# **BRDF correction of S3 OLCI water reflectance products**

Davide D'Alimonte<sup>1</sup>, Tamito Kajiyama<sup>1</sup>, Marco Talone<sup>2</sup>, Jaime Pitarch<sup>3</sup>, Vittorio Brando<sup>3</sup>, Constant Mazeran<sup>4</sup>, Michael Twardowski<sup>5</sup>, Srinivas Kolluru<sup>5</sup>, Alberto Tonizzo<sup>6</sup>, Ewa Kwiatkowska<sup>7</sup>, David Dessailly<sup>7</sup> and Juan Ignacio Gossn<sup>7</sup> (1) AEQUORA, Lisboa, Portugal (2) ICM, Barcelona, Spain (3) CNR ISMAR, Roma, Italy (4) SOLVO, Antibes, France (5) FAU, Florida, USA (6) AWF Consulting, Florida, USA (7) EUMETSAT, Darmstadt, Germany

### **1.** Rationale

- **Ongoing study** to minimize the  $\checkmark$ effects of the Bidirectional **Reflectance Distribution Function** (BRDF) and deliver Sentine3 OLCI fully normalized water reflectances.
- **BRDF correction step-by-step**:  $\checkmark$ 
  - Retrieve IOPs from the water reflectances.

- $\checkmark$  In progress: setup of the BRDF-correction schemes within  $\checkmark$ the OLCI L2 processor.
- **Started:** select among the considered BRDF correction  $\checkmark$ schemes the one with the best performance for operational use.

## 3. Highlights

**Diagnostic data:** include match-ups, as well as OLCI-A and B images collected during the **tandem phase**.

opernicus

**EUMETSAT** 

Institut de Ciències SOLVO

\*\*\*\*

- ✓ **Data product generation and validation:** rely on in-house processing capabilities and dedicated IT resources for operational services such as **Copernicus Marine Sevice**.
- ✓ Validation: between actual and corrected reflectances.

### 4. Simulated data

✓ BRDF correction models tested with **simulated Rrs spectra** from both case-1 (left) and case-2 (right) waters. ✓ Results, displayed only for a selected subset of IOPs configurations and BRDF models, show similar trends but also specific features with respect to both Hydrolight and independent Monte Carlo simulations.



- Compute water reflectances based on RTE and the given **IOPs.** One RTE solution corresponds to the actual measurement case and the other to the case with the sun at zenith and the sensor looking towards nadir. Their ratio is the **BRDF-correction coefficient**.
- $\checkmark$  Former steps are the basis of the BRDF correction schemes considered in this study:
  - Morel et al.  $(2002) \rightarrow M02$
  - Park and Ruddick (2005)  $\rightarrow$  **P05**
  - Lee et al.  $(2011) \rightarrow L11$
  - He et al.  $(2017) \rightarrow H17$
  - Twardowski and Tonizzo (2018) → **T18**
- ✓ Differences between these methods depend on how IOPs are retrieved,



### 5. In situ data

✓ **Assessments** with OFS radiometric data from Black and Med Sea (Talone et al, 2018)



### 6. Uncertainty

✓ Replicability relying on the CoastColour dataset (Nechad et al, 2015)





which approach is adopted to handle the RTE computed solution (with look-up-tables or through an analytical expression), or if an iterative procedure is employed to recompute IOPs to enhance the accuracy of BRDF correction results.

Study rationale: evaluate the BRDF  $\checkmark$ correction performance and select the scheme most suited for the operational OLCI L2 data processing.

### 500 550 600 650 450 Wavelength [nm] Case 2b 点 是目們 电 Q 价 Case 1 Case 2a N = 13N = 23 [%] ()<sup>2.5</sup> 700 Wavelength[nm]Wavelength[nm]Wavelength[nm]



### Response of the BRDF correction to a 10% (std) change of the IOPs

## 2. Strategy

- **Synergies** with ongoing EUMETSAT  $\checkmark$ studies on atmospheric correction to ensure consistency between the BRDF development and other components of the processing chain.
- **Open-source** and publicly available BRDF correction code with a modular design to ease updates and
- OLCI image processing. ✓ The left and center column panels show uncorrected and corrected (L11) water reflectance maps at 443, 510 and 665 nm from top to bottom rows.



# 7. OLCI data



BRDF correction at a given point (i. e., Venice AERONET-OC) in view of match-up data analysis. AERONET Venise



independent applications.

BRDF-correction module also scoped for integration within the in-situ HyperInSPACE community processor.

### References

1.He, S., 2017, et al., 2017 A Bidirectional Subsurface Remote Sensing Reflectance Model Explicitly Accounting for Particle Backscattering Shapes. J. Geophys. Res. Oceans 122, 8614–8626. 2.Lee, Z.P., et al., 2011. An inherent-optical-property-centered approach to correct the angular effects in water-leaving radiance. Appl. Opt. 50, 3155. 3.Morel, A. et al., 2002. Bidirectional Reflectance of Oceanic Waters: Accounting for Raman Emission and Varying Particle Scattering Phase Function. Appl Opt. 41, 6289–6306. 4.Park, Y.-J., Ruddick, K., 2005. Model of remote-sensing reflectance including bidirectional effects for case 1 and case 2 waters. Appl. Opt. 44, 1236–1249. 5. Talone, M., Zibordi, G., Lee, Z., 2018. Correction for the non-nadir viewing geometry of AERONET-OC above water radiometry data: an estimate of uncertainties. Opt. Express 26, A541. 6.Twardowski, M., Tonizzo, A., 2018. Ocean Color Analytical Model Explicitly Dependent on the Volume Scattering Function. Appl. Sci. 8, 2684 7.Nechad, B. et al, 2015. CoastColour Round Robin datasets, Version 1. PANGAEA, https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.841950

### Acknowledgements EUMETSAT Contract Ref.: RB\_EUM-CO-21-4600002626-JIG

### Contact

Davide D'Alimonte AEQUORA davide.dalimonte@aequora.org

Juan Ignacio Gossn EUMETSAT JuanIgnacio.Gossn@eumetsat.int