



LJMU Research Online

Taylor, SL, Downs, SJ, Rudd, JR, McGrane, B, Melville, CA, McGarty, AM, Boddy, LM and Foweather, L

Associations between motor competence and physical activity levels of children with intellectual disabilities and/or autism spectrum disorder: Movement matters

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/21532/>

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Taylor, SL, Downs, SJ, Rudd, JR, McGrane, B, Melville, CA, McGarty, AM, Boddy, LM and Foweather, L (2023) Associations between motor competence and physical activity levels of children with intellectual disabilities and/or autism spectrum disorder: Movement matters. Journal of

LJMU has developed **LJMU Research Online** for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>

Associations between motor competence and physical activity levels of children with intellectual disabilities and/or autism spectrum disorder: Movement matters

Journal of Intellectual Disabilities
2023, Vol. 0(0) 1–18
© The Author(s) 2023



Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-permissions
DOI: 10.1177/17446295231203764
journals.sagepub.com/home/jid



Sarah L Taylor  and
Samantha J Downs

Physical Activity Exchange, Research Institute of
Sports and Exercise Sciences, Liverpool John
Moores University, Liverpool, UK

**Craig A Melville and
Arlene M McGarty**

School of Health & Wellbeing,
University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

James R Rudd

Norwegian School of Sport Sciences, Oslo,
Norway

**Lynne M Boddy and
Lawrence Fowweather**

Physical Activity Exchange, Research Institute of
Sports and Exercise Sciences, Liverpool John
Moores University, Liverpool, UK

Bronagh McGrane

Dundalk Institute of Technology, Dundalk,
Ireland

Date accepted: 5 September 2023

Abstract

Motor competence is important for lifelong physical activity (PA). The current study aimed to examine associations between PA and motor competence. In total, 43 children aged 7–12 years with intellectual disabilities and/or autism spectrum disorder completed anthropometric measures, the Bruininks-Oseretsky Test of Motor Proficiency-2, and wore a wrist accelerometer to capture total PA, moderate-to-vigorous PA (MVPA), average acceleration, and intensity gradient. No significant associations were found between PA outcomes and motor competence. Motor competence performance was commonly ‘below average’ or ‘average’. The weakest subtests were upper limb coordination and strength. The strongest subtest was running speed and agility. Total weekly MVPA was 336.1 ± 150.3 min, higher than UK recommendations of 120–180 per week for disabled children and young people. Larger scale studies are needed to better understand the relationship between PA and motor competence. Future research should also consider the influence of environmental factors on PA in this group.

Corresponding author:

Sarah Louise Taylor, Physical Activity Exchange, Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool L3 2EX, UK.
Email: S.L.Taylor1@ljmu.ac.uk

Keywords

autism spectrum disorder, children, intellectual disability, motor competence, physical activity

Background

The term intellectual disabilities refers to, and encompasses a range of conditions including, global developmental delay and Down syndrome, characterised by significant limitations with intellectual functioning and adaptive behaviour (Schalock et al., 2021). Autistic Spectrum Disorder (ASD) covers a group of neurodevelopmental disorders characterized by presence of social, communication and interaction difficulties as well as restricted repetitive behaviours (Faras et al., 2010). Deficits observed in ASD can present with more severe forms of intellectual disabilities such as taking longer to understand information (Thurm et al., 2019). Furthermore, individuals with both ASD and intellectual disabilities appear to have a common genetic aetiology, with up to 50% of the children with ASD thought to have comorbid intellectual disabilities (Totsika et al., 2011).

Participation in physical activity (PA) contributes to the improvement, or at least the maintenance, of physical and psychosocial health amongst children with intellectual disabilities and ASD (Kapsal et al., 2019; Smith et al., 2022). PA guidelines from the World Health Organization (WHO, 2020) state that children with disabilities should achieve an average of 60 minutes of moderate to vigorous PA (MVPA) per day across the week, “where possible”, to accrue these benefits, which is consistent with the WHO PA guidelines for typically developing (TD) children. In the UK, specific PA guidelines for disabled children and young people have recently been published. These differ in recommending 20 minutes of PA per day or a total of 120 to 180 minutes of aerobic PA per week at a moderate-to-vigorous intensity (Department of Health and Social Care, 2022; Smith et al., 2022).

Many children with intellectual disabilities and/or ASD are insufficiently active and face numerous barriers to PA participation (Case et al., 2020; McGarty and Melville, 2018). Health markers such as low fitness levels and high rates of overweight and obesity evident in this population could be improved through increased PA participation (Mañano et al., 2016; McCoy et al., 2016; McCoy and Morgan, 2020; O’ Shea et al., 2018; Wouters et al., 2020). Thus, understanding factors that may influence PA behaviours and developing ways to address and overcome known barriers among these populations is of great importance for long-term health promotion.

Motor skill competence is the mastery of common fundamental movement skills and goal-orientated movements that include large muscle groups or the whole body (Gao et al., 2021; Robinson et al., 2015). Motor competence is an essential foundation on which to build more complex movement skills, and therefore is not only an influential factor, but a necessity for lifelong PA participation (Hultheen et al., 2018; Logan et al., 2018). The relationship between PA and motor competence is both reciprocal and longitudinal across childhood and adolescence in that physically active children have more opportunities to improve motor competence while improved motor competence can lead to increased success and enjoyment and therefore increased PA engagement (Barnett et al., 2022; Lima et al., 2017). Thus, the association between motor competence and PA is considered dynamic and hypothesized to strengthen over time (Stodden et al., 2008). Further, a positive relationship between motor competence and cardiorespiratory fitness, as well as an inverse relationship with weight status has been evidenced (Lubans et al., 2010).

Children with intellectual disabilities and/or ASD demonstrate lower levels of motor competence than would be expected both for their age norms and in comparison, to their TD peers (Gkotzia et al., 2017; Pitetti et al., 2017). Significant differences between TD children and children with intellectual disabilities have been found across locomotion, object manipulation and balance skill categories

(Kavanagh et al., 2023). These impairments in movement skill ability when compared to TD peers are also evident in children with ASD when IQ scores are controlled for, meaning that cognitive abilities alone cannot account for the differences (Gandotra et al., 2020). Children with ASD have been shown to have fine motor skill deficits (Matson et al., 2011; Mohd Nordin et al., 2021). Although fine motor skills do not fall under the umbrella of foundational movement skills (e.g., running, throwing, kicking) and thus are not considered to play a significant role in the initiation, maintenance or decline of PA (Hulteen et al., 2018; Stodden et al., 2008). As evidence suggests that children with intellectual disabilities and/or ASD are less active than their TD peers and have lower motor competence, studies to examine the relationship between motor competence and PA in this population are warranted.

While several research studies have documented positive associations between motor competence and PA participation in TD children and adolescents (Duncan et al., 2022; Holfelder and Schott, 2014; Lopes et al., 2019), relatively little is known about the relationship between motor competence and PA in children with intellectual disabilities and/or ASD. This relationship may be more complex because of impairments associated with intellectual disabilities and/or ASD, such as difficulties in processing and responding to information, which can cause issues with poor cognitive proficiency and motor development delay (Jeoung, 2018; Juntorn et al., 2017; Mohd Nordin et al., 2021). A recent systematic review of the correlates of PA in children and adolescents with intellectual disabilities found that having better motor development was positively associated with PA (Sutherland et al., 2021). However, this positive association was demonstrated by only two studies, indicating a lack of evidence (Eguia et al., 2015; Wouters et al., 2019). The study by Eguia et al. (2015) was conducted in the Philippines and examined whether fundamental movement skill components (locomotor, object control) were associated with PA levels (pedometer assessed daily step count) in 60 children with intellectual disabilities aged 5–14 years. Significant positive associations were found between movement skills and PA, with object control skills (catch, overhand throw, underhand roll, stationary bat, stationary dribble, kick) accounting for 26.7% of overall daily step count variance (Eguia et al., 2015). Chu and colleagues found waist-worn accelerometer assessed MVPA to be positively related to coordination, strength, and agility in a Chinese sample of 63 male adolescents with ASD aged 12–18 years (Chu et al., 2020). In another Chinese sample, Wang et al. (2022) examined 93 children and adolescents with intellectual disabilities aged 8–17 years. Object control skills were found to be a significant predictor of waist-worn accelerometer assessed MVPA for boys and locomotor skills to be a significant predictor of MVPA for girls. Overall, the limited evidence available indicates that movement competence is positively associated with PA in children with intellectual disabilities, though more research is required.

Absent or unclear methodological reporting of PA and motor competence assessments and procedures limit our ability to draw comparisons between studies and precludes interpretations of the available evidence. Generally, the selection of the motor competence assessments used in studies are not based on population specific evidence for validity, reliability, and feasibility (Downs et al., 2020; McGarty et al., 2018). Similar issues arise when considering the measurement of PA in the target population. A review by Leung and colleagues (2017) demonstrated that there is a lack of standardised protocols when using accelerometers to measure PA among children and adults with intellectual disabilities. For example, the lack of consistency in accelerometer cut points used to determine intensity thresholds for PA makes it difficult to compare PA levels of children with intellectual disabilities and/or autism across different studies. This has resulted in suggestions that raw accelerations are used, transitioning away from proprietary accelerometer metrics (i.e., counts) to allow for comparable metrics between studies (Fairclough et al., 2023). Examples of these non-proprietary outcomes includes accelerations due to movement representing activity volume and a

profile of PA intensity, which have been shown to be independently related to a range of health and wellbeing outcomes (Fairclough et al., 2023; Rowlands, 2018). These recently introduced accelerometer metrics are yet to be studied in a population of disabled children.

The motor competence of children with intellectual disabilities and/or ASD is not fully understood and consequently the associations between PA and motor competence in these populations are also unknown. Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess PA (including volume and intensity) and motor competence levels of children with intellectual disabilities and/or ASD and to examine the associations between PA and motor competence. It is hypothesised that motor competence levels will be below average in comparison to TD normative data, and that better motor competence will be associated with higher PA.

Methods

Data for the current analyses has been combined from two separate studies, using the same methods outlined below. Data were collected in study one between November 2019 and January 2020. Data were collected in study two between March 2022 and July 2022. Twenty-one special educational needs (SEN) schools (9 primary, 6 secondary, 6 primary/secondary) in the northwest of England were invited to take part in the study. SEN schools were invited via gatekeepers at the local authority PA and sports development department and the regional active partnership organisation, who circulated study information packs to relevant schools in the northwest region. Gatekeeper consent was obtained from six schools across the two studies. Two schools (primary level) provided gatekeeper consent for and participated in both study one and study two with different cohorts of children participating in each study meaning data was not included from the same children twice (29% recruitment rate; $n = 2$ primary; $n = 1$ secondary; $n = 1$ with primary and secondary aged pupils). Reasons for not participating in the study were not provided by the schools.

Ethical approval for each study was obtained from the Liverpool John Moores University Research Ethics Committee (ref no's: 19/SPS/007; 21/SPS/040). Collectively, $n = 290$ pupils were invited to take part in these studies via information packs distributed by class teachers. Written consent was required from parent/carer(s) and written assent was required from children. Additional verbal assent was also requested from children before they participated in any measures. Participant demographic information including, home postcode, child's ethnicity, date of birth, medical related family history, general health data, and disability diagnosis was collected from the parent/carer consent forms and questionnaire. Home postcodes were used to establish neighbourhood-level socioeconomic status. Indices of Multiple Deprivation (IMD), a UK Government produced deprivation measure for England, provided rank scores, where decile one represents the most deprived 10% of areas nationally ([The English Indices of Deprivation 2015](#)).

Stature was assessed to the nearest 0.1 cm using a portable stadiometer (Leicester Height Measure, Seca, Birmingham, UK). Body mass was assessed to the nearest 0.1 kg (761 scales, Seca). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as body weight in kilograms divided by height in metres squared for each participant. BMI z-scores were assigned (Cole et al., 1995) and age and sex specific BMI cut points established participants as underweight, normal weight or overweight/obese (Cole et al., 2000).

During the regular school term in each study, and thus representative of usual free-living activity, all participating children wore an ActiGraph GT9X triaxial accelerometer (ActiGraph, Pensacola, FL, USA) on their non-dominant wrist for seven consecutive days. Further instructions included wearing the accelerometer at all times (24 h·day⁻¹), except when engaging in water-based activities such as bathing and swimming. This 24h protocol was a recommendation rather than requirement,

due to potential sensory issues with some children who would subsequently remove the accelerometer more often, including during sleep. The device was initialised to record raw accelerations at a frequency of 100 Hz. Using ActiLife version 6.13.4 (ActiGraph), data were downloaded from the devices, saved as GT3X files and then converted to CSV format. Raw data files were processed in R (<http://cran.r-project.org>) using GGIR. This processing within GGIR converted raw tri-axial accelerometer signals into one omnidirectional measure of acceleration, referred to as the Euclidean norm minus one (ENMO; van Hees et al., 2013, 2014). ENMO values were averaged per 1 s epoch over each of the seven monitored days (Fairclough et al., 2016, 2022). This average ENMO value represents acceleration due to movement, corrected for gravity and is measure of activity volume, expressed in mg (Rowlands, 2018). Additionally, detection of implausible values and detection of non-wear time was imputed by default in GGIR (van Hees et al., 2013). The only available published ENMO prediction equations (from a non-disabled sample) were used to identify cut-points for classifying sedentary time (50 mg; Hurter et al., 2018) and activity as MVPA (3 metabolic equivalents (METs; child-specific); 200 mg; (Hildebrand et al., 2014). Minimum wear time to be included in the analysis was set to 9 h for a minimum of two weekdays and one weekend day (Fairclough et al., 2016; Fowweather et al., 2015). A standardized waking hours day of 7 am to 11 pm was used to account for participants who may not have followed the 24 h protocol (Fairclough et al., 2022). In addition to average acceleration and time spent in light, moderate and vigorous PA, the profile of PA intensity, termed the Intensity Gradient (IG; Rowlands, 2018) was explored for the established waking hours. This outcome was calculated following the method described by Rowlands et al. (2018). The IG value is always negative as it is reflective of the drop in time accumulated in increasing intensity, thus a steeper drop and more negative value represents a lower intensity profile compared to a shallower drop and less negative value (Rowlands et al., 2018).

The Bruininks-Oseretsky Test of Motor Proficiency-2 (BOT-2) testing battery was used to assess motor competence and administrated by trained researchers (Bruininks and Bruininks, 2005). A systematic review of motor competence assessments for use amongst children with intellectual disabilities and/or ASD has identified the BOT-2 tool as the most valid, reliable, responsive and feasible for use within this population (Downs et al., 2020). For this study, six out of eight subtests were used. The subtests selected were: manual dexterity, upper-limb coordination, bilateral coordination, balance, running speed agility, and strength. These subtests make up three motor composites; manual coordination, body coordination, and strength and agility. The two subtests not used were the fine motor precision and fine motor integration which form the fine manual control composite. As the focus of the study was the relationship of gross motor skills with PA, measurement of these fine motor skills was out of scope for the study aims.

To avoid any lapses in concentration and challenging behavior, in many cases the test was administered across 2-4 sessions. Therefore, administration occurred across 15-30 minutes segments with up to three separate days of testing required. A trained member of the research team physically demonstrated each task to every child before completion and the BOT-2 administration easel containing large colour photos of a child performing the items was visible for each child to view (Deitz et al., 2007). After completing the necessary assessments, each participating child was scored in accordance with the BOT-2 manual (Bruininks and Bruininks, 2005). Each child received a raw score for each assessment, which was then converted to a point score. Using the BOT-2 reference values, sex specific age equivalents for point scores were obtained, providing the indication of descriptive categories (average, below average, above average).

Data were analysed using IBM SPSS Statistics Version 28 (IBM Corporation, New York). Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$. Firstly, data were explored and checked for normality. Descriptive statistics were calculated for participants, grouped by sex and disability (intellectual

disability only, ASD only or dual diagnosis of intellectual disability and ASD) and were reported as means (\pm SD). Sex differences in age, BMI, BMI z-scores, whole week, weekday and weekend day average PA variables and motor competence summary variables were examined using independent t-tests. Differences in the same outcomes between disability groups were examined using one way ANOVA. Multilevel mixed linear regression models were used to examine associations between motor competence and PA, with average total PA, MVPA, ENMO and intensity gradient entered as the outcome variables and motor competence (1. Total skill score. 2. Motor competence skill composites; manual coordination, body coordination and strength & agility. 3. Motor competence skill subtests; manual dexterity, upper-limb coordination, bilateral coordination, balance, running speed & agility and strength) as the predictor variables, resulting in three models for each outcome variable (12 in total). All models were adjusted for age, sex, BMI-z, and accelerometer wear time. Disability type and disability severity were added to the models but did not significantly improve the fit and thus were not included as covariates. Adjustment for school level clustering also did not significantly improve the fit and thus was not undertaken.

Results

Returned signed parent/carer consent and child demographic and assent forms were received for 67 pupils with intellectual disabilities and/or ASD (23% participation rate), of which 43 children (64% of the recruited sample; 39 boys; 91%) aged 7-12 years (M 9.5, SD 1.2) completed all assessments and were included in the analysis. Descriptive statistics are presented in [Table 1](#). Out of the three disability groups (intellectual disabilities only, ASD only, combined intellectual disabilities and ASD) the ASD only group had the highest number of children within the sample ($n=21$). A large proportion of children were from the most deprived areas of England, with 42% ($n=18$) of children ranked on the highest decile of deprivation compared to 5% ($n=2$) of children who were ranked on the lowest decile of deprivation. Most of the children were White British (98%, $n=42$), with one participant (2%) of White and Black African descent. Over half of the children were normal weight (58.1%), with over a quarter either overweight (16.3%) or obese (9.3%) and the remaining 16.3% classed as underweight.

Total MVPA across the week was 336.1 ± 150.3 minutes, considerably higher than the UK recommendations of 120-180 per week for disabled children and young people, of which 41 out of 43 participants achieved (95.3%). Conversely, less than half (42%) the children in current study met the more widely recognised PA guidelines of 60 minutes per day which are not specific for disabled children and young people. Children engaged in significantly greater amounts of PA on weekdays (total PA 224.7 ± 48.0 minutes/day, MVPA 59.5 ± 22.2 minutes/day) compared to weekend days (total PA = 205.0 ± 64.4 minutes/day, MVPA 50.1 ± 22.6 minutes/day, MVPA difference, $p = 0.002$). When comparing between sex and disability groups, there were no significant differences in PA or motor competence levels.

Motor competence performance of the sample was most commonly categorised as 'below average' or 'average' based on TD normative data included in the BOT-2 manual. The weakest subtests were upper limb coordination ($n=23 / 53\%$ 'below average'; $n=20 / 47\%$ 'average') and strength ($n=23 / 54\%$ 'below average'; $n=18 / 42\%$ 'average'). The strongest subtest was running speed and agility ($n=9 / 21\%$ 'below average'; $n=28 / 65\%$ 'average'; $n=6 / 15\%$ 'above average'). Results for the remaining subtest were as follows: manual dexterity ($n=20 / 47\%$ 'below average'; $n=22 / 51\%$ 'average'; and $n=1 / 2\%$ 'above average'); bilateral coordination ($n=10 / 23\%$ 'below average'; $n=24 / 56\%$ 'average'; $n=9 / 21\%$ 'above average') and balance ($n=11 / 26\%$ 'below average'; $n=28 / 65\%$ average; $n=4 / 9\%$ 'above average').

Table 1. Descriptive statistics for age, anthropometry, physical activity and motor competence skills.

	Group (n = 43)		Boys (n = 39)		Girls (n = 4)		Intellectual Disability (n = 8)		ASD (n = 21)		Combined (Intellectual Disability & ASD) (n = 14)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Age (yrs)	9.5	1.2	9.4	1.2	10.1	1.4	9.6	1.3	9.4	0.8	9.6	1.6
BMI (kg/m ²)	17.8	3.5	17.5	3.1	20.8	6.1	16.7	3.9	18.0	3.7	17.8	3.5
BMI-z	0.2	1.4	0.2	1.5	0.3	1.1	0.1	1.4	0.2	1.3	0.3	1.7
Accelerometer output variables												
Wear time (min/day)	1234.3	204.9	1239.4	204.4	1184.1	233.9	1299.3	163.6	1189.3	215.2	1264.6	207.6
Whole Week Total PA (min/day)	218.8	44.7	220.6	45.7	200.6	32.6	229.2	37.0	221.6	44.7	208.5	49.5
Whole Week MVPA (min/day)	56.7	20.4	58.4	20.3	40.1	15.6	61.2	19.3	55.5	17.7	55.8	25.5
Weekday Total PA (min/day)	224.7	48.0	226.7	47.0	205.3	61.3	233.1	33.5	228.8	47.1	213.7	56.8
Weekday MVPA (min/day)	59.5	22.2	61.1	22.0	44.5	21.3	63.6	20.3	59.0	20.0	58.0	27.3
Weekend Total PA (min/day)	205.0	64.4	207.3	67.2	181.9	10.6	220.8	54.4	208.2	64.3	191.0	71.2
Weekend MVPA (min/day)	50.1	22.6	52.1	22.7	30.4	8.5	56.1	18.6	48.3	19.2	49.3	29.4
Average acceleration (mg)	60.7	18.6	61.9	18.8	49.2	13.3	62.2	16.9	59.8	14.8	61.3	25.0
Intensity Regression line												
Intensity Gradient	-2.07	0.16	-2.06	0.15	-2.17	0.25	-2.10	0.16	-2.07	0.15	-2.05	0.19
Constant	12.7	0.70	12.7	0.69	12.9	0.94	12.9	0.71	12.8	0.77	12.5	0.57
Explained variance (R ² , %)	94.4	0.04	94.4	0.04	94.3	0.11	94.2	0.01	95.1	0.05	93.3	0.02
Motor Competence variables												
Total skill score (0-239)	151.4	30.3	150.6	31.5	158.8	16.7	155.8	35.6	145.9	33.9	157.1	20.6
Motor competence skill composites												
Manual Coordination composite (0-84)	50.6	12.7	50.4	13.1	53.0	8.0	53.5	14.5	49.5	13.2	50.6	11.4
Body Coordination composite (0-61)	51.2	7.6	50.9	7.8	53.8	4.7	51.3	6.1	49.4	9.2	53.8	4.7
Strength and Agility composite (0-94)	49.6	13.1	49.3	13.7	52.0	7.1	51.0	16.5	47.0	14.5	52.7	8.1
Motor competence skill subtests												
Manual Dexterity (0-45)	10.9	3.7	11.2	3.6	8.5	3.8	11.2	5.0	10.9	4.0	10.7	2.6
Upper-Limb Coordination (0-39)	10.3	3.6	10.2	3.4	10.5	5.4	11.4	3.1	10.3	3.8	9.7	3.6

(continued)

Table 1. (continued)

	Group (n = 43)		Boys (n = 39)		Girls (n = 4)		Intellectual Disability (n = 8)		ASD (n = 21)		Combined (Intellectual Disability & ASD) (n = 14)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Bilateral Coordination (0–24)	14.3	4.6	14.4	4.6	14.0	4.5	15.6	3.6	13.8	4.5	14.7	5.4
Balance (0–37)	13.5	3.9	13.7	4.0	12.0	3.8	11.4	3.4	13.5	3.9	14.5	4.2
Running Speed & Agility (0–52)	15.5	4.7	15.6	5.0	14.8	1.5	14.6	3.2	13.5	3.9	14.5	4.2
Strength (0–42)	10.6	5.0	10.5	4.8	11.0	6.8	13.8	8.7	9.7	4.2	10.4	3.9

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; PA, physical activity assessed by accelerometry; MVPA, moderate-to-vigorous PA; Wear time, accelerometer wear time; ASD, autistic spectrum disorder.

Table 2 shows the associations between the motor competence predictor variables and the outcomes of total PA and MVPA. Total skill score was not associated with total PA ($p = 0.8$) or total MVPA ($p = 0.53$). No associations were observed between any of the motor competence composites or subtests and the total PA and MVPA outcomes. Table 3 shows the associations between the motor competence predictor variables and the outcomes of average ENMO and IG. Total skill score was not associated with average ENMO ($p = 0.46$) or intensity gradient ($p = 0.31$). No associations were observed between any of the motor competence composites or subtests and the ENMO and IG outcomes.

Discussion

This novel study examined the associations between PA and motor competence of children with intellectual disabilities and/or ASD and explored overall PA and motor competence levels. Furthermore, this is the first study to investigate and replicate the use of average acceleration and IG in this population. Results showed that, controlling for age, sex, BMI-z, and accelerometer wear time, there were no significant relationships between PA and motor competence; this was found when exploring total PA, MVPA, average acceleration, and IG with total motor competence, motor competence composites and motor competence subtests. Motor competence performance was most commonly 'below average' or 'average'. Total MVPA across the week was 336.1 ± 150.3 minutes. The amount of MVPA accrued on weekdays (59.5 ± 22.2 minutes) was significantly higher than on weekend days (50.1 ± 22.6 minutes; $p = 0.002$).

No associations were found between motor competence and accelerometer measured PA in the present study. There is very limited previous research to compare our findings with. The only comparable study published included a sample of $n = 93$ children and young people with intellectual disabilities aged 8-17 years from western China and reported contrasting findings (Wang et al., 2022). Results showed a significant positive correlation between MVPA and total fundamental

Table 2. Summary of mixed regression analyses for total PA and MVPA outcomes.

	Total PA					MVPA				
	B	SE B	LCI	UCI	p value	B	SE B	LCI	UCI	p value
Total Skill Score	-0.07	0.20	-0.48	0.34	.74	0.06	0.09	-0.13	0.25	.55
Manual Coordination	0.91	0.89	-0.88	2.7	.31	0.39	0.42	-0.45	1.22	.36
Body Coordination	0.72	1.15	-1.60	3.03	.53	0.44	0.54	-0.64	1.52	.42
Strength & Agility	-1.32	0.97	-3.28	0.63	.18	-0.43	0.45	-1.34	0.49	.35
Manual Dexterity	1.06	1.81	-2.60	4.72	.56	0.08	0.85	-1.64	1.80	.93
Upper-Limb Coordination	0.92	1.35	-1.80	3.63	.50	0.61	0.63	-0.67	1.88	.35
Bilateral Coordination	1.91	1.65	-1.41	5.23	.25	0.22	0.77	-1.34	1.78	.78
Balance	-0.22	1.96	-4.17	3.73	.91	0.99	0.92	-0.87	2.85	.29
Running Speed and Agility	-2.50	1.31	-5.14	0.14	.06	-0.73	0.62	-1.97	0.51	.24
Strength	-0.01	1.37	-2.77	2.76	1.00	-0.23	0.64	-1.53	1.07	.73

Note: B, beta; SE B, standard error beta; 95% CI, confidence interval; L, lower; U, upper; Total PA, Total physical activity time; MVPA, time spent in moderate-to-vigorous intensity PA; All models were adjusted for age, sex, BMI z-score, accelerometer wear time.

Table 3. Summary of mixed regression analyses for average ENMO and intensity gradient outcomes.

	Average ENMO					Intensity Gradient				
	B	SE B	LCI	UCI	<i>p</i> value	B	SE B	LCI	UCI	<i>p</i> value
Total Skill Score	0.07	0.09	-0.11	.024	.46	0.00	0.00	-0.00	0.00	.29
Manual Coordination	0.41	0.39	-0.36	1.19	.29	0.01	0.00	-0.00	0.01	.12
Body Coordination	0.31	0.50	-0.69	1.31	.53	-0.00	0.01	-0.10	0.01	.87
Strength & Agility	-0.36	0.42	-1.21	0.49	.39	-0.00	0.00	-0.10	0.01	.53
Manual Dexterity	0.13	0.79	-1.47	1.73	.93	-0.00	0.01	-0.2	0.01	.77
Upper-Limb Coordination	0.60	0.59	-0.58	1.79	.31	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	.054
Bilateral Coordination	-0.12	0.72	-1.56	1.33	.87	-0.01	0.01	-0.02	0.00	.20
Balance	1.05	0.85	-0.67	2.77	.23	0.01	0.01	-0.01	0.02	.23
Running Speed and Agility	-0.49	0.57	-1.64	0.66	.39	0.00	0.01	-0.01	0.01	.98
Strength	-0.35	0.60	-1.56	0.85	.56	-0.01	0.01	-0.02	0.00	.17

Note: B, beta; SE B, standard error beta; 95% CI, confidence interval; L, lower; U, upper; Average ENMO, average acceleration (a measure of activity volume); Intensity Gradient, the profile of PA intensity; All models were adjusted for age, sex, BMI z-score, accelerometer wear time.

movement skill proficiency assessed using the Test of Gross Motor Development 2 (TGMD-2), with an *r* value of 0.556 indicating a moderate positive relationship (Wang et al., 2022). Specifically, regression analysis run separately by gender indicated object control skills were a significant positive predictor of MVPA time for boys and locomotor skills were a significant positive predictor of MVPA time for girls (Wang et al., 2022). The mean age of the sample in this study was 13.3 years in comparison to 9.5 years in the current study, suggesting that the relationship between PA and motor competence may be more established in adolescence. However, further methodological differences between this study and the present study make it difficult to draw comparisons. Wang et al. (2022) measured PA using different accelerometer placement, metrics, and processing methods. Furthermore, each study employed different motor skill assessments (e.g., TGMD-2 vs BOT-2). Further research with comparable methods is therefore required to better understand the association between motor competence and PA in children with intellectual disabilities and/or autism.

Associations between motor competence and PA are potentially influenced by a range of individual, social and environmental factors (Barnett et al., 2016; Foweather et al., 2015; Niemistö et al., 2019). It may be that other socio-ecological factors are more likely to explain a variance in PA levels within this population more so than motor competence does. A review by Sutherland and colleagues (2021) highlighted that research involving children with intellectual disabilities has predominantly investigated factors associated with PA behaviours at an intrapersonal level, but the majority show no significant association with PA (Sutherland et al., 2021). Within the socio-ecological model, outside of the intrapersonal level, facilities, resources and adapted PA programmes for children and adolescents with intellectual disabilities available in the community have been identified as key factors influencing the PA participation at the environmental level (Yu et al., 2022). This highlights the need for accessible facilities and resources to provide a basic guarantee for PA participation of children and adolescents with intellectual disabilities (Yu et al., 2022). Considering the relatively high activity levels of participants in the current study it is plausible that

being active is possible for children with intellectual disabilities and/or ASD regardless of motor competence level. Quality of movement may be less important within inclusive leisure-time PA opportunities due to a focus on participation rather than competition and sport. This is comparable to the relationship between weight and PA in children and adolescents with intellectual disabilities, as data would appear to suggest that weight status is less relevant to PA participation in this population with overweight/obese children with intellectual disabilities not less likely to participate in PA in comparison to their overweight/obese TD peers (Sutherland et al., 2021).

Overall motor competence levels in this study most commonly fell within the below average and average ranges in comparison to TD peer data norms. Previous research using the BOT-2 has differed in finding impairments on all subtests within this population. For example, in a sample of 53 children with ASD between the ages of 7-14 years and a sample of 119 children with ASD aged 6-12 years results were well below average or below average in comparison to TD peer data norms (Alsaedi, 2020; Liu et al., 2021). Of the six subtests assessed in this study, upper limb coordination and strength were consistently the weakest. Upper limb coordination of the BOT-2 assessment includes skills focused on throwing and catching. In a study of students with varying degrees of intellectual disabilities, Jeoung (2013) found the skill of throwing to be the weakest of all object manipulation skills assessed. Explanations for particularly poor performance of throwing and other general object control skills in this group of children include the nature of their complexity and being an open skill which relies on environmental factors (Kavanagh et al., 2023). Additionally, there may be greater involvement of cognitive functions and processes required to successfully execute these skills which children with intellectual disabilities experience deficits in (Kavanagh et al., 2023). Wang et al. (2013) assessed lower-limb muscle strength in children with and without intellectual disabilities and identified the children with intellectual disabilities as having significantly reduced muscle strength in lower-limbs compared to the TD children of the sample. In TD peers strength has been shown to be important for health outcomes (García-Hermoso et al., 2019). Given the health inequalities experienced by children and young people with intellectual disabilities (Emerson and Spencer, 2015), and the poor performance in strength tests demonstrated in this study, suitable strength-based activities should be encouraged to improve health.

Based on new UK PA guidelines for disabled children and young people (Department of Health and Social Care UK, 2022), children in the current study were sufficiently active overall, with only two participants not achieving the recommended 120-180 minutes of MVPA per week. These are the first guidelines designed specifically for disabled children and young people and are based on a rapid evidence review which concluded that there was little evidence to support the recommendations that disabled children and young people should engage in a weekly average of 60 minutes per day of MVPA, as per the WHO and USA guidelines (Smith et al., 2022). When considering the 60 minutes per day guidelines, less than half (42%) of the children in the current study met these, although the average daily value for the whole group was less than 4 minutes away from this threshold (56.7 minutes). Moreover, in the current study on average participants engaged in significantly more MVPA on weekdays (59.5min \pm 22.2) compared to weekend days (50.1min \pm 22.6). These findings are in line with other studies involving youth with disability which have demonstrated that PA is lower during weekend days compared to weekdays and that the school setting is where most activity is accrued, thus supporting the significant of environmental factors for PA participation (Eguia et al., 2015; Liang et al., 2020).

Average acceleration of the participants in the current study (60.7 mg) was higher in comparison to previously published data in TD peers of a similar age (45.4 mg; 9-10 years; Fairclough et al., 2019) and in TD adolescent girls (36.3 mg; Rowlands et al., 2018). Conversely, the IG was steeper (-2.07) in comparison to TD 9-10-year-olds (-1.96) in Fairclough et al. (2019) but shallower than

the TD adolescent girls (-2.47) reported in Rowlands et al (2018). Higher average acceleration in comparison to TD peers indicates a greater volume of PA whereas a steeper IG in comparison to TD peers of a similar age (9-10 years) indicates lower intensity PA. Higher intensity PA is of greatest benefit for health (Aadland et al., 2018; Fairclough et al., 2017), and it has been demonstrated that PA intensity is more important than PA volume for cardiorespiratory fitness (Fairclough et al., 2019). Additionally, an increase in IG (becoming shallower, less negative) is associated with a favourable decrease in BMIz and waist to height ratio (Fairclough et al., 2019). It has been previously reported that children with intellectual disabilities engage in less continuous bouts of PA and that bouts decrease as the intensity of activity increases (Downs et al., 2016). The intensity at which children with intellectual disabilities and/or ASD engage in activity appearing to be lower than their TD peers highlights another area of targeted need.

Strengths of this study include the methods used. The BOT-2 motor competence tool is psychometrically appropriate for use amongst children with intellectual disabilities and ASD (Downs et al., 2020). The analyses of raw wrist worn accelerometer data is also a strength of the study, particularly the novel cut-point free analyses providing an opportunity in the future for comparison across studies using raw acceleration outcomes. This study was not without limitations. Firstly, categorisation of motor competence was based on TD normative data which may lead to error as children with intellectual disabilities develop motor related skills at a slower rate than TD children (Alesi et al., 2018). Using standardised norms to interpret performance may subsequently increase the likelihood of below average scores due to this slower development rate. Specific classifications for this population in the future research which account for different developmental trajectories could improve accuracy. In relation to the collection of PA data, accelerometers did not monitor water-based activity and therefore we must note that PA levels may have been underestimated as some popular activities (e.g., swimming; Allen et al., 2022) were not captured. Additionally, the cut-points used to classify some of the PA outcomes are not population specific. Future research is needed to understand if the values are appropriate within this population. Though a move to cut-point free analyses in future research is recommended, classification of MVPA levels using cut-points allows researchers to understand if children with intellectual disabilities and/or ASD are achieving the recommended PA guidelines. Work to establish population specific cut-points would allow for a more accurate comparison against these guidelines. Measurement of activity was also across two time periods of November to January and March to July, and adjustment for seasonal variation was not possible due to insufficient power to adjust for further covariates. In relation to the study sample, the sex ratio was uneven and the sample size was smaller than intended reducing the statistical power for the analyses. Issues relating to uneven sex ratios (McKenzie et al., 2016) and small sample sizes (Hinckson and Curtis, 2013) are commonly reported in research involving this population, particularly when measuring PA. The small sample also meant that associations between PA and motor competence are reported for a heterogeneous group in which children with intellectual disabilities, intellectual disabilities and ASD, and ASD only were combined for analyses. Though adding disability type and disability severity as covariates to the regression models did not significantly improve the model fit and therefore findings appear to be consistent, irrespective of disability.

Conclusion

In conclusion, no associations were found in this study between PA outcomes and motor competence. Overall, PA levels were lowest on weekend days, and engagement in high PA intensity across the whole week, as demonstrated with the IG outcome, was poor in comparison to data from

TD peers. Many children in the current study reported below average scores for individual motor competence subtests, although average scores were also evident. To help better understand the relationship between PA and motor competence amongst children with intellectual disabilities and ASD more longitudinal studies are required including large sample sizes to allow for subgroup analysis of different disability types and severity. Furthermore, it is important that research with disabled children and young people considers the influence and impact of wider environmental factors that may be of greater importance for PA participation in this group in comparison to intrapersonal factors. Interventions to improve motor skill performance are warranted.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Liverpool City Council Physical Activity and Sports Development Department (Donna Hall), and MSP (Grace Perry) for their support with the recruitment process, as well as the schools, children and families who agreed to take part. Thanks also go to the Physical Activity Exchange research group at LJMU and students who assisted with data collection.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was supported by the Baily Thomas Charitable Fund (TRUST/VC/AC/SG/5742-8882).

ORCID iD

Sarah Louise Taylor  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4875-9951>

References

- Aadland E, Andersen LB, Anderssen SA, et al. (2018) Associations of volumes and patterns of physical activity with metabolic health in children: A multivariate pattern analysis approach. *Preventive Medicine* 115: 12–18. DOI: [10.1016/j.ypmed.2018.08.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2018.08.001).
- Alesi M, Battaglia G, Pepi A, et al. (2018) Gross motor proficiency and intellectual functioning A comparison among children with down syndrome, children with borderline intellectual functioning, and typically developing children. *Medicine (United States)* 97(41). DOI: [10.1097/MD.0000000000012737](https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000012737).
- Allen G, Milne B, Velija P, et al. (2022) ‘Hearing their voice’: the experiences of physical education with pupils diagnosed with severe learning disabilities. *Sport, Education and Society*: 1–16. DOI: [10.1080/13573322.2022.2141704](https://doi.org/10.1080/13573322.2022.2141704).
- Alsaedi RH (2020) An assessment of the motor performance skills of children with autism spectrum disorder in the gulf region. *Brain Sciences* 10(9): 1–20. DOI: [10.3390/brainsci10090607](https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci10090607).
- Barnett LM, Lai SK, Veldman SLC, et al. (2016) Correlates of Gross Motor Competence in Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Sports Medicine*. DOI: [10.1007/s40279-016-0495-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-016-0495-z).
- Barnett LM, Webster EK, Hulteen RM, et al. (2022) Through the Looking Glass: A Systematic Review of Longitudinal Evidence, Providing New Insight for Motor Competence and Health. *Sports Medicine*. DOI: [10.1007/s40279-021-01516-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-021-01516-8).

- Bruininks R and Bruininks B (2005) *Bruininks-Oseretsky test of motor proficiency* (2nd ed.). Minneapolis, MN: NCS Pearson.
- Case L, Ross S and Yun J (2020) Physical activity guideline compliance among a national sample of children with various developmental disabilities. *Disability and Health Journal* 13(2). DOI: [10.1016/j.dhjo.2019.100881](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dhjo.2019.100881).
- Chu CH, Tsai CL, Chen FC, et al. (2020) The role of physical activity and body-related perceptions in motor skill competence of adolescents with autism spectrum disorder. *Disability and Rehabilitation* 42(10): 1373–1381. DOI: [10.1080/09638288.2018.1526334](https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2018.1526334).
- Cole TJ, Freeman JV, Preece MA, et al. (1995) Body mass index reference curves for the UK, 1990. *Archives of Disease in Childhood*.
- Cole TJ, Bellizzi MC, Flegal KM, et al. (2000) Establishing a standard definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey. *BMJ* 320(7244): 1240. DOI: [10.1136/bmj.320.7244.1240](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.320.7244.1240).
- Deitz JC, Kartin D and Kopp K (2007) Review of the Bruininks-Oseretsky Test of Motor Proficiency, Second Edition (BOT-2). *Physical & Occupational Therapy in Pediatrics* 27(4): 87–102. DOI: [10.1080/J006v27n04_06](https://doi.org/10.1080/J006v27n04_06).
- Department of Health and Social Care (2022) Physical activity for disabled children and disabled young people. Available at: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/1055018/infographic-physical-activity-for-disabled-children-and-disabled-young-people.pdf (accessed 4 May 2023).
- Downs SJ, Fairclough SJ, Knowles ZR, et al. (2016) Physical Activity Patterns in Youth With Intellectual Disabilities. *Adapted Physical Activity Quarterly* 33(4): 374–390. DOI: [10.1123/APAQ.2015-0053](https://doi.org/10.1123/APAQ.2015-0053).
- Downs SJ, Boddy LM, McGrane B, et al. (2020) Motor competence assessments for children with intellectual disabilities and/or autism: A systematic review. *BMJ Open Sport and Exercise Medicine*. DOI: [10.1136/bmjsem-2020-000902](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjsem-2020-000902).
- Duncan MJ, Fowweather L, Bardid F, et al. (2022) Motor Competence Among Children in the United Kingdom and Ireland: An Expert Statement on Behalf of the International Motor Development Research Consortium. *Journal of Motor Learning and Development* 10(1): 7–26. DOI: [10.1123/JMLD.2021-0047](https://doi.org/10.1123/JMLD.2021-0047).
- Eguia KF, Capio CM and Simons J (2015) Object control skills influence the physical activity of children with intellectual disability in a developing country: The Philippines. *Journal of Intellectual & Developmental Disability* 40(3): 265–274. DOI: [10.3109/13668250.2015.1041466](https://doi.org/10.3109/13668250.2015.1041466).
- Emerson E and Spencer N (2015) Chapter Two - Health Inequity and Children with Intellectual Disabilities. In: Hatton C and Emerson E (eds) *International Review of Research in Developmental Disabilities*. Academic Press, pp. 11–42. DOI: [10.1016/bs.irdd.2015.03.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.irdd.2015.03.001).
- Fairclough SJ, Noonan R, Rowlands AV, et al. (2016) Wear compliance and activity in children wearing wrist- and hip-mounted accelerometers. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise* 48(2): 245–253. DOI: [10.1249/MSS.0000000000000771](https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000000771). Available at: https://journals.lww.com/acsm-msse/Fulltext/2016/02000/Wear_Compliance_and_Activity_in_Children_Wearing.9.aspx
- Fairclough SJ, Dumuid D, Taylor S, et al. (2017) Fitness, fatness and the reallocation of time between children's daily movement behaviours: An analysis of compositional data. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity* 14(1). DOI: [10.1186/s12966-017-0521-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-017-0521-z).
- Fairclough SJ, Taylor S, Rowlands AV, et al. (2019) Average acceleration and intensity gradient of primary school children and associations with indicators of health and well-being. *Journal of Sports Sciences* 37(18): 2159–2167. DOI: [10.1080/02640414.2019.1624313](https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2019.1624313).
- Fairclough SJ, Hurter L, Dumuid D, et al. (2022) The Physical Behaviour Intensity Spectrum and Body Mass Index in School-Aged Youth: A Compositional Analysis of Pooled Individual Participant Data. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 19(14). DOI: [10.3390/ijerph19148778](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19148778).

- Fairclough SJ, Rowlands AV, del Pozo Cruz B, et al. (2023) Reference values for wrist-worn accelerometer physical activity metrics in England children and adolescents. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity* 20(1). DOI: [10.1186/s12966-023-01435-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-023-01435-z).
- Faras H, al Ateeqi N and Tidmarsh L (2010) Autism spectrum disorders. *Annals of Saudi Medicine*. DOI: [10.4103/0256-4947.65261](https://doi.org/10.4103/0256-4947.65261).
- Fowweather L, Knowles Z, Ridgers ND, et al. (2015) Fundamental movement skills in relation to weekday and weekend physical activity in preschool children. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport* 18(6): 691–696. DOI: [10.1016/j.jsams.2014.09.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2014.09.014).
- Gandotra A, Kotyuk E, Szekely A, et al. (2020) Fundamental movement skills in children with autism spectrum disorder: A systematic review. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*. DOI: [10.1016/j.rasd.2020.101632](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2020.101632).
- Gao Z, Wen X, Fu Y, et al. (2021) Motor Skill Competence Matters in Promoting Physical Activity and Health. *BioMed Research International*. DOI: [10.1155/2021/9786368](https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/9786368).
- García-Hermoso A, Ramírez-Campillo R and Izquierdo M (2019) Is Muscular Fitness Associated with Future Health Benefits in Children and Adolescents? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Longitudinal Studies. *Sports Medicine*. DOI: [10.1007/s40279-019-01098-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-019-01098-6).
- Gkotzia E, Venetsanou F and Kambas A (2017) Motor proficiency of children with autism spectrum disorders and intellectual disabilities: a review. *European Psychomotricity Journal* 9: 46–49.
- Hildebrand M, van Hees VT, Hansen BH, et al. (2014) Age Group Comparability of Raw Accelerometer Output from Wrist- and Hip-Worn Monitors. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 46(9). Available at: https://journals.lww.com/acsm-msse/Fulltext/2014/09000/Age_Group_Comparability_of_Raw_Accelerometer.17.aspx
- Hinckson EA and Curtis A (2013) Measuring physical activity in children and youth living with intellectual disabilities: A systematic review. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*. DOI: [10.1016/j.ridd.2012.07.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2012.07.022).
- Holfelder B and Schott N (2014) Relationship of fundamental movement skills and physical activity in children and adolescents: A systematic review. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise* 15(4): 382–391. DOI: [10.1016/j.psychsport.2014.03.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2014.03.005).
- Hulteen RM, Morgan PJ, Barnett LM, et al. (2018) Development of Foundational Movement Skills: A Conceptual Model for Physical Activity Across the Lifespan. *Sports Medicine* 48(7): 1533–1540. DOI: [10.1007/s40279-018-0892-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-018-0892-6).
- Hurter L, Fairclough SJ, Knowles ZR, et al. (2018) Establishing raw acceleration thresholds to classify sedentary and stationary behaviour in children. *Children* 5(12). DOI: [10.3390/children5120172](https://doi.org/10.3390/children5120172).
- Jeoung B (2018) Motor proficiency differences among students with intellectual disabilities, autism, and developmental disability. *Journal of Exercise Rehabilitation* 14(2): 275–281. DOI: [10.12965/jer.1836046.023](https://doi.org/10.12965/jer.1836046.023).
- Jeoung BJ (2013) Objective control skills among students with intellectual disability at special school in Korea. *Journal of Exercise Rehabilitation* 9(5): 477–480. DOI: [10.12965/jer.130068](https://doi.org/10.12965/jer.130068).
- Juntorn S, Sriphetcharawat S and Munkhetvit P (2017) Effectiveness of Information Processing Strategy Training on Academic Task Performance in Children with Learning Disabilities: A Pilot Study. *Occupational Therapy International*. 2017: 6237689. DOI: [10.1155/2017/6237689](https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/6237689).
- Kapsal NJ, Dicke T, Morin AJS, et al. (2019) Effects of physical activity on the physical and psychosocial health of youth with intellectual disabilities: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Physical Activity and Health* 16(12): 1187–1195. DOI: [10.1123/jpah.2018-0675](https://doi.org/10.1123/jpah.2018-0675).
- Kavanagh H, Manninen M and Issartel J (2023) Comparing the fundamental movement skill proficiency of children with intellectual disabilities and typically developing children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*. DOI: [10.1111/jir.13012](https://doi.org/10.1111/jir.13012).

- Leung W, Siebert EA and Yun J (2017) Measuring physical activity with accelerometers for individuals with intellectual disability: A systematic review. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*. DOI: [10.1016/j.ridd.2017.06.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2017.06.001).
- Liang X, Li R, Wong SHS, et al. (2020) Accelerometer-measured physical activity levels in children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorder: A systematic review. *Preventive Medicine Reports*. DOI: [10.1016/j.pmedr.2020.101147](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmedr.2020.101147).
- Lima RA, Pfeiffer K, Larsen LR, et al. (2017) Physical Activity and Motor Competence Present a Positive Reciprocal Longitudinal Relationship Across Childhood and Early Adolescence. *Journal of Physical Activity and Health* 14(6): 440–447. DOI: [10.1123/jpah.2016-0473](https://doi.org/10.1123/jpah.2016-0473).
- Liu T, Capistran J and ElGarhy S (2021) Fine and Gross Motor Competence in Children With Autism Spectrum Disorder. *The Physical Educator* 78(3). DOI: [10.18666/tpe-2021-v78-i3-9644](https://doi.org/10.18666/tpe-2021-v78-i3-9644).
- Logan SW, Ross SM, Chee K, et al. (2018) Fundamental motor skills: A systematic review of terminology. *Journal of Sports Sciences* 36(7): 781–796. DOI: [10.1080/02640414.2017.1340660](https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2017.1340660).
- Lopes L, Silva Mota JAP, Moreira C, et al. (2019) Longitudinal associations between motor competence and different physical activity intensities: LabMed physical activity study. *Journal of Sports Sciences* 37(3): 285–290. DOI: [10.1080/02640414.2018.1497424](https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2018.1497424).
- Lubans DR, Morgan PJ, Cliff DP, et al. (2010) Fundamental Movement Skills in Children and Adolescents. *Sports Medicine* 40(12): 1019–1035. DOI: [10.2165/11536850-000000000-00000](https://doi.org/10.2165/11536850-000000000-00000).
- Mañano C, Hue O, Morin AJS, et al. (2016) Prevalence of overweight and obesity among children and adolescents with intellectual disabilities: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obesity Reviews* 17(7): 599–611. DOI: [10.1111/obr.12408](https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.12408).
- Matson ML, Matson JL and Beighley JS (2011) Comorbidity of physical and motor problems in children with autism. *Research in Developmental Disabilities* 32(6): 2304–2308. DOI: [10.1016/j.ridd.2011.07.036](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2011.07.036).
- McCoy SM and Morgan K (2020) Obesity, physical activity, and sedentary behaviors in adolescents with autism spectrum disorder compared with typically developing peers. *Autism* 24(2): 387–399. DOI: [10.1177/1362361319861579](https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361319861579).
- McCoy SM, Jakicic JM and Gibbs BB (2016) Comparison of Obesity, Physical Activity, and Sedentary Behaviors Between Adolescents With Autism Spectrum Disorders and Without. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders* 46(7): 2317–2326. DOI: [10.1007/s10803-016-2762-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-016-2762-0).
- McGarty AM and Melville CA (2018) Parental perceptions of facilitators and barriers to physical activity for children with intellectual disabilities: A mixed methods systematic review. *Research in Developmental Disabilities* 73: 40–57. DOI: [10.1016/j.ridd.2017.12.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2017.12.007).
- McGarty AM, Downs SJ, Melville CA, et al. (2018) A systematic review and meta-analysis of interventions to increase physical activity in children and adolescents with intellectual disabilities. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research* 62(4): 312–329. DOI: [10.1111/jir.12467](https://doi.org/10.1111/jir.12467).
- McKenzie K, Milton M, Smith G, et al. (2016) Systematic Review of the Prevalence and Incidence of Intellectual Disabilities: Current Trends and Issues. *Current Developmental Disorders Reports* 3(2)104–115. DOI: [10.1007/s40474-016-0085-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40474-016-0085-7).
- Mohd Nordin A, Ismail J and Kamal Nor N (2021) Motor Development in Children With Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Frontiers in Pediatrics* 9. DOI: [10.3389/fped.2021.598276](https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2021.598276).
- Niemistö D, Finni T, Haapala EA, et al. (2019) Environmental correlates of motor competence in children—the skilled kids study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 16(11). DOI: [10.3390/ijerph16111989](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16111989).
- O’ Shea M, O’ Shea C, Gibson L, et al. (2018) The prevalence of obesity in children and young people with Down syndrome. *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities* 31(6): 1225–1229. DOI: [10.1111/jar.12465](https://doi.org/10.1111/jar.12465).

- Pitetti K, Miller RA and Loovis M (2017) Balance and Coordination Capacities of Male Children and Adolescents With Intellectual Disability. *Adapted Physical Activity Quarterly* 34(1): 1–18. DOI: [10.1123/APAQ.2016-0010](https://doi.org/10.1123/APAQ.2016-0010).
- Robinson LE, Stodden DF, Barnett LM, et al. (2015) Motor Competence and its Effect on Positive Developmental Trajectories of Health. *Sports Medicine*. DOI: [10.1007/s40279-015-0351-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-015-0351-6).
- Rowlands A v. (2018) Moving forward with accelerometer-assessed physical activity: Two strategies to ensure meaningful, interpretable, and comparable measures. *Pediatric Exercise Science*. DOI: [10.1123/pes.2018-0201](https://doi.org/10.1123/pes.2018-0201).
- Rowlands A V., Edwardson CL, Davies MJ, et al. (2018) Beyond Cut Points: Accelerometer Metrics that Capture the Physical Activity Profile. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise* 50(6): 1323–1332. DOI: [10.1249/MSS.0000000000001561](https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000001561).
- Schalock RL, Luckasson R and Tassé MJ (2021) An Overview of Intellectual Disability: Definition, Diagnosis, Classification, and Systems of Supports (12th ed.). *American Journal on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities* 126(6): 439–442. DOI: [10.1352/1944-7558-126.6.439](https://doi.org/10.1352/1944-7558-126.6.439).
- Smith B, Rigby B, Netherway J, et al. (2022) *Physical activity for general health in disabled children and disabled young people: summary of a rapid evidence review for the UK Chief Medical Officers' update of the physical activity guidelines*. London, UK.
- Stodden DF, Langendorfer SJ, Goodway JD, et al. (2008) A developmental perspective on the role of motor skill competence in physical activity: An emergent relationship. *Quest* 60(2): 290–306. DOI: [10.1080/00336297.2008.10483582](https://doi.org/10.1080/00336297.2008.10483582).
- Sutherland L, McGarty AM, Melville CA, et al. (2021) Correlates of physical activity in children and adolescents with intellectual disabilities: a systematic review. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*. DOI: [10.1111/jir.12811](https://doi.org/10.1111/jir.12811).
- The English Indices of Deprivation 2015 (n.d.). Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/english-indices-of-deprivation-2015> (accessed 18 October 2022).
- Thurm A, Farmer C, Salzman E, et al. (2019) State of the field: Differentiating intellectual disability from autism spectrum disorder. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*. DOI: [10.3389/fpsy.2019.00526](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2019.00526).
- Totsika V, Hastings RP, Emerson E, et al. (2011) A population-based investigation of behavioural and emotional problems and maternal mental health: Associations with autism spectrum disorder and intellectual disability. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines* 52(1): 91–99. DOI: [10.1111/j.1469-7610.2010.02295.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2010.02295.x).
- van Hees VT, Gorzelniak L, Dean León EC, et al. (2013) Separating Movement and Gravity Components in an Acceleration Signal and Implications for the Assessment of Human Daily Physical Activity. *PLoS ONE* 8(4). DOI: [10.1371/journal.pone.0061691](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061691).
- van Hees VT, Fang Z, Langford J, et al. (2014) Autocalibration of accelerometer data for free-living physical activity assessment using local gravity and temperature: an evaluation on four continents. *J Appl Physiol* 117: 738–744. DOI: [10.1152/jappphysiol.00421.2014.-Wearable](https://doi.org/10.1152/jappphysiol.00421.2014.-Wearable).
- Wang T, Qian Y, Zhong T, et al. (2022) Associations between Fundamental Movement Skills and Moderate-to-Vigorous Intensity Physical Activity among Chinese Children and Adolescents with Intellectual Disability. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 19(20). DOI: [10.3390/ijerph192013057](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192013057).
- World Health Organization (2020) *WHO GUIDELINES ON PHYSICAL ACTIVITY AND SEDENTARY BEHAVIOUR*. Available at: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240015128> (accessed 5 October 2022).
- Wouters M, Evenhuis HM and Hilgenkamp TIM (2019) Physical activity levels of children and adolescents with moderate-to-severe intellectual disability. *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities* 32(1): 131–142. DOI: [10.1111/jar.12515](https://doi.org/10.1111/jar.12515).

- Wouters M, Evenhuis HM and Hilgenkamp TIM (2020) Physical fitness of children and adolescents with moderate to severe intellectual disabilities. *Disability and Rehabilitation* 42(18): 2542–2552. DOI: [10.1080/09638288.2019.1573932](https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2019.1573932).
- Wuang YP, Chang JJ, Wang MH, et al. (2013) Test-retest reliabilities of hand-held dynamometer for lower-limb muscle strength in intellectual disabilities. *Research in Developmental Disabilities* 34(8): 2281–2290. DOI: [10.1016/j.ridd.2013.04.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2013.04.010).
- Yu S, Wang T, Zhong T, et al. (2022) Barriers and Facilitators of Physical Activity Participation among Children and Adolescents with Intellectual Disabilities: A Scoping Review. *Healthcare (Switzerland)*. DOI: [10.3390/healthcare10020233](https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare10020233).