



King's Research Portal

DOI: 10.1093/schizbullopen/sgad022

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication record in King's Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA):

Davies, C., Bossong, M. G., Abreu Martins, D., Wilson, R., Appiah-Kusi, E., Blest Hopley, G., Allen, P., Zelaya, F., Lythgoe, D., Brammer, M., Perez, J., McGuire, P., & Bhattacharyya, S. (2023). Hippocampal Glutamate, Resting Perfusion and the Effects of Cannabidiol in Psychosis Risk. *Schizophrenia Bulletin Open*, *4*(1), [sgad022]. https://doi.org/10.1093/schizbullopen/sgad022

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

•Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research. •You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain •You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Hippocampal Glutamate, Resting Perfusion and the Effects of Cannabidiol in Psychosis Risk

Cathy Davies^{1,2,•}, Matthijs G. Bossong³, Daniel Martins^{2,4}, Robin Wilson¹, Elizabeth Appiah-Kusi^{1,•}, Grace Blest-Hopley¹, Paul Allen^{1,2}, Fernando Zelaya², David J. Lythgoe², Michael Brammer², Jesus Perez^{5,6}, Philip McGuire^{7,8,9}, and Sagnik Bhattacharyya^{*,1}

¹Department of Psychosis Studies, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK; ²Department of Neuroimaging, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK; ³Department of Psychiatry, University Medical Center Utrecht Brain Center, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands; ⁴National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Maudsley Biomedical Research Centre (BRC), South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; ⁵CAMEO Early Intervention Service, Cambridgeshire and Peterborough NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK; ⁶Institute of Biomedical Research (IBSAL), Department of Medicine, Universidad de Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain; ⁷Department of Psychiatry, University of Oxford, UK; ⁸NIHR Oxford Health Biomedical Research Centre, Oxford, UK; ⁹Oxford Health NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford, UK

*To whom correspondence should be addressed; Department of Psychosis Studies, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, De Crespigny Park, London, UK, SE5 8AF; tel: +44207-848-0955, e-mail: sagnik.2.bhattacharyya@kcl.ac.uk

Background: Preclinical and human data suggest that psychosis onset involves hippocampal glutamatergic dysfunction, driving hyperactivity and hyperperfusion in a hippocampal-midbrain-striatal circuit. Whether glutamatergic dysfunction is related to cerebral perfusion in patients at clinical high risk (CHR) for psychosis, and whether cannabidiol (CBD) has ameliorative effects on glutamate or its relationship with perfusion remains unknown. Methods: Using a double-blind, parallel-group design, 33 CHR patients were randomized to a single 600 mg dose of CBD or placebo; 19 healthy controls did not receive any drug. Proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy was used to measure glutamate concentrations in left hippocampus. We examined differences relating to CHR status (controls vs placebo), effects of CBD (placebo vs CBD), and linear between-group effects, such that placebo>CBD>controls or controls>CBD>placebo. We also examined group × glutamate × cerebral perfusion (measured using Arterial Spin Labeling) interactions. *Results*: Compared to controls, CHR-placebo patients had significantly lower hippocampal glutamate (P = .015) and a significant linear relationship was observed across groups, such that glutamate was highest in controls, lowest in CHR-placebo, and intermediate in CHR-CBD (P = .031). Moreover, there was a significant interaction between group (controls vs CHR-placebo), hippocampal glutamate, and perfusion in the putamen and insula ($P_{\rm FWE}$ =.012), with a strong positive correlation in CHR-placebo vs a negative correlation in controls. Conclusions: Our findings suggest that hippocampal glutamate is lower in CHR patients and may be partially normalized by a single dose of CBD. Furthermore, we provide the first in vivo evidence of an abnormal relationship between hippocampal glutamate and perfusion in the striatum and insula in CHR.

Key words: magnetic resonance spectroscopy/clinical high risk for psychosis/at-risk mental state/cerebral blood flow

Introduction

Preclinical and human data suggest that the onset of psychosis involves hippocampal glutamatergic dysfunction, driving hyperactivity and hyperperfusion in a hippocampal-midbrain-striatal circuit.¹⁻³ Specifically, preclinical models demonstrate that NMDA receptor hypofunction on GABAergic interneurons leads to elevated hippocampal glutamate and hypermetabolism.³ In turn, hippocampal glutamatergic pyramidal cell disinhibition is thought to lead to excess excitatory drive in projections to the midbrain-striatum, causing hyperresponsivity of midbrain dopamine neurons,⁴ striatal hyperdopaminergia and the emergence of psychotic-like phenotypes (supplementary figure S1).^{1,3–5} Consistent with this, evidence from human studies suggests that across the psychosis continuum, patients have altered concentrations of hippocampal glutamate or Glx (a composite of glutamate and glutamine),^{6,7} hippocampal and striatal hyperperfusion^{3,8–11} and elevated striatal dopamine synthesis capacity (supplementary figure S1).^{12,13} Importantly, these pathophysiological features appear to

© The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the University of Maryland's school of medicine, Maryland Psychiatric Research Center.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

emerge prior to the onset of psychosis in people at clinical high risk (CHR), progressively worsening and/or spreading as they transition to full-blown psychosis.^{2,3,9,13}

In CHR individuals, previous research has identified abnormal concentrations of hippocampal glutamate and/or Glx, although in contrast to the more consistent increases found in established psychosis,^{6,7,14–16} both increases,¹⁷ decreases^{18,19} and often no differences^{20–23}—including at the meta-analytic level^{24,25}—have been reported. Nevertheless, within CHR groups, hippocampal glutamate is greater in those with poor vs good outcomes²³ and (Glx) may be related to symptom severity.²⁶ Separately, elevated perfusion (or cerebral blood flow; CBF) has been observed in the hippocampus,^{8,10} striatum,^{8,27} and prefrontal cortex⁸ in CHR patients, and there is some evidence that this may be associated with altered neurochemistry. For example, previous work has linked prefrontal GABA levels²⁸ and striatal dopamine function²⁹ to hippocampal perfusion in CHR patients, and anterior cingulate glutamate/Glx to hippocampal perfusion in people with high schizotypy.³⁰ Although differences in hippocampal glutamate and regional CBF have been identified (separately) in prior CHR studies, whether and how these parameters are associated with each other, and whether any such relationship is abnormal in CHR patients, has yet to be directly tested. A deeper understanding of how hippocampal glutamatergic dysfunction is related to other pathophysiological features-particularly within the hippocampalmidbrain-striatal circuit—would enhance understanding of the mechanisms underlying psychosis risk and may illuminate novel targets for preventative treatments. Given the current lack of effective pharmacotherapies for CHR patients,^{31,32} this remains a critical research priority.

One of the most promising candidate treatments is cannabidiol (CBD), a phytocannabinoid constituent of the cannabis plant.³³ In contrast to the psychotomimetic and potential anxiogenic effects³⁴⁻³⁸ of delta-9tetrahydrocannabinol, the main intoxicating cannabinoid in cannabis, CBD is non-intoxicating and has anxiolytic^{39,40} and antipsychotic properties.^{41–43} CBD modulates brain activation in response to cognitive and emotional fMRI tasks, particularly in medial temporal cortex and striatal regions, in both healthy and established psychosis cohorts.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁹ In CHR patients, we previously demonstrated that a 600 mg dose of CBD partially normalizes hippocampal resting perfusion⁵⁰ and mediotemporal and striatal function during various fMRI tasks,^{51,52} such that perfusion/activation in the CBD group was intermediate between that of healthy controls and CHR patients under placebo. Accumulating evidence further suggests that CBD may have effects on glutamate. In people with first-episode psychosis, we previously found that CBD increased hippocampal glutamate, an effect linked to the greater reduction of positive symptoms observed under its influence.⁵³ Independent work shows that CBD modulates Glx in basal ganglia and prefrontal cortex across ASD

and neurotypical individuals.⁵⁴ Altogether, these findings suggest that CBD may have effects on glutamate and cerebral blood flow in humans, two pathophysiological features strongly implicated in psychosis onset. However, whether CBD can normalize glutamatergic dysfunction (or its relationship with blood flow) in CHR patients is yet to be examined.

To fill this gap in knowledge, we examined hippocampal glutamatergic dysfunction in the CHR state and the effects of CBD using Proton Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy (¹H-MRS) and three parallel groups: CHR patients randomized to a single oral 600 mg dose of CBD or placebo and healthy controls. We first established whether hippocampal glutamate levels are altered in CHR-placebo patients relative to controls. We then tested our primary hypothesis that CBD would at least partially normalize alterations in glutamate levels, such that a significant linear relationship (placebo>CBD>controls, or controls>CBD>placebo) would exist across groups. Finally, to probe the broader mechanistic relevance of glutamatergic dysfunction, we examined whether the relationship between glutamate and regional CBF (measured using whole-brain Arterial Spin Labeling; ASL) differed between groups and assessed the effects of CBD on this interaction.

Methods

Participants

The study (ISRCTN46322781) received Research Ethics (Camberwell St Giles) approval and all participants provided written informed consent. Thirty-three antipsychotic-naive CHR⁵⁵ individuals, aged 18-35, were recruited from early detection services in the United Kingdom (supplementary methods). Nineteen age (within 3 years), sex, and ethnicity-matched healthy controls were recruited locally. Exclusion criteria included history of psychotic or manic episodes, current DSM-IV diagnosis of substance dependence, IQ < 70, neurological disorder, and contraindication to MRI or CBD. Participants were required to abstain from cannabis for 96 hours (supplementary material), other recreational substances for 2 weeks, alcohol for 24 hours, and caffeine/nicotine for 6 hours before attending. Urine drug screening was conducted prior to scanning.

Design, Materials, and Procedure

Using a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, 3-arm parallel-group design, CHR participants were randomized to a single oral 600 mg dose of CBD (THC-Pharm, Germany) or a matched placebo capsule. Psychopathology was measured at baseline (before drug administration) using the Comprehensive Assessment of At-Risk Mental States⁵⁵ and State-Trait Anxiety Inventory-State Subscale.⁵⁶ Following a standard light breakfast, participants were administered the capsule of CBD or placebo (at ~11 AM) and 180 minutes later, underwent a battery of MRI sequences. Healthy control participants were investigated under identical conditions but did not receive any drug. Plasma CBD levels were sampled at baseline and at 120 and 300 minutes after drug administration.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

All scans were conducted on a General Electric Signa HDx 3T MR system with an 8-channel head coil. A whole-brain 3D sagittal T1-weighted scan (TE = 2.85ms; $TR = 6.98 \text{ ms}; TI = 400 \text{ ms}; flip angle = 11^\circ$, voxel size = $1.0 \times 1.0 \times 1.2$ mm) was acquired for voxel planning, coregistration and spatial normalization of the ASL data, and calculation of ¹H-MRS voxel tissue content. ¹H-MRS spectra were acquired in the left hippocampus (figure 1) using conventional Point-Resolved Spectroscopy acquisition (PRESS; TR = 3000 ms; TE = 30 ms; 96 averages) in a 6-minute scan. We employed the standard GE PROBE (Proton Brain Examination) sequence, which uses a standardized, chemically selective suppression (CHESS) water suppression routine. Unsuppressed water reference spectra (16 averages) were also acquired as part of the standard acquisition for subsequent eddy current correction and water scaling. Shimming was optimized, with auto-prescan performed twice before each scan. Using standardized protocols, the hippocampal voxel (rightleft, anterior–posterior, superior–inferior: $20 \times 20 \times 15$ mm) was prescribed from the structural T1-weighted scan, positioned over the center of the left hippocampus as consistently as possible (across subjects) by experienced radiographers. The voxel size was fixed across participants. Structural T1-weighted images were segmented using Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM8) to enable calculation and correction for ¹H-MRS voxel tissue content (supplementary methods). CBF was measured using 3D pseudo-Continuous ASL with acquisition parameters and preprocessing procedures in line with previous studies, as detailed in supplementary methods.

¹H-MRS Data Processing

Spectra were analyzed using LCModel/6.3-0A⁵⁷ using the standard basis set of 16 metabolites (supplementary methods). Poorly fitted metabolite peaks (Cramer-Rao minimum variance bounds [CRLB] > 20% as reported by LCModel) were excluded from further analysis. Waterscaled glutamate (primary outcome), glutamate plus glutamine (Glx), myo-inositol, creatine, choline, and N-acetylaspartate values were corrected for voxel tissue composition (supplementary methods). Spectral quality was further assessed using signal-to-noise ratio and spectral linewidths (full width at half-maximum; FWHM).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses of ¹H-MRS and other non-imaging data were performed in SPSS/27. Pairwise differences in clinical and demographic variables were examined using independent *t*-tests for continuous data and chi-square



Fig. 1. Illustrative example of ¹H-MRS voxel positioning and spectra in left hippocampus. In panel (A), example voxel placement in the left hippocampus is indicated by the box. Panel (B) shows the ¹H-MRS spectrum obtained (black line) from the voxel in A and the overlay of the spectral fit (red line). Glu indicates glutamate and ppm, parts per million.

tests for categorical data. Potential group differences in data quality, including FWHM, signal-to-noise ratio, CRLB, and voxel tissue proportions were examined using independent *t*-tests (pairwise), and one-way ANOVA for any differences between groups. Before testing our primary hypothesis, we used independent samples t-tests to first establish (1) whether hippocampal glutamate levels were altered in the CHR-placebo group vs controls, and (2) whether CHR patients under a single dose of CBD had altered hippocampal glutamate levels compared to CHR patients under placebo. Then, to test our primary hypothesis that glutamate levels in the CBD-treated group would be intermediate between that of the healthy control and placebo-treated group, we examined whether a linear relationship (controls>CBD>placebo; or placebo>CBD>controls) existed across groups, using one-way ANOVA and unweighted polynomial contrasts for linear degree. Linear trend (ie, relationship) analyses are distinct from standard ANOVA in that they directly test for specific relationships (such as linear or quadratic) across group means, which are not tested by the standard F-test.⁵⁸ In the case of unequal variances, the same ANOVA was run using manual group weights (coefficients -1, 0, 1) which provides statistics (*t*-distribution) without assuming equal variances. Exploratory analyses of the secondary metabolites (Glx, myo-inositol, creatine, choline, and N-acetylaspartate) were conducted using the same tests (described above) as for glutamate. The influence of individual metabolite values whose corresponding z-scores were >3 or <-3 (which may indicate outliers) were investigated by rerunning statistical tests without them in sensitivity analyses. Significance was set at P < .05 (2-tailed) and effect sizes were reported as Cohen's d.

Glutamate × *CBF* × *Group Interactions*

Group differences in the relationship between hippocampal glutamate and cerebral blood flow (glutamate \times CBF \times group interactions) were analyzed using SPM12 in Matlab/R2018b. Using CBF as the dependent variable, glutamate levels were entered as a covariate of interest in independent *t*-tests for the 2 pairwise contrasts (placebo vs control; CBD vs placebo), and a flexible factorial ANCOVA model for the linear between-group analyses. In line with previous CHR studies of CBF,^{8,10} mean-centered age, sex, smoking status, and years of education (the latter included due to significant group differences in our sample), as well as mean global CBF, were entered as nuisance covariates. We conducted a whole-brain search using an explicit gray matter mask (MNI152, thresholded at >.50) and cluster-level inference (cluster-forming threshold P < .005; clusters reported as significant at P < .05 using FWE cluster correction in SPM).

Results

There were no between-group differences in the majority of demographic and baseline clinical characteristics, except for fewer years of education in the placebo group relative to controls (table 1), as previously reported.^{51,52,59} None of the patients were currently taking antidepressants, anxiolytics or mood stabilizers, and all were antipsychotic naïve. Five CHR patients (15%) had a self-reported previous history of depression and one (3%) had a history of depression and anxiety. In the CBD group, mean plasma CBD levels were 126.4 nM (*SD* = 221.8) and 823.0 nM (*SD* = 881.5) at 120 and 300 minutes after drug intake, respectively. ¹H-MRS data were available for all participants. ASL data were available for n = 14 in the placebo group, n = 14 in the CBD group, and n = 19 healthy controls.

¹*H*-*MRS* Data Quality

Representative spectra for the hippocampal voxel are provided in figure 1. Spectra were of good quality: Aside from omission of choline data for 2 CHR subjects (see table 2) due to CRLB > 20%, no glutamate or other metabolite data were excluded. No significant differences in spectral quality nor voxel tissue content were observed between groups (table 2). All metabolite values fell within a z-score of +/-3.

Hippocampal Glutamate – Pairwise Effects of CHR Status and CBD

Compared to healthy controls, CHR patients in the placebo group had significantly lower hippocampal glutamate (mean \pm SD in controls = 8.41 \pm 1.27; placebo = 7.42 \pm 1.02; t(34)= 2.55, P = .015, d = 0.85) (figure 2A, table 3). Although hippocampal glutamate levels were numerically higher in the CBD (7.83 \pm 1.67) vs placebo (7.42 \pm 1.02) group, the pairwise difference was not significant (P = .41, d = 0.30; table 3).

Hippocampal Glutamate – Between-Group Linear Analyses

In our primary a priori analyses, we found a significant linear relationship across groups, such that hippocampal glutamate was highest in healthy controls, lowest in placebo-treated patients, and intermediate in patients treated with CBD (ANOVA unweighted linear term F(1,49) = 4.91, P = .031, d = 0.74) (figure 2A, table 3).

Glutamate × *CBF* × *Group Interactions*

There was a significant interaction between group (control vs placebo), hippocampal glutamate, and CBF in a cluster spanning the left putamen and insula (peak MNI coordinates X/Y/Z = -38/-12/10, T(24) = 5.63, k = 679, $P_{\text{FWE}} = .012$). Post hoc analysis demonstrated that this

TABLE 1. Sociodemographic and Clinical Characteristics at Baseline

	CPD	Dlaasha	Control	Pairwise Comparison	
Characteristic	(n = 16)	(n = 17)	$(n = 19)^1$	Control vs Placebo	Placebo vs CBD
Age, years; mean (SD)	22.7 (5.08)	24.1 (4.48)	23.9 (4.15)	p=.91 ²	p=.42 ²
Sex, N (%) male	10 (63)	7 (41)	11 (58)	p=.32 ³	p=.22 ³
Ethnicity, N (%)					
White	10 (63)	7 (41)	11 (58)		p=.43 ³
Black	2 (13)	5 (29)	5 (26)	p=.59 ³	
Asian	0 (0)	1 (6)	0 (0)	-	
Mixed	4 (25)	4 (24)	3 (16)		
Education, years; mean (SD)	14.4 (2.71)	12.6 (2.76)	16.9 (1.58)	p<.001 ²	$p=.06^{2}$
Handedness, N (%) right	14 (88)	17 (100)	18 (95)	$p=.37^{3}$	$p=.16^{3}$
CAARMS Positive, mean (SD)	40.19 (20.80)	42.94 (29.47)	NA	NA	$p=.76^{2}$
CAARMS Negative, mean (SD)	23.25 (16.49)	28.41 (20.49)	NA	NA	$p=.43^{2}$
CHR Subtype ⁶ , N APS/BLIPS/GRD	13/1/2	13/0/4	NA	NA	$p=.44^{3}$
Transition to psychosis ⁷ , N yes	2	4	NA	NA	NA
STAI-S, mean (SD)	40.31 (9.07)	38.94 (10.18)	NA	NA	$p=.69^{2}$
Urine drug screen results, N (%)	. ,	~ /			1
Clean	10 (63)	8 (47)	NA ⁴	NC ¹	$p=.45^{3}$
THC	2 (13)	5 (29)	NA ⁴		1
Morphine	1 (6)	0(0)	NA ⁴		
Benzodiazepines	0 (0)	1 (6)	NA ⁴		
PCP	0(0)	1 (6)	NA ⁴		
Missing	3 (19)	2 (12)	NA ⁴		
Current nicotine use, N (%) yes	9 (56)	5 (29)	2(11)	$p=.15^{3}$	$p=.12^{3}$
Current alcohol use, N (%) ves	11 (69)	10 (59)	ŇÁ	NC ¹	$p=.59^{3}$
Lifetime cannabis use, N (%) yes	15 (94)	17 (100)	NA ⁵	NC ¹	$p=.48^{3}$
Current cannabis use. N (%) yes	7 (44)	7 (41)	NA ⁵	NC	$p = .88^{3}$
Cannabis use frequency. N current users (% total group) ⁸					I
More than once a week	5 (31)	5 (29)	NA	NC ¹	$p=.14^{3}$
Once/twice monthly	0 (0)	2 (12)	NA		L .
Few times a year	2 (13)	0(0)	NA		
Only once/twice lifetime	0 (0)	0 (0)	NA		

Abbreviations: CAARMS, Comprehensive Assessment of At-Risk Mental States; CBD, cannabidiol; CHR, Clinical High Risk for Psychosis; N, number of subjects; NA, not applicable; NC, not compared¹; PCP, phencyclidine; STAI-S, State-Trait Anxiety Inventory-State Subscale; THC, Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol. Bold text indicates significant difference (p<.05).

¹Controls were selected to have minimal drug use and hence were not compared with CHR participants on these parameters;

²Independent t-test;

³Pearson chi-squared test;

⁴Controls tested negative on urine drug screen for all substances tested;

⁵Cannabis use less than 10 times lifetime (no current users);

⁶CAARMS subgroup: BLIPS brief limited intermittent psychotic symptoms, APS attenuated psychotic symptoms, GRD genetic risk and deterioration;

⁷Data on later transition to psychosis was not systematically collected and thus these numbers should be interpreted with caution, particularly as transition is a time-dependent outcome;

⁸The count data (N) represent the number of current cannabis users (of which there were 7 in each CHR group) who reported typical cannabis use at each given frequency. The p-value shown relates to this count data. Percentages reflect the % of the total group sample who are current cannabis users and typically using at each frequency.

was characterized by a strong positive correlation in the placebo group (r = 0.68, P = .008, n = 14) vs a negative correlation in healthy controls (r = -0.51, P = .027, n = 19) (figure 2B). There were no significant interactions in the CBD vs placebo contrast nor the 3-group linear analyses.

Exploratory Effects on Other Metabolite Levels

Analysis of the secondary/exploratory metabolites revealed significantly lower myo-inositol in the placebo (M \pm SD= 5.86 \pm 1.01) relative to the control (6.69 \pm 1.01) group (t(34)=2.46, P=.019, d=0.82). Although the direct pairwise CBD (6.09 \pm 1.45) vs placebo comparison for myo-inositol was not significant (P=.59, d=0.18), there was a significant linear relationship across groups, such that it was highest in healthy controls, lowest in placebo patients and intermediate in patients treated with CBD (ANOVA unweighted linear term F(1,49) = 4.58, P = .037, d = 0.72). There were no other significant effects in pairwise or linear contrast analyses for the other metabolites (Glx, N-acetylaspartate, choline, and creatine)(table 3).

	Control $(n = 19)$	Placebo $(n = 17)$	CBD (<i>n</i> = 16)	Control vs Placebo	Placebo vs CBD	ANOVA
Spectral and	d structural voxel date	a				
FWHM	0.07 ± 0.02	0.07 ± 0.01	0.07 ± 0.01	P = .84	P = .18	P = .50
SNR	12.53 ± 2.57	13.53 ± 2.40	13.38 ± 2.13	P = .24	P = .85	P = .40
WM	0.33 ± 0.07	0.33 ± 0.06	0.36 ± 0.07	P = .94	P = .16	P = .27
GM	0.63 ± 0.06	0.63 ± 0.05	0.61 ± 0.07	P = .96	P = .30	P = .48
CSF	0.04 ± 0.02	0.04 ± 0.01	0.03 ± 0.02	P = .63	P = .09	P = .07
Cramér Rad	D Lower Bounds (CR.	LB)				
Glu	9.53 ± 2.06	9.76 ± 1.68	9.81 ± 2.61	P = .71	P = .95	$P = .91 ^{b}$
Glx	10.26 ± 2.10	10.29 ± 2.14	10.38 ± 3.30	P = .97	P = .93	$P = .99^{b}$
NAA	4.21 ± 1.32	3.88 ± 0.70	3.50 ± 0.63	P = .35	P = .11	$P = .09^{b}$
Cho ^a	4.00 ± 1.00	3.94 ± 0.77	3.93 ± 0.80	P = .84	P = .99	P = .97
ml	5.58 ± 1.22	5.76 ± 1.20	5.94 ± 2.11	P = .65	P = .77	P = .79
Cre	3.89 ± 0.66	4.06 ± 0.66	3.88 ± 0.72	P = .46	P = .45	P = .69

Table 2. Spectral and Structural Voxel Data. Mean ± SD Estimates of Linewidths, Signal-to-Noise Ratios, CRLB, and Voxel Proportions of White Matter, Gray Matter, and CSF in the Hippocampus Across the 3 Groups

Abbreviations: FWHM, full width at half-maximum (linewidth) in ppm (parts per million); WM, white matter; GM, gray matter; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; CRLB, Cramér Rao Lower Bounds; SNR, signal-to-noise ratio; Glu, Glutamate; Glx, Glutamate + Glutamine; NAA, N-acetylaspartate; Cho, Choline; ml, myo-Inositol; Cre, Creatine.

^aCholine data for 2 CHR subjects (one from each of the CBD and placebo groups) was omitted due to CRLB >20%; ^bWelch's test due to inhomogeneity of variances.

Table 3. Tissue-Corrected Metabolite Values in the Hippocampus (mean \pm SD) Across the 3 Groups, With Pairwise Comparisons (Healthy Control vs Placebo; Placebo vs CBD) and 3-Way Contrasts for a Between-Group Linear Relationship (Controls > CBD > Placebo, or Placebo > CBD > Controls)

			CDD	Group Comparisons			
Metabolites	(n = 19)	(n = 17)	(n = 16)	Control vs Placebo	Placebo vs CBD	ANOVA Linear Contrast	
A priori							
Glu	8.41 ± 1.27	7.42 ± 1.02	7.83 ± 1.67	<i>t</i> (34) = 2.55, <i>P</i> = .015 *	t(24.59) = 0.85, P = .41	<i>F</i> (1,49) = 4.91, <i>P</i> = .031 *	
Exploratory							
Glx	11.32 ± 1.79	10.35 ± 1.60	10.92 ± 3.02	t(34) = 1.70, P = .098	t(22.50) = 0.66, P = .51	t(34) = 1.71, P = .096 b	
NAA	9.52 ± 0.77	8.96 ± 1.07	8.89 ± 0.90	t(34) = 1.84, P = .074	t(31) = 0.18, P = .86	F(1,49) = 3.44, P = .070	
Cho ^a	2.44 ± 0.26	2.26 ± 0.41^{a}	2.27 ± 0.44^{a}	t(24.4) = 1.49, P = .15	t(29) = 0.05, P = .96	F(1,47) = 1.94, P = .17	
ml	6.69 ± 1.01	5.86 ± 1.01	6.09 ± 1.45	<i>t</i> (34) = 2.46, <i>P</i> = .019 *	t(31) = 0.54, P = .59	<i>F</i> (1,49) = 4.58, <i>P</i> = .037 *	
Cre	7.61 ± 0.77	6.92 ± 1.32	7.19 ± 1.36	t(25.28) = 1.88, P = .072	t(31) = 0.59, P = .56	t(25.28) = 1.88, P = .072 ^b	

Abbreviations: Glu, Glutamate; Glx, Glutamate + Glutamine; NAA, N-acetylaspartate; Cho, Choline; ml, myo-Inositol; Cre, Creatine. ^aCholine data for 2 CHR subjects (one from each of the CBD and placebo groups) was omitted due to CRLB>20%. ^bLinear contrast accounting for unequal variances. *significant at P < .05 level.

Note that these results were not corrected for multiple testing as they are exploratory outcomes only.

Discussion

This is the first study to investigate the effects of CBD on hippocampal neurochemistry—and its association with regional cerebral perfusion—in people at CHR for psychosis. We first established that hippocampal glutamate levels are lower in CHR patients under placebo relative to healthy controls. To examine our primary hypothesis that CBD would at least partially normalize any such glutamatergic alterations, we then tested for a linear relationship across groups. In line with our predictions, our first key finding was that hippocampal glutamate levels in the CBD-treated CHR group were significantly intermediate between those observed in the placebo group and healthy controls. Finally, we provide the first in vivo evidence that hippocampal glutamate is abnormally associated with perfusion in the striatum and insula in CHR patients relative to controls. Together, these results provide novel insights into the neurobiological mechanisms underlying psychosis risk and suggest that CBD may partially normalize glutamatergic dysfunction in these patients.

Lower Hippocampal Glutamate in CHR vs Controls

Our finding that hippocampal glutamate was lower in the CHR-placebo group vs controls is consistent with several previous studies demonstrating lower hippocampal Glx¹⁹

A Hippocampal Glutamate: Healthy Control > CBD > Placebo



B Glutamate x CBF x Group (Placebo vs Control) Interaction



Fig. 2. (A) Hippocampal glutamate levels across the three groups. CHR patients in the placebo group had significantly lower glutamate relative to healthy controls (P = .015) and there was a significant linear relationship across groups (such that controls > CBD > placebo; P = .031). In the left panel of (B), axial sections showing the significant cluster (in red) identified in the glutamate × CBF × group (placebo vs control) interaction analyses (peak MNI X/Y/Z = -38/-12/10, T(24) = 5.63, k = 679, $P_{FWE} = .012$). The left side of the brain is shown on the left of the images. In the right panel of (B), scatterplot depicting the relationship between CBF (in the putamen-insula cluster shown in the left panel) and hippocampal glutamate by group. This post hoc analysis was used to determine the direction of the significant interaction: Covariate-adjusted CBF values (mean CBF over all voxels, arbitrary units) were extracted from the significant cluster (left panel) for each subject from the T-contrast using the MarsBaR toolbox in SPM.

and glutamate¹⁸ (with additional findings at trend-level)^{19,60} in these patients, as well as negative associations between hippocampal glutamate, striatal dopamine, and symptom severity, particularly in those who go on to transition⁶¹ (although see⁶²). However, other studies have found increased hippocampal Glx¹⁷ (also in those with genetic risk,⁶³ but not always^{64,65}), no differences,^{20-23,62,66} or differences only within CHR patients based on poor vs good clinical outcomes.²³ The reasons for the disparity in the presence and/or direction of results are unclear, but sample characteristics⁶⁷ or methodological factors such as voxel location, metabolite correction (for voxel tissue content vs creatinescaled), as well as the heterogeneity inherent within CHR populations^{23,68,69} may contribute. These findings are compatible with the several meta-analyses that have synthesized this literature, 6,24,25 which report numerically (but nonsignificantly) lower hippocampal glutamate levels in CHR individuals relative to controls (SMD[g] = -0.26), 95% CI: -0.56 to 0.04, P = .09).²⁵

On the other hand, our findings are somewhat at odds with preclinical circuit models of psychosis onset, which propose that NMDA receptor hypofunction ultimately leads to excess hippocampal glutamate.^{2,3} Numerous studies in patients with established psychosis^{7,14-16} (and at least one in CHR¹⁷) have corroborated this pattern of elevated glutamatergic metabolites, but it is noteworthy that several recent meta- and mega-analyses found no significant differences (in hippocampal/mediotemporal regions) in patients across the psychosis continuum relative to controls.^{24,25,70} One potential factor which may account for the differential findings in animals vs humans is that while extracellular glutamate can be measured proximally with invasive methods in rodents,³ clinical ¹H-MRS studies at 3T require a large voxel size and the signal reflects intracellular as well extracellular glutamate (and glutamine) involved in both neurotransmission and metabolism.⁷¹ Interestingly, studies examining hippocampal Glx (rather than glutamate) appear to find more consistent increases in patients, with meta-analytic effect sizes^{24,25} tending to show numerically (albeit not significantly) increased Glx in CHR relative to controls (SMD[g] = 0.13, 95% CI: -0.43 to 0.69, P = .66),²⁵ which contrasts with the aforementioned metaanalytic findings for glutamate. This may suggest that glutamine is contributing strongly to the observed increases in ¹H-MRS-derived metabolite levels and potentially implicates aberrant glutamate-glutamine cycling.⁷² Higher MRI field strengths and advanced techniques (such as GluCEST and ¹³C-MRS) are now becoming available and will enable future research to more reliably separate spectral components and further address these questions.^{73,74}

CBD may Increase Hippocampal Glutamate in CHR

Our first key finding was that CHR patients treated with a single dose of CBD show intermediate levels of hippocampal glutamate relative to controls and patients under placebo. Although our study was cross-sectional with parallel groups, these results suggest that a single dose of CBD may partially normalize the altered glutamate levels we observed in CHR patients. Supporting this view, in our previous within-subject study in people with first-episode psychosis, we showed that a 600 mg dose of CBD significantly increased hippocampal glutamate relative to placebo.53 Moreover, CBD was associated with a significantly greater decrease in symptom severity, and a significant inverse relationship was found between hippocampal glutamate and the severity of psychotic symptoms posttreatment.53 This suggests that the antipsychotic effects of CBD in patients with psychosis^{42,43} may be related to the increase in hippocampal glutamate observed under its influence.53 Outside of the hippocampus, CBD increases Glx in basal ganglia but reduces Glx in prefrontal cortex across ASD and neurotypical individuals.⁵⁴ Preclinical studies demonstrate that CBD can increase prefrontal glutamate in depression models,⁷⁵ although attenuated hippocampal glutamate release has been observed in seizure models.⁷⁶ CBD may also act on excitation-inhibition balance via the GABAergic system⁷⁷ (and see Supplement for discussion of anxiety⁷⁸). In humans, CBD increases GABA in basal ganglia and prefrontal cortex in controls, but decreases GABA in these regions in ASD individuals.⁵⁴ Overall, previous work points to effects of CBD on the glutamate system but the regions implicated and the direction of effects are somewhat mixed, potentially due to species-specific differences and the differential populations examined in humans. Our results therefore extend the limited body of existing literature (so far conducted in people with established psychosis, ASD, and neurotypical controls) by showing that CBD may also modulate hippocampal glutamate in people at risk of psychosis, and in a direction indicative of normalization. However, it should be noted that we did not find significant differences in the CBD vs placebo pairwise analysis. This may be due to the relatively modest sample sizes (n = 17/16 per CHR group) and thus limited power for detecting effects of smaller magnitude (see supplementary material for post hoc power calculations). This lack of differences is perhaps unsurprising, since it would be unlikely that a single dose of CBD would fully normalize glutamatergic dysfunction in CHR patients. Therefore, with this in mind, we tested our hypothesis of a partial normalization effect of CBD directly using the 3-way linear analyses, as in our previous publications in this sample.^{51,52,59} Our findings lend support to the idea that CBD may hold value as a potential therapeutic avenue to be pursued in further clinical studies.

Abnormal Relationship Between Glutamate and Perfusion in CHR

In exploring the broader mechanistic relevance of glutamatergic dysfunction, our second key-and

novel-finding was of a significant group (control vs placebo) × glutamate × CBF interaction in a putamen-insula cluster, driven by a strong positive association in the CHRplacebo group and a negative association in controls. Dysfunctional relationships between hippocampal and putaminal physiology are of particular interest as the striatum is a key node in circuit-based models of psychosis.¹ These propose that deficits in hippocampal inhibition lead to excess excitatory drive in projections to the midbrain-striatum, striatal hyperdopaminergia, and the emergence of psychotic symptoms.^{1,4,5} If, as our results in healthy controls suggest, the normative relationship is such that greater hippocampal glutamate is associated with lower striatal CBF, the positive association we observed in CHR patients could reflect a disruption in inhibitory/homeostatic mechanisms within this hippocampal-midbrain-striatal circuit (supplementary figure S1). Our findings are thus broadly consistent with the aforementioned preclinical models.

In addition, our finding that glutamate was atypically related to perfusion in a cluster localized to the putamen-insula is interesting in light of the putamen hyperperfusion documented in 2 previous CHR studies.^{8,27} Increased putamen CBF in CHR patients²⁷ also correlates with positive symptom severity, and lower striatal CBF at follow-up has been associated with greater longitudinal decreases in positive symptoms.⁸ Greater perfusion in the putamen has also been identified as a potential marker of genetic susceptibility for schizophrenia spectrum disorders.⁷⁹ In terms of the insula, perfusion abnormalities have not been definitively reported here in the CHR state, but a recent meta-analysis found conjoint reductions in CBF and glucose metabolism (indexing aberrant neurovascular coupling) within frontoinsular cortex in schizophrenia.⁸⁰ In our previous study in the same sample, we focused exclusively on perfusion and found (during exploratory whole-brain analyses) significantly increased CBF in placebo-treated patients vs controls. This large cluster extended into the left putamen (but not the insula) and partially overlaps with the cluster found here.⁵⁰ The present findings therefore extend our prior work to collectively suggest that (1) hippocampal glutamate is lower, (2) CBF in (clusters spanning) the striatum is greater, 50 and (3) that the relationship between hippocampal glutamate and striatal-insular perfusion is abnormal in CHR patients relative to controls. While previous work has found CHR-associated dysfunction in the relationship between prefrontal GABA and hippocampal blood flow,²⁸ and between hippocampal glutamatergic metabolites and (i) striatal dopamine,⁶¹ (ii) hippocampal activation (by clinical outcomes),⁸¹ and (iii) hippocampal-striatal connectivity,⁸¹ the current study is the first to demonstrate an aberrant relationship between hippocampal glutamate and striatal blood flow in these patients. Given that hippocampal glutamatergic dysfunction is thought to drive hyperperfusion in the hippocampal-midbrainstriatal circuit, our results provide new empirical evidence of a potential link between these two pathophysiological features in CHR patients, which have so far only been reported in isolation. Our findings therefore provide novel insights on potential mechanisms underlying psychosis risk from a complementary angle to previous literature, and provide a starting point for future research to unpack the nature of these alterations on a more granular level.

Limitations

Several potential limitations warrant consideration. First, although we found commensurate results in our previous within-subject study,53 our parallel-group design means that any effects of CBD should be interpreted with caution. Future within-subject studies would address this issue. Second, CBF data were missing for several CHR subjects, impacting the statistical power of the combined glutamate-CBF analyses. As such, our group × glutamate-CBF results should be considered as initial evidence for hypothesis generation and confirmed by future studies. Post hoc power calculations (supplementary material) also showed that for the glutamate analyses, effect sizes would have to be $\sim d = 1.0$ to be detected with the current sample size. Therefore, CBD may have had effects of smaller magnitude but we were unable to detect them. We also administered a single dose of CBD and it remains possible that repeated dosing would produce detectable effects at the current sample size. Going forward, future CBD studies should adopt crossover designs to ensure sufficient power while the number of CHR patients undergoing pharmaco-MRI remains feasible. Finally, ~42% of CHR participants were current cannabis users. Although all were abstinent for >96 hours and none were cannabis dependent, it is possible that the effects of CBD may differ in users vs non-users. However, given that cannabis use is common in CHR cohorts⁸² (particularly in South London),⁸³ selecting only non-users may have resulted in an unusual and non-generalizable sample. We therefore recruited a representative CHR sample as typically found in UK services.^{84,85} Post hoc analyses (supplementary material) also suggested that our results were unlikely to be driven by cannabis use, although we were unable to fully examine this for the placebo vs control contrast. Stratification by cannabis use and other potentially important factorssuch as the three subgroups of the Comprehensive Assessment of At-Risk Mental States-should therefore be explored in future studies.

Conclusion

In summary, we found that hippocampal glutamate is lower in CHR patients and may be partially normalized by a single dose of CBD. Furthermore, we provide the first in vivo evidence of an abnormal relationship between hippocampal glutamate and resting perfusion in the striatum and insula in this patient group. Together, these results provide novel insights into the neurobiological mechanisms underlying psychosis risk and suggest that CBD warrants further investigation as a candidate novel treatment.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary data are available at *Schizophrenia Bulletin Open* online.

Funding

This study was supported by grant MR/J012149/1 from the Medical Research Council (MRC). SB has also received support from the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) (NIHR Clinician Scientist Award; NIHR CS-11-001), the NIHR Mental Health Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley National Health Service (NHS) Foundation Trust and King's College London. This study represents independent research supported by the NIHR/Wellcome Trust King's Clinical Research Facility and NIHR Maudsley Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, NIHR, or the Department of Health and Social Care. The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the study volunteers and the radiographers at the Centre for Neuroimaging Sciences, King's College London, who carried out the MRI scans.

Conflict of Interest

No disclosures or any competing financial interests were reported and the authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest in relation to the subject of this study.

Author Contributions

Substantial contributions to conception and design (SB, PMG, PA, MGB, DJL, FZ, and MB), acquisition of data (RW, EAK, and GBH), analysis and/or interpretation of data (CD, SB, MGB, and DM), drafting the article (CD, SB) or revising it critically for important intellectual content (all authors), study supervision (SB), final approval of the version to be published (all authors).

A pre-print version of this manuscript was deposited on the medRxiv pre-print server: https://doi.org/10.1101/202 3.03.02.23286709.

Open Access

For the purposes of open access, the author has applied a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license to any Accepted Author Manuscript version arising from this submission.

References

- 1. Grace AA, Gomes FV. The circuitry of dopamine system regulation and its disruption in schizophrenia: insights into treatment and prevention. *Schizophr Bull.* 2019;45(1):148–157. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbx199
- 2. Lieberman JA, Girgis RR, Brucato G, *et al.* Hippocampal dysfunction in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia: a selective review and hypothesis for early detection and intervention. *Mol Psychiatry.* 2018;23(8):1764–1772. doi: 10.1038/mp.2017.249
- 3. Schobel SA, Chaudhury NH, Khan UA, *et al.* Imaging patients with psychosis and a mouse model establishes a spreading pattern of hippocampal dysfunction and implicates glutamate as a driver. *Neuron.* 2013;78(1):81–93. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2013.02.011
- Lodge DJ, Grace AA. Aberrant hippocampal activity underlies the dopamine dysregulation in an animal model of schizophrenia. *J Neurosci.* 2007;27(42):11424–11430. doi: 10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.2847-07.2007
- Lisman JE, Coyle JT, Green RW, et al. Circuit-based framework for understanding neurotransmitter and risk gene interactions in schizophrenia. Trends Neurosci. 2008;31(5):234–242. doi: 10.1016/j.tins.2008.02.005
- Merritt K, Egerton A, Kempton MJ, Taylor MJ, McGuire PK. Nature of glutamate alterations in schizophrenia a meta-analysis of proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy studies. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2016;73(7):665–674. doi: 10.1001/ jamapsychiatry.2016.0442
- Kraguljac NV, White DM, Reid MA, Lahti AC. Increased hippocampal glutamate and volumetric deficits in unmedicated patients with schizophrenia. JAMA Psychiatry. 2013;70(12):1294–1302. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.2437
- Allen P, Chaddock CA, Egerton A, et al. Resting hyperperfusion of the hippocampus, midbrain, and basal ganglia in people at high risk for psychosis. Am J Psychiatry. 2016;173(4):392–399. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2015.15040485
- 9. Schobel SA, Lewandowski NM, Corcoran CM, *et al.* Differential targeting of the CA1 subfield of the hippocampal formation by schizophrenia and related psychotic disorders. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2009;66(9):938–946. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2009.115
- Allen P, Azis M, Modinos G, et al. Increased resting hippocampal and basal ganglia perfusion in people at ultra high risk for psychosis: replication in a second cohort. *Schizophr Bull.* 2018;44(6):1323–1331. doi: 10.1093/schbul/ sbx169
- 11. Talati P, Rane S, Skinner J, Gore J, Heckers S. Increased hippocampal blood volume and normal blood flow

in schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Res - Neuroimaging.* 2015;232(3):219–225. doi: 10.1016/j.pscychresns.2015.03.007

- Egerton A, Chaddock CA., Winton-Brown TT, et al. Presynaptic striatal dopamine dysfunction in people at ultra-high risk for psychosis: findings in a second cohort. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2013;74(2):106–112. doi: 10.1016/j. biopsych.2012.11.017
- Howes O, Bose S, Turkheimer F, *et al.* Progressive increase in striatal dopamine synthesis capacity as patients develop psychosis: a PET study. *Mol Psychiatry.* 2011;16(9):885–886. doi: 10.1038/mp.2011.20
- Gallinat J, McMahon K, Kühn S, Schubert F, Schaefer M. Cross-sectional study of glutamate in the anterior cingulate and hippocampus in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull*. 2016;42(2):425–433. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbv124
- 15. Kraguljac NV, Morgan CJ, Reid MA, *et al.* A longitudinal magnetic resonance spectroscopy study investigating effects of risperidone in the anterior cingulate cortex and hippocampus in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res.* 2019;210:239–244. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2018.12.028
- Tebartz van Elst L, Valerius G, Büchert M, et al. Increased prefrontal and hippocampal glutamate concentration in schizophrenia: evidence from a magnetic resonance spectroscopy study. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2005;58(9):724–730. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.04.041
- 17. Provenzano FA, Guo J, Wall MM, *et al.* Hippocampal pathology in clinical high-risk patients and the onset of schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2020;87(3):234–242. doi: 10.1016/j. biopsych.2019.09.022
- Bloemen OJN, Gleich T, de Koning MB, *et al.* Hippocampal glutamate levels and striatal dopamine D2/3 receptor occupancy in subjects at ultra high risk of psychosis. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2011;70(1):e1–2; author reply e3. doi: 10.1016/j. biopsych.2010.11.030
- Shakory S, Watts JJ, Hafizi S, *et al.* Hippocampal glutamate metabolites and glial activation in clinical high risk and first episode psychosis. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2018;43(11):2249–2255. doi: 10.1038/s41386-018-0163-0
- Stone JM, Day F, Tsagaraki H, *et al.*; OASIS. Glutamate dysfunction in people with prodromal symptoms of psychosis: relationship to gray matter volume. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2009;66(6):533–539. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.05.006
- 21. Wood SJ, Kennedy D, Phillips LJ, *et al.* Hippocampal pathology in individuals at ultra-high risk for psychosis: a multi-modal magnetic resonance study. *Neuroimage*. 2010;52(1):62–68. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.04.012
- Nenadic I, Maitra R, Basu S, et al. Associations of hippocampal metabolism and regional brain grey matter in neuroleptic-naïve ultra-high-risk subjects and firstepisode schizophrenia. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2015;25(10):1661–1668. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2015.05.005
- Bossong MG, Antoniades M, Azis M, et al. Association of hippocampal glutamate levels with adverse outcomes in individuals at clinical high risk for psychosis. JAMA Psychiatry. 2019;76(2):199–207. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.3252
- Nakahara T, Tsugawa S, Noda Y, et al. Glutamatergic and GABAergic metabolite levels in schizophrenia-spectrum disorders: a meta-analysis of H-magnetic resonance spectroscopy studies. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2021;27(August):744–757. doi: 10.1038/s41380-021-01297-6
- 25. McCutcheon RA, Merritt K, Howes OD. Dopamine and glutamate in individuals at high risk for psychosis: a metaanalysis of in vivo imaging findings and their variability

compared to controls. *World Psychiatry*. 2021;20:405–416:. doi: 10.1002/wps.20893

- 26. Basavaraju R, Guo J, Small SA, Lieberman JA, Girgis RR, Provenzano FA. Hippocampal glutamate and positive symptom severity in clinical high risk for psychosis. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2022;79(2):178–179. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2021.3710
- 27. Kindler J, Schultze-Lutter F, Hauf M, *et al.* Increased striatal and reduced prefrontal cerebral blood flow in clinical high risk for psychosis. *Schizophr Bull.* 2018;44(1):182–192. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbx070
- Modinos G, Şimşek F, Azis M, et al. Prefrontal GABA levels, hippocampal resting perfusion and the risk of psychosis. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2018;43(13):2652–2659. doi: 10.1038/s41386-017-0004-6
- 29. Modinos G, Richter A, Egerton A, *et al.* Interactions between hippocampal activity and striatal dopamine in people at clinical high risk for psychosis: relationship to adverse outcomes. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2021;46(8):1468–1474. doi: 10.1038/s41386-021-01019-0
- Wang Y, Egerton A, McMullen K, *et al.* Relationship between cortical glutamatergic metabolite levels and hippocampal activity in schizotypy. *Schizophr Res.* 2022;240(December 2021):132–134. doi: 10.1016/j.schres.2021.12.039
- Davies C, Cipriani A, Ioannidis JPA, *et al.* Lack of evidence to favor specific preventive interventions in psychosis: a network meta-analysis. *World Psychiatry*. 2018;17(2):196–209. doi: 10.1002/wps.20526
- 32. Davies C, Radua J, Cipriani A, *et al.* Efficacy and acceptability of interventions for attenuated positive psychotic symptoms in individuals at clinical high risk of psychosis: a network meta-analysis. *Front Psychiatry.* 2018;9(JUN):1–17. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2018.00187
- Davies C, Bhattacharyya S. Cannabidiol as a potential treatment for psychosis. *Ther Adv Psychopharmacol.* 2019;9:204512531988191–204512531988116. doi: 10.1177/2045125319881916
- 34. Bhattacharyya S, Fusar-Poli P, Borgwardt S, et al. Modulation of mediotemporal and ventrostriatal function in humans by 89-tetrahydrocannabinol. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2009;66(4):442–451. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2009.17
- D'Souza DC, Perry E, MacDougall L, *et al.* The psychotomimetic effects of intravenous delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol in healthy individuals: implications for psychosis. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2004;29(8):1558–1572. doi: 10.1038/sj.npp.1300496
- Englund A, Morrison PD, Nottage J, et al. Cannabidiol inhibits THC-elicited paranoid symptoms and hippocampaldependent memory impairment. J Psychopharmacol (Oxf). 2013;27(1):19–27. doi: 10.1177/0269881112460109
- Morrison PD, Zois V, McKeown DA, *et al.* The acute effects of synthetic intravenous Delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol on psychosis, mood and cognitive functioning. *Psychol Med.* 2009;39(10):1607–1616. doi:10.1017/S0033291709005522.
- Sherif M, Radhakrishnan R, D'Souza DC, Ranganathan M. Human laboratory studies on cannabinoids and psychosis. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2016;79(7):526–538. doi: 10.1016/j. biopsych.2016.01.011
- Bergamaschi MM, Queiroz RHC, Chagas MHN, et al. Cannabidiol reduces the anxiety induced by simulated public speaking in treatment-nave social phobia patients. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2011;36(6):1219–1226. doi: 10.1038/npp.2011.6

- 40. Crippa JAS, Nogueira Derenusson G, Borduqui Ferrari T, et al. Neural basis of anxiolytic effects of cannabidiol (CBD) in generalized social anxiety disorder: a preliminary report. J Psychopharmacol (Oxf). 2011;25(1):121–130. doi: 10.1177/0269881110379283
- 41. Crippa JA, Guimarães FS, Campos AC, Zuardi AW. Translational investigation of the therapeutic potential of cannabidiol (CBD): toward a new age. *Front Immunol.* 2018;9(September):1–16. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.02009
- Leweke FM, Piomelli D, Pahlisch F, et al. Cannabidiol enhances anandamide signaling and alleviates psychotic symptoms of schizophrenia. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2012;2(3):e94–e94. doi: 10.1038/tp.2012.15
- McGuire P, Robson P, Cubala WJ, et al. Cannabidiol (CBD) as an adjunctive therapy in schizophrenia: a multicenter randomized controlled trial. Am J Psychiatry. 2018;175(3):225– 231. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2017.17030325
- Bhattacharyya S, Falkenberg I, Martin-Santos R, et al. Cannabinoid modulation of functional connectivity within regions processing attentional salience. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2015;40(May):1343–1352. doi: 10.1038/npp.2014.258
- 45. Bhattacharyya S, Crippa JA, Allen P, *et al.* Induction of psychosis by Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol reflects modulation of prefrontal and striatal function during attentional salience processing. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2012;69(1):27–36. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.161
- 46. Bhattacharyya S, Morrison PD, Fusar-Poli P, et al. Opposite effects of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol and cannabidiol on human brain function and psychopathology. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2010;35(3):764–774. doi: 10.1038/ npp.2009.184
- Fusar-Poli P, Crippa JA, Bhattacharyya S, *et al.* Distinct effects of δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol and cannabidiol on neural activation during emotional processing. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2009;66(1):95–105. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2008.519
- Gunasekera B, Davies C, Martin-Santos R, Bhatthacharyya S. The Yin and Yang of Cannabis - a systematic review of human neuroimaging evidence of the differential effects of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol and cannabidiol. *Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging*. 2020;6(22):636–645. doi:10.1016/j.bpsc.2020.10.007
- 49. O'Neill A, Wilson R, Blest-Hopley G, et al. Normalization of mediotemporal and prefrontal activity, and mediotemporalstriatal connectivity, may underlie antipsychotic effects of cannabidiol in psychosis. *Psychol Med.* 2021;51(4):596–606. doi: 10.1017/S0033291719003519
- Davies C, Bossong MG, Martins D, *et al.* Increased hippocampal blood flow in people at clinical high risk for psychosis and effects of Cannabidiol *medRxiv.* [pre-print]. 2023. doi: 10.1101/2023.02.22.23286306
- Bhattacharyya S, Wilson R, Appiah-Kusi E, *et al.* Effect of cannabidiol on medial temporal, midbrain, and striatal dysfunction in people at clinical high risk of psychosis: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2018;75(11):1107–1117. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.2309
- Davies C, Wilson R, Appiah-Kusi E, et al. A single dose of cannabidiol modulates medial temporal and striatal function during fear processing in people at clinical high risk for psychosis. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2020;10(1):311. doi: 10.1038/ s41398-020-0862-2
- 53. O'Neill A, Annibale L, Blest-hopley G, Wilson R, Giampietro V, Bhattacharyya S. Cannabidiol modulation of hippocampal

glutamate in early psychosis. J Psychopharmacol (Oxf). 2021;35(7):814–822. doi: 10.1177/02698811211001107

- 54. Pretzsch CM, Freyberg J, Voinescu B, et al. Effects of cannabidiol on brain excitation and inhibition systems; a randomised placebo-controlled single dose trial during magnetic resonance spectroscopy in adults with and without autism spectrum disorder. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2019;44(8):1398–1405. doi: 10.1038/s41386-019-0333-8
- 55. Yung AR, Pan Yuen H, McGorry PD, *et al.* Mapping the onset of psychosis: the comprehensive assessment of at-risk mental states. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry.* 2005;39(11-12):964–971. doi: 10.1080/j.1440-1614.2005.01714.x
- Spielberger CD, Gorsuch RL, Lushene RE. Manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI). *Consult Psychol Press*. 1970.
- Provencher SW. Estimation of metabolite concentrations from localized in vivo proton NMR spectra. *Magn Reson Med.* 1993;30(6):672–679. doi: 10.1002/mrm.1910300604
- 58. Howell DC. *Statistical Methods for Psychology*. 7th ed. Australia: Belmont, CA: Thomson Wadsworth; 2010.
- Wilson R, Bossong MG, Appiah-Kusi E, *et al.* Cannabidiol attenuates insular dysfunction during motivational salience processing in subjects at clinical high risk for psychosis. *Transl Psychiatry.* 2019;9(1):203. doi: 10.1038/s41398-019-0534-2
- 60. Fusar-Poli P, Stone JM, Broome MR, et al. Thalamic glutamate levels as a predictor of cortical response during executive functioning in subjects at high risk for psychosis. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2011;68(9):881–890. doi: 10.1001/ archgenpsychiatry.2011.46
- 61. Stone JM, Howes OD, Egerton A, *et al.* Altered relationship between hippocampal glutamate levels and striatal dopamine function in subjects at ultra high risk of psychosis. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2010;68(7):599–602. doi: 10.1016/j. biopsych.2010.05.034
- 62. Howes OD, Bonoldi I, McCutcheon RA, et al. Glutamatergic and dopaminergic function and the relationship to outcome in people at clinical high risk of psychosis: a multimodal PET-magnetic resonance brain imaging study. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2020;45(4):641–648. doi: 10.1038/ s41386-019-0541-2
- Tibbo P, Hanstock C, Valiakalayil A, Allen P. 3-T Proton MRS investigation of glutamate and glutamine in adolescents at high genetic risk for schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2004;161(6):1116–1118. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.161.6.1116
- Capizzano AA, Nicoll Toscano JL, Ho BC. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy of limbic structures displays metabolite differences in young unaffected relatives of schizophrenia probands. *Schizophr Res.* 2011;131(1–3):4–10. doi: 10.1016/j. schres.2011.05.024
- Lutkenhoff ES, van Erp TG, Thomas MA, et al. Proton MRS in twin pairs discordant for schizophrenia. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2010;15(3):308–318. doi: 10.1038/mp.2008.87
- Valli I, Stone J, Mechelli A, *et al.* Altered medial temporal activation related to local glutamate levels in subjects with prodromal signs of psychosis. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2011;69(1):97–99. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.08.033
- 67. Merritt K, McGuire PK, Egerton A, *et al.*; 1H-MRS in Schizophrenia Investigators. Association of age, antipsychotic medication, and symptom severity in schizophrenia with proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy brain glutamate level: a mega-analysis of individual participant-level data. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2021;78(6):667–681. doi: 10.1001/ jamapsychiatry.2021.0380

- Fusar-Poli P, Salazar De Pablo G, Correll CU, et al. Prevention of psychosis: advances in detection, prognosis, and intervention. JAMA Psychiatry. 2020;77(7):755–765. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.4779
- Millan MJ, Andrieux A, Bartzokis G, et al. Altering the course of schizophrenia: progress and perspectives. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2016;15(7):485–515. doi: 10.1038/nrd.2016.28
- Merritt K, McCutcheon RA, Aleman A, *et al.* Variability and magnitude of brain glutamate levels in schizophrenia: a meta and mega-analysis. *Mol Psychiatry.* 2023:1–10. doi: 10.1038/ s41380-023-01991-7.
- Rothman DL, De Feyter HM, de Graaf RA, Mason GF, Behar KL. 13C MRS studies of neuroenergetics and neurotransmitter cycling in humans. *NMR Biomed.* 2011;24(8):943– 957. doi: 10.1002/nbm.1772
- Guo J, Rothman DL, Small SA. Why hippocampal glutamate levels are elevated in schizophrenia. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2023;80(3):274–275. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2022.3849
- Roalf DR, Nanga RPR, Rupert PE, et al. Glutamate imaging (GluCEST) reveals lower brain GluCEST contrast in patients on the psychosis spectrum. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2017;22(9):1298– 1305. doi: 10.1038/mp.2016.258
- 74. Thakkar KN, Rösler L, Wijnen JP, et al. 7T Proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy of gamma-aminobutyric acid, glutamate, and glutamine reveals altered concentrations in patients with schizophrenia and healthy siblings. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2017;81(6):525–535. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2016.04.007
- Linge R, Jiménez-Sánchez L, Campa L, et al. Cannabidiol induces rapid-acting antidepressant-like effects and enhances cortical 5-HT/glutamate neurotransmission: role of 5-HT1A receptors. *Neuropharmacology*. 2016;103:16–26. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2015.12.017
- 76. Gobira PH, Vilela LR, Gonçalves BDC, et al. Cannabidiol, a Cannabis sativa constituent, inhibits cocaine-induced seizures in mice: Possible role of the mTOR pathway and reduction in glutamate release. *Neurotoxicology*. 2015;50:116–121. doi: 10.1016/j.neuro.2015.08.007
- 77. Osborne AL, Solowij N, Babic I, et al. Effect of cannabidiol on endocannabinoid, glutamatergic and GABAergic

signalling markers in male offspring of a maternal immune activation (poly I:C) model relevant to schizophrenia. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry.* 2019;95:109666. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2019.109666

- Patel S, Hill MN, Cheer JF, Wotjak CT, Holmes A. The endocannabinoid system as a target for novel anxiolytic drugs. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2017;76:56–66. doi: 10.1016/j. neubiorev.2016.12.033
- Legind CS, Broberg BV, Brouwer R, et al. Heritability of cerebral blood flow and the correlation to schizophrenia spectrum disorders: a pseudo-continuous arterial spin labeling twin study. Schizophr Bull. 2019;45(6):1231–1241. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbz007
- Sukumar N, Sabesan P, Anazodo U, Palaniyappan L. Neurovascular uncoupling in schizophrenia: a bimodal meta-analysis of brain perfusion and glucose metabolism. *Front Psychiatry*. 2020;11:754. https://www.frontiersin.org/ articles/10.3389/fpsyt.2020.00754.
- 81. Allen P, Hird EJ, Orlov N, *et al.* Adverse clinical outcomes in people at clinical high-risk for psychosis related to altered interactions between hippocampal activity and glutamatergic function. *Transl Psychiatry.* 2021;11(1):579. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41398-021-01705-z
- Kraan T, Velthorst E, Koenders L, et al. Cannabis use and transition to psychosis in individuals at ultra-high risk: review and meta-analysis. *Psychol Med.* 2016;46(4):673–681. doi: 10.1017/S0033291715002329
- Valmaggia LR, Day FL, Jones C, et al. Cannabis use and transition to psychosis in people at ultra-high risk. Psychol Med. 2014;44:2503–2512. doi: 10.1017/S0033291714000117
- Fusar-Poli P, Spencer T, De Micheli A, Curzi V, Nandha S, McGuire P. Outreach and support in South-London (OASIS) 2001—2020: Twenty years of early detection, prognosis and preventive care for young people at risk of psychosis. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2020;39:111–122. doi: 10.1016/j. euroneuro.2020.08.002
- Fusar-Poli P, Estradé A, Spencer TJ, et al. Pan-London Network for Psychosis-Prevention (PNP). Front Psychiatry. 2019;10:1–10. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00707