



# **King's Research Portal**

DOI: 10.1017/S2045796021000548

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication record in King's Research Portal

*Citation for published version (APA):* Salazar de Pablo, G., Provenzani, U., Arribas, M., & Fusar-Poli, P. (2021). Clinical outcomes in brief psychotic episodes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Epidemiology And Psychiatric Sciences*. https://doi.org/10.1017/S2045796021000548

#### Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

#### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

•Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research. •You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain •You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# ABSTRACT

#### Aims

Patients with brief psychotic episodes have variable and fluctuating clinical outcomes which challenge psychiatric care. Our meta-analysis aims at providing a comprehensive summary of several clinical outcomes in this patient group.

#### Methods

A multistep systematic PRISMA/MOOSE-compliant literature search was performed for articles published from inception until March 1<sup>st</sup>, 2021. Web of Science database was searched, complemented by manual search of original articles reporting relevant outcomes (psychotic recurrence, prospective diagnostic change or stability, remission, quality of life, functional status, mortality and their predictors) for patients diagnosed with Acute and Transient Psychotic Disorders (ATPD), Brief Psychotic Disorders (BPD), Brief Intermittent Psychotic Symptoms (BIPS) and Brief Limited Intermittent Psychotic Symptoms (BLIPS). Random-effects methods and Q-statistics were employed, quality assessment with Newcastle Ottawa Scale (NOS), assessment of heterogeneity with I<sup>2</sup> index, sensitivity analyses (acute polymorphic psychotic disorders, APPD) and multiple meta-regressions, assessment of publication bias with funnel plot, Egger's test and meta-regression (psychotic recurrence and sample size).

#### Results

A total of 91 independent articles (n=94 samples) encompassed 37 ATPD, 24 BPD, 19 BLIPS and 14 BIPS samples, totalling 15,729 individuals (mean age 30.89±7.33 years, mean female ratio 60%, 59% conducted in Europe). Meta-analytical risk of psychotic recurrence for all brief psychotic episodes increased from 15% (95%CI 12-18%) at 6 months, 25% (95%CI 22-30%) at 12 months, 30% (95%CI 27-33%) at 24 months and 33% (95%CI 30-37%) at ≥36 months follow-up, with no differences between ATPD, BPD, BLIPS and BIPS after 2 years follow-up. Across all brief psychotic episodes, meta-analytical proportion of prospective diagnostic stability (average follow-up 47 months) was 49% (95%CI 42-56%); meta-analytical proportion of diagnostic change (average follow-up 47 months) to schizophrenia spectrum psychoses was 19% (95%CI 16-23%), affective spectrum psychoses 5% (95%CI 3-7%), other psychotic diagnostic change within APPD without symptoms of schizophrenia was 34% (95% CI 24-46%) at mean follow-up of 51 months: 18% (95% CI 11-30%) for schizophrenia spectrum psychoses and 17% (10-26%) for other (non-psychotic) mental disorders. Meta-analytical proportion of baseline employment was 48% (95%CI 38-58%), while there were not enough data to explore the other outcomes. Heterogeneity was high; female ratio and study quality were negatively and

positively associated with risk of psychotic recurrence, respectively. There were no consistent factor predicting clinical outcomes.

# Conclusions

Short lived psychotic episodes are associated with a high risk of psychotic recurrences, in particular schizophrenia spectrum disorders. Other clinical outcomes remain relatively underinvestigated. There are no consistent prognostic/predictive factors.

Key words: Psychosis, brief psychotic episode, ATPD, BPD

#### INTRODUCTION

Since the 19th-century, brief psychotic episodes have been characterized as a divergent and shifting nosographic concept, providing a major challenge both for clinical practice and classification. Historically, their fleeting and dynamical nature constituted an early anomaly within the Kraepelinian dichotomous concept of psychoses (dementia praecox vs manic-depressive illness (Kraepelin, 1899). The historical development of operationalisations for brief psychotic episodes has been previously appraised (Fusar-Poli et al., 2016a) by our group. Briefly, World Health Organization includes the concept of acute and transient psychotic disorders (ATPD) in ICD-10 within the group of "schizophrenia, schizotypal and delusional disorders" (World Health Organization, 1992), with six subtypes, including disorders defined by acute onset of frank psychotic symptoms (acute within 2 weeks; abrupt within 48 hours) and complete recovery within 1-3 months even with antipsychotic treatment. However, the 11<sup>th</sup> edition of the ICD has narrowed the ATPD category to the subtype of acute polymorphic psychotic disorders (APPD) without symptoms of schizophrenia (Castagnini and Foldager, 2014). DSM-5 includes brief psychotic disorder (BPD) within the "schizophrenia spectrum and other psychotic disorders", defined by the presence of at least one across 4 of 5 core symptoms of schizophrenia (negative symptoms are not included): delusions, hallucinations, disorganised speech and grossly disorganised or catatonic behaviour (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Duration of the episode must be less than one month and sudden onset and polymorphic and fluctuating nature of symptoms are not mandatory criteria (Gaebel, 2012). The paradigm of clinical high-risk states of psychosis (CHR-P) operationalised brief psychotic episodes not as frank psychotic disorder, but as a state of increased clinical risk for developing subsequent persistent psychotic disorders. Brief and limited psychotic symptoms (BLIPS) (Yung et al., 1996), last less than seven days and accommodate potential "transdiagnostic" (Fusar-Poli et al., 2019c; Fusar-Poli, 2019) comorbidities. Brief intermittent psychotic symptom' (BIPS) exclude individuals with seriously disorganising and dangerous features (Miller et al., 2003) and extend the duration of brief psychotic symptoms up to 3 months.

Given these differences, in previous studies we employed meta-analyses to test the prognostic significance of these competing operationalisations. We found no difference in their risk of psychotic recurrence at any follow-up timepoint, but a lower risk of recurrence compared to first-episode remitting schizophrenia (Fusar-Poli *et al.*, 2016a). We also demonstrated meta-analytically that, at 4.5 years follow-up, about 56% of patients with brief psychotic episodes retained their index diagnosis (prospective diagnostic stability) while 44% shifted to other diagnoses (prospective diagnostic change), schizophrenia being the most frequent one (21% 95%CI 16-25%) (Fusar-Poli *et al.*, 2016c). Other clinical outcomes such as remission, quality of life, vocational status, and mortality have traditionally

seen as more favourable than in schizophrenia (Pillmann and Marneros, 2005) but findings have been conflicting. Similarly, predictors of these outcomes appear patchy and inconsistent and require a systematic appraisal. These meta-analytic summaries had been completed more than five years ago, and several recent publications have emerged, which require periodical updates of the evidence. Our aim was to provide an update systematic review and meta-analysis which comprehensively addressed for the first time multiple clinical outcomes in brief psychotic episodes encompassing psychotic recurrence, prospective diagnostic stability and change, remission, quality of life, functional/vocational status and mortality and systematically appraise the consistency of predictors of these outcomes.

# METHODS

This study (protocol registered on <u>https://osf.io/5dw98</u>) was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (Moher *et al.*, 2009) and the Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (Stroup *et al.*, 2000) (eTable1; eTable2).

# Search strategy and selection criteria

A multistep systematic PRISMA-compliant literature search was performed for articles published from inception until March 1<sup>st</sup>, 2021 (see the details in eMethods1). The references of systematic reviews or meta-analyses that were retrieved during the systematic literature search were manually searched. Articles identified were screened as abstracts. The remaining articles were assessed for inclusion eligibility against the inclusion and exclusion criteria and decisions were made regarding their final inclusion in the meta-analysis.

The inclusion criteria were: a) original studies, published in English; b) conducted in individuals meeting BIPS or BLIPS criteria according to Structured Interview for Psychosis-Risk Syndromes (SIPS any version) (McGlashan TH, 2010) or Comprehensive Assessment of At-Risk Mental States (CAARMS any version) (Yung AR, 2006); ATPD according to ICD (any version) criteria (World Health Organization, 1992); or BPD according to DSM (any version) criteria (American Psychiatric Association, 2013); c) reporting on at least one of meta-analytic outcomes (see below).

The exclusion criteria were: a) reviews, conference proceedings or pilot data sets; b) unpublished data; c) studies evaluating brief psychotic episodes but using criteria different from those established above; d) studies that did not report specific information on the ATPD/BPD/BIPS/BLIPS group alone, but reported composite results including other subgroups (e.g. Attenuated Psychosis Syndrome -APS- and Genetic Risk and Deterioration Syndrome -GRD-); e) studies with samples of individuals with other persisting psychotic disorders (e.g. schizophrenia). For the meta-analyses we additionally excluded studies with overlapping datasets, selecting the largest and most recent data set. Disagreements in selection criteria were resolved through discussion and consensus with a senior researcher.

# **Outcome Measures and Data Extraction**

Two independent researchers extracted data. The primary outcome was risk of psychotic recurrence after at least 3 months since the index brief psychotic episode. Secondary outcomes were the prospective diagnostic stability (defined as the proportion of baseline patients retaining the same ICD/DSM psychotic diagnosis over time) (Fusar-Poli *et al.*, 2016c) or diagnostic change to any other mental disorder (defined as the proportion of baseline patients shifting to other ICD/DSM diagnoses at follow-up) (Fusar-Poli *et al.*, 2016c), remission (defined as indicated in each study), quality of life (defined as indicated in each study, at baseline and/or follow-up), functional/vocational status (defined as indicated in each study at baseline and/or follow-up), mortality (number of patients deceased during the follow-up), or predictors of these outcomes (any regression models testing the association between factors and clinical outcomes, within longitudinal designs). When the predictors were not directly presented in the text, they were extracted from associated information (e.g. graphs); corresponding authors were also contacted to retrieve missing data. From each study, a predetermined set of variables characterising the study, outcomes or predictors was included (eMethods2). Data were extracted closest to the follow-up time of interest. Disagreements in selection/extraction criteria were resolved through discussion and consensus with a senior researcher.

# **Quality assessment**

The quality of the included studies was evaluated using a modified version of the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for cohort studies, which has been repeatedly used (Catalan *et al.*, 2020). Studies were awarded a maximum of eight points on items related to the representativeness, exposure, outcomes, follow-up period and loss to follow-up.

# Strategy for data synthesis

Meta-analyses were attempted when there were enough data for each outcome, otherwise outcomes were systematically described.

The primary meta-analytical outcome was risk of psychotic recurrence across brief psychotic episodes. This was estimated by extracting the raw counts of psychotic recurrence in individuals with an initial short brief psychotic episode (defined according to the inclusion criteria b)) at 6 months (including a 3-9 months range); 12 months (including ≥ 10-18 months range); 24 months (including a 19-29 months range); 36 months (including ≥30 months) follow-up. The primary effect size (ES) was the metaanalytical proportion of psychotic recurrence. Because the studies were expected to be heterogenous, random-effects models were used. Heterogeneity among study point estimates was assessed with the Q statistic, with the proportion of the total variability in the effect size estimates being evaluated with the I<sup>2</sup> index (Lipsey and Wilson, 2001) which does not depend upon the number of studies included. The meta-analysis was stratified by operationalisations of brief psychotic episodes: BIPS, BLIPS, ATPD or BPD. The four main operationalisations (BIPS. BLIPS, ATPD and BPD) were compared testing for between-group heterogeneity (Q). Sensitivity analyses, removing one study at a time, were conducted to test the robustness of results. Publication bias was assessed with funnel plot inspection, Egger's test and meta-regression between the main outcome (psychotic recurrence) as dependent variable and sample size as independent variable.

Planned meta-analyses on secondary outcomes included: (i) prospective diagnostic stability (effect size: proportion), (ii) prospective diagnostic change to any other mental disorder (effect size: proportion), (iii) remission (effect size: standardised mean difference), (iv) quality of life (effect size: standardised mean difference) at baseline and/or follow-up, (v) functional/vocational status (effect size: proportion) at baseline and/or follow-up and (vi) mortality (effect size: proportion). The analyses (i-ii) were not conducted for BLIPS/BIPS because these do not correspond to standard ICD/DSM diagnoses at baseline and were conducted pooling all available studies and estimating the average follow-up time. Within analyses (i-ii) we additionally clustered the individual ICD/DSM diagnoses across NICE-compliant diagnostic spectra (National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2014): schizophrenia spectrum psychoses (SSP) (schizophrenia, schizophreniform disorder, schizoaffective disorder), affective spectrum psychoses (ASP) (mania with psychosis and/or bipolar disorder with psychosis and/or depression with psychosis), other psychotic disorders (OPD) and other (non-psychotic) mental disorders. For all outcomes (primary and secondary) we also sought to perform additional analyses restricted to the ATPD subtype which will be retained in the ICD-11 version (APPD without symptoms of schizophrenia). Sensitivity analyses were planned on the primary outcome, by excluding one study per time and rerunning the analyses. We performed multiple meta-regression analyses (i.e employing two meta-regressor factors at the same time) of factors that were known to moderate transition risk when at least 10 studies were available. The fixed meta-regressor factor was follow-up time, which was combined with: mean age, female ratio, publication year and study quality (Newcastle Ottawa Scale score). Comprehensive Meta-Analysis Software v3.0 was used for the analyses (Borenstein et al., 2013).

# RESULTS

#### Database

The systematic literature search (PRISMA flow-chart, Figure 1) identified 91 independent articles, some of which contributed with more than one sample. The total number of samples (n=94) included 37 ATPD, 24 BPD, 19 BLIPS and 14 BIPS samples. 8 samples (1262 patients) comprising ATPD subjects also provided data for APPD without symptoms of schizophrenia subtype. The total database included 15,729 individuals, with a mean age of 30.89±7.33 years and an average female ratio of 60.0% (range from 8.3% to 86.2%). 59% of the studies were conducted in Europe, 28% in Asia, 7% in North America, 3% in Australia, 2% in Africa and 1% in South America. The characteristics of the studies included in the meta-analyses are illustrated in eTable3.

# Risk of Psychotic Recurrence of Brief Psychotic Episodes

91 independent samples reported primary outcome data at different follow-up time points (Table 1 and Figure 2). The meta-analytical risk of psychotic recurrence for all brief psychotic episodes was 15% (95%CI 12-18%) at 6 months, 25% (95%CI 22-30%) at 12 months, 30% (95%CI 27-33%) at 24 months and 33% (95%CI 30-37%) at ≥36 months follow-up. The meta-analytical risk of psychotic recurrence for ATPD was 10% (95%CI 7-15%) at 6 months, 20% (95%CI 16-25%) at 12 months, 28% (95%CI 25-31%) at 24 months and 31% (95%CI 27-35%) at ≥36 months follow-up; for BIPS was 24% (95%CI 16-34%) at 6 months, 38% (95%CI 29-48%) at 12 months, 38% (95%CI 29-48%) at 24 months and 44% (95%CI 33-55%) at ≥36 months follow-up; for BLIPS was 15% (95%CI 10-23%) at 6 months, 29% (95%CI 18-43%) at 12 months, 34% (95%CI 17-56%) at 24 months and 33% (95%CI 26-40%) at ≥36 months follow-up; for BPD was 10% (95%CI 1-57%) at 6 months, 23% (95%CI 14-36%) at 12 months, 37% (95%CI 27-49%) at 24 months and 43% (95%CI 30-37%) at ≥36 months follow-up. There were significant between-groups differences at 6-months (p=0.020) and 12-months (p=0.003), mostly driven by the BIPS group, but not at 24 (p=0.110) and at ≥36 (p=0.100) months (Table 1). The risk of psychotic recurrence in APPD without symptoms of schizophrenia was 21% (95% CI 14-31%) at mean follow-up of 51 months (eFigure1).

# Proportion of prospective diagnostic stability and diagnostic change

61 independent samples (15,034 patients) reported prospective diagnostic stability or diagnostic change data at different follow-up time points (Table 2). The meta-analytical proportion of prospective diagnostic stability (average follow-up 47 months) was 49% (95%CI 42-56%) for all brief psychotic episodes. It was 50% (95%CI 42-59%) for ATPD and 46% (95%CI 34-59%) for BPD (Table 2 and Figure 3), with no significant between-group differences (p=0.59).

The meta-analytical proportion of prospective diagnostic change (average follow-up 47 months) was 41% (95%CI 35-48%) for all brief psychotic episodes: 37% (95%CI 29-45%) for ATPD and 50% (95%CI 39-62%) for BPD. There were no significant between-groups differences (p=0.063). Specifically, the meta-analytical proportion of diagnostic change to schizophrenia spectrum psychoses was 19% (95%CI 16-23%) for all brief psychotic episodes: 19% (95%CI 15-24%) for ATPD, 20% (95%CI 14-28%) for BPD (between-group difference p=0.770); to affective spectrum psychoses: 5% (95%CI 3-7%) for all brief psychotic episodes, 1% (95%CI 0,6-2%) for ATPD, 10% (95%CI 6-15%) for BPD (between-group difference p<0.01); to other psychotic disorders: 7% (95%CI 5-9%) for all brief psychotic episodes, 3% (95%CI 2-5%) for ATPD, 12% (95%CI 8-16%) for BPD (between-group difference p<0.010); to other (non-psychotic) mental disorders: 14% (95%CI 11-17%) for all brief psychotic episodes, 14% (95%CI 12-18%) for ATPD, 14% (95%CI 8-23%) for BPD (between-group difference p=0.950).

The meta-analytical prospective diagnostic stability for APPD without symptoms of schizophrenia (average follow-up 51 months) was 56% (95%CI 46-66%), prospective diagnostic change was 34% (95%CI 24-46%), proportion of diagnostic change to schizophrenia spectrum psychoses was 18% (95%CI 11-30%) and of other (non-psychotic) mental disorders was 17% (10-26%) (eFigure1). There were not enough data to meta-analyse change from APPD to affective spectrum psychoses or to other psychotic disorders.

# Remission

There were not enough data to meta-analyse this outcome. Four studies (2 BPD and 2 ATPD samples) (Bjorkenstam *et al.*, 2013; Castagnini *et al.*, 2008; Castro-Fornieles *et al.*, 2011; Rahm and Cullberg, 2007) reported proportion of remitted patients, defined as not having any mental disorder at follow-up, ranging from 14%(Castagnini *et al.*, 2008) to 67%(Rahm and Cullberg, 2007).

# Quality of life

There were not enough data to meta-analyse this outcome. Two studies reported outcomes related to quality of life. One study (Aadamsoo *et al.*, 2011) found that the World Health Organization Quality of Life scale (WHOQoL) scores were not significantly different between ATPD and schizophrenia at baseline, but it became better in ATPD at follow-up. Another study (Satghare *et al.*, 2020) reported that BPD but not schizophrenia is positively correlated with WHO-QoL scores at baseline.

# Functional/vocational status

12 samples (907 patients) (9 ATPD, 2 BLIPS, 1 BPD) reported the functional/vocational status. The meta-analytical proportion of patients with brief psychotic episodes who were employed at baseline was 48% (95%CI 38-58%). There were not enough data to meta-analyse this outcome at follow-up.

## Mortality

There were not enough data to meta-analyse this outcome. Three studies (Castagnini *et al.*, 2008; Castagnini *et al.*, 2013a; Korner *et al.*, 2009), all including ATPD samples, independently reported a proportion of deaths of 17.0% at 60 months follow-up (Castagnini *et al.*, 2008), 5.6% at 84 months (Castagnini *et al.*, 2013a) and 33.5% at 96 months (Korner *et al.*, 2009).

#### Predictors of clinical outcomes

10 studies reported predictors for psychotic recurrence in brief psychotic episodes (Table 3). No factor was consistently associated with psychotic recurrence. Predictors of diagnostic stability partially overlap (but not consistently) with predictors of recurrence, with studies suggesting that older age at onset (Aadamsoo *et al.*, 2011; Poon and Leung, 2017; Wang *et al.*, 2018; Queirazza *et al.*, 2014), female gender (Castagnini and Foldager, 2014; Wang *et al.*, 2018; Queirazza *et al.*, 2014; Singh *et al.*, 2004; Castagnini *et al.*, 2013b), abrupt onset (Rusaka and Rancans, 2014a; Sajith *et al.*, 2002), seriously disorganising or dangerous symptoms (Fusar-Poli et al. 2017a), polymorphic symptomatology and absence of schizophrenic features at onset (Salvatore *et al.*, 2011; Castagnini *et al.*, 2002) predict higher diagnostic stability (Lopez-Diaz *et al.*, 2020). One study suggested that female gender was associated with early remission (Esan and Fawole, 2014). There were no predictors of quality of life, functional/vocational status or mortality.

#### Sensitivity analyses

Excluding one study at a time at each follow-up point for primary outcome confirmed the robustness of the findings (sensitivity plots are presented in the eFigure 2a-d).

#### Heterogeneity and multiple meta-regression

Heterogeneity (I<sup>2</sup>) ranged from 43.8% at 24 months to 84.6% at ≥36 months for risk of psychotic recurrences (eResults1). Significant multiple meta-regression analyses (eTable4) associated female ratio ( $\beta$ =0.0040; f=4.03, p=0.022) with lower proportion of recurrence; study quality ( $\beta$ =0.0018; f=3.47, p=0.036) and with higher proportion of recurrence (scatterplots are depicted in eFigure3 and eFigure4).

# **Publication bias**

Visual inspection of the funnel plot did not reveal publication bias. Egger's test for funnel plot asymmetry was not significant (p=0.27). There was no meta-regression association between sample size and psychotic recurrence (eFigure 5a-c).

#### DISCUSSION

To our best knowledge this is the first systematic review and meta-analysis to have appraised multiple clinical outcomes across several operationalisations of brief psychotic episodes. We showed that these patients have a high risk of developing psychotic recurrences, in particular schizophrenia spectrum psychoses, and high proportion of baseline unemployment, while there were not enough data to explore remission, quality of life and mortality and no consistent factors predicting outcomes. As one in two patients presenting with short-lived psychotic episodes is at risk of recurrences, close monitoring and secondary preventive strategies should be systematically implemented for this vulnerable patient group.

This is the largest meta-analysis of brief psychotic episodes, which encompasses four operationalisations (ATPD, BPD, BLIPS, BIPS) over 91 independent articles and 15,729 individuals. Their mean age of about 31 years falls in the upper range of the 15–35-year window for highest risk of psychosis in the general population (Radua et al., 2018). A recent meta-analysis of epidemiological studies completed by our group confirmed that the peak age at onset is 18.5 years, and the median age of onset for ATPD is past 30 years (at 35 years), compared to 25 years for schizophrenia spectrum disorder (Solmi et al., 2021). Despite a variable pattern of course and outcome reported by the individual studies, reflected by the high levels of heterogeneity in our analyses, we found that about one third of patients with brief psychotic episodes will develop a psychotic recurrence after three years. In the short term, the BIPS group showed higher risk of psychotic recurrence. This finding is in line with previous evidence highlighting the substantial higher level of risk in BLIPS/BIPS subgroups compared to other CHR-P subgroups (APS and GRD) (Fusar-Poli et al., 2016b). The higher risk of recurrence in BIPS might be related to its 3-months duration criteria, compared to 7-days in BLIPS and 1 month in BPD and most ATPD subtypes. A longer duration of baseline psychotic symptoms is expected to increase the risk of recurrence (Aadamsoo et al., 2011; Poon and Leung, 2017; Queirazza et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2018). We also demonstrated that presence of seriously disorganising or dangerous features within BLIPS/BIPS is associated with an extreme risk of psychotic recurrence over time (90% at 5-years) (Fusar-Poli et al., 2017a). However, these differences of risk for psychotic recurrences across operationalisations were not maintained in the long term. Importantly, we observed that the risk of psychotic recurrence continues increasing up to three years, suggesting that these patients should be offered clinical monitoring and relapse prevention strategies for at least three years after their index episode (Fusar-Poli et al., 2020; Fusar-Poli et al., 2017b; Rutigliano et al., 2018). Unfortunately, first episode services tend to discharge patients who have spontaneously remitted from an initial episode of psychosis, while CHR-P services typically cap their care at two years only (the average duration of care in these teams is of 24 months (Salazar de Pablo et al., 2021)). Consequently, patients with brief psychotic episodes have several unmet needs that are not addressed by current mental health services. For example, only 3% of BLIPS engage with the minimum effective dose of cognitive behavioural therapy, which is also of questionable efficacy for this patient population (Fusar-Poli *et al.*, 2019a; Fusar-Poli *et al.*, 2021a; Fusar-Poli *et al.*, 2019b).

We also showed that about half of patients with brief psychotic episode will retain the initial diagnoses, compared to 90% in schizophrenia (90%) (Fusar-Poli *et al.*, 2016c). About 19% of brief psychotic episodes is being rediagnosed as schizophrenia spectrum psychoses, 5% as affective spectrum psychoses (higher in BPD than ATPD), 7% as other psychotic disorders (higher in BPD than ATPD) and 14% other (non-psychotic) mental disorders. These findings corroborate the conceptual foundations of brief psychotic episodes, which are not static nosological entities(Pillmann and Marneros, 2003; Ey, 1954), but have an intrinsically dynamic nature. Diagnostic changes are therefore to be expected, reflecting the natural trajectory of the disorders. This presents an unprecedented empirical prognostic and preventive opportunity for clinical practice, overcoming the sterile discussion about prospective instability of static diagnostic snapshots(Fusar-Poli *et al.*, 2018).

Notably, our sensitivity analyses provided useful data in view of the forthcoming ICD-11 revisions. The APPD without symptoms of schizophrenia showed a 21% meta-analytic risk of psychotic recurrence (at 51 months), a prospective diagnostic stability comparable to the whole ATPD group (56% vs 49%), as well as a comparable proportion of diagnostic change to schizophrenia spectrum psychoses (18% vs 19%) and of other (non-psychotic) mental disorders (17% vs 14%). These findings do not align with the arguments made by the ICD-11 Panel that APDD is "not indicative of schizophrenia" (Reed *et al.*, 2019). The ICD-11 field study also showed that the ICD-11 ATPD diagnostic reliability (moderate: kappa=0.45) is lower than for the ICD-10 ATPD (Reed *et al.*, 2018). Some authors have argued that ICD-11 ATPD category is too narrow and may counter-productively reduce its clinical utility (Castagnini and Berrios, 2019).

We also uncovered gaps of knowledge because evidence relating to remission, functional status, quality of life and mortality in brief psychoses is still to sparse and insufficient. For example, most studies addressing quality of life focused on first episode psychosis without distinguishing across short lived and persistent disorders (Satghare *et al.*, 2020; Tan *et al.*, 2019; Sevilla-Llewellyn-Jones *et al.*, 2019). We were only able to meta-analyse the proportion of patients with brief psychoses who were employed at baseline (48%) and we uncovered no robust and replicated predictors of any outcomes in short lived psychotic episodes. Our meta-regressions confirm previous findings underlining reduced risk for transition to schizophrenia in females (Queirazza *et al.*, 2014; Wang *et al.*, 2018; Radua *et al.*, 2018). Overall, it is unlikely that single prognostic factors can be robustly associated with this complex and evolving condition. Some multivariable prognostic models that can forecast clinical outcomes in patients

with brief psychotic episodes have been developed (Fusar-Poli, 2021; Lopez-Diaz *et al.*, 2020). We have recently developed and internally validated (3018 individuals with short-lived psychotic episodes, up to 8 years of follow-up) an individualised clinical prediction model based on Electronic Health Records which adequately predicts the long-term risk of psycyhotic recurrence in this patient group (prognostic accuracy=0.7, Damiani *et al.*, 2021). This clinical prediction models retained adequate prognostic performance when tested in the context of the ICD-11 changes to this groups and could further be improved by incorporating a dynamic component(Lopez-Diaz *et al.*, 2020; Studerus *et al.*, 2020) which better captures the evolving nature of this condition.

Overall, based on the findings of this study best practice affords for patients with short lived psychotic episodes would require repeated clinical monitoring bolstered by complementary relapse prevention strategies (Alvarez-Jimenez *et al.*, 2016) for at least 3 years follow-up, to align with the current early interventions recommendations (Chandra *et al.*, 2018). On a research level, larger prospective studies with a harmonised set of assessment and outcome measures are needed to further advance precision psychiatry efforts that are essential in this field. Future clinical and research perspective have been fully elaborated in an independent study (Fusar-Poli *et al.*, 2021b). The limitations are appended in the eLimitations.

# CONCLUSIONS

Short lived psychotic episodes are associated with a high risk of psychotic recurrences, in particular schizophrenia spectrum disorders. Other clinical outcomes remain relatively underinvestigated. There are no consistent prognostic/predictive factors.

# **FUNDING SOURCES**

None

# **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

PFP received honoraria or grant fees from Lundbeck, Angelini and Menarini in the past 36 months outside the current work.

# AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The authors give no permission to share raw data.