

# The influence of growing degree days on *Robinia pseudoacacia* browse quality and productivity in the southeastern USA

L.J. Unruh Snyder<sup>1</sup>, J.P. Mueller<sup>1</sup>, J-M. Luginbuhl<sup>1</sup>, K.E. Turner<sup>2</sup> and C. Brownie<sup>1</sup>

North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina 27695, USA, Email: Paul\_Mueller@ncsu.edu, <sup>2</sup>USDA ARS, Beaver, West Virginia 25813 2409 Williams Hall, Box 7620, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina 27695 USA

**Keywords:** goat, heat units, herbage mass, prediction equations, *Robinia pseudoacacia*, tannins

**Introduction** The possibility of estimating browse quality and productivity of black locust (BL; *Robinia pseudoacacia* L.) herbage from accumulated air temperature heat units (growing degree days, GDD) could be a valuable tool for researchers and graziers in efficient allocation of feed resources. Accumulated air temperature heat units (GDD) above a 10° C base have been used to predict several forage quality constituents (Onstad & Fick, 1983).

**Materials and methods** Data were collected for two years to determine the relationship between GDD and herbage mass (HM), estimates of herbage quality N, IVTDMD, NDF, ADF, CELL, and ADL, and estimates of anti-quality agents (Folin-reactive phenolics [FR-phenol], condensed tannins [CT], and hydrolysable tannins [HT]) of a five-year old stand of BL in Raleigh, NC. Herbage mass samples were hand plucked and used to evaluate browse quality variables.

**Results** With the exception of IVTDMD (58%), 2-yr means of BL herbage quality estimates were high (4.2% N, 37% NDF, and 26% ADF). The 2-yr means for CELL and ADL were 8 and 16%, respectively. Concentrations of FR-phenol, CT, and HT averaged over years were 7.9, 7.6, and 8.0% DM, respectively. Condensed tannins have been reported to depress voluntary intake and ruminal fibre digestion (Terrill *et al.*, 1992). Regression analyses were performed by year using GDD as the independent variable. In 1999, there was a significant relationship between GDD and HM, N, NDF, ADF, CELL, ADL, N, and IVTDMD (Table 1). In 2000, the only significant relationships with GDD were N, ADF, and CELL. High rainfall in 2000 caused a flush of new herbage growth that most likely masked the maturity effects demonstrated in the previous year. Horner (1988) reported that non-linear trends might exist when dilution effects caused by leaf expansion occur.

**Conclusions** In years with moderate to low rainfall, without multiple growth flushes, GDD appear to be closely related to HM, NDF, ADF, CELL, ADL, N and IVTDMD. Under these conditions GDD could serve as a useful predictor of herbage quality and productivity. In both years GDD was a poor predictor of BL tannin concentrations

## References

- Horner, J.D (1988). Astringency in douglas fir foliage in relation to phenology and xylem pressure potential. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, 14, 1227-1237.
- Onstad, D.W., & G.W. Fick (1983). Predicting crude protein, in vitro true digestibility, and leaf proportion in alfalfa herbage. *Crop Science*, 23, 961-964.
- Terrill, T.H., G.B. Douglas, A.G. Foote, R.W. Purchas, G.F. Wilson, & T. N. Barry (1992). Effect of condensed tannins upon body growth, wool growth and rumen metabolism in sheep grazing sulla (*Hedysarum coronarium*) and perennial pasture. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 119, 265-273.

**Table 1** Linear regression equations for NDF, ADF, CELL, ADL, N, IVTDMD, FA-phenol, CT, HT, H, and HM as a function of GDD from 01 March 1999 and 2000, Wake County, North Carolina, USA<sup>†</sup>

Year	Regression Equations	SE <sup>‡</sup>	r <sup>2§</sup>
1999 (g/kg except for HM = kg /ha)			
	NDF=134.4 +0.34(GDD)	23.7	0.93***
	ADF=76.6 +0.24(GDD)	24.9	0.85***
	CELL=52.5+0.04(GDD)	3.3	0.92***
	ADL=23.8+0.19(GDD)	26.1	0.77**
	N=58.2-0.02(GDD)	2.9	0.65***
	IVTDMD=885.7 -0.38(GDD)	45.4	0.82***
	CT=9.3 -0.003(GDD)	1.1	0.34*
	HM=-434.7 +2.2(GDD)	293.7	0.77***
2000 (g/kg)			
	ADF=373.2 -0.07(GDD)	28.3	0.32*
	CELL=104.9 -0.01(GDD)	6.8	0.26*
	N=46.8 -0.008(GDD)	1.9	0.58***

<sup>†</sup> NDF, neutral detergent fibre; ADF, acid detergent fibre; CELL, cellulose; ADL, acid detergent lignin; N, nitrogen; IVTDMD; in vitro true dry matter disappearance; FR-phenol, Folin-reactive phenolics; CT, condensed tannins; HT, hydrolysable tannins; HM, herbage mass; GDD, growing degree days =  $\sum \{[(T_{\text{air,max}} + T_{\text{air,min}}) / 2] - 10\}^{\circ}\text{C}$  (from 01 March); <sup>‡</sup> SE= Standard error of mean; <sup>§</sup> r<sup>2</sup> = coefficient of simple determination; \*, \*\*, \*\*\* Significant at P=0.05, 0.01, and 0.001 levels, respectively.