



Proteomics reveals mechanisms of metabolic disruptive effects of emerging di-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate substitutes

Alexandra Schaffert^{1,2}, Isabel Karkossa², Elke Ueberham³, Rita Schlichting⁴, Katharina Walter², Josi Arnold², Matthias Blüher^{5,6}, John T. Heiker⁵, Jörg Lehmann^{7,8}, Martin Wabitsch⁹, Beate I. Escher^{4,10}, Martin von Bergen^{2,11,12} and Kristin Schubert²

¹Division of Medical Biochemistry, Medical University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria; ²Department of Molecular Systems Biology, Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research (UFZ), Leipzig, Germany; ³Department of GMP Process Development / ATMP Design, Fraunhofer Institute for Cell Therapy and Immunology, Leipzig, Germany; ⁴Department of Cell Toxicology, Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research (UFZ), Leipzig, Germany; ⁵Helmholtz Institute for Metabolic, Obesity and Vascular Research (HI-MAG), Leipzig, Germany; ⁶Department of Endocrinology, Nephrology and Rheumatology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany; ⁷Department of Preclinical Development and Validation, Fraunhofer Institute for Cell Therapy and Immunology, Leipzig, Germany; ⁸Fraunhofer Cluster of Excellence Immune-Mediated Diseases CIMD, Fraunhofer Institute for Cell Therapy and Immunology, Leipzig, Germany; ⁹Division of Pediatric Endocrinology and Diabetes, Ulm University Medical Center, Ulm, Germany; ¹⁰Environmental Toxicology, Center for Applied Geoscience, Eberhard Karls University, Tübingen, Germany; ¹¹Institute of Biochemistry, Leipzig University, Leipzig, Germany; ¹²German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany

The obesity pandemic and associated cardiovascular complications are presumed to be accelerated by endocrine disruptors such as the phthalate plasticizer di-(2-ethylhexyl)-phthalate (DEHP). However, the mode of action underlying their metabolic disruptive effects is insufficiently understood, and poorly studied emerging plasticizer alternatives require further investigation.

Given that adipose tissue functionality was reported to be impaired by phthalate plasticizers, we focused on the master regulator of adipogenesis, the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPAR γ) and examined 20 alternative plasticizers as well as their metabolites for binding to and activation of PPAR γ and lipid accumulation in human preadipocytes. Among several compounds that showed molecular interaction with PPAR γ , the metabolites MINCH, MHINP, and OH-MPHP of the plasticizers DINCH, DINP, and DPHP exerted the most potent induction of lipid accumulation [1].

These compounds were further analyzed in human preadipocytes and mature adipocytes using *in vitro* assays and global proteomics. In preadipocytes, the plasticizer metabolites significantly increased lipid accumulation, induced adipogenesis, enhanced leptin and adiponectin secretion, and upregulated markers and pathways associated with PPAR γ activation in a similar pattern to the PPAR γ agonist rosiglitazone. Proteomics of mature adipocytes revealed that both, the plasticizers and their metabolites, induced a hypertrophic remodeling caused by oxidative stress and excessive deposition of extracellular matrix. This cellular stress led to impaired metabolic homeostasis, disturbed lipid storage, and induction of proinflammatory pathways as well as insulin resistance promoting adipokine secretion.

In conclusion, the plasticizer metabolites enhanced preadipocyte differentiation, at least in part through PPAR γ activation and, together with their parent plasticizers, impaired the functionality of mature adipocytes similar to reported effects of a high-fat diet. This mechanistic knowledge may support adverse outcome pathway (AOP) development for the effects of xenobiotics on cardiovascular disease as investigated by the EU Horizon 2020 research project ALTERNATIVE. Moreover, this highlights (A) the need to further investigate the currently used plasticizer alternatives for potential associations with obesity and cardiovascular diseases and (B) the convenience of omics for regulatory risk assessment.

This work was supported by the German Federal Environmental Foundation (DBU). This conference presentation was supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant #101037090). The content of this manuscript reflects only the author's view, and the Commission is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.

Reference

- [1] Schaffert, A., Karkossa, I., Ueberham, E. et al. (2022). *Environ Int* 164, 107279. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2022.107279

Submission declaration:
Conflicts of interest: The corresponding author declares that there is no conflict of interest with the authors.