

PATIENT FLOW MODEL USING HYBRID
DISCRETE EVENT AND AGENT-BASED
SIMULATION IN EMERGENCY
DEPARTMENT

NIDAL ABDELGADIR AHMED HAMZA

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

We hereby declare that we have checked this thesis and in our opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

TS. DR. MAZLINA ABDUL MAJID
ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR
FACULTY OF COMPUTER SYSTEMS
& SOFTWARE ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG
LEBUHRAYA TUN RAZAK, 26300 GAMBANG, KUANTAN
TEL: 09-549 2205 FAX: 09-549 2144

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : MAZLINA ABDUL MAJID
Position : ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR
Date : 16 JANUARY 2022

(Co-supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : MANSOOR ABDULLATEEF ABDULGABBER ABDULHAK
Position : ASSISTANT PROFESSOR
Date : 27 JANUARY 2022



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be 'Nidal', is written above a horizontal line.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : NIDAL ABDELGADIR AHMED HAMZA

ID Number : PCC16023

Date : 12 JANUARY 2022

PATIENT FLOW MODEL USING HYBRID DISCRETE EVENT AND AGENT-
BASED SIMULATION IN EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT

NIDAL ABDELGADIR AHMED HAMZA

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Computing
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

FEBRUARY 2022

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank all previous researchers who, with their expertise, have contributed to building this research on the basis of their studies. In fact, they have given me the opportunity to do this fascinating research.

There are many individuals who, during the completion of this work, helped and encouraged me.

First, the author gratefully acknowledges the supportive comments and contributions that have been received from my boss, Associate Professor Dr Mazlina Abdul Majid. She has provided valuable guidance and immense patience that has taken place throughout my studies, and she has provided me with financial support.

I would also like to recognize the Faculty of Computing (FK) at UMP. Expanding my knowledge has gained greatly from the high standard of the colloquium coordinated by the faculty.

I'm deeply indebted to Dr. Fadhl Hujainah for his helpful suggestions and informative remarks, which have a direct influence on the completion of this thesis. In addition, I am also very thankful to Dr Mansoor Abdullateef Abdulgaber for his excellent research advice.

I would also like to give my thanks to every friend who helped and assisted me on my journey.

In addition, My gratitude goes to my special gratitude to my children Mohammed, Mohanad, Lama, Layan and Leen, who have also given me great support and have always been willing to help me and also to accept me and to be patient with me.

Finally, my sincere gratitude to my beloved family. My mother Fatima, brothers Emad, Badraldeen, Ahmed and Issam, my sisters Etdal, Ruquia, Haifa and all my families.

ABSTRAK

Jabatan Kecemasan (ED) adalah salah satu jabatan yang paling penting dalam hospital. ED memainkan peranan penting dalam mempromosikan tujuan utama hospital iaitu untuk meningkatkan kecekapan perkhidmatan. ED merupakan sistem yang kompleks disebabkan tingkah laku stokastik termasuk aliran operasi pesakit, ketidakpastian rawatan yang diperlukan oleh pesakit dan persekitaran jabatan yang kompleks. Aliran operasi pesakit ED juga merujuk kepada pemindahan pesakit ke pelbagai lokasi yang berkaitan dengan kemudahan kesihatan. Kaedah simulasi merupakan alat yang berkesan untuk menganalisis dan mengoptimimum aliran operasi pesakit ED yang kompleks. Walaupun model simulasi aliran operasi pesakit ED yang sedia ada telah dipertingkatkan prestasinya secara ketara dalam memastikan kepuasan para pesakit dan perkhidmatan rawatan yang berkesan, namun masih banyak kekurangan wujud dalam menangani masalah utama dalam ED, iaitu daya pemprosesan pesakit dimana daya pemprosesan masa pesakit yang panjang. Isu daya pemprosesan masa pesakit dipengaruhi oleh faktor seperti waktu menunggu rawatan, tempoh menerima rawatan (LoS) dan daya membuat keputusan. Tujuan penyelidikan ini adalah untuk memperbaiki aliran operasi pesakit yang di ED dengan mencadangkan Model Simulasi Aliran Operasi Pesakit untuk ED (SIM-PFED) yang baharu untuk menangani masalah utama yang dilaporkan mengenai daya pemprosesan masa pesakit. SIM-PFED memperkenalkan proses baharu untuk aliran pesakit ED berdasarkan aliran operasi pesakit yang baru dicadangkan dengan menggabungkan simulasi peristiwa diskrit dan simulasi berdasarkan ejen, dan mengimpliminkan kaedah membuat keputusan yang pelbagai atribut, iaitu teknik urutan keutamaan dengan persamaan penyelesaian ideal (TOPSIS). Eksperimen ini dilakukan pada empat set data ED yang sebenar untuk menilai tahap keberkesanan SIM-PFED. Hasil eksperimen menunjukkan kecemerlangan SIM-PFED berbanding model alternatif lain dalam mengurangkan daya pemprosesan masa pesakit di ED dengan mengurangkan waktu menunggu rawatan dan tempoh menerima rawatan di hospital yang lebih pendek. Hasil eksperimen menunjukkan berlaku peningkatan peratusan dari segi daya pemprosesan masa pesakit (waktu menunggu rawatan dan LoS). Tahap kemahiran model waktu menunggu rawatan SIM-PFED ialah 35.45%, 89.21%, 87.64% and 86.00% lebih bagus jika dibandingkan dengan Model Simulasi Keselamatan, Model ABS, IS-BDSF dan SEDO-UCC. Di samping itu, secara umumnya purata prestasi waktu menunggu rawatan SIM-PFED terhadap keempat-empat model tersebut menunjukkan bahawa prestasi SIM-PFED adalah yang lebih baik berbanding dengan Model Simulasi Keselamatan, Model ABS, IS-BDSF dan SEDO-UCC berkenaan waktu menunggu dengan nilai peratusan sebanyak 74.58%. Keberkesanan LoS SIM-PFED ialah sebanyak 74.4%, 85%, 91.6% dan 87.4% lebih tinggi daripada Model Simulasi Keselamatan, Model ABS, IS-BDSF dan SEDO-UCC. Di samping itu, secara umumnya purata prestasi LoS SIM-PFED terhadap keempat-empat model tersebut menggambarkan bahawa prestasi SIM-PFED adalah lebih meningkat berbanding dengan Model Simulasi Keselamatan, Model ABS, IS-BDSF dan SEDO-UCC berkenaan dengan waktu menunggu dengan nilai peratusan sebanyak 85.6%. Hasil penyelidikan ini juga menunjukkan keberkesanan SIM-PFED dalam membantu pemutus keputusan ED dalam memilih situasi yang terbaik yang akan dilaksanakan di ED untuk memastikan daya pemprosesan masa yang minimum disamping menjimatkan kos.

ABSTRACT

The hospital emergency department (ED) is one of the most crucial hospital areas. ED plays a key role in promoting hospitals' goals of enhancing service efficiency. ED is a complex system due to the stochastic behaviour including the operational patient flow, the unpredictability of the care required by patients, and the department's complex nature. ED operational patient flow refers to the transferring of patients throughout various locations in specific relation to a healthcare facility. Simulations are effective tools for analysing and optimizing complex ED operational patient flow. Although existing ED operational patient flow simulation models have substantially improved ED operational patient performance in terms of ensuring patient satisfaction and effective treatment, many deficiencies continue to exist in addressing the key challenge in ED, namely, patient throughput issue which is indicated to the long patient throughput time in ED. The patient throughput time issue is affected by causative factors, such as waiting time, length of stay (LoS), and decision-making. This research aims to improve ED operational patient flow by proposing a new ED Operational Patient Flow Simulation Model (SIM-PFED) in order to address the reported key challenge of the patient throughput time. SIM-PFED introduces a new process for patient flow in ED on the basis of the newly proposed operational patient flow by combining discrete event simulation and agent-based simulation and applying a multi attribute decision making method, namely, the technique for order preference by similarity to the ideal solution (TOPSIS). Experiments were performed on four actual hospital ED datasets to assess the effectiveness of SIM-PFED. Experimental results revealed the superiority of SIM-PFED over other alternative models in reducing patient throughput time in ED by consuming less patient waiting time and having a shorter length of stay. The results of the experiments showed the improvement of percentage in terms of patient throughput time (waiting time and LoS). SIM-PFED's waiting time proficiency is 35.45%, 89.21%, 87.64% and 86.00% advanced than Safety Simulation Model, ABS Model, IS-BDSF and SEDO-UCC correspondingly. In addition, the general average waiting time performance of SIM-PFED against the four models ascertains that the performance of SIM-PFED's is largely improved than that of the Safety Simulation Model, ABS Model, IS-BDSF and SEDO-UCC in regard to the waiting time at a percentage of 74.58%. SIM-PFED's LoS effectiveness is 74.4%, 85%, 91.6% and 87.4% higher than Safety Simulation Model, ABS Model, IS-BDSF and SEDO-UCC correspondingly. The general average LoS performance of SIM-PFED against the four models illustrated that the performance of SIM-PFED's is largely improved than that of the Safety Simulation Model, ABS Model, IS-BDSF and SEDO-UCC in regard to the LoS at a percentage of 85.6%. The findings also demonstrated the effectiveness of SIM-PFED in helping ED decision-makers select the best scenarios to be implemented in ED for ensuring minimal patient throughput time while being cost-effective.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Research Background and Motivation	1
1.3 Problem Statement	3
1.4 Research Questions	6
1.5 Research Objectives	6
1.6 Research Scope	7
1.7 Thesis Organization	8
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	10
2.1 Introduction	10
2.2 Emergency Department	11
2.3 ED Operational Patient Flow	16
2.4 ED Operational Patient Flow Simulation	18

2.4.1	Patient Throughput Time of ED Operational Patient Flow	20
2.4.2	ED Operational Patient Flow Simulation Techniques	23
2.5	Existing ED Operational Patient flow Simulation Models	24
2.5.1	ABS Simulation Combined with Group Decision- Making	24
2.5.2	Integrated Between DES and System Dynamic	27
2.5.3	Chart Review Model	30
2.5.4	DES and Queueing Theory	30
2.5.5	Hybrid System Dynamic, DES and Data Envelopment Analysis	32
2.5.6	DES Based Simulation Multi-Objective Optimization and Data Mining	33
2.5.7	Integrated Business Process Model and Notation and ABM	33
2.5.8	DES and Data Envelopment Method	33
2.5.9	Benchmarking and Design of Experiments	35
2.5.10	Agent Based Modelling and Quantitatively Analyzing Model	35
2.5.11	Stochastic Data Envelopment Analysis (SDEA)	36
2.6	Multi Attribute Decision Making	37
2.7	Research Gap	40
2.8	Summary	51
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		52
3.1	Introduction	52
3.2	Research Methodology Process	52
3.2.1	Literature Review and Preliminary Phase	53
3.2.2	Design & Development Phase	54
3.2.3	Evaluation Phase	56
3.3	Summary	58

CHAPTER 4 PROPOSED ED PATIENT FLOW SIMULATION MODEL	59
4.1 Introduction	59
4.2 Proposed SIM-PFED	59
4.2.1 Input Phase	60
4.2.2 Processing Phase	61
4.2.3 Output Phase	77
4.3 Summary	77
CHAPTER 5 RESULT AND DISCUSSION	78
5.1 Introduction	78
5.2 Experimental Studies	78
5.2.1 Experiment Definition	80
5.2.2 Hypothesis Formulation	80
5.2.3 Variable and Measure	81
5.2.4 Objects	82
5.3 Experiment Execution	82
5.4 Experimental Results	87
5.4.1 Experimental Question 1: IS the SIM-PFED less waiting time than Safety Simulation Model, ABS Model, IS-BDSF and SEDO-UCC?	88
5.4.2 Experimental Question 2: Are the LoS results produced by SIM-PFED better than the LoS results produced by Safety Simulation Model, ABS Model, IS-BDSF and SEDO-UCC models?	91
5.5 Discussion	95
5.6 Summary	96

CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	98
6.1 Introduction	98
6.2 Summary of Thesis	98
6.3 Research Contributions	99
6.4 Future Work	100
REFERENCES	103
APPENDIX A	112
APPENDIX B	116
APPENDIX C	127

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Summary of MADM Methods	39
Table 2.2	The Analysis of Existing ED Operational patient Flow Simulation Models	44
Table 4.1	Input Detail	61
Table 4.2	Emergency Severity Index depend of Patient Status Description. Based on Canadian ED Triage and Acuity Scale	63
Table 4.3	Mapping Location Details of Units of Designed ED Simulation of the SIM-PFED Model with the Standard ED Design	67
Table 4.4	A Conceptual Decision Matrix V	73
Table 4.5	A Conceptual Weighted Normalized Decision Matrix U	74
Table 4.6	A Conceptual Normalized Decision Matrix Z	74
Table 4.7	Conceptual Separation Matrix Z'	76
Table 5.1	Overview of the Experimentation's Design	79
Table 5.2	Details of Each Conducted Case Study	83
Table 5.3	Details of the Scenarios used in the Conducted Experiments.	85
Table 5.4	The Ranked List of Scenarios for each Conducted Case Study	87
Table 5.5	Selection Criteria.	88
Table 5.6	Waiting Time Results (per Minutes) of the SIM-PFED , Safety Simulation Model, ABS Model, IS-BDSF and SEDO-UCC	89
Table 5.7	t-tests Results for Waiting Time	90
Table 5.8	LoS Results (per Minutes) of the SIM-PFED , Safety Simulation Model, ABS Model, IS-BDSF and SEDO-UCC.	93
Table 5.9	t-tests Results for LoS	94
Table 5.10	Comparative Analysis of SIM-PFED with Different Existing Models.	96
Table 6.1	Research Objectives Achievements	99

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	The Literature Review Map Structure	11
Figure 2.2	Aims in Alteration of Healthcare Units and Organizations	13
Figure 2.3	Overcrowding in ED	15
Figure 2.4	ESI Standard for ED Patient Classification.	15
Figure 2.5	DES Technique	22
Figure 2.6	Agent Base Model Structure.	23
Figure 2.7	Healthcare Simulation and Modelling.	24
Figure 2.8	Patient Flow Model in ED	26
Figure 2.9	Patient Flow Model in ED	27
Figure 2.10	Patient Flow Model in ED	29
Figure 2.11	Patient Flow Model in ED	31
Figure 2.12	Patient Flow Model in ED	33
Figure 2.13	Conceptual Model of Patient Flow in ED.	34
Figure 2.14	The Comparison of Inability of handling, and handling Throughput Time in Terms of Waiting Time, LoS, and Decision Making (Throughput and Cost).	42
Figure 3.1	Research Methodology Process.	53
Figure 3.2	The Verification and Validaiion of Model	56
Figure 4.1	Proposed model of Patient Flow in ED by using ABS+DES Combine with MADM(TOSIS).	60
Figure 4.2	Proposed Operational Patient Flow of SIM-PFED Model	62
Figure 4.3	Triage Process Pseudo Code of the Proposed Operational Patient Flow	64
Figure 4.4	Simulation of ED Map Design of the Proposed SIM-PFED Model Based on the Proposed Operational Patient Flow using Anylogic in 3D.	66
Figure 4.5	Input Resources in Simulation Operational Patient Flow Model.	66
Figure 4.6	DES Simulation Logic Design of the Proposed SIM-PFED Model Based on the Proposed Operational Patient Flow.	68
Figure 4.7	ABS Simulation Design of the Patient Flow in Analogic of the SIM-PFED Model.	69
Figure 4.8	Resources in Simulation Model	70
Figure 4.9	Patient Emergency Servity Index Design	71
Figure 5.1	Applied DES to Simulate the Operation Patient Flow in ED.	83
Figure 5.2	Overall Improvement Percentage in terms of Waiting Time.	91

Figure 5.3	LoS Overall Improvement Percentage	95
Figure 6.1	Experimental Result for CS1 SN1	116
Figure 6.2	Experimental Result for CS1 SN2	117
Figure 6.3	Experimental Result for CS1 SN3	117
Figure 6.4	Experimental Result for CS1 SN4	118
Figure 6.5	Experimental Result for CS1 SN5	118
Figure 6.6	Experimental Result for CS1 SN6	119
Figure 6.7	Experimental Result for CS2 SN1	120
Figure 6.8	Experimental Result for CS2 SN2	120
Figure 6.9	Experimental Result for CS2 SN3	121
Figure 6.10	Experimental Result for CS2 SN4	121
Figure 6.11	Experimental Result for CS2 SN5	122
Figure 6.12	Experimental Result for CS2 SN6	122
Figure 6.13	Experimental Result for CS3 SN1	123
Figure 6.14	Experimental Result for CS3 SN2	123
Figure 6.15	Experimental Result for CS3 SN3	124
Figure 6.16	Experimental Result for CS3 SN4	124
Figure 6.17	Experimental Result for CS3 SN5	125
Figure 6.18	Experimental Result for CS3 SN6	125
Figure 6.19	Experimental Result for CS3 SN7	126

REFERENCES

- Abo-Hamad, W., & Arisha, A. (2013). Simulation-based framework to improve patient experience in an emergency department. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 224(1), 154-166.
- Abuhay, T. M., Krikunov, A. V., Bolgova, E. V., Ratova, L. G., & Kovalchuk, S. V. (2016). Simulation of patient flow and load of departments in a specialized medical center. *Procedia Computer Science*, 101, 143-151.
- Afilal, M., Yalaoui, F., Dugardin, F., Amodeo, L., Laplanche, D., & Blua, P. (2016a). Emergency department flow: A new practical patients classification and forecasting daily attendance. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 49(12), 721-726.
- Afilal, M., Yalaoui, F., Dugardin, F., Amodeo, L., Laplanche, D., & Blua, P. (2016b). Forecasting the emergency department patients flow. *Journal of Medical Systems*, 40(7), 175.
- Ahsan, K. B., Alam, M., Morel, D. G., & Karim, M. (2019). Emergency department resource optimisation for improved performance: a review. *Journal of Industrial Engineering International*, 15(1), 253-266.
- Ajami, S., Ketabi, S., Yarmohammadian, M. H., & Bagherian, H. (2012). Wait time in emergency department (ED) processes. *Medical Archives*, 66(1), 53.
- Al-Bashiri, H., Abdulgabber, M. A., Romli, A., & Kahtan, H. (2018). An improved memory-based collaborative filtering method based on the TOPSIS technique. *PloS one*, 13(10), e0204434.
- Alibrahim, A., & Wu, S. (2018). An agent-based simulation model of patient choice of health care providers in accountable care organizations. *Health care management science*, 21(1), 131-143.
- Almagooshi, S. (2015). Simulation modelling in healthcare: Challenges and trends. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 3, 301-307.
- Anagnostou, A., Meskarian, R., Robertson, D., & Fakhimi, M. (2018). *USING DISCRETE EVENT SIMULATION TO IMPROVE OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE IN ENDOSCOPY UNITS*. Paper presented at the Welcome to the 2018 Operational Research Society Simulation Workshop (SW18).
- Andersen, A. R., Nielsen, B. F., Reinhardt, L. B., & Stidsen, T. R. (2019). Staff optimization for time-dependent acute patient flow. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 272(1), 94-105.
- Apter, M. J. (2018). *The computer simulation of behaviour*: Routledge.
- Azadeh, A., Ahvazi, M. P., Haghhighii, S. M., & Keramati, A. (2016). Simulation optimization of an emergency department by modeling human errors. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, 67, 117-136.

- Babar, M. I. (2015). *Framework for Stakeholder Quantification and Requirements Prioritization for Value-based Software Development*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Babar, M. I., Ghazali, M., Jawawi, D. N., Shamsuddin, S. M., & Ibrahim, N. (2015). PHandler: an expert system for a scalable software requirements prioritization process. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, *84*, 179-202.
- Bai, J., Fügener, A., Schoenfelder, J., & Brunner, J. O. (2018). Operations research in intensive care unit management: a literature review. *Health care management science*, *21*(1), 1-24.
- Baier, N., Geissler, A., Bech, M., Bernstein, D., Cowling, T. E., Jackson, T., . . . Quentin, W. (2019). Emergency and urgent care systems in Australia, Denmark, England, France, Germany and the Netherlands—Analyzing organization, payment and reforms. *Health Policy*, *123*(1), 1-10.
- Baril, C., Gascon, V., & Vadeboncoeur, D. (2019). Discrete-event simulation and design of experiments to study ambulatory patient waiting time in an emergency department. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, *70*(12), 2019-2038.
- Behzadian, M., Otaghsara, S. K., Yazdani, M., & Ignatius, J. (2012). A state-of the-art survey of TOPSIS applications. *Expert Systems with applications*, *39*(17), 13051-13069.
- Bhattacharjee, P., & Ray, P. K. (2014). Patient flow modelling and performance analysis of healthcare delivery processes in hospitals: A review and reflections. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, *78*, 299-312.
- Brailsford, S. C., Eldabi, T., Kunc, M., Mustafee, N., & Osorio, A. F. (2019). Hybrid simulation modelling in operational research: A state-of-the-art review. *European Journal of Operational Research*, *278*(3), 721-737.
- Cabrera, E., Taboada, M., Iglesias, M. L., Epelde, F., & Luque, E. (2011). Optimization of healthcare emergency departments by agent-based simulation. *Procedia Computer Science*, *4*, 1880-1889.
- Daldoul, D., Nouaouri, I., Bouchriha, H., & Allaoui, H. (2018). A stochastic model to minimize patient waiting time in an emergency department. *Operations Research for Health Care*, *18*, 16-25.
- De Angelis, D., & Grinstein, Y. (2011). Relative performance evaluation in CEO compensation: Evidence from the 2006 disclosure rules. *Johnson School Research Paper Series*(39-2010).
- DeAnda, R. (2018). Stop the Bottleneck: Improving Patient Throughput in the Emergency Department. *Journal of Emergency Nursing*, *44*(6), 582-588.
- Dehghani, M., Moftian, N., Rezaei-Hachesu, P., & Samad-Soltani, T. (2017). A Step-by-Step Framework on Discrete Events Simulation in Emergency Department; A Systematic Review. *Bulletin of Emergency & Trauma*, *5*(2), 79.

- Duguay, C., & Chetouane, F. (2007). Modeling and improving emergency department systems using discrete event simulation. *Simulation*, 83(4), 311-320.
- Eskandari, H., Riyahifard, M., Khosravi, S., & Geiger, C. D. (2011). *Improving the emergency department performance using simulation and MCDM methods*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 2011 winter simulation conference (WSC).
- Fitzgerald, K., Pelletier, L., & Reznick, M. A. (2017). A queue-based Monte Carlo analysis to support decision making for implementation of an emergency department fast track. *Journal of healthcare engineering*, 2017.
- Forero, R., Man, N., Ngo, H., Mountain, D., Mohsin, M., Fatovich, D., . . . McCarthy, S. (2019). Impact of the four - hour National Emergency Access Target on 30 day mortality, access block and chronic emergency department overcrowding in Australian emergency departments. *Emergency Medicine Australasia*, 31(1), 58-66.
- Freeman, K., & Denham, S. A. (2008). Improving patient satisfaction by addressing same day surgery wait times. *Journal of PeriAnesthesia Nursing*, 23(6), 387-393.
- Gao, H., Wu, J., Wei, C., & Wei, G. (2019). MADM method with interval-valued bipolar uncertain linguistic information for evaluating the computer network security. *IEEE Access*, 7, 151506-151524.
- Garifullin, M., Borshchev, A., & Popkov, T. (2007). *Using AnyLogic and agent-based approach to model consumer market*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 6th EUROSIM Congress on Modelling and Simulation.
- Gehlot, V., Matthew, L., & Sloane, E. B. (2016). *From data to processes—Use of modeling and simulation in healthcare*. Paper presented at the Biomedical and Health Informatics (BHI), 2016 IEEE-EMBS International Conference on.
- Ghafouri, S. M. M. S., & Haji, B. (2019). *Utilizing a Simulation Approach for Analysis of Patient Flow in the Emergency Department: A Case Study*. Paper presented at the 2019 15th Iran International Industrial Engineering Conference (IIIEC).
- Gharahighehi, A., Kheirkhah, A. S., Bagheri, A., & Rashidi, E. (2016). Improving performances of the emergency department using discrete event simulation, DEA and the MADM methods. *Digital health*, 2, 2055207616664619.
- Gong, G., Li, L. Y., & Shin, J. Y. (2011). Relative performance evaluation and related peer groups in executive compensation contracts. *The Accounting Review*, 86(3), 1007-1043.
- González, J., Ferrer, J.-C., Cataldo, A., & Rojas, L. (2019). A proactive transfer policy for critical patient flow management. *Health care management science*, 22(2), 287-303.
- Gopalan, P. D., & Pershad, S. (2019). Decision-making in ICU—a systematic review of factors considered important by ICU clinician decision makers with regard to ICU triage decisions. *Journal of critical care*, 50, 99-110.

- Greasley, A., & Owen, C. (2018). Modelling people's behaviour using discrete-event simulation: a review. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 38(5), 1228-1244.
- Gul, M., & Guneri, A. F. (2015). Simulation modelling of a patient surge in an emergency department under disaster conditions. *Croatian Operational Research Review*, 6(2), 429-443.
- GÜNEŞ, G. (2014). AGENT-BASED SIMULATION AND AN EXAMPLE IN ANYLOGIC.
- Guseva, E., Varfolomeyeva, T., Efimova, I., & Movchan, I. (2018). *Discrete event simulation modelling of patient service management with Arena*. Paper presented at the Journal of Physics: Conference Series.
- Hajjarsaraei, H., Shirazi, B., & Rezaeian, J. (2018). Scenario-based analysis of fast track strategy optimization on emergency department using integrated safety simulation. *Safety science*, 107, 9-21.
- Hamza, N., Majid, M. A., & Al-Bashiri, H. (2020). *Reducing the Throughput Time for Patient Flow in Emergency Department: Simulation and Modelling Overview*. Paper presented at the IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering.
- Hay, A. M., Valentin, E. C., & Bijlsma, R. A. (2006). *Modeling emergency care in hospitals: a paradox-the patient should not drive the process*. Paper presented at the Simulation Conference, 2006. WSC 06. Proceedings of the Winter.
- Hsu, C.-M., Liang, L.-L., Chang, Y.-T., & Juang, W.-C. (2019). Emergency department overcrowding: Quality improvement in a Taiwan Medical Center. *Journal of the Formosan Medical Association*, 118(1), 186-193.
- Huang, D., Bastani, A., Anderson, W., Crabtree, J., Kleiman, S., & Jones, S. (2018). Communication and bed reservation: Decreasing the length of stay for emergency department trauma patients. *The American journal of emergency medicine*, 36(10), 1874-1879.
- Hujainah, F., Bakar, R. B. A., & Abdulgaber, M. A. (2019). StakeQP: A semi-automated stakeholder quantification and prioritisation technique for requirement selection in software system projects. *Decision Support Systems*, 121, 94-108.
- Hurwitz, J. E., Lee, J. A., Lopiano, K. K., McKinley, S. A., Keesling, J., & Tyndall, J. A. (2014). A flexible simulation platform to quantify and manage emergency department crowding. *BMC medical informatics and decision making*, 14(1), 50.
- Ishizaka, A., & Nemery, P. (2013). *Multi-criteria decision analysis: methods and software*: John Wiley & Sons.
- Jana, C., Senapati, T., Pal, M., & Yager, R. R. (2019). Picture fuzzy Dombi aggregation operators: Application to MADM process. *Applied Soft Computing*, 74, 99-109.

- Jensen, A. R., Bullaro, F., Falcone, R. A., Daugherty, M., Young, L. C., McLaughlin, C., Scherzer, D. J. (2019). EAST multicenter trial of simulation-based team training for pediatric trauma: Resuscitation task completion is highly variable during simulated traumatic brain injury resuscitation. *The American Journal of Surgery*.
- Jun, J., Jacobson, S. H., & Swisher, J. R. (1999). Application of discrete-event simulation in health care clinics: A survey. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 50(2), 109-123.
- Kadri, F., Chaabane, S., & Tahon, C. (2014). A simulation-based decision support system to prevent and predict strain situations in emergency department systems. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, 42, 32-52.
- Kaushal, A., Zhao, Y., Peng, Q., Strome, T., Weldon, E., Zhang, M., & Chochinov, A. (2015). Evaluation of fast track strategies using agent-based simulation modeling to reduce waiting time in a hospital emergency department. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*, 50, 18-31.
- Keshtkar, L., Rashwan, W., Abo-Hamad, W., & Arisha, A. (2020). A hybrid system dynamics, discrete event simulation and data envelopment analysis to investigate boarding patients in acute hospitals. *Operations Research for Health Care*, 26, 100266.
- Kittipittayakorn, C., & Ying, K.-C. (2016). Using the integration of discrete event and agent-based simulation to enhance outpatient service quality in an orthopedic department. *Journal of Healthcare Engineering*, 2016.
- Laker, L. F., Torabi, E., France, D. J., Froehle, C. M., Goldlust, E. J., Hoot, N. R., . . . Ward, M. J. (2018). Understanding emergency care delivery through computer simulation modeling. *Academic Emergency Medicine*, 25(2), 116-127.
- Li, N., Stanford, D. A., Sharif, A. B., Caron, R. J., & Pardhan, A. (2019). Optimising key performance indicator adherence with application to emergency department congestion. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 272(1), 313-323.
- Litvak, E. (2010). *Managing patient flow in hospitals: strategies and solutions*: Joint Commission Resources.
- Liu, Z., Rexachs, D., Epelde, F., & Luque, E. (2017a). An agent-based model for quantitatively analyzing and predicting the complex behavior of emergency departments. *Journal of computational science*, 21, 11-23.
- Liu, Z., Rexachs, D., Epelde, F., & Luque, E. (2017b). A simulation and optimization based method for calibrating agent-based emergency department models under data scarcity. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 103, 300-309.
- Liu, Z., Rexachs, D., Luque, E., Epelde, F., & Cabrera, E. (2015). *Simulating the micro-level behavior of emergency department for macro-level features prediction*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 2015 winter simulation conference.

- Michael, S. S., Bickley, D., Bookman, K., Zane, R., & Wiler, J. L. (2019). Emergency department front-end split-flow experience: 'physician in intake'. *BMJ Open Quality*, 8(4).
- Mohiuddin, S., Busby, J., Savović, J., Richards, A., Northstone, K., Hollingworth, W., . . . Vasilakis, C. (2017). Patient flow within UK emergency departments: a systematic review of the use of computer simulation modelling methods. *BMJ open*, 7(5), e015007.
- Muravev, D., Hu, H., Rakhmangulov, A., & Mishkurov, P. (2021). Multi-agent optimization of the intermodal terminal main parameters by using AnyLogic simulation platform: Case study on the Ningbo-Zhoushan Port. *International Journal of Information Management*, 57, 102133.
- Nahas, A., Awaldi, A., & Reggelin, T. (2017). Simulation and the emergency department overcrowding problem. *Procedia Engineering*, 178, 368-376.
- Oh, C., Novotny, A. M., Carter, P. L., Ready, R. K., Campbell, D. D., & Leckie, M. C. (2016). Use of a simulation-based decision support tool to improve emergency department throughput. *Operations Research for Health Care*, 9, 29-39.
- Olson, D. L. (2004). Comparison of weights in TOPSIS models. *Mathematical and computer modelling*, 40(7-8), 721-727.
- Parkan, C., & Wu, M. (1997). On the equivalence of operational performance measurement and multiple attribute decision making. *International Journal of Production Research*, 35(11), 2963-2988.
- Parker, C. A., Liu, N., Wu, S. X., Shen, Y., Lam, S. S. W., & Ong, M. E. H. (2019). Predicting hospital admission at the emergency department triage: a novel prediction model. *The American journal of emergency medicine*, 37(8), 1498-1504.
- Paul, J. A., & Lin, L. (2012). Models for improving patient throughput and waiting at hospital emergency departments. *The Journal of emergency medicine*, 43(6), 1119-1126.
- Pegoraro, F., Santos, E. A. P., Loures, E. d. F. R., & Laus, F. W. (2020). A hybrid model to support decision making in emergency department management. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 203, 106148.
- Reese, H. D., Anandhan, V., Pérez, E., & Novoa, C. (2017). *Improving patient waiting time at a pure walk-in clinic*. Paper presented at the Simulation Conference (WSC), 2017 Winter.
- Richardson, L. D., & Hwang, U. (2001). America's Health Care Safety Net Intact or Unraveling? *Academic Emergency Medicine*, 8(11), 1056-1063.
- Safdari, R., Malak, J. S., Mohammadzadeh, N., & Shahraki, A. D. (2017). A multi agent based approach for prehospital emergency management. *Bulletin of Emergency & Trauma*, 5(3), 171.

- Saghafian, S., Austin, G., & Traub, S. J. (2015). Operations research/management contributions to emergency department patient flow optimization: Review and research prospects. *IIE Transactions on Healthcare Systems Engineering*, 5(2), 101-123.
- Salmon, A., Rachuba, S., Briscoe, S., & Pitt, M. (2018). A structured literature review of simulation modelling applied to Emergency Departments: Current patterns and emerging trends. *Operations Research for Health Care*.
- Sbayou, M., Bouanan, Y., Zacharewicz, G., Ribault, J., & François, J. (2017a). *DEVS modelling and simulation for healthcare process application for hospital emergency department*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 50th Annual Simulation Symposium.
- Sbayou, M., Bouanan, Y., Zacharewicz, G., Ribault, J., & François, J. (2017c). *DEVS modelling and simulation for healthcare process application for hospital emergency department*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 50th Annual Simulation Symposium.
- Searle, J., Muller, R., Slagman, A., Schäfer, C., Lindner, T., Somasundaram, R., . . . Möckel, M. (2015). Überfüllung der Notaufnahmen. *Notfall+ Rettungsmedizin*, 4(18), 306-315.
- Shannon, R. E. (1975). Simulation: A survey with research suggestions. *AIIE Transactions*, 7(3), 289-301.
- Shen, Y., & Lee, L. H. (2018). Improving the wait time to consultation at the emergency department. *BMJ Open Quality*, 7(1), e000131.
- Shih, H.-S., Shyur, H.-J., & Lee, E. S. (2007). An extension of TOPSIS for group decision making. *Mathematical and computer modelling*, 45(7-8), 801-813.
- Siebers, P.-O., Macal, C. M., Garnett, J., Buxton, D., & Pidd, M. (2010). Discrete-event simulation is dead, long live agent-based simulation! *Journal of Simulation*, 4(3), 204-210.
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333-339.
- Steren, B., Fleming, M., Zhou, H., Zhang, Y., & Pei, K. Y. (2020). Predictors of Delayed Emergency Department Throughput Among Blunt Trauma Patients. *Journal of Surgical Research*, 245, 81-88.
- Tice, F. M. (2018). Explicit relative performance evaluation and managerial decision-making: Evidence from firm performance and investments. *Available at SSRN 2645956*.
- Trzeciak, S., & Rivers, E. P. (2003). Emergency department overcrowding in the United States: an emerging threat to patient safety and public health. *Emergency medicine journal*, 20(5), 402-405.

- Uriarte, A. G., Zúñiga, E. R., Moris, M. U., & Ng, A. H. (2017). How can decision makers be supported in the improvement of an emergency department? A simulation, optimization and data mining approach. *Operations Research for Health Care*, *15*, 102-122.
- Van Bockstal, E., & Maenhout, B. (2019). A study on the impact of prioritising emergency department arrivals on the patient waiting time. *Health care management science*, *22*(4), 589-614.
- van der Linden, M. C., Meester, B. E., & van der Linden, N. (2016). Emergency department crowding affects triage processes. *International emergency nursing*, *29*, 27-31.
- Vanbrabant, L., Braekers, K., Ramaekers, K., & Van Nieuwenhuysse, I. (2019). Simulation of emergency department operations: a comprehensive review of KPIs and operational improvements. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*.
- Velasquez, M., & Hester, P. T. (2013). An analysis of multi-criteria decision making methods. *International journal of operations research*, *10*(2), 56-66.
- Viana, J., Simonsen, T. B., Faraas, H. E., Schmidt, N., Dahl, F. A., & Flo, K. (2020). Capacity and patient flow planning in post-term pregnancy outpatient clinics: a computer simulation modelling study. *BMC health services research*, *20*(1), 1-15.
- Wang, H., Robinson, R. D., Cowden, C. D., Gorman, V. A., Cook, C. D., Gicheru, E. K., . . . Zenarosa, N. R. (2015). Use of the SONET score to evaluate Urgent Care Center overcrowding: a prospective pilot study. *BMJ open*, *5*(4), e006860.
- Wang, Y., Luangkesorn, K. L., & Shuman, L. (2012). Modeling emergency medical response to a mass casualty incident using agent based simulation. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*, *46*(4), 281-290.
- Wohlin, C., Runeson, P., Höst, M., Ohlsson, M. C., Regnell, B., & Wesslén, A. (2012). *Experimentation in software engineering*: Springer Science & Business Media.
- Wylie, K., Crilly, J., Toloo, G., FitzGerald, G., Burke, J., Williams, G., & Bell, A. (2015). Emergency department models of care in the context of care quality and cost: A systematic review. *Emergency Medicine Australasia*, *27*(2), 95-101.
- Yang, K. K., Lam, S. S. W., Low, J. M., & Ong, M. E. H. (2016). Managing emergency department crowding through improved triaging and resource allocation. *Operations Research for Health Care*, *10*, 13-22.
- Yarmohammadian, M. H., Rezaei, F., Haghshenas, A., & Tavakoli, N. (2017). Overcrowding in emergency departments: a review of strategies to decrease future challenges. *Journal of research in medical sciences: the official journal of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences*, *22*.

- Yoon, K., & Hwang, C. L. (1981). TOPSIS (technique for order preference by similarity to ideal solution)—a multiple attribute decision making, w: Multiple attribute decision making—methods and applications, a state-of-the-at survey. *Berlin: Springer Verlag*.
- Yousefi, M., & Ferreira, R. (2017). An agent-based simulation combined with group decision-making technique for improving the performance of an emergency department. *Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research, 50*(5).
- Yousefi, M., Yousefi, M., Fogliatto, F., Ferreira, R., & Kim, J. H. (2018). Simulating the behavior of patients who leave a public hospital emergency department without being seen by a physician: a cellular automaton and agent-based framework. *Brazilian journal of medical and biological research, 51*(3).
- Yousefi, M., Yousefi, M., & Fogliatto, F. S. (2020). Simulation-based optimization methods applied in hospital emergency departments: A systematic review. *Simulation, 0037549720944483*.
- Zeinali, F., Mahootchi, M., & Sepehri, M. M. (2015). Resource planning in the emergency departments: A simulation-based metamodeling approach. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory, 53*, 123-138.
- Zhang, A., Zhu, X., Lu, Q., & Zhang, R. (2019). Impact of prioritization on the outpatient queuing system in the emergency department with limited medical resources. *Symmetry, 11*(6), 796.
- Zhao, Y., Peng, Q., Strome, T., Weldon, E., Zhang, M., & Chochinov, A. (2015). Bottleneck detection for improvement of Emergency Department efficiency. *Business Process Management Journal, 21*(3), 564-585.
- Zulkepli, J., & Eldabi, T. (2015). *Towards a framework for conceptual model hybridization in healthcare*. Paper presented at the Winter Simulation Conference (WSC), 2015.