



Covid-19 vaccine hesitancy and resistance amongst parents of children under 18 years of age in Ireland

Ceannt, R., Vallieres, F., Burns, H., Murphy, J., & Hyland, P. (2022). Covid-19 vaccine hesitancy and resistance amongst parents of children under 18 years of age in Ireland. *Vaccine*, 40(43), 6196-6200. Article 102541009. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2022.08.073>

[Link to publication record in Ulster University Research Portal](#)

Published in:
Vaccine

Publication Status:
Published (in print/issue): 12/10/2022

DOI:
[10.1016/j.vaccine.2022.08.073](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2022.08.073)

Document Version
Author Accepted version

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via Ulster University's Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Ulster University's institutional repository that provides access to Ulster's research outputs. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact pure-support@ulster.ac.uk.

1 Covid-19 Vaccine Hesitancy and Resistance Amongst Parents of Children Under 18 Years of
2 Age in Ireland

3 The European Medicines Agency approved the Pfizer BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine
4 for use in children aged 12 to 15 years on May 28th, 2021, and for those aged 5 to 11 years on
5 November 25th, 2021. Achieving suppression of the virus in the community is therefore
6 contingent on the safe and efficient delivery of vaccines to those under 18 years of age; a
7 group that constitutes one quarter of the world's population. (1, 2) Additionally, the
8 vaccination of children is recommended to ensure that schools and childcare facilities remain
9 safely open and to minimise delays in accessing paediatric health services noted during the
10 pandemic. (2, 3) Indeed, there is evidence that the closure of schools and associated activities
11 had detrimental effects on children including educational disruption and reduced access to
12 healthcare and nutritional supports. (4)

13 The World Health Organization defines vaccine hesitancy as refusing or delaying
14 vaccine acceptance despite their availability. Recent years have seen an increase in parental
15 vaccine hesitancy and misinformation (5), both of which are likely to complicate the rollout
16 of COVID-19 vaccines to children. To date, studies suggest that parental acceptance of
17 COVID-19 vaccines for their children is low in some countries, such as the UK (48.2%) (6),
18 but higher overall globally (69.2%) (7). Factors associated with parental COVID-19 vaccine
19 hesitancy and resistance include younger parental age, lower income, lower education, being
20 a single parent, not having health insurance, concerns about the safety of the vaccines for
21 children, recency of the vaccine, and low trust in scientists/scientific institutions and
22 healthcare professionals. (7, 8) As COVID-19 vaccines become available to most, if not all,
23 children in the coming months, it is critical to understand (a) how willing parents are to
24 vaccinate their children against COVID-19, and (b) what factors are associated with parental
25 hesitancy and resistance towards COVID-19 vaccination for their children.

26 **Methods**

27 This study used data from the Irish arm of the COVID-19 Psychological Research
28 Consortium (C19PRC) study, a multi-national longitudinal population survey study
29 examining the psychosocial impacts of the pandemic on the general adult population. (9) All
30 data were collected by the survey company Qualtrics. Data used in this study was collected at
31 Wave 5, March/April 2021 ($N = 1,100$). The full data collection strategy was previously
32 described by Skipol et al. (2021). (10) Participants were recruited from existing research
33 panels, with quota sampling methods used to construct a non-probability-based sample
34 representative of the general adult population of the Republic of Ireland in terms of sex, age,
35 and geographical distribution. Previous research demonstrates that these data are
36 representative of the Irish population on all quota variables and are highly representative of
37 the population across many other sociodemographic variables. (10) To take part, participants
38 had to be aged 18 years or older, resident in Ireland, and capable of completing the survey in
39 English. Participants were remunerated by Qualtrics (amount unspecified), and informed
40 consent was obtained electronically. Ethical approval was granted by Maynooth University
41 [SRESC-2020-2402202]. Of the 1,100 participants contacted at Wave 5, 43.8% ($n = 482$)
42 stated that they were a parent of a child under the age of 18. This subsample of parents was
43 used for all analyses, and their sociodemographic details are provided in Table 1. It should be
44 noted that childhood age was not collected.

45 Table 1 here

46 Parental willingness to vaccinate their child was assessed by asking: “*Multiple*
47 *vaccines for COVID-19 have now been developed. Will you give your child a vaccine for*
48 *COVID-19 when it becomes available?*”. Those who answered “Yes” or “My child has
49 already been vaccinated” were classified as vaccine accepting; those who answered “Maybe”
50 were categorised as vaccine hesitant; and those who answered “No” were categorised as

51 vaccine resistant. In addition to the demographic variables listed in Table 1, participants were
52 also asked to rate their trust in scientists and doctors with each measured on a five-point
53 Likert scale ranging from ‘Do not trust at all’ (1) to ‘Completely trust’ (5). Participants were
54 also asked to indicate their belief in five common false ideas about the COVID-19 vaccines
55 and four common negative beliefs about healthcare professionals and doctors (see Table 2 for
56 details). Participants indicated their belief in each statement using a 0-100 slider scale, where
57 higher scores indicate stronger false or negative beliefs.

58 Unique associations between all variables listed in Table 2 and parental vaccine
59 hesitancy and resistance were assessed using a multinomial logistic regression analysis, with
60 the vaccine accepting group set as the reference category (i.e., the vaccine hesitant and
61 vaccine resistant groups were compared to the vaccine accepting group). All associations are
62 expressed as adjusted odds ratios (AOR), and analyses were conducted in SPSS v26.

63 **Results**

64 In total, 52.1% (n=251) of parents had or intended to have their child(ren) vaccinated;
65 30.1% (n=145) were hesitant to vaccinate their child(ren); and 17.8% (n=86) were resistant to
66 vaccinating their child(ren). The multinomial logistic regression model was statistically
67 significant ($\chi^2 (68, n = 479) = 274.23, p < .001$), and the results are presented in Table 2.

68 Table 2 here

69 Variables significantly associated with being *hesitant* to vaccinating one’s child(ren)
70 included younger parental age (AOR=0.97), lower education levels (AORs ranged from 2.43
71 to 4.41), lower income level (AOR=0.75), not knowing someone who had been sick with
72 COVID-19 (AOR=0.47), stronger beliefs that the vaccines are not safe due to their rapid
73 development (AOR=1.02), their potential to damage fertility (AOR=1.02), and stronger
74 beliefs that scientists and healthcare workers often cover up their mistakes (AOR=1.02).

75 Variables significantly associated with being *resistant* to vaccinating one's child(ren)
76 included younger parental age (AOR=0.96), living alone (AOR=4.41), having less trust in
77 scientists (AOR=0.64), weaker beliefs that the vaccines contain a microchip (AOR=0.96),
78 stronger beliefs that the vaccines are not safe due to their rapid development (AOR=1.03),
79 and stronger beliefs that they may damage fertility (AOR=1.02).

80 Discussion

81 As of the first quarter of 2021, approximately half of parents in Ireland with children
82 under 18 years had or were intending to have their child(ren) vaccinated against COVID-19,
83 one-in-three parents expressed hesitancy, and nearly one-in-five expressed resistance to
84 vaccinating their child(ren). While similar to findings from the UK, which found that 48.2%
85 of parents would accept a vaccine for their child(ren), (6) parental COVID-19 vaccine
86 acceptance for children in Ireland was relatively low compared to findings from other
87 countries, including one study from 16 nations that estimated parental/caregiver vaccine
88 acceptance for children at 69.2%. (7)

89 Earlier studies probing the adult population in Ireland about their own COVID-19
90 vaccine intentions prior to the arrival of the vaccines, found that 60-65% of people were
91 vaccine accepting (i.e., answered 'Yes' to the same type of question posed here) and another
92 23-25% were vaccine hesitant (i.e., answered 'Maybe'). (11, 12) Despite these initially
93 worrying findings, *actual* uptake of COVID-19 vaccination in Ireland, however, has been
94 extremely high, with over 90% of the eligible population now fully vaccinated, with 2 doses,
95 and the booster programme in progress. (13) As such, it appears that almost all people who
96 expressed hesitancy in advance of the vaccine rollout subsequently accepted the vaccine for
97 themselves. This offers encouragement that many (or perhaps all) of those parents who
98 expressed hesitancy about vaccinating their child will eventually choose to vaccinate their
99 children once the vaccines are available, and approved for their children's age groups by the

100 relevant medical agencies. Indeed, as of mid-December 2021, the current uptake rate in the
101 12 to 15-year-old age group in Ireland stands at 67.7%. (13)

102 The demographic factors associated with parental COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy and
103 resistance in this study were strikingly similar to findings from the wider literature, including
104 younger parental age (7, 14), lower education level (7, 15), lower income (6, 7), and living
105 alone (7) all associated with being hesitant and/or resistant to childhood vaccination.

106 Additionally, knowing someone close to you who had been sick with COVID-19 was
107 significantly associated with reduced parental vaccine hesitancy (though not vaccine
108 resistance). Public health campaigns aimed at increasing COVID-19 vaccine uptake in
109 children should therefore target younger parents, delivering important scientific information
110 about the safety and efficacy of the vaccines in a clear, concise, and jargon-free manner,
111 aimed towards those in lower socioeconomic status groups. Moreover, as knowing somebody
112 who was infected by COVID-19 is an important factor in decreasing hesitancy, it may be that
113 parental willingness to vaccinate their children will increase as more transmissible variants
114 (i.e. Delta and Omicron) move through the population.

115 In the extant literature concerns around safety and the rapid development of COVID-
116 19 vaccines were frequently cited reasons for parental COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy and
117 resistance, (6, 7, 16, 17). Similarly in the current sample beliefs about the safety profile of
118 the COVID-19 vaccines were related to parental hesitancy and resistance. Specifically,
119 vaccine hesitant and resistant parents were more likely to believe that COVID-19 vaccines
120 are unsafe due to their rapid development, and that they can damage fertility.

121 Perhaps most importantly, however, concerns about vaccines among the hesitant and
122 resistant cohorts are less conspiratorial than the popular narrative may suggest. Unusual
123 beliefs regarding the vaccine which abound in the media, such as the vaccines altering DNA,
124 or containing a microchip (18) did not surface in this study, or in the published literature, as

125 significant correlates of vaccine hesitancy or resistance. This suggests that the popular
126 narrative that vaccine resistant groups hold very odd beliefs and/or believe in conspiracy
127 theories does not hold true for a majority of vaccine resistant parents in Ireland. In addition,
128 the vaccine resistant group may also be more movable than is suggested in the literature for
129 other populations.

130 Based on these findings, public health messaging should focus on explaining how the
131 COVID-19 vaccines were developed; demonstrating the steps taken to evidence their safety
132 and effectiveness, and communicating that, while these steps may have been compressed,
133 none were omitted. Moreover, clear and easy-to-understand explanations of how there is no
134 likely mechanism by which the vaccines can affect male or female fertility should also be
135 offered to the public to counter these commonly held false beliefs. Finally, dismissing the
136 concerns of those who are vaccine hesitant or resistant as ‘conspiratorial’ is unlikely to
137 promote trust and acceptance.

138 Our findings are important as they suggest that vaccine hesitant and vaccine resistant
139 groups in Ireland share more similar beliefs regarding vaccines than previously thought, or at
140 least than cited in the literature. (5) This has significant implications for public health
141 campaigns, in terms of potentially being able to target both groups simultaneously, rather
142 than requiring different messaging and approaches for each cohort, as has previously been
143 postulated. (19)

144 Vaccine resistant parents were, however, significantly less likely to have trust in
145 scientists than vaccine accepting parents, distinguishing the two groups. Similarly, in the
146 literature, less trust in scientists was associated with being less likely to accept a vaccine for
147 oneself or those in one’s care. (7, 20) This implies that a similar message could be delivered
148 to these two groups but in different modalities. Specifically, due consideration should be
149 given to *who* delivers public health messaging around vaccines. For example, whereas the

150 hesitant group may benefit from prominent scientists delivering the message clearly and
151 simply, the resistant group may have more trust in messaging delivered by prominent
152 members of their local community (e.g., religious leaders), peers, online influencers,
153 parenting groups, or celebrities.

154 This study had a number of limitations. Firstly, the sample was a non-probability
155 based sample which reduces the generalisability of the results. Second, we did not measure
156 the age of the children, as a potential determinant of parental hesitancy or resistance. Finally,
157 the timing of the study may have impacted the results given that the survey was completed
158 before vaccines were approved for children aged 12 years and older in the European Union.
159 Despite these limitations, this study provides important information about the willingness of
160 parents to vaccinate their children, and the factors associated with unwillingness, in a nation
161 with one of the highest rates of COVID-19 vaccination in the world. This information may be
162 beneficial for public health authorities nationally and internationally as they prepare to
163 communicate to the public about the value and importance of childhood vaccination against
164 COVID-19.

165

166 **Funding:** This research received funding from the Health Research Board and the Irish
167 Research Council under the COVID-19 Pandemic Rapid Response Funding Call [COV19-
168 2020-025].

169 **Data Sharing Statement:** All data used in this study is freely available at

170 <https://osf.io/c57fp/>

171 **Conflicts of Interest:** None

172

173

174 **References:**

- 175 1. Zimet GD, Silverman RD, Fortenberry JD. Coronavirus disease 2019 and vaccination of
176 children and adolescents: prospects and challenges. *The Journal of pediatrics*. 2021;231:254-
177 8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2020.11.002>
- 178 2. Zimmermann P, Pittet LF, Finn A, Pollard AJ, Curtis N. Should children be vaccinated
179 against COVID-19? *Archives of Disease in Childhood*. Published Online First: 03 November
180 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2021-323040>
- 181 3. McDonnell T, Nicholson E, Conlon C, Barrett M, Cummins F, Hensey C, et al. Assessing
182 the Impact of COVID-19 Public Health Stages on Paediatric Emergency Attendance.
183 Paediatric Emergency Attendance. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(18):6719.
184 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17186719>
- 185 4. UNESCO. Adverse consequences of school closures Paris: UNESCO; 2021,
186 <https://en.unesco.org/covid19/educationresponse/consequences> [accessed 9 December 2021].
- 187 5. Shen SC, Dubey V. Addressing vaccine hesitancy: Clinical guidance for primary care
188 physicians working with parents. *Canadian family physician Medecin de famille canadien*.
189 2019;65(3):175-81.
- 190 6. Bell S, Clarke R, Mounier-Jack S, Walker JL, Paterson P. Parents' and guardians' views
191 on the acceptability of a future COVID-19 vaccine: A multi-methods study in England.
192 *Vaccine*. 2020;38(49):7789-98. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.10.027>
- 193 7. Skjefte M, Ngirbabul M, Akeju O, Escudero D, Hernandez-Diaz S, Wyszynski DF, et al.
194 COVID-19 vaccine acceptance among pregnant women and mothers of young children:
195 results of a survey in 16 countries. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2021;36(2):197-211.
196 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-021-00728-6>

- 197 8. Sturgis, P., Brunton-Smith, I. & Jackson, J. Trust in science, social consensus and vaccine
198 confidence. *Nat Hum Behav* 2021; 5, 1528–1534. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-021-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-021-01115-7)
199 01115-7
- 200 9. McBride, O., Butter, S., Hartman, T. K., Murphy, J., Hyland, P., Shevlin, M., Gibson-
201 Miller, J., Levita, L., Mason, L., Martinez, A. P., McKay, R., Lloyd, A., Stocks, T. V. A.,
202 Bennett, K. M., Vallières, F., Karatzias, T., Valiente, C., Vazquez, C., Contreras, A.,
203 Bertamini, M., Panzeri, A., & Bruno, G. (2021). Sharing data to better understand one of the
204 world's most significant shared experiences: An overview of the openly accessible COVID-
205 19 Psychological Research Consortium (C19PRC) Study data. *International Journal of*
206 *Population Data Science* [In press].
- 207 10. Spikol E, McBride O, Vallières F, Butter S, Hyland P. Tracking the Irish adult population
208 during the first year of the COVID-19 pandemic: A methodological report of the COVID-19
209 psychological research consortium (C19PRC) study in Ireland. *Acta Psychologica*.
210 2021;220:103416. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2021.103416>
- 211 11. Murphy J, Vallières F, Bentall RP, Shevlin M, McBride O, Hartman TK, et al.
212 Psychological characteristics associated with COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy and resistance in
213 Ireland and the United Kingdom. *Nature Communications*. 2021;12(1):29.
214 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20226-9>
- 215 12. Hyland P, Vallières F, Shevlin M, Bentall RP, McKay R, Hartman TK, et al. Resistance
216 to COVID-19 vaccination has increased in Ireland and the United Kingdom during the
217 pandemic. *Public Health*. 2021;195:54-6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2021.04.009>
- 218 13. Health Protection Surveillance Centre. COVID-19 Vaccine Uptake in Ireland Weekly
219 Reports: [https://www.hpsc.ie/a-](https://www.hpsc.ie/a-z/respiratory/coronavirus/novelcoronavirus/vaccination/covid-19vaccinationuptakereports/)
220 [z/respiratory/coronavirus/novelcoronavirus/vaccination/covid-19vaccinationuptakereports/](https://www.hpsc.ie/a-z/respiratory/coronavirus/novelcoronavirus/vaccination/covid-19vaccinationuptakereports/)

221 [accessed 23 December 2021]

222 14. Kelly BJ, Southwell BG, McCormack LA, Bann CM, MacDonald PDM, Frasier AM, et
223 al. Predictors of willingness to get a COVID-19 vaccine in the U.S. *BMC Infectious*
224 *Diseases*. 2021;21(1):338. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-021-06023-9>

225 15. Rhodes ME, Sundstrom B, Ritter E, McKeever BW, McKeever R. Preparing for A
226 COVID-19 Vaccine: A Mixed Methods Study of Vaccine Hesitant Parents. *Journal of Health*
227 *Communication*. 2020;25(10):831-7. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10810730.2021.1871986>

228 16. Akarsu B, Canbay Özdemir D, Ayhan Baser D, Aksoy H, Fidancı İ, Cankurtaran M.
229 While studies on COVID-19 vaccine is ongoing, the public's thoughts and attitudes to the
230 future COVID-19 vaccine. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2021;75(4):e13891.

231 <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcp.13891>

232 17. Zhou Y, Zhang J, Wu W, Liang M, Wu Q-S. Willingness to receive future COVID-19
233 vaccines following the COVID-19 epidemic in Shanghai, China. *BMC Public Health*.
234 2021;21(1):1103. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-11174-0>

235 18. McEvoy J. Microchips, Magnets and Shedding: Here are 5 (debunked) covid vaccine
236 conspiracy theories spreading online 2021,
237 [https://www.forbes.com/sites/jemimamcevoy/2021/06/03/microchips-and-shedding-here-are-](https://www.forbes.com/sites/jemimamcevoy/2021/06/03/microchips-and-shedding-here-are-5-debunked-covid-vaccine-conspiracy-theories-spreading-online/?sh=279e9a9626af)
238 [5-debunked-covid-vaccine-conspiracy-theories-spreading-online/?sh=279e9a9626af](https://www.forbes.com/sites/jemimamcevoy/2021/06/03/microchips-and-shedding-here-are-5-debunked-covid-vaccine-conspiracy-theories-spreading-online/?sh=279e9a9626af)

239 [accessed 18 December 2021]

240 19. Neumann-Böhme S, Varghese NE, Sabat I, Barros PP, Brouwer W, van Exel J, et al.
241 Once we have it, will we use it? A European survey on willingness to be vaccinated against
242 COVID-19. *Eur J Health Econ*. 212020. p. 977-82. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10198-020-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10198-020-01208-6)
243 [01208-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10198-020-01208-6)

244 20. Viswanath K, Bekalu M, Dhawan D, Pinnamaneni R, Lang J, McLoud R. Individual and
245 social determinants of COVID-19 vaccine uptake. BMC Public Health. 2021;21(1):818.
246 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-10862-1>

247

248

249

250 Table 1

251 *Sociodemographic characteristics of all parents with children under 18 years (N = 482)*

	%
Sex	
Male	48.1
Female	51.9
Age	
18-24	7.9
25-34	23.7
35-44	32.8
45-54	22.4
55-64	9.3
65 +	3.9
Province	
Leinster	58.5
Munster	24.9
Connaught	11.6
Ulster	5.0
Ethnicity	
Irish	78.4
Non-Irish	21.6
Born in Republic of Ireland	
Yes	74.7
No	25.3
Urbanicity	
Rural, suburb, town	72.4
City	27.6
Education	
No qualification	0.6
Junior Certificate or Equivalent	4.1
Leaving Certificate of Equivalent	18.0
Technical qualification	10.8
Diploma/Other	15.8
Undergraduate degree	28.8
Postgraduate degree	21.8
Lives Alone	
Yes	7.7
No	92.3
Religion	
Atheist/Agnostic	13.5
Religious	86.5
Approximate Gross Salary	
< €20,000	27.0
€20,000- €29,999	19.9

€30,000-€39,999	18
€40,000-€49,999	14.3
€50,000 or more	20.8
Employment	
Unemployed	20.5
Retired	5.0
Employed	74.5
Underlying physical health issue	
Yes	22.8
No	77.2
History of Mental Health problem	
Yes	30.1
No	69.9
Pregnant/Partner pregnant	
Yes	8.9
No	91.1
Have you been diagnosed with a confirmed case COVID-19?	
Yes	6.2
No/Not confirmed	93.8
Were you admitted to hospital with COVID-19?	
Yes	1.2
No	98.8
Anyone close to you been sick with COVID-19?	
Yes	28.8
No	71.2
Has anyone close to you died with COVID-19?	
Yes	9.5
No	90.5

252

253

254 Table 2

255 *Multinomial logistic regression results of the unique associations between all predictor variables and parental hesitance and resistance to*
 256 *COVID-19 vaccination of their child.*

	Hesitant			Resistant		
	B	p	AOR (95% CI)	B	p	AOR (95% CI)
Male (reference = female)	-0.06	0.818	0.94 (0.54, 1.63)	-0.10	0.787	0.90 (0.43, 1.89)
Age	-0.03	0.013	0.97 (0.94, 0.99)	-0.04	0.037	0.96 (0.93, 0.99)
Irish ethnicity (reference = non-Irish ethnicity)	0.34	0.543	1.40 (0.47, 4.14)	0.98	0.187	2.67 (0.62, 11.48)
Irish nationality (reference = non-Irish nationality)	-0.06	0.254	0.55 (0.20, 1.54)	-0.88	0.214	0.42 (0.10, 1.66)
Lives in a rural, suburb, town (reference = lives in a city)	-0.27	0.393	0.77 (0.47, 4.14)	-0.45	0.255	0.64 (0.29, 1.38)
Education (reference = postgraduate qualification)						
No qualification	0.60	0.722	1.82 (0.07, 49.16)	0.52	0.789	1.66 (0.41, 67.27)
Junior Certificate or equivalent	1.49	0.049	4.41 (1.01, 19.26)	0.51	0.614	1.67 (0.23, 12.08)
Leaving Certificate or equivalent	0.89	0.037	2.43 (1.05, 5.63)	0.34	0.546	1.40 (0.47, 4.23)
Technical qualification	1.30	0.008	3.66 (1.40, 9.54)	0.72	0.251	2.04 (0.60, 6.92)
Diploma/Other	0.17	0.708	1.18 (0.49, 2.87)	0.08	0.895	1.08 (0.35, 3.31)

Undergraduate degree	0.39	0.289	1.48 (0.72, 3.07)	0.11	0.817	1.12 (0.43, 2.92)
Lives Alone	0.56	0.285	1.75 (0.63, 4.93)	1.48	0.011	4.41 (1.40, 13.89)
Religious	0.27	0.484	1.31 (0.61, 2.83)	0.02	0.965	1.02 (0.37, 2.79)
Salary	-0.28	0.008	0.75 (0.61, 0.93)	-0.22	0.125	0.81 (0.61, 1.06)
Employment (reference = employed)						
Unemployed	-0.05	0.876	0.95 (0.48, 1.86)	-0.01	0.981	0.99 (0.41, 2.42)
Retired	-1.01	0.164	0.36 (0.09, 1.51)	-1.86	0.147	0.16 (0.01, 1.93)
Underlying physical health issue	-0.32	0.351	0.73 (0.37, 1.42)	0.05	0.904	1.05 (0.44, 2.54)
History of mental health problem	0.04	0.885	1.04 (0.59, 1.85)	-0.58	0.155	0.56 (0.25, 1.24)
Pregnant/Partner pregnant	-0.30	0.534	0.74 (0.29, 1.92)	0.06	0.914	1.07 (0.34, 3.37)
Diagnosed with a confirmed case COVID-19	-1.15	0.104	0.32 (0.08, 1.27)	0.19	0.785	1.21 (0.31, 4.74)
Admitted to hospital with COVID-19	1.92	0.151	6.84 (0.50, 94.20)	1.13	0.459	3.11 (0.16, 62.18)
Someone close to you been sick with COVID-19	0.75	0.013	0.47 (0.26, 0.85)	-0.46	0.259	0.63 (0.29, 1.40)
Someone close to you died with COVID-19	-0.97	0.061	0.38 (0.14, 1.05)	-0.91	0.148	0.40 (0.12, 1.38)
Trust in scientists	-0.19	0.246	0.83 (0.60, 1.14)	-0.44	0.028	0.64 (0.43, 0.95)
Trust in doctors	0.05	0.772	1.05 (0.75, 1.47)	0.03	0.881	1.03 (0.69, 1.55)
<i>Belief that the COVID-19 vaccine...</i>						
Contain a microchip	-0.02	0.099	0.98 (0.97, 1.00)	-0.04	0.001	0.96 (0.94, 0.98)

Will alter your DNA	0.01	0.489	1.01 (0.99, 1.01)	0.02	0.234	1.02 (0.99, 1.04)
Will give you COVID-19	-0.01	0.373	0.99 (0.98, 1.01)	-0.00	0.892	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)
Not safe as rapidly developed	0.02	0.001	1.02 (1.01, 1.04)	0.03	<0.001	1.03 (1.02, 1.05)
Can damage fertility	0.02	0.015	1.02 (1.01, 1.03)	0.02	0.017	1.02 (1.00, 1.04)
<i>Belief that scientists and healthcare practitioners...</i>						
Often deceive or mislead the public	-0.00	0.833	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.01	0.594	1.01 (0.99, 1.03)
Often cover up their mistakes	0.02	0.030	1.02 (1.00, 1.03)	0.02	0.055	1.02 (1.00, 1.04)
Are more concerned with making money than taking care of people	-0.01	0.509	1.00 (0.98, 1.01)	0.00	0.956	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)
Don't know what they are doing	-0.01	0.203	0.99 (0.98, 1.01)	-0.00	0.810	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)

257 Note: B = unstandardized beta value; p = statistical significance value; AOR (95% CI) = adjusted odds ratio with 95% confidence intervals;
258 statistically significant associations (p < .05) are highlighted in bold.