

Cre recombinase microinjection for single-cell tracing and localised gene targeting

Miquel Sendra^{1,*‡}, Juan de Dios Hourcade^{2,*}, Susana Temiño¹, Antonio J. Sarabia³, Oscar H. Ocaña^{1,3}, Jorge N. Domínguez^{3,4,‡} and Miguel Torres^{1,‡}

ABSTRACT

Tracing and manipulating cells in embryos are essential to understand development. Lipophilic dye microinjections, viral transfection and iontophoresis have been key to map the origin of the progenitor cells that form the different organs in the post-implantation mouse embryo. These techniques require advanced manipulation skills and only iontophoresis, a demanding approach of limited efficiency, has been used for single-cell labelling. Here, we perform lineage tracing and local gene ablation using cell-permeant Cre recombinase (TAT-Cre) microinjection. First, we map the fate of undifferentiated progenitors to the different heart chambers. Then, we achieve single-cell recombination by titrating the dose of TAT-Cre, which allows clonal analysis of nascent mesoderm progenitors. Finally, injecting TAT-Cre to *Mycn*^{lox/lox} embryos in the primitive heart tube revealed that *Mycn* plays a cell-autonomous role in maintaining cardiomyocyte proliferation. This tool will help researchers identify the cell progenitors and gene networks involved in organ development, helping to understand the origin of congenital defects.

KEY WORDS: Fate mapping, Clonal analysis, Labelling, Cre recombinase microinjection, Mouse

INTRODUCTION

During development, stem cells diversify and organise to build complex systems. Knowing the origin of the cell progenitors involved in each specific process helps us to understand how organs are built. Since the end of the 19th century, biologists have traced cell lineages to identify within heterogeneous progenitor populations those that contribute to specific body structures and functions (Whitman, 1898). For this, groups of cells are labelled and tracked to determine which tissues they contribute to (Lawson et al., 1987; Tam and Zhou, 1996). Furthermore, analysing the progeny of single cells, also known as clonal analysis, allows inferring when

they commit to different fates (Padrón-Barthe et al., 2014; Tu and Johnson, 2011).

A variety of cell tracing techniques are now available (VanHorn and Morris, 2021). In prospective methods such as dye injection, grafting or retroviral infection, researchers choose the stage and location of the targeted cells, the progeny of which is tracked over time. They always require direct manipulation of the sample. For viviparous embryos, this implies that its applicability is limited to the stages that can be grown *ex utero*. In retrospective methods, the labelling is achieved through genetic drivers, and the resulting progenies are only examined at the endpoint. Unlike prospective methods, they do not require physical manipulation or sample culture. This makes them highly scalable, recording thousands of cell lineages at the same time if coupled with single-cell transcriptomics (Spanjaard et al., 2018; Chan et al., 2019). Although genetic inducible systems can restrict the labelling to specific stages and cell domains, the time and location of induction are only approximate or unknown (Petit et al., 2005). For this reason, prospective tracing approaches are preferred in experiments that require precise temporal and spatial information about the cells being labelled.

Prospective tracing allows mapping the contribution of undifferentiated embryonic regions to specific organs and tissues. By labelling groups of cells using lipophilic dye microinjection, the germ layers and organ primordia were mapped to different regions of the epiblast in bilaterian embryos (Kinder et al., 1999; Lawson et al., 1987; Dale and Wardle, 1999; Kimmel et al., 1990; Hatada and Stern, 1994). Labelling single cells is more challenging. Individual cells can be labelled by intracellular injections of compounds that cannot diffuse from one cell to another, such as horseradish peroxidase, fluorescent dextran conjugates or nucleic acids that encode a reporter gene (Lee et al., 1994; Serbedzija et al., 1989). However, beyond blastula stages, the embryo cells become progressively smaller, making the experiment more complex and time-consuming. This is detrimental to mammalian embryos, which are sensitive to manipulation and culture *ex utero* (Nagy et al., 2014).

In post-implantation mouse embryos, intracellular injection of horseradish peroxidase by iontophoresis has been used to prospectively trace single cells in the epiblast (Lawson et al., 1991). However, most injections labelled more than one cell. To develop a reliable alternative for prospective clonal analysis in the mouse model, we took advantage of the Cre-loxP system. By microinjecting cell-permeant Cre recombinase in embryos carrying floxed reporter alleles, we targeted custom embryonic stages and anatomical locations. In this way, we were able to fate map splanchnic mesoderm progenitors that contribute to the looping heart. Next, titration of the TAT-Cre dose produced single-cell labelling, allowing clonal analysis in the nascent mesoderm. Finally, the injection of TAT-Cre into conditional knockout (KO) embryos for *Mycn* revealed its cell-autonomous role in embryonic cardiomyocyte proliferation. The method we present here uses

¹Cardiovascular Regeneration Program, Centro Nacional de Investigaciones Cardiovasculares, CNIC, 28029 Madrid, Spain. ²Transgenesis Unit, Centro Nacional de Investigaciones Cardiovasculares, CNIC, 28029 Madrid, Spain.

³Department of Experimental Biology, Faculty of Experimental Sciences, University of Jaén, 23071 Jaén, Spain. ⁴Fundación MEDINA, Centro de Excelencia en Investigación de Medicamentos Innovadores en Andalucía, Avenida del Conocimiento 34, 18016 Granada, Spain.

*These authors contributed equally to this work

‡Authors for correspondence (msendra@cnic.es; jorgendm@ujaen.es; mtorres@cnic.es)

© M.S., 0000-0002-2093-7453; J.N.D., 0000-0003-4419-0929; M.T., 0000-0003-0906-4767

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is properly attributed.

Handling Editor: Benoît Bruneau
Received 16 August 2022; Accepted 17 December 2022

equipment and genetic systems that are widely available in molecular biology laboratories, offering a strategy for fate mapping, clonal analysis and localised genetic manipulations.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

TAT-Cre recombinase microinjection allows lineage tracing in mouse embryos

We developed a strategy to trace the progeny of groups of cells at custom anatomical locations in mouse embryos. We used pneumatic microinjection of membrane-permeable Cre recombinase protein (TAT-Cre) in embryos carrying *LoxP*-flanked STOP cassettes preceding fluorescent reporter genes in the *Rosa26* locus (Madisen et al., 2010; Sousa et al., 2009). We then cultured the embryos *ex vivo* and detected the progeny of the recombined cells (Fig. 1A).

To test TAT-Cre microinjection for lineage tracing, we targeted the posterior second heart field (pSHF) (Galli et al., 2008) in ten approximately embryonic day (E) 8.5 embryos and analysed the contribution of Cre-recombined cells after 24 h of *ex vivo* culture. Consistent with previous lipophilic dye microinjection studies (Domínguez et al., 2012; Galli et al., 2008), the E8.5 pSHF contributed to the atria (A), the atrioventricular canal (AVC), the sinus venosus (SV) and the outflow tract (OFT) of the E9.5 heart (Fig. 1B). Notably, microinjection of the left pSHF in E8.5 embryos produced Tomato⁺ and GFP⁺ cells only in the left SV and AVC at E9.5, without recombination in the right SV or OFT (Fig. 1C-F), recapitulating previous data showing that the left pSHF contributes ipsilaterally to the heart tube (Domínguez et al., 2012). These data show that TAT-Cre recombinase can be used as a lineage tracing tool in mouse embryos.

Titration of TAT-Cre recombinase for clonal analysis

Next, we considered the use of TAT-Cre microinjection for clonal analysis. To that end, we adjusted TAT-Cre dose to

produce clones – groups of labelled cells derived from a single progenitor cell. A good dose is a compromise between enough recombination efficiency and targeting only one cell at a time: A high dose is more likely to achieve efficient recombination but also has more potential for labelling more than one progenitor. On the contrary, a low dose might produce recombination too rarely. We tested three TAT-Cre doses: dose 1 consisting of ~0.065 pl of undiluted TAT-Cre solution, dose 1/2, diluted to half, or dose 1/4, diluted to the fourth with buffer (Materials and Methods).

First, we tested the efficiency of each dose. We microinjected TAT-Cre in the posterior region of ~E6.5-E7.25 embryos (in the nascent mesoderm or the ectoderm/epiblast in case of pre-streak embryos) and cultured them statically (Fig. 2A-C). To reach the stage of early somitogenesis, we cultured ~E7.0-E7.25 embryos for 24 h and ~E6.5-E7.0 embryos for 40 h. We used a zygotic microinjection setup (Fig. S2; Movie 1), which allowed us to deliver low and consistent volumes of TAT-Cre solution. The highest dose tested (1) labelled all microinjected embryos, whereas lower doses (1/2 and 1/4) were less efficient in producing recombination (Fig. 2D-F).

Second, we tested whether the lower doses were more likely to produce clones using three complementary approaches: live-imaging cell tracking, the two-reporter strategy statistical method and distribution-inference of cell counts.

We cultured and time-lapse imaged ten TAT-Cre microinjected embryos (Fig. 2G; Movie 2) using either dose 1 or dose 1/2. Back-tracking labelled cells allowed us to distinguish whether they derived from single (clonal) or multiple (polyclonal) recombination events. Although dose 1 generated polyclonal events, dose 1/2 labelled only 2/5 of the embryos (Fig. S3A), each containing a single recombined cell (Fig. 2H,I; Movie 2).

Next, the two-reporter strategy (Lioux et al., 2020; Padrón-Barthe et al., 2014) allowed us to estimate how often a monoclonal group of




Fig. 1. TAT-Cre microinjection in E8.5 embryos recapitulates the fate map of posterior second heart field (pSHF) progenitors. (A) Experiment setup. (B) Posterior second heart field (pSHF) contribution ($n=10$ embryos from two litters). (C-F) Result of a left pSHF injection. MF20 (D,E) marks the heart tube. White and yellow arrowheads point to recombination and unspecific signal, respectively (F). AVC, atrioventricular canal; LA, left atrium; LV, left ventricle; OFT; outflow tract; RA, right atrium; RV, right ventricle; SV, sinus venosus.




Fig. 2. TAT-Cre titration for clonal analysis. (A) Microinjection setup. (B,C) An early-streak embryo at injection (B) and after culture (C). (D) Proportion of fluorescent embryos on each TAT-Cre dose tested. ($n=37$ embryos, six litters). (E,F) Microinjected embryos after culture. (G) Live imaging setup. (H,I) Time series (300 μm , H; 20 μm , I) projection for microinjected embryos. In I, cell debris appears at 4:15 but it is outside the 20 μm projection. Time is reported as h:min.

cells can originate from two independent recombinations, as the frequency of bicolor events in a collection of samples is directly proportional to its polyclonality (Materials and Methods). Live-imaged embryos showed that dose 1 is more likely to recombine multiple cells than dose 1/2, and therefore yields more bicolor groups of labelled cells (Fig. S3A). Similarly, the two lower doses (1/2 and 1/4) lacked bicolor groups of labelled cells in fixed embryos analysed at the experimental endpoint (Fig. 2D), suggesting a low probability of polyclonal events.

Then we tested whether the size of each group of labelled cells was consistent with a clonal origin (Zhang et al., 2021). The number of cells recombined in fixed embryos analysed at the experimental endpoint for doses 1/2 and 1/4 was comparable with those obtained in live imaging tracked clones (Fig. S3B) and (Fig. S3C,D), which underwent cell division two to three times (cell numbers range from 2^2 to 2^3). This is consistent with the cell division rates in live tracked

cells (6:00 and 6:20 h:min in ectoderm; 7:05 and 7:25 h:min in mesoderm, Fig. S3E,F) and previously reported rates in early gastrulating embryos (Solter et al., 1971). Overall, both 1/2 and 1/4 ensured a high probability of clonality. We chose dose 1/2 as it yielded a higher recombination efficiency.

Spatial and temporal resolution of TAT-Cre recombination

In addition to the efficiency and number of cells labelled, we studied where and when recombination occurs after injection. Unlike other methods using intracellular microinjections of undiffusible compounds, which can only be performed in cohesive cell sheets (Lawson et al., 1991, 1986), TAT-Cre injections can also be applied to mesenchymal tissues. Previous clonal analyses in mouse embryos thus only targeted epithelial layers, such as the epiblast and endoderm, whereas TAT-Cre can also target mesenchymal tissues such as the nascent mesoderm.

However, unlike fluorescent dyes, one cannot visualise TAT-Cre in the targeted cells at the time of injection. This represents a limitation. To determine the range of recombined cells after injection, we mapped the positions of independently recombined live-imaged cells at the first time that fluorescent reporters were detected. The distance between cells ranged from ~50 to ~80 μm (Fig. S4A,B). Although these results suggest a wide range of possible recombination around the injection site, cells might also move apart from each other from the time of recombination to the first detection of the reporter. In fact, extensive cell mixing and migration have been reported previously in gastrulating embryos (Ichikawa et al., 2013; Saykali et al., 2019).

To assess how long it takes from injection to labelling, we then quantified the dynamics of fluorescence intensity in live imaging data (Fig. S5B). All GFP and Tdtomato cells tracked were detectable within the first 7 h, although GFP events appeared earlier (Fig. S5C). This may have been due to differences in the time that TAT-Cre takes to recombine one or the other reporter cassette or to differences in laser/detector efficiency during image acquisition. In support of the latter, TAT-Cre has been shown to produce recombination within the first 1 h when administered to cell cultures (de Vasconcelos et al., 2020). The delay between injection and reporter detection makes this method less time-defined than others that are based on injected fluorescent compounds. However, fluorescent compounds dilute on each cell division, limiting their application to study lineages over three to four cell divisions (Petit et al., 2005; Mobbs et al., 1994; Buckingham and Meilhac, 2011). Here, using the *Rosa26* genetic reporter, after recombination of TAT-Cre the reporters are permanently expressed, making it possible to study highly proliferative cells without losing signal intensity.

The delay between injection and detection is compatible with the spread of recombined cells from a narrower initial distribution. To assess the range of cells actually exposed to TAT-Cre, we immunostained embryos against the 6xHis-tag of TAT-Cre immediately after dose 1 microinjection (Fig. S4C). Measurement of the distance between TAT-Cre-positive cells indicated local incorporation in the proximity of the injection site, ranging from 0 to ~30 μm (Fig. S4B). The nuclear localisation of TAT-Cre indicates its availability for recombination immediately after injection (Fig. S4C).

Associated with the injection site, we often observed cell debris, which suggests that the needle kills injected cells, while surviving surrounding cells may uptake TAT-Cre and recombine (Fig. S6A,B). As a note of caution, injection of TAT-Cre for local labelling should be done in embryonic layers and not in cavities, which can lead to spread recombination (Fig. S6C,D).

In summary, the results show that recombinations occur within a narrow range from the injection site and that the reporter signal can be detected within the first 7 h.

Prospective clonal analysis of nascent mesoderm progenitors

As a proof of concept for clonal analysis, we microinjected dose 1/2 of TAT-Cre to 41 additional embryos from pre-streak (~E6.5) to late bud (~E7.25) stages (Downs and Davies, 1993) in the nascent mesoderm (or the posterior epiblast in the case of pre-streak embryos) and cultured them. After discarding eight undeveloped embryos, we detected 19 embryos, each containing a fluorescent group of cells (Fig. S7A-D). Of these, one contained both GFP and Tdtomato cells and the rest were monocolour (Fig. S7E). The use of the two-reporter strategy (Lioux et al., 2020; Padrón-Barthe et al.,

2014) allowed us to estimate that monocolour groups of labelled cells in our embryo collection had a 93% chance of being clonal (Fig. S7F; Materials and Methods), which doubles the clonal rate obtained with horseradish peroxidase microinjection (Lawson et al., 1991). Likewise, the number of cells per embryo was consistent with their clonal origin (Fig. S7G).

During gastrulation, cells from the epiblast ingress the primitive streak and form the nascent mesoderm, becoming progressively restricted to different fates (Tam et al., 1997). Cell labelling and grafting experiments showed that the first cells ingress at the proximal end of the primitive streak and give rise to extra-embryonic compartments, followed by cardiac and cranial progenitors (Tam et al., 1997; Lawson et al., 1987, 1991; Lawson and Pedersen, 1992). As the primitive streak extends distally, definitive endoderm and other posterior mesoderm progenitors are generated (Tzouanacou et al., 2009). Consistent with this, TAT-Cre injections in the proximal half of the nascent mesoderm contributed more to the extra-embryonic and cardiac mesoderm regions, whereas distal injections contributed more to the posterior mesoderm domains and endoderm (Fig. 3A-G). Interestingly, two of the clones contributed both to embryonic and extra-embryonic compartments (Fig. 3H,I), suggesting that the recently discovered multipotent progenitors (Tyser et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021) may be present in the early nascent mesoderm.

These results also showed the limitations of TAT-Cre for clonal analysis. We observed that aiming the injection at the mesoderm can also cause off-target recombination in neighbouring ectodermal cells. For example, clone 5 contributed to the ectoderm, which is an unlikely fate for a mesodermal cell (Fig. 3A). Live imaging also shows that an injection aimed at the mesoderm can cause recombination in the ectoderm (Fig. S3A, embryo e007). Furthermore, the mixed contribution to both target and off-target layers confirms the possibility of polyclonal recombination (clone 9, Fig. 3A).

TAT-Cre microinjection relies on low recombination frequencies to yield high chances of single-cell targeting in specific layers. The low occurrence of off-target and polyclonal recombination could be adjusted further according to the needs of the specific scientific question by titrating the concentration of the injected TAT-Cre. In summary, these results show that TAT-Cre clonal analysis can be performed using instrumentation that is commonly available in molecular biology laboratories and reproduce previous experiments.

Mosaic Cre/loxP-mediated genetic knockout at custom embryonic stages and anatomical locations

Cre-lox genetic modifications have transformed biomedical research. Modification of *LoxP* engineered loci is now available for a variety of mouse lines that express Cre- or tamoxifen-inducible CreERT2 under specific promoters. However, this application is limited to embryonic domains with available Cre drivers. By microinjecting TAT-Cre into floxed mouse models, this tool can be extended to nearly any embryonic location and stage of early development.

To validate this application, we took advantage of the iSuRe-Cre system, a tool to reliably induce and report Cre-mediated genetic modifications (Fernández-Chacón et al., 2019). In brief, iSure-Cre is an inducible dual reporter-Cre mouse allele, which activates permanent Cre expression in reporter-expressing cells, ensuring that they completely recombine any floxed alleles.

Mycn is essential to maintain cell proliferation in *Nkx2-5+* embryonic cardiomyocytes (Muñoz-Martín et al., 2019), and we




Fig. 3. TAT-Cre microinjection allows prospective clonal analysis of nascent mesoderm. (A) Contribution of dose 1/2 TAT-Cre-induced clones. Squares contain cell counts (x-axis, clone; y-axis, location. $n=19$ embryos, eight litters). (B-C') Injected embryo (B) and its resulting clone (C). C' shows a sagittal plane of C. (D,E) Distal and proximal injections contributing to ExM, AsM and CM ($n=14$ embryos) (D) and to the PsM and End ($n=8$ embryos) (E). (F-G') Injected embryo (F) and its resulting clone (G). G' shows a sagittal plane of G. (H,I) Injected embryo (H) and its contribution (I) to embryonic and extra-embryonic compartments ($n=2$ embryos). Cardiac mesoderm is highlighted with white shading and embryonic compartments annotated following abbreviations in A.

used this model to determine whether injected TAT-Cre could recapitulate a reduction in cell proliferation in genetic mosaics by locally deleting *Mycn*. For this, we microinjected TAT-Cre into the

primitive heart tube of control (*Mycn^{wt/wt}; iSuRe-Cre^{+/-}*) and *Mycn*-floxed (*Mycn^{fl/flox}; iSuRe-Cre^{+/-}*) embryos (Fig. 4A-C). After 36 h of *ex vivo* culture, we immunostained for the proliferation




Fig. 4. Mosaic TAT-Cre *Mycn* knockout in embryonic cardiomyocytes. (A) Setup to induce *Mycn*^{-/-} Tdtomato⁺ cells and quantify their proliferation. (B) Deleting *Mycn* using the iSuRe-Cre and *Mycn*-floxed alleles. (C) Embryo after TAT-Cre injection and culture. (D,F) Control (D) and experimental (F) E9.5 embryos immunostained with Ph3. (E,G) Density of Ph3⁺ cells in Tdtomato⁻ (Tdt⁻, unrecombined) and Tdtomato⁺ (Tdt⁺, recombined) domains in wild-type (WT, E) and *Mycn*-floxed (G) embryos, normalised by area (horizontal lines, mean; coloured points, individual embryos; $n=4$ WT and $n=3$ *Mycn*-floxed embryos, two litters; unpaired two-tailed *t*-test of two related samples). LV, left ventricle.

marker phospho-histone H3 (Ph3). Counting the density of Ph3⁺ cells in TAT-Cre targeted (tdt⁺) and untargeted (tdt⁻) domains revealed that, although proliferation was unaltered in the recombined cells of control embryos (Fig. 4D,E), it was reduced in the *Mycn*-KO cells of the experimental embryos (Fig. 4F,G). These results show that the *Mycn* role in cardiomyocyte proliferation is cell-autonomous and that LoxP modifications can be performed in custom embryonic locations by directly microinjecting TAT-Cre.

Currently, available approaches include the microinjection of viruses; however, this yields variable outcomes that are influenced by tropism and off-target insertions (Artegiani and Calegari, 2013; Mangold et al., 2021). Alternatively, targeted electroporation of DNA constructs can produce consistent and spatially restricted genetic changes (Pierreux et al., 2005; Mazari et al., 2014). However, these modifications are transient and can only be targeted to exposed cell layers – for example, in early post-implantation embryos, electroporation is only efficient in endodermal cells. In this sense, TAT-Cre injection is more versatile than previous prospective techniques used in mouse embryos.

On the other side, TAT-Cre injection has limitations. As the distance between LoxP sites (Koike et al., 2002) and their

chromosomal location (Vooijs et al., 2001) can affect sensitivity to recombination, different floxed alleles may require adjusting the TAT-Cre doses reported here. Although low volumes of TAT-Cre were sufficient to induce recombination in our experiments, they may not suffice in extremely insensitive LoxP alleles. This is especially crucial when aiming to target a high percentage of cells.

In summary, TAT-Cre microinjection is a versatile tool for fate mapping, clonal analysis, and local gene modifications in mouse embryos. This protocol relies on equipment that is available in most developmental biology laboratories, making it accessible. Provided proper dissection and *ex vivo* culture setups, this tool will allow to study cell lineages and dissect gene roles in developing organs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mouse strains

ROSA26R^{CAG-Tdtomato} (Madisen et al., 2010), *ROSA26R*^{CAG-GFP} (Sousa et al., 2009), *Polr2a*^{CreERT2} (RERT) (Guerra et al., 2003), *iSuRe-Cre* (Fernández-Chacón et al., 2019) and *Mycn* floxed (Knoepfler et al., 2002) have been previously described. For clonal analysis, *ROSA26R*^{CAG-Tdtomato} homozygous mice were crossed with *ROSA26R*^{CAG-GFP} homozygous mice. For localised *Mycn* deletion, heterozygous *iSuRe-Cre* mice were mated with

Mycn homozygous mice. All animal procedures were conducted in accordance with CNIC Ethics Committee, Spanish laws and the EU Directive 2010/63/EU for the use of animals in research. All mouse experiments were approved by the CNIC and Universidad Autónoma de Madrid Committees for 'Ética y Bienestar Animal' and the area of 'Protección Animal' of the Community of Madrid with reference PROEX 144.1/21.

Embryo isolation and culture

Mouse embryos were harvested and dissected in pre-equilibrated dissection medium: DMEM supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum, 25 mM HEPES-NaOH (pH 7.2), penicillin (50 µg/ml) and streptomycin (50 µg/ml). Embryos from E6.5 to E7.5 were cultured in static conditions in a hypoxic chamber incubator (Fig. S2) in culture media: 50% Janvier Labs Rat Serum Sprague Dawley RjHan SD male only and 50% DMEM FluoroBrite (Thermo Fisher Scientific, A1896701), penicillin (50 µg/ml) and streptomycin (50 µg/ml). Embryos from E8 onward were cultured in an embryo roller culture system (Fig. S1A,B), with 75% rat serum and 25% T6 solution (Whittingham, 1971). Temperature was set to 37°C, with 5% O₂ and 7% CO₂ concentration for E6.5-E8 embryos (González et al., 2021), whereas embryos from E8 onward were cultured in 20% O₂.

TAT-Cre recombinase microinjection for fate mapping and gene ablation

For fate mapping or local gene ablation experiments, E7.5 to E8.5 embryos were microinjected with undiluted TAT-Cre recombinase (SCR508, Sigma-Aldrich) using a pneumatic microinjector (Narishige IM 300 Microinjector) (Fig. S1C,D). Needles used for these microinjections were made using a Sutter Instrument Co. puller (Fig. S2D). The conditions for microinjections were 9 psi of injection pressure during 40 ms. To estimate the volume delivered per injection, we used the same settings to deposit drops of the injected solution in paraffin oil and measured its diameter as previously described (Rosen et al., 2009). With a resulting diameter of 90 µm, and using the equation of a sphere $\frac{4}{3}\pi r^3$, we estimated the volume of the drop to be 0.38 nl. As the TAT-Cre solution contained 1000 enzymatic units (U) per ml, each pulse delivered $3.8 \cdot 10^{-4}$ U of TAT-Cre. Embryos were held frontally in an agarose-coated Petri dish. A single pulse per embryo was delivered for pSHF fate mapping assays. Two pulses were delivered for *Mycn* floxed assays.

TAT-Cre recombinase microinjection for clonal analysis

For single-cell lineage tracing, embryos from E6.5 to E7.5 were microinjected with TAT-Cre recombinase (SCR508, Sigma-Aldrich) in IDTE (pH 7.5) 1× buffer (10 mM Tris, 0.1 mM EDTA) using a Zeiss Observer D1 microscope; Transferman Nk2 micromanipulators and FemtoJet injection pump (Eppendorf) (Fig. S2A,B). Microinjection needles were made in a Sutter Instrument Co. puller and forged to get a 2 µm gauge needle (Fig. S2C-E).

Embryos were placed into a Petri dish with dissection medium coated with paraffin oil (Nidoil, Nidacon, VNI0049) to avoid evaporation. Later, the embryos were positioned laterally so that the anterior and posterior sides of the embryo were on the left and right sides of the user view, respectively.

Embryos were then held by the extra-embryonic region using a pulled glass-rounded pipette. Then the tip of a microinjection needle loaded with TAT-Cre solution was inserted at the posterior side of the embryo until the endodermal layer was crossed. Then 800 hPa output pressure and 100 hPa compensation pressure were applied for 2 s before removing the needle. The injected volume was estimated using Poiseuille Law. Assuming that the TAT-Cre solution behaved as a laminar fluid and approximating the injection needle to a cylinder geometry, we applied the following equation to calculate the flow rate, as volume of TAT-Cre solution per second:

$$F = \frac{\Delta P \cdot r^4}{8 \pi \eta \cdot L}$$

where: F is flow rate, in m^3/s ; ΔP is pressure difference in Pa ($P_i=800$ hPa and $P_c=100$ hPa for P_i , injection pressure and P_c , compensation pressure); r is

radius in m (injection needle radius, 2.5 µm); η is viscosity of the microinjected solution in Pa/s (0.001 Pa/s for 1:1 IDTE buffer: TAT-Cre at 25°C); L is length in m (injection needle length, 75 mm).

By using an injection time of 2 s, we estimated a microinjection volume of 0.104 pl per embryo. To empirically calculate this volume, we used the same injection settings to deposit drops of the injected solution in paraffin oil and measured its diameter as previously described (Rosen et al., 2009). With a resulting diameter of 5 µm, and using the equation of a sphere $\frac{4}{3}\pi r^3$, we estimated the volume of the drop to be 0.065 pl. Provided the TAT-Cre solution contained 1000 enzymatic units (U) per ml, and assuming an injection volume of 0.065 pl, the estimated injected doses we tested for clonal analysis were $6.5 \cdot 10^{-12}$, $3.25 \cdot 10^{-12}$ and $6.5 \cdot 8.25^{-13}$ U per embryo, referred in the results sections as 1, 1/2 and 1/4, respectively.

Determination of the injection site in the nascent mesoderm

We classified injections to the nascent mesoderm into two categories: proximal and distal. For that, we divided the proximal-to-distal length of the nascent mesoderm into two compartments of equal length. Then, we proceeded with the injection in either one or the other. As embryos in different stages present different lengths of the primitive streak/nascent mesoderm, these compartments were specific to every embryo. For example, an embryo in middle streak (MS) stage would present both compartments in the top half of the embryo whereas in an early bud (EB) stage embryo, they would occupy its whole length.

Then the angle of injection was selected by the manipulator. The more parallel to the surface of the Petri dish the needle lays, the closer this angle would be to 0°. In our case, the needle was set as parallel to the dish as possible, which corresponded to ~5°. Then, injections were roughly aimed at the embryo mid-plane (primitive streak axis) by focusing the larger (centre) embryo slice.

Immunohistochemistry

TAT-Cre recombinase-microinjected embryos were cultured for variable times and then removed from culture, PBS-washed and fixed in a 4% solution of paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS for 3-4 h at 4°C. Immunofluorescence was performed as follows: after washing with PBS twice, embryos were permeabilised with a 0.3% Triton X-100 solution in PBS for 30 min at room temperature. Blocking was carried out with bovine serum albumin 0.5% in PBS for at least 3 h at 4°C. Primary antibody incubation was performed overnight. Primary antibodies used were: anti-Mycn (1:100; NMYC-1, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-80546), anti-phospho-Histone H3 (1:100; Millipore, 06-570), anti-M20 (1:100; anti-MF-20-mouse, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank) and anti-6xHis-tag (1:500; anti-polyHistidine-Peroxidase antibody, mouse monoclonal, Sigma-Aldrich, A7058). Primary antibody washing was performed in a 0.1% Triton X-100-PBS solution for at least 5 h at 4°C. Secondary antibody incubation was also performed overnight at 4°C. The secondary antibodies used were: Alexa Fluor 647 goat anti-mouse (1:500; Life Technologies, A31571), AlexaFluor 594 goat anti-rabbit (1:500; Life Technologies, A11037). For anti-6xHis-tag staining, embryos were washed in PBS for 2 days at 4°C and then incubated for 5 min with TSA Cyanine 5 at room temperature (Akoya Biosciences, NEL705A001). All embryos were stained for nuclei with DAPI diluted in PBS. The embryos were clarified in crescent dilution of glycerol in PBS (25%, 50% and 75%) until analysis was performed by confocal microscopy.

Confocal imaging

Whole embryos mounted on a Leica TCS SP5 confocal microscope were imaged using 405, 488, 561 and 633 nm wavelengths and 10×/0.4 dry and 20×/0.75 dry objectives. Images were then analysed using Fiji-ImageJ (National Institutes of Health).

Two-photon live imaging

Live imaging was performed as previously described (Sendra et al., 2022). Briefly, mouse embryos were harvested and dissected in pre-equilibrated dissection medium: DMEM supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum, 25 mM HEPES-NaOH (pH 7.2), penicillin (50 g/ml) and streptomycin

(50 g/ml). Embryos from E6.5 to E7.5 were cultured in culture medium: 50% Janvier Labs Rat Serum Sprague Dawley RjHan SD male only and 50% DMEM FluoroBrite (Thermo Fisher Scientific, A1896701). Temperature was set to 37°C, 7% CO₂ concentration in a Zeiss LSM780. The objective lens used was a 20× (NA=1) dipping objective. A MaiTai laser line at 980 nm was used for two-channel two-photon imaging. Acquisition was carried out using Zen software (Zeiss). Output power was 250 mW, pixel dwell time 14.8 s, line averaging of two and image dimension of 610×610 m (512×512 pixels). To image up to five embryos simultaneously, we cyclically moved from one embryo to another by adjusting the frame and the z-stack every 15 min (imaging each embryo every ~40–75 min). This was carried out for up to 8 h while the user was present. Then, one embryo was left for overnight imaging (at 10–15 min time frames) while the rest were cultured along in the same chamber.

Clonal analysis

For clonal analysis, we considered ‘groups of labelled cells’ (Tomato or GFP) derived from single injections. The contribution of these labelled cells to each anatomical location was evaluated by counting DAPI nuclei within Tdtomato+ or GFP+ cells. Anatomical locations were identified using morphological features (Kaufman and Navaratnam, 1981) in the DAPI channel. Groups of labelled cells containing both Tomato+ and GFP+ cells were annotated as ‘bicolour’. The polyclonality of monocolour groups in the Cre recombinase-microinjected embryo collection was estimated using the frequency of bicolour groups as previously described (Lioux et al., 2020; Padrón-Barthe et al., 2014). This method is based in the fact that the frequency of bicolour events in a collection of samples is directly proportional to its polyclonality (Fig. S5A). This allows the calculation of the probability of finding groups of cells labelled with one reporter (monocolour) that originate from multiple progenitors (polyclonal) using the formula in Fig. S7F. For that, we first estimated the relative Tomato and GFP recombination frequency: $RERT^{+/-}; ROSA26R^{CAG-Tdtomato+/-}$ mice were crossed with $ROSA26R^{CAG-GFP+/-}$ mice. Reporter recombination was induced by administering 0.04 mg/kg of 4-OH tamoxifen intraperitoneally to pregnant females on day E7 (Fig. S5). A day later, the embryos were harvested and the relative efficiency of recombination was calculated by manually counting GFP and Tdtomato cells over total DAPI nuclei in confocal optical sections using the ImageJ Cell Counter plugin.

Confocal image analysis

Once acquired, the images were opened as stacks of optical planes and saved in .tiff format. For fate mapping injections in the pSHF, we used the DAPI and MF20 immunostaining channels to identify the different heart chambers at E9.5. Next, we examined the GFP and Tdtomato channels to assess the contribution of the recombined cells to each of the anatomical locations.

For the measurement of proliferation rates in Tdtomato+ and Tdtomato– heart cells, the number of Ph3-positive nuclei was counted in the Tomato+ and Tomato– regions. The Ph3 counts were then normalised to the total area of Tdtomato+ or Tdtomato– cells, which was measured using a custom Fiji macro. In brief, the user sets manually the correct threshold that selects the Tdtomato+ area and then the macro measures such area by applying a binary mask to the image. To test whether there was a difference in Ph3 counts between Tdtomato+ and Tdtomato– domains, we used the unpaired two-tailed *t*-test for two related samples. This is a test of the null hypothesis that two related or repeated samples have identical averages (expected) values.

Acknowledgements

The CNIC is supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and the ProCNIC Foundation. We thank members of the Microscopy and Dynamic Imaging, Transgenesis, and Animal Facility CNIC units for excellent support. The CNIC Unit of Microscopy and Dynamic Imaging is supported by FEDER ‘Una manera de hacer Europa’ (ReDIB ICTS infrastructure TRIMA@CNIC, MCIN).

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing or financial interests.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: M.S., J.N.D., M.T.; Methodology: M.S., J.d.D.H., J.N.D.; Software: M.S.; Validation: M.S., J.d.D.H., J.N.D.; Formal analysis: M.S., J.N.D.; Investigation: M.S., A.J.S., O.H.O., J.N.D.; Resources: M.S., J.d.D.H., S.T., M.T.; Data curation: M.S., J.N.D.; Writing - original draft: M.S., J.N.D.; Writing - review & editing: M.S., O.H.O., J.N.D., M.T.; Visualization: M.S., J.N.D.; Supervision: J.N.D., M.T.; Project administration: J.N.D., M.T.; Funding acquisition: J.N.D., M.T.

Funding

This research was supported by grant PGC2018-096486-B-I00 from the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación and grant H2020-MSCA-ITN-2016-722427 from the EU Horizon 2020 program to M.T. M.S. was supported by a ‘la Caixa’ Foundation PhD fellowship (LCF/BQ/DE18/11670014) and The Company of Biologists travelling fellowship (DEVFT181145). O.H.O. is supported by the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (grant RTI2018-097617-J-I00). J.N.D. received funding from grant 1380918 from the European Regional Development Fund Andalucía 2014–2020 Operating Program. Open access funding provided by Centro Nacional de Investigaciones Cardiovasculares. Deposited in PMC for immediate release.

Data availability

All relevant data can be found within the article and its supplementary information.

Peer review history

The peer review history is available online at <https://journals.biologists.com/dev/lookup/doi/10.1242/dev.201206.reviewer-comments.pdf>

References

- Artegiani, B. and Calegari, F. (2013). Lentiviruses allow widespread and conditional manipulation of gene expression in the developing mouse brain. *Development (Camb.)* **140**, 2818–2822. doi:10.1242/dev.093823
- Buckingham, M. E. and Meilhac, S. M. (2011). Tracing cells for tracking cell lineage and clonal behavior. *Dev. Cell* **21**, 394–409. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2011.07.019
- Chan, M. M., Smith, Z. D., Grosswendt, S., Kretzmer, H., Norman, T. M., Adamson, B., Jost, M., Quinn, J. J., Yang, D., Jones, M. G. et al. (2019). Molecular recording of mammalian embryogenesis. *Nature* **570**, 77–82. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1184-5
- Dale, L. and Wardle, F. C. (1999). A gradient of BMP activity specifies dorsal-ventral fates in early *Xenopus* embryos. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* **10**, 319–326. doi:10.1006/scdb.1999.0308
- de Vasconcelos, N. M., Van Opendenbosch, N., Van Gorp, H., Martín-Pérez, R., Zecchin, A., Vandenabeele, P. and Lamkanfi, M. (2020). An apoptotic caspase network safeguards cell death induction in pyroptotic macrophages. *Cell Rep.* **32**, 107959. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2020.107959
- Domínguez, J. N., Meilhac, S. M., Bland, Y. S., Buckingham, M. E. and Brown, N. A. (2012). Asymmetric fate of the posterior part of the second heart field results in unexpected left/right contributions to both poles of the heart. *Circ. Res.* **111**, 1323–1335. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.112.271247
- Downs, K. M. and Davies, T. (1993). Staging of gastrulating mouse embryos by morphological landmarks in the dissecting microscope. *Development* **118**, 1255–1266. doi:10.1242/dev.118.4.1255
- Fernández-Chacón, M., Casquero-García, V., Luo, W., Francesca Lunella, F., Ferreira Rocha, S., Del Olmo-Cabrera, S. and Benedito, R. (2019). iSuRe-Cre is a genetic tool to reliably induce and report Cre-dependent genetic modifications. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 2262. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-10239-4
- Galli, D., Domínguez, J. N., Zaffran, S., Munk, A., Brown, N. A. and Buckingham, M. E. (2008). Atrial myocardium derives from the posterior region of the second heart field, which acquires left-right identity as Pitx2c is expressed. *Development* **135**, 1157–1167. doi:10.1242/dev.014563
- González, F., Sendra, M. and Domínguez, J. N. (2021). *Optimización de propiedades fisicoquímicas y medios de cultivo para el cultivo del embrión de ratón ex vivo*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Jaén, Spain.
- Guerra, C., Mijimolle, N., Dhawahir, A., Dubus, P., Barradas, M., Serrano, M., Campuzano, V. and Barbacid, M. (2003). Tumor induction by an endogenous K-ras oncogene is highly dependent on cellular context. *Cancer Cell* **4**, 111–120. doi:10.1016/S1535-6108(03)00191-0
- Hatada, Y. and Stern, C. D. (1994). A fate map of the epiblast of the early chick embryo. *Development* **120**, 2879–2889. doi:10.1242/dev.120.10.2879
- Ichikawa, T., Nakazato, K., Keller, P. J., Kajjira-Kobayashi, H., Stelzer, E. H. K., Mochizuki, A. and Nonaka, S. (2013). Live imaging of whole mouse embryos during gastrulation: migration analyses of epiblast and mesodermal cells. *PLoS ONE* **8**, 4–12. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064506
- Kaufman, M. H. and Navaratnam, V. (1981). Early differentiation of the heart in mouse embryos. *J. Anat.* **133**, 235–246.
- Kimmel, C. B., Warga, R. M. and Schilling, T. F. (1990). Origin and organization of the zebrafish fate map. *Development* **108**, 581–594. doi:10.1242/dev.108.4.581
- Kinder, S. J., Tsang, T. E., Quinlan, G. A., Hadjantonakis, A. K., Nagy, A. and Tam, P. P. (1999). The orderly allocation of mesodermal cells to the

- extraembryonic structures and the anteroposterior axis during gastrulation of the mouse embryo. *Development* **126**, 4691-4701. doi:10.1242/dev.126.21.4691
- Knoepfler, P. S., Cheng, P. F. and Eisenman, R. N.** (2002). N-myc is essential during neurogenesis for the rapid expansion of progenitor cell populations and the inhibition of neuronal differentiation. *Genes Dev.* **16**, 2699-2712. doi:10.1101/gad.1021202
- Koike, H., Horie, K., Fukuyama, H., Kondoh, G., Nagata, S. and Takeda, J.** (2002). Efficient biallelic mutagenesis with Cre/loxP-mediated inter-chromosomal recombination. *EMBO Rep.* **3**, 433-437. doi:10.1093/embo-reports/kvf097
- Lawson, K. A. and Pedersen, R. A.** (1992). Clonal analysis of cell fate during gastrulation and early neurulation in the mouse. *Ciba Found Symp.* **165**, 3-21. doi:10.1002/9780470514221.ch2
- Lawson, K. A., Meneses, J. J. and Pedersen, R. A.** (1986). Cell fate and cell lineage in the endoderm of the presomite mouse embryo, studied with an intracellular tracer. *Dev. Biol.* **115**, 325-339. doi:10.1016/0012-1606(86)90253-8
- Lawson, K. A., Pedersen, R. A. and Van De Geer, S.** (1987). Cell fate, morphogenetic movement and population kinetics of embryonic endoderm at the time of germ layer formation in the mouse. *Development* **101**, 627-652. doi:10.1242/dev.101.3.627
- Lawson, K. A., Meneses, J. J. and Pedersen, R. A.** (1991). Clonal analysis of epiblast fate during germ layer formation in the mouse embryo. *Development* **113**, 891-911. doi:10.1242/dev.113.3.891
- Lee, R. K., Stainier, D. Y., Weinstein, B. M. and Fishman, M. C.** (1994). Cardiovascular development in the zebrafish. II. Endocardial progenitors are sequestered within the heart field. *Development* **120**, 3361-3366. doi:10.1242/dev.120.12.3361
- Lioux, G., Liu, X., Temiño, S., Oxendine, M., Ayala, E., Ortega, S., Kelly, R. G., Oliver, G. and Torres, M.** (2020). A second heart field-derived vasculogenic niche contributes to cardiac lymphatics. *Dev. Cell* **52**, 350-363.e6. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2019.12.006
- Madisen, L., Zwingman, T. A., Sunkin, S. M., Oh, S. W., Zariwala, H. A., Gu, H., Ng, L. L., Palmiter, R. D., Hawrylycz, M. J., Jones, A. R. et al.** (2010). A robust and high-throughput Cre reporting and characterization system for the whole mouse brain. *Nat. Neurosci.* **13**, 133-140. doi:10.1038/nn.2467
- Mangold, K., Mašek, J., He, J., Lendahl, U., Fuchs, E. and Andersson, E. R.** (2021). Highly efficient manipulation of nervous system gene expression with NEPTUNE. *Cell Rep. Methods* **1**, 100043. doi:10.1016/j.crmeth.2021.100043
- Mazari, E., Zhao, X., Migeotte, I., Collignon, J., Gosse, C. and Perea-Gomez, A.** (2014). A microdevice to locally electroporate embryos with high efficiency and reduced cell damage. *Development (Camb.)* **141**, 2349-2359. doi:10.1242/dev.106633
- Mobbs, P., Becker, D., Williamson, R., Bate, M. and Warner, A.** (1994). Techniques for dye injection and cell labelling. In *Microelectrode Techniques. The Plymouth Workshop Handbook*, 2nd edn (ed. D. C. Ogden), pp. 361-387. The Company of Biologists.
- Muñoz-Martín, N., Sierra, R., Schimmang, T., Del Campo, C. V. and Torres, M.** (2019). Myc is dispensable for cardiomyocyte development but rescues Mycn-deficient hearts through functional replacement and cell competition. *Development (Camb.)* **146**, dev170753. doi:10.1242/dev.170753
- Nagy, A., Gertsenstein, M., Vintersten, K. and Behringer, R.** (2014). *Manipulating the mouse embryo*, 3rd edn. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- Padrón-Barthe, L., Temiño, S., Villa Del Campo, C., Carramolino, L., Isern, J. and Torres, M.** (2014). Clonal analysis identifies hemogenic endothelium as the source of the blood-endothelial common lineage in the mouse embryo. *Blood* **124**, 2523-2532. doi:10.1182/blood-2013-12-545939
- Petit, A.-C., Legué, E. and Nicolas, J.-F.** (2005). Methods in clonal analysis and applications. *Reprod. Nutr. Dev.* **45**, 321-339. doi:10.1051/rnd:2005024
- Pierreux, C. E., Poll, A. V., Jacquemin, P., Lemaigre, F. P. and Rousseau, G. G.** (2005). Gene transfer into mouse prepancreatic endoderm by whole embryo electroporation. *J. Pancreas* **6**, 128-135.
- Rosen, J. N., Sweeney, M. F. and Mably, J. D.** (2009). Microinjection of zebrafish embryos to analyze gene function. *J. Vis. Exp.*, 1115. doi:10.3791/1115
- Saykali, B., Mathiah, N., Nahaboo, W., Racu, M.-L., Hammou, L., Defrance, M. and Migeotte, I.** (2019). Distinct mesoderm migration phenotypes in extra-embryonic and embryonic regions of the early mouse embryo. *eLife* **8**, 42434. doi:10.7554/eLife.42434
- Sendra, M., Mañes, J., Domínguez, J. N. and Torres, M.** (2022). Live imaging of early cardiac progenitors in the mouse embryo. *J. Vis. Exp.* **2022**, e64273. doi:10.3791/64273
- Serbedzija, G. N., Bronner-Fraser, M. and Fraser, S. E.** (1989). A vital dye analysis of the timing and pathways of avian trunk neural crest cell migration. *Development* **106**, 809-816. doi:10.1242/dev.106.4.809
- Solter, D., Škreb, N. and Damjanov, I.** (1971). Cell cycle analysis in the mouse egg-cylinder. *Exp. Cell Res.* **64**, 331-334. doi:10.1016/0014-4827(71)90084-X
- Sousa, V. H., Miyoshi, G., Hjerling-Leffler, J., Karayannis, T. and Fishell, G.** (2009). Characterization of Nkx6-2-derived neocortical interneuron lineages. *Cereb. Cortex* **19**, 52-58. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhp038
- Spanjaard, B., Hu, B., Mitic, N., Olivares-Chauvet, P., Janjuha, S., Ninov, N. and Junker, J. P.** (2018). Simultaneous lineage tracing and cell-type identification using CrlsPr-Cas9-induced genetic scars. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **36**, 469-473. doi:10.1038/nbt.4124
- Tam, P. P. L. and Zhou, S. X.** (1996). The allocation of epiblast cells to ectodermal and germ-line lineages is influenced by the position of the cells in the gastrulating mouse embryo. *Dev. Biol.* **178**, 124-132. doi:10.1006/dbio.1996.0203
- Tam, P. P. L., Parameswaran, M., Kinder, S. J. and Weinberger, R. P.** (1997). The allocation of epiblast cells to the embryonic heart and other mesodermal lineages: the role of ingression and tissue movement during gastrulation. *Development* **124**, 1631-1642. doi:10.1242/dev.124.9.1631
- Tu, S. and Johnson, S. L.** (2011). Fate restriction in the growing and regenerating zebrafish fin. *Dev. Cell* **20**, 725-732. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2011.04.013
- Tyser, R. C. V., Ibarra-Soria, X., McDole, K., Jayaram, S. A., Godwin, J., Brand, T. A. H., Miranda, A. M. A., Scialdone, A., Keller, P. J., Marioni, J. C. et al.** (2021). Characterization of a common progenitor pool of the epicardium and myocardium. *Science* **371**, eabb2986. doi:10.1126/science.abb2986
- Tzouanacou, E., Wegener, A., Wymeersch, F. J., Wilson, V. and Nicolas, J.-F.** (2009). Redefining the progression of lineage segregations during mammalian embryogenesis by clonal analysis. *Dev. Cell* **17**, 365-376. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2009.08.002
- VanHorn, S. and Morris, S. A.** (2021). Next-generation lineage tracing and fate mapping to interrogate development. *Dev. Cell* **56**, 7-21. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2020.10.021
- Vooijs, M., Jonkers, J. and Berns, A.** (2001). A highly efficient ligand-regulated Cre recombinase mouse line shows that LoxP recombination is position dependent. *EMBO Rep.* **2**, 292-297. doi:10.1093/embo-reports/kve064
- Whitman, C.** (1898). The embryology of Clepsine. *Q. J. Microscop. Sci* **s2-18**, 215-315. doi:10.1242/jcs.s2-18.71.215
- Whittingham, D. G.** (1971). Culture of mouse ova. *J. Reprod. Fertility Suppl.* **14**, 7-21.
- Zhang, Q., Carlin, D., Zhu, F., Cattaneo, P., Ideker, T., Evans, S. M., Bloomekatz, J. and Chi, N. C.** (2021). Unveiling complexity and multipotentiality of early heart fields. *Circ. Res.* **129**, 474-487. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.121.318943




Fig. S1. Setting for manual microinjection in E7.5 to E8.5 mouse embryos. (A) and (B), 'Roller bottle' culture system. (C), Pneumatic microinjector. (D), Manual manipulator to aim the glass capillary at the desired injection site. (E), Glass capillary connected to a silicone tube with a mouthpiece.




Fig. S2. Setting for micromanipulator-mediated microinjection in E6.5 to E7.25 mouse embryos. (A) and (B) Micromanipulation station. (C), Pulled glass capillaries for low-dose TAT-Cre microinjection. (D), Glass capillary puller. (E), Stereomicroscope for embryo selection. (F), Temperature and gas adjustable incubator.

A Summary of TAT-Cre microinjected and live-imaged embryos


Embryo ID	Dose	Injection aim	Cell location	Number of cells
e001	1/2	mesoderm	mesoderm	1 GFP ●
e004	1/2	mesoderm	mesoderm	1 Tdtomato ●
e002	1/2	ectoderm	no fluorescence	∅
e003	1/2	ectoderm	no fluorescence	∅
e005	1/2	ectoderm	no fluorescence	∅
e006	1	ectoderm	ectoderm	1 GFP ●
e007	1	mesoderm	mixed	1 Tdtomato and 1 GFP ●●
e008	1	mesoderm	mesoderm	1 Tdtomato and 1 GFP ●●
e009	1	mesoderm	mesoderm	2 Tdtomato
e010	1	ectoderm (amniotic cavity)	mixed	>2 Tdtomato and 2 GFP

●● Cells tracked for panel D


B Fixed embryos cell count 24 h post injection




C Time-lapse starting from 3 h until 8-10 h after injection. Then, ex vivo culture until 22 h and image acquisition



D Live imaging 1-cell clones
Cell count 22 h post injection



E Live imaging lineage tree and divisions



F Number of cells expected assuming an 8 h division rate




Fig. S3. Live imaging analysis of cell division and expected cell numbers for TAT–Cre generated clones. (A), Summary of microinjected live–imaged embryos. (B), Kernel density estimate revealing the distribution of the number of cells per embryo in the three different doses, and rug plots at the bottom showing cell counts for individual embryos ($n = 18$ embryos from 6 litters, a two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for goodness of fit was performed to compare the distributions of dose $1/2$ and dose $1/4$ samples). (C), Initial time point of an embryo showing two individual cells and their progeny after *ex vivo* culture. Yellow arrows point to ectodermal cells while arrowheads to mesodermal cells. Time is reported as hours:minutes. (D), Kernel density estimate revealing the distribution of the number of cells in live–imaged *bona ide* clones examined 22 h after culture. Individual counts per cell are represented as dots at the bottom ($n = 7$ cells from 5 embryos). Embryos e009 and e010 from A were not included because identification of single cell progenies in these embryos was difficult (two or more recombination events with the same reporters). (E), Reconstructed lineage trees from live imaging cell tracks. Each dot represents approximately the time of each 3D stack acquisition. The last time stamps before division was observed are annotated on top of the branches. The time between two cell divisions is highlighted with a green double arrow line. The plot is not scaled ($n = 4$ divisions, 2 cells from 2 embryos). (F), Expected cell number rationale.




Fig. S4. Spatial range of recombination for TAT–Cre microinjection. (A), Distance between cells that recombine from the same injection ($n = 3$ pairs of cells from 3 embryos). (B), Dot plots showing the maximum distance between cells positive for 6xHis–tag staining or recombined in Live imaging data. Means are shown as horizontal lines. (C), Immunostaining for the polyhistidine tag (6xHis–tag) reveals ectoderm cells with TAT–Cre signal after injection, which is absent in negative control embryos injected with buffer ($n = 5$ TAT–Cre injected, 3 buffer injected).




Fig. S5. Timing of detection and recombination efficiency of Tdtomato and eGFP reporters. (A), Two reporter strategy rationale. The probabilities of two independent recombination events giving rise to same or different reporter combinations are proportional. (B), Line plots show the reporter intensity in tracked cells. Solid lines correspond to individual cells while dotted lines represent the average for each reporter ($n = 7$ cells from 5 embryos). (C), Time where reporters are first detected ($n = 7$ cells from 5 embryos). (D), Intraperitoneal (IP) tamoxifen microinjection setup to calculate relative Tdtomato and eGFP expression frequency. (E), Embryo's genetic background for tamoxifen-inducible reporter expression. (F), Confocal intensity projection of IP tamoxifen-induced fluorescent embryos. (F') Inset of (F). (G), Recombination frequency of GFP and Tdtomato.




Fig. S6. Cell death and off-target recombination using TAT-Cre microinjection. (A), Time stamp showing cell debris in the amniotic cavity of a microinjected embryo. (B), Proposed mechanism for TAT-Cre targeting. (C), Time series of an embryo microinjected in the ectoderm. (D), Time series of an embryo accidentally microinjected in the amniotic cavity. Yellow arrows point at GFP recombined cells.





Fig. S7. Quality control of early heart progenitors prospective clonal analysis using TAT-Cre. (A), Bar graph shows the number of injected, undeveloped and fluorescent embryos obtained after culture ($n = 66$ embryos from 8 litters). (B), Dissected E7.0 embryos before TAT-Cre microinjection. (C), Undeveloped embryo after 24 h culture. (D), Fluorescent Tdtomato group of labelled cells in a microinjected embryo 24 h after culture. (E), Number of embryos with a GFP, Tdtomato, or bicolour. (F), Formula to estimate the probability of getting a monocolour group of labelled cells arising from recombination in two different cells. (G), Kernel density estimate revealing the distribution of the number of cells per embryo, and rug plots at the bottom showing the cell counts of individual embryos.



Movie 1. TAT-Cre microinjection of a ~E7.25 mouse embryo in the mesoderm using a zygotic microinjector.



Movie 2. Live imaging of embryo 001, microinjected with dose 1/2 TAT-cre in the mesoderm.