  | DA－P | 671 | 7.2 | 93 | 5.18 |
|  |  | DA－PT | 834 | 7.3 | 115 | 5.15 |
|  |  | ERG | 1317 | 835.4 | 2 | 5.17 |

NOTE：These values are averaged over $\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{9}$ ．MSE values under $n=100$ and $n=1000$ are multiplied by $10^{3}$ and $10^{4}$ ，respectively
the means of the effective sample sizes for $\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{9}$（ESS），the averages over the simulations of the computation times（CT）， and the averages over the simulations of the ratios of the means of the effective sample sizes to the computation times（sESS）．We also calculate the mean squared errors（MSE）of the estimators of $\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{9}$ ．

The results are reported in Table 3．In all scenarios，the ERG method has the largest ESS but the longest CT．In contrast， the DA－N，DA－P，and DA－PT methods are less competitive than the ERG method in ESSs，but significantly outperform it in computational time．Consequently，all of our methods have much larger sESSs than the state－of－the－art ERG method． Among the proposed methods，the DA－PT method has the best sESS．The other two methods cost computational efficiency for the simplicity of their algorithms，as noted in Section 2．3．In terms of MSE，no significant difference can be seen in the four methods．

Thus，the difference of the method of He ，Polson，and Xu （2021）and ours in computational efficiency critically depends on the computational time．For the fairness of comparison，it should be noted that the computation by the ERG method can speed－up by using parallelization，and could be competitive as our methods in some computational environments that enable such parallelization．Other than the efficiency，the advantage of our method to be emphasized is its simplicity；no explicit par－ allelization is needed in implementing our method．In addition， our method is tuning parameter free，while the ERG method requires tuning $N$ ．

## 5．Concluding Remarks

The data augmentation approach proposed in this article can be applicable to any posterior inference if the conditional posterior involves the reciprocal gamma functions．Examples of such models include the one－parameter Dirichlet，negative binomial and Wishart models，in addition to the gamma，Student＇s $t$ and Dirichlet－multinomial models considered in the previous sections．The sampling algorithms for those models can be derived straightforwardly and are provided in Section S1 of the supplementary materials．

A remaining issue related to the proposed approach is that our method is likely to be less efficient for extremely small $n$ ． In that case，the data augmentation in Theorem S1 should be customized for the model of interest．For example，if $n=1$ and $0<\alpha_{l} \ll 1$ in the Dirichlet－multinomial model，we could improve the proposed augmentation；see Section S5 of the supplementary materials．

## Supplementary Materials

Online Supplementary Materials：All proofs and additional simulation experiments are included．The applications to the one－parameter Dirichlet，negative－binomial and Wishart models are also discussed in detail．（pdf）
R－code for $t$ and Dirichlet models：The R－codes to implement the poste－ rior computation for the $t$ model in Section 3.2 and the Dirichlet models
in Section 4.2 are publicly available on GitHub repository（https：／／ github．com／sshonosuke／Gamma－DA）．
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