

This paper has been accepted for publication in *The Journal of Dance Medicine & Science (JDMS)*

# STRENGTH TRAINING PERCEPTIONS AMONGST VOCATIONAL CIRCUS AND DANCE STUDENTS

## Abstract

The aim of this study was to analyse perceptions of strength training in vocational circus and dance students. It was hypothesised that due to the higher relative strength demands and associated risks of working at height in some aerial and acrobatic disciplines that circus students would be more open to strength training than dancers.

Eighty students completed the Training Information Survey (TIS) (Mean age =  $20.74 \pm 2.71$ ); 39 circus students and 41 dance students. Ninety-seven percent of circus students and 69% of dance participants reported that strength training was a required part of their training with students participating in strength training  $4.24 \pm 0.98$  days per week and  $3.05 \pm 1.42$  days per week respectively.

Perceptions of strength training amongst vocational circus and dance students appear to be favourable, with both sets of students strongly agreeing with the statements "Strength training is essential to my overall development as a dancer/circus artist" (5.00 (IQR 1.00) and 5.00 (IQR 1.00) respectively). Following Bonferonni correction only one statement returned statistically significant results with dance students agreeing more strongly with the statement "Strength training increases muscle size",  $U=473.00$ ,  $p=0.001$ . Students also agreed that strength training helped them to feel better mentally and physically, that strength training is beneficial for both men and women and that it should not be designated as specific to either sex.

Results support earlier studies that suggest a cultural shift in perceptions of strength training and ideal aesthetic in dance, particularly amongst students and that they are keen to incorporate strength training into their practice. Educational establishments should note students' interest in participating in strength training, reviewing how to embed effective strength training education, more coaching and time allowance for these activities within their timetable. Further research with a greater sample size is recommended to further substantiate these indications of a shift in perceptions.

**Word Count: 2607**

**Key points:**

- 35 • Circus and dance students responded positively to the inclusion of strength training in their  
36 programme and perceived multiple benefits to mental and physical health and a positive  
37 impact on performance.
- 38 • Circus and dance artists both highlighted that strength training is not a predominantly male  
39 or female domain and should be available equally to all genders.
- 40 • This research suggests a shift in perceptions, with a predominantly positive perception of  
41 strength training, and a desire to receive guidance on how to carry out strength training  
42 effectively.

43

44 **Key words: Strength; Performance; Coaching; Dance; Circus; Hypertrophy**

45

46 **Introduction**

47 Circus and dance are both forms of physically demanding performance<sup>1,2</sup> that cover a range of  
48 disciplines. Both also heavily rely on performance aesthetics; combining artistic and athletic  
49 performance.<sup>2,3</sup> Contemporary circus encompasses a variety of disciplines including aerial skills such  
50 as static trapeze, flying trapeze, lyra, rope, corde lissé and silks/tissue; and non-aerial, ground-based  
51 disciplines including acrobatics, hand to hand, juggling and Cyr wheel,<sup>3,4</sup> with training available via  
52 vocational training organisations as well as smaller circus schools. Likewise, vocational dance training  
53 may focus on one specific genre or provide a range of pathways including ballet, contemporary, jazz,  
54 and musical theatre. A requirement to include acrobatic tricks and extreme flexibility in a dancer's  
55 repertoire appears to be more prevalent in recent years. To the authors' knowledge, there is no  
56 existing research that discusses this phenomena, however there is anecdotal evidence from within  
57 dance schools and within recent dance magazine publications<sup>5-7</sup> suggesting that there is a growing  
58 demand for dancers to increase their versatility by including acrobatics in their repertoire in order to  
59 increase their employability. Most circus performers engage in some form of acrobatics accompanied  
60 by artistic skill<sup>3</sup>. Circus acrobatics, comprising of aerial acrobatics and ground acrobatics, requires high  
61 levels of athleticism<sup>8</sup> and the ability of the performer to hold and manipulate their own body weight  
62 on and around their apparatus or other performers. Research into the physical wellbeing of circus  
63 artists has currently focused on injury patterns,<sup>4,9-12</sup> with some research beginning to investigate  
64 biomechanics and strength characteristics of specific movements such as shoulder range of motion.<sup>8</sup>  
65 Within circus research the requirement for strength, power, flexibility, balance and agility have been  
66 briefly mentioned,<sup>13,14</sup> however research on the physiological demands of circus disciplines is scarce.<sup>14</sup>  
67 We are aware of only one recent paper specifically investigating shoulder range of motion and

68 strength characteristics in circus acrobats<sup>8</sup> and research into physiological profiles of recreational  
69 aerialists.<sup>14</sup>

70

71 It is suggested that dancers often perceive fitness as the absence of injury,<sup>15</sup> rather than a requisite  
72 part of dance training and that strength is not necessary for a successful dance career.<sup>16</sup> Investigations  
73 into levels of physical fitness in dancers have suggested they have similar levels of strength to the  
74 general population and are not as fit as their athletic counterparts.<sup>17,18</sup> However, circus artists who  
75 participated in both aerial and ground acrobatics have been found to have greater shoulder strength  
76 and range of motion than the general population.<sup>8</sup> It could be posed that due to the higher risk factors  
77 related to circus disciplines, particularly when working at height, there is a greater emphasis on  
78 muscular strength, power and endurance than within dance genres.

79

### 80 **Perceptions of strength training in the performing arts**

81 Recent research into perceptions of strength training in dance<sup>16,19</sup> have demonstrated that previously  
82 assumed perceptions that dancers were fearful of strength training due to increases in muscle  
83 hypertrophy and therefore had a negative impact on aesthetics,<sup>15,20</sup> are not as prevalent as previously  
84 assumed, although larger data sets are required to further substantiate these results. A cultural shift  
85 is visible amongst some dance students whereby they perceive the benefits of strength training on  
86 their dance performance<sup>16,19</sup> as well as a desire for a more toned physique with muscular definition.  
87 Results demonstrate an understanding amongst dance students and professional dancers of the need  
88 for strength training and an agreement that it is beneficial to their performance as well as how they  
89 feel about themselves physically and mentally.<sup>16</sup> Previous literature relating to body composition in  
90 dance, described modern dancers as having a more muscular physique<sup>21</sup> and that they had a stronger  
91 focus on physiological demands rather than aesthetic qualities.<sup>16,22</sup> No research has explored similar  
92 themes in circus students. Due to the higher requirement for relative strength in modern circus  
93 disciplines it could be presumed that circus students may also have a more favourable view of strength  
94 training than some dance students.

95

96 The aim of this study was therefore to ascertain current perceptions of strength training across  
97 different disciplines within vocational circus and dance training. The objectives of the study were to  
98 investigate the level to which dance and circus students agree or disagree with a set of statements  
99 relating to perceptions of strength training and examine any differences between the two groups of  
100 students.

101

102 H0 = No significant differences between the perceptions of dance and circus students.

103

#### 104 **Methods**

105 Eighty UK students participated in the study (Mean age = 20.74±2.71), with 39 circus students and 41  
106 dance students. Both gender and sex assigned at birth were recorded with 9 participants identifying  
107 as male, 65 as female and 6 as non-binary. A power analysis returned a recommended sample size of  
108 51 per group ( $\alpha = 0.05$ , power = .80,  $d = 0.5$ ), however due to the relatively small number of vocational  
109 students within the two training institutions in this study and possibility to opt-out of the survey, it  
110 was not possible to attain this level of participation. Ethical approval was granted by Middlesex  
111 University Arts and Creative Industries Ethics committee. Data collection took place April – July 2021  
112 and June 2022.

113

114 A modified version of the Training Information Survey (TIS)<sup>16,23</sup> was distributed online via Qualtrics<sup>®XM</sup>  
115 survey software to current students on BA undergraduate programmes at London Studio Centre and  
116 National Centre for Circus Arts inviting them to participate, with email reminders prior to the survey  
117 closing. The Training Information Survey is a tool to ascertain perceptions of strength training via a  
118 series of statements answered with a Likert style scale. Originally utilised to in the National Collegiate  
119 Athletic Association (NCCA), the statements were modified by Farmer and Brouner<sup>16</sup> to suit a  
120 performing arts context by replacing words such as ‘sport’ with ‘performance’, ‘dance’ or ‘circus’ on a  
121 small number of the statements. The TIS has been shown as a valid tool within the field of exercise  
122 science with an alpha correlation coefficient of 0.89.<sup>23</sup> The survey took approximately four-minutes to  
123 complete. For this study strength training was defined as “any training that requires the muscles to  
124 move against an opposing force (usually some form of equipment). This may include free weights  
125 (kettlebells, dumbbells, medicine balls), weight training machines, resistance bands or bodyweight  
126 training.” This statement was included at the beginning of the survey. The survey consisted of five  
127 questions pertaining to participation in strength training followed by twenty-one statements such as  
128 “Strength training has beneficial effects on my dance/circus performance” and “Strength training  
129 increases body weight”, with a Likert-style scale response of 1-5 (1=Strongly disagree, 5=Strongly  
130 agree). Each statement is scored individually to ascertain level of agreement with the statement. An  
131 optional free-text comments box was included at the end of the survey to provide qualitative data as  
132 to participants’ perceptions of strength training. Basic demographic information including age,  
133 gender, sex assigned at birth, chosen specialism and year of study was also collated. Pre-analysis tests  
134 of normality were conducted using a Shapiro Wilk test which showed evidence of non-normality  
135 ( $p < 0.001$ ) for all data sets. Between group differences were assessed by Mann-Whitney U (IBM<sup>®</sup> SPSS<sup>®</sup>)

136 Statistics, V25). Post-hoc analysis via Bonferonni correction was utilised to assess for differences  
137 between survey statements ( $p<.002$ ).

138

### 139 **Results**

140 All results pertaining to the initial five questions of the survey are presented as percentages, with the  
141 remaining twenty-one statements recorded via Likert scale presented as mean and standard  
142 deviation.

143 Ninety-seven percent of circus students and 69% of dance participants reported that strength training  
144 was a required part of their training programme, with 62% of circus students and 81% of dance  
145 students reporting that they participate in strength training on their own anyway in addition to the  
146 requirements of their training programme, although not all students responded to this question.  
147 Circus students included strength training days  $4.24\pm0.98$  per week, with dance students participating  
148 in strength training  $3.05\pm1.42$  days per week.

149

150 The chosen specialism or favourite discipline for each participant are displayed in Table 1 and Table 2.

151 Some circus participants selected two or more specialisms.

152

153 **[TABLE 1 HERE]**

154

155

156 **[TABLE 2 HERE]**

157

158 Dance students agreed more strongly than circus students with the “Strength training increases  
159 muscle size”,  $U=473.00$ ,  $p=0.001$ . No other statistically significant results were found between the  
160 perceptions of dance students in comparison to the circus students (Table 3).

161

162 **[TABLE 3 HERE]**

163

164 Twelve participants provided comments in the free text box. Five of these comments related to  
165 strength training being neither a male or female specific activity, and that it should be available to all,  
166 including; “Strength training is not made for man or women, is made for who wants to be physically  
167 better”. All other comments focused positively on strength training and the need for it to be included  
168 in training; “if one to one sessions with strength coaches were readily available this would improve  
169 my desire and determination to strength train more than I currently do now”, “Benefits preventing

170 injuries”, “...it’s necessary for everyone- Personally I think we should all have more”, “I think most  
171 people are unaware of the benefits, although everyone needs to find their own version that suits them  
172 best.”, “Provides muscle endurance that technique classes don’t allow you to increase in the same  
173 way” and “It is necessary for body and mental health and upkeep.”

174

## 175 **Discussion**

176 We hypothesised that there would be differences in perceptions of strength training between circus  
177 and dance students due to the greater risk of injury posed by working at height in some circus  
178 disciplines, however our results suggest no statistically significant between-group differences. The  
179 majority of responses from circus students were from those who specialised in an aerial discipline  
180 including aerial hoop, rope and straps. However, participants’ responses suggest that both dance and  
181 circus students understand the importance of strength training for their chosen discipline. Perceptions  
182 of strength training amongst vocational circus and dance students appear to be favourable, with both  
183 sets of students strongly agreeing with the statements “Strength training is essential to my overall  
184 development as a dancer/circus artist” (5.00 (IQR 1.00) and 5.00 (IQR 1.00) respectively). This is  
185 reflective of previous results within student and professional dancers, who also cited strength training  
186 as essential to their overall development<sup>16</sup> and within collegiate dance students who viewed strength  
187 training as key to optimising their performance.<sup>19</sup>

188

189 Students also agreed that strength training helped them to feel better mentally and physically and is  
190 beneficial to both males and females, with the free-text box further emphasising that strength training  
191 was not assigned to a specific sex or gender; “It’s neither inherently masculine or feminine, but it can  
192 make you feel either, or both, of those, if you feel so inclined”. These perceptions concur with recent  
193 research that demonstrates a sea-change in perceptions, particularly amongst students.<sup>16,19</sup>

194

195 Prior research has stated that there is often misinformation on the importance of strength training to  
196 optimise performance in sport and dance,<sup>23,24</sup> particularly in relation to female athletes. Interestingly  
197 many of the free comments provided by participants stated that strength training was neither  
198 predominantly a male or female activity. In response to questions relating to gender disparities in ST,  
199 both dance and circus students disagreed with the statements “Strength training is a masculine  
200 activity” (1.00 (IQR 1.00) and 2.00 (IQR 2.00)) and “Strength training is a feminine activity” (2.00 (IQR  
201 1.50) and 2.00 (IQR 2.00)) and agreeing with the statements “Strength training is beneficial to men”  
202 (5.00 (IQR 0.00) and 5.00 (IQR 1.00)) and “Strength training is beneficial to women” (5.00 (IQR 0.00)  
203 and 5.00 (IQR 1.00)), although with slightly greater variation in responses from circus students. This

204 suggests that perceptions of strength training relate more to the demand of the dance genre or circus  
205 discipline the performer is training for, rather than any relation to sex or aesthetics as previously  
206 posed. However, due to the small sample size in this study further research is needed to further  
207 investigate perceptions of strength training in relation to sex and gender.

208

209 As discussed by Rosenthal et al.<sup>19</sup> collegiate dancers demonstrated less integrated or intrinsic  
210 motivation when participating in strength training. Only one dancer reported enjoyment as a reason  
211 to participate in strength training alongside their dance training.<sup>19</sup> In the current study, both dance  
212 and circus students neither agreed nor disagreed with the statement “Strength training is fun and  
213 enjoyable”, 4.00 (IQR 0.00) and 4.00 (IQR 1.00) respectively and “Strength training enhances body and  
214 self-image”, 4.00 (IQR 1.50) and 4.00 (IQR 1.00). It has been suggested that barriers to participation in  
215 strength training may be removed through an increase in education on the benefits and techniques of  
216 strength training<sup>16,19</sup> and that this may in turn lead to increases in intrinsically motivating factors.<sup>19</sup>

#### 217 **Limitations**

218 As with all self-reported surveys there is potential for misinterpretation from the participants when  
219 completing the questions. A definition of strength training was included at the beginning of the survey,  
220 and responses were assumed to have been considered in relation to this particular definition, however  
221 this cannot be certain. Additionally, the free text box garnered very few detailed responses, thus  
222 limiting the amount of qualitative data available to the authors. This section of the survey was optional  
223 and only requested any further details the student wished to share, rather than asking specifically for  
224 commentary relating to their perceptions. Although the survey was shared with all students at both  
225 institutions, participants were able to self-select into the survey. It is therefore possible that those  
226 who chose to complete the survey either already have a vested interest in strength and conditioning  
227 or are opposed to the inclusion of strength and conditioning within dance training.

#### 228 **Practical and Clinical Applications and Implications**

229 Students’ interest in participating in strength training and receiving more coaching and time allowance  
230 for these activities should be noted by educational establishments and coaches. Utilising this appetite  
231 for strength training to optimise performance can help to reduce risk of injury as well as prepare  
232 students for a range of choreographic demands in their future training and careers. However, careful

233 consideration must be given when planning training schedules in order to not overload students.<sup>25,26</sup>  
234 Therefore, in order to implement strength training it is likely that educational institutions will need to  
235 consider removing something from their existing timetable.

236

237 The addition of specialist coaches to enable the safe and effective implementation of strength training  
238 is also recommended. Whilst this is the optimal scenario the authors understand that this may not be  
239 a feasible first step for some educational establishments and therefore, instead, they should look to  
240 support the students with knowledge and resources regarding how to safely embed strength training  
241 as a supplementary co-curricular activity.

242

243 It is also suggested that a tool such as the Training Information Survey (TIS) be utilised by coaches and  
244 teachers in order to understand the perceptions of the performing artists they are working with, and  
245 thus design an optimal training programme that takes these perceptions into consideration. This in  
246 turn may increase adherence in strength training programmes amongst performing artists.

247

## 248 **Conclusion**

249 This is the first study to investigate perceptions of strength training within a vocational circus and  
250 dance training context. Results concur with prior research<sup>16,19</sup> in dance that there appears to be a  
251 cultural shift amongst dancers, particularly in relation to the preconceived ideas of how a performer  
252 should look and the purpose of strength training in their development as a performer. From these  
253 preliminary investigations it appears circus and dance students are no longer highly concerned with  
254 muscle hypertrophy and a negative impact on performance aesthetics, but instead value the positive  
255 impact it has on how they feel physically and mentally, as well as optimising their performance. It is  
256 therefore suggested that dance and circus training establishments integrate strength training into  
257 students' programmes, offering expert guidance from strength training professionals on how to do so  
258 safely and effectively.

259

260

261 **Acknowledgements**

262 The authors wish to thank Michaela O'Connor for her assistance in collecting the data for this study.

263

264 **References**

- 265 1. Stubbe JH, Richardson A, Van Rijn RM. Prospective cohort study on injuries and health  
266 problems among circus arts students. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med.* 2018;4(1):327.  
267 doi:10.1136/bmjsem-2017-000327
- 268 2. Bolling C, Mellette J, Pasma HR, van Mechelen W, Verhagen E. From the safety net to  
269 the injury prevention web: Applying systems thinking to unravel injury prevention  
270 challenges and opportunities in Cirque du Soleil. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med.*  
271 2019;5(1). doi:10.1136/bmjsem-2018-000492
- 272 3. Filho E, Aubertin P, Petiot B. The making of expert performers at Cirque du Soleil and  
273 the National Circus School: A performance enhancement outlook. *J Sport Psychol*  
274 *Action.* 2016;7(2):68-79. doi:10.1080/21520704.2016.1138266
- 275 4. Hakim H, Puel F, Bertucci W. Injury assessment in circus student-artists population;  
276 preliminary study. *Sci Sports.* 2020;35(3):154-160. doi:10.1016/j.scispo.2019.07.006
- 277 5. Acro Dance at Competitions – Getting the Balance Right! Accessed January 25, 2022.  
278 <https://danceparent101.com/acro-dance-at-competitions-getting-the-balance-right/>
- 279 6. Reaching New Heights - Acro at Competition. Accessed January 25, 2022.  
280 [https://www.impactdanceadjudicators.com/post/2018/03/15/reaching-new-heights-](https://www.impactdanceadjudicators.com/post/2018/03/15/reaching-new-heights-acro-at-competition)  
281 [acro-at-competition](https://www.impactdanceadjudicators.com/post/2018/03/15/reaching-new-heights-acro-at-competition)
- 282 7. The Acro Invasion - Dance Australia. Accessed January 25, 2022.  
283 <http://www.danceaustralia.com.au/expertise/the-acro-invasion>
- 284 8. Huberman C, Scales M, Vallabhajosula S. Shoulder Range of Motion and Strength  
285 Characteristics in Circus Acrobats. *Med Probl Perform Art.* 2020;35(3):145-152.  
286 doi:10.21091/mppa.2020.3025
- 287 9. Shrier I, Meeuwisse WH, Matheson GO, et al. Injury patterns and injury rates in the  
288 circus arts an analysis of 5 years of data from cirque du soleil. *American Journal of*  
289 *Sports Medicine.* 2009;37(6):1143-1149. doi:10.1177/0363546508331138
- 290 10. Lamme E. The business of injury prevention in circus performance. *Br J Sports Med.*  
291 2011;45(4):314-315. doi:10.1136/bjsem.2011.084038.14
- 292 11. Hamilton GM, Meeuwisse WH, Emery CA, Shrier I. Examining the effect of the injury  
293 definition on risk factor analysis in circus artists. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.*  
294 2012;22(3):330-334. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0838.2010.01245.x

- 295 12. Greenspan S. Injury Frequency and Characteristics in Adolescent and Adult Circus  
296 Artists: A Pilot Prospective Cohort Study. *Med Probl Perform Art.* 2021;36(2):103-107.  
297 doi:10.21091/mppa.2021.2013
- 298 13. Shrier I, Hallé M. Psychological predictors of injuries in circus artists: An exploratory  
299 study. *Br J Sports Med.* 2011;45(5):433-436. doi:10.1136/bjsm.2009.067751
- 300 14. Ruggieri, Costa. Contralateral Muscle Imbalances and Physiological Profile of  
301 Recreational Aerial Athletes. *J Funct Morphol Kinesiol.* 2019;4(3):49.  
302 doi:10.3390/jfmk4030049
- 303 15. Koutedakis Y, Hukam H, Metsios G, et al. The effects of three months of aerobic and  
304 strength training on selected performance and fitness-related parameters in modern  
305 dance students. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2007;21(3):808-812. doi:10.1519/R-20856.1
- 306 16. Farmer C, Brouner J. Perceptions of Strength Training in Dance. *Journal of Dance  
307 Medicine & Science.* 2021;25(3):160-168. doi:10.12678/1089-313x.091521a
- 308 17. Twitchett EA, Angioi M, Koutedakis Y, Wyon M. Do increases in selected fitness  
309 parameters affect the aesthetic aspects of classical ballet performance? *Med Probl  
310 Perform Art.* 2011;26(1):35-38.
- 311 18. Angioi M, Metsios GS, Twitchett E, Koutedakis Y, Wyon M. Association between  
312 selected physical fitness parameters and esthetic competence in contemporary  
313 dancers. *J Dance Med Sci.* 2009;13(4):115-123. Accessed July 16, 2020.  
314 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19930813/>
- 315 19. Rosenthal M, McPherson AM, Docherty CL, Klossner J. Perceptions and utilization of  
316 strength training and conditioning in collegiate contemporary and ballet dancers a  
317 qualitative approach. *Med Probl Perform Art.* 2021;36(2):78-87.  
318 doi:10.21091/mppa.2021.2012
- 319 20. Koutedakis Y, Jamurtas A. The dancer as a performing athlete: Physiological  
320 considerations. *Sports Medicine.* 2004;34(10):651-661. doi:10.2165/00007256-  
321 200434100-00003
- 322 21. Liiv H, Wyon MA, Jürimäe T, Saar M, Mäestu J, Jürimäe J. Anthropometry,  
323 somatotypes, and aerobic power in ballet, contemporary dance, and DanceSport. *Med  
324 Probl Perform Art.* 2013;28(4):207-211.
- 325 22. Langdon SW, Petracca G. Tiny dancer: Body image and dancer identity in female  
326 modern dancers. *Body Image.* 2010;7(4):360-363. doi:10.1016/j.bodyim.2010.06.005
- 327 23. Poiss CC, Sullivan PA, Paup DC, Westerman BJ. Perceived importance of weight  
328 training to selected NCAA division III men and women student-athletes. *J Strength  
329 Cond Res.* 2004;18(1):108-114. doi:10.1519/00124278-200402000-00016
- 330 24. Chandler TJeff, Brown LE. *Conditioning for Strength and Human Performance.* Wolters  
331 Kluwer/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins Health; 2013.
- 332 25. Wyon M. Preparing to perform: periodization and dance. *J Dance Med Sci.*  
333 2010;14(2):67-72.

334 26. Murgia C. Overuse, tissue fatigue, and injuries. *J Dance Med Sci.* 2013;17(3):92-100.  
 335 doi:10.12678/1089-313X.17.3.92

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

**Table 1: Self-reported specialism – Circus students**

Circus	N	Percentage %
Single point trapeze	4	8.9
Static trapeze	0	0.0
Doubles trapeze	0	0.0
Hoop	7	15.6
Rope	7	15.6
Silks	1	2.2
Straps	5	11.1
Multi cord	1	2.2
Net/loop	0	0.0
Aerial pole	1	2.2
Cradle	0	0.0
Hand to hand	1	2.2
Chinese pole	2	4.4
Acro dance	4	8.9
Hand balancing	3	6.7
Banquine	1	2.2
Ball, club and ring juggling	2	4.4
Hula hoop	0	0.0
Hats, cigar boxes, ball spinning and 'gentleman juggling'	1	2.2
Cyr wheel	2	4.4
Teeterboard	1	2.2
Cloud swing	1	2.2
Swinging trapeze	0	0.0
Trick bike	0	0.0
Tightwire	0	0.0
Slack rope	0	0.0

Hoop diving	1	2.2
Other	0	0.0

348

349

350

**Table 2: Self-reported specialism – Dance students**

	N	Percentage %
Ballet	5	12.2
Contemporary	17	41.5
Music theatre	5	12.2
Jazz dance	14	34.1

351

352

353

354

**Table 3: Differences in perceptions of Strength Training (ST) between Dance and Circus**

355

**students**

	Dance Students (N=41)		Circus students (N=39)		Sig.
	Median	IQR	Median	IQR	
Strength training is essential to my overall development as a dancer/circus artist	5.00	1.00	5.00	1.00	0.783
Women should participate in strength training	5.00	0.00	5.00	0.00	0.198
Men should participate in strength training	5.00	0.00	5.00	1.00	0.031
Strength training should be part of every training program regardless of dance style/discipline	5.00	0.00	4.00	1.00	0.001†
Strength training is beneficial to men	5.00	0.00	5.00	1.00	0.084
Strength training is beneficial to women	5.00	0.00	5.00	1.00	0.135
Strength training has beneficial effects on my performance	5.00	0.00	5.00	1.00	0.152
My strength training techniques are adequate so that I can avoid injury from strength training	5.00	1.00	4.00	1.00	0.014
My strength training techniques are adequate to help me improve my performance	4.00	1.00	4.00	1.00	0.844
Strength training increases muscle size	3.00	2.00	4.00	1.00	0.275
Strength training increases muscle strength	5.00	1.00	4.00	1.00	0.155
Strength training increases body weight	3.00	1.00	3.00	1.00	0.164

Strength training helps me feel better - physically	4.00	1.00	4.00	1.00	0.598
Strength training helps me feel better - mentally	4.00	1.00	4.00	1.00	0.977
Strength training helps me look better	4.00	2.00	3.00	1.00	0.347
Strength training is a masculine activity	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	0.545
Strength training is a feminine activity	2.00	1.50	2.00	2.00	0.605
Strength training is fun and enjoyable	4.00	0.00	4.00	1.00	0.278
Strength training has significant health benefits	4.00	1.00	4.00	1.00	0.936
Strength training enhances body and self-image	4.00	1.50	4.00	1.00	0.392
Strength training is only possible with encouragement from others	2.00	0.00	2.00	1.00	0.36

---

\* Significant to  $p < 0.05$ , † Significant to  $p < 0.001$ , ST=Strength Training