

TITLE:

Almost disjointness preserving functionals on Banach lattices of differentiable functions (Research on preserver problems on Banach algebras and related topics)

AUTHOR(S):

# AN, Guimei; CHEN, Jinxi; LI Lei; LIU, Tong

### CITATION:

AN, Guimei ...[et al]. Almost disjointness preserving functionals on Banach lattices of differentiable functions (Research on preserver problems on Banach algebras and related topics). 数理解析研究所講究録別冊 2023, B93: 125-131

**ISSUE DATE:** 2023-07

URL: http://hdl.handle.net/2433/284875

RIGHT:

© 2023 by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, an International Joint Usage/Research Center located in Kyoto University. All rights reserved. Printed in Japan.



## Almost disjointness preserving functionals on Banach lattices of differentiable functions

By

Guimei AN<sup>\*</sup>, Jinxi CHEN<sup>\*\*</sup>, Lei LI<sup>\*\*\*</sup> and Tong LIU<sup>†</sup>

#### Abstract

Let  $C^1[0,1]$  be the space of all continuously differentiable function on [0,1]. When define the order  $f\geq g$  by

 $f(0) \ge g(0)$  and  $f' \ge g'$  pointwise on [0, 1],

and the norm is defined by  $||f||_{\sigma} = |f(0)| + ||f'||_{\infty}$ , the space  $C^1[0, 1]$  is a Banach lattice. We will give the representation of bounded  $\varepsilon$ -disjointness preserving linear functionals of  $C^1[0, 1]$ .

#### § 1. Preliminaries and Definitions

Recall that an operator T between Banach lattices E and F is called *disjointness* preserving if  $Tx \perp Ty$  whenever  $x \perp y$ . Jarosz [7] gave a complete analysis of linear disjointness preserving operators between C(X)-spaces, when X is a compact Hausdorff space. A similar result was shown on algebras of differentiable functions [8] or on Köthe spaces [13]. Brown and Wong [4] gave a full description of (bounded or unbounded, real or complex) disjointness preserving linear funcitonls of continuous function space  $C_0(X)$  defined on a locally compact space. Moveover, the inverse of disjointness preserving operators are studied in [1, 10]. Order bounded disjointness preserving operators have many applications in the dynamical systems and differential equation (see [3] and

Received October 28, 2021. Revised February 27, 2022.

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification(s): 47B38, 46B42

Key Words:  $\varepsilon$ -disjointness preserving, differentiable functions, Banach lattices. Supported by NSF of China (12171251)

<sup>\*</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China. e-mail: angm@nankai.edu.cn

<sup>\*\*</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Southwest Jiaotong University, Chengdu 610031, China. e-mail: jinxichen@home.swjtu.edu.cn

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China. e-mail: leilee@nankai.edu.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China. e-mail: 895270374@gg.com

references therein). The disjointness preserving operator on differential function spaces were studied by many authors.

**Theorem 1.1.** (see [10, Theorem 6.6] and [2, Theorem 6.2]) Suppose that X, Yare open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n$ , respectively. Let  $T : C^p(X, \mathbb{R}^l) \to C^p(Y, \mathbb{R}^l)$  be a disjointness preserving bijection. If Y has only finitely many connected components, then  $T^{-1}$ is disjointness preserving. Moreover, there exist a diffeomorphism  $\tau : Y \to X$  of class  $C^p$  and a map  $J : Y \to L(\mathbb{R}^l)$  such that

$$(Tf)(y) = (Jy)f(\tau(y)), \quad y \in Y, f \in C^p(X, \mathbb{R}^l).$$

In this paper, we will investigate the almost disjointness preserving operators. Let  $\epsilon \geq 0$ . Suppose that E and F are Banach lattices, an operator  $T: E \to F$  is said to be  $\varepsilon$ -disjointness preserving if for any disjoint  $x, y \in E$ ,

$$|||Tx| \wedge |Ty||| \le \varepsilon \max\{||x||, ||y||\}.$$

The 0-disjointness preserving operators are precisely the disjointness preserving operators. Note that this definition is very different from the Dolinar  $\varepsilon$ -disjointness preserving operators (see [6]). Oikhberg and Tradacete [14] studied the stability of  $\varepsilon$ -disjointness preservers on some Banach lattices. For example, if F is a Banach lattice having the Fatou property with constant  $\rho$ , then for any positive  $\varepsilon$ -disjointness preserving operator  $T : c_0 \to F$ , there exists a disjointness preserving operator  $S : c_0 \to F$  such that  $0 \le S \le T$  and  $||T - S|| \le 256\rho\varepsilon$ .

Let  $C^1[0,1]$  be the space of all continuously differentiable function  $f:[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ . The order  $f \ge g$  is defined by

$$f(0) \ge g(0)$$
 and  $f' \ge g'$  pointwise on  $[0, 1]$ .

The norm on  $C^1[0,1]$  is defined by  $||f||_{\sigma} = |f(0)| + ||f'||_{\infty}$ . Then  $(C^1[0,1], \geq, ||\cdot||_{\sigma})$ is a Banach lattice (see [11, p.11]). For any  $f \in C^1[0,1]$ , denote by  $\operatorname{coz}(f)$  the set  $\{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0\}$  in the following.

Let  $\mathbb{R} \oplus_1 C[0,1]$  be the Banach lattice with the norm  $||(r,h)|| = |r| + ||h||_{\infty}$  and the canonical order, that is,  $(r_1, h_1) \leq (r_2, h_2)$  if and only if  $r_1 \leq r_2$  and  $h_1 \leq h_2$ . Then the mapping

$$\pi : f \in C^1[0,1] \mapsto (f(0), f') \in \mathbb{R} \oplus_1 C[0,1]$$

is a lattice isomorphism and surjective isometry. For any  $f, g \in C^1[0, 1]$ , it follows that  $(f \lor g)(0) = \max\{f(0), g(0)\}, (f \land g)(0) = \min\{f(0), g(0)\}, (f \land g)(0) = \max\{f(0), g(0)\}, (f \land g)(0) = \min\{f(0), g(0)\}, (f \land g)(0) = \max\{f(0), g(0)\}, (f \land g)(0) = \min\{f(0), g(0)\}, (f \land g)(0) = \min\{f(0), g(0)\}, (f \land g)(0) = \max\{f(0), g(0)\}, (f \land g)(0)\}, (f \land g)(0) = \max\{f(0), g(0)\}, (f \land g)(0) = \max\{f(0), g(0)\}, (f \land g)(0)\}, (f \land g)(0) = \max\{f(0), g(0)\}, (f \land g)(0)\}, (f \land g)(0) = \max\{f(0), g(0)\}, (f \land g)(0)\}, (f \land g)(0) = \max\{f(0), g(0)\}, (f \land g)(0)\}, (f \land g)(0) = \max\{f(0), g(0)\}, (f \land g)(0)\}, (f \land g)(0)\}, (f \land g)(0) = \max\{f(0), g(0)\}, (f \land g)(0)\}, (f \land$ 

$$(f \lor g)'(t) = \max\{f'(t), g'(t)\}$$
 for all  $t \in [0, 1],$ 

and

$$(f \wedge g)'(t) = \min\{f'(t), g'(t)\}$$
 for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Therefore, one can give the representation of |f| as follows

$$|f|(t) = (f \lor (-f))(t) = |f(0)| + \int_0^t |f'(s)| ds$$
, for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

This implies that  $f \perp g$  if and only if  $|f| \wedge |g| = 0$  (see [11, p.2]) if and only if

$$f(0)g(0) = 0$$
 and  $f'g' = 0$ .

Moreover,  $f_{\alpha} \stackrel{o}{\to} f_0$  in  $C^1[0,1]$  if and only if  $f_{\alpha}(0) \to f_0(0)$  and  $f'_{\alpha} \stackrel{o}{\to} f'_0$  in C[0,1].

In this paper, we will give the representation of  $\varepsilon$ -disjointness preserving functional on  $C^{1}[0, 1]$  in Theorem 2.5. For the basic nations about Banach lattices, we refer the reader to [11].

#### § 2. Almost disjointness preserving functionals

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and  $\mu$  a regular finite Borel measure. Then  $\mu$  induces a bounded linear functional  $\Delta$  on C(X) by

(2.1) 
$$\Delta(f) = \int_X f d\mu, \quad \forall f \in C(X).$$

Moreover,  $\|\Delta\| = |\mu|(X)$ . The Riesz Representation Theorem tells us that the converse is also true.

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose that X be a compact Hausdorff space and  $\mu$  is a regular Borel finite measure, then the bounded linear functional  $\Delta : C(X) \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by (2.1) is not  $\varepsilon$ -disjointness preserving if and only if there exist two disjoint compact subsets  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  of X such that  $|\mu|(K_1) > \varepsilon$  and  $|\mu|(K_2) > \varepsilon$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $K_1, K_2$  are disjoint compact sets in X with  $|\mu|$  measure greater than  $\epsilon$ . Let  $U_1, U_2$  be disjoint open sets in X containing  $K_1, K_2$ , respectively. It follows from the Hahn decomposition theorem (for  $|\mu|$  restricting to  $K_1$ ) (see, e.g., [15, Theorem 6.14]), we can assume that

$$|\mu|(K_1) = \mu(A) - \mu(B) > \varepsilon$$

where  $K_1 = A \cup B$  is a partition into the positive and negative subsets of  $K_1$  with respect to the real measure  $\mu$ . By the regularity of  $\mu$ , we can choose compact subsets  $A_1, B_1$  of A, B such that

$$\mu(A \setminus A_1) - \mu(B \setminus B_1) < \varepsilon - \mu(A) + \mu(B).$$

By the Urysohn Lemma, we can choose a function  $f_1$  in C(X) such that  $f_1 = 1$  on  $A_1$ ,  $f_1 = -1$  on  $B_1$ ,  $-1 \le f_1 \le 1$  and  $f_1 = 0$  outside  $U_1$ . Then

$$\Delta(f_1) = \int_X f_1 d\mu > \mu(A_1) - \mu(B_1) - \mu(A \setminus A_1) + \mu(B \setminus B_1) > \varepsilon.$$

In a similar manner, we have  $f_2 \in C(X)$  such that  $f_1 \perp f_2$  and  $\Delta(f_2) > \varepsilon$ . This disjoint pair  $f_1, f_2$  verifies that  $\Delta$  is not  $\varepsilon$ -disjointness preserving.

Conversely, suppose that, for every two disjoint compact sets  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ , we have that  $|\mu|(K_1) \leq \varepsilon$  or  $|\mu|(K_2) \leq \varepsilon$ . Let  $f \perp g$  with  $|f| \leq 1$  and  $|g| \leq 1$ . Without loss of generality, one can assume that there is a compact subset  $L_1 \subset \operatorname{coz}(f)$  such that  $|\mu|(L_1) > \varepsilon$ , this forces that, for every compact subset  $L_2 \subset \operatorname{coz}(g)$ ,  $|\mu|(L_2) \leq \varepsilon$ . It follows from the regularity of  $\mu$  that  $|\mu|(\operatorname{coz}(g)) \leq \varepsilon$ , and then

$$\begin{split} |\Delta(g)| &= |\int_X g d\mu| \le \int_X |g| d|u| \\ &= \int_{\operatorname{COZ}(g)} |g| d|u| \le |\mu| (\operatorname{coz}(g)) \le \varepsilon. \end{split}$$

This implies that  $|\Delta(f)| \wedge |\Delta(g)| \leq \varepsilon$ , and then  $\Delta$  is a bounded  $\varepsilon$ -disjointness preserving linear functional.

Recall that  $\mathbb{R} \oplus_1 C(X)$  is the Banach lattice with the canonical order and norm, where X is a compact Hausdorff space and C(X) is the spaces of continuous functions on X.

Suppose that  $\psi$  is a linear functional on  $\mathbb{R} \oplus_1 C(X)$ . Let

$$\psi_l: r \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \psi(r, 0) \in \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$\psi_r: f \in C(X) \mapsto \psi(0, f) \in \mathbb{R},$$

we have that  $\psi(r, f) = \psi_l(r) + \psi_r(f)$  for all  $(r, f) \in \mathbb{R} \oplus_1 C(X)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $\psi$  be a continuous linear functional on  $\mathbb{R} \oplus_1 C(X)$ . Then  $\psi$  is of the form

$$\psi(r,f) = kr + \int_X f d\mu,$$

where k is a real number and  $\mu$  is a regular Borel finite measure.

*Proof.* Since  $\psi$  is continuous,  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_r$  both are continuous, then there exists a regular Borel finite measure  $\mu$  such that

$$\psi_r(f) = \int_X f d\mu, \quad \forall f \in C(X),$$

and there exists a real number k such that  $\psi_l(r) = kr$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ .

It is easy to show that

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $\psi$  be a bounded  $\varepsilon$ -disjointness preserving linear functional on  $\mathbb{R} \oplus_1 C(X)$ . Then  $\psi_l$  and  $\psi_r$  are both bounded  $\varepsilon$ -disjointness preserving.

**Theorem 2.4.** Suppose that  $\psi$  is a continuous linear functional on  $\mathbb{R} \oplus_1 C(X)$ , then  $\psi$  is  $\varepsilon$ -disjointness preserving if and only if following two conditions holds.

- (i)  $\|\psi_l\| \leq \varepsilon$  or  $\|\psi_r\| \leq \varepsilon$ .
- (ii)  $\psi_l$  and  $\psi_r$  are bounded  $\varepsilon$ -disjointness preserving linear functional.

*Proof.* Since  $\psi$  is a bounded  $\varepsilon$ -disjointness preserving linear functional, it follows from Theorem 2.3 that  $\psi_l$  and  $\psi_r$  are bounded  $\varepsilon$ -disjointness preserving. Suppose on the contrary that  $\|\psi_l\| > \varepsilon$  and  $\|\psi_r\| > \varepsilon$ , then there exists a  $f \in C(X)$  with  $\|f\| = 1$ such that  $|\psi_r(f)| > \varepsilon$ . Let  $\xi_1 = (0, f)$  and  $\xi_2 = (1, 0)$ , we can derive that  $\xi_1 \perp \xi_2$ ,  $|\psi(\xi_1)| = |\psi_r(f)| > \varepsilon$  and  $|\psi(\xi_2)| = |\psi_l(1)| = \|\psi_l\| > \varepsilon$ , which implies  $\psi$  is not  $\varepsilon$ disjointness preserving.

Conversely, suppose that  $\eta_1 = (r_1, f_1)$  and  $\eta_2 = (r_2, f_2)$  be in  $\mathbb{R} \oplus_1 C(X)$  with  $\eta_1 \perp \eta_2$  and  $\|\eta_1\| \leq 1$  and  $\|\eta_2\| \leq 1$ , then one can derive that  $r_1r_2 = 0$  and  $f_1f_2 = 0$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $r_1=0$  and  $r_2 \neq 0$ . It follows from Theorem 2.3 that  $\psi_r$  is  $\varepsilon$ -disjointness preserving, which implies that

$$|\psi_r(f_1)| \wedge |\psi_r(f_2)| \le \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\|\eta_2\| = |r_2| + \|f_2\|_{\infty} \le 1$ , then we have that

$$\frac{\|f_2\|_{\infty}}{1-|r_2|} \le 1.$$

On the one hand, if  $|\psi(\eta_1)| = |\psi_r(f_1)| \leq \varepsilon$ , then  $|\psi(\eta_1)| \wedge |\psi(\eta_2)| \leq \varepsilon$ . On the other hand, if  $|\psi(\eta_1)| = |\psi_r(f_1)| > \varepsilon$ , since  $\psi_r$  is  $\varepsilon$ -disjointness preserving, then one can derive that

(2.2) 
$$|\psi_r(\frac{f_2}{1-|r_2|})| \le \varepsilon.$$

In case of  $\|\psi_l\| \leq \varepsilon$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(\eta_2)| &= |\psi_l(r_2) + \psi_r(f_2)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon |r_2| + (1 - |r_2|)\psi_r(\frac{f_2}{1 - |r_2|}) \\ &\leq \varepsilon |r_2| + (1 - |r_2|)\varepsilon = \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

129

and then  $|\psi(\eta_1)| \wedge |\psi(\eta_2)| \leq \varepsilon$ . In case of  $||\psi_r|| \leq \epsilon$ , by the similar argument, one can derive that

$$|\psi(\eta_1)| = |\psi_r(f_1)| \le \|\psi_r\| \le \varepsilon,$$

and then  $|\psi(\eta_1)| \wedge |\psi(\eta_2)| \leq \varepsilon$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** Suppose that  $\psi$  is a bounded linear functional on  $C^1[0,1]$ , then  $\psi$  is  $\varepsilon$ -disjointness preserving if and only if

$$\psi f = kf(0) + \int_{[0,1]} f' d\mu,$$

where k is a real number and  $\mu$  is a regular Borel finite measure such that  $|k| \leq \varepsilon$  or  $|\mu|([0,1]) \leq \varepsilon$ , and  $\mu$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.1.

*Proof.* Since  $\psi$  is  $\varepsilon$ -disjointness preserving if and only if  $\psi \pi^{-1}$  is a  $\varepsilon$ -disjointness preserving on  $\mathbb{R} \oplus_1 C[0,1]$ , we can complete the proof using Theorem 2.4.

#### Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, an International Joint Usage/Research Center located in Kyoto University. The authors would like to thank the referees for the careful reading, detailed helpful remarks and valuable comments.

#### References

- Y. A. Abramovich and A. K. Kitover, Inverses of disjointness preserving operators, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 143(2000), no. 679.
- [2] J. Araujo, Linear biseparating maps between spaces of vector-valued differentiable functions and automatic continuity, Adv. Math., 187 (2004), 488-520.
- [3] K. Boulabiar, Recent trends on order bounded disjointness preserving operators, Irish Math. Soc. Bull., 62(2008), 43-69.
- [4] L. G. Brown and N.-C. Wong, Unbounded disjointness preserving linear functionals, Monatsh. Math., 141(2004), 21-32.
- [5] D. H. Leung, L. Li and Y.-S. Wang, Inverses of disjointness preserving operators, *Studia Math.*, 234(3)(2016), 217-240.
- [6] G. Dolinar, Stability of disjointness preserving mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 130(1)(2002), 129-138.
- [7] K. Jarosz, Automatic continuity of separating linear isomorphisms, Canad. Math. Bull., 33(1990), 139-144.
- [8] R. Kantrowitz and M. Neumann, Disjointness preserving and local operators on algebras of differentiable functions, *Glag. Math. J.*, 43(2001), 295-309.

- [9] H. Koshimizu, Finite codimensional linear isomortries on spaces of differentiable and Lipschitz functions, Cent. Eur. J. Math., 9(2011), 139-146.
- [10] D. H. Leung, L. Li and Y.-S. Wang, Inverses of disjointness preserving operators, Studia Math., 234(3)(2016), 217-240.
- [11] P. Meyer-Nieberg, *Banach lattices*, Springer, Berlin, 1991.
- [12] T. Oikhberg, On the stability of some preservers, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 563(2019), 494-526,
- [13] T. Oikhberg, A. M. Peralta and D. Puglisi, Automatic continuity of orthognality or disjointness preserving bijections, *Rev. Mat. Complut.*, 26(2013), 57-88.
- [14] T. Oikhberg and P. Tradacete, Almost band preservers, Canad. J. Math., 69(2017), 650-686.
- [15] W. Rudin, Real and Complex Analysis (3rd Edition), McGraw-Hill Book Co., Singapore, 1986.