

Academic flocking and global distress: Equitable south-north research partnering to promote quality education in diverse contexts and cultures

„Akademisches Schwarmverhalten“ und globale Notlagen: Gleichberechtigte Süd-Nord-Forschungspartnerschaften zur Förderung einer hochwertigen Bildung in unterschiedlichen Kontexten und Kulturen

Liesel Ebersöhn¹, Funke Omidire¹ & P. Karen Murphy²

¹Centre for the Study of Resilience and Department of Educational Psychology, Faculty of Education, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa

²Department of Educational Psychology, Counseling, and Special Education, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, State College, PA, USA

*Correspondence to Funke Omidire. Email: funke.omidire@up.ac.za

Abstract

In this article we apply an Afrocentric Resilience Theory (relationship-resourced resilience) to the domain of education research partnerships. We posit academic flocking as an equitable research partnership approach aimed at developing education knowledge that responds to collective distress and supports collective quality education. We provide support for our supposition regarding academic flocking by overviewing the mutually beneficial development of an online, home-based learning resource with relevance in two transnational contexts and cultures, South Africa and the United States of America. Whereas the context of the argument is a COVID-19 related global need for evidence-based education resources, conceptually we draw on lenses of resilience and emancipatory, democratising methodology to make sense of academic flocking as a fundamental structure for research partnership equity and relevant education innovation. As such, academic flocking holds value as a transformative alternative for South-North researcher partnerships in generating useful, quality educational innovations to address critical needs.

Keywords: COVID-19; Emancipatory research; Research partnership; Resilience

Zusammenfassung

In diesem Beitrag wenden wir eine afrozentrische Resilienztheorie (beziehungsgestützte Resilienz) auf einen Ansatz der Bildungsforschungspartnerschaften an. Wir stützen uns auf die Annahme, dass „akademisches Flocking“ (Schwarmverhalten) eine Grundlage für gleichberechtigte Forschungspartnerschaften bildet. Der Ansatz zielt darauf ab, Wissen über Bildung zu generieren, das auf kollektive Notlagen reagiert und kollektive Prozesse der Qualitätsbildung unterstützt. Wir untermauern unsere Annahme über „akademisches Flocking“, indem wir einen Überblick über die für beide Seiten vorteilhafte Entwicklung einer Online-Lernressource geben, die zu Hause eingesetzt werden kann. Dieser Ansatz wurde in

zwei transnationalen Kontexten und Kulturen – Südafrika und den Vereinigten Staaten von Amerika – erprobt. Kontext der Argumentation ist der von der COVID-19-Pandemie verstärkt sichtbar gewordene globale Bedarf an der Bereitstellung evidenzbasierter Bildungsressourcen. Konzeptionell stützen wir uns auf Resilienztheoretische Sichtweisen und eine emanzipatorische, demokratiefördernde Perspektive, wodurch „akademisches Flocking“ als ein vielversprechender Ansatz für ausgewogene Forschungspartnerschaften zur Begleitung relevanter Bildungsinnovation sichtbar wird. Insbesondere bei Süd-Nord-Forschungspartnerschaften bietet sich hier eine nützliche, qualitativ hochwertige Grundlage für die kollaborative Entwicklung von wissenschaftlich unterstützten Bildungsinnovationen.

Schlüsselwörter: COVID-19; Emanzipatorische Forschung; Forschungspartnerschaft

There is universal awareness that no individual, family, community, or nation is beyond the devastating reaches of the COVID-19 pandemic. More than 200 million individuals have become ill and more than 4 million have perished (Medical News Today 2021). Like other long-standing global challenges (e.g., war, natural disaster, or terrorism; Aydin and Kaya 2019; Betts 2013; Caruso 2017; UNESCO 2011), the pandemic has had catastrophic effects on a multitude of infrastructure support systems like food supplies, life-saving equipment, hospitals, and schools. Moreover, the pandemic has differentially affected countries with developing economies (e.g., South Africa). The differential impact is amplified by pre-COVID infrastructure challenges and an ever-increasing lack of resources needed to purchase large stores of vaccines (e.g., South Africa). Not surprisingly, crises like the COVID-19 pandemic have the most crippling effects on the most vulnerable among us (e.g., elderly, children, and youth; UNESCO 2020a).

What the literature also makes clear, however, is that productive global research partnerships play fundamental roles in addressing challenges that have historically disrupted education systems (UNESCO 2011). Indeed, UNESCO placed additional emphasis on such partnerships in their World Investment Report. Specifically, the United Nations outlined 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) (UNCTAD 2015) that they believe will have the greatest likelihood of bringing dignity, peace, and prosperity to the global community in sustainable ways. “Quality education” is the 4th most important goal and “global partnerships” is listed as the 17th goal (UNCTAD 2015). Although global partnerships is ranked as the 17th most important goal, the report emphasizes the necessity of building global partnerships to realize the 16 preceding sustainable development goals. Among the subgoals or targets of SDG 17 are the enhancement of “regional and international cooperation on and access to science and technology” in addition to “innovation and enhanced knowledge sharing” (The World Bank 2020; UN 2015, target 17.6). Also relevant is the enhancement of multi-stakeholder partnerships for the mobilisation and sharing of knowledge, expertise and resources (UNCTAD 2015). SDG 17 emphasises “North-South, South-South and triangular” partnerships and collaborations as effective development ventures (The World Bank 2020; UN 2015, target 17.6).

The overarching purpose of this article is to highlight an alternative way in which global research partnering can help realize the sustainability goal of quality education in the face of a worldwide pandemic. Specifically, we forward “academic flocking” as an equitable research partnership approach for relevant education innovation. To illustrate the utility of this research partnership approach, we describe how a South-North research partnership used academic flocking to develop an online, learning support resource in response to a COVID-19 challenge requiring support for quality home-based learning. The context of the inquiry is, on the one hand, the COVID-19 pandemic, representing a global challenge with widespread implications for educational systems (UNESCO 2020b). On the other hand, the context is that of South-North unevenness in access to high quality education evidence to support quality education practices (Santos 2007). The objective was to collaborate to support guardians in home-based learning by using relevant, existing shared resources including evidence-based education tools, networks, and institutional infrastructure.

From an emancipatory, democratising methodological stance and through a resilience theoretical lens, we argue that academic flocking differs from traditional knowledge transfer (Collins and Allender 2013; Rambla 2014) and educational borrowing (Auld and Morris 2014; Mukhopadhyay and Sriprakash 2011; Zufi 2018). A premise of both these approaches to knowledge sharing is that a knowledge holder, with best practice knowledge bases, distribute quality resources to knowledge recipients, by implication with lower quality resources (Ungar 2018). From an Afrocentric resilience theory, relationship resourced resilience (Ebersöhn 2019), we propose academic flocking as an alternative to knowledge transfer and borrowing in an education context. We use the designated case to argue that academic flocking is triggered by collective distress, which signals the need for research innovation, using existing research partnerships and their combined available resources to support positive outcomes (i.e., quality education).

To frame the study, we first describe the relationship-resourced resilience theory (Ebersöhn 2019) as a theoretical lens and emancipatory, democratising research as methodological position (Denscombe 2003). Next, we contemplate evidence on learning support resources which can be mobilised to address needs given home-based and online learning conditions by underprepared guardians. We then clarify the methodology pertinent to this descriptive case study. We provide a rich description of the case of South-North academic collaboration in response to collective distress. We interpret the case as academic flocking by foregrounding how researchers mobilised cultural, collective- and explicit social resources to create and distribute evidence-based learning support resources. We posit that the academic flocking enabled equity in the research partnership and relevance in the education innovation. We conclude that, given worldwide education disruption, from a resilience stance, academic flocking constitutes a broad, responsive, affordable, and inclusive pathway to distribute evidence-based learning support resources that may promote education outcomes despite widespread collective distress.

1 Theoretical framework

1.1 Global challenges require innovative education research

Education challenges due to COVID-19 include rigorous hygiene protocols, increased anxiety, and rampant school closures impacting approximately 1.5 billion students worldwide (UNESCO 2020b). Data published by the United Nations indicate that 180 countries temporarily shut down their schools over the course of the global pandemic, causing approximately 90% of the global student population to rely on distance learning (UNESCO 2020b). Thus, as the COVID-19 pandemic spread, students' guardians were called on to manage students' remote learning while juggling their own work and household responsibilities (Bubb and Jones 2020). To compound the issue, many guardians lack formal experience facilitating meaningful learning at home (Duffy and Scott 2020). Taken together, these conditions have made students more vulnerable and exacerbated existing inequities in education systems across the globe. Guardians require learning support capacity to decrease vulnerability, guard against plausible negative effects of home-based learning and promote better-than-expected learning outcomes for students (Manullang and Satria 2020; Wang et al. 2020).

Global challenges not only destroy school infrastructure, but also the hopes and ambitions of generations of children and young people. Such worldwide disturbances hold back overall progress in education and reinforces national inequalities. As a case in point, data collected by the UNESCO Institute for Statistics revealed that the disparity in educational attainment between students living in conflict zones and students living in areas unaffected by conflict worsened over the course of Guatemala's civil war; that is, the gap in educational attainment widened over time (UNESCO 2011). Similarly, the civil war in Mozambique between 1977 and 1992 was associated with losses in educational attainment for students aged 11 to 15 (Betts 2013). Moreover, civil unrest has resulted in the displacement of millions of students in other low-income countries (UNESCO 2011).

Similar to the COVID-19 pandemic, these global challenges often required outside educational stakeholders to relieve the additional burden placed on teachers by such crises. For example, parents often have had to establish productive learning environments for their children while simultaneously working to settle into their new community (UNESCO 2011). Further, teachers, whether in crisis settings or not, already face seemingly unsurmountable challenges such as huge class sizes, low or no pay and the stress of living in unstable environments themselves (MacIntyre et al. 2019). This is especially true for teachers in emerging economies. However, severe challenges, such as the growing refugee situation in Europe, pose additional complications for teacher recruitment, retention and training (UNESCO 2019). Countries such as Turkey, Germany, and Uganda would face significant teacher shortages if all eligible refugees enrolled in schools. UNESCO (2020b) also highlighted the shortages of qualified and appropriately trained teachers.

Challenges associated with COVID-19 also compound the adversity teachers face. Teachers have to adapt and continue to deliver education where schools are closed, and resources are extremely limited (UNESCO 2020b). During the COVID-19 pandemic, parents, guardians, and

caregivers had to adapt to their newfound full-time role as formal educators and thus, require support. As a result, guardians needed large scale, immediate access to instructional resources in accessible formats. For example, Chee and Ullah (2020) found that although Pakistani immigrants in Hong Kong appeared less involved in their children's education than the native of Hong Kong the nature of their involvement was dictated by cultural norms. That is, their involvement was largely home-based rather than school-based. By comparison, Aydin and Kaya (2019) report that the effect of parental involvement and support for the education of refugee children made a noticeable contribution to the success of Syrian refugees in Turkey. Sadly, those who lost their parents in the war often lagged behind.

While there are numerous evidence-based instructional innovations globally (e.g., Formative Analytics, Place-Based Learning, Learning With Robots) (Herodotou et al. 2019), significant global challenge (such as COVID-19) mandate equitable access to such innovations in rapid user-friendly formats. Unfortunately, most innovations require both resources and support for effective implementation—resources and support that are often unavailable in the wake of global crises. Thus, methodologically, the collective education distress of a global challenge highlights the need for actionable questions from an emancipatory, democratising research stance that can promote evenness in resource distribution (Ansley and Gaventa 1997; Shuffelton 2013; Vallaincourt 2007; Van Dellen 2013).

1.2 Resilience as theoretical lens

Resilience has been conceptualized and operationalized in many areas of study, including environment perspectives (Marchese et al. 2018), socioeconomics (Westley 2013), human development (Luthar and Cicchetti 2000; Masten 2014a; Rutter 2013; Ungar et al. 2013), and sustainability (Fiksel 2006). Across these disciplinary lenses a common understanding is that resilience is indicated when predicted negative outcomes associated with a particular significant challenge are curtailed by using protective systemic resources to buffer against the risk of the challenge and support unpredicted positive outcomes. For example, in human development (Ungar 2018), resilience constitutes systemic processes and capacities to accommodate change prompted by disruption to normative behaviour and performance in terms of absorptive (i.e., absorb, recover, and restore), adaptive, and transformative phases/stages over-time and affects interconnected systems (e.g., health, education, or information technology; Masten 2014a).

Our thinking was informed by the relationship-resourced resilience theory (Ebersöhn 2012, 2013, 2019). Recognising an Ubuntu Afrocentric socio-cultural position of interdependence (Letseka 2013; Lethale and Pillay 2013), the relationship-resourced resilience theory proposes that any significant challenge qualifies as collective distress and calls for adaptive responses. Such adaptive responses qualify as resilience-enabling when they promote collective wellbeing.

Flocking is an adaptive response to support collective wellbeing and circumvent the negative effects of collective distress (Ebersöhn 2019). Flocking is, above all else, a relational pathway to resource management (Ebersöhn et al. 2017). Ebersöhn (2019) argues that, during flocking, people use social resources for instrumental social support (Thompson and

Goodvin 2016). Specifically, individuals and groups collaborate to identify, access, mobilise and distribute relevant available social resources needed to respond to adversity. Flocking collaboration mirrors values, beliefs and practices of mutuality, interdependence, and pragmatism. Regarding *mutuality*, the assumption is that all involved in flocking will share equally whatever is available to them, and that the benefits of support will similarly stretch beyond individual gain. In terms of *interdependence* the aim is not to provide support in a way that leads to chronic dependence on another. As pragmatic support, flocking uses low-threshold solutions to challenges (Ebersöhn et al. 2018).

The social resources used to support flocking have Afrocentric relevance (Kuku et al. 2013) and include collective, cultural, social and economic resources. *Collective resources* signify organisational structures that provide assistance—including self-help groups parent or youth groups and faith-based groups. *Cultural resources* indicate communal norms, values, beliefs and associated practices of a group. Whereas *implicit social resources* reflect emotional support of counselling, comforting and advising one another, *explicit social resources* include donations, as well as borrowing and lending practices. *Economic resources* include opportunities for income generation, employment and livelihood strategies. Together, these resource pathways support community thriving in the face of adversity.

1.3 Democratising research as methodological lens

Democratising research denotes intentional research pathways to make science useful to society with particular attention to knowledge creation (research) together with improved practice (social change) (Denscombe 2003). Moreover, democratizing research necessitates leveraging evidence to directly support emancipation from excluded and marginalised spaces that constrain development (Ansley and Gaventa 1997; Vallaincourt 2007). De Sousa Santos (2014) argues that democratising research is necessary to achieve cognitive justice as research can diversify democratic solutions that enable transformative practices where most needed.

As a methodological lens, a democratising research stance thus aligns with tenets of emancipatory research. Both lenses position research as a pathway to enable democratisation (DeMeulenaere and Cann 2013; Greenwood and Levin 2000). The ways in which knowledge is generated and how it is shared become drivers of activism to emancipate people from spaces of marginalisation. The research intent is knowledge creation to make a positive difference in the conditions or lives of people. The root of such research is that of indignation regarding, education and democracy as they failed to turn the tide of injustice for a majority of global citizens (Smith et al. 2016).

In her seminal work, Lather (1991) aligns emancipatory research with knowledge generation that is praxis-oriented, educative and touches on Freirean participatory action research (Freire 1970). Emancipatory critical paradigms emphasise emancipation of the weak and marginalised. Emancipatory research, from which postmodernity emerged, disturbs acceptable explanations of reality and knowledge. In order to democratise research, emancipatory research adds to research endeavours by questioning power relations in research and the relationship between emancipation and research. An emancipatory

paradigm thus differs from an empiricism paradigm where reality is discoverable and valid knowledge can be proven by scientific means (Patton 2002). An emancipatory research stance also implies rejecting one-dimensional understandings of challenge as a space of stress, distress, resource constraint, and vulnerability. In a COVID-19 space of shock, utilizing a democratising research approach entails not being solely embroiled in the intersecting systemic obstacles facing education in trying to ensure equitable access, participation, and outcomes for vulnerable education populations. A democratising and just intent, as indicated in a capability thesis (Sen 2010), is to frame individuals as agents that bring abundant parcels of strengths, agency, and potential to the academic and social space. Structurally the issue of who gets what and how environments constrain or enable continue to matter.

1.4 Supporting student learning

School closures that emanated from COVID-19 pandemic lockdown highlight the need for collaborative learning and social support for students across all school years. By learning support, we mean the holistic support provided to facilitate children's learning (Landsberg and Matthews 2016). Whereas, social support refers to support emanating from external relationships and the environment which include a range of assistance and care (Li et al. 2018).

Early in the lockdown, it was evident that teachers could not continue in their roles without the support of parents, community structures and volunteers. Carver (2020) describes how communities, educators and businesses came together and developed partnerships in an attempt to support children and youth affected by school closures due to the pandemic. For example, partnerships facilitated online teacher training, instructional content was collaboratively provided, and computers were mobilised for students' use with the involvement of community of volunteers and structures (e.g., allowing students and other individuals access to their Wi-Fi from their cars parked in close proximity to the businesses; Carver 2020).

Within the South African context, non-profit organisations such as the Regional Psychosocial Support Initiative (REPSSI) worked to provide social support and lessen the devastating social and emotional challenges that affect learning. The Media in Education Trust (MiET) is another organisation that has formed partnerships for the improvement of the lives of learners. From their efforts the Care and Support for Teaching and Learning (CSTL) was initiated to reduce the impact of intrinsic and societal barriers that impede learning. Li et al. (2018) argue that social support is associated with positive learning outcomes. There appears to be concurrence with this thinking as shown by the efforts of various governmental and non-profit organisation who created partnerships to provide learning support to learners, teachers and parents since the lockdown situations began.

1.5 Academic flocking: An instructional research partnership case

We made use of an instrumental case (Stake 2005) to describe the case of South-North academic collaboration to respond to collective education distress in South Africa and North America. An instrumental case study utilises a specific case to comprehensively understand and appreciate a social situation, context, practices and beliefs. Instrumental case studies

highlight similarities and difference with the case and provide valuable information for future research (Bertram and Christiansen 2014; Nieuwenhuis 2016). We employed the case study to interpret, analyse and describe the processes, behaviours and responses of South-North education researchers as a way to understand practices which may be resilience-enabling to distribute education resources equitably. One of the advantages of case study design is that it offers opportunities for various interpretations and insights resulting in both self-development and seeking to add value to the subject under investigation. Of particular relevance for democratising research is that data gathered using this design, is embedded in reality and naturally-based with a wealth of descriptive material available (Cohen et al. 2011).

A disadvantage or limitation of a case study design is that it can be viewed as subjective, biased and not easy to replicate or cross-checked (Nieuwenhuis 2016). We attempted to counter this limitation by providing a rich description of the case of South-North academic collaboration. We used a purposive sample to select the case we investigated based on an existing multinational partnership. The learning materials (Quality Talk and Inkhulumo) were already available for use as a resource for parents. The particular sections we used were then selected based on the relevance to the COVID lockdown situation. The advantage of purposeful sampling is that we started with readily available materials which were designed for the contexts and expedited the process. Given the circumstances, it was our position that the benefits of this sampling technique outweighed the limitations, particularly in light of the pandemic.

We developed a novel, brief, and aesthetically appealing educational video in a relatively short period of time for guardians on ways to enhance student thinking and reasoning about what they were learning. In order to develop this education resource, the research partnership used a range of shared resources. We used existing evidence-based instructional tools from South Africa (Inkhulumo) and the United States (Quality Talk), existing linguistic networks to translate online instructional English questions into three South African languages (isiZulu, Sepedi, Afrikaans), as well as joint information technology resources to record verbal translations, and upload the Inkhulumo-text, visual and audio-material for online use.

The video focused on strategies showing guardians how to pose rich, meaningful questions (i.e., authentic questions, Murphy and Firetto 2017) and elaborated explanations (i.e., using reasons and evidence, Murphy and Firetto 2017) in conversations with children and young people. The overarching goal was to create a video that could support quality home-based learning in diverse contexts. In developing the video, the research partnership was mindful of the resource constraints of families who could potentially find the video useful. The emancipatory goal was to increase the accessibility of the video for those most vulnerable based on few opportunity-pathways to education and those most in need of assistance in educating the students in their care. We intentionally used art and story-lines with which parents could practice rich questioning and reflective responses with children and that would also showcase familiar images and life-worlds.

Prior to the case of academic flocking under discussion, the South-North research partnership developed an evidence-based instructional innovation, Inkhulumo (Leask 2019). The

emphasis of their school-based intervention study was to develop a novel instructional method, responsive to the constraints and strengths in a rural, South African high school, by considering an existing small-group discussion approach (Quality Talk). Quality Talk (QT) aims to promote students' high-level comprehension and critical-analytic thinking about, around, and with text and content (Wilkinson et al. 2010). The QT discussion approach has been implemented in numerous contexts, cultures, and languages beyond the United States, including Taiwan, mainland China, Switzerland, and South Africa (e.g., Murphy et al. 2020; Murphy and Quality Talk Team 2021; Wei et al. 2021).

Teachers opted to name the adapted QT approach *Inkhulumo*, which loosely translates to "talk or discuss" in isiZulu. *Inkhulumo* is novel in that it supports good teaching in a challenged context: it accommodates teaching an additional language with stories, images, and language familiar in a rural South African, and includes strategies for peer facilitation given high student-teacher ratios. The *Inkhulumo* instructional frame has a higher number of students per group (six to 10) to accommodate the larger class sizes. Also, teachers were unable to sit with every group, so we worked with teachers to select peer-leaders for each discussion group. The explicit *Inkhulumo* lessons on the discourse elements are shorter than those in QT, and can be printed as handouts for the teachers and students due to the lack of technology. In recrafting the lessons, we used culturally appropriate and familiar examples and pictures. These more succinct lessons were particularly useful given that some students struggled with written English. These adaptations gave way to substantively enhanced text-based discussions and student understandings.

As indicated in Table 1, we used both Quality Talk, as well as *Inkhulumo* instructional material to develop the video. To accommodate linguistic diversity we developed inclusive versions in three official South African languages, namely Afrikaans (<https://bit.ly/2CGNeEd>), isiZulu (<https://bit.ly/2P3iCip>) and Sepedi (<https://bit.ly/3f3uDPu>). We collaborated with language specialist researchers at the University of Pretoria to translate the transcribed English text. Translators emailed the written translation of video-text to a second language specialist for back-translation (Chen and Boore 2010; Choi et al. 2012; Van Nes et al. 2010). We reviewed the back translated English text, noting where adjustments in the translation may be necessary. The final translated texts were audio-recorded using available technology (either WhatsApp or computer software). These audio recordings were edited into three final video-links which were made available on websites of the two universities.

Both universities used email to distribute links of the videos to existing international and local researcher networks. The networks included regional academic partners, as well as non-profit organisations and government-level partners (e.g. South African Department of Basic Education). Uptake included instances such as the spokesperson of the Indigenous Languages Action Forum (ILAF) requesting consent to disseminate the resources in their networks, a posting on the South African Association for Language Teachers website in the form of a blog, videos distributed to enrolled education students (pre-service education and postgraduate students), and requests from researchers at four South African universities to cross-share the links within their networks. In addition, Analytics from the Penn State video repository in June 2020 showed more than 125 views from individuals scattered across the

Table 1 Text Translations of the Learning Support Videos

English text	Afrikaans text	isiZulu text	Sepedi text
<p>The first main question type is the authentic question. Authentic questions are open ended and have multiple plausible answers. Authentic questions often relate to children's interest or interpretation of a text or an experience. Authentic questions are open to argument, debate, or discussion, and they often elicit elaborated responses that contain evidence or reasons. Examples sound like this: What was the best part of your trip?</p> <p>Everything! I loved going to visit my grandmother and spending time with my cousins. We played different games and helped my grandmother collect wood for the fire. There is no electricity where she lives so the fire helped to keep us warm after she cooked the food. There are no shops nearby so my grandmother has a small vegetable garden with some chickens. At night, we all sat together and listened to the stories my grandmother told. Some stories were about her life and others were myths from the area. My Gogo is such a kind person, I love being with her</p>	<p>Die eerste tipe vraag is die outentieke vraag. Outentieke vrae eindig oop en het verskillende moontlike antwoorde. Outentieke vrae hou dikwels verband met kinders se belangstelling en interpretasie van 'n teks of ervaring. Outentieke vrae is oop vir argumenteer, debateer en gesprek en lei dikwels tot breedvoerige antwoorde wat bewyse en redes inhou. Voorbeelde klink so: Wat was die lekkerste deel van jou vakansie?</p> <p>Alles! Ek was mal daaroor om by oma te kuier en tyd met my niggies en nefies te spandeer. Ons het allerhande speletjies gespeel en oma gehelp om hout bymekaar te maak vir 'n vuur. Daar is nie elektrisiteit waar sy bly nie, so die vuur het gehelp om ons lekker warm te hou nadat sy vir ons kos gekook het. Daar is nie winkels naby Oma nie, so sy het 'n klein groentetuintjie en hoenders. In die aande het ons almal saamgesit en luister na die stories wat Oma vertel. Party stories was oor haar lewe en ander was staaltjies van ons voorouers. My oma is so 'n gawe mens en ek hou baie daarvan om by haar te wees</p>	<p>Kubuza umzali: "Yini into oyithande kakhulu ngenkathi uvakashile?"</p> <p>Kuphendula ingane: "Ngithande yonke into! Ngiyathanda ukuvakashela uGogo wami futhi ngithanda ukuchitha isikhathi nabomzala bami. Ngidlale imidlalo eminingi nabomzala bami. Siphinde sasiza uGogo ngokutheza izinkuni. Awukho ugesi lapho ehlala khona uGogo. Imlilo usize ngokusifudumeza emuva kokuthi uGogo apheke ukudla. uGogo utshala izithelo nemifino engadini futhi ufuye izinkuku. Azikho izitolo lapho ehlala khona uGogo. Ebusuku silalele izindaba ezazixoxwa uGogo. Ezinye izindaba zimayelana ngempilo. Ezinye izindaba zalapho ehlala khona uGogo. Unenhliziyu enhle uGogo, ngijabula ukuba naye."</p> <p>Umbuzo wesibili umbuzo wokuhlola. Lombuzo lo uphendulwa ngokuthi yebo noma cha futhi uphendulwa ngegama elilodwa nje kuphela.</p> <p>Nazi izibonelo zemibuzo zokuhlola: Kubuza umzali: "Uvakashe kuphi?"</p> <p>Kuphendula ingane: "Ngivakashela uGogo"</p> <p>Kubuza umzali: "Uye nini?"</p> <p>Kuphendula ingane: "Ngnye ngpJulayi"</p> <p>Kusho umzali: "kuklungile"</p> <p>Yimuphi umbuzo ozoqala inxoxo?</p>	<p>Mehlala ke ya go swana le ye: Naa ke eng seo o se ratilego kudu ka maikhutšo a gago? Dilo ka moka!. Ke ipshinne ka go etela makgolo le go raloka le batswala ba ka. Re ralokile dipapadi tše dintši tša go fapana ebile be re thuša makgolo ka go yo rwalela dikgong tša go gotša mollo. Ka ge go se na le mohlakase mo a dulaga gona, mollo ke wona o re futhumatšago ge a fetša go apea dijo. Ga go na mabenkeleng kgauswi, bjalo makgolo o na le serapana sa merogo le dikgogo. Mantšiboa, ka moka ga rena re a kgobokana ra theeletša makgolo a re anegela dikanegelo. Tše dingwe tša tšona di amana le bophelo bja gagwe mola tše dingwe e le dinonwane fela. Makgolo ke motho wa go loka ebile ke thaba kudu ge ke na le yena. Ntle le dipotšišo tša mmakgonthe, tša moleko di na le dikarabo tše mmalwa tše kopana. Ga se tša nepiša dipoledišano, diphenkgišano goba dingangišano. Ka nako dipotšišo tša moleko di na le karabo e tee fela bjalo ka ee goba aowa. Mohlala wo o latelago o laetša karabo ya potšišo ya moleko e bapetšwa le ya potšišo ya mmakgonthe ka ga maikhutšo tše re di bonego slaedeng se fetilego. O be o ile kae a maikhutšo a gago? Go etela ka makgolo. O ile neng? Ka Julae. Go lokile. Ke potšišo efe yeo o naganago gore e tla tšweletša poledišano?</p>

United States, including Alaska. More views may also have resulted from disseminated links and the video repository at the University of Pretoria.

2 Discussion

Research partnerships as mechanisms of knowledge access, production, and change has been acknowledged as significant,—especially in spaces with uneven resource distribution due to geographical place (Ashcroft and Rayner 2011; Albertyn and Erasmus 2014; Beard and Dasgupta, 2006; Benneworth and Sanderson 2009). Similarly, there is evidence of flocking as resilience response in the education system. In studies with teachers in South Africa it was evident that, given the high need associated with structural disparities, teachers enacted flocking as a way to manage resources, support quality education, remain in the profession and experience eustress (Ebersöhn 2014; Ebersöhn and Loots 2017).

We propose that the case of academic flocking in a South-North collaboration illustrates the feasibility of such framing through research partnerships. We posit that, as is the case with collective distress triggering flocking (Ebersöhn 2019), global distress relative to student learning triggered academic flocking. Similarly with flocking, the purpose of academic flocking is to support mutually beneficial positive outcomes (e.g., quality home-based learning), whilst buffering against persistent negative risk factors (e.g., continued school closures with concomitant reliance on digital learning spaces and guardians as facilitators of learning).

Academic flocking partners, and consequently their associated knowledge resources, are equal. Unlike knowledge transfer (Collins and Allender 2013; Rambla 2014) or borrowing (Auld and Morris 2014; Zufi 2018) in the context of education, in academic flocking neither partner is viewed as the sole knowledge producer or recipient. Academic flocking develops responsive education innovations by mobilising existing joint knowledge resources in responses that are relevant to particular contexts and cultures of research partners. The purpose of academic flocking under discussion, was to develop an online learning support video by combining shared evidence (Inkhulumo and Quality Talk) in order to (i) buffer against the risk of school closures, (ii) support conditions of home-based learning and, potentially, (iii) promote positive learning by children and young people taught at home by their parents or caregivers.

Flocking denotes the mobilisation of social resources with Afrocentric relevance (Kuku et al. 2013) to provide social support (Ebersöhn 2019). The South-North academic flocking indicates evidence of academics mobilising explicit social resources, as well as collective and cultural social resources. To provide social support academics mobilised a range of *explicit social resources*—denoting donations, borrowing and lending practices (Kuku et al. 2013). They contributed art and textual material on evidence-based education resources (Quality Talk and *Inkhulumo*). They offered the use of institutional technology infrastructure to revise the QT-on-line video for use in South Africa and leveraged available institutional technology platforms to post the learning resource. They volunteered human resources to, for example, translate and back-translate text, and match translated audio-recordings to visual material.

The academic flocking also mobilised *collective social resources* to provide social support—through organisational networks and structures that provide assistance (Kuku et al. 2013).

Besides this global South-North network, the academics involved also mobilised local networks to distribute the learning resource.

Closely associated with collective resources, are *cultural social resources*, which refer to the communal norms, values, beliefs and associated practices of a group (Kuku et al. 2013). The academic flocking may be indicative of in-group and other-focused goals to attain shared relational goals (Markus and Kitayama 2004; Morris et al. 2001) In this case, the resources were made communally available to alleviate a burden on the education system.

In this article the disturbance, the COVID-19 pandemic, is a global health challenge external to the education system. The challenge is unknown and riddled with uncertainty as teachers, students, guardians, and policymakers alike need to function in unfamiliar spaces and roles. The pandemic appears to be intransient, as evidenced in the ebb and flow of infection rates and concomitant fluctuations in mitigating regulatory frameworks that effect, amongst others, education. There are existing vulnerabilities in the education system including lack of parental involvement in instruction (Munje and Mncube 2018; Patton 2019; Silinskas and Kikas 2019) and limited access to evidence for societal impact (Bandura et al. 2001). These vulnerabilities require that resources be mobilised to mitigate against the impact of the shock and potentially enable better-than-expected learning outcomes for home-based learning. The COVID-19 shock can be absorbed within the education system to recover to normative teaching and learning behaviour and performance. Alternatively, adaptive change to the chronic COVID-19 challenge can occur by adjusting known behaviours and structures to enable positive education outcomes in stable ways. Transformative education change in response to the pandemic is also possible. Here sustained restructured pathways innovated in response to the severe COVID-19 pandemic support positive education interactions and outcomes behaviours in the aftermath of disruption. The COVID-19 challenge, on the short term, is negative as it disrupts normative education behaviour and, presumably, performance. It remains to be seen if the worldwide challenge to education holds promise for positive change in education.

As an emancipatory research endeavour this descriptive case chronicled how multi-country researchers responded rapidly to the intense need created during the COVID-19 pandemic to support guardians in their roles as teachers during large scale home-based learning. From a resilience stance, researchers pragmatically mobilised existing resources to buffer against the risk predicted and enable a supportive pathway to promote home-based learning. We mobilised available *Inkhulumo* (Leask 2019) evidence to adapt an instructional tool (Quality Talk, Murphy 2018) in a school-based study with teachers and students in a remote South African school. We leveraged an existing video-clip of related findings used in North America (Murphy and Quality Talk Research Team 2020). We adapted, translated and back translated the North American video-clip based on the contextually relevant *Inkhulumo* findings. We used existing digital institutional platforms to post the video-clips for future visits. We took advantage of existing global and local networks with researchers, learning support and language specialists, government officials, non-profit organisations to distribute the link to the resource widely. The platforms for access to evidence required structural support in the

form of institutional technical support. It also required volunteerism in the form of time and expertise shared by colleagues and interns engaged in translation.

In the same way in which our study privileges the use of existing structural and social capital assets (e.g., platforms and networks) to enable accelerated access to resources, in Spain a number of communication platforms and applications are available through which teachers and parents/caregivers share and co-develop the learning process (Drane et al. 2020). Likewise, the New Zealand government recently announced the creation of two television channels to deliver educational content, combined with internet delivery and also hardcopy curriculum. Queensland government announced the delivery of the curriculum via television due to poor internet connectivity (Moore 2020). Other examples of resource mobilisation to enable access to education resources include a number of countries providing loans of laptops or tablets including pre-paid dongles to assist with internet access (e.g., China, or France, Drane et al. 2020).

Other global challenges beg questions on how to use research for emancipation. Proponents of a solidarity framework argue that, more and more, social responsibility for cohesion shifts to the scale of individual rather than public concern (Hulgård 2004). Consider, for example, the case of increasingly accommodating refugees as well as rising socio-economic inequalities. Similarly, crises often indicate the need for solidarity actions such as collaborative platforms to decrease gaps between research and policy, policy and practice, research and life. Solidarity positions leverage collaboration as an end to a means—whether for economic or social means. From a solidarity economy approach collaboration denotes voluntary engagement in solidarity action aimed at enabling the common good (Laville 2010). Here the emphasis of the collaborative response to challenge is not on securing relief. Rather, from a solidarity economy approach, the focus of solidarity actions, such as collaboration, is transformation. Such transformation is indicated as solidarity to redistribute resources that can redress inequality, leverages voluntary social relations and invokes collaborators as volunteers and providers of capital (Laville and Salmon 2015).

Collaboration and solidarity do not come without hurdles. Diverse structural visions, strategies and actors indicate that trust, supportive policy and funds are prerequisites for pathways similar to that we describe in this article. Elsewhere it was found that, despite organisational barriers, the principle of reciprocity is valuable to mobilize voluntary resources for social innovation (Enjolras 2015). As found in other work on solidarity in Europe (Eschweiler and Hulgård 2018), collaborative research spaces also afford leverage for solidarity agency. From an emancipatory perspective, researchers with a shared view on equitable, common good may forge and maintain participatory partnerships that enable active solidarity innovations that may be responsive to crises.

A trend in some uptake of the shared on-line resource shows engagement from especially linguistic diversity groups (e.g., Indigenous Languages Action Forum or, the South African Association for Language Teachers), as well as higher education actors (e.g., enrolled education students and local South African universities). This finding highlights the importance of collaborative educational and research endeavours among individuals of diverse cultural and linguistic backgrounds. In this case, our multinational, multilingualistic,

multicultural team was able to easily mobilize to address a shared need in ways that were accessible to diverse, multi-national communities.

A primary limitation of the current study was the focus on access to information rather than access to technological devices. While access to educational resources is crucial to students' success, our most vulnerable populations still lack access to the internet. Moreover, case studies are also susceptible to bias caused by researchers' lack of subjectivity. In this instance, an additional limitation could be associated with the school closures. The school closures resulted in the reduction of traditional avenues for dissemination through education-based channels, such as teachers and support staff.

3 Conclusion

What remains self-evident is that our global community will continue to face an unbridled spawning of devastating events like war, famine, and viruses in the future. Moreover, most of these tragedies will have differential impact among those most vulnerable among us, be they children, youth, or the elderly. Moreover, those living in emerging economies will continue to have these kinds of events impact social supports like healthcare and education. The question of central importance is how we as academics can respond in meaningful ways to resource supports for teaching and learning in useful and sustainable ways.

In this article, we argue that academic flocking offers an alternative mechanism for supporting quality education through equitable research partnerships. In essence, collective distress elicits academic flocking and promotes research innovation as existing research partner share knowledge and resources to support positive outcomes in student learning. Such partnerships are characterized by equity, relevance, pragmatism, and mutual appreciation of place and context. Indeed, we contend that academic flocking to buffer against the extreme risk of a global education crises may provide some insight into this mechanism of change as a way in which to promote quality learning. Theoretically, we drew on the relationship-resourced resilience theory (Ebersöhn 2019) to posit that academic flocking may be one resilience-enabling pathway to use science equitably and promote better-than-expected educational outcomes in the face of global challenges.

We relied on an instrumental case study to describe a collaborative, flocking response between education researchers from the University of Pretoria and the Pennsylvania State University to collective distress of a global challenge aimed at supporting equitable access to educational resources. The instrumental case study adds to knowledge on education responses to global challenge from an emancipatory, democratising research position. We posit that the case of academic enabled platforms for equitable access to education evidence in terms of (i) responsiveness to collective need for evidence-based educational support, (ii) affordability using low threshold resource mobilisation, (iii) robustness to retain the integrity of findings, (iv) accessibility in multiple languages, and (v) relevance to culture and context. Plausibly, the descriptive case constitutes an example of an attainable emancipatory research pathway in education to address some of the SDG 17 ideals (UNCTAD 2015) to promote access to science in equitable ways by leveraging South-North capacity and diversity.

In sum, it is our position that of academic flocking could have value as a transformative alternative to engage with education evidence in ways that promote equity. Further, given education disruption world-wide, we conclude that, from a resilience stance, academic flocking culminated into a timely and pragmatic learning resource to buffer against the challenges of home-based learning and plausibly support better-than-expected learning outcomes. As such, academic flocking, which makes use of established research partnerships, stands as an important resilience pathway for education systems facing collective distress. Indeed, it is not enough to merely stem the tide of negative effects resulting from global tragedies. Rather, the goal must be better-than-expected learning outcomes through sustainable resilience pathways like academic flocking.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Connie Makgabo, Akhona Mabasa, Alta Vos, Linette van der Merwe and Hannelie du Preez for assistance in translating and back translating transcriptions.

Contributions

All authors contributed to the conception of the manuscript and the implementation of the case described. Each author worked on different sections of the manuscript which was collated by Liesel Ebersohn as per prior agreement. All authors commented on and revised the manuscript until consensus was reached as to a final version. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of interest

L. Ebersöhn, F. Omidire and P.K. Murphy declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- Albertyn, R., & Erasmus, M. (2014). An introductory perspective on the knowledge enablement landscape: potential for higher education-third sector engagement. In R. Albertyn & M. Erasmus (Eds.), *Knowledge as enablement: engagement between higher education and the third sector in south africa* (pp. 21–37). Bloemfontein: Sun Press.
- Ansley, F., & Gaventa, J. (1997). Researching for democ and democratizing research. *Change: The Magazine of Higher Learning*, 29(1), 46–53. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00091389709603114>.
- Ashcroft, K., & Rayner, P. (2011). *Higher education in development: lessons from sub-Saharan Africa*. New York: Information Age Publishing.
- Auld, E., & Morris, P. (2014). Comparative education, the ‘new paradigm’ and policy borrowing: constructing knowledge for educational reform. *Comparative Education*, 50(2), 129–155.
- Aydin, H., & Kaya, Y. (2019). Education for Syrian refugees: the new global issue facing teachers and principals in Turkey. *Educational Studies*, 55(1), 46–71. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131946.2018.1561454>.

- Bandura, A., Barbaranelli, C., Caprara, G. V., & Pastorelli, C. (2001). Self-efficacy beliefs as shapers of children's aspirations and career trajectories. *Child Development, 72*(1), 187–206. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8624.00273>.
- Beard, V. A., & Dasgupta, A. (2006). Collective Action and Community-driven Development in Rural and Urban Indonesia. *Urban Studies, 43*(9), 1451–1468.
- Benneworth, P., & Sanderson, A. (2009). The regional engagement of universities: building capacity in a sparse innovation environment. *Higher Education Management and Policy, 21*(1), 131–148.
- Bertram, C., & Christiansen, I. (2014). *Understanding research. An introduction to reading research*. Pretoria: Van Schaik Publishers.
- Betts, A. (2013). *Survival migration: failed governance and the crisis of displacement*. New York: Cornell University Press.
- Bubb, S., & Jones, M. A. (2020). Learning from the COVID-19 home-schooling experience: listening to pupils, parents/carers and teachers. *Improving Schools, 23*(3), 209–222.
- Caruso, G. D. (2017). The legacy of natural disasters: the intergenerational impact of 100 years of disasters in latin america. *Journal of Development Economics, 127*, 209–233.
- Carver, R. (2020). Forum: Human Rights in the age of pandemic. *Journal of Human Rights Practice, 12*(2), 247–249.
- Chee, W. C., & Ullah, R. (2020). Home-based parental involvement amongst Pakistani families in Hong Kong. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education, 40*(2), 127–142.
- Chen, H. Y., & Boore, J. R. P. (2010). Translation and back-translation in qualitative nursing research: methodological review. *Journal of Clinical Nursing, 19*(1–2), 234–239. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2009.02896.x>.
- Choi, J., Kushner, K. E., Mill, J., & Lai, D. W. L. (2012). Understanding the language, the culture, and the experience: Translation in cross-cultural research. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 11*(5), 652–665. <https://doi.org/10.1177/160940691201100508>.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2011). *Research methods in education* (7th edn.). New York: Routledge.
- Collins, J., & Allender, T. (2013). Knowledge transfer, educational change and the history of education: new theoretical frameworks. *History of Education Review, 42*(2), 112–118. <https://doi.org/10.1108/HER-10-2012-0036>.
- De Sousa, S. B. (2014). *Epistemologies of the south: justice against epistemicide*. New York: Routledge.
- DeMeulenaere, E. J., & Cann, C. N. (2013). Activist educational research. *Qualitative Inquiry, 19*(8), 552–565. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077800413494343>.

Denscombe, M. (2003). *The good research guide: for small-scale social research projects* (2nd edn.). Maidenhead: Open University Press.

Drane, C., Vernon, L., & O'Shea, S. (2020). The impact of 'learning at home' on the educational outcomes of vulnerable children in Australia during the COVID-19 pandemic. Literature review. National Centre for Student Equity in Higher Education. https://www.ncsehe.edu.au/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/NCSEHE_V2_Final_literaturereview-learningathome-covid19-final_30042020.pdf. Accessed 29 July 2020.

Duffy, C., & Scott, S. (2020). Should I keep my children home from school due to coronavirus?—ABC News. <https://www.abc.net.au/news/2020-03-16/should-i-keep-my-children-homefrom-school-due-to-coronavirus/12058200>. Accessed 17 May 2020.

Ebersöhn, L. (2012). Adding 'flock' to 'fight and flight': a honeycomb of resilience where supply of relationships meets demand for support. *Journal of Psychology in Africa*, 22(1), 29–42. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14330237.2012.10874518>.

Ebersöhn, L. (2013). Building generative theory from case work: the relationship-resourced resilience model. In M. P. Wissing (Ed.), *Wellbeing research in South Africa* (Vol. 4, pp. 97–121). Cham: Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-6368-5>.

Ebersöhn, L. (2014). Teacher resilience: theorizing resilience and poverty. *Teachers and Teaching: Theory and Practice*, 20(5), 568–594. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13540602.2014.937960>.

Ebersöhn, L. (2019). *Flocking together: an indigenous psychology theory of resilience in southern Africa*. Cham: Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-16435-5>.

Ebersöhn, L., & Loots, T. (2017). Teacher agency in challenging contexts as a consequence of social support and resource management. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 53, 80–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2016.11.005>.

Ebersöhn, L., Loots, T., Mampane, R., Omidire, F., & Rooyen, M.-V. M. (2017). Age-old care and support practices in Southern Africa functioning robustly as sophisticated social technology interventions. *Journal of Community Psychology*, 45(6), 727–747.

Ebersöhn, L., Loots, T., Malan-Van Rooyen, M., Mampane, R., Ntho-Ntho, M., Omidire, F., & Sefotho, M. (2018). An indigenous psychology perspective on psychosocial support in Southern Africa as collective, networking and pragmatic support. *Journal of Community & Applied Social Psychology*, 28, 332–347.

Enjolras, B. (2015). Measuring the impact of the third sector: From concepts to metrics. TSI Working Paper No. 05/2015. European Union. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/313749064_TSI_Working_Paper_No_5_Measuring_the_Impact_of_the_Third_Sector_From_Concept_to_Metrics. Accessed 30 July 2020.

- Eschweiler, J., & Hulgård, L. (Eds.). (2018). *Channelling solidarity: Inputs from third sector, social innovation and co-creation of public goods*. Brussels: European Commission. <https://solidush2020.eu/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/Deliverable-Report-5.2.pdf>.
- Fiksel, L. (2006). Sustainability and resilience: toward a systems approach. *Sustainability: Science, Practice, and Policy*, 2(2), 14–21. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15487733.2006.11907980>.
- Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the oppressed*. New York: Seabury Press.
- Greenwood, D. J., & Levin, M. (2000). Reconstructing the relationships between universities and society through action research. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of*
- Herodotou, C., Sharples, M., Gaved, M., Kukulska-Hulme, A., Rienties, B., Scanlon, E., & Whitelock, D. (2019). Innovative pedagogies of the future: an evidence-based selection. *Frontiers in Education*, 4, 113.
- Hulgård, L. (2004). Entrepreneurship in community development and local governance. In P. Bogason, S. Kensen & H. T. Miller (Eds.), *Tampering with tradition: the unrealized authority of democratic agency* (pp. 87–107). Lanham: Lexington Books.
- Kuku, A. A., Omonona, B. T., Oluwatayo, I. B., & Ogunleye, O. O. (2013). Social capital and welfare among farming households in Ekiti State. *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare*, 3(5), 115–130.
- Landsberg, E., & Matthews, L. (2016). Learning support. In E. Landsberg, D. Krüger & E. Swart (Eds.), *Addressing barriers to learning: a South African perspective* (3rd edn., pp. 95–116). Pretoria: Van Schaik.
- Lather, P. (1991). *Getting smart: Feminist research and pedagogy with/in the postmodern*. New York: Routledge.
- Laville, J. L. (2010). Solidarity economy (*Économique solidaire*). In K. Hart, J. L. Laville & A. D. Cattani (Eds.), *The human economy* (pp. 225–235). Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Laville, J. L., & Salmon, A. (2015). Rethinking the relationship between governance and democracy: the theoretical framework of the solidarity economy. In J. L. Laville, D. R. Young & P. Eynaud (Eds.), *Civil society, the third sector and social enterprise: governance and democracy* (pp. 145–162). London: Routledge.
- Leask, M. C. (2019). *Implementation enablers and constraints of a school-based intervention in a rural context*. Doctoral thesis, University of Pretoria. UPSpace. <http://hdl.handle.net/2263/76880>
- Lethale, P. S., & Pillay, J. (2013). Resilience against all odds: a positive psychology perspective of adolescent-headed families. *Africa Education Review*, 10(3), 579–594. <https://doi.org/10.1080/18146627.2013.853550>.

- Letseka, M. (2013). Education for Ubuntu/Botho: lessons from Basotho indigenous education. *Open Journal of Philosophy*, 3(2), 337–344.
- Li, J., Han, X., Wang, W., Sun, G., & Cheng, Z. (2018). How social support influences university students' academic achievement and emotional exhaustion: The mediating role of self-esteem. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 61, 120–126.
- Luthar, S. S., & Cicchetti, D. (2000). The construct of resilience: Implications for interventions and social policies. *Development and Psychopathology*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0954579400004156>.
- MacIntyre, P. D., Ross, J., Talbot, K., Mercer, S., Gregersen, T., & Banga, C. A. (2019). Stressors, personality and wellbeing among language teachers. *System*, 82, 26–38.
- Manullang, S., & Satria, A. (2020). The review of international voices on the responses of the worldwide school closures policy searching during Covid-19 pandemic. *Jurnal Iqra': Kajian Ilmu Pendidikan*, 5(2), 1–13.
- Marchese, D., Reynolds, E., Bates, M. E., Morgan, H., Clark, S. S., & Linkov, I. (2018). Resilience and sustainability: similarities and differences in environmental management applications. *Science of The Total Environment*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.086>.
- Markus, H. R., & Kitayama, S. (2004). Models of agency: sociocultural diversity in the construction of action. In G. Berman & J. Berman (Eds.), *Nebraska symposium on motivation: cross-cultural differences in the perspectives on the self* (Vol. 49, pp. 1–7). Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press.
- Masten, A. S. (2014a). Global perspectives on resilience in children and youth. *Child Development*, 85(1), 6–20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12205>.
- Medical News Today (2021). COVID-19 live updates: Total number of cases passes 204 million. <https://www.medicalnewstoday.com/articles/live-updates-coronavirus-covid-19#1>. Accessed 10 Aug 2021.
- Moore, T. (2020). Queensland's TV-based education to begin on seven this month. Brisbane Times. <https://www.brisbanetimes.com.au/national/queensland/queensland-s-new-tv-education-begins-on-seven-this-month-20200414-p54jsn.html>. Accessed 15 July 2020.
- Morris, M. W., Menon, T., & Ames, D. (2001). Culturally conferred conceptions of agency: a key to social perception of persons, groups, and other actors. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 5(1), 69–182.
- Mukhopadhyay, R., & Sriprakash, A. (2011). Global frameworks, local contingencies: policy translations and education development in India. *Compare*, 41(3), 311–326.
- Munje, P. N., & Mncube, V. (2018). The lack of parent involvement as hindrance in selected public primary schools in South Africa: The voices of educators. *Perspectives in Education*. <https://doi.org/10.18820/2519593X/pie.v36i1.6>.

- Murphy, P. K. (2018). *Classroom discussions in education: promoting productive talk about text and content*. London: Routledge.
- Murphy, P. K., & Firetto, C. M. (2017). Quality talk: a blueprint for productive talk. In P. K. Murphy (Ed.), *Classroom discussions in education* (pp. 101–133). New York: Routledge.
- Murphy, P. K., & Quality Talk Research Team (2021). From theoretical roots to empirical outcomes: setting the foundation for quality talk in Taiwan. In C. C. Chen & M.-L. Luo (Eds.), *The theory and practice of group discussions with quality talk* (pp. 1–21). Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-1409-5>.
- Murphy, P. K., Ebersöhn, L., Omidire, M. F., & Firetto, C. M. (2020). Exploring the structure and content of discourse in remote, rural South African classrooms. *South African Journal of Education, 40*(2), S1–S11. <https://doi.org/10.15700/saje.v40ns2a1826>.
- Murphy, P. K., & Quality Talk Research Team (2020). Quality talk: a guide for meaningful discussions at home (English version) [video]. https://psu.mediaspace.kaltura.com/media/Quality+Talk+A+A+Guide+for+Meaningful+Discussions+at+Home/1_oe59lym0. Accessed 17 Feb 2020.
- Nieuwenhuis, J. (2016). Qualitative research designs and data-gathering techniques. In K. Maree (Ed.), *First steps in research* (3rd edn., pp. 72–100). Pretoria: Van Schaik.
- Patton, M. Q. (2002). *Qualitative research and evaluation methods* (3rd edn.). Thousand Oaks: SAGE.
- Patton, S. (2019). Parents' and teachers' perceptions of parental involvement. Doctoral dissertation, Walden University. <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/2333/a0091942f5726264af6c86853a44ebc9412f.pdf>. Accessed 29 July 2020.
- Rambla, X. (2014). A complex web of education policy borrowing and transfer: education for all and the plan for the development of education in Brazil. *Comparative Education, 50*(4), 417–432.
- Rutter, M. (2013). Annual research review: resilience—clinical implications. *The Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 54*(4), 474–487. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2012.02615.x>.
- Santos, B. S. (2007). *Epistemologies of the south: justice against epistemicide*. New York: Routledge.
- Sen, A. (2010). The place of capability in a theory of justice. In H. Brighouse & I. Robeyns (Eds.), *Measuring justice. Primary goods and capabilities* (pp. 239–253). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Shuffelton, A. B. (2013). A matter of friendship: educational interventions into culture and poverty. *Educational Theory, 63*(3), 299–316. <https://doi.org/10.1111/edth.12025>.

Silinskas, G., & Kikas, E. (2019). Parental involvement in math homework: links to children's performance and motivation. *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research*, 63(1), 17–37. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00313831.2017.1324901>.

Smith, L. T., Maxwell, T. K., Puke, H., & Temara, P. (2016). Indigenous knowledge, methodology and mayhem: What is the role of methodology in producing indigenous insights? A discussion from Mātauranga Māori. *Knowledge Cultures*, 4(3), 131–156.

Stake, R. E. (2005). Qualitative case studies. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of qualitative research* (3rd edn., pp. 443–466). Thousand Oaks: SAGE.

Thompson, R. A., & Goodvin, R. (2016). Social support and developmental psychopathology. *Developmental psychopathology*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119125556.devpsy403>

UNCTAD (2015). Investing in sustainable development goals: Action plan for private investments in SDGs. New York, NY: United Nations. http://unctad.org/en/PublicationsLibrary/osg2015d3_en.pdf. Accessed 30 July 2020.

UNESCO (2019). Arab states global education monitoring report 2019: migration, displacement and education—building bridges, not walls. Paris, UNESCO. <http://repositorio.minedu.gob.pe/handle/20.500.12799/6023>. Accessed 27 Feb 2021.

Ungar, M. (2018). Systemic resilience: principles and processes for a science of change in contexts of adversity. *Ecology and Society*, 23(4), 34–52. <https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-10385-230434>.

Ungar, M., Ghazinour, M., & Richter, J. (2013). Annual research review: what is resilience within the social ecology of human development? *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12025>.

United Nations (2015). Goals 17. Strengthen the means of implementation and revitalize the global partnership for sustainable development. <https://sdgs.un.org/goals/goal17>. Accessed 30 July 2021.

United Nations Educational Scientific Cultural Organization (2011). *EFA Global Monitoring Report 2011. The hidden crisis: Armed conflict and education*. Paris, France: UNESCO. https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/A6A39B9E03FAC7F885257846005CB226-Full_report.pdf. Accessed 30 July 2020.

United Nations Educational Scientific Cultural Organization (2020a). COVID-19 education response. Education Sector Issue Note No 7.1. UNESCO. <https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/75890.pdf>. Accessed 30 July 2020.

United Nations Educational Scientific Cultural Organization (2020b). *From sub-regional to a continental response strategy in support of more resilient and responsive education systems*

in Africa. Covid-19 (C-19). Paris, France: UNESCO. https://en.unesco.org/sites/default/files/covid_19_emergency_response_plan_-_all_sectors-final-05apr2020.pdf. Accessed 30 July 2020.

Vallaincourt, Y. (2007). *Democratizing knowledge: The experience of university-community research. Paper prepared for the Carold Institute project "Building Local and Global Democracy" (2004–2006)*. Community Research Canada. <https://communityresearchcanada.net/res/download.php?id=4203>. Accessed 6 Feb 2020.

Van Dellen, T. (2013). Toward a social responsibility theory for educational research (in lifelong learning). *European Educational Research Journal*, 12(2), 286–300. <https://doi.org/10.2304/eerj.2013.12.2.286>.

Van Nes, F., Abma, T., Jonsson, H., & Deeg, T. (2010). Language differences in qualitative research: Is meaning lost in translation? *European Journal of Ageing*, 7(4), 313–316. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10433-010-0168-y>.

World Bank (2020). Sustainable development goals and targets. <https://datatopics.worldbank.org/sdgoalatlas/targets/>. Accessed 6 Feb 2020.

Wang, G., Zhang, Y., Zhao, J., Zhang, J., & Jiang, F. (2020). Mitigate the effects of home confinement on children during the COVID-19 outbreak. *The Lancet*, 395, 945–947. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30547-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30547-X).

Wei, L., Murphy, P. K., & Wu, S. (2021). Recontextualizing quality talk for an eighth-grade English classroom in China. *ECNU (east China Normal University) Review of Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2096531120970174>.

Westley, F. (2013). Social innovation and resilience: how one enhances the other. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*, 11(3), 6–8. <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/1ac7/1de8620bdaa9d7bdba79c1962b1157fd82bd.pdf>. Accessed 27 July 2020.

Wilkinson, I. A. G., Soter, A. O., & Murphy, P. K. (2010). Developing a model of Quality Talk about literary text. In M. G. McKeown & L. Kucan (Eds.), *Bringing reading research to life* (pp. 142–169). New York: Guilford.

Zufi, A. A. (2018). A comparative study of the pedagogy of learner-centred education in Bangladesh and China: Implications of policy borrowing. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 6(1), 70–75.

Further reading

Ebersöhn, L. (2020). Collective resilience to global challenge: a collective wellbeing agenda to transform towards sustained equitable education. *Práxis Educativa*, 15, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.5212/PraxEduc.v.16344.082>.

Masten, A. S. (2014b). *Ordinary magic: resilience in development*. New York: Guilford.

United Nations Educational Scientific Cultural Organization (2018). Global Education Monitoring Report 2019. Migration, displacement and education: Building bridges, not walls (2nd ed.). Paris: France: UNESCO. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000265866>. Accessed 30 July 2020.