

Effect of Magnesium Loading Dose on Insulin Resistance in Patients With Stress-Induced Hyperglycemia: A Randomized Clinical Trial

Journal of Intensive Care Medicine
2020, Vol. 35(7) 694-695
© The Author(s) 2018
Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-permissions
DOI: 10.1177/0885066618803866
journals.sagepub.com/home/jic



Christian von Loeffelholz, MD^{1,2}, Daniel Thomas-Rüddel, MD¹, Michael Bauer, MD^{1,2}, and Andreas Birkenfeld, MD^{3,4,5,6}

Keywords

HOMA-IR, insulin resistance, critical illness, stress-induced hyperglycemia

We read with great interest the article of Heidary et al¹ published in this journal. With their research, the authors addressed an important issue by presenting to the best of our knowledge first data on the effects of magnesium on insulin resistance under conditions of stress-induced hyperglycemia in intensive care unit (ICU) patients. With regard to type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2D), there is an ongoing debate on the role of magnesium on related insulin resistance.^{2,3} Results from clinical trials investigating the effect of magnesium supplementation on insulin resistance and metabolic control in human T2D are limited and clinical evidence showing a clear benefit is lacking.³ For critically ill human patients, Heidary et al propose that a single loading dose of 7.5 g of intravenous magnesium sulfate at ICU admission has significant beneficial impact on insulin resistance after 3 days, as assessed by the Homeostasis Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR).¹ However, in our opinion, the results should be interpreted with great caution: HOMA methods are widely accepted and, due to their ease and cost effectiveness, commonly used to estimate insulin resistance.⁴ Otherwise, previous studies have shown that within-person coefficients of variance can be 30% or more for the HOMA-IR in mixed collectives as compared to the gold standard measure of in vivo insulin resistance, the hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp.⁵⁻⁷ The short-term repeatability of HOMA-IR (commonly measured within weeks) is poorly studied and shows significant variation.⁷ Thereby, variance of fasting insulin was identified as major driver of HOMA-IR variation.⁸ In research settings aiming at improving insulin resistance, this phenomenon is of high relevance. For instance, hepatic clearance is one of the main determinants of insulin extraction and therefore of circulating insulin levels.⁹ At ICU admission, up to 61% of patients show elevated liver function tests potentially indicating critical illness-related acute liver injury,¹⁰ while at the same time stress-induced hyperglycemia results in hyperinsulinemia.¹¹ Both reduced clearance and elevated secretion can substantially contribute to fasting insulin variance and therefore significantly impact HOMA-IR

measurements. In the study of Heidary et al, alanine aminotransferase levels of the placebo group were more than 2-fold elevated (due to the limited number of included patients yet not significantly) at ICU admission when compared to individuals of the magnesium group.¹ Thus, impaired liver function with reduced insulin clearance and resulting higher circulating levels at admission could have significantly influenced the observed results. Even more problematic is the application of insulin as a treatment option in both groups, while no data on the cumulative insulin application rate are shown, nor on C-peptide levels, with twice as much patients in the magnesium group receiving insulin.¹ Furthermore, systemic inflammation is the main determinant of insulin resistance under conditions of stress-induced hyperglycemia resulting in hyperinsulinemia.¹¹ In nondiabetic patients, stress-induced insulin resistance is quickly normalized with resolving inflammation. However, while in the study of Heidary et al C-reactive protein levels were comparable between groups at ICU admission, no data are given regarding the course of inflammation on day 2 or 3.¹ Moreover, in spite of their known counterregulatory effects on insulin action,¹¹ no data on the intensity and duration of catecholamine therapy are provided. Therefore, the presented data

¹ Integrated Research and Treatment Center, Center for Sepsis Control and Care (CSCC), Jena University Hospital, Jena, Germany

² Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care, Jena University Hospital, Jena, Germany

³ Section of Metabolic and Vascular Medicine, Department of Medicine III, University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, Dresden, Germany

⁴ Diabetes and Nutritional Sciences, King's College London, London, United Kingdom

⁵ Paul Langerhans Institute Dresden of the Helmholtz Center Munich at University Hospital and Faculty of Medicine, TU Dresden, Dresden, Germany

⁶ German Center for Diabetes Research (DZD e.V.), Neuherberg, Germany

Corresponding Author:

Christian von Loeffelholz, Integrated Research and Treatment Center, Center for Sepsis Control and Care (CSCC), Jena University Hospital, Jena, Germany.
Email: christian.von_loeffelholz@med.uni-jena.de

on blood glucose and HOMA-IR 3 days after magnesium or placebo treatment are barely interpretable for the interested reader. Finally, the absolute difference in HOMA-IR in the study of Heidary et al of -0.11 (-0.19 to -0.01) between groups (although statistically significant)¹ is minor and lays in the range of the coefficient of variance of HOMA-IR.⁵⁻⁷

In conclusion, the role of magnesium treatment on acute stress-related insulin resistance is of potential clinical interest. Regarding the significant methodological limitations raised, we believe, however, that the data of Heidary et al potentially overestimate the treatment effect of magnesium. For an appropriate investigation of this issue, administration of the hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp method ideally in combination with stable isotope approaches would represent the methodological state of the art, as we have shown under rapid insulin resistance inducing lipid challenge conditions in human adults.¹²

ORCID iD

Andreas Birkenfeld, MD  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1407-9023>

References

- Heidary Z, Khalili H, Mohammadi M, Beigmohammadi MT, Abdollahi A. Effect of magnesium loading dose on insulin resistance in patients with stress-induced hyperglycemia: a randomized clinical trial. *J Intensive Care Med.* 2018; doi:885066618777431.
- Gröber U, Schmidt J, Kisters K. Magnesium in prevention and therapy. *Nutrients.* 2015;7(9):8199-8226.
- Barbagallo M, Dominguez LJ. Magnesium and type 2 diabetes. *World J Diabetes.* 2015;6(10):1152-1157.
- Wallace TM, Levy JC, Matthews DR. Use and abuse of HOMA modeling. *Diabetes Care.* 2004;27(6):1487-1495.
- Mather KJ, Hunt AE, Steinberg HO, et al. Repeatability characteristics of simple indices of insulin resistance: implications for research applications. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2001;86(11): 5457-5464.
- Lotz TF, Chase JG, McAuley KA, et al. Monte Carlo analysis of a new model-based method for insulin sensitivity testing. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed.* 2008;89(3):215-225.
- Poon AK, Meyer ML, Reaven G, et al. Short-term repeatability of insulin resistance indexes in older adults: the atherosclerosis risk in communities study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2018;103(6): 2175-2181.
- Crofts CAP, Wheldon MC, Zinn C, et al. Assessing the test-retest repeatability of insulin resistance measures: homeostasis model assessment 2 and oral glucose insulin sensitivity. *J Insulin Resistance.* 2017;2(1):a27.
- Matteucci E, Giampietro O, Covolan V, Giustarini D, Fanti P, Rossi R. Insulin administration: present strategies and future directions for a noninvasive (possibly more physiological) delivery. *Drug Des Devel Ther.* 2015;9:3109-3118.
- Lescot T, Karvellas C, Beaussier M, Magder S. Acquired liver injury in the intensive care unit. *Anesthesiology.* 2012;117(4): 898-904.
- Marik PE, Raghavan M. Stress-hyperglycemia, insulin and immunomodulation in sepsis. *Intensive Care Med.* 2004;30(5):748-756.
- Weickert MO, Loeffelholz CV, Roden M, et al. A Thr94Ala mutation in human liver fatty acid-binding protein contributes to reduced hepatic glycogenolysis and blunted elevation of plasma glucose levels in lipid-exposed subjects. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab.* 2007;293(4):E1078-E1084.