University of Michigan Law School

University of Michigan Law School Scholarship Repository

Articles Faculty Scholarship

2022

Chapter Eight - Technology and the Law: The Automobile (by James Willard Hurst)

BJ Ard
William J. Novak
University of Michigan Law School, wnovak@umich.edu

Available at: https://repository.law.umich.edu/articles/2849

Follow this and additional works at: https://repository.law.umich.edu/articles

Part of the Law and Society Commons, Legal History Commons, Science and Technology Law Commons, and the Transportation Law Commons

Recommended Citation

Ard, BJ and William J. Novak, editors. "Chapter Eight - Technology and the Law: The Automobile (by James Willard Hurst)." Wisconsin Law Review 2022, no. 3 (2022): 463-531.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Scholarship at University of Michigan Law School Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of University of Michigan Law School Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact mlaw.repository@umich.edu.

VIII:714

tort=736-773

Chapter Eight

Technology and the Law: The Automobile

1. The Multiplying Effects of an Invention

In this chapter we are going to talk about some of the effects that the had upon the law, and some of the effects that the law has had upon the automobile. We could could undoubtedly open up some worthwhile lines of thought, if we talked about the automobile in relation to certain broader problems of which it is a part: for example, the effects of the internal combustion engine, or of all types of communication. But we shall have enough on our hands if we stick to the automobile, and even so in the limits of this chapter we can discuss at any length only the relation of the law Thisis not merely an arbitrary limitation, and the passenger however. Of the 32 million registered motor vehicles in the United States in 1940, substantially over 27 million were passenger cars, and a little under four and some one-half million were motor trucks. Until the middle 1920's the proportion of trucks to passenger cars was much lower than this. Not only was the passenger gar the center of the auto problem as a matter of gross figures; it was likewise the main aspect of the problem that men saw and reacted to. • We may properly the unplanned paths of the law's focus on it when we try to responses to

explain, a social problem. But the automobile story is written in figures of Paul Bunyon proportions. If we knew no more than the elementary statistics, their dimensions and the breadth of their categories would tell us much: would suggest not only that this machine must have posed serious problems of adjustment to the society, but also that these problems must have emphasized (a) great speed.

ecta

eff(

(a)

VIII:715

Motor Vehicle Registrations Factory Sales: U.S. Plants 1 All Motor Passenger tor All Motor Motor Passenger Trucks Vehicles Vehicles Cars of Trucks Cars data No data No data 44 1895 No data **4** 4 States. ру 8,000 4,192 4,192 1900 . 8,000 outlined the 25,000 **78**\$800 750 1905 24,250 77,400 1,400 United of 187,000 181,000 6,000 458,500 10,000 468,500 1910 reach the 969,930 895,930 74,000 2,309,666 136,000 2,445,666 1915 change in enormons 321,789 2,227,349 1920 1,905,560 8,225,859 1,006,082 9,231,941 lived of 3,735,171 530,659 4,265,830 1925 17,496,420 2,440,854 19,937,274 mass people and 2,784,745 571,241 3,355,986 23,059,262 3,486,019 26,545,281 1930 and the way weight, ace use: 3,946,934 26,227,276 1935 3, 252, 244 694,690 22,562,847 3,664,429 and 4.469,354 1940 3,692,328 777,026 27,434,979 4,590,386 32,025,365 uodn production

In 1940, the Public Roads Administration estimated, the people of the United States traveled 292 billion vehicle-miles by passenger cars, trucks, and buses, in this country;81% of this vehicle mileage was runup by passenger cars. The growth behind this 1940 total could be seen in figures of

All Rural Roads in the United States
1904 1914 1924 1934

Total mileage at 2,151,379 2,445,760 2,941,294 end of year

Of which, surfaced roads were 153,530 257,291 470,000 (7.14%) (10.52%) (15.98%)

and in data on apparent consumption of motor fuel, which went from 102,937,000 barrels in 1920, to 394,800,000 barrels in 1930, to 521,748,000 barrels in 1940.

other suggested how intimately the automobile had reached into people's lives. Passenger cars averaged about one to 5.5 persons by 1930, and about one to 4.5 persons by 1940; better than two for every three families. Another way to picture the diffusion of the motor car was through the shift in price policy. Mark Adams notes that the average wholesale price of a passenger car was \$1170 in 1900, and \$2120 in 1908. He shows the price leadership of Henry Ford in a table are regarding

Automobile Price Policy and Sales

Year	Fordprice f.o.b.Detroit	Ford dales, number of cars	Ford profits	Ind&stry sales, number of cars
1909	950 (Model T)	10,660	\$3,125,876	127,731
1910	780	19, 951	4,127,208	181,000
191911	690	34,979	7,288,303	199,31 9
1912	600	76,150	13,552,239	356,000
1913	550	181,951	27,001,203	461,500
1914	490	264,972	24,923,449	543,679
1915	440	283,161	23,426,662	895,930
1916	360	534,108	57,056,429	1,525,578
1917	450	785,433	26,715,944	1,745,792
1918	525,	708,355	30,341,057	943,436
1919	575	537,458	69,924,411	1,657,652

The

Year	Ford price f.o.b.Detroit	Ford sales, number of cars	Ford profits	Industry sales, number of cars
1920	440	1,074,336	\$53,448,480	1,905,560
1921 1922 1923	355 355 295	1,013,958 1,351,333 2,090,959	75,890,836 133,248,623 99,342,888	1,518,061 2,369,089 3,753,945

An enduring pattern and had been set, in which the low-priced car dominated automobile production; between 1925 and 1940 never less than two-thirds, and during most of the period over 80 per cent of new cars sold under \$750 wholesale. From 1921 on, the sale of used cars began to make up a substantial part of the total auto sales in the country. This branch of trade made the motor car available to still wider ranges of low-income people. The average used cars price was \$308 in 1923, and \$347 in 1940.

The automobile was deeply involved in the economy. Ιt had become the center of major production and commercial efforts. Capital invested in motor vehicle manufacturing grew from an estimated **13,000 and 1**,204,378,600 in 1920, to a peak of \$1,956,687,661 in 1929, and after the casualties of the depression '30s stood at \$1,334,751,000 in 1940. /Investment in auto parts manufacture, in the making of tires and the production of petroleum products. In 1924 persons employed directly or indirectly in the automobile industry were estimated to number 3,119,563, of whom 329,563 worked in factories 🕊 mimmediately producing motor, was 1940 it was estimated that 6,466,870 received persons were employed directly or indirectly in the industry, with an additional 233,130 persons employed in connection with federal and state roads activities. The industry meant employment not only for 679,124 people who made motor vehicles, parts, tires, and petroleum products, but also for 1,310,724 engaged in sales and service, 3,739,200 who drove trucks, and 142,825 who drove buses. By 1940 most passenger transportation was by motocar; the automobile in that year accounted for nine of every ten passenger-miles of travel outside cities, and for three of ever

four passengers transported within city, areas.

people of the United States did about ten times as much moving around in 1940 as in 1921, measured in passenger-miles traveled, and they moved mainly by auto. Samplings by public highway authorities in the late 1930's indicated that 55 per cent of miles traveled and 75 per cent of trips made were for necessity, rather than recreational or social uses. A summary showed

Purposes of Passenger Car Uses

1	Miles		Rou h d Trips	
Purpose	Annual Average	Percent	Annual Average	Percent
	Per Car	of Total	Per Car	of total
To work	295	16	179	33
Business tr	ips 2,662	32	167	30
Shopping	335	4	47	9
Hauling; to	Market 64	1	7	1
To School	·57	1	9	2
To Church	63	1	12	2
Total Nece	ssity			
Ŭs e	4,479	55	422	77
Recreationa	1			
and Social	3,707	45	128	23
Total:All U	ses 8,186	100	550	100

The depression years of the 1930's proved how deeply
the man automobile had entrenched itself in the ways of life in the
United States. As the first table in this section shows, passenger
car registrations in the country dropped only a little over two per
over the 1930-1935 span; at the low point

was only 10 per cent. Average annual gasoline consumption per motor vehicle was estimated at 623 gallons in 1930, 649 in 1931, 620 in 1932, 625 in 1933, 660 in 1934, and 687 in 1935. New car sales dropped disastrously in the depression of course, (from 4,587,400 factory sales in 1929 to 1,135,491 in 1932); but people the held on to the cars they had and used them almost as much as in good times. "Car ownership in Middletown", the Lynds found in 1935, "was one of the most depression-proof elements of the city's life in the years following

1929---far less vulnerable, apparently, than marriages, divorces, new babies, clothing, jewelry, and most other measurable things, both large and small":

Many business-class people regard it as a scandal that some people on relief still manage to operate their cars. No formal effort has been made by the relief authorities to discourage car ownership and operation, and...people on relief who own cars have been encouraged to use them in various ways to pick up small earnings. Evern at the time of the labor-union fervor under N.R.A., local organizers tell one disgustedly, many Middletown workers were more interested in figuring out how to get a couple of gallons of gas for the car tham they were in labor's efforts to organize. While some workers lost their cars in the depression, the local sentiment, as heard over and over again, is that 'People give up everything in the world but their car.

In fact, the Lynds noted, the automobile had become a material influence in the underlying emotional balance of the community:

Their analysis militarian corrects a likely distortion in the estimates given above on comparative "necessity" and "recreational" uses of motor cars. The depression taught working people to lose confidence in their ability to earn high incomes, the Lynds found; moreover, the machine had lessened the status and satisfactions once attached to skill on the job.

....So you work. Someday you're going to die. Mean-while, leisure assumes a simple, direct, and important place in your scheme of things; it's when you live, and you get all of it you can--here, now, and all the time.

Only by understanding this different focus upon leisure of the lives of those living north and south of the tracks can one appreciate the tenacity_with which the workingman clings to his automobile. If the automobile is by now a habit with the business class, a comp fortable, convenient, pleasant addition to the paraphernalia of living, it represents far more than this to the working class; for to the latter it gives the status which his job increasingly denies, and, more than any other possession or facility to which he has access, it symbolizes living, having a good time, the thing that keeps you working. And again, only by understanding how these two groups weigh the importance of work and leisure can one understand the exasperation of the businessman over the workingman's frequent preference for his car rather than for the slow, painful process of saving for the future.

If our imagination and knowledge allowed us to see the whole pattern of the social effects of the automobile over the years 1900-1940, we should probably find that it had left no aspect of life untouched. This stood out so from the varied data in the Report of the President's Research Committee on Social Trends, in 1932, that the Survey Graphic entitled the continuous formulation of the Report, felt that it could have been out there are in the Age of the Automobile."

If we could see the whole pattern, we should also undountedly come to a modest appraisal of the foresight, energy, and competence shown in the law's response to the automobile. This is the conclusion from looking at the parts of the pattern that we do know something about; there is no evidence that the judgment would be different if we knew the whole.

The following outline lists some hypotheses about that has had important derivative effects the automobile upon the law. Many of these could not be fully documented from presently available data.

Indicate the list can be criticized for giving too limited rather than too broad a picture of the inter-play of the law and the motor car. The listis organized about functional characteristics of the auto industry and the auto-in-use. There is some duplication of points, the because different functional features of the automobile have often converged upon a common result in law.

Some Derivative Effects of the Auto Upon the Law

- I The automobile industry
 - A. The industry shows the social character of much modern invention; the automobile is an assembly of hundreds of inventions.
 - 1. Predictability of social change, should thus have been increased, for the better ordering of law to meet changes brought by the automobile, because the convergence of inventions pointed the way; it was, however, mainly a lost opportunity.

VIII:721

2. Patents were potentially of importance to the growth of the industry; their importance was reduced and their effect channelled through pools, but this fact in itself reflects a way in which the industry had to take account of the law.

3. Business cycle repercussions spread as such an industry, drawing upon so many sources of production, contributes to the growing interdependence of parts of the economy; government is drawn into more concern for eco-

nomic stability.

4. Legal devices for private economic planning, --- contract, license, franchise, parent-subsidiary corporation relationships---, become important for ordering an industry shat thus draws together diverse sources of supply.

5. Vulnerability of an industry dependent thus upon diverse suppliers and supply markets gives impetus to seeking security through merger or contract, with accompanying questions for anti-trust law policy and taxation.

3. The auto industry is an outstanding example of machines

making machines.

The industrial accident hazard is increased; workmen's compensation imposed by law, and contract systems of plant insurance and company health plans become important.

7. There is reduced demand for skilled labor, and less status and security-in-the-job for the individual worker; the industry situation thus contributed to the type of situation and the climate of opinion that made the law concerned with labor relations and union organization.

Business cycle repercussions spread, also, because such an industry as this, with great capital investment, could only adjust with difficulty to loss of markets; from this came another contribution to the need for government to act regarding the swings of business.

9. Enormous productive capacity, supportable only by mass markets, heightened this sensitivity to the business cycle, and government's sensitivity to the

resulting social disturbance.

C. The auto industry is the moderl of mass production methods. 10. The industrial accident hazard is increased, as mono-

tony induces fatigue; this adds another reason for the importance of compensation and private insurance plans.

ll. Loss of status or satisfactions in the job, as the job is set up on the assembly line, becomes a further source of tensions and loss of job security, developing labor relations problems and government's concern with them.

12. The demand for semi- or unskilled labor promoted more migration of labor, with attendant problems in community adjustment, regarding schools, racial, religious, and rural-urban attitudes.

13. Enormous productive capacity is also bred of the introduction of mass production methods, with the effect of increased sensitivity to the business cycle.

14. Interdependence of the parts of the economy is likewise fostered by the range of markets drawn upon to supply mass production, with increased sensitivity to the business cycle a result.

- 15. Importance of legal devices for private economic planning, (contract, license, etc.), is increased by the natwork of arrangements needed to feed the assembly line.
- D. Large-scale operations characterize auto production, even in the case of the relatively smaller concerns.
 - 16. Need for legal devices for internal discipline within large corporate organizations, with problems of rights of management and stock-holders, directors and stock-holders, and parent and subsidiary corporations.
 - 17. Labor relations issues are fostered by the impersonality of dealings between top management and labor, and the phenomena of bureaucracy inevitable in such impersonal relations, and the inequality in the parties bargaining power; these factors contribute to making government aware of labor-management tensions.
 - 18. Problems of community planning, --zoning, traffic, movement toward the outskirts with the accompaniments of "blighted" inner areas, need for added community facilities, and more taxes---, are brought by the size of such industries.
 - 19. The large capital investments involved increase resistance to smooth adjustment to market changes, increasing the tensions of the business cycle.
 - 20. The concentration of economic power represented in industry of such scale raises antistrust law problems.
 - 21. The breadth of power and interests represented by such a scale of industry promotes the development of modern-type pressure groups, seeking to influence government.
- E. The industry was largely self-financed, by plowing back earnings.
 - 22. Issues of internal control of corporations were raised by tween management and stockholders by limited dividend and capital expansion policies.
 - 23. Relations with suppliers and dealers were affected; the devices of private economic planning, (notably contract, license, or dealer franchise), were used in the course of requiring suppliers and dealers in effect to supply much of the industry's working capital.
 - 24. Tax law was relevant to the possibility of plowing back earnings and the desirability of doing so, compared with paying greater dividends; inheritance taxes became pertinent to planning the continuity and form of such industries.
- F. The industry led in developing a relatively low-cost product for a mass market in durable goods.
 - 25. This is the end result of the technical advance of the industry, which made possible the range of social effects charged to the automobile in the second division of this outline.
 - 26. The reach of the industry and its dependence on mass purchasing power made the industry a material factor in the business cycle, and government's concern therewith.
 - 27. The drive toward concentration and large scale of enterprise, with attendant questions regarding anti-trust law policy, came largely from the fact that the industry's chances for profit became so linked to reaching a mass market.

- 28. Extensive distribution channels had to be developed, inwolving the use of contract, license, and franchise, the protection of trade names and problems in fair advertising.
- 29. Government became concerned in the regulation of auto dealers, issuing licenses to them and requiring certain records.
- The industry developed important direct approaches to car buyers, through controlling distribution channels and through advertising; the law then became concerned with problems of the buyer's reliance upon the maker's statements as to quality and safety, with resulting issues in the law of torts and sales.
- The industry developed installment sales methods, as essential to mass distribution; there were problems of the enforcement of installment contracts as between buyer and seller and third parties; problems of registration of car titles under installment contracts; of the regulation of interest rates, and the prevention of fraud or overreaching; and the growth of installment selling became a factor in the industry's responsiveness to the business cycle.
- 332. Shipping of cars by caravan or carrier trucks called for regulation, by license and restrictions on methods of carriage.
- 33. A large used-car market came into existence, eventually as a necessary condition of maintaing volume sales; this market had its own problems of buyer-seller contract relations, regulation of credit terms, and protection of buyers with regard to quality and safety, under the law of tort and sales.
- 34. Government became concerned with the licensing of the used-car trade,
- G. Large accessory industries developed, notably those dealing in parts, tires, petroleum products, and road building and road building equipment.
 - 35. New sources of organized pressure upon government grew from these new interests, notably in the case of the oil industry and the road-builders.
 - 36. The interdependence of elements of the economy was further underlined by the growth, with increased sensitivity to the business cycle.
 - 37. The legal devices of private economic planning, by contract, license, and corporate ties, for example, became more important.
 - 38. Community planning problems accompanied the growth of accessory industries in local communities.
 - 39. Conservation problems developed in connection with the oil industry.
 - 40. Price regulation became important in connection with the oil industry.
 - 41. Government found it desirable to regulate, by licensing, the rent-a-car business.
 - 42. Government found it necessary to impose licensing and record-keeping regulation on dealers in used car parts as a check on auto thefts.

 43. Employees charged with maintaining or operating autos
 - 43. Employees charged with maintaining or operating autos were forbidden, under criminal penalties, from taking fees or commissions in connection with the sale of parts of rendering of services for such autos.

44. Zoning and safety regulations had to be made to deal with garages and filling stations.

45. New conflicts of small and large dealers were bred out of the competition to serve the auto user, with accompanying repercussions in laws regarding taxes, resale price maintenance, and price discrimination.

H. The susceptibility of the auto industry to the swings of the business cycle has been noted in various connections above, but deserves listing as a distinct characteristic.

46. This contributed to the demand for government intervention to curb the ups and downs of the cycle.

vention to curb the ups and downs of the cycle.

47. It contributed to the development of large-scale enterprise in the industry, for greater security, as in the tendency to develop "lines" of cars in various price ranges under one company; this course of growth posed questions regarding anti-trust law policy.

II The automobile in use

- A. Mobility to individual order is the basic contribution that the automobile made to our ways of life.
 - 48. It gave new or more flexible means for the commission of crimes against persons and property, extending the criminal's area of operations, and facilitating new emphases in types of crime, (e.g., bootlegging, kidnapping), aiding escape.

49. In response, government reorganized its policing machinery largely around the automobile, substituting squad cars for patrolmen, and extending the area of police operations not only in cities but through new types of county and state police activities.

50. It affected the extent and types of extra-legal sex relations through the privacy and mobility it afforded, probably reducing the importance of the professional prostitute and increasing that of the amateur, as well as having impact upon the family and church as regulators of sex relationships.

51. Autos themselves became prime objects of criminal activity: car thefts and traffic violations added up to impressive totals in the total bulk of patrons offenses handled by the law.

52. The auto had diverse affects, had to weigh in total net impact, upon the family as an instrument of social control that had relieved the law of much regulation; the auto made it easier for family members to flee or evade family control, but it also partly brought the family into a new commoncenter of interest in the joint or shared use of the family car.

53. There was growth of the hotel and tourist cabin business, with resulting problems to law enforcement regarding the use of such places for criminal hideouts

and unlawful sexual practices.

54. The auto made for readier communication of ideas and organized movements, with problems for law and order in the fields of public debate, political contest, labor organization, and sound-truck regulation.

55. The possibility of individual choices of times and occasions for moving about, in place of the discipline of regularly scheduled public transportation, created wholly novel problems of traffic control.

- 56. Encouragement of mass-spectacle entertainment, (e.g., stadium baseball and football games), brought traffic and law-and-order problems.
- 57. New peak load problems arose for traffic and other law enforcement agencies, from the introduction of new mass movements on holidays, weekends, and vacation periods.
- 58. Health problems grew out of the readier means for carrying human disease about.
- 59. Plant diseases could more easily be carried from one area to another; agricultural inspection services were needed.
- The dangers of accidental injury to persons and property through operation of motor cars grew to great proportions, and created numerous problems for law: development of new doctrines in tort, agency, warranty, and damages, for example; more complex highway construction and maintenance activities, empjasizing safety; expansion of public rescue and medical facilities, and of administrative agencies for testing the safety of auto equipment, and licensing and inspecting drivers.
- 61. Law had to develop doctrine and agencies to deal withproblems of obtaining jurisdiction over transient motorists, regarding civil and crimknal liability attaching to their driving conduct while in a given area.
- 62. Motor vehicles brought severe competition to oldermeans of transport, especially for short-haul passenger traffic, and caused or contributed to financial crises in railroad, at street railway and interurban railway companies, with consequent issues for law in insolvency proceedings and in public regulation of utilities.
- 63. The mobility of this form of property brought difficulties in adjusting property rights in autos, especially with reference to security titles in autos and the recording thereof.
- 64. Trucking of farm produce to market brought need for public regulation of truckers, and of marketing agencies that developed to handle this new business.
- 65. Trucking of mercantile goods from wholesaler to retailer, manufacturer to distributor, and parent to subsidiary company brought need for regulation of private and contract carriers.
- 66. Auto widened the range and increased the varities of the peddlar or travelling salesman, and extended the area of metropolitan competition with local merchants; local trade responded with pressures on law to license if not in effect bar such competition.
- 67. Farm producer co-operatives were encouraged by the readier ability of farmers to truck produce to central points; this growth brought demands for legal recognition and support, on the one hand, and on the other for regulation of co-operatives.
- 68. The hotel business, with new forms such as the tourist cabin, grew, giving new importance to the law of innkeepers.
- 69. Discrimination on racial, national or religious grounds, in serving the traveling public, became a greater problem; civil rights acts became more common, though the degree of their enforcement was not great.
- 70. The growth of large-scale enterprise was promoted by the greater ease with which a concern could blanket a sales area, employing the motor fleet and the salesman's and serviceman's car; the auto thus made another contribution to the problems of anti-trust law policy.

VII:726

71. By increasing the ability of customers to come to a large central market, decreasing the advantage of the neighborhood or local merchant, the auto again fostered largescale enterprise; this was a contributing point to the tensions that resulted in laws specially taxing or regulating chain stores or penalizing price discriminations that were thought to favor the big distributor or seller.

72. Masses of people turned to out-of-doors for sport and recreation, and this brought a vast expansion of businesses serving these demands; along with this went problems of conservation policy and the creation of administrative agencies to enforce such policy, licensing of the taking of game, licensing of the sale of firearms, the need for interstate and international co-operation for the protectdon of game, the development of great public parks systems by local, state, and national governments, and new problems for local law enforcement created by the conduct of resorts catering to the a large transient, pleasure-seeking population.

73. There was a lessening of the effectiveness of social controls outside the law, dependent upon individuals' relatively fixed neighborhoods; home, factory, church, and school were no longer necessarily close to each other, and no monger necessarily made a common pattern for the norms of conduct.

- 74. The mobility given by the auto promoted centralization of government authority, or, diamitted the manding it, created tensions when the demand was not met; older, more geographically limited units of government became inadequate to deal with behavior that overleapt their boundaries; central agencies had a more effective reach through the more efficiently decentralized activity of their officials; citizens could more readily come to large-scale agencies at central points, where lower overhead costs from bigger operations could allow the citizen more efficient and varied services. Among the varied results were, for example, the spread of consolidated school districts; the absolutely and relatively diminishing activity of towns in favor of counties, of counties in favor of states, and of states in favor of nation.
- 75. A new unit of urban living, == the metropolitar area--, grew out of the new mobility; unmatched by older local government organization, it presented demands for services and protections that were met only in patchwork fashion in most places.
- 76. The cities lost population to suburban areas, suffered depreciated central-area real estate values and loss of tax revenues, at the same time as they faced higher unit costs for public services in their blighted districts, and demands for new investments to serve the new outlying parts.

77. The auto's mobility required new kinds of roads and their expansion on a great scale; new government activity was called for in planning, building, and maintaining roads, as well as in taxing to support the new road systems.

78. A great addition to the expanding administrative arm of government was made by the auto, in the necessity of agencies for its registration, licensing of drivers, administration of taxes on cars, trucks, gasoline, and various forms of business centering on motor vehicles, regulation of auto insurance in all forms, and building and care of highway systems.

VIII:727

B. The automobile has taken on important meaning for the individual life, in ways that bear upon the law's place in the society.

79. By providing emotional release, some sense of control, freedom, and escape, the auto probably promoted that minimum of general acceptance of the society on the part of people of small means that was required for an emotionally balanced community; thus it somewhat offset the loss of status and independence that had

come with a machine-based society.

of lessening the sense of class distinction, and

The auto also probably supplied some needed sense of status or self-respect in the individual, giving him a badge of position and reassurance, to offset somewhat the loss of older status and sense of belonging that went with having job skills that counted and ties to a well-knit local community and neighborhood. Both this and the preceding point have particular applications to law, apart from their contribution to the emotional balance of the community. For example, both points help explain the force of the demand for cars that produced the installment sales and financing aspects of the industry; they explain, too, why in the depression relief officials in practice did not try to stop families on relief from owning and running a car.

82. The autok with its quickly responsive power, gave a dangerously effective means for extending, or expressing in exaggerated form, certain personality traits of its driver: his over-caution, or his need to show-off, for example, his care or heedlessness of others. This pressed the law to develop and enforce objective standards of conduct in the use of automobiles.

82. The auto markedly contributed to the individual's sense of privacy, and his ability, and increasingly felt right, to live free of many restrictions that family, church, and neighborhood had imposed; the law thus had to carry added burdens of social control.

83. The auto were helped to raise the general expectations, and hence demands, as to the standard of living; it helped thus to form an opinion that the law should act to preserve and advance that standard of living, as by taking positive action to curb the swings of the business cycle.

C. The automobile became an instrument of everyday living, in used for all purposes, and on a vast scale; in a decade it ceased to be a toy or: a luxury and became a necessity.

4. This mass use brought traffic problems, -- not only police regulation, but zoning, street and highway construction, and community planning.

85. Parking became a problem makes of independent weight.

86. Mass use of the auto brought great expansion of the administrative branch of government, for licensing, etc.

87. Mass use madepressure for readprograms; the law faced problems of constitutionality, (use of funds for public or internal improvements, diversion of gasolimetax funds to other than highway uses, the proper spheres of national, state, and local government), the need to develop the administrative offices to build and maintain highways, and to provide regulations for their use, (e.g. speed, regulation of weight and width of trucks, use of tractors, bridge loads).

88. Motor car uses provided a whole new field for government revenue, with accompanying growth in types of taxes, creation of administering authorities, and disputes over proper use of funds.

89. It became necessary to provide for computing deductions for expenses of the business use of autos in income tax

returns.

90. Consideration had to be given to the liability of public agencies for torts of drivers of publicly owned motor vehicles.

- 91. Problems of reciprocity in legal regulations had to be worked out between states, in view of the general use of motor vehicles for private and commercial interstate travel.
- 92. There was new interest in resort to law to preserve the natural beauty along the roads from invasion by roadside advertising and business; both phenomena came from mass motoring.
- 93. Conservation of natural resources in oil became a problem as mass use of the auto put unprecedented demands
 upon petroleum supplies; of secondary consequence, so
 far as the effects of the automobile are concerned,
 was the growth of price troubles in the petroleum industry, encouraging wasteful production practices, and
 making added pressure for government intervention.

94. There was need for tregulation wartime of petroleum supplies, tires, parts, and replacement sales, because of the extent to which the auto had become

essential to the economy.

95. Mass use of the auto gave impetus to formation of new types of associations, (notably motor clubs), which fostered adjustment and arbitration of afcident disputes, offered insurance, and acted as pressure groups upon government in behalf of motorists, truckers, and common carriers.

96. The atto-servicing businesses grew, especially garages and service stations, and brought problems of licensing, zoning, regulation for public safety, provision of garage owners liens, regulation of the quality and safety of motor fuel.

97. Licensing of dealers in new and used cars and regulation of their financing practices reflected the extent to which auto use had become of concern to masses of the people.

98. R gulation of rent-a-car companies reflected the place of the suto as a device of everyday need and use.

99. Regulation of common carriers by motor vehicle, including buses, trucks, and taxis, expressed the people's dependence upon motor cars.

100. Special regulations had to be made in connection with the use of school buses.

101. Driving schools were regulated.

102. Insurance regulation had to be extended to cover auto casualty insurance.

103. Railroad grade crossing elimination and the sharing of costs therefor between railroads and the public purse became an issue for the law.

104. Provisions for the registration of auto titles, and legal doctrine governing transfers of title had to be made because of the importance of transactions in automobiles.

Accidents to persons and property growing out of the operation of autos grew to alarming proportions as the use of the motor car spread, and from this came a great diversity of provides upon law: I licensing of drivers, testing of equipment, requirement of safe equipment, (e.g., safety glass), stipulations for financial responsibility of drivers or owners, traffic regulation, adjustment of court structures and procedures to cope with the flood of litigation, the handling of out-of-court settlements, (involving relations of lawyer and client, insured and insurer, injured party and insurance adjuster), developments in legal doctrine regarding negligence, causation, joint tort-feasors, etc.

106. The great use of autos by non-owners, acting on behalf of, or with consent of owners, both in business and in private affairs, enormously expanded the problems of vicarious likelity: the law of master and servant, or principal and agent, and bailor and bailee; the family-car doctrine; the liability of the holder of a security-title in an automobile for acts of its operator.

107. The amount of passenger-hauling by motor car created new problems of host-guest relationship, including not only the host's obligations to guests, (including hitch-hikers), but the guest's obligations to share some responsibility beautiful for the way in which the car is run, as by keeping some amount of look-out.

108. Regulation of hitch-hiking was required.

109. The applicability of search-and-seizure provisions of the Bill of Rights to automobiles had to be considered.

110. The status of the auto under debtors' Exemption laws had to be worked out.

lll. Liquor regulation and laws and doctrine pertaining to intoxication, had to be applied to automobile cases, intoxication (drivers' license problems, liability under dramshop acts, definition of criminal clability of the drunken driver).

of the drunken driver).
Anti-noise regulation became of concern with the mass use of the automobile.

113. Labor relations in the trucking industry became of public concern, and part of the business of government regulation of labor problems.

114. Old ties between people growing out of common and close location, were lost or weakened as the mass use of the auto led people to live at considerable distances from their places of work, play, and worship; this figured in the weakening of forces of social control outside the law, and demands upon law for more intervention in affairs.

115. Use of the automobile and truck, (and tractor), on farms had varied repercussions in law; it practically eliminated the horse trade as a subject for disputes at law; it reduced the stock of natural fertilizer, contributing to the development of the anti-ar manufactured fettilizer industry, with pressures for regulation thereof; it reduced the farmer's self-sufficiency by making him buy fertilizer and gasoline and parts, and greatly increased his production for commerce by taking acreage

out of production of feed for horses and mules and at the same time increasing the productivity of farms, and in these ways made the farmer more sensitive than ever to market fluctuations, thus tarif breeding pressures for government regulation of money, and credit, mortgage foreclosures, overproduction, and the business cycle in general; the need to buy gasoline for farm trucks, autos, and tractors stimulated the co-operative movement; the added capital investment in motor vehicle equipment was a stimuls to larger farms, with effects therefore upon the need for farm credit and pressures to keep the state of the support contract.

,(after it was equipped with the self-starter),

- 116. The automobile contributed to reducing women's house-keeping burdens, added to their independence and scope of activities, and making them a new source of pressure
- 117. Development of the auto trailer for living purposes brought various problems in community adjustment:police regulation, EXECUTE school attendance, taxes, registration, sanitary regulations, questions of voting residence, for example.
- 118. The auto was an important factor in the higher standard of living desired by 20th century Americans, which tended to lower the birth rate and contribute to the relative aging of the population, with attendant shifts in demands upon government for economic security; of the same tendency was the greater freedom from family ties to which the auto contributed, and which reduced the willingness and ability of whildren to take care of aged parents.
- Regional and rural-urban differences in cultures have probably been reduced considerably, with consequent reductions in the differing demands made upon law; similarly, ethical standards of urban areas have been helped to permeate the country, affecting the temper of law enforcement and administration, (e.g., in the declining rural hostility toward the auto driver).

This list could be expanded 6 100 times 6 by detailed breakdown of the general points included. 🗩 , for example. Let us consider, the number of penal offenses involving the automobile as these stood defined in the Illinois Revised Statutes of 1941. A liberal interpretation might make applicable to the automobile some early statutes, like those defining the rules of the road for "carriages"; but none of the specific automobile offenses in the 1941 list will be found in the Illinois Revised Statutes of 1901. About a third of them can be found in the Illinois Revised Statutes of 1921. The following list is limited to penal offenses directly relating to dealing in or operating motor vehicles; it does not, for example, include the substantial number of allower related provisions dealing with duties of public officers, special procedural points in the enforcement of automobile law, administrative sanctions, (e.g., suspension or revocation of drivers' licenses), regulation of the quality, safety, and , and taxation of sale of motor fuel •

Penal Offenses Growing out of Dealingsin or Operation of Mutor Vehicles, Illinois Revised Statutes, 1941

A. Registration of vehicles

1. Registration of motor vehicles is required.

2. Licenses plates must be displayed, firmly affixed, kept wat specified level above ground, kept clean.

3. Covering or mutilating license plates is prohibited.

- 4. Special registration of cars in hands of makers or dealers is required; license plates so issued may not be used by others.
- 5. Use of fictitious registration number or license is penalized
- 6. Upon sale of a car by a person not a maker of dealer, certain registration procedures must be observed.
- 7. Non-residents must register cars after certain period in state.
- 8. False description in registration application is penalized.
- 9. Persons in business of transporting motor vehicles not their own must register to obtain "in transit" license; they must not use such license plates for other purposes.

B. Licensing of drivers

"Chauffeurs", (parsons operating cars for pay), must obtain licenses.

Non-resident "chauffeurs" must obtain licenses, if they 11. operate cars in the state for more than temporary periods.

12.

"Chauffeur" mustwear a badge.
Use of "chauffeur's" badge by other than the person to 13. whom issued is penalized.

No one shall employ an unlicensed "chauffeur".

- 15. No one under 15 years of age shall operate a motor vehicle.
- Operators other than "chauffeurs" must have licenses:a 16. learner must have a license as such; no one may operate a car in violation of any restrictions stated in his license.
- Display or possession of a fictitious, cancelled or otherwise legally inoperative driver's or "chauffeur's" li-17. cense is forbidden.
- No one shall lend to another or knowingly permit another's use of his driver's or "chauffeur's" license. 18.
- Use of a fictibuous name or false statements in obtaining a driver's or "chauffeur's" license is penalized. 19.
- Display or representation of another's license to operate a car as one's own is forbiddeh.
- 21. No one shall authorize or knowingly permit his car to be driven by an wallicensed person.
- To operate a car in violation of any licensing require-22. ments, or after revocation or cancellation of a license, is an offense.
- To rent a car to an unlicensed driver, to rent a car with-23. out examining and confirming the authenticity of the renter's license to drive is an offense; renters must keep a record of rentals.
- 24. Persons under 21 years of age may not operate a common carrier motor vehicle or a school bus.
- 25. One whose license has been suspended must return such license or license plates to the Secretary of State.

C. Maintenance of highways

- Maximum gross loads, width, and length of vehicles, and length of trailers and loads are specified.
- 27. Vehicles with lugs, flanges, etc., may not use improved public highways.
- 28. Motor vehicles in general must be equipped with rubber
- 29. Non-skid devices injurious to highways may not be used.
- 30. To protect the edge of paved highways, heavy vehicles must use a temporary ramp to climb onto the highway.
- 31. Speed of heavy vehicles and use of vehicles limited on certain highways when frost is leaving the ground.
- 32. Driving over roads marked closed for construction, or injuring "detour" signs, is forbidden.
- 33. Travel on highways recently "dragged" is limited.
- Thucks are obliged to stop and submit to weighing tests 34. upon order of highway officers.
- Traffic regulation: the following conduct is dealt with....

35. Obadience to orders of traffic police.

Obedience to traffic-control signal devices.

36. 37. Ban on unauthorized sighs declaring or purporting to declare, traffic regulations, or simulating these.

VIII:733 (| ' ' ' ' '

- ◆ 38. Altering, defacing, or # destroying traffic signs.
 - 39. Remaining at scene of accident, furnishing aid and information.
 - 40. Duty upon striking an unattended vehicle.
 - 41. Duty upon striking fixtures upon a highway.
 - 42. Duty to report accidents to public authority.
 - 43. Driving if habitual user of drugs, or if drugged or intoxicated.
 - 44. Reckless driving.
 - 45. Speed.
 - 46. Driving to the right.
 - 47. Passing vehicles proceeding in opposite direction.
 - 48. Overtaking vehicles.
 - 49. Driving on one-way roadways and around traffic islands.
 - 50. Driving on roads laned for traffic.
 - 51. Following too closely.
 - 52. Turning at intersections.
 - 53. Turning on curve or crest of grade.
 - 54. Starting parked vehicle.
 - 55. Giving hand signals; methods.
 - 56. Right of way: approaching or entering intersection.
 - 57. Right of way: vehicle turning left at intersection.
 - 58. Right of way: vehicle entering through-highway or stop-intersection.
 - 59. Right of way: entering highway from private road or drive.
 - 60. Right of way: approach of fire engines, etc.
 - 61. Right of way: pedestrians: at crosswalks.
 - 62. Right of way: pedestrians: at other than crosswalks.
 - 63. Pedestrians must obey traffic control.
 - 64. Ban on hitch hiking in roadway,
 - 65. Where pedestrian should walk on highways.
 - 66. Right of ways passing streetcar.
 - 67. Right of way: driving on streetcar tracks.
 - 68. Right of way:driving through safety zone prohibited.
 - 69. Obedience to railroad grade-crossing signals.
 - 70. Certain vehicles must stop at all railroad grade crossings.
 - 71. Limits on moving heavy equipment or vehicles over grade crossings.
 - 72. Stopping at through highways.
 - 73. Stopping before emerging from alley or private drive.
 - 74. Parking: manner.
 - 75. Parking: obedience to time limits.
 - 76. Parking: forbidden at 14 specified places, e.g. by fire hydra
 - 77. Moving car into prohibited parked position by other than owner.
 - 78. Parking: at right-hand curb, generally forbidden.
 - 79. Unattended car must be left locked and properly parked.
 - 80. Driving with load obscuring vision.
 - 81. Riding in vehicle wn position obscuring driver's vision.
 - 82. Manner of driving through mountainous country.
 - 83. Ban on coasting.
 - 84. Ban on following fire apparatus.
 - 85. Ban on crossing fire hose.
 - 86. Putting glass etc. in highway; obligation to remove.
 - 87. Meeting or overtaking school bus.
 - 88. Obstructing highway.
 - 89. Maintenance of vehicle's equipment in safe condition.
 - 90. Lamp requirements: number, position, placing on projecting loads.

- 106. Local government regulations of speed and use of II: 734 motor vehicles in public parks and cemetaries. 91. dimming.
 - Use of lamps: when must be lighted; on parked vehicles;
 - 92. Brakes: required equipment, and condit/ion.
 - 93. Harns and warning devices required equipment and condition.
 - 94. Mufflers required.
 - 95. Rear-view mirrors required.
 - 96. Unobstructed windshield, with wipers, required.
 - 97. Tires: required equipment and condition.
 - 98. Safety glass reguired.
 - 99. Flares required equipment on certain thrucks; required use.
 - 100. Required markings and fire extinguishers on explosives-
 - 101. Safety tests for trucks required: for operation; upon sale.
 - 102. Spilling loads on highway.
 - 103. g Required couplings for truck trailers.
 - 104. Owner forbidden to direct or knowingly permit operation of a motor vehicle in any way contrary to law.
 - 105. Penalties on those attempting, aiding, or abetting violations of traffic laws.
 - Carriers of passengers or freight (private, contract, and common cærriers).
 - 107. Bicenses required for operation as carriers of freight or passengers by motor vehicles, in different categories.
 - 10%. Transfer of such carrier vehicles must be reported.
 - 104. Löcal government regulation of certain carrier operations and are authorized.
 - 110. Carriers must pay mileage taxes; penalty for nonpayment or failure to make returns, or for making false returns.
 - 111. Misrepresentation in obtaining certificates for operation is penalized.
 - Identification marks must be painted or affixed to truck. Carriers may not use bills of lading in form other than 112.
 - 113. approved by public authority.
 - 114. Carriers may not use bills of sale in form other than approved by public authority.
 - 115. Carriers must comply with public authority's requirements for reports and forms of accounts.
 - 116. Hours of labor of truck drivers are regulated.
 - Violation of the Truck Act or of administrative regula-117. tions issued thereunder is made penal offense.
 - Preventive regulations: preventing crimes, accidents, uncompensated losses in connection with operation of automobiles.
 - 118. Garages must keep record of Yicense and engine numbers of cars held for sale, rent, storage, or repair, and report altered engine numbers to public authority.
 - Suitable showing of financial responsibility, by bond or 119. insurance, required of private, contract, and common carrier operators of motor vehicles.
 - 120. No person may rent cars without carrying insurance or posting a bond, to meet liability arising out of their operation; bond or insurance must be kept in force.
 - 121. Carrying firearms in car is forbidden when done with criminal intent or by a person of criminal associations.
 - 122. No personx without official authorization may equip an automobile with a short wave radio receiver.

- G. Crimes against property rights in automobiles.
 - Use of a motor vehicle without owner's consent is 123. forbidden.
 - 124. Tampering with motor vehicles is forbidden.
 - 125. Destruction or alteration of engine number is forbidden.
 - 126. Possession or sale of motor vehicle with altered engine number or without any engine number is unlawful.
 - 127. Destruction or alteration of special engine number assigned by Secretary of State for an engine lacking a number, is unlawful.
 - 128. Stealing or receiving stolen motor vehicles is penalized
 - 129. Person coming into possession of unclaimed car must(deliver it to sheriff or municipal officer.
- No one some operate a car without a certificate of 130. shall
- title having been obtained therefor.
 No one shall sell a car without obtaining cancellation 131. shall of old certificate of title and issuance of new one.
 - 132. Alteration for forgery of certificate of title is penalized.
 - 133. False statement in application for certificate of title are penalized.
 - Η. Dealing in automobiles
 - 134. Maker or dealer selling a car must give bill of sale to buyer.
 - 135. No "chauffeur" or other person having the care of another! motor vehicle shall take a bonus or discount for himself first in connection with the purchase of supplities or doing of work thereon.
 - 136. No person shall tender a bonus or discount to a "chauffeur or other person in charge of another's car.
 - License is required for engaging in retail sale of new or 137. used cars, or parts or accessories; no person shall represent that he is in such business unless he is licensed; he must post his license; he must re-apply if the facts of his business change.
 - 138. Holder of a lic nse to sell used cars or parts or accessories must keep specified records of transactions.
 - I. Miscellaneous
 - 139. Persons owning or operating motor vehicles used for delivery of goods must provide windshield to shelter driver.
 - 140. Authorized limits of auto races may be set by Mocal government officials.
 - 141. Location and use of public garages and private garages for more than five vehicles may be regulated by cities and villages.
 - 142. Persons engaged in business of providing automobile insurance must comply with stated forms.
 - 143. Resident owners may be required by bearings and has municipalities to submit cars to safety tests.
 - No one shall place the badge of the American Legion or 144. its Women s Auxiliary upon an auto unless authorized under the rules of those organizations.
 - A person conducting a "community sale" involving goods 145. delivered by motor vehicle must keep a record of the operator, make, with and license number of the delivery vehicle.
 - 146. No one shall take protected wild game from an auto or by use of its lights.
 - 147. Transportation of unlawfully taken game by auto is forbidd
 - 148. No one shall trasport or possess alcoholic liquor in any motor vehicle except in the original, unbroken package.

VIII:736

2. The Hazard to Life and Property

a. The Facts

An increasing number of people were killed or hurt, and an increasing amount of property damaged, as the automobile came into mass use. In states that recorded such data, the death rate from automobile accidents rose from 1.8 per 100,000 population in 1910 to 1014 in 1920, to 24.5 in 1930, fluctuated about this last figure in the next decade and stood again at 24.5 in 1940. From 1923 through 1930, 201,000 persons died in accidents involving motor cars; from 1931 through 1940, 344, \$234. Though records were incomplete, non-fatal injuries in automobile accidents showed like increases, --- from about 150,000 persons in 1913, to 650,000 in 1923, to 1,150,000 in 1930, and never less than the last figure through the ensuing decade. It was hard to estimate the money loss from these accidents. Out of its experience, the National Safety Council suggested that

A community or state can roughly determine the cost of all its traffic accidents by this method: Multiply the number of deaths by \$45,000, which is the average cost of a death plus the cost of 35 injuries and 150 property damage accidents.

The Council, for example, put the calculable direct costs of automobile accidents in 1940 at \$1,600,000,000; half of this was property damage, \$570 millions was wages loss, \$40 millions was medical expense, and \$120 millions was the overhead cost of insurance.

The automobile problem came to dominate the field of accidental personal injury. The National Safety Council pointed to this comparison among the different main causes of accidental deaths:

Motor vehicles	1913 5%	1930 29%	1940 33.3%
Falls	18	18	25
Drowning	10	8	6
Railroad	15	7	5
Burns	11	6	8

Experience did not show a rapid or satisfactory social adjustment to this new accident source. Between 1925 and 1940

it is true. deaths. per 100 million vehicle miles travelled showed a general tendency to The figure was a little over 19 in 1925, went to 16 about decline. 1932, climbed back to a lower peak of a little over 18 by 1934, but from then on fell steadily to about 12.5 in 1940. Over these years people were increasing their motor vehicle mileage; thus from an estimated 252 million vehicle miles in 1936 the figure went to 302 millions in 1940. Apparently we were getting a growing amount of transportation service out of the motor vehicle at a relatively decreasing cost in life. But from other aspects, the accident costs did not appear to be in so encouraging a treend. Deaths per 10,000 registered motor cars, 1925-1940, went from about 11 in 1925 in a generally higher curve to a little above 14 in 1934, and then slanted down again to 11 in 1940. If the mileage curve suggested some improvement in the hazards of vehicles-inpuse, the curve relative to registrations suggested at least less progress in terms of dangers from drivers-in-operation. automobile accident And deaths per 100,000 population over this 1925-1940 period showed a generally upward curve. From

of motor vehicle use, relative to population, stood substantially higher at the end of the period.

T VIII:738

b. Repairing the Damage

How did the law respond to the growing hazard to life and property presented by the mass use of the automobile? By mid-20th century it had had the problem on its hands for about 40 years, taking as the starting point 1909, when Ford introduced "Model T". The outstanding fact of that 40 year span was the law's preoccupation with the problem of compensation for damage done, and, conversely, its limited attention to prevention of damage. next sub-section gives some details of the growth of preventive legal Here let us regulation in this field note that middle not until the 1920's did many states begin to the elementary work preventive of building a reasonable traffic code; and not until the 1930's was any substantial attention turned to preventive effort; as late as soberly declarate and and 1922 a careful survey. States has the greatest and most costly automobile accident problem in concluded the world", at that "in spite of our spasmodic efforts to control it, we have not made much impression on it."

This accident problem was a product of a machine society, --- of the interplay of many drivers, relatively inexpensive and dependable but also faster and heavier cars, and a vastly extended mileage of all-weather roads. But the law's excessive concern with compensation, at the expense of prevention, was not a response 📚 natural to the thought and action of science or technology. We must not romanticize about the amount of forethought and planning that have gone into our scientific and technical progress; our technical means. particularly, have grown grown more by rule-of-thumb than according to long-range plan. Nonetheless, self-conscious ideal and measure of success in a society increasingly and scientific and technical-minded was to make things work his meant, to take thought to cut costs, waste, friction, and interruptions in the flow of whatever was in process. Certainly the great rise in the standard

of living that affected all ways of life in the United States after 1870 did not come because men gave their main attention to mopring up the debris of breakdowns. Hear a spokesman of this machine society: To Andrew Carnegie, "The saving that the community makes is the root of wealth in any branch of material development. ...[A] young man's labour or service to the community creates wealth just in proportion as his service is useful to the community, as it either saves or improves upon existing methods."

Automobile accidents did not present an isolated instance. In other cases the law lagged by at least a generation in applying to machine-age issues

machine-age emphasis on preventing trouble rather than on picking up fallen.

the pieces after trouble had this happened that we may fairly call it a leading characteristic of the law's reaction to the first 50 years of the technological advance after 1870.

Consider, for example, industrial accident. first marked the impact of this issue in the United States in 1841 and 1842, in the South Carolina and Massachusetts decisions that adopted the fellow servant rule. For some 30 years nothing happened except the elaboration of common law rules dealing with damages for such injuries and the enactment of laws permitting recovery under these principles in The next 30 years, after 1870, saw widespread cases of wrongful death. legislation, But the overshadowing attention was given to emphoyers liability laws which simply tinkered with the elements of employer's the suit for damages, limiting or abolishing the array of defenses, (contributory negligence, assumpttion of risk, and the fellow servant rule), Starting in the late 170s, --- by the most conservative count at least 20 years after the Northern United States had a full-grown problem of industrial working conditions on their hands=--, legislatures began the

general adoption of factory safety laws. For another 30 years these made a most faulty body of preventive regulation: (1) They were spotty and unco-ordinated; they dealt with particular problems as these chanced to get attention, making a hodge-podge of laws about fire escapes, seats for women workers, safe seaffolds, speaking tubes, shops. 'machine guards. toilets, overcrowded work (2) They were or unthinking, timidato the point of being innocuous, so far as concerned enforcementa. They were usually content with making violation a misdemeanor 🌭 🗫 thus must enforcement, be by cumbersome criminal proceedings, dependent on the initiative of a local prosecutor who was untrained in safety matters, and busy with more familiar kinds of criminal and not likely to discount the ill-will of local industry, by the 180s some states had factory inspectors, but did not back them up with enough staff or money or with effective administrative remedies. 70 years after the industrial accident problem first and marked the law, and about 40 years after it had become a first-rank problem in social and economic loss and human suffering, the real beginning was made on Because of the scope of their relief, at the first workmen's compensation systems, preventive regulation. 1911, immediately drew full attention to the problem of accident preven-The new industrial commissions worked as much at tion in industry. the framing and enforcement of system atic safety codes because as in as the administration of compensation.

A similar lag in the type of approach taken to problems of a technical society marked such diverse fields as consumer protection, crime, and domestic relations. From 1870 on developments in large-scale production, transportation, and cheap printed matter were building wider markets. It is at the same time an increasing part of the population was becoming dependent upon money income and the exchange from the production of producer or distributives, and there was less face to face dealing of producer or distributives.

and buyer.

tor, In the face of these trends, for a generation the only consumer protection at law was by actions for damages, --- for negligence, for fraud and deceit, for breach of contract or of warranty. About the turn of the century, under pressure of farmer-producers, most states quantital created administrative offices to police the adulteration of foodstuffs by processors. But the growth of markets had made the protection of consumers largely a national issue. We can the tardiness of the response in federal law by taking as a conservative dating point 1879, when the first major effort (began) to get legislation. took until 1906 to win the uphill fight against states rights, apathy, and commercial interest. The Food and Drugs Act then put on the books was a major step toward adequate prevention of fraud and peril to consumers within its range. Even within its scope, however, it was of limited effectiveness, and it took another 32 years to get new strength in the law, by amendments of 1938. Outside of the food and drugs field, the consumer had preventive protection against false or misleading advertising until the creation of the Federal Trade Commission in 1914; here, again, substantial force had yet to be added to the regulation as late as 1938.

Tensions peculiar to an urban-industrial society produced new types and manner reaches of crime, ---notably, juvenile delinquency at one extreme, and business or "white collar" crimes at the other——, and new economic and social strakes upon marriage. The changes in court organization, sketch of the changes in court organization, sketch of the slow adoption of preventive-administrative techniques alongside the conventional emphasis on penalty and reparation. From the base-line of 1870, we have to look to 1899 for the first real juvenile court experiment, and to 1920 for its general adoption; as to business crime, we have already noted the example of the tardy provision for preventive

regulation affecting pure food and drugs and false and misleading advertising. Special response in law to the pressure that urban life was thrusting an marriage came in the first domestic relations courts in 1910, 1911, and 1914.

That there was an urgent public interest in urban housing, from the standpoint of juvenile delinquency and broken homes, did not crowded states and cities had earlier real force until the 1930's, though the tinkered with tenement safety and sanitary regulations.

Planned use of education, consultation, and publicity, in place of conventional criminal prosecution ordivorce or separation proceedings as means of handling social tensions did not bulk large in legal regulation until after 1910.

There was nothing inevitable about this extent of lag the law's a control natural to a society science and technology. There were some signs that the lag grew less. The airplane and the radio both took on commercial im# portance from about 1920. Both presented serious problems in the collis ion of private and public interests. The airplane offered new hazards to life and property. The radio early offered a different sort of traffic problem: Many people wanted to use a number of channels that wer Traditional legal doctrine offered analogies to hand limited by nature. each issue by the award of damages for invasion of private interest. as early as the Air Commerce Act of 1926 Congress put reliance in preventive administrative regulation to deal with the air safety problem For all the shortcomings of this beginning effort, it followed the rise of the problem with a speed unmatched in the history of industrial or automobile safety. And the first main strengthening regulation in this field came, not after a 30 or 40 year lapse, but within 12 years, in the Civil Aeronautics Acta of 1938. The pace of advancing preventive regulation in radio traffic control was similar: the first main step in the

Federal Radio Commission Act of 1927, the decisive strengthening legislation in the Communications Act of 1934.

Why should there have been the lag in preventive response to the automobile accident problem, these other troubles that seemed so naturally induced by a machine society? And what light might be cast on this question by the contrasting examples of air and radio reguelation?

First, it is clear that emphasis upon repairing rather than preventing damage was inherent in the situation so long as the main job was left start to the courts. The the injunction afford some advance protection to property interests the traditional equipment of the courts fitted them only to The "judicial power" grant and enforce compensation for damage done. vested by constitutions was not a roving commission to anticipate trouble, but rather to act on the facts of trouble when parties orought them before the court; the courts, moreover, lacked the funds, staff, and time for special inquiry with which to do more they search out the roots eand the experience troubles (prevention of that came anexpected a with living under conditions set by technics. In Most states as late as 1980, in handling accident litigation or traffic violations, the courts still held the field after nearly a generation of mass use of the motor car.

in handling accident litigation or traffic violations, the courts still held the field after nearly a generation of mass use of the mother car.

This could not but give a set to thought and action in this field of with law, preoccupying them the main type of court administered remedy. The example suggests why 20th century thinking began to early action in a trouble area by and resourceful emphasize the more flexible legislative and executive agencies. The price of continued judicial predominance was apt to be inertia or impotence in the development of more effect

public policy

Plainly, however, this reliance on the courts was itself the mark of deeper influences. One of these was an idea of public policy which sprang from the abundance and promise of the machine. The industrial revolution had opened up infinite roads to material progress; society would move best by encouraging as many people as possible to explore these roads; the law should be cautious about adding to the inevitable risks of these explorations by the regulations and liabilities it set. Lord Abinger sensed this much of the temper of the age when in Priestley English v. Fowler, (before the Court of Exchequer in 1837), he refused to hold an employer liable for injury done to one of his employees by a negligent fellow servant, because "If the master be liable to the servant in this action, the principle of that liability will be found to carry us to an alarming extent." Men were firm in their confidence that the machine plus free ingenuity in its use spelled progress. Let progress run; and if some loss went with it, this would be relatively minor, and should be made up after the event and within careful restrictions. whose view had This view had classic expression from another English judge, where been sharpened by the vision of the same a more dramatic generation of industrial empire than Abinger had seen. Contract was the law jut in men's hands to work out this technical abundance. In 1875 t Sir George Jessel, Master of the Rolls, observed that

> It must not be forgotten that you are not to extend arbitrarily those rules which say that a given contract is void as being against public policy, because if there is one thing which more than another public policy requires it is that men of full age and competent understanding shall have the utmost liberty of contracting and that their contracts when entered into freely and voluntarily shall be held sacred and shall be enforced by Courts of justice. Therefore. you have this paramount public policy to consider -that you are not lightly to interfere with this freedom of contract.

Early automobile cases showed how taken for-granted was

this confidence in the gifts of the machine. With striking unanimity, the courts ruled that, despite its noise, smoke, and hair-raising 20-miles-an-hour speed, the automobile was entitled to the use of the highways. (As more familiar vehicles) Even more significant was their refusel to borrow the ready-to-hand common law precedent that made the owner of an inherently "dangerous instrument" liable regardless of fault for damage that it did when operated by a servant or when it broke bounds, or otherwise fulfilled its natural propensity. In the leading case, in 1905, the Indiana supreme court said one that could not be held guilty of nepligence as a matter of law for using an automobile could not be held guilty of nepligence as a matter of law

Because novel and unusual in appearance, and for that reason likely to frighten horses unaccustomed to see them, is no reason for prohibiting their use. In all human activities the law keeps up with improvement and progress brought about by discovery and invention, and, in respect to highways, if the introduction of a new contrivance for transportation purposes, conducted with due care, is met with inconvenience and even accidental injury to those using ordinary modes, there can be no recovery, provided the contrivance is compatible with the general use and safety of the road.

The danger, the courts, was not inherently in the automobile, but in how it was used. So spoke the Georgia Court of Appeals in an influential opinion of 1907:

It is insisted, in the argument, that automobiles are

to be classed with ferocious animals, and that the law relating to the duty of the owners of such animals is to be applied. It is not the ferocity of automobiles that is to be feared, but the ferocity of those who drive them. Until human agency intervenes, they are usually harmless. While, by reason of the rate of pay allotted to judges in this State, few, if any, of them thereby accuiring some knowledge of them; and we have, therefore, found out that there are times when these machines not only lack ferocity, but assume such an indisposition to go that it taxes the limits of human ingenuity to make them move at all. They are not to be classed with bad dogs, vicious bulls, evil-disposed mules, and the like.

abundance that swelledfrom

have ever owned one of these machines, ledge of yet some of them there are have occasionally ridden in them, the limit

risimally

fostered this presumption in favor of let-things-alor

characteristics of the machine society delayed questioning of it. Amportant social consequences of technological change developed with great speed. From 1900 to 1920 the manufacturers problem was to make enough cars to meet the demand. With the depression of 1921, capacity sales of new cars began to be possible only because users were getting accustomed to trading in an old for a new car; by 1922 there were three trademins to five new-car sales, by 1923 there was a trade-i with/over three of every four new-car sales, by 1929 the trade-in was the center of more than four of every five new-car sales. The used-car market hade the auto available to hundreds of thousands of persons who could afford to spend only between \$100 and \$400 for a In about seven years it created a mass of car owners who by def= inition were financially irresponsible. The trend had climaxed long before there was & attention to what it implied for the adequacy of the traditional suit for damages as a means of handling the accident problem. The general result of technological change was typically a material advance that was large and t made the accompanying costs seem smaller than theyreally were. and covered up the fact that the costs might fall with unfair or crushing burden on a few people. Let us recall only one, symbolic aspect of the data summarized in the first section of this chapter: The secople of the United States found the automobile so useful and satisfying that between 1916 and 1940, while total passenger movement by public carriers declined about 12 per cent, total private automobile transport increased from 18 to 476 billion passenger-miles & and accounted for nine out of every ten passenger-miles of travel oute side of cities and for three of every four passengers transported withi urban ares. "On the whole, the mobility of the American people, measured in passenger-miles traveled, was ten times greater in 1940 than in

On the other side of the ledger was an annually increasing toll

of deaths numbered in tens of thousands, injuries in hundreds of thousands, money losses in hundreds of millions of dollars. All this made dry reading. And consciousness of it tended to be buried beneath the daily felt utility of the machine whose use was running up these costs, apparently for somebody else to pay. Naturally the general consciousness felt even less the private hardship and tragedy behind the statistics:

Male head. Fatal. Went behind in rent. Daughter trying to support self and mother, though not well. No savings. Compensation pending.

Woman. Sole support of three. Out six weeks. Debts to grocer, butcher, commercial loans. No compensation.

Child. 63 days in bed. 8 family members, no earners (father unemployed at time). Grocery bills owed. Borrowing from friends. No compensation.

Male head. Serious injury, probably permanent. Three months in hospital. Compensation more than covering expenses up to time of settlement, received 8 months after accident. Meanwhile family owed grocer, landlord, coal dealer, and drug store. Commercial loans at 12%.

The importance of the factor underlined by the contrasting examples of legal regulation of air safety and radio traffic. We noted to in the the law turned toward a preventive emphasis with a speed quite unlike its laggard preoccupation with damages and industrial or tion with damages and an example accidents. The technical facts set much more obvious a terms for the public enjoyment of radio and the airplane. A listening public could learn fast and on

tive regulation. The pressure of the facts in the radio and airplane

a large scale that for want of radio traffic control they would get
a jumble of
mainly interfering stations on their new receiving sets. The airplane
(and conspicuously hovered over innocent heads) the
was conspicuous, its accidents had drama of lone adventure,
and did not to lose headline appeal by fast becoming mere incit
dents of a new mass form of travel; the timing as well as the technical
facts of air travel development both favored earlier resort to preven-

cases, thus, was so strong that we cannot allow law-men for showing that they could learn by experience.

The radio and air regulation plainly benefitted because they came after 20 years' pioneer work in preventiveadministrative law.

that for 40 years the law attended mainly in the award of damages handling automobile accidents, how did it develop within this frame of reference? Again, it shows to adapt its thinking to the ways to adapt its thinking to the analytical society or to the methods of applied science or technology. What it did do was demonstrate that legal institutions and doctrines can have remarkably tough staying power against the weight offacts that press on them from outside.

The law met the rise of the automobile accident problem, policy about 1910, with a deeple fixed since Mr. Chief Justice

Shaw's opinion in Brown v. Kendall in 1850; to recover for injury generally unidentionally inflicted, plaintiff must show that defendant was at and plainty most be guilty fault contributed. For the years of legislature's a princile of Appeals could regard the attempted substitution of liability without as fault to the point of reasonable as violation of due process of law; hence it held unconstitutional the state's first workmen's compensation act.

The facts of autombile use made the "fault" orinciple in large measure irrelevant, and certainly very hard to administer.

Serious

The principle assumed that damage to an ther was unusual and the normal substantial result only of great departure from ordinary standards of care. But a percentage of cost was inherent where people use machines. The automobile was fast, powerful, and heavy. It responded quickly to the will or impulse of its driver. It magnified his personal characteristic in action, turned what otherwise would be a moment's inettention, a

VIII:749 and the likelihood that all the fault would not be in our party to the accident.

> trifling indecision, a second's daring, into tangible consequences heavy with loss. The mass use of the automobile multiplied the occasions on which such otherwise trivial lapses might have these results almost any accident involving an automobile in motion happened very fast and with little or no warning. The confidence with which early denied t that the automobile was inherently a their "dangerous instrumentality" marked not only faith in the wisdom of maximum free action, but also their failure to foresee how technical fact and human nature would mix. Experience with the behavior of and automobiles tardily pressed questions on the lawmen: (1) Was it just to decide how the loss of an automobile accident should be borne, according to a weighing of somebody's, (more likely, of at least two people's), solit-second "fault" which in most other circumstances would not have caused such disproportionate harm (2) Did it not turn the administration of justice that largely into a game of chance to attempt, months or years after these split-second events, to piece an appraisal of "fault together from partisan witnesses, or witnesses who at best were untrained observors of una ticipated was happenings that they saw or heard in a flash of startled perception. In 1925 a distinguished judge of a busy, urban, first-instance court school, standing the property posted jack soberly appraised the automobile accident lawsuit. exemplified His an almost unanimous current of opin-

increasingly voiced by

ion approprienced trial lawyers and judges. Said Judge Marx:

In the average personal injury case, it is a pure gamble who will win. The result does not depend upon who was negligent because that question can not be accurately determined by anyone. The result may depend upon who has the most or the best witnesses, the ablest lawyers, or upon the bias of the judge or the jury, the breaks of the trial^t, the personal equation, the wealth or poverty of the marties and many other questions which have little or no connection with the issue of true fault. Every practicing lawyer kndws that a negligence case is built upon shifting sands and that different juries, trial judges and appellate courts, upon re-trials of the same case and upon the same evidence, reach varying and op osite results.

entoribution methicance seemed especially hart that

In various ways, from the 1930's on the law in a backseemed to acknowledge that the fault principle had dubious value handed fashion in 👛 automobile cases. Experience showed that sames if a case got to the jury, the man plaintiff was likely to win two out of three If it appeared that plaintiff's conduct had violated some clear standard of care fixed in legal doctrine, the judge could keep the case from the jury by directing a verdict for defendant. As the accident p courts in traditional common law fashion problem mounted similarities in the situations began to elaborate rules 🐗 brought before them. The majority of states also followed the doctrine that violation of a penal statute was in itself negligence. legislatures adde to traffic codes the courts and these 🖢 fixed rules 🥨 careful conduct; \$ince driver violations were involved in at least half of traffic accidents, the judges had potentially broad opportunity to curb the jury's role. first enthusiasm for fixed, specific applying the traffic coderul drivers' care slackened. At one stage, for exemple, deapproaching from the right cisions announced firmly that the person an intersection of had the right of way leter cases so qualified the rule that it finally amounted amonly to one element that to be weighed in the whole circulastances, and hence generally to be weighed by the jury: The one having the right of way must without regard to other facts, --- the width of the street, the number of cars crossing. the scope of the other driver's view, for example --- , which might lead a careful man to yield the right to avoid col ision. The lines of other fixed rules were likewise blurred as experience taught the infinite variety of auto accident, comments and the almost inevitable degree of split-second fault inv lved on one or more more cases to that tribunal, the jury, the effect was most cases the plaintiff should have some commensation.

Both courts and legislatures began to chip away at the fault principle in another fashion, by reducing the importance of the defense of contributory negligence material exception to this de-"last clear fense, in the rule to Where plaintiff negligently put himself in danger, defendant might yet be liable if he had a "lest clear chance" to svoid injuring plaintiff and failed to use it; states applied the rule even defendant did not know of plaintiff's peril in time to awid injuring hkm, if defendant could have known (he) had been using due care. The frequent explanation of this exception was that in the plaintiff's contributory negligence was not the "proximate cause" of the accident. by hypothesis plaintiff's conduct had in fact contributed to the situation to such an extent that but for the exception he must be barred from all recovery; moulding causa him theory to ex clear the judges were m jud ment against the harsh results of the common law.

Another inroad on contributory negligence was made in the handful of states, --- among which Wisconsin was outstending ---, which adopted statutes providing that plaintiff's recovery should n t be his fault whom barred by but should be diminished by the amount his fault contributed to the injury. The Wisconsin statute, like that of Mississipping

was passed after the automobile accident became a major item in personal jurisdictionsm injurylawsuits. By decision in four and by statute in about

joint tortfessors was altered to allow in tort actions where the per-

one-fourth of the states, the common law rule barring contribution among

son seeking care was not an intentional wrongdoer. This trend

also reflected growing dissatisfaction with the equity of the fault

orinciple. Whether it represented an effective or fair answer to that

experience.

Conceivably the change might go counter to the tendency

dissatisfaction was hard

The contract of the contract o

hereafter noted, to put loss in the first instance on somebody who could best spread its cost around and so limit its damaging weight on any one person. For it seemed likely that the one most apt to seek contribution would be an insurance company which had had to pay a claim, and that this would measureably reduce the social utility of insurance.

Back of the faultprinciple was a judgment that in cases of unintentionally inflicted injury the loss should lie where it fell, unless reson was shown for shifting it. No social good would be served merely by transferring loss from one person to another.

This made sense in a simple society where most human relations mad effects limited in locality and in the number of people directly concerned. It made sense, too, because most people there had to bear their own losses; they had no way to pass them on or shift them, at least begond the circle of family or close friends or relations.

But developments that stemmed from technology made this reasoning inapplicable to a large portion of personal injury cases, including those involving the automobile. Again, the law was tardy in recognizing the changed facts. Again, its response was in large part by clumsy indirection.

The machine and the business ways that grew up to exploithe machine's possibilities had effects not limited in locality or in Machines and the new business number of persons directly affected. raised the mass standard of did so only because they reached out to involve almost the whole people in a new way of life, as producers and commercial and consumers. Passenger automobile at truck contributed to the economical rising standard of living, by permitting a huge scale of transportation community had to use the motor vehicl to-individual=order. The to be produced cheaply enough to soread these benefits in tur the general community benefitted, from the

cheaper and more flexible transportation of raw materials and manufactures, from fresh-delivered foodstuffs and movies, from easier access to recreation and emergency life-saving services, when infinite number of other services hip has a constant on a more state.

 The benefits of a machine like the sutomobile 🔻 because used it. most people, directly came Mechine and human nature could be or indirectly. crange of $m{I}$ cost of mingled on this scale only at predictable accident. **N**es it y just or efficient that individuels involv otor vehicle ed in an accident should, one or the other or all, bear the whole direct cost of it?

chiefly The law began to whittle away at this problem by expanding the scope of vicarious liabibity, -- that is, by increasing the cases in which one person might have to pay the costs of another's conduct. The courts made the most direct expansion along this line, by extending nterf the master's liability for torts of his serfant, in auto-Thus where a servant, without authority, let another mobile cases. drive the car, the negligence of this unauthorized driver might be imputed to the rester if the servant was in the car when the accident decisions settled 🎓 that the master was hannened. **0**ld not liable where the servant took the vehicle on a frolic of hisown. as the automobile became a fam'liar business instrument the cases seemed to recognize that the process ready mobility hite required some relaxation of this defense; the master must expect some deviations from the line of duty; he was likely to be held liable if, though on a detour, the servant was taking a general course necessary to do the master's business. The courts also devised doctrine to help the plaintiff prove his case. In 1869 the Massachusett court, following the English rule, required the injured plaintiff to prove affirmatively that defendant owned the horse and wagon and hired the driver, and that the driver was on defendant's business.

same year the New York court adopted a different rule: When plaintiff

that it was being driven by his employee and on his service, until cases follow defendant showed evidence to the contrary. scatter 1 over the next generation. ed the New York doctrine years of the automobile. Additional it sprang into steady growth welva followed t other was jurisdictions it between 1904 and 1914. In 20 years after 1914 🕊 when the automobile accident problem became acute, the New York rule became the express doctrine of 12 jurisdictions and was falled accepted in principle in 12 others, which both four states continued the rule that Massachusetts had taken in the horse and wagon wentury, and in Massachusetts itself the rule was changed by

proved that defendant owned the horse and wagon, it would be presumed

To hold the master liable was to put loss on one who was in a favorable position to pass it on as part of the price of goods and services rendered. Thus poreed is among a broad enough circle that conone would feel it a hardship.

Courts and legislatures tended to effect the same result, if in less obvious fashion, by their development of the law regarding casualty insurance.

statute.

Insurance against loss or liability arising out of demothers for whom one was legally responsible, age done in by oneself or was legally responsible, was itself a product of the interplay of law and technology. It was first offered in the form of employers liability incurance in the 180s in close answer to demands demployers for protection against risks under recently enacted employers liability haws that cut down their common law defenses in industrial accident suits. Insurance companies first feared to the unknown risks of automobile operations. They ventured in, however, about the turn of the century, and with a sharp burst of development in the early 19208s automobile liability i surance grew by and come to be the largest liability insurance busines write

It then comprised over one-fifth of the total casualty insurance volume, ranking shead of workmen's compensation insurance. 👁 Data were lacking for precise statement of the percentage of the country's motor vehicles covered by insurance at different stages of this growth. careful estimate indicated that 27.3 per cent of all private passenger and commercial motor vehicles registered in the United States in 1929 were insured for public liability. Excluding Massachuset's, (which had a compulsory insurance law after 1927), five states had over 40 per cent of their private passenger cars in the insured category; six more states showed percentages between 25 and 40 per cent insured; in three-fourt of the states the percentage was under 25. Some increase in the percentage of insured vehicles went on apparently in many states in later years; representatives of thus, in Wisconsin the state insurance department estimated that the percentage rose from sbout 28.5 per cent of tamily in 1935 cars insured to about 33 or 34 per cent insured in 1940.

Automobile casualty insurance originated not as a social device for the more just and efficient spreading of loss, but simply as protection to the insured car owner. Early policies underlined this, the insurance company usually agreed, not to pay the victim, but only to indemnify the insured if the latter suffered actual loss by having to pay the victim. Not only did the injured person have no right to sue the insurance company directly, but any legal liability under the policy depended on the insured's fulfilling all conditions in the policy and himself first discharging a legally established liability for the injury. The insurance company would not have to pay, for example, if the insured was unable to pay first, but became insolvent or a bankrupt before he paid anything; and the company would have a defense if the insured had committed fraud in applying for the insurance or had broken the terms of the policy.

By 1930, two lines of change had become apparent in this

In a number of states statutes made the insurance company directly liable under the insurance contract to the injured person who had prosecuted a claim to judgment. Moreover, they set terms for this direct liability of insurer to judgment creditor, which could not be altered by any provision in the insurance contract; particularly they said that the imsurance company could not defend against the judgment creditor because the insured was bankrupt or for other reason had not discharged his liability and hence had not sustained a loss as the result of such liability Partly in response to this gathering pressure, partly in continuation of practices that the companies had learned were good business, the terms of the standard policy were changed throughout the country by the 1940 s, to because the benefit of the accident victing The standard policy became an agreement, not merely to indemnkfy the insured, but, (within the policy terms), to pay the insured's legally established liability; and it and allowed the victim to sue the insurer directly, once he had established the insured's liability by judgment or agreement of all parties including the insurer. I law beyond the liberality of this new business practice. In a few states statutes the insurer could not invoke against the victim defenses based on fraud gor breach of condition by the insured in violation of terms of the police A common law devel onment paralleled this. In the 1920's court decisions made it very diff cult for the insurance company to defeat liabklity under a policy on grounds of alleged illeral conduct, (traffic violations, for example), by the insured or his servant, in the absence of express provision in the insurance contract retarding that type of illeconduct 100 the 1930 s the companies had learned to insert But the courts now showed themselves diligent to keep the facts of a case outside the protective clause where they felt

that the latter was so broad as to make the policy's protection a shace

the insurer, so specific a clause asone that barred recovery the policy eunder where the car was driven "by any person whatsoever either under the influence of liquor or drunk." But, w fronted with sweeping provisions against the insurer's liability "white the car is being used, operated or engaged in violation of the law", the held that "lawfully operated" meant only, operated with proper termiss-, for example, the clause ion from the lawful owner; and did not bar recovery, where an operator having such permission violated traffic rules, alacked a driver's license where he was drunk The judge were mainly concerned in these insurance contract cases to protect the Nonetheless, insured a sinst overreaching by the insurer. the accident victim profited. And it seemed likely that the trend of the cases was pulwarke by increasing sympathy to the notion of spreading the burden of the auto accident loss. grew suggested related group of cases that this readiness to spread loss where compulsory insurance was involved, the more self-conscious. courts gave least favorable treatment to policy clauses against lia bility for "unlawful" conduct This was true not only under the genera statute compulsory insurance of Massachusetts, but in the states generally,

would

where insurance was required of common carriers.

Thus the decisions

or even

We have seen that in the justice and utility of the fault principle as the basis for handling auto accident losses Ad in two ways. (1) The put in question fault principle ignored the substantial rercenta e of inevitable accident cost attending mass use of the automobile, and ignored, too, the frequent disproportion between the degree of "fault" and the gravityof of this type the consequences, and the likelihood that fault would be involved on The practical reflection of these facts was an increasing both sides. distrust of fixex rules of conduct, and as a result, an increasing read; ness to send cases to juries, which proved most likely to hold for the (2) The fault principle more or less assumed that the loss of an accident must be borne as a practical matter by one or the other of the persons immediately involved, and that in general this was fair, since their conduct was of main concern only to themselves. fitting to the conditions of a simpler society, these assumptions did the wider markets not take due account the opportunities of the invention of for spreading loss, public liability insurance, or (c) of the implications of the facts that make in the same in the only the community-wide use of new machines made po sible their benefits to the individual, and that on the other hand the cumulative effect of many individuals handling of machines was far-ranging tenefit to the community.

There was another aspect, however, to the unsatisfactory application of the fault principle to the automobile accident proble
(3) It was tacitly assumed that the law was dealing with financially resposible people, and hence that if a man were found guilty of fault which
injured another, he could be forced to make money compensation therefor.

By the middle 1930's there was a passenger car in the about one to every five persons.

United States for at least two out of every three families. A 1935-1936 study showed that over 64 per cent of the families in the country then had incomes under \$1500; over 90 per cent of families had incomes under \$3000. As of the same time, over 80 per cent of single individuals had incomes under \$1500; over 96 per cent, under \$3000. The most reliable, comprehensive estimate available showed that in 1929 in three-fourths of the states less than 95 per cent of registered crivate passenger cars were insured for public liability; in six states the percentage was between 25 and 10 per cent; in only five states, (excluding Massachusetts, with its compulsory insurance late), was the percentage over 40.

These facts reflected, in mid-course, a problem that had been developing since Ford introduced Model T in 1909, and since the used-car market became a major element in the automobile industry a great many owners or operators were in the early 1920's. Obviously be automobile problem of the people who would find it tery difficult, if not impossible, to satisfy any substantial judgment for damages.

Judge-made law showed the first broad reactions to this. The courts began, in effect, to contain search for financially responsible defendants. This was undoubtedly a pressure behind the extension of the master's liability for the automobile torts of his servants. It explained nore satisfactorily than any of the rationalizations advan ed by the opinions, certain other extensions of vicarious liability. One who entrusted his car to another would be liable for his negligence in so doing if he knew or ought to have known that the driver was incompetenty. Theoretically this liebility rested on the owner's own negligence; in practice the opinions stressed the driver's negligence. The implication of vicarious liability was perhaps clearest in the case that held that a renter of automobiles must make reasonable efforts to determine the competence of the prospective driver. In another marked extension of vicarious liability, about half the state courts adopted the "family car doctrine". Under this head, the owner of the family in a broad range of situations automobile was held liable for torts committed in the use of the car by any member of the family.

Starting mainly in the 1920's, a few states enacted at at utes which made the owner liable in all cases where his car was driven with his consent. The courts, however, seemed to be somewhat taken aback by this bread the of change, and generally construed the statutes so as to narrow the liability thereunder. Thus the acts were held not to apply where owner and driver were in a laster-servant relationship. And "owner" was held not to include a conditional vendor or chattel mortgagee.

The development of the automobile public liability into surance policy also entered into this phase of the general problem.

As good business practice, and undoubtedly in large part to meet the owner's demand for protection against the widening reach of liability, ties broader These the insurance companie betan to write standard policies. Covered anyone or erating the car with the owner's permission, as well as and at least some than the insured members of his family while driving any other car than the family's.

Against the background of all these trends toward broader what was the situation regarding liability in auto accidents, compensation in fact received The only study that was both broad and careful was that , in co-oneration with the Yale Law School, conducted between 1929 and 1931Aby a committee reporting to the Columbia University Council for Research in the Social Sciences. The committee drew its conclusions largely from investigations which it caused to be These concerned made. what hapmened with respect to compensation to injured persons and their families in 8849 cases of personal injury or death from motor vehicle accidents in ten localities in six states. The cases came from Philadelphia, New York, Terre Haute and Muncie, Indiana, San Francisco, San Mateo County, California, New Haven, rural Connecticut, Boston and Worcester, Massachusetts. The case studies looked only to the facts of compensation, and made no effort to determine legal liability or to gather information as to the negligence of the persons involved.

The Columbia Report studies showed a sharp line between could look only to those cases where the victim injuries an uninsured person for recovery, and those where he could seek compensation from an insured where the accident caused temporary disability, person. A me money was received in only 27 per cent of the uninsured cases, as compared with 86 per cent of the insured. The adequacy of ran the payment also on these lines: enough was received to cover medical, wage, and property losses in 69 per cent of the insured cases, but in only 11 per cent of the uninsured. The study of closed permanen

cent of the insured and in only 21 per cent of the uninsured cases. In the datal cases, damages were paid in 88 percent of insured and in only 17 per cent of uninsured cases. In both classes of the more serious cases, payments received were inadequate by a wide margin in both insured and uninsured cases, but particularly in the latter. In permanent disability cases, only partial losses, (excluding, that is, permanent loss of earning power or health), were covered in 63 per cent of insured and in only five per cent of uninsured cases. Payments in insured fatal cases were found frequently not to cover the full economic loss, but were over \$500 in 73 per dent of cases, while in the uninsured cases the amount paid was over \$500 in only five per cent of cases.

Other factors had to be considered to appraise the inadequacy of compensation received. Even though payment, and often substansubstantia tial payment, was the rule in insured cases, there was likely to be $_{\Lambda}$ delay in its receipt. Compensation was received generally within two months in most minor injury cases, but in cases of serious injury or death, where there was likely to bemore urgent need of compensation, half of the payments were not received in six months, and most of these Claimants employed were not received within a year. Attorneys in about a third of the cases studied retained on a contingent basis, the attorne y took from 25 to 50 per cent of the gross recovery as his fee. M_{st} of the families of accident victims were of small or moderate means, so that the inadequacy, delay, and recovery costs of compensation received meant severe economic hardship in many cases.

The Columbia Report presented only a sampling of cases.

But the sample showed convincing uniformity in the general picture. And indicated contemporary legislative activity and the widespread dissatistication over auto accident compensation. The most drastic action was

taken by Massachusetts when, after agitation of the matter since a compulsory insurance act. The sa 1919, it put into effect January 1, 1927 e statute required each , as prerequisite to its registration, resident owner of a motor vehiclento give proof of finencial responsibility with respect to personal injuries. The proof was usually a certificate of insurance, me It must cover not orly the owner but any one who used it with the implied or express consent of the owner. The state set up no insurance fund of its own, but its insurance commissione which chose to sell regulated the rates of the insurance companies for the required insurance ance, and an administrative board could force an insurer to take a risk which it was found to have refused without adequate reason.

Agne count, seed 334 bills introduced over the years 1926-1939 in various states and in Congress to require automobile insurance of the ordinary car driver. But at mid-century point, only Massachusetts had taken the step. On the other hand, Massachusetts continued its laws, despite continuing attacks on it. The Massachusetts statute was not without considerable effect throughout the country, however, It aroused the $oldsymbol{x}$ of organized automobilists, who expressed fear of it also stimulated the activity the cost of compulsity insurance; and of the insurance companies, who whatever their other objections, apparently most concerned to compulsory insurance lead either to more intensive rate regulation or to state insurance. Primarily under these auspices, there developed in the states a trend to enact "financial responsibility" laws. ginging with a Connecticut act- f 1925, the movement grew fast. By 1932 18 states had some version of the new type of law; by 1936 the total included 27 states, the District of Columbia, and Hawaii; by 1948 only seven states had no motor vehicle firmicial responsibility law of any sort.

These laws varied greatly in detail, but fell into two main cate ories. The earlier type, which began with the 1905 Connecticat act, did not affect the motorist until (1) a judgment was obtain a

against him arising out of an auto accident, and he failed to pay the judgment, or (?) he was convicted of a criminal offense arising out of the operation of an automobile. Thereupon, under some of the statutes (6) he must provide proof of financial responsibility for the future; nder others, his driving privileges and motor vehicle registration were suspended until satisfaction of the judgment; and a few of the acts combined these two features. These early statutes proved of little effect. If the offender were obviously of small means or insolvent, the provinction would not go to the trouble and cost of suing him, merely to deprive him of his right to drive. Thus the offender's lack of financial responsibility in effect protected him from operation of a statute designed to bar him from the road because of his financial irresponsibility.

A second ap roach 🍩 began with a New Hampshire statute of 1937, This type of law was reshaped and became the model sponsore by the American Automobile Association, the Association of Casualty and Surety Executives, and the National Conference on Street and Highway Safety; the last a voluntary organization of gro ps int rested in highway safety, and was formed under the direction of President Hoover. type of financial responsibility law (1) required proof of financial responsibility for the future immediately upon the occurrence of an accident of record, instead of after failure to pay a judgment; and (2) required each owner or operator involved to deposit security to cover damages arising out of the accident, on pain of losing his motor vehicle New Hangshore regulation/did resistration and his driving privilege. require the victim to bring a fruitless civil action against a financial ly irresponsible offender in order to rule the latter off the road; and added pressure for payment for the injury already inflicted. Its practical effect, however, denended on more complete and accurate accident reporting than had with United States

traffic law enforcement...

Viewed as measures to increase the livelihood of compensation to accident victims, the early financial responsibility laws were quite plainly a failure; the vigor of the claims made in behalf of the later, New-Hampshire-type statute the appearance of the conceded the point. More than ten years after the appearance of the new type of law, no which planned, objective study existed to measure its effects, extra planned, objective study existed to measure its effects, extra planned, objective of insured motor vehicles operated in some states after their adoption of the new type of law. Apparently no study had been made of the effect on payment of compensation for past accidents. One point seemed clear: The new type of law emphasized that there was an extra law inescapable relation between prevention and compensation. The The effectiveness of the new financial responsibility laws depended on the adequacy of accident reports, and this in turn was a phase of the

administrative-preventive ap rosch to the automobile traffic problem.

which became operative in 1927 was neither a safety nor a compensation measure, but simply the most drastic form of financial responsibility resident-owners of motor cars law. The act achieved its purpose of making Massachusetts financially responsible. The act excepted some defendants in accident cases, notably persons with cars not registered in the state; and there were some people who operated cars in the state without insurance, in violation of the law. In 1932 the Columbia Report found that these exceptions were not substantial: The ratio of non-resident cars to all cars in accidents considerably below 10 per cent, while the ratio of uninsured resident cars considerably below one per cent.

Cance the Columbia study found that, throughout the country, the frequency and liberality of compensation depended on the proportion of insured defendants, it was natural to find that in Massachusetts payments

were more frequent and more liberal than in any other locality studied.

111:765

Claims against

For Report cases involving both insured and uninsured motorists, the these

Columbia Report presented state striking comparisons.

Percentage of C]	Losed Cases R	eceiving Co	mpensat	ion
	Temporary	Permanent	Fatal	% of Loss Covere
Positive				in Paid Temporar Disability Cases
•		_		Pisability Cases
Boston	81%	94%	92%	89%
Worcester	87	100	80	89
Philadelphia	6 4	71	56	75
New York	66	52	41	66
New Haven	72	71	55	65
Rurel Connecticut	68.	77	57	64
Terre Haute	48	5 3 .	43	61
Muncie	43	44	22	55
San Francis co	<u>6</u> 2	68	60	70
San Mateo Cty., Cal.	<u> </u>	71	44	72

Sufficient data were not available to permit and generalization about the adequacy of recovery in permanent and fatal injury cases, but indications were that, as elsewhere in the country, these losses were not so well covered as in the less serious cases. As to delay in payment, little difference was found between insurance company practices in massachusetts and elsewhere.

Argument over the Massachusetts act developed not with respect to ita success in enforcing insurance coverage within its ac so, but on subsidiary points. Some contentions to the contrary not withstanding, there was no evidence that compulsory insurance incressed the number of accidents in Massachusetts. After 1927 Massachusetts had an increase in fatalities from automobilé accidents, but this was true in those years generally throughout the country; the Massachusetts record was better than some, worse than oth rs, and better than that of the country as a whole Most argument centered on various aspects f the cost experience under the massachusetts act. One point seemed clear: Political considerations ettinger premiums, in the direction of low ring though the act declared that adequate rates should be set, the rates were not in fact adequate, and the set. In the new research over the 12 year period including 1939 one disinterested observor found that symilable losses for all carriers exceeded the provision made for them in the rates by eight per cent. The stock compenies did not earn erough on this automobile business to may dividends mutuals, on the other hand, largely through economies in expenses, paid dividends under the rates set by law. Premium rates climbed in Massachusetts after 1927, but so did they an many other parts of the country, and in some parts much more steeply. The average loss cost € the Massachusetts statutory coverage increased in about the same ratio as that of full coverage in other states; insofar as it increased somewhat more, the difference was not large enough to show clearly that the 1927 statute was responsible. Massachusetts showed a very great increase in claim frequency, and and (over the years 1927-1933, an increase of 38.9 per cent as compared with a 12.5 per cent increase in other states); but the average cost per claim decreased, so that the effect on average cost per car was not as great as might have been expected, (average cost per claim decreased 13.9 per cent in Massathusetts, 1927-1933, while it increased 8.7 per cent in other states). There was no reliable evidence as to the extent to which greater claim frequency in Massachusetts was due to fraud. Un doubtedly the general knowledge of the availability of insurance would in itself account for the filing of many claims

ment by mint

not be made.

Financial responsibility laws, from the least to the most effective, all worked within the framework of the principle that liability for the costs of an automobile accident must rest on a showing of fault. In themselves they did not reduce the gamble of a lawsuit over the fault issue, as this was pictured by Judge mark. They did not meet the issues of justice or efficiency that the two have noted,——the inevitability of some percentage of accidents, the disproportions to consequences of small failures of conduct, the social benefit from general use of the automobile.

One type of compulsary automobile liabidity insurance became common throughout the country, and without dispute. New York and Wisconsin pioneered before 1920 in compulsory liability insurance for motor carriers. By 1920 seven states, by 192840 states, and by 1936 46 states required motor vehicles which were common carriers of passengers to be covered by public liability insurance of some extent, and most of the states adonted a like requirement of property insurance. In 1935 Congress provided that the Interstate Commerce Commission should require liability insurance of interstate motor carriers municipal ordinances commonly required insurance of local c rriers, especially taxis and buses.

The financial responsibility laws, moreover, did not see to reduce the serious pressures that automobile accident matters put on the institutions of the law. Auto accident litiration brought a seriou problem of the fraudulent claim, or the claim fraudulently inflated or supported by perjury. (At brought Especially in the big cities) related problem of the ambulance chaser, who might be a lawyer or associated ciated with a lawyer. Investigations in the early 1930's in Buston, New York, and Atlanta was the key for example, produced every state of the state of dence at that the pursuit of felse claims and been organized into a bus ness Fraud was the more dramatic problem, but problems the evidence suggested that the community suffered for greater losses through the congestion of court calendars to which auto accident cases greatly contributed after the 1920's. In 1925 Judge Marx noted that it took one to five years to win through to judgment in auto accident suits. 1932 the Columbia Report noted that in large cities motor vehicle accommon dent trials formed a considerable proportion of all civil trials, range ing from a fifth to one-halfor more, and that such lawsits lasted from one to three years or more. Welay was not serious in smaller communities. Estimates for the Columbia Report out the direct daily cost of a court trial of an auto accident case at from \$108 and \$120 in two medium-sized=city counties of Indiana, betout \$200 in New York ount In comparison with these xxx costs, many. and \$232 in Philadelphia verdicts were for no more than \$500 or at mst \$1000. (the Judicial Council of Wassachusetts estimated the f jury trial in that state in 1931 at tetween #400-2500 per day. A wax large increase in civil cases filed marked the years immediatel following the effective date of the Massachusetts compulsory insurance act, but civil suits had been increasing steadily before that date, and there is no reliable measure of the extent to which the new Increased litigation would seem a nat system actually contributed to the trend. It would be natural al result of assuring almost every accident victim a financially resno

sible defendant. Unless checked, more court congestion would follow.

There were serious problems surrounding the development of automobile accident litigation. from the 1930's what went on in litigation represented the f indemental trend. We noted in the last section of Chapter Four that only a minor percentage of Lawsuits filed ever reached disposition aon Recall one significant measure of this weend, as it was noted by Clark and Shulman in their study of law administration in Connecticut. Of 4,098 automobile negligence cases terminated in the Superior C art of New Haven in the years 1919-1932. 3,436 or 83.8 per cent did not go to judgment; they were ended before or during trial by discontinuance, withdrawal, stipulation, or default. Of the automobile ne digence cases which went to judgment there, 75 per cent resulted in judgment for plaintiff. The inference from and like samplings was that the main function of mechinery of the the lewsuit was to exert pressure for out-of-court settlements.

The Columbia Report in 1932 found that, where there was insurance, some payment was made in 86 per cent of temporary disability cases, in 96 per cent of permanent disability cases, and in 80 per cent of fatal cases. The percentages were not substantially different in Massachusetts, under the compulsory insurance law. Of course, as we have seen, the data also showed the serious limitation, that compensation sends was both less adequate and more tardy in prosportion to the seriousness of the injury.

disposition of auto accident suits, and the high percentage of cases evidenced involving insurance where some payment was made, and a practice quite different from the theory of liability-based-on-fault. It is hard to prove objectively that "fault" exists;

fault was undetermined for the contributory negligence the years 1923-1925 of Strict ap lication of the contributory negligence

defense would alone reduce the percentage of recoveries substantially below the percentage of cases involving insurance where some payment was made. A Connecticut estmate of 1923 attributed 43 per cent of fatal accidents and 50 per cent of serious personal injury accidents to the fault of other persons than the automobile driver. In 1924 the Committee oh Insurance of the Natio al Conference on Street and Highway Safety found that a careless driver was responsible for 32.7 per cent of auto accidents, a careless medestrian for 29.3 per cent, and that in 18.7 per cent both parties were responsible. "The conclusion from all these facts", and leading torts authority commented in 1948, was "that, so far as the making of some payment goes, there is a closer approach to absolute liability in practice than in theory. In other words, wherever there is insurance there is to this extent a closer approximation to the objectives of social insurance in fact than the doctrines of tort law would lead one to sup ose."

that we noted as accompanying the expanded use of the automobile: the retreat from fixed rules of conduct and hence the tendency formore case to go to juries, whose verdict were preponderently for maintiffs the extension of vicarious liability, in the master-servent cases, the family-car doctrine and other extensions of owner-liability; and to the level conservations are sources for broader insurance coverage. Insofar as the tendency to expanded leval liability promoted the ri of the casualty insurance business, the law contributed indirectly to growth of powerful ousiness pressures toward payments of the accompanion of the casualty or partly without determination of fault. Any case are ninst an insured person to meent for the insurer some conformeding it, regardless of its disposition. Often, therefore, it might be economical to settle for some payment that would save on this handling cost. The gamble involved in going to trial gave a substantial

settlement value to many doubtful cases.

People took our insurance to relieve themselves of the hzard and worry of contesting claims and defending lawsuits; it was good sales policy for an insurer to build a reputation for speedy settlement with a minimum of distraction and liti ation. This way of doing business meant, too, that the insurer need tie up less funds in reserves to pay possible judements.

By round-about ways, practice thus arrived at the payment of a material part of automobile accident losses with little or no regard to the prior determination of "fault" on which theory insisted. It was natural, then, to ask: Why not reject the fault principle altogether as the theoretical baseis for recovery?

Even before 1920 the workmen's compensation system sugar ed to able lawyers the application of the same approach to distribution of automobile accident losses. In 1932, after the most exhaustive examination of the problem so far made, a distinguished Committee to Study Compensation for Automobile Accidents reported to the Columbia University Council for Research in the Social Sciences that some kind of tion plan should be adopted for automobile accident cases.

The Columbia Report outlined a plan which would put on motor vehicle owners a limited Aliability, without regard to fault, for personal injury or death caused by the operation of their motor vehi-The owner would be primarily liable, if the orrat the time of the accident was driven by him or by another with his consent. liability would be secured by requiring that every resistered motor vehicle be covered by compensation insurance. Benefits would be based on analogies under workmen's compensation. The offan would be administered by a special board set in for the urpose, helped by such referees and clerks as aight he needed, and operating under procedure like that in workmen's compensation cases.

In some ways the workmen's compensation analogy was perhaps at erficial. When an accident happen d im an employment situation, in the nature of the case there was at hand a ready means to spread the loss, through business channels, to buyers of the goods or services. Obviously this was not matched by anything in the situation of many automobile accidents. But this did not raise an impossible objection. If it were thought unfair that automobile owners as a class should in the first instance bear the whole cost, part of the costs of a compensation system could be met out of general tax money. Such a contribution would reflect the community ewide benefits of the mass use of the motor car.

Even so, a compensation rlan would have some limits, resigned to the together the costs and benefits of automobile use. But how could limits be set without inviting as much litigation over their application as was produced by lawsuits over "fault"? If compensation were to be allowed whever operation of a motor car "caused" injury or death, would not we have all of our lawsuits and disputes still with us under the guise of arguments over causation? The point undeniably posed a very practical issue for the draftsman. But its cover worst difficulties would come only from trying to every case. If, for example, the compensation phan were limited to injuries caused by collision, it would include the bulk of ordinary cases. The rest must continue under the old law, but with experience the scope of the compensation plan might be extended.

Fraud was not an unknown problem of course under workemen's compensation. The danger there was the less, however, because the cases arose within a defined pattern of employer-employee relations there were generally other witnesses to the accident than those innediately involved; the job relation created important pressures toward good faith, on the part of the employee who wanted a job to come back

to, and on the part of the employer who wanted good working relations; medical care was libely to be by the employer's doctor. But often only the chance-met parties to an auto accident were witnesses to it, and between them was no prior and continuing relation to induce good faith or co-operation. On the other hand, the gamble in auto accident litigation was in itself a strong inducement to sharp practice on kind all sides, --- by the victim who hoped for high takings, by an insurer mainly concerned to hold down payments. Modest but assured recovery under a compensation schedule should reduce the prices that tempted to over-reaching and rerjury. In return for assured compensation, moreover, the victim might fairly be required to submit to impartial medical examination at intervals. In any case, one could not realistically weigh the situation as if it involved exchanging a satisfactory system for an untried one that carried heavy risk of fraud. The existing sys= tem caused great hardship to many innocent people and was marked by much sharp dealing on all sides.

The proposed change was novel enough so that it was casy to raise against it an abstract catalog of administrative difficulties. It was si nificant of the trend in thinking about law administration that mostly discussion went to possible administrative protlems and not to the particular and of the justice and wisdom of abandoning the theoretical test of "fault" as the basis of liability. Applied science and technology had taught people to think matter-of-factly about problems they once discussed only in moral terms; particularly they had taught people to analyze costs and to trace the where they fell and to what activities they could in fact be attributed; and they had taught familiarity with the insurance principle, of spreading loss. They had taught, also, faith in experient and in what could be done to direct human affairs. It seemed only a question of time before the abstract administrative objections to an automobile accident

plan would be tested in the only practical way, by putting some sort of plan into action and observing it.

c. Preventing the Damage

From 1870-1910, when the law reflect probpeculiar to lems reaction to a new question was to add a section to the penal code.

The defined certain conduct as criminal, provided a penalty, and hoped that this would deter the possible wrongdder or ever direct his conduct into other channels. This was preventive law.

By \$910 thinking was turning toward the more flexible resources of the administrative process in other fields, --- the regulation of foods, and drugs, of public utility operations, of industrial accidents. But from the Ford Model Toward of 1909, it took at less until 1924 to introduce into automobile regulation the search for a more effective approach than through the criminal law; is marked by 1924 to the National Conference on Street and Highway Safety.

The horee and wagon era developed some elementary rules of the road which the automobile are inherited. Such, for example, was the rule, that vehicles should be iriven on the right side of the highway and should keep to the right except when passing other vehicles by round in the sale direction; such, also, was the rule that of two the convehicles an roaching an intersection at the sale time, the convehicles are roaching an intersection at the sale time, the convehicles are roaching an intersection at the sale time, the convehicles are roaching at the one on the right. A measure of the tard attention to automobile traffic codes was the fact that as late as 1940 such uniformity as there was a over the United States with respect to rules of the road was primarily in these elementary requirements laid flow before the automobile that was known.

The Wational Conference on Street and Highway Safety,
--including representatives of government, incurance emponies, and
automobile accountations—, developed t Uniform Vehicle Code for state
adoption and Model Traffic Ordinances for cities. By 1940, 22 states
adopted the rules of the road and engineent requirements of the unit
form set, and durit a law cities adopted the model ordinances.

The Illinois statute books offer a measure of the movement in this field, in a state which faced the problems both of largedevelopment of the The traffic code paralleled scale urban and rural automobile traffic. the general development of management automobile le islation in Illinois, as 🚒 that was outlined in the first section of this chapter. Thus the Illinois Revised Statutes of 1901 found no need to specify particular rules for automobile drivers; they contained some general, which had been drawn to bovern elementary rules of the road my horse-drawn traffic. In 1903, howev r the legislature passed a law which set a general 15-mile-an-hour speed limit for automobiles and required the automobile driver to come to a full stop whenever it appeared that his vehicle was frightening a horse ridden or driven on the highway. In 1905 the Illinois court held that because the legislature could, consistent with due process of law, single out the automobile for particular traffic regulation, in view of the special dangers of its speed. By 1921 the Illinois R vised automobile Statutes contained about 25 sections which might be termed straffic These included a section which made applicable to automobiles the chapter of the statutes stating the rules of the road, as these had been framed in the horse-and-wagon years. The 1901 traffi provisions exacttered through a chapter which brought to ether a absence of provisions of miscellany of automobile regulations. The 🕶 such madders in ^parking a t through-'C was perhans not surprising in view of the current stage of automobile use. It did suggest, however, the the future, and

the willingness to leave important "atters to the unguided discretion of local authorities. The Illinois Revised Stateses of 1941, in contrast, show an order and breadth in the automobile traffic reg lations which reflected the state's adoption in 1935 of the uniform The number of specific traffic provisions was about treble that in the 1921 acts. In general, the expansion represented not so much the addition of new heads as the more detailed provision for topics that had had limited treatment before. For example, the earlier legislation had made some general requirements as to the of adequate lights, brakes, tires, and horn; the legislation of 20 years later added details on these familiar items, (lights on projecting loads, for example, or use of lighted signal devices for stop ing and furning), and made new w mirrors, windshield wipers and an unobstructed specifications, windshield, safety glass, flares for trucks, required couplings for trailers).

In 1938 a United States Constitution of the state motor vehicle laws showed "chaotic

nonuniformity."

there was a rogress in defining the

an adequate traffic code. By about mid-century the enterprise problem were those of the c+ tote books. In part the lack of uniformity was as between the states. Thus in 1938 in 17 states when a driver put out his left hand horizontally from the side of the car, this was stated to be a signal in that he intended to stor or suddenly to decrease speed; but in 27 states declared it to be a signal for a left turn, and 14 said that it was signalled a right turn; in 12 of the states this signal might be read to show that the driver intended to do any of these things. In part the lack of uniformity has as between state law and the ordinarchs of cities and towns within the state.

/ Leguer

VIII:775-a

INSPRT FULLOWING NEW PARAGRAPH AS INDICATED ON FAGE 776:

Movement toward a more comprehensive traffic code was A notable example of a serious problem which had very the relative rights and duties of tardy treatment was that of pedestrian The pedestrian had come before the motor car, and with scent evidence of deliberation the law gave him a high priority in privkleges. Few laws limited pedestrian rights, compared with the incressing limits put on motorists; jsyawalking, for example, was not uniformly declared a traffic offense. So important a letter as the relative righteof-way of pelestrian and motor car at intersections was to fill these gaps uncertain in many jurisdictions. Urging the need the traffic code, DeSilva commented case of injury to a pedestrian, the law tends to protect him and to punish the motorist, re ardless of how dangerous the nedestrian's action may have been."

of the comment

sutomobile had great its mass are created The mobility and range, wherever it went. troffic problems w Ťhe ኬ lack of uniformity in 🌑 traffic law 🛶 🥏 was, therefore, an especially marked in the case where the law lagged behind the logic of technics. The lag was conside In 1923 the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform erable. State Laws voted to prepare a uniform traffic act. In 1924, on call of Secretary of Commerce Hoover, mepresentatives of government, sutomobile associations, insurance companies, and interested industries, met as the National Conference on Street and Highway Safety. in 1926 the two bodies promulgated a Uniform Vehicle Code, which included (1) a Motor Vericle Registration Act, (2) a Motor Vehicle Anti-Theft Act, (3) a Motor Vehicle Operators and Chauffewrs License Act, and (4) an Act Regulating Traffic on Highways. By 1943 all states had adopted the registration act, in whole or with modifications; nine had similarly adopted the enti-theft act, 18 the license act, and 26 the traffic regulation act. In 1943 the acts were withdrawn from the propre of the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws, on

defect as the lack of uniformity. State traffic codes were rarely available to the public in a form that the public could understand or was likely to read. Municipal traffic laws were rarely available to the public in any form at all. Traffic regulations were often not well contribed to achieve their purpose; Warren noted that some of the earliest

the ground that, as models, they had been out-dated by changed wwents

and codes suggested by other a encios. The National Conference on Stree

and Highway Safety also promulgated rodel radinarces as a guide to citie

tribute was haid, the progress toward uniformity so of traffic laws was

a number of

ur to mid-century

and towns, and 🐗

not great.

traffic

localities adonted these models.

After due

stop-street laws gave no legal opportunity for cross traffic to get through, and pointed to "rackless driving" statutes so worded that courts interpreted them to require proof of that defendant intended to After broad a survey of the motor vehicle in 1942 codes warren warren as survey of the motor vehicle situation in very critical terms: "The inspection of traffic ordinances in twelve cities and of motor vehicle laws in the forty-eight states and the District of Columbia resulted in the unav idable conclusion that a drastic modernization of our traffic laws is necessary. The only general exceptions to this conclusion are those jurisdictions which have adopted the Uniform Vehicle Code or the Model Traffic Ordinances."

The license is above all else the mark of the modern license laws have opened Administrative process; the broadest approach to preventive regulation. Alicense requirements the vehicle. These came early, but their purposes were ill-defined. they The courts were not certain whether were primarily safety regulations, means from the general revenue, or (The Sunrewe Court of the United States, a conditeration for use of the highways. hese explans when, (Hendrick v. Meryland, 1915), which de found no violation of due trocess of requirements for use of a motor car. Cbjections to the revenue aspect of the registration statutes dominated the state courts Talk of "safety" was n ver specific. Registration of the vehicle was important of course to aid identification of the person This seems the only tengible "sefety" responsible for its operation. contribution of the the licensing regulations; early traffic code requirements that license plates be properly attached and kept clean, and penalties imposed for fictitious plots pointed to

this as the sole "safety" function of relietration. At le

Ut eVFI,

t,

because early cars were hand to me ate, VIII:778

Recause early cars were hand to me ate, VIII:778

the motor vehicle relistration laws firstly established the principle that operation of a motor car was a privilege and not a right, to which overmoment might attach prerequisite conditions in the inter st of public safety.

The licensing of drivers, efter proper examination, was obviousl, a basic step in preventive regulation. The extreme slowness with which the states (1) adopted any driver's license requirements at all, end (2) implemented these with adequate examinations is striking evidence of the scent attention given to preventive and treatment of the auto accident problem. In part, however, this me failure reflected the langard understanding of what was implied when the satomobil ceased to be a luxury and became an instrument of mass transportation. A number of states relatively early manual required licenses for persons who drove for hire, ("chauffeurs"); Illin is, for evamule, did this by its act of May 28, 1907. Such a regulation was a natural accompanitent of a time when cars were few, and their drivers were either wealthy sportsmen or the chauffeurs of wealthy owners. The man who drove his own cor would by definition be a finencially responsible pr= son, one who because of his special interest would likel, be conjes tent, The chauffeur's license system would, then, take care of slanstall other cases. The drastic reductions in the price of new cars fter model T in 1909, the expansion of the cheap used-car market after 1920 swiftly put motor cars in the hands of persons who were mainly n t fin reially responsible, who were not specially qualified by int naive pursuit of driving as a sport or hobby, Prester ease and relishing ity of o eration which accompanied the t chaical improvement of the automobile. Whe inventionof the multiple dist clutch about 1007, and the a lf-starter in 1912, for example, helped to put the automobile in the hands of women and young people, as well as of men, and in the

hands of the week as well as of the able-bodied. Licensing lows la per

VIII:779

by at least 20 years in any substantial response to the logic of these changed facts of technology and social behavior.

Early general license requirements for automobile drivers usually were not supported by an examination system. Hence they amounted to requirement. little more than a further form of identification New Jersey, for examination and for example, wrote an impressively specific requirement license of drivers into its statutes as early as 1906, but drivers were first there examined in 1913. Before 1914 only three other states had begun examining drivers. Mine more began in the years 1917-1929 which saw the mass adoption of the automobile. Between 1930 and 1939 24 more states first examined drivers; these included populous states as of Ohio, (1936), Indiana, (1938), and Illinois, (1939). As of 1939 this left ll states which did not examine drivers, and three of these did nott even require drivers' licenses. "Examinations" varied much in effectiveness, moreover. In 1942 DeSilva estimated that not more than 15 or 20 states gave a fairly strict, comprehensive license examination. Such an examination included tests on (1) ability to read and understand road signs, (2) visual efficiency, (3) knowledge of the state's motor vehicle laws and the safe-driving rules which they represented, and (4) ability to handle a car ckillfully.

At best, the extension of the registration and licensing requirements was subject to severe limitations. As states tardily adopted drivers' license requirements, for example, they always exempted persons then operating vehicles. As a result, in 1942 it was estimated that about 60 per cent of the persons driving had never had their driving ability investigated. As of that time only three states required all drivers to undergo periodic re-examination of their eyes, and but one of these authorized the examiners to administer the other branches of the driver's tasts at this re-examination. Two states required complete re-examination of all elderly applicants for renewal of

Conceivably, it might be used as a means to enforce safety standar's regardering the condition of vehicles.

their licenses. A few states re-examined drivers who were involved in repeated accidents, some re-examiner drivers who were involved in a serious accident or violation.

Motor vehicle registration continued to be handled throughout the country as rrimarily a means for identification and for collectation of revenue. Studies showed that about five per cent of all accidents were caused by defects in the vehicle, and faulty equipment unadoubtedly contributed to many more accidents. But as of 1940 only 18 states and 15 cities had some form of compulsory vehicle inspection.

Legislatures made financial responsibility laws part of the pattern of motor vehicle registration and drivers' licenses.

Is this movement gained momentum in the early 1930's, large claims were made that that this would promote safety. The claim was unconvincing that the this would promote safety. The claim was unconvincing that the this would promote safety. The claim was unconvincing to the verying terms of the laws, paid his judgment, took out insurance, or posted decurity against a claimed liability, could thereupon take to the road again, however dangerous a driver he might be. Failure to comply with the financial responsibility law might of course remove a bad driver from the road by suspension or revocation of his license. But this was only incident to the main purpose of the laws, and in most states the statutes separately provided for suspension or revocation of licenses for serious traffic violations.

So much for the substance of preventive regulation. What techniques developed to enforce such preventive standards as evolved? The enforcement story followed an order familiar in other fields of the law; from penalty, to correction, to prevention. Moreover enforcement tended towards more emphasis on executive and administrative and less on traditional resort to the courts. In both respects, traffic law enforcement moved slowly into the broad cufrent of

administrative law.

Despite new trends, as late as 1940 the combination of policeman and traffic court still danker taken did the bulk of preventive traffic regulation the country over. Police administrabion in this field changed greatly in the first generation of mass use of the automobile. In the rural areas, the striking change was toward centralization; the village constable operating a "speed trap" in the early 1920's was by the 1930's generally supplanted by more responsible county or state patrols. There were not enoughof the new officers, In 1942 DeSilva estimated that there were about 7,000 state traffic police to patrol 845,326 mkles of main federal, state, and county highways, --- about one for every 120 miles of main highway, or about one for every 4300 vehicles. In the cities the central change was in the development of specialized traffic divisions within the city police department. The new divisions took on an increasing number of specialized jobs connected with traffic law enforcement, public education in traffic safety, and engineering. Even in smaller cities, the traffic division handled a considerable range of affairs. In the reorganized San Antonio, Texas department, in July, 1939, for example, the traffic divisions was assigned the and investigation of regulation of traffic, elmination of congestion, prevention of accimigation, enforcement, education, and engineering dents. activites, and taxi inspections.

By far the weaker member of the traditional partnership was the retell traffic court. There is no need to sketched in the fifth section of Chapter Four. Police administration put increasing emphasis on education of the public and constructive correction of the offending driver; the typical traffic court imposed fines in a mechanical fashion with little or no regard to the effect that its proceedings might have in teaching safety or getting at the root of driving troubles. Police administration stressed coeoperation with

the public; the traffic court, ---often held in crowded, dingy, and dirty quarters, with little order or decorum, and with little efficiency in the clerk's office---, made bad public relations for the cause of traffic safety. Police administration developed a quite consistent set of safety standards, reflected in the model traffic codes; traffic courts showed the widest range of inconsistency in the assessment of penalties, both for the same offense as it came before different judges, and in the relative weighing of offenses. If police enforce often it was nullified by too served ready suspensions of sentence by the courts. Ineffectivenessof the courts was doubly damaging:

The traffic offender was likely to feel no effective restraint as a result of his experience in court, and police morale suffered when the end result seemed to be futility.

For the most part police and traffic court worked with three sanctions,—the warning, the fine, the jail sentence. Experience led to serious questioning of the adequacy of with respect particularly to the well-off violator and the chronic offender. These cularly to the well-off violator and the chronic offender. These sanctions were especially weak because of the lack of record systems which would permit ready check of a violator's history of previous offenses; governments nar, s usually kept thus such records, and each violation was typically treated in isolation.

ered a powerful new means of traffic law enforcement. The average driver had made use of the automobile a most convenient, sessential item of his way of life, if not of his livelihood. Suspension or revocation of his license to drive might, therefore, be a most effective penalty, would provide strong incentive to reform of driving practice. The seriousness of the penalty would require that it be used with deliberation and consistency, however.

INSERT "A" ON PAGE 782:

any event. There was, in little chance to judge the possible effectiveness of the jail sentence as a traffic sanction, because courts would so rarely impose it. The law here confronted a difficult public relations problem, when it provided so strong a "criminal" sanction against violators who did not fit the conventional notions of criminals. Partly the issue was one of education; public opinion must learn to weigh more accurately the seriousness of safety violations. Partly the issue was inherent in the situation; many traffic violators offended heedlessly or unintentionally. ** the law had grown accustomed for unintentional violations of many modern soci to imposition of minor penalties regulations, both layman and laws a heavy jatl term man balked at par where no wrongful intention In Connecticut, in 1934, for example, out of 621 convictions for reckless driving, only 27, (about .04 per cent), received jail sentences; probably some of these went to jail only because they could not pay a fine.

ANSERT "B" ON PAGE 782:

Moreover, since it was not in the tradition of "criminal" penalties,

license discipline did not have to overcome the

resistance in lay and professional opinion which so largely nullified
the jail term or the heavy fine as a penalty for serious traffic
offenses.

Thus there would be added reason for a state-wide record system which would allow assessing a given violation in relation to the violator's history. But as of 1942 only Connecticut, New Jersey, New York, and Rhode Island had kept such files long enough to be able to detect the majority of accident- and violations-repeaters. Back of the records, moreover, must be improved reporting of accidents and violations; this called for more policieing. Particularly would it be necessary to have more frequent checks on drivers' licenses, to search out unlicensed operators. All these steps would be essential preliminaries to tighter administration of the driving privilege. The basis for this, we have seen, was laid in early 20th century decisions. Lax regulation might have fostered a popular attitude that a man had a "right" to drive, but the law had firmly declared that the question was one of a privilege on

Who should judge the suspension or revocation of drivers licenses? Many states made suspension or revocation mandatory, when a court had convicted a driver of a serious offense. In 1940, for example, 30 states made this result mandatory upon conviction of manslaug ter resulting from operation of a motor car, 40 upon conviction of drumen driving, 31 upon conviction of hit-and-run driving. As of that date, judges had the however, warren found that where enforcement of these mandatory provisions, the judges who strictly enforced surrender of the licese were in a small minority. In about a third of the states statutes gave broad discretionary authority to judges to suspend or revoke licenses for unlawful use of a motor car. But the penalty could mean no more than the effectiveness of the agency that used it. The general defects of the traffic court made the power amount to little.

Most states gave discretionary powers of suspension or revocation of drivers' licenes to the state administrative agency which issued them. Some withheld the power, probably because they lacked confidence in administrators who typically did not hold their positions

record sffects Iministi courts the better contrast in co...
infetrators vorthet fompar probl th Ve vocation as at authorit attention traffic specialized a broad se suspe plus fabrosticense typical Lvenes

long enough to build a firm professional tradition. Granted, the called for good men with assured tenure, there was logic in The state was a more natural state control over license discipline. utilt of regulation than the local government for so free@ranging an activity as automobile driving. The state could bester meet the overhead cost of adequate highway police and records. State administrators could enjoy greater detachment from local pressures to "fix" cases. They could act promptly, and under uniform policies.

The fact of state control over licenses did not in itsalf guaranty results, however. Many administrators who had the powers, did Still, in the 1940's, the not use them. continued inert tradition, that the purposes of automobile licensing plans were simply to revenue or to the identification. As always, what counted was not only machinery but the will to use it. Whether the fault be in judge or administrator, without that will the potentially great instrument of licensa discipline remained largely ineffective. Ifter a generation of mass use of the automobile, a maknot unusual case of an accident repeater

showed this sorry record:

1927 May Charged with reckless driving. Licensex suspended. June" 1928 License reissued. 1928 September. Operating under influence of liquor. License suspended. 1929 September License reissued. 1929 Növember Court conviction, speeding. Ten dollars. 1930 March Personal injury accident, at fault. License suspended. License reissued on favorable report on characte Court conviction, speeding. Ten dollars. 1930 Court conviction, speeding. Ten collers. April 1930 June 1931 September License reissued, after hearing. 1932 March Sourt conviction, speeding. 1932 April Twenty dollars. 1933 February Personal injury accident, at fault. License suspended. 1933 June License reissued. 1934 July Serious accident, two persons injured, not at fault. 1935. January Accident, no one injured, property damage \$100, at fault. 1937 April Personal injury accident, at fault. License suspended 1937 October License reissued.

Berkeley,

Austin, Cleveland, Dallas, Des Moines, Degroit, Evanston, Lincoln, Louisville, Los Angeles, Phoenix, Portland, Oregon, Sacramento, San Francisco, and Whehita

Because it touched a privilege that people valued, license discipline promised to be effective as a deterrent and perhaps as an inducement to correction of bad driving. But the it was still a penalty, primarily negative rather than affirmative in effect. In the 1930's especially a variety of experiments showed that the lawwas moving toward more positive kinds of preventive regulation. of these experiments were tied to the traditional proceedingsof the Detrit Lond will Twenton, Du house Sen Diego. Berkeley courts. and Long Beach led in establishing "violators schools": In place of fine or jail terms, judges required offenders to attend and evening school in which lectures and moving pictures discussed safety rules and sound driving practices, and the hard to have a second and demo strations were staged; the violator must take an examination at the end of the course, and his case was closed only when the court was notified that he had attended regularly and had passed the examination. violators school was not an effective answer to cases of chronic repeaters who required medical or other special corrective attention. But experience suggested that they were a much more constructive device than the fine to deal with violators who lacked mechanical driving skill, who were ignorant of trafffic regulations, who showed incompeten in meeting traffic situations, who held not too deep-seated, erroneous attitudes toward safety, or who would find a fine either an unreasonabl

The violators school dealt with offenders as a general class. A few jurisdictions, toward mid-century, pioneered in clinical work with offenders who showed signs of deeper trouble that called for more individual attention, either to physical handicaps or to menta difficulties. Among the states, California and Pennsylvania, and among the cities, Chicago, Detrit, Milwaukee, and Wichita experimented with drivers clinics.

hardship or a trifle.

Violators schools and drivers clinics touched only into those wha were brought courts. Of far greater reach were educational programs aimed to train drivers before they were taken in violations. Since most states refused driving licenses to persons under 14-16 years of age, and since most of them also required young people to remain in school until 16, it was logical to begin driving instruction on a broad scale through the high schools. Such instruction began with classroom lectures, but experience proved that driving instruction, -- both on dummy cars and in actual automobiles --- , was essential to the most effective results. By 1942, DeSSIva estimated about 600 high schools in the United States offered that / such instruction. Up to that time scarcely a beginning had been made in adult instruction. however. In a handful of states the state motor vehicle department offered instruction. In other states commercial driving schools sprang up as examination requirements for drivers were of The commercial schools a wide range licenses were tightened. in quality, and typically concentrated on presenting just the minimum instruction to pass their graduates through the state license examination. Only New York set up aubstantial regulation of the drivers schools. Widespread and effective driving instruction seemed a job to be done by public authority. At an estimated cost of \$15 per student, the total expense at first sight promised to be large. But at this rate driving instruction cost the state of Connecticut, for example, only one-fifth the amount the state collected annually for operators' and chaufferrs' licenses, only one-twelfth of what it collected for registration of vehicles, only one-twentieth of all its receipts for motor vehicle and drivers fees and receipts.

Another type of preventive regulation which was increasingly adopted in the 1930's was the education of pedestrians

This took the form mainly of safety education in the schools. Such a program
accident
though add not immediately help the serious problem of the aged
pedestrian, it showed encouraging results within its own framework.
A check in Massachusetts, for example, showed that child pedestrian
deaths dropped rapidly after the schools began teaching safety, and
that this trend continued. The number of licensed motor car operators
doubled in the state in the years 1924-1939, and adult pedestrian
deaths rose through most of that period, but child pedestrian deaths
fell from 227 in 1924 to 74 in 1939. Connecticut and New York could
show similar evidence.

Thus in varied ways, both with respect to standards of conduct and to means of regulation, the law began to move toward a more positive and preventive treatment of the safety problems posed by general use of the automobile. The consistent thread throughout. however, is that this story do up to mid-century is almost wholly one of experiments. [limited-scale] The variety of thinking and of experiments, both with respect to compensation and prevention suggested that the trend was definitely toward some fundamental changes That change in emphasis in the handling of the auto accident question. so tardily took approach natural to a the law was so slow, technical age, testified to the stubbornness with which inertia could invest the ideas of a day long past. The Shinking and experiment that was done showed that much silly talk had been spilled over man's inabil: ty to deal with his machines. But the delay showed, too, that a major problem for the law in a society moulded by its Ascience and technology was to speed up the $p_{BC}e$ of adjustment to the social issues which they brought.

Chapter Eight

Section 1. Material for the table on factory sales and motor vehicle registrations. 1895-1940, is from the more detailed tables in AUTOMOBILE FACTS AND FIGURES-1941, (hereafter cited as FACTS AND GIGURES-1941), bublished by the Automobile Manufacturers Associatian (Detroit 1941) 4, 11. The 1940 motor vehicle travel estimate is given, id., 64; rural road mileage is given in FACTS AND FIGURES OF THE AUTOMOBILE INDUSTRY; 1925 Edition, published by the National Automobile Chamber of Commerce (Detroit 1925) 18, and in FACTS AND FIGURES-1935)57 For apparent consumption of motor fuel see STATISTICAL ABSTRACT OF THE UNITED STATES 11194 (Washington 1941) 837. On the ratio of passenger cars to persons and families, see DEWHURST and ASSOCIATES, AMERICA'S NEEDS AND RESOURCES (New York 1947) 205, 223; LYNDS, MIDDLETOWN (New York 1929) 253. Adams' history of automobile price policy is in his contribution. "The Automobile -- A Luxury Becomes a Necessity", to HAMILTON and ASSOCIATES, PRICE AND PROCE POLICIES (New York 1938) 29, 31; for the dominance of the under-\$750-wholesale priced cars, 1925-1940, and for used car sales prices, see FACTS AND FIGURES-1941, p.28, and KENNEDY, THE AUTOMOBILE INDUSTRY (New York 1941) 140. On capital invest ment and employment in or in connection with the automobile industry, se FACTS AND EIGURES-1925, p. 9 and id., 1941, pp. 31, 76. On the automobile's relative percentage of passenger travel, see DEWHURST, op.cit.supra, 204 The data on "necessity" as compared with "recreational" mileage and trip will be found in FACTS AND FIGURES-1941, pp. 56,57. Average gasoline consumption per motor car is given, id., 45. The Lynds' observations on the automobile and the depression are, in order, from their MIDDLETOWN IN TRANSITION (New York 1937) 266, 265, 245. A The list of derivateve effects of the automobile upon the law rests upon the Reports and the statute books under headings which will be suggested by the particular points enumerated. The principal sources used outside of the law books inchude BEARD, Charles, ed., WHITHER MANKIND

EPSTEIN, THE AUTOMOBILE INDUSTRY (Chicago 1928);

(New York 1928), especially the essay by McBain, "Law and Government", BEARD, Charles, ed., TOWARD CIVILIZATION (New York 1930); BEARD, William, GOVERNMENT AND TEDHNOLOGY (New York 1934); BURLINGAME, MARCH OF THE IRON MEN (New York 1938) and ENGINES OF DEMOCRACY (New York 1940); CHASE, MEN AND MACHINES (New York 1928); FULLER, NINE CHAINS TO THE MOON (Philadelphia 1938); GILFILLAN, THE SOCIOLOGY OF INVENTION (Chicago 1935); HAMILTON AND ASSOCIATES, PRICE AND PRICE POLICIES, especially the essays by Adams, The Automobile -- A Luxury Becomes a Necessity"; by Abrahamson, "The Automobile Tire--Forms of Marketing in Combat"; Till, "Gasoline-- The Competition of Big Business"; KENNEDY, THE AUTOMOBILE INDUSTRY (New York 1941); LYNDS, MIDDLETOWN (1929) and MIDDLETOWN IN TRANSITION (New York 1935); MUNFORD, TECHNICS AND CIVIL-IZATION (New York 1934); NATIONAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE, TECHNOLOGICAL TRENDS AND NATIONAL POLICY (Washington 1937); OGBURN and NIMKOFF, SOCIOLOGY (Cambridge 1940); REGENT SOCIAL TRENDS (New York 1934), especially the essays by Ogburn and Gilfillan, "The Influence of Invention and Discovery"; Willey, "The Agencies of Communication"; Gay, "Trends in E onomic Organization"; Hart, "Changing Social Attitudes and Interests"; McKenzie, "The Rise of Metropolitan Communities"; Kolb and Brunner, "Rural Life"; Steiner, "Recreation and Leisure Time Activities"; Sutherland, "Crime and Punishment"; Wooddy, "The Growth of Governmental Functions"; Heer, "Taxation and Public Finance"; and Clark and Douglas, "Law and Legal Institutions."; ROSEN, TECHNOLOGY AND SOCIETY (New York 1941); and SELTZER, A FINANCIAL HISTORY OF THE AMERICAN AUTOMOBILE INDUSTRY (The editions of the Illinois statutes referred to are REVISED STATUTES OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, 1901 (Hurd, ed. Chicago 1901); same/ (Cahill, ed. Chicago 1922); same, 1941 (Smith-Hurd revised. Chicago 1941).

dents, see STATISTICAL ABSTRACT OF THE UNITED STATES, 1942, Table 510, p.474. On totals of deaths and non-fatal injuries, 1923-1940, see NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL: ACCIDENT FACTS, 1942 Ed. (Chicago 1942) 81.

The quotation and estimate on money losses in the found, 1d., 92, and 1941 Ed., 63. On comparative major causes of accidental deaths, see 1941 Ed., 63. On trends of fatal accidents relative to mileage and car registrations, see 1941 Ed., 26, and 1948 Ed., 41; estimates of vehicle miles of motor travel will be found in PUBLIC ROADS ADMINISTRATION: HIGHWAY STATISTICS SUMMARY TO 1945 (Washington 1947) 34.

Section 2-b. The quoted appraisal of the gravity of the automobile accident problems and the extent so to which it has been met is from the survey done at the Yale Institute of Human Relations: bx DeSILVA, WHY WE HAVE AUTOMOBILE ACCIDENTS (New Mork 1942) I am indebted for the quotation from Andrew Carnegie to 2 HACKER, THE SHAPING OF THE AMERICAN TRADITION (New York 1947) 807, where the statement is put in significant context with the pragmatism of William James. On restricting the scope of legal burdens in the industrial age, Abinger, C.B. , is quoted from Priestley v. Fowler. 3 Mers. da Wels. 1. (Exchequer 1837), and Jessel, M.R., from Printing and Numerical Registering Co. v. Sampson, (1875) L.R. 19 Eq. 462, The cases quoted to the effect that the automobile is not a "dangerous instrumentality" are Indiana Springs Co. v. Brown, 165 Ind. 465, 468, 74 N.E. 615. (1905), and Lewis v. Amorous, 3 Ga. App. 50, 55, 59 S.E. 338 (1907). The ratios of trade-ins to new car sales are from KENNEDY, op.cit.supra, 139, 140, 222. Data on comparative passenger-miles traveled by auto, and the quotation on increased mobility are from DEWHURST and ASSOCIATES, op.cit.supra, 204. The accident victim case histories are selected from the list in Corstvet. The

뒤 Liability Changing Rules Contemporary

Nixon,

Uncompensated Accident and Its Consequences (1936) 3 Law and Contemporar Problems 466, 473, 474. Shaw, C.J., in Brown v. Kendall, will be found in 60 Mass. 292 (1850). The 1911 New York decision was Ives v. South Buffalo Railway Co., 201 N.Y. 271, 94 N.E. 431. Judge Robert S. Marx, of the Cincinnati Superior Court, is quoted from whis much-cited arcticle, Compulsory Compensation Insurance in (1925) 25 Col L. Rev. 164, 177. trends in automobile accident doctrine, I am much indebted to REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE TO STUDY COMPENSATION FOR AUTOMOBILE ACCIDENTS, To the Columbia University Council for Research in the Social Sciences (Philadelphia 1932), generally herein cited as the Columbia Report; James, Contribution among Torte easors in the Field of Accident Litigation (1939) 9 Utah Bar. Bull. 208; id., Contributions among Joint Tortfeasors: A Pragmatic Criticism (1941) 54 Harv.L.Rev. 1156; id., Accident Liability Reconsidered: The Impact of Lability Insurance (1948) 57 Yale L.J. 549; McNeely, Illegality as a Factor in Liability Insurance (1941) 41 Col. L. Rev. 26; kkkx id., The Genealogy of Liability Insurance Law (1941) 7 U.Pitt.L.Rev. 169; Phelan, Presumption Versus Proof in Automobile Highway Accidents (1934) 22 Geo.L.J. 750; SHULL and JAMES, CASES ON TORTS (Chicago 1942) Ch.4, Section 2.

Data on the percentage of insured cars are cited, respectively, from COLUMBIA REPORT, op.cit.supra, 45-46, and from Wilkie, The Recurring Question of Compulsory Automobile Insurance (1940) 30 S.B.A. Wis. 77, 79. The quoted statement regarding the treatment of the illegality defense in connection with automobile liability insurance is from McNeely, loc.cit.supra, 41 Col.L.R v. at 60. As to the percentage of passenger cars by families, see notes under Section 1, supra; fer 1935-1936 individual and famkly income distribution, see STATISTI-CAL ABSTRACT OF THE UNITED STATES, 1938 (Washington 1938) Table 346,p. For a summary of the COLUMBIA REPORT's Tindings on compensation

see the Report, Ch. XI, pp. 202-206. Data on the number of compulsory insurance bills introduced in the states are noted by Wilkie. loc.cit. supra. 30 S.B.A.Wis. 77,78,n.2. On the spread of financial responsibility laws see Braun. The Financial Repponsibility Laws (1936) 3 Law and Contemporary Problems 505, 509-511, and (Note) Motor Vehicle Financial and Safety Responsibility Legislation (1948) 33 Ia.L.Rev. 523. 525-526. On the failure of the early type of financial responsibility laws, note the implications of the address by a leading spokesman for the insurance company viewpoint, Stone, Further Thoughts on Compulsory Automobile Liability Insurance (1940) 63 N.Y.S.B.A. 651, 663-665; Feinsinger, The Operation of Financial Responsibility Laws (1936) 3 Law and Contemporary Problems 519, weighs the effects of the laws so far as the limited data permit, with conclusions unfavorable to the early-type legislation. Quotations from the COLUMBIA REPORT regarding operation of the Massachusetts compulsory insurance law are, respectively, from pp. 115, 129, 130. The estimate of the excess of losses over amounts returned by the rates for Massachusetts insurance is that of R. H. Blanchard, professor of insurance at Columbia University, quoted by M DeSILVA, op.cit.supra, 215, and by Wilkie, loc.cit.supra, 30 S.B.A.Wis. 81; the estimate is hower by five per cent than that for 1925-1935, made in Blanchard, Compulsory Motor Vehicle Liability Insurance in Massachusetts (1936) 3 Law and Contemporary Problems 537, 549-550. On experience with premiums, average loss, and claims in Massachusetta see id., 546-549. Compulsory insurance for motor carriers is discussed by the COLUMBIA REPORT, 113, and by Brownfield, Compulsory Liability Insurance for Commercial Motor Vehicles (1936) Law and Contemporary Probe lems 571. For the Boston, New York and Atlanta examples of investigations of fraud in auto accident claims, see Monaghan, The Liability Claim Racket (1936) 3 1d. 491, 497, 501. Data on disposition of auto

accident cases in New Haven are from CLARK and SHULMAN, A STUDY OF

LAW ADMINISTRATION IN CONNECTICUT (New Haven 1937) 25. Data on the

percentage of insured cases where some compensation was received

will be found in the COLUMBIA REPORT, pp. 77, 81, 86, 116. On the

percentage of District of Columbia cases in which fault was undeter
mined, see BOWERS, COMPULSORY AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE (New York

1929) 73-74; on probable percentage of contributory negligence, see

id., 76-77, The quoted comment on the approach to absolute liabil
ity in the administration of automobile insurance is that of Professor

Fleming James, Jr., from the article already cited, 57 Yale L.J. 549,

567. Early advocacy of the compensation system as applied to general

types of personal injury suits may be seen in Carman, Is a Motor Vehicle

Accident Compensation Act Advisable? (1919) 4 Minn. L.Rev. 1; Ballantine

A Compensation Plan for Railway Accident Claims (1916) 29 Hart.L.Rev.

365; Marx, loc.cit.supra, 25 Col.L. Pev. 164.

Section 2-c. The fact that the most uniform traffic regulations were limited to those inherited from the horse-and-wagon age is noted in MOTOR VEHICLE TRAFFIC CONDITIONS IN THE UNITED STATES, Part 1, NONUNIFORMITY OF STATE MOTOR VEHICLE TRAFFIC LAWS (Washington 1938) 8-9. For the Illinois automobile speed law and the Illinois case thereunder, see Christy v. Elliott, 216 Ill. 31, N.E. (1905); the various editions of the Illinois Revised Statutes are those the notes to cited in Section 1, above. The quoted observation on the pedestrian's favored position as compared with the motorist's obligations is from DeSILVA, op.cit.supra., 237. I am indebted throughout the discussion of traffic laws to the excellent summary, in Chapter II of WARREN, TRAFFIC COURTS (Boston 1942). The chronology of the uniform traffic act may be traced in (1926) HANDBOOK OF THE NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS AND PROCEEDINGS OF

THE THIRTY-SIXTH ANNUAL MEETING, 457 ff., and (1943) 14, PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIFTY THIRD ANNUAL CONFERENCE, 69. Warren's examples of badly drawn traffic laws and his quoted summary of the national situation will be found, oplcit.supra, 14, 16. Henderson v. Maryland is in 235 U.S. 610 (1915). A sampling of state cases which support the generalization on the predominance of the revenue issue in motor vehicle registration legislation will be found in 1 BLASHFIELD, CYCLOPEDIA OF AUTOMOBILE LAW (Kansas City, Mo. 1927) 17-18. The Illinois "chaufferr's" license statute referred to is section 14 of the Act of May 28, 1907, Laws, Illinois 1907-1908, pp. 510,514. On the successive adoption of drivers licenses examinations through the states, see the map prepared by the American Association of Motor Vehicle Administrators, reproduced in DeSILVA, op.cit.supra, 292; DeSilva's verdict on the number of adequate examining sustems is expressed, id., 293. On the 60 per cent of uninvestigated drivers, see id., 298. On faulty equipment and checks thereon, see id., 240, 256. The judgment on the predominance of the policeman-traffic-court combination as enforcement means in 1940 is believed to be a fair conclusion from the surveys by Debilva and Warren. DeSilva's estimate of the number of highway police for rural patrol will be found, op.cit.supra, 306. The acceptance of the specialized traffic division in modern police organization is noted by Wilson, Police Administration, in GRAHAM and REINING, eds., REGULATORY ADMINIS-TRATION (New York 1943) 38; the San Antonio department organization is noted, id., 39. Data on causes for mandatory suspension or revocation of licenses will be found in Warren, op; cit.supra, 168-169; the opinkon on the ineffectiveness of judicial enforcement of thete requirements is stated, id., 171; the comparison of judicial and administrative enforcement of discretionary powers overlicenses is stated, ibid; cf. DeSILVA, op.cit.supra, 313. The case history of an accident repeater is from DeSILVA, op.cit.supra, 208-209. The list of cities cited for

Notes: Ch. VIII: (8)

their violators schools is a composite from DeSILVA, 317, and WARREN, 173. On drivers courses and their cost, see DESILVA, op.cit.supra, 286-291; on pedestrian education, id., 225.

ADD NEW PARAGRAPH AS INDICATED ON PAGE 785:

offenders against the safety regulations, the violators schools and drivers clinics took a more realistic tack than if attention were given to drivers involved imaccidents. Experience indicated that the most careful driver would have an against if he drove long enough; accidents in themselves were not too significant of conditions that But e required correction. Experience indicated, also, that the repeated violator of traffic regulations was more likely to have an accident this, experienced observers believed, was the underlying significance of speed violations,——not that the speed violator had speeding, accidents to a disproportionate extent while accident but that his speed violations showed a general pattern of driving habits which made him more likely to have accidents than the non-violator.

ADD NEW PARAGRAPH AS INDICATED ON PAGE 785:

offenders against the safety regulations, the violators schools and drivers clinics took a more realistic tack than if attention were given to drivers involved in accidents. Experience indicated that the most careful driver would have an applicant of he drove long enough accidents in themselves were not too significant of conditions that But e required correction. Experience indicated, also, that the repeated violator of traffic regulations was more likely to have an accident in the speed violations, ---not become that the speed violator had appending, accidents to a dispresentionate extent while against but but hat his speed violations showed a general pattern of driving rebits which made him more likely to have accidents than the non-violator.

INSERT AS INDICATED ON PAGE 786:

And the second of the second o

The simplest step in driver education was one of those which the states and local governments were slowest to adopt. This was the publication of traffic regulations in easily understood and easily accessible form. The productions were published, they were typically presented in bulky, fine-print reproduction of the cumbersome and technical language of the statute book. Often municipal traffic regulations were not available to the public in any printed form. Michigan showed what could be done in better presentation of the regulations, when it exchanged a page, 256 fine-print pamphlet for a 23 page, pocket-size, illustrated booklet which stated the sum of its regulations.

A more thorough approach to preventive education was through driving instruction offered to people before they got into difficulties. ((Now continue as on p.786, "Sincemost states refused..."

INSERT AS INDICATED ON PAGE 775:

Lack of plan was apparent on the face of traffic code development. The important item of speed regulation is an example. 1901 New York provided (with simple flexibility) that speed must not be more than was "reasonable and proper." (The demand for greater control) the automobile became more of a highway problem, was not satisfied with such a broad standard. There is no evidence that legislatures made any investigations or tests to fix more precise rules, but beginning with make Massachusetts and New York legislation of 1902 they widely adopted absolute speed limits. This penalized speed in itself, and encouraged a rigid enforcement which created bad public relations and was more and more obviously out of line with the safe usefuld highways. Following a Massachusetts ness of cars and revision of 1906, therefore, legislatures again changed their course; the new type of regulation, which prevailed through the 1930's, specified rates of speed but declared that it was only prima facie unlawful to exceed such speeds. In practice these provisions were almost as inflexible as the regulations they superseded, because of the practical difficulty of rebutting the prima facie case. Movement came full circle, to the broad standard of the New York act of 1901, when Montana in 1917 and Connecticut in 1928 led the way the test of speed "reasonable and proper" in the circumstances. This was the test written into the Uniform Act Regulating Traffic on Highway as this was recommended to the statesin 1930 by the National Conference on Street and Highway Safety. Only at this date can it be said that expert investigation and careful thought had finally entered into the determination of a policy on speed regulation.

Movement toward a more comprehensive traffic code was also very univen. For example, very tardy treatment was given to the relative rights and duties of pedestrian and motorist. The pedestrian was here before the motor car, and the law, with scant evidence of deliberation, followed the jughment that he who is prior in time that

the prior equity. Few laws limited pedestrian rights, compared with the increasing limits put on motorists; jay-walking, for example, was not uniformly declared a traffic offense. So important a matter as the relative right-of-way of pedestrian and motor car at intersections was uncertain in many jurisdictions. As laste as 1942, a leading survey could comment that "At present, in the case of injury to a pedestrian, the law tends to protect him and to punish the motorist, regardless of how dangerous the pedestrian's actions may have been."