

A DISCRETE SIMULATED KALMAN FILTER
OPTIMIZER FOR COMBINATORIAL
OPTIMIZATION PROBLEMS

SUHAZRI AMRIN BIN RAHMAD

MASTER OF SCIENCE

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis, and, in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Master of Science.

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of stylized initials and a surname, positioned above a horizontal line.

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : ASSOC. PROF. DR. ZUWAIRIE BIN IBRAHIM

Position : SENIOR LECTURER

Date : 11/8/2022



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of stylized, overlapping loops and lines, positioned above a horizontal line.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : SUHAZRI AMRIN BIN RAHMAD
ID Number : MEK18007
Date : 11/8/2022

A DISCRETE SIMULATED KALMAN FILTER OPTIMIZER FOR
COMBINATORIAL OPTIMIZATION PROBLEMS

SUHAZRI AMRIN BIN RAHMAD

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of
Master of Science

College of Engineering
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

AUGUST 2022

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, the most Beneficent, the most Merciful. Praise is to Allah who gave me the strength, motivation, and patience to complete this research. Also, praise the Prophet Muhammad S.A.W.

To begin, I would like to offer my heartfelt appreciation to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Zuwairie bin Ibrahim, and to Mr. Zulkifli bin Md. Yusof, for providing invaluable guidance throughout this research. Their vision, dedication, and motivation have deeply inspired me to complete this research.

I would also like to express my gratitude to all of the members of staff in the College of Engineering, Faculty of Manufacturing and Mechatronic Engineering Technology, and Institute of Postgraduate Studies, Universiti Malaysia Pahang (UMP).

I am extremely grateful to my parents, Rahmad bin Tohak and Norpaizah binti Ahmad, brother, Syful Azri bin Rahmad, and sisters, Farisha Eriena binti Rahmad and Hurin Qazrina binti Rahmad, for all their love, prayers, and support.

Last but not least, I would want to express my gratitude to everyone who assisted me in successfully completing my thesis. Without the assistance and encouragement of many others, my thesis would not have been finished.

ABSTRAK

Masalah pengoptimuman kombinatorik ada di banyak bidang, termasuk penjagaan kesihatan, ekonomi, kejuruteraan, pembuatan, dan lain-lain. Penyelesaian untuk masalah pengoptimuman kombinatorik sering dinyatakan dalam bentuk permutasi, susunan, atau kombinasi elemen. Oleh kerana kepentingan praktikal masalah ini dalam isu dunia sebenar, banyak algoritma telah dicadangkan untuk menyelesaikannya. Algoritma ini secara khusus merujuk kepada algoritma yang beroperasi di ruang carian diskrit, yang sering dikenali sebagai algoritma kombinatorik. Jenis algoritma lain dipanggil algoritma numerik. Algoritma ini dibina khusus untuk mengatasi masalah pengoptimuman numerik. Dalam beberapa dekad terakhir ini, usaha penyelidikan yang signifikan telah dihabiskan untuk pengembangan algoritma numerik, terutama untuk menyelesaikan masalah kombinatorik. Berbagai kaedah telah diperkenalkan sebagai peluasan algoritma numerik untuk menyesuaikan dengan ruang carian diskrit. Dalam kajian ini, algoritma kombinatorik baru yang dipanggil pengoptimuman penapis Kalman simulasi diskrit (DSKFO) dicadangkan untuk menyelesaikan masalah pengoptimuman kombinatorial. Algoritma baru ini diilhamkan oleh konsep penapis Kalman simulasi (SKF), yang merupakan algoritma pengoptimuman numerik. Oleh kerana keterbatasan algoritma asal yang hanya mampu beroperasi dalam ruang carian berterusan, algoritma yang dicadangkan menggunakan tafsiran baru yang menggabungkan mutasi dan jarak Hamming, membolehkan algoritma yang dicadangkan berfungsi di ruang carian diskrit. Dua jenis analisis kemudiannya digunakan untuk menilai algoritma yang dicadangkan. Pertama, algoritma DSKFO digunakan untuk menyelesaikan masalah jurujual mengembara (TSP), dan kemudian masa pelaksanaan algoritma diukur. Algoritma SKF sedia ada kemudiannya dibandingkan dengan penemuan algoritma DSKFO. DSKFO melakukan yang terpanas, memerlukan hanya 13 saat untuk menyelesaikan contoh TSP kecil, eil51, manakala DESKF, AMSKF, BSKF dan SEDESKF masing-masing memerlukan sekitar 36, 42, 34 dan 14 saat. Untuk menyelesaikan contoh TSP yang lebih besar, r11889, DSKFO memerlukan 139 saat untuk melaksanakan satu larian, manakala DESKF, AMSKF, BSKF dan SEDESKF masing-masing memerlukan sekitar 1587, 1590, 2418 dan 208 saat. Untuk analisis kedua, prestasi algoritma yang dicadangkan dinilai menggunakan tiga masalah gabungan: masalah jurujual perjalanan (TSP), perancangan jujukan pemasangan (ASP), dan masalah penggerudian lubang. Hasilnya dibandingkan secara statistik dengan empat SKF kombinatorik yang diterbitkan sebelumnya: BSKF, AMSKF, DESKF, dan SEDESKF. DSKFO boleh dianggap sebagai algoritma terbaik untuk menyelesaikan TSP dan masalah penggerudian lubang, kerana ia mempunyai jumlah persembahan terbaik yang tertinggi. Untuk menyelesaikan ASP, DSKFO menduduki tempat ketiga, manakala AMSKF di tempat pertama, diikuti oleh DESKF di tempat kedua.

ABSTRACT

Combinatorial optimization problems are ubiquitous in many fields, including healthcare, economics, engineering, manufacturing, and others. A solution to a combinatorial optimization problem is frequently expressed in terms of a permutation, arrangement, or combination of elements. Due to the practical significance of this problem in real-world issues, numerous algorithms have been proposed to solve it. These algorithms specifically refer to those that operate in discrete search space, often known as combinatorial algorithms. Another type of algorithm is called numerical algorithms. These algorithms were built specifically to address numerical optimization problems. In the last few decades, significant research effort has been spent on the development of numerical algorithms, particularly for solving combinatorial problems. An example of a numerical algorithm is the simulated Kalman filter (SKF). Various method has been introduced as an extension of a numerical algorithm to adapt it to a discrete search space. There are currently three extensions to the SKF, resulting in three combinatorial algorithms: the binary SKF (BSKF), the distance evaluated SKF (DESKF), and the angle modulated SKF (AMSKF). However, these extensions may result in increased execution times for the algorithm. In this research, a new combinatorial algorithm named discrete simulated Kalman filter optimizer (DSKFO) is proposed to solve combinatorial optimization problem. This new algorithm is originated by the concept of the simulated Kalman filter (SKF). Due to the limitation of the SKF algorithm which only able to operate in continuous search space, the proposed algorithm makes use of a new interpretation that incorporates mutation and Hamming distance, allowing the proposed algorithm to function in discrete search space. In this research, three combinatorial problems namely the travelling salesman problem (TSP), assembly sequence planning (ASP), and the hole drilling proble are used to evaluate the proposed algorithm. Two types of analysis are used to evaluate the proposed algorithm. First, the DSKFO algorithm is used to solve the travelling salesman problem (TSP), and then the algorithm's execution time is measured. Existing SKF methods are then compared to the findings of the DSKFO algorithm. DSKFO performs the fastest, requiring just 13 seconds to solve a small TSP instance such as eil51, whereas DESKF, AMSKF, BSKF, and SEDESKF require around 36, 42, 34, and 14 seconds, respectively. To solve larger TSP instance such as rl1889, DSKFO requires 139 seconds to execute a single run, whereas DESKF, AMSKF, BSKF, and SEDESKF require around 1587, 1590, 2418, and 208 seconds, respectively. For the second analysis, the performance of the proposed method is evaluated using three combinatorial problems: the travelling salesman problem (TSP), the assembly sequence planning (ASP), and the hole drilling problem. The results are compared to four previously published combinatorial SKFs: the BSKF, the AMSKF, the DESKF, and the SEDESKF. The DSKFO may be considered the best algorithm for solving the TSP and hole drilling problem, as it has the highest number of best performances. For solving the ASP, the DSKFO ranked third, while the AMSKF came in first, followed by the DESKF in second.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF SYMBOLS	x
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xi
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Objective	4
1.4 Scope of Research	4
1.5 Thesis Outline	5
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Type of Optimization Problems	6
2.3 Approaches for Combinatorial Optimization Problem	9
2.3.1 Combinatorial Optimizers	10
2.3.2 Numerical Optimizers	12
2.4 Research Gap Analysis	15

2.5	Summary	16
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		17
3.1	Introduction	17
3.2	Kalman Filter	17
3.3	Simulated Kalman Filter (SKF)	21
3.3.1	Initialization	22
3.3.2	Evaluation, and Updating of X_{best} and X_{true}	23
3.3.3	Predict, Measure, Estimate	23
3.4	Discrete Simulated Kalman Filter Optimizer (DSKFO)	25
3.4.1	Initialization Phase	27
3.4.2	Evaluation Phase	27
3.4.3	Updating of X_{best} and X_{true}	28
3.4.4	Prediction Phase	28
3.4.5	Measurement Phase	28
3.4.6	Estimation Phase	30
3.5	Comparisons between SKF and DSKFO	34
3.6	Procedure of Binary SKF (BSKF), Angle Modulated SKF (AMSKF), Distance Evaluated SKF (DESKF) and DSKFO Algorithms	35
3.7	Problem Representation for the combinatorial SKFs algorithms	38
3.7.1	Travelling Salesman Problem (TSP)	38
3.7.2	Assembly Sequence Planning (ASP)	41
3.7.3	PCB hole drilling problem	43
3.8	Summary	44
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		45
4.1	Introduction	45

4.2	Computational Analysis	46
4.3	Experimental Parameters	47
4.4	Performances of the DSKFO and Comparison with the Combinatorial SKFs for solving TSP Instances	52
4.5	Performances of the DSKFO and Comparison with the Combinatorial SKFs for solving ASP Instance	52
4.6	Performances of the DSKFO and Comparison with the Combinatorial SKFs for solving PCB Hole Drilling Problem	53
4.7	Summary	53
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION		55
5.1	Introduction	55
5.2	Conclusion	55
5.3	Recommendation for Future Research	56
REFERENCES		58

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	List of combinatorial optimizers and its authors	10
Table 2.2	List of methods used in numerical optimizer.	14
Table 3.1	Description of Kalman filter system variables	18
Table 3.2	Summary of Comparisons of SKF and DSKFO	35
Table 3.3	List of TSP Benchmark Instance	40
Table 3.4	Precedence matrix (PM) for the ASP	42
Table 3.5	Coordinates of the 14 holes on the PCB workpiece.	44
Table 4.1	Runtime of the algorithms (seconds) for solving TSP problems.	46
Table 4.2	Experimental parameter settings for solving TSP	47
Table 4.3	Experimental parameter settings for solving ASP	48
Table 4.4	Experimental parameter settings for solving PCB hole drilling problem	48
Table 4.5	Algorithm parameter settings	49
Table 4.6	Performance of the DSKFO algorithm with Q and R parameters value of <i>rand</i> and 0.5 for solving TSP instances.	50
Table 4.7	TSP Benchmark Instances	51
Table 4.8	ASP Benchmark Instance	52
Table 4.9	PCB Hole Drilling Problem Benchmark	53

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Types of optimization problem	7
Figure 3.1	Estimation of a state in Kalman filter.	18
Figure 3.2	Flowchart of SKF algorithm	22
Figure 3.3	Flowchart of the DSKFO algorithm	25
Figure 3.4	Overview of substitution mutation in the DSKFO algorithm	26
Figure 3.5	The Hamming distance between string A and string B.	27
Figure 3.6	The adaptive value $\alpha(t)$ over iteration t plot.	30
Figure 3.7	The Kalman gain $K(t)$ over iteration t plot.	31
Figure 3.8	The measurement residual $y_i(t)$ over iteration t plot.	32
Figure 3.9	The correction value $\hat{K}_i(t)$ over iteration t plot	33
Figure 3.10	An example of complete TSP graph (a) and a route of the TSP (b).	38
Figure 3.11	The precedence relation diagram between the components.	41
Figure 3.12	PCB workpiece for the hole drilling problem.	43

LIST OF SYMBOLS

X	State estimation
t	Iteration
t_{\max}	Maximum iteration
n	Maximum number of agents
i	Current agent
D	Maximum dimension
d	Dimension for agents
X_{best}	The solution with best fitness
X_{true}	The best solution obtained so far
Z	Measurement
y	Measurement residual
H_d	Hamming distance
K	Kalman gain
P	Error covariance estimate
Q	Process noise
R	Measurement noise

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACO	Ant Colony Optimization
AMSKF	Angle Modulated Simulated Kalman Filter
ASP	Assembly Sequence Planning
ATSP	Asymmetric Travelling Salesman Problem
BSKF	Binary Simulated Kalman Filter
CVRP	Capacitated Vehicle Routing Problem
DESKF	Distance Evaluated Simulated Kalman Filter
DSKFO	Discrete Simulated Kalman Filter Optimizer
GA	Genetic Algorithm
HCP	Hamiltonian Cycle Problem
PCB	Printed Circuit Board
SA	Simulated Annealing
SEDESKF	Distance Evaluated Simulated Kalman Filter with State Encoded
SKF	Simulated Kalman Filter
SOP	Sequential Ordering Problem
TS	Tabu Search
TSP	Travelling Salesman Problem

REFERENCES

- Akhand, M. A. H., Ayon, S. I., Shahriyar, S. A., Siddique, N., & Adeli, H. (2020). Discrete Spider Monkey Optimization for Travelling Salesman Problem. *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, 86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2019.105887>
- Alazzam, H., Sharieh, A., & Sabri, K. E. (2020). A feature selection algorithm for intrusion detection system based on Pigeon Inspired Optimizer. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2020.113249>
- Alfonsas Misevičius. (2004). Using Iterated Tabu Search for the Traveling Salesman Problem. *Informacinės Technologijos Ir Valdymas*, 3(332), 29–40.
- Alharbi, F. S., & Wang, Q. (2017). A Genetic Algorithm for Solving an Assembly Sequence Problem. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 872, 420–424. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/amm.872.420>
- Alharbi, F., & Wang, Q. (2019). Solving an assembly sequence optimisation problem using the genetic algorithm. *2018 International Conference on Electronics, Control, Optimization and Computer Science, ICECOCS 2018*, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICECOCS.2018.8610519>
- Andreasson, N., Evgrafov, A., & Patriksson, M. (2020). *An Introduction to Continuous Optimization: Foundations and Fundamental Algorithms*. 400.
- Araque, J. R., Hall, L. A., & Magnanti, T. L. (1990). CAPACITATED TREES, CAPACITATED ROUTING, AND ASSOCIATED POLYHEDRA. *CORE*, 4(1), 56–79.
- Assi, M., & Haraty, R. A. (2019). A Survey of the Knapsack Problem. *ACIT 2018 - 19th International Arab Conference on Information Technology*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACIT.2018.8672677>
- Ayodele, M., Mccall, J., & Regnier-coudert, O. (2016). RK-EDA: A Novel Random Key Based Estimation of Distribution Algorithm. *Proceedings of the 14th International Parallel Problem Solving from Nature Conference (PPSN XIV)*.
- Aziz, N. H. A., Aziz, N. A. A., Ibrahim, Z., Razali, S., Abas, K. H., & Mohamad, M. S. (2017). A Kalman Filter approach to PCB drill path optimization problem. *Proceedings - 2016 IEEE Conference on Systems, Process and Control, ICSPC 2016, December*, 33–36. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SPC.2016.7920699>
- Basu, S. (2012). Tabu Search Implementation on Traveling Salesman Problem and Its Variations: A Literature Survey. *American Journal of Operations Research*, 02(02), 163–173. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ajor.2012.22019>
- Berberler, M. E., Nuriyev, U., & Yildirim, A. (2011). A software for the one-dimensional cutting stock problem. *Journal of King Saud University - Science*, 23(1), 69–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus.2010.06.009>

- Bereg, S., Cabello, S., Díaz-Báñez, J. M., Pérez-Lantero, P., Seara, C., & Ventura, I. (2012). The class cover problem with boxes. *Computational Geometry: Theory and Applications*, 45(7), 294–304. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comgeo.2012.01.014>
- Biegler, L. T., Ghattas, O., Heinkenschloss, M., & Waanders, V. B. (2003). *Large-Scale PDE-Constrained Optimization : An Introduction Algorithmic challenges for PDE-constrained optimization*. 3–13.
- Blankenship, J. W., & Falk, J. E. (1976). Infinitely constrained optimization problems. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 19(2), 261–281. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00934096>
- Bouajaja, S., & Dridi, N. (2017). A survey on human resource allocation problem and its applications. *Operational Research*, 17(2), 339–369. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12351-016-0247-8>
- Burke, E. K., & Graham, K. (2014). Search methodologies: Introductory tutorials in optimization and decision support techniques. In *Search Methodologies: Introductory Tutorials in Optimization and Decision Support Techniques, Second Edition* (2nd ed.). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-6940-7>
- Burkova, I., Titarenko, B., Hasnaoui, A., & Titarenko, R. (2019). *Resource allocation management in project*. 01003.
- Chandru, V., & Rao, M. R. (2014). Combinatorial optimization. *Computing Handbook, Third Edition: Computer Science and Software Engineering*, 13-1-13–47. <https://doi.org/10.1201/b16812>
- Crişan, G. C., Iantovics, L. B., & Kovács, L. (2019). On the neutrality of two symmetric TSP solvers toward instance specification. *Science China Information Sciences*, 62(11), 3–5. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11432-018-9829-5>
- Dong, J., Wang, Z., & Mo, J. (2021). *A Phase Angle-Modulated Bat Algorithm with Application to Antenna Topology Optimization*.
- Dorigo, M., & Di Caro, G. (1999). Ant colony optimization: A new meta-heuristic. *Proceedings of the 1999 Congress on Evolutionary Computation, CEC 1999*, 2, 1470–1477. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CEC.1999.782657>
- Escudero, L. F. (1988). An inexact algorithm for the sequential ordering problem. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 37(2), 236–249. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217\(88\)90333-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217(88)90333-5)
- Fathollahi-Fard, A. M., Govindan, K., Hajiaghahi-Keshteli, M., & Ahmadi, A. (2019). A green home health care supply chain: New modified simulated annealing algorithms. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 240(August), 118200. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118200>
- Feldman, M., Naor, J., & Schwartz, R. (2011). A unified continuous greedy algorithm for submodular maximization. *Proceedings - Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, FOCS*, 570–579. <https://doi.org/10.1109/FOCS.2011.46>

- Fischetti, M., & Jo, J. (2018). Deep neural networks and mixed integer linear optimization. *Constraints*, 23(3), 296–309. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10601-018-9285-6>
- Frandsen, P. E., & Jonasson, K. (1999). *Unconstrained optimization*.
- Fuentes, G. E. A., Gress, E. S. H., Mora, J. C. S. T., & Marín, J. M. (2018). Solution to travelling salesman problem by clusters and a modified multi-restart iterated local search metaheuristic. *PLoS ONE*, 13(8), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0201868>
- Gao, Z. M., Zhao, J., & Li, S. R. (2020). The Binary Equilibrium Optimization Algorithm with Sigmoid Transfer Functions. *PervasiveHealth: Pervasive Computing Technologies for Healthcare*, 3, 193–197. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3383972.3384064>
- Ghosh, M., Guha, R., Sarkar, R., & Abraham, A. (2020). A wrapper-filter feature selection technique based on ant colony optimization. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 32(12), 7839–7857. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-019-04171-3>
- Glover, F. (1986). Paths for Integer Programming. *Computers and Operations Research*, 13(5), 533–549.
- Golushko, S. (2019). Mathematical Modeling and Numerical Optimization of Composite Structures. *Optimum Composite Structures*. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.78259>
- Guo, D., Chen, H., & Wang, B. (2017). An improved genetic algorithm with decision function for solving travelling salesman problem. *Proceedings of the 2017 12th International Conference on Intelligent Systems and Knowledge Engineering, ISKE 2017, 2018-Janua*, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ISKE.2017.8258774>
- Gusev, V. (2022). ANALYSIS AND DESIGN OF CONTROL SYSTEMS UNCONSTRAINED OPTIMIZATION OF A TIME-VARYING OBJECTIVE FUNCTION ON A DISCRETE UNCONSTRAINED OPTIMIZATION OF A TIME-VARYING OBJECTIVE. *June*. <https://doi.org/10.25728/cs.2021.1.4>
- Holland, J. H. (1992). Genetic Algorithms. *Scientific American*, 66–72.
- Hussien, A. G., Hassanien, A. E., Houssein, E. H., Amin, M., & Azar, A. T. (2020). New binary whale optimization algorithm for discrete optimization problems. *Engineering Optimization*, 52(6), 945–959. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0305215X.2019.1624740>
- Ibrahim, Z., Abdul Aziz, N. H., Nor, N. A., Razali, S., & Mohamad, M. S. (2016). Simulated Kalman Filter: A novel estimation-based metaheuristic optimization algorithm. *Advanced Science Letters*, 22(10), 2941–2946. <https://doi.org/10.1166/asl.2016.7083>
- Ibrahim, Z., Aziz, N. H. A., Aziz, N. A. A., Razali, S., Shapiai, M. I., Nawawi, S. W., & Mohamad, M. S. (2015). A Kalman filter approach for solving unimodal optimization problems. *ICIC Express Letters*, 9(12), 3415–3422.

- Islam, M. M., Shareef, H., Nagrial, M., Rizk, J., Hellany, A., & Khalid, S. N. (2019). Performance comparison of various probability gate assisted binary lightning search algorithm. *IAES International Journal of Artificial Intelligence*, 8(3), 228–236. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijai.v8.i3.pp228-236>
- Ismail, M. M., Othman, M. A., Sulaiman, H. A., Meor Said, M. A., Misran, M. H., Ramlee, R. A., Sinnappa, M., Zahriladha, Zakaria, Ahmad, B. H., Abd Aziz, M. Z. A., Osman, K., Sulaiman, S. F., Jaafar, H. I., Jusoff, K., Nordin, N. A., Othman, M. H., Saeala, M. S., Adam, A., ... Suhaimi, S. (2013). Route planning analysis in holes drilling process using magnetic optimization algorithm for electronic manufacturing sector. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 21(SPECIAL ISSUE2), 91–97. <https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.wasj.2013.21.1012>
- Jonker, R., & Volgenant, T. (1986). Transforming asymmetric into symmetric traveling salesman problems: erratum. *Operations Research Letters*, 5(4), 215–216. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-6377\(86\)90081-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-6377(86)90081-7)
- Julia, R. (1949). ON THE HAMILTONIAN GAME (A Traveling Salesman Problem). *Project Rand*, 1–10.
- Justesen, P. D. (2009). Multi-objective Optimization using Evolutionary Algorithms Progress. *Department of Computer Science, Confirmati*, 497. <https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=559152>
- Kanagaraj, G., Ponnambalam, S. G., & Loo, C. K. (2015). Charged system search algorithm for robotic drill path optimization. *International Conference on Advanced Mechatronic Systems, ICAMechS, 2015-Octob*, 125–130. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICAMechS.2015.7287141>
- Karl, M. (1931). NEW FOUNDATION OF EUCLIDEAN GEOMETRY. *American Journal of Mathematic*, 53(4), 721–745.
- Kirkpatrick, S., Gelatt, C. D., & Vecchi, M. P. (1983). Optimization by Simulated Annealing. *Science*, 220(4598), 671–680.
- Kotary, J., Fioretto, F., van Hentenryck, P., & Wilder, B. (2021). End-to-End Constrained Optimization Learning: A Survey. *IJCAI International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 4475–4482. <https://doi.org/10.24963/ijcai.2021/610>
- Kromer, P., Uher, V., & Snasel, V. (2021). Novel Random Key Encoding Schemes for the Differential Evolution of Permutation Problems. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, X(X), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TEVC.2021.3087802>
- Küçükoğlu, İ., Dewil, R., & Cattrysse, D. (2019). Hybrid simulated annealing and tabu search method for the electric travelling salesman problem with time windows and mixed charging rates. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 134, 279–303. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2019.05.037>

- Kumar, A. (2020). Improved Genetic Algorithm to Solve Small Scale Travelling Salesman Problem. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Intelligent Computing and Control Systems, ICICCS 2020, Iciccs*, 516–520. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICICCS48265.2020.9120880>
- Lamini, C., Benhlina, S., & Elbekri, A. (2018). Genetic algorithm based approach for autonomous mobile robot path planning. *Procedia Computer Science*, 127, 180–189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2018.01.113>
- Leckie, C., Ramamohanarao, K., & B, T. G. (2019). *An Investigation into Prediction+Optimisation for the Knapsack Problem. 1*, 241–257. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-19212-9>
- Leite, N., Melício, F., & Rosa, A. C. (2019). A fast simulated annealing algorithm for the examination timetabling problem. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 122, 137–151. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2018.12.048>
- Lenstra, J. K., & Rinnooy Kan, A. H. G. (1979). Computational Complexity of Discrete Problems. *Annals of Discrete Mathematics*, 1(3), 42–66. https://ac-els-cdn-com.ezproxy2.utwente.nl/S0167506008708215/1-s2.0-S0167506008708215-main.pdf?_tid=bc6f1726-eb77-490f-a087-eeb2933dc1fe&acdnat=1548664769_8d483392da6f2ff38ea983ac69af837f
- Li, J. qing, Han, Y. qi, Duan, P. yong, Han, Y. yan, Niu, B., Li, C. dong, Zheng, Z. xin, & Liu, Y. ping. (2020). Meta-heuristic algorithm for solving vehicle routing problems with time windows and synchronized visit constraints in prefabricated systems. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 250, 119464. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119464>
- Li, Y., Soleimani, H., & Zohal, M. (2019). An improved ant colony optimization algorithm for the multi-depot green vehicle routing problem with multiple objectives. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 227, 1161–1172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.03.185>
- Lim, W. C. E., Kanagaraj, G., & Ponnambalam, S. G. (2014). PCB drill path optimization by combinatorial cuckoo search algorithm. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2014(May). <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/264518>
- Liu, D., Liu, C., Zhang, C., Xu, C., Du, Z., & Wan, Z. (2018). Efficient hybrid algorithms to solve mixed discrete-continuous optimization problems: A comparative study. *Engineering Computations (Swansea, Wales)*, 35(2), 979–1002. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EC-03-2017-0103>
- Liu, M., Li, Y., Li, A., Huo, Q., Zhang, N., Qu, N., Zhu, M., & Chen, L. (2020). A Slime Mold-Ant Colony Fusion Algorithm for Solving Traveling Salesman Problem. *IEEE Access*, 8, 202508–202521. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3035584>
- Liu, W., Wang, Z., Yuan, Y., Zeng, N., Hone, K., & Liu, X. (2021). A Novel Sigmoid-Function-Based Adaptive Weighted Particle Swarm Optimizer. *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, 51(2), 1085–1093. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TCYB.2019.2925015>

- Md Yusof, Z., Ibrahim, Z., Ibrahim, I., Mohd Azmi, K. Z., Ab Aziz, N. A., Abd Aziz, N. H., & Mohamad, M. S. (2016). Distance evaluated simulated Kalman filter algorithm for combinatorial optimization problems. *ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, *11*(7), 4911–4916. www.arpnjournals.com
- Metropolis, N., Rosenbluth, A. W., Rosenbluth, M. N., Teller, A. H., & Teller, E. (1953). Equation of State Calculations by Fast Computing Machines. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, *21*, 1087–1092.
- Mustapa, A., Yusof, Z. M., Adam, A., Muhammad, B., & Ibrahim, Z. (2018a). Solving Assembly Sequence Planning using Angle Modulated Simulated Kalman Filter. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, *319*(1), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/319/1/012044>
- Mustapa, A., Yusof, Z. M., Adam, A., Muhammad, B., & Ibrahim, Z. (2018b). Solving Assembly Sequence Planning using Angle Modulated Simulated Kalman Filter. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, *319*(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/319/1/012044>
- Mustapa, A., Yusof, Z. M., Adam, A., Muhammad, B., & Ibrahim, Z. (2019). Solving Assembly Sequence Planning Using Distance Evaluated Simulated Kalman Filter. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing & Mechatronics*, *1*(2), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/319/1/012044>
- Naseri, G., & Koffas, M. A. G. (2020). Application of combinatorial optimization strategies in synthetic biology. *Nature Communications*, *11*(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16175-y>
- Obagbuwa, I. C., & Abidoeye, A. P. (2016). Binary Cockroach Swarm Optimization for combinatorial optimization problem. *Algorithms*, *9*(3), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.3390/a9030059>
- Osaba, E., Ser, J. Del, Sadollah, A., Bilbao, M. N., & Camacho, D. (2018). A discrete water cycle algorithm for solving the symmetric and asymmetric traveling salesman problem. *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, *71*, 277–290. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2018.06.047>
- Ouaarab, A., Ahiod, B., & Yang, X. S. (2015). Random-key cuckoo search for the travelling salesman problem. *Soft Computing*, *19*(4), 1099–1106. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-014-1322-9>
- Oulasvirta, A., Dayama, N. R., Shiripour, M., John, M., & Karrenbauer, A. (2020). Combinatorial Optimization of Graphical User Interface Designs. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, *108*(3), 434–464. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JPROC.2020.2969687>
- Öztop, H., Fatih Tasgetiren, M., Eliiyi, D. T., & Pan, Q. K. (2019). Metaheuristic algorithms for the hybrid flowshop scheduling problem. *Computers and Operations Research*, *111*, 177–196. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cor.2019.06.009>

- Pampara, G., Franken, N., & Engelbrecht, A. P. (2005). Combining particle swarm optimisation with angle modulation to solve binary problems. *2005 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation, IEEE CEC 2005. Proceedings, 1*, 89–96. <https://doi.org/10.1109/cec.2005.1554671>
- Pardalos, P. M., Prokopyev, O. A., & Busygin, S. (2006). Continuous approaches for solving discrete optimization problems. *International Series in Operations Research and Management Science*, 88, 39–60. https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-32942-0_2
- Pazand, K., & Mohammadi, A. (2009). Extended haessler heuristic algorithm for cutting stock problem: A case study in film industry. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 3(4), 3944–3953.
- Qiu, M., Fu, Z., Eglese, R., & Tang, Q. (2018). A Tabu Search algorithm for the vehicle routing problem with discrete split deliveries and pickups. *Computers and Operations Research*, 100(2008), 102–116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cor.2018.07.021>
- Rahmani Hosseinabadi, A. A., Vahidi, J., Saemi, B., Sangaiah, A. K., & Elhoseny, M. (2019). Extended Genetic Algorithm for solving open-shop scheduling problem. *Soft Computing*, 23(13), 5099–5116. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-018-3177-y>
- Rana, S., & Ranjan Srivastava, S. (2017). Solving Travelling Salesman Problem Using Improved Genetic Algorithm. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 10(30), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.17485/ijst/2017/v10i30/115512>
- Reinelt, G. (1991). TSPLIB—A Traveling Salesman Problem Library. *ORSA Journal on Computing*, 3(4), 376–384.
- Rossit, D. A., Tohmé, F., & Frutos, M. (2018). The Non-Permutation Flow-Shop scheduling problem: A literature review. *Omega (United Kingdom)*, 77, 143–153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omega.2017.05.010>
- Rubin, F. (1974). A Search Procedure for Hamilton Paths and Circuits. *Journal of the ACM (JACM)*, 21(4), 576–580. <https://doi.org/10.1145/321850.321854>
- Santucci, V., Baiocchi, M., & Milani, A. (2020). An algebraic framework for swarm and evolutionary algorithms in combinatorial optimization. *Swarm and Evolutionary Computation*, 55(February 2019), 100673. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.swevo.2020.100673>
- Schermer, D., Moeini, M., & Wendt, O. (2019). A hybrid VNS/Tabu search algorithm for solving the vehicle routing problem with drones and en route operations. *Computers and Operations Research*, 109(May), 134–158. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cor.2019.04.021>
- Sener, O., & Koltun, V. (2018). Multi-task learning as multi-objective optimization. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 2018-Decem(NeurIPS)*, 527–538.

- Sharif, S. A., & Hammad, A. (2019). Simulation-Based Multi-Objective Optimization of institutional building renovation considering energy consumption, Life-Cycle Cost and Life-Cycle Assessment. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 21, 429–445. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2018.11.006>
- Shen, L., Dauzère-Pérès, S., & Neufeld, J. S. (2018). Solving the flexible job shop scheduling problem with sequence-dependent setup times. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 265(2), 503–516. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2017.08.021>
- Shirdel, G. H., & Abdolhosseinzadeh, M. (2018). A simulated annealing heuristic for the online symmetric traveling salesman problem. *Journal of Information and Optimization Sciences*, 39(6), 1283–1296. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02522667.2017.1367494>
- Shuai, T. P., & Hu, X. D. (2006). Connected set cover problem and its applications. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 4041 LNCS(70221001), 243–254. https://doi.org/10.1007/11775096_23
- Staněk, R., Greistorfer, P., Ladner, K., & Pferschy, U. (2019). Geometric and LP-based heuristics for angular travelling salesman problems in the plane. *Computers and Operations Research*, 108, 97–111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cor.2019.01.016>
- Sun, Y., Dong, W., & Chen, Y. (2017). An Improved Routing Algorithm Based on Ant Colony Optimization in Wireless Sensor Networks. *IEEE Communications Letters*, 21(6), 1317–1320. <https://doi.org/10.1109/LCOMM.2017.2672959>
- Tilahun, S. L., & Ngotchouye, J. M. T. (2017). Firefly algorithm for discrete optimization problems: A survey. *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, 21(2), 535–545. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12205-017-1501-1>
- Toth, P., & Vigo, D. (2002). An Overview of Vehicle Routing Problems. *Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9780898718515.ch1>
- Trachanatzi, D., Rigakis, M., Marinaki, M., & Marinakis, Y. (2020). A firefly algorithm for the environmental prize-collecting vehicle routing problem. *Swarm and Evolutionary Computation*, 57(April), 100712. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.swevo.2020.100712>
- Trigueiro de Sousa Junior, W., Barra Montevechi, J. A., de Carvalho Miranda, R., & Teberga Campos, A. (2019). Discrete simulation-based optimization methods for industrial engineering problems: A systematic literature review. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, 128(January), 526–540. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2018.12.073>
- Wang, D., Junjie, P., & Dingwei, W. (2015). An Ant Colony Optimization Algorithm for Multiple Travelling Salesman Problem. *An Ant Colony Optimization Algorithm for Multiple Travelling Salesman Problem*. 6(2), 13–18. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/220792786>

- Welch, G., & Bishop, G. (2001). An Introduction to the Kalman Filter. *Special Interest Group on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques 2001*, 1–47. <https://doi.org/10.1.1.117.6808>
- Wright, S. (1999). Continuous Optimization (Nonlinear and Linear Programming). *Foundations of Computer-Aided Process Design*, 1–14. http://pages.cs.wisc.edu/~swright/papers/wright_continuous.pdf
- Wu, Y., Song, W., Cao, Z., Zhang, J., & Lim, A. (2021). Learning Improvement Heuristics for Solving the Travelling Salesman Problem. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TNNLS.2021.3068828>
- Yadav, A., Sadollah, A., Yadav, N., & Kim, J. H. (2020). Self-adaptive global mine blast algorithm for numerical optimization. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 32(7), 2423–2444. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-019-04009-y>
- Yavuz, G., & Aydin, D. (2016). Angle Modulated Artificial Bee Colony Algorithms for Feature Selection. *Applied Computational Intelligence and Soft Computing*, 2016, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/9569161>
- Yu, V. F., Redi, A. A. N. P., Hidayat, Y. A., & Wibowo, O. J. (2017). A simulated annealing heuristic for the hybrid vehicle routing problem. *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, 53, 119–132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2016.12.027>
- Yusof, Z. M., Ibrahim, I., Satiman, S. N., Ibrahim, Z., Aziz, N. H. A., & Aziz, N. A. A. (2016). BSKF: Simulated kalman filter. *Proceedings - AIMS 2015, 3rd International Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Modelling and Simulation*, 77–81. <https://doi.org/10.1109/AIMS.2015.23>
- Yusof, Z. M., Ibrahim, Z., Adam, A., Azmi, K. Z. M., Ab Rahman, T., Muhammad, B., Ab Aziz, N. A., Abd Aziz, N. H., Mokhtar, N., Shapiai, M. I., & Muhammad, M. S. (2018). Distance evaluated simulated kalman filter with state encoding for combinatorial optimization problems. *International Journal of Engineering and Technology(UAE)*, 7(4), 22–29. <https://doi.org/10.14419/ijet.v7i4.27.22431>
- Yusof, Z. M., Ibrahim, Z., Ibrahim, I., Azmi, K. Z. M., Ab Aziz, N. A., Aziz, N. H. A., & Mohamad, M. S. (2016). Angle modulated simulated kalman filter algorithm for combinatorial optimization problems. *ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 11(7), 4854–4859.
- Zhu, G. Y. (2006). Drilling path optimization based on swarm intelligent algorithm. *2006 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Biomimetics, ROBIO 2006, 1*, 193–196. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ROBIO.2006.340357>
- Žulj, I., Kramer, S., & Schneider, M. (2018). A hybrid of adaptive large neighborhood search and tabu search for the order-batching problem. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 264(2), 653–664. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2017.06.056>