

This is a repository copy of Associations between neuropsychiatric symptoms and Alzheimer's disease biomarkers in people with mild cognitive impairment.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/203243/</u>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Arenare, G., Manca, R. orcid.org/0000-0003-1715-6442, Caffarra, P. et al. (1 more author) (2023) Associations between neuropsychiatric symptoms and Alzheimer's disease biomarkers in people with mild cognitive impairment. Brain Sciences, 13 (8). 1195. ISSN 2076-3425

https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci13081195

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/





Article Associations between Neuropsychiatric Symptoms and Alzheimer's Disease Biomarkers in People with Mild Cognitive Impairment

Giulia Arenare¹, Riccardo Manca^{1,2}, Paolo Caffarra¹, Annalena Venneri^{1,2,*} and on behalf of the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative

- ¹ Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Parma, 43125 Parma, Italy
- ² Department of Life Sciences, Brunel University London, Uxbridge UB8 3BH, UK
- * Correspondence: a.venneri@sheffield.ac.uk

Abstract: Background: Neuropsychiatric symptoms (NPS) are associated with faster decline in mild cognitive impairment (MCI). This study aimed to investigate the association between NPS severity and Alzheimer's disease (AD) biomarkers, i.e., amyloid- β (A β), phosphorylated tau protein (p-tau) and hippocampal volume ratio (HR), to characterise in more detail MCI patients with a poor prognosis. Methods: A total of 506 individuals with MCI and 99 cognitively unimpaired older adults were selected from the ADNI dataset. The patients were divided into three different groups based on their NPI-Q total scores: no NPS (*n* = 198), mild NPS (*n* = 160) and severe NPS (*n* = 148). Regression models were used to assess the association between the severity of NPS and each biomarker level and positivity status. Results: Cerebrospinal fluid A β levels were positively associated with older age and lower MMSE scores, while higher p-tau levels were associated with female sex and lower MMSE scores. Only patients with severe NPS had a lower HR (β = -0.18, *p* = 0.050), i.e., more pronounced medio-temporal atrophy, than those without NPS. Discussion: Only HR was associated with the presence of NPS, partially in line with previous evidence showing that severe NPS may be explained primarily by greater grey matter loss. Future longitudinal studies will be needed to ascertain the relevance of this finding.

Keywords: neuropsychiatric symptoms; mild cognitive impairment; biomarkers; amyloid; tau; neurodegeneration

1. Introduction

Neuropsychiatric symptoms (NPS) represent a prominent clinical manifestation of Alzheimer's disease (AD). These symptoms may be characterised by disturbed perception (i.e., hallucinations), contents of thoughts (i.e., delusions), mood (i.e., depression, anxiety and apathy) and behaviour (e.g., aggression, disinhibition, appetite problems) and are frequently observed in patients with dementia [1]. These symptoms negatively affect patients' quality of life, to the extent that caregivers often perceive them as more burdensome than cognitive symptoms [2]. Although fluctuating, the prevalence of NPS increases in parallel with the progression of cognitive decline [3] and with a loss of autonomy in daily life [4]. Indeed, the presence of NPS at an earlier disease stage, such as mild cognitive impairment (MCI), is a negative prognostic indicator and has been associated with faster cognitive decline [5].

In line with recent efforts to characterise AD from a biological point of view, the so-called AT(N) framework (i.e., amyloid, tau, neurodegeneration) [6], the relationship between the presence of NPS and detected levels of multiple AD biomarkers has been studied. Investigations have focussed on amyloid beta ($A\beta$) and phosphorylated tau (p-tau) protein levels as measures of the A and T variables of the AT(N) framework. In fact, the abnormal accumulation of both proteins is considered the primary pathological mechanism of AD. The cerebral accumulation of both $A\beta$ and p-tau has been studied by means of positron emission



Citation: Arenare, G.; Manca, R.; Caffarra, P.; Venneri, A.; on behalf of the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative. Associations between Neuropsychiatric Symptoms and Alzheimer's Disease Biomarkers in People with Mild Cognitive Impairment. *Brain Sci.* 2023, *13*, 1195. https://doi.org/10.3390/ brainsci13081195

Academic Editor: Elena Tamagno

Received: 11 July 2023 Revised: 3 August 2023 Accepted: 9 August 2023 Published: 12 August 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). tomography (PET), while cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and blood examinations have been used to detect these pathological features as fluid biomarkers. Moreover, total tau (t-tau) and hippocampal volume (HV), assessed via magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), have also been used as indices of neurodegeneration, the N component of the above framework.

Thus far, heterogeneous results have been reported in relation to the association between different NPS and AD biomarkers. A recent review highlighted that mood symptoms (i.e., depression and anxiety) are primarily associated with higher levels of A β , but not of p-tau, in patients with AD [7]. The severity of NPS in AD was also associated with measures of neurodegeneration, e.g., the CSF levels of t-tau. However, a recent study found that affective symptoms predicted progression from MCI to dementia due to AD but were not associated with AD biomarkers [8]. Apathy, the most common NPS in patients with AD [9], has also been found significantly associated with lower CSF levels of A β_{42} and reduced HV but not with CSF levels of either t-tau or p-tau [10]. A systematic review focussing on CSF biomarkers, conducted by Showraki et al. [11], showed that the presence of agitation/aggression was consistently associated with higher t-tau and p-tau and lower A β_{42} levels.

Investigations into the severity of NPS seem to suggest that more severe NPS are also primarily associated with greater cerebral A β deposition (but not with p-tau load) and with cognitive decline in cognitively unimpaired people [7,12]. Consistently, plasma levels of A $\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$ were predictive of the severity of mild behavioural impairment (MBI) in people with and without MCI [13]. However, other studies have also shown that more severe NPS correlated, selectively, either with a greater degree of cerebral p-tau load [14] or with worse neurodegeneration [15] across the AD spectrum.

Such inconsistencies in the currently available findings may be due to several reasons. First, NPS are difficult to assess accurately because the scales designed to capture these symptoms differ in the following aspects: (1) the measured construct (e.g., only one symptom vs. several NPS domains), (2) the measurement approach (e.g., clinician-, caregiver- or patient-self-reported) and (3) the rating approach (e.g., frequency, severity, etc.) [16]. Additionally, a positive finding or lack of findings about the association between biomarkers and clinical variables may depend on the different methods of assessment of AD biomarkers (i.e., PET, MRI, CSF and blood) and their level of accuracy. Indeed, different methods may be more appropriate to capture different biological alterations (e.g., $A\beta$ before p-tau accumulation) across the various stages of the AD continuum [17]. As a result, the temporal association between the emergence of NPS, their severity and AD biological changes remains unclear. Clarifying such a relationship in different disease stages may have relevance for the clinical management of people with AD. First, understanding the pathological underpinnings of NPS may aid in the early diagnosis of AD, since a sub-sample of people with AD manifests NPS before cognitive deficits (e.g., those with MBI, [18]). Second, since people with MCI and NPS have a worse prognosis than those without, the timely detection of those characterised by worse AD pathological changes may help clinicians to provide early and targeted treatments in order to lower the risk of progression from MCI to dementia [19]. Third, this knowledge may provide useful insights in the interpretation of the findings of clinical trials targeting NPS and clarify specific mechanisms of action (e.g., either A β or p-tau clearance).

Considering the lack of consistency in the currently available findings on the association between NPS and AD biomarkers [20] in people with MCI and NPS, who may have the greatest risk of progression to dementia, the aim of this study was to qualify the association between the most commonly used AD biomarkers (i.e., $A\beta$ and p-tau and hippocampal neurodegeneration) and NPS severity levels in a sample of people with MCI.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Study Design and Participants

For this study, 506 patients with MCI were selected from the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database www.adni-info.org (accessed on 31 May 2023), using the following inclusion criteria: (1) a clinical diagnosis of MCI (i.e., a history of cogni-

tive complaint, evidence of cognitive deficits without dementia and preserved functional independence); (2) the availability of data on NPS measured by means of the Neuropsychiatric Inventory Questionnaire (NPI-Q); (3) the availability of data on global cognitive functioning measured by means of the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) total score (\geq 24); and (4) the availability of data on the CSF levels of AD biomarkers (i.e., A β , t-tau and p-tau) and a T1-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan.

An additional sample of 99 older adults with CSF biomarkers of AD within the normal range and no evidence of cognitive impairments at any of the time-points available in the ADNI database were also selected to characterize the clinical and biomarker profiles of patients.

The ADNI protocol (for full details, see: https://adni.loni.usc.edu/methods/documents/ (accessed on 10 July 2023)) received ethical approval from the institutional review board at each centre of recruitment and all participants provided informed consent. Secondary analyses were carried out for this study in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and ethical approval was granted by the Research Committee of Brunel University London (reference number 30422-TISS-Jul/2021-33453-2).

The ADNI was launched in 2003 as a public–private partnership, led by Principal Investigator Michael W. Weiner, MD. The primary goal of the ADNI is to test whether serial magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), positron emission tomography (PET), other biological markers and clinical and neuropsychological assessment can be combined to measure the progression of mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and early Alzheimer's disease (AD). For up-to-date information, see www.adni-info.org.

2.2. NPS Assessment

The NPI-Q is a questionnaire designed to be completed by informants to provide information about a list of 12 NPS observed in the patients they care for. The total NPI-Q score is calculated as the sum of the severity scores (0 if a symptom is absent, from 1 to 3 for symptoms that are present) of all the individual symptoms and ranges from 0 to 36 [21].

The patient sample was divided into 3 different groups based on their NPI-Q total scores: an absence of NPS (NPI-Q = 0), low NPS severity (NPI-Q \leq 2) and high NPS severity (NPI-Q > 2). The NPI-Q value of 2, used to stratify participants with low and high NPS severity, was the median score of the sub-group of patients presenting symptoms after removing those with no NPS.

2.3. CSF-Derived Biomarkers for $A\beta$ and p-tau

Fluid biomarkers for A β and p-tau pathological alterations associated with AD were used in this study. The details on CSF biomarker data collection as part of the ADNI have been published previously [22,23]. Participants were considered to be amyloid-positive if their CSF A β_{1-42} level was equal to or below 977 pg/mL [24], while positivity for the p-tau biomarker was determined if their CSF p-tau level was equal to or above the cut-off of 26.64 [25].

2.4. MRI-Derived Biomarker for Neurodegeneration

Details on the protocols for MRI data acquisition used for the ADNI are available at http://adni.loni.usc.edu/methods (accessed on 10 July 2023). A standard voxel-based morphometry pre-processing pipeline was implemented using the Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM) 12 software (Wellcome Centre for Human Neuroimaging, London, UK), running on MATLAB R2014a, version 8.3 (The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA, USA). Structural T1-weighted MRI scans were reoriented to the anterior commissure–posterior commissure line and segmented into grey matter (GM), white matter (WM) and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) maps. Total GM, WM, and CSF volumes were determined using maps saved in their native space by means of the 'get_totals' script (http://www0.cs.ucl. ac.uk/staff/g.ridgway/vbm/get_totals.m (accessed on 10 July 2023)). Subsequently, total intracranial volume (TIV) was calculated by summing the GM, WM and CSF total volumes for each participant.

HV was calculated by creating a mask of the bilateral hippocampi with the WFU PickAtlas toolbox and then using the "get_totals" script to extract the total HV from each previously segmented GM map. Finally, the hippocampal ratio (HR) was calculated by dividing the resulting HV by the TIV, and this parameter was used as a biomarker for neurodegeneration [6]. In detail, patients were considered positive for this biomarker if their HR was at least 2 standard deviations below the mean HR value of the healthy control group.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

All demographic and clinical variables and CSF biomarker levels were compared between the MCI and cognitively unimpaired groups using an independent-sample *t*-test for normally distributed variables and a Mann–Whitney *U* test for those not normally distributed. The *chi-square* test was used to test for sex, binary biomarker positivity variables and NPS severity group. After stratifying the patient groups according to NPS severity, the demographic and clinical variables were compared between groups using ANOVA, while the *chi-square* test was used for sex.

Since the cut-offs for AD biomarkers are arbitrary and not universally defined, in this study, two complementary approaches were used: (1) linear multiple regression models were used to assess the association between the severity of NPS and each CSF biomarker level in the patient sample, with total MMSE score, age, sex and education included as covariates; (2) logistic regression models were used to determine the association between the severity of NPS and biomarker positivity status in the patient sample, with total MMSE score, age, sex and education between the severity of NPS and biomarker positivity status in the patient sample, with total MMSE score, age, sex and education included as covariates.

A two-tailed significance threshold value of p < 0.05 was used for all models. The RStudio software (Version 1.3.1056, Boston, MA, USA) was used for all statistical analyses.

3. Results

3.1. Demographic and Cognitive Data of Patients and Controls

No significant differences were found between the patients and controls for any of the socio-demographic variables, while the patient group had an MMSE score significantly lower than that of the cognitively unimpaired group. The demographic and clinical features of the patients and controls are summarised in Table 1.

Table 1. Demographic, clinical and biomarker profiles of patients and controls. Values are means \pm standard deviations, unless otherwise specified.

Variable	Patients (<i>n</i> = 506)	Controls (<i>n</i> = 99)	t	р
Sex (M/F, %) ^a	59.7/40.3%	55.6/44.4%	0.58 ^b	0.445
Age	72.33 ± 7.42	72.22 ± 5.05	-0.13	0.893
Education ^c	16.00 (4.00)	16.00 (3.00)	0.63 ^d	0.531
MMSE ^c	28.00 (2.00)	29.00 (1.00)	7.25 ^d	< 0.001
NPI-Q score ^c	1.00 (3.00)	0.00 (0.00)	-7.21 ^d	< 0.001
Aβ (pg/mL) ^c	819.90 ± 659.25	1563.00 ± 608.50	10.48 ^d	< 0.001
p-tau $(pg/mL)^{c}$	24.95 ± 17.84	18.55 ± 5.98	-6.97 ^d	< 0.001
HR (‰)	5.07 ± 0.52	5.39 ± 0.32	5.93	< 0.001
NPS group (severe/mild/none) ^a	29.2%/31.6%/39.1%	6.1%/15.2%/78.8%	53.80 ^b	< 0.001
$A\beta$ (negative/positive) ^a	37.0%/63.0%	100.0%/0.0%	132.03 ^b	< 0.001
p-tau (negative/positive) ^a	54.7%/45.3%	100.0%/0.0%	72.09 ^b	< 0.001
HR (negative/positive) ^a	77.1%/22.9%	98.0%/2.0%	23.05 ^b	< 0.001

Aβ: Amyloid beta, F: Females, HR: Hippocampal ratio, M: Males, MMSE: Mini Mental State Examination, NPI-Q: Neuropsychiatric Inventory Questionnaire, NPS: Neuropsychiatric symptoms, p-tau: Phosphorylated tau; ^a Proportions; ^b *Chi-square* test; ^c Median (interquartile range); ^d Mann–Whitney *U* test (standardised).

As expected, the patients presented with higher NPI-Q total scores than the control group (t(603) = -5.44, p < 001). Moreover, their CSF levels of p-tau were significantly

higher, while the CSF levels of $A\beta$ and HR values were significantly lower in the patient sample when compared with the controls. Consistently, the proportion of patients who were identified as positive for each biomarker and with either mild or severe NPS was significantly higher than that among controls.

The groups of patients stratified according to the severity of NPS were comparable in terms of age and education and their MMSE scores (Table 2). However, the group with severe NPS had a higher proportion of males than the other groups. The group with severe NPS had higher rates of all NPS than that with mild NPS, except for hallucinations and aberrant motor behaviours (Supplementary Table S1). However, these individuals showed substantially overlapping behavioural deficits, with irritability and depression among the most frequently present symptoms.

Table 2. Comparisons of clinical and demographic profiles of patients stratified according to neuropsychiatric symptom severity (ANOVAs). Values are means \pm standard deviations, unless otherwise specified.

Variable	No NPS (<i>n</i> = 198)	Mild NPS (<i>n</i> = 160)	Severe NPS (<i>n</i> = 148)	F	р
Sex (M/F, %) ^a	54.5/45.5%	55.6/44.4%	70.9/29.1%	11.07 ^b	0.004
Age	72.58 ± 7.44	71.73 ± 7.51	72.64 ± 7.29	0.76	0.471
Education	16.17 ± 2.91	16.31 ± 2.64	16.12 ± 2.72	0.20	0.816
MMSE	27.75 ± 1.75	27.86 ± 1.88	27.81 ± 1.70	0.16	0.850

F: Females, M: Males, MMSE: Mini Mental State Examination, NPS: Neuropsychiatric symptoms; ^a Proportions; ^b *Chi-square* test.

3.2. Association between Biomarker Values and NPS

The results of the multiple regression models showed that a lower HR was significantly predicted by older age and a higher MMSE score (Table 3). Moreover, patients with severe but not mild NPS had a significantly lower HR than those without NPS.

Table 3. Results of the multiple regression model for biological factors. Values are standardised coefficients (95% confidence interval).

Variables	Biomarkers				
	Αβ	p-tau	HR		
Sex (M)	-0.16(-0.34, 0.02), p = 0.076	-0.29(-0.47, -0.11), p = 0.002	0.1550 (-0.31, 0.01) p = 0.070		
Age	-0.13(-0.21, -0.04), p = 0.003	0.07 (-0.02, 0.16), p = 0.124	-0.42(-0.50, -0.34), p < 0.00		
Education	0.01 (-0.08, 0.09), p = 0.858	-0.03(-0.12, 0.05), p = 0.460	-0.09(-0.16, -0.02), p = 0.01		
MMSE	0.20 (0.11, 0.28), <i>p</i> < 0.001	-0.21 (-0.92 , -0.12), $p < 0.001$	0.19 (0.12, 0.27), <i>p</i> < 0.001		
NPS group:					
Mild—No NPS	-0.16(-0.36, 0.04), p = 0.118	-0.02(-0.21, 0.19), p = 0.881	0.04 (-0.14, 0.22), p = 0.657		
Severe—No NPS	-0.16 (-0.37 , 0.05), $p = 0.127$	0.20 (< -0.01, 0.41), p = 0.061	-0.18 (-0.37 , <-0.01), $p = 0.05$		

Aβ: Amyloid beta, HR: Hippocampal ratio, M: Male, MMSE: Mini Mental State Examination, NPS: Neuropsychiatric symptoms, p-tau: Phosphorylated tau.

A β levels were significantly associated with older age and lower MMSE scores, while lower p-tau levels were significantly associated with male sex and higher MMSE scores. However, neither A β nor p-tau CSF levels were associated with the presence of NPS, irrespective of their severity.

3.3. Association between Biomarker Positivity and NPS

The logistic regression analyses revealed that older age and a lower MMSE score were significantly associated with positivity status for all biomarkers, while male sex was associated with a lower likelihood of p-tau positivity (Table 4). Consistent with the linear regression analyses, the group with severe NPS alone, but not that with mild NPS, had a higher likelihood of neurodegeneration biomarker positivity (i.e., a HR above the cutoff value) than the patient group without NPS. In contrast, the severity of NPS was not associated with positivity status for any other biomarkers.

Variables	Biomarkers			
	Aβ (Positive)	p-tau (Positive)	HR (Positive)	
Sex (M)	1.32 (0.89, 1.96), <i>p</i> = 0.174	0.66 (0.45, 0.98), p = 0.039	1.33 (0.81, 2.20) <i>p</i> = 0.263	
Age	1.04 (1.01, 1.07), <i>p</i> = 0.006	1.03 (1.00, 1.05), p = 0.037	1.12 (1.08, 1.16), <i>p</i> < 0.007	
Education	0.98 (0.91, 1.05), p = 0.555	$1.01 \ (0.95, 1.09), p = 0.660$	1.04 (0.96, 1.14), <i>p</i> = 0.30	
MMSE	0.80 (0.71, 0.89), <i>p</i> < 0.001	0.77 (0.69, 0.86), p < 0.001	0.81 (0.71, 0.92), <i>p</i> = 0.002	
NPS group:				
Mild—No NPS	1.13 (0.72, 1.77), p = 0.587	$1.02 \ (0.66, 1.58), p = 0.937$	0.91 (0.52, 1.61), <i>p</i> = 0.75	
Severe—No NPS	1.11 (0.70, 1.76), <i>p</i> = 0.659	1.37 (0.88, 2.14), <i>p</i> = 0.170	1.85 (1.08, 3.17), <i>p</i> = 0.024	

Table 4. Results of logistic regression analyses for the positivity of biological factors. Values are odds ratios (95% confidence interval).

Aβ: Amyloid beta, HR: Hippocampal ratio, M: Male, MMSE: Mini Mental State Examination, NPS: Neuropsychiatric symptoms, p-tau: Phosphorylated tau.

4. Discussion

This study investigated the association between AD biomarkers and the severity of NPS in patients experiencing MCI due to AD, focussing on NPS that negatively impact on patients' and caregivers' quality of life. Among the three biomarkers investigated (i.e., CSF A β and p-tau levels and HR), only HR was negatively associated with NPS severity, while A β and p-tau were primarily associated with demographic characteristics.

The lack of a significant association between $A\beta$ and NPS severity is partially in line with previous studies. In fact, a comprehensive systematic review by Ng et al. [7] showed that the severity of mood disorders and CSF AB levels were not consistently associated in cross-sectional investigations. Another study using the ADNI database also found that CSF-based AD biomarkers did not differ between three groups of people with MCI with either affective, agitation/aggression or no NPS [8]. In contrast, other studies have found a significant association between lower CSF levels of A β and both the presence and greater severity of specific NPS, such as depression, anxiety and apathy [10,26]. However, it must be mentioned that this relationship appeared to be mediated by the severity of cognitive decline (i.e., MMSE score) [10]. This finding might have different implications. It is possible that associations between the severity of A β pathology, assessed using CSF, and NPS may be detected primarily in people with more severe cognitive deficits. Therefore, failing to control for the degree of cognitive decline may lead to biased results. Consistent with this interpretation, in this study, MMSE scores were significantly associated with worse biomarker profiles, i.e., lower A β and higher p-tau CSF levels and a smaller HR. Additionally, NPS-biomarker associations may manifest when heterogeneous samples characterised by a wide range of AD pathologic severity are investigated. However, the sample selected for this study included patients with MCI alone, who were possibly characterised by mild AD pathological changes. Indeed, only two in three people with MCI were positive for $A\beta$, and this might have prevented the detection of significant associations.

Similarly, no association was found between the CSF levels of p-tau and the probability of presenting with NPS, irrespective of symptom severity. Previous studies focussing on the severity of depressive symptoms in people both with [8,27] and without MCI [28] found no significant associations with CSF levels of p-tau. Only Krell-Roesch et al. [26] found that a higher p-tau/A β_{42} ratio was associated with more severe depression and anxiety in a sample of cognitively unimpaired older adults and patients with MCI. The consistent lack of significant associations between NPS and p-tau may be due to the fact that p-tau changes occur later in the disease course, compared with A β accumulation, and are associated with cognitive decline [29]. Hence, it is possible that p-tau levels may be more strongly associated with NPS severity in later disease stages. Indeed, a study found no significant association between p-tau and NPS in patients with MCI, while an association was detected in patients with dementia [30]. In line with this hypothesis, only 45% of the patients included in that study were positive for p-tau, thus suggesting that this sample presented with mild biomarker alterations.

Finally, the presence of severe NPS but not mild NPS was significantly associated with greater levels of neurodegeneration when patients were compared with those who presented no NPS. This was the case independently of whether HR was treated as either a continuous or a binary variable. Various neuroimaging studies that have attempted to detect neuroimaging biomarkers of NPS across the AD continuum have shown associations between more severe NPS and both GM and WM damage [7,31]. In particular, one study found a significant association between a composite MRI-based index of neurodegeneration (i.e., a combination of hippocampal volume and the cortical thickness of brain regions typically affected by AD pathology) and different clusters of NPS [32]. The volumetric and cortical thickness values of various medial temporal structures have been found to be significant predictors of NPS and MBI in people with and without MCI [33,34]. Consistent evidence has also emerged from studies investigating CSF biomarkers of neurodegeneration, e.g., t-tau/A β_{42} [15,26]. Therefore, the findings of this study and previous investigations seem to suggest that people with MCI and severe NPS may show signs of more advanced AD-related neurodegeneration than patients with no NPS, even though their global cognitive status appears comparable.

It is worth noting that several of the demographic variables we controlled for were associated with different AD biomarkers. In particular, age was associated with generally worse biomarker profiles, i.e., higher p-tau and lower A β and HR values. More years in education were positively associated with HR, thus suggesting a protective influence of education on the integrity of the hippocampus even in the presence of AD pathology. Finally, the male sex was found to be associated with lower p-tau CSF levels and a lower likelihood of being p-tau positive, thus suggesting a less severe neuropathological profile in male participants. A greater AD neuropathological burden in women has already been reported in the early and preclinical stages of AD [35]. In contrast, a higher proportion of male participants was observed in the group with severe NPS than in the other groups, a finding that is not consistent with previous evidence showing that the female sex may be associated with a greater risk of more severe NPS, except for apathy [36]. Such an observation may be due to unexplored prior psychiatric diagnoses but also a multitude of unknown sex- and gender-related psychosocial, genetic and neuropathological factors. However, it must be mentioned that the number of male and female participants included in this study was unbalanced and potentially not representative of this clinical population.

This study has limitations. First, the NPI-Q is a questionnaire based on responses provided, in most cases, by caregivers and therefore represents a subjective and potentially biased evaluation of the behavioural profiles of patients. Some of the questions included in the NPI-Q may be challenging and stressful for people who care for patients with cognitive impairments, especially relatives who have daily contact with them, and this could influence their evaluation. An in-depth interview performed by a trained clinician with patients and caregivers would be ideal for ascertaining the presence and severity of NPS. Nevertheless, it must be mentioned that the NPI-Q is easy to administer and has been used extensively to investigate behavioural problems experienced by people with dementia. This is an advantage that enables more direct comparisons with other similar studies. Second, the sample of participants with MCI included in this study presented primarily with either no or mild NPS. Moreover, it included a majority of male participants, different from the populations usually encountered in real-life clinical settings. This may be the outcome of the participant selection process based on the availability of a range of clinical and biological data in the ADNI database. Therefore, it is not possible to rule out completely that such a selection might have limited the sample size and introduced a bias in our sample. As a consequence, these factors may limit the generalisability of these findings, especially because men and women may show different degrees of neuropathological changes in the early stages of disease [35]. Fourth, we assessed NPS severity globally using the NPI-Q total score, and this prevents any definite conclusions on the possible

differential associations between AD biomarkers and individual NPS [26]. In fact, this study focussed on the severity of NPS globally, while previous studies detected differential, although heterogeneous, associations with specific NPS [10,26]. Fifth, this study used a cross-sectional design with a patient sample only including people in the early clinical stages of AD, i.e., MCI. Thus, it is only possible to speculate on how the relationship between AD biomarkers and NPS might differ across the AD continuum and on the relationship between longitudinal changes in biomarkers and symptoms as the disease progresses. Indeed, future studies will be needed to clarify whether accumulation of AD-related neuropathological changes (e.g., $A\beta$) may determine the severity and type of NPS profiles in cognitively unimpaired older adults before a substantial degree of neurodegeneration has occurred [14], although the evidence accumulated to date appears to still be inconsistent [7].

5. Conclusions

This study found that the presence of severe NPS in patients with MCI may be explained primarily by greater levels of AD-related GM neurodegeneration (i.e., lower HR values). This finding suggests that the early detection of NPS may be beneficial in preventing a more severe neuropathological trajectory. Indeed, targeting patients with MCI and NPS with either pharmacological or non-pharmacological treatments that could support brain plasticity and slow AD-related neurodegenerative processes may delay functional decline and improve prognosis.

Longitudinal investigations into the association between different biomarkers of AD and specific NPS would be needed to ascertain possible specific vulnerabilities in subgroups of patients who may be at increased risk of progression from MCI to AD dementia. Establishing patterns of biomarker–NPS relationships across the AD continuum would offer a biological model with which to interpret symptomatic changes occurring both naturally and as a result of targeted interventions. Future research would benefit from a comparison of the association between different AD biomarkers and individual NPS, as well as the severity and progression of symptoms. In particular, CSF- and blood-based levels of $A\beta_{40}$, $A\beta_{42}$, $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$, p-tau₁₈₁, p-tau₂₁₇ and p-tau₂₃₁ would be ideal candidates for assessing the involvement of A β and tau pathological changes. Moreover, neurofilament light chain (NfL) could be investigated as a marker of neurodegeneration, since people with MCI and MBI appear to show greater NfL increase in plasma over a period of 2 years [37]. Indeed, MBI criteria have been devised to detect NPS with an onset in older adulthood that are persistent and not explained by other medical conditions [16]. MBI is considered to be an "at-risk state" that could possibly capture those people who are more likely to progress to MCI and dementia [38]. Fluctuating NPS and a variety of other factors, including prior psychiatric history, may influence their manifestation. Therefore, focussing more efforts on clarifying the biomarker profile of MBI in different disease stages may lead to clinically relevant insights to support both the early diagnosis and clinical management of people with early AD.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/brainsci13081195/s1, Table S1. Differences in the prevalence of individual neuropsychiatric symptoms between patient groups (*Chi-square* test). All values are frequencies (proportions).

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, G.A., R.M. and A.V.; methodology, G.A., R.M. and A.V.; formal analysis, G.A. and R.M.; writing—original draft preparation, G.A.; writing—review and editing, R.M., P.C. and A.V. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The data collection and sharing for this project were funded by the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) (National Institutes of Health Grant U01 AG024904) and DOD ADNI (Department of Defense, award number W81XWH-12-2-0012). The ADNI is funded by the National Institute on Aging, the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering and through generous contributions from the following: AbbVie, Alzheimer's Association; Alzheimer's Drug

Discovery Foundation; Araclon Biotech; BioClinica, Inc.; Biogen; Bristol Myers Squibb Company; CereSpir, Inc.; Cogstate; Eisai Inc.; Elan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Eli Lilly and Company; EuroImmun; F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. and its affiliated company Genentech, Inc.; Fujirebio; GE Healthcare; IXICO Ltd.; Janssen Alzheimer Immunotherapy Research & Development, LLC.; Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development LLC.; Lumosi-ty; Lundbeck; Merck & Co., Inc.; Meso Scale Diagnostics, LLC.; NeuroRx Research; Neurotrack Technologies; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; Pfizer Inc.; Piramal Imaging; Servier; Takeda Pharmaceutical Company; and Transition Therapeutics. The Canadian Institutes of Health Research is providing funds to support the ADNI clinical sites in Canada. Private sector contributions are facilitated by the Foundation for the National Institutes of Health (www.fnih.org (accessed on 10 July 2023)). The grantee organization is the Northern California Institute for Research and Education, and the study is coordinated by the Alzheimer's Therapeutic Research Institute at the University of Southern California. ADNI data are disseminated by the Laboratory for Neuro Imaging at the University of Southern California. R.M. acknowledges the support received through a research fellowship from the Alzheimer's Association.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Institutional review boards of each site involved in the ADNI approved the study protocol. This study was conducted in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approval for secondary analyses of the ADNI dataset was granted by the Research Committee of Brunel University of London (reference number 30422-TISS-Jul/2021-33453-2).

Informed Consent Statement: All participants provided written informed consent to take part in the ADNI and to the sharing of their data with the scientific community, also including publications.

Data Availability Statement: All ADNI data are made publicly available upon request.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Bessey, L.J.; Walaszek, A. Management of Behavioral and Psychological Symptoms of Dementia. *Curr. Psychiatry Rep.* **2019**, *21*, 66. [CrossRef]
- Germain, S.; Adam, S.; Olivier, C.; Cash, H.; Ousset, P.J.; Andrieu, S.; Vellas, B.; Meulemans, T.; Reynish, E.; Salmon, E.; et al. Does cognitive impairment influence burden in caregivers of patients with Alzheimer's disease? *J. Alzheimers Dis.* 2009, 17, 105–114. [CrossRef]
- Siafarikas, N.; Selbaek, G.; Fladby, T.; Benth, J.Š.; Auning, E.; Aarsland, D. Frequency and subgroups of neuropsychiatric symptoms in mild cognitive impairment and different stages of dementia in Alzheimer's disease. *Int. Psychogeriatr.* 2018, 30, 103–113. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wadsworth, L.P.; Lorius, N.; Donovan, N.J.; Locascio, J.J.; Rentz, D.M.; Johnson, K.A.; Sperling, R.A.; Marshall, G.A. Neuropsychiatric symptoms and global functional impairment along the Alzheimer's continuum. *Dement. Geriatr. Cogn. Disord.* 2012, 34, 96–111. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mallo, S.C.; Valladares-Rodriguez, S.; Facal, D.; Lojo-Seoane, C.; Fernández-Iglesias, M.J.; Pereiro, A.X. Neuropsychiatric symptoms as predictors of conversion from MCI to dementia: A machine learning approach. *Int. Psychogeriatr.* 2020, *32*, 381–392. [CrossRef]
- Jack, C.R., Jr.; Bennett, D.A.; Blennow, K.; Carrillo, M.C.; Dunn, B.; Haeberlein, S.B.; Holtzman, D.M.; Jagust, W.; Jessen, F.; Karlawish, J.; et al. NIA-AA Research Framework: Toward a biological definition of Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2018, 14, 535–562. [CrossRef]
- Ng, K.P.; Chiew, H.; Rosa-Neto, P.; Kandiah, N.; Ismail, Z.; Gauthier, S. Associations of AT(N) biomarkers with neuropsychiatric symptoms in preclinical Alzheimer's disease and cognitively unimpaired individuals. *Transl. Neurodegener.* 2021, 10, 11. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jang, J.Y.; Ho, J.K.; Blanken, A.E.; Dutt, S.; Nation, D.A.; Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative. Affective Neuropsychiatric Symptoms as Early Signs of Dementia Risk in Older Adults. J. Alzheimers Dis. 2020, 77, 1195–1207. [CrossRef]
- 9. Nobis, L.; Husain, M. Apathy in Alzheimer's disease. Curr. Opin. Behav. Sci. 2018, 22, 7–13. [CrossRef]
- Banning, L.C.P.; Ramakers, I.H.G.B.; Köhler, S.; Bron, E.E.; Verhey, F.R.J.; de Deyn, P.P.; Claassen, J.A.H.R.; Koek, H.L.; Middelkoop, H.A.M.; van der Flier, W.M.; et al. The Association Between Biomarkers and Neuropsychiatric Symptoms Across the Alzheimer's Disease Spectrum. *Am. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* 2020, *28*, 735–744. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Showraki, A.; Murari, G.; Ismail, Z.; Barfett, J.J.; Fornazzari, L.; Munoz, D.G.; Schweizer, T.A.; Fischer, C.E. Cerebrospinal Fluid Correlates of Neuropsychiatric Symptoms in Patients with Alzheimer's Disease/Mild Cognitive Impairment: A Systematic Review. J. Alzheimers Dis. 2019, 71, 477–501. [CrossRef]
- Lussier, F.Z.; Pascoal, T.A.; Chamoun, M.; Therriault, J.; Tissot, C.; Savard, M.; Kang, M.S.; Mathotaarachchi, S.; Benedet, A.L.; Parsons, M.; et al. Mild behavioral impairment is associated with β-amyloid but not tau or neurodegeneration in cognitively intact elderly individuals. *Alzheimers Dement.* 2020, *16*, 192–199. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Miao, R.; Chen, H.Y.; Gill, S.; Naude, J.; Smith, E.E.; Ismail, Z.; Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative. Plasma β-Amyloid in Mild Behavioural Impairment-Neuropsychiatric Symptoms on the Alzheimer's Continuum. *J. Geriatr. Psychiatry Neurol.* 2022, 35, 434–441. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tissot, C.; Therriault, J.; Pascoal, T.A.; Chamoun, M.; Lussier, F.Z.; Savard, M.; Mathotaarachchi, S.S.; Benedet, A.; Thomas, E.M.; Parsons, M.; et al. Association between regional tau pathology and neuropsychiatric symptoms in aging and dementia due to Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement.* 2021, 7, e12154. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Scaricamazza, E.; Colonna, I.; Sancesario, G.M.; Assogna, F.; Orfei, M.D.; Franchini, F.; Sancesario, G.; Mercuri, N.B.; Liguori, C. Neuropsychiatric symptoms differently affect mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease patients: A retrospective observational study. *Neurol. Sci.* 2019, 40, 1377–1382. [CrossRef]
- 16. de Medeiros, K.; Robert, P.; Gauthier, S.; Stella, F.; Politis, A.; Leoutsakos, J.; Taragano, F.; Kremer, J.; Brugnolo, A.; Porsteinsson, A.P.; et al. The Neuropsychiatric Inventory-Clinician rating scale (NPI-C): Reliability and validity of a revised assessment of neuropsychiatric symptoms in dementia. *Int. Psychogeriatr.* **2010**, *22*, 984–994. [CrossRef]
- Hampel, H.; Cummings, J.; Blennow, K.; Gao, P.; Jack, C.R., Jr.; Vergallo, A. Developing the ATX (N) classification for use across the Alzheimer disease continuum. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* 2021, 17, 580–589. [CrossRef]
- Ismail, Z.; Smith, E.E.; Geda, Y.; Sultzer, D.; Brodaty, H.; Smith, G.; Agüera-Ortiz, L.; Sweet, R.; Miller, D.; Lyketsos, C.G.; et al. Neuropsychiatric symptoms as early manifestations of emergent dementia: Provisional diagnostic criteria for mild behavioral impairment. *Alzheimers Dement.* 2016, *12*, 195–202. [CrossRef]
- 19. Wiels, W.A.; Wittens, M.M.J.; Zeeuws, D.; Baeken, C.; Engelborghs, S. Neuropsychiatric Symptoms in Mild Cognitive Impairment and Dementia Due to AD: Relation with Disease Stage and Cognitive Deficits. *Front. Psychiatry* **2021**, *17*, 707580. [CrossRef]
- 20. Edwin, T.H.; Strand, B.H.; Persson, K.; Engedal, K.; Selbæk, G.; Knapskog, A.-B. Neuropsychiatric symptoms and comorbidity: Associations with dementia progression rate in a memory clinic cohort. *Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* **2021**, *36*, 960–969. [CrossRef]
- 21. Kaufer, D.I.; Cummings, J.L.; Ketchel, P.; Smith, V.; MacMillan, A.; Shelley, T.; Lopez, O.L.; DeKosky, S.T. Validation of the NPI-Q, a brief clinical form of the Neuropsychiatric Inventory. J. Neuropsychiatry Clin. Neurosci. 2000, 12, 233–239. [CrossRef]
- Toledo, J.B.; Cairns, N.J.; Da, X.; Chen, K.; Carter, D.; Fleisher, A.; Householder, E.; Ayutyanont, N.; Roontiva, A.; Bauer, R.J.; et al. Clinical and multimodal biomarker correlates of ADNI neuropathological findings. *Acta Neuropathol. Commun.* 2013, 9, 65. [CrossRef]
- Landau, S.M.; Mintun, M.A.; Joshi, A.D.; Koeppe, R.A.; Petersen, R.C.; Aisen, P.S.; Weiner, M.W.; Jagust, W.J.; Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative. Amyloid deposition, hypometabolism, and longitudinal cognitive decline. *Ann. Neurol.* 2012, 72, 578–586. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Hansson, O.; Seibyl, J.; Stomrud, E.; Zetterberg, H.; Trojanowski, J.Q.; Bittner, T.; Lifke, V.; Corradini, V.; Eichenlaub, U.; Batrla, R.; et al. CSF biomarkers of Alzheimer's disease concord with amyloid-β PET and predict clinical progression: A study of fully automated immunoassays in BioFINDER and ADNI cohorts. *Alzheimers Dement.* 2018, 14, 1470–1481. [CrossRef]
- Bucci, M.; Chiotis, K.; Nordberg, A.; Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative. Alzheimer's disease profiled by fluid and imaging markers: Tau PET best predicts cognitive decline. *Mol. Psychiatry* 2021, 26, 5888–5898. [CrossRef]
- Krell-Roesch, J.; Rakusa, M.; Syrjanen, J.A.; van Harten, A.C.; Lowe, V.J.; Jack, C.R., Jr.; Kremers, W.K.; Knopman, D.S.; Stokin, G.B.; Petersen, R.C.; et al. Association between CSF biomarkers of Alzheimer's disease and neuropsychiatric symptoms: Mayo Clinic Study of Aging. *Alzheimers Dement.* 2022. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gonzales, M.M.; Insel, P.S.; Nelson, C.; Tosun, D.; Schöll, M.; Mattsson, N.; Sacuiu, S.; Bickford, D.; Weiner, M.W.; Mackin, R.S.; et al. Chronic depressive symptomatology and CSF amyloid beta and tau levels in mild cognitive impairment. *Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* 2018, *33*, 1305–1311. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Babulal, G.M.; Ghoshal, N.; Head, D.; Vernon, E.K.; Holtzman, D.M.; Benzinger, T.L.; Fagan, A.M.; Morris, J.C.; Roe, C.M. Mood changes in cognitively normal older adults are linked to Alzheimer disease biomarker levels. *Am. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* 2016, 24, 1095–1104. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Apostolova, L.G.; Hwang, K.S.; Kohannim, O.; Avila, D.; Elashoff, D.; Jack, C.R., Jr.; Shaw, L.; Trojanowski, J.Q.; Weiner, M.W.; Thompson, P.M.; et al. ApoE4 effects on automated diagnostic classifiers for mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroimage Clin.* 2014, 4, 461–472. [CrossRef]
- Banning, L.C.P.; Ramakers, I.H.G.B.; Deckers, K.; Verhey, F.R.J.; Aalten, P. Affective symptoms and AT(N) biomarkers in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease: A systematic literature review. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 2019, 107, 346–359. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 31. Miao, R.; Chen, H.Y.; Robert, P.; Smith, E.E.; Ismail, Z.; MEMENTO Study Group. White matter hyperintensities and mild behavioral impairment: Findings from the MEMENTO cohort study. *Cereb. Circ. Cogn. Behav.* **2021**, *2*, 100028. [CrossRef]
- Kan, C.N.; Xu, X.; Schmetterer, L.; Venketasubramanian, N.; Chen, C.; Tan, C.H. Interactions of comorbid neuropsychiatric subsyndromes with neurodegenerative and cerebrovascular pathologies on cognition. *Neurobiol. Aging* 2022, 109, 239–246. [CrossRef]
- 33. Johansson, M.; Stomrud, E.; Lindberg, O.; Westman, E.; Johansson, P.M.; van Westen, D.; Mattsson, N.; Hansson, O. Apathy and anxiety are early markers of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol. Aging* **2020**, *85*, 74–82. [CrossRef]
- Matuskova, V.; Ismail, Z.; Nikolai, T.; Markova, H.; Cechova, K.; Nedelska, Z.; Laczó, J.; Wang, M.; Hort, J.; Vyhnalek, M. Mild Behavioral Impairment Is Associated with Atrophy of Entorhinal Cortex and Hippocampus in a Memory Clinic Cohort. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 2021, 13, 643271, Erratum in: *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 2022, 14, 884077. [CrossRef]

- Buckley, R.F.; Mormino, E.C.; Rabin, J.S.; Hohman, T.J.; Landau, S.; Hanseeuw, B.J.; Jacobs, H.I.; Papp, K.V.; Amariglio, R.E.; Properzi, M.J.; et al. Sex differences in the association of global amyloid and regional tau deposition measured by positron emission tomography in clinically normal older adults. *JAMA Neurol.* 2019, 76, 542–551. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Eikelboom, W.S.; Pan, M.; Ossenkoppele, R.; Coesmans, M.; Gatchel, J.R.; Ismail, Z.; Lanctôt, K.L.; Fischer, C.E.; Mortby, M.E.; van den Berg, E.; et al. Sex differences in neuropsychiatric symptoms in Alzheimer's disease dementia: A meta-analysis. *Alzheimers Res. Ther.* 2022, 14, 48. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Naude, J.P.; Gill, S.; Hu, S.; McGirr, A.; Forkert, N.D.; Monchi, O.; Stys, P.K.; Smith, E.E.; Ismail, Z.; Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative. Plasma neurofilament light: A marker of neurodegeneration in mild behavioral impairment. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* 2020, *76*, 1017–1027. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 38. Taragano, F.E.; Allegri, R.F.; Krupitzki, H.; Sarasola, D.R.; Serrano, C.M.; Loñ, L.; Lyketsos, C.G. Mild behavioral impairment and risk of dementia: A prospective cohort study of 358 patients. *J. Clin. Psychiatry* **2009**, *70*, 584. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.