

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

Correct anteroposterior patterning of the zebrafish neurectoderm in the absence of the early dorsal organizer

Máté Varga^{1,2*}, Shingo Maegawa^{1,3} and Eric S Weinberg^{1*}

Abstract

Background: The embryonic organizer (i.e., Spemann organizer) has a pivotal role in the establishment of the dorsoventral (DV) axis through the coordination of BMP signaling. However, as impaired organizer function also results in anterior and posterior truncations, it is of interest to determine if proper anteroposterior (AP) pattern can be obtained even in the absence of early organizer signaling.

Results: Using the ventralized, maternal effect *ichabod* (*ich*) mutant, and by inhibiting BMP signaling in *ich* embryos, we provide conclusive evidence that AP patterning is independent of the organizer in zebrafish, and is governed by TGF β , FGF, and Wnt signals emanating from the germ-ring. The expression patterns of neurectodermal markers in embryos with impaired BMP signaling show that the directionality of such signals is oriented along the animal-vegetal axis, which is essentially concordant with the AP axis. In addition, we find that in embryos inhibited in both Wnt and BMP signaling, the AP pattern of such markers is unchanged from that of the normal untreated embryo. These embryos develop radially organized trunk and head tissues, with an outer neurectodermal layer containing diffusely positioned neuronal precursors. Such organization is reflective of the presumed eumetazoan ancestor and might provide clues for the evolution of centralization in the nervous system.

Conclusions: Using a zebrafish mutant deficient in the induction of the embryonic organizer, we demonstrate that the AP patterning of the neuroectoderm during gastrulation is independent of DV patterning. Our results provide further support for Nieuwkoop's "two step model" of embryonic induction. We also show that the zebrafish embryo can form a radial diffuse neural sheath in the absence of both BMP signaling and the early organizer.

Background

The body plan of developing animal embryos is initially generated by establishment of the anteroposterior (AP) and dorsoventral (DV) axes. The dorsal organizer (i.e., Spemann organizer and homologous structures) is clearly important in formation of the DV axis (reviewed in [1-3]), but its role in AP axis development has been controversial (reviewed in [4,5]). Failure to form the Spemann organizer in frogs and fish [6-8] results not only in the absence of dorsal tissues, but also in the loss of anterior regions of the embryo. Nevertheless, there is also evidence that at least some degree of proper AP patterning occurs with surgical removal or genetic

ablation of the organizer in mouse, chick, and zebrafish embryos [9-17]. In zebrafish and *Xenopus* embryos unable to form a dorsal organizer, head neurectodermal markers are still expressed in proper relative AP order if BMP signaling is absent [8,18,19]. The orientation of the AP axis with respect to the animal/vegetal (AnVeg) axis has also been disputed. Some have argued for the equivalence of the AP axis with the "classic" DV axis of anamniotes [20-22], while others have proposed a concordance of the AP and AnVeg axes in these groups [5]. As the function of the organizer may obscure an underlying mechanism that establishes AP pattern, we chose to further study the control of AP axis formation in embryos genetically blocked in the ability to form a dorsal organizing center.

Embryos bred from females homozygous for the *ich* mutation (*ich* embryos) show a reduction of maternal β -

* Correspondence: m.varga@ucl.ac.uk; eweinber@sas.upenn.edu

¹Department of Biology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

catenin-2 expression. Furthermore, treatment of wild-type embryos with a morpholino antisense oligonucleotide (MO) targeting *β-catenin-2* causes a failure of organizer formation and loss of anterior tissues, whereas loss of *β-catenin-1* alone has no ventralizing or posteriorizing effects [8]. Using a TOP-GFP Wnt-reporter line, we showed that while the MO against *β-catenin-2* (β cat2MO) could eliminate the dorsal marginal expression of the transgene in shield stage embryos, a MO against *β-catenin-1* (β cat1MO) had no effect in this region [23]. In contrast, the germ-ring transgene expression was abolished only when the two MOs were administered together, showing that this domain of expression was mediated redundantly by both β -catenins [23]. Injection of both MOs into wild-type embryos, or of β cat1MO to *ich* embryos already deficient in *β-catenin-2* expression, caused the ectopic induction of *chordin* (*chd*) and *noggin1* (*nog1*) around the blastodermal margin of the embryo [8,23]. Such embryos deficient in both β -catenins develop a distinctive phenotype at 24 hpf (termed 'ciuffo'), in which a protrusion of tissue from the vegetal end of the yolk expresses neurectodermal markers in an apparently proper AP pattern. This expression is dependent on *chd* [8,23]. The massive expression of *chd* in β cat1MO + β cat2MO-treated embryos would be expected to result in a marked inhibition of BMP signaling due to the direct binding of Chd to BMP ligand [24].

In the work presented here, we first show that *ich* embryos injected with β cat1MO + β cat2MO or with *bmp2b*MO alone both exhibit loss of BMP signaling and upregulate *chd*. Previously, we demonstrated that in 'ciuffo' embryos, key specific markers of the early organizer are never induced [8] and expression of *goosecoid* (*gsc*) [25] and *chd* are dependent on the endogenous, germ-ring expression of Nodal homologues [23].


Here we provide definitive evidence that the upregulation of *chd* in *bmp2b*MO-treated *ich* embryos is not due to the ectopic induction of organizer tissue, since other typical markers of the early and late dorsal signaling center are not induced. The ectopic expression of *chordin* appears to be a consequence of downregulation of BMP signaling, and not due to radialization of an early dorsal organizing center. Using embryos at several developmental stages, we show that both anterior and posterior neurectodermal markers are expressed with correct AP pattern, even in the absence of the organizer, as long as BMP signaling is inhibited. We then show that the same pathways that are involved in setting up the AP neurectodermal pattern in wild-type embryos - Wnt-, Nodal-, and FGF signaling - are required for elaboration of the full AP pattern in the absence of BMP and organizer signals. Finally, we examined the morphology of the neurectodermal tissue in 'ciuffo' embryos

and found that cells with neuronal identity are organized in a sheath of mesoderm and endoderm, similar to the neural net present in cnidarians. We speculate that during the evolution of bilaterian precursors, the establishment of a DV-oriented BMP signaling gradient during embryogenesis resulted in the transformation of an outer radially organized, AP-patterned neural sheath, into the stereotypical vertebrate neural tube. This view extends the recent analysis of Meinhardt [5], which regards the generation of AP pattern of the vertebrate brain as an organizer-independent, ancestral, radially symmetric system.

Results

Embryos deficient in canonical Wnt signaling show loss of BMP signaling

To better understand the effect of inhibition of Wnt/ β -catenin signaling on formation and patterning of neurectoderm in the zebrafish embryo, we examined to what degree BMP signaling was affected by the elimination of expression of the two β -catenins by administration of β cat1MO + β cat2MO to *ich* embryos. As we had previously shown that such inhibition results in a high level of ectopic *chd* expression, we expected to find a very low level of BMP signaling in these embryos. We were also interested in whether the resulting inhibition of BMP signaling was equivalent to direct inhibition of BMP expression attained by injection of an MO against *bmp2b* (*bmp2b*MO) [26]. The degree of BMP signaling in these embryos was visualized by examining the distribution of phosphorylated Smad1/5 (P-Smad5), an indicator of cells actively transducing BMP signaling [27,28]. Administration of the two β -catenin MOs to *ich* embryos was in fact as effective as treatment of these embryos with *bmp2b*MO in eliminating BMP signaling in all regions except at the very animal pole (Figure 1). Wild-type embryos at 50% epiboly exhibit a gradient of nuclear P-Smad5 with the most intense staining at the ventral-most area of the embryo, and exclusion of P-Smad5 from the dorsal side (Figure 1A; [29]) where *chd* is expressed (Figure 1F). *ich* embryos show an expansion of P-Smad5 throughout the embryo, with no evidence of an activity gradient (Figure 1B) or of *chd* expression (Figure 1G). Injection of *bmp2b*MO into *ich* embryos at a concentration which phenocopies the *swirl* (*swr*) mutation in wild-type embryos [30,31], eliminates P-Smad5 in the embryo (Figure 1C), and results in a massive expression of *chd* (Figure 1H). We observe a similar phenotype when large amount of *chd* mRNA is injected into *ich* embryos (not shown). The loss of Bmp2b activity is known to impair expression of *bmp4* and *bmp7* [32,33]; thus, it is not unexpected that global BMP-signaling is lost in the *bmp2b*MO-treated embryos. Injection of *ich* embryos with β cat1MO + β cat2MO has very



much the same effect on P-Smad5 (Figure 1D) and *chd* expression (Figure 1I) as *bmp2bMO* injection, except that nuclear P-Smad5 can be seen at the animal pole (Figure 1D arrowhead). Injection with the three MOs results in embryos with a distribution of P-Smad5 and *chd* expression very much as in embryos injected with *bmp2bMO* alone.

Ectopic expression of *chd* is also correlated with the repression of epidermal markers, as posited by the “neural default model” [34]. The ubiquitous expression of the epidermal marker *p63* [35] observed in *ich* embryos (Figure 1L) is reduced upon the injection β catMOs (Figure 1N), and completely abolished when *bmp2bMO* is used alone or in combination with the other MOs (Figure 1M,O). This latter result shows that in the absence of BMP signaling ectodermal cells can not acquire epidermal fates.

In summary, two different methods - injection of *ich* embryos with *bmp2bMO* or with β cat1MO + β cat2MO

(i.e., ‘ciuffo’ embryos) - can be utilized to obtain embryos lacking the organizer and BMP signaling.

Impairment of BMP-signaling does not result in ectopic organizer formation

One important question to address is whether the induction of *chd* in MO-treated *ich* embryos reflects the ectopic induction of organizer tissue, or it is a transcriptional consequence of global BMP signaling downregulation. Our previous results showed that in ‘ciuffo’ embryos one of the earliest markers of endogenous organizer induction, *bozozok/dharma (boz)* [36-38] is never expressed, and the circumferential, germ-ring expression of later dorsal markers such as *gsc* and *chd* is induced with a significant delay (at 50% epiboly instead of at 30% epiboly) compared to their dorsal appearance in wild type embryos [8,23]. We now examined the expression of these markers in *bmp2bMO*-injected embryos (Figure 2). In contrast to *chd* (Figure 2I),




Figure 2 Inhibition of BMP signaling in *ich* embryos does not result in organizer induction. *In situ* hybridization with *boz* (A,B,C), *gsc* (D,E,F) and *chd* (G,H,I) probes in uninjected *ich* and *bmp2bMO*-injected *ich* embryos demonstrate that while impaired BMP signaling results in the transcriptional derepression of *chd* at the margins of the embryo (H,I), other characteristic organizer genes such as *boz* and *gsc* are not induced (C and F). (In A-C dome staged embryos are shown from an animal pole view, D-F and G-I present lateral views of 50% epiboly and 70% epiboly staged embryos, respectively. For wild-type embryos, dorsal is on the left.)

expression of *boz* (Figure 2C) and *gsc* (Figure 2F) was not detected in such embryos.

Unlike some other components of the early DV transcriptional network (e.g. *squint* (*sqt*) [15] and *fgf8* [39]) that are first expressed dorsally at sphere stage and then followed by a pan-germ-ring upregulation at ~30% epiboly, *boz* and *gsc* are exclusively expressed in the dorsal side of developing wild type embryos, and are thus more definitive markers of the early dorsal signaling center and organizer. Furthermore, while the ectopic expression of these genes can induce a complete axis in zebrafish [40,41], the overexpression of *chd* alone cannot do so, neither in wild type [41], nor in *ich* embryos (our unpublished observations). As is the case also in *Xenopus* [42], coinjection of *chd* mRNA with a Wnt-antagonist can induce anterior neural structures and notochord (our unpublished observations). But, unlike *Xenopus*, where *chd* is found to be necessary for the induction of a complete secondary axis [43], in zebrafish *chd* is dispensable for *gsc*-induced secondary axis formation [41]. These results offer clear evidence that the ectopic expression of *chd* in *bmp2bMO*-injected *ich*

embryos is due to a global de-repression of its transcription in the absence of BMP signaling [44], and is not the consequence of ectopic induction of organizer tissue.

Inhibition of BMP signaling reveals normal AP neuroectodermal patterning in the absence of the organizer

At 10 hpf, *cyp26*, *hoxb1b*, *otx1*, and *gbx1* clearly mark distinct neuroectodermal territories in wild-type embryos: *cyp26* is expressed both in the anterior neuroectoderm and in the most posterior region of the embryo (Figure 3A,C, arrow and star, respectively) [45], *hoxb1b* marks neuroectoderm posterior to the prospective rhombomere 3/4 boundary (Figure 3B,C) [46]. In *ich* embryos, as expected [7], expression of *otx1*, *hoxb1b*, *gbx1*, and the anterior domain of *cyp26*, is absent (Figures 3D-F). Only the posterior domain of *cyp26* is still expressed (Figure 3D), and its expansion is consistent with the observation that the most posterior neuroectoderm still forms in *ich* embryos [47].

When injection of *bmp2bMO* is used to inhibit BMP signaling in these embryos, all four neuroectodermal markers are expressed robustly in correct relative AP order in approximately the same AP position as in wild-type embryos (Figures 3G-I). The width of the expression domains is almost identical to those of wild-type embryos, but the expression is radial, extending completely around the embryo, rather than restricted to the dorsal side. (Similar results were observed with two other markers, *otx1*, expressed in the prospective forebrain and midbrain [48], and *gbx1*, expressed from the midbrain/hindbrain boundary posterior towards rhombomere 2 [49] (Additional file 1, Figure S1A-I)).

When β cat1MO + β cat2MO injection is used to inhibit BMP signaling, the results are similar in that all four markers are expressed in correct AP order (Figure 3J-L, Additional file 1, Figure S1J-L). The major difference is that expression is shifted towards the posterior of the embryo. The anterior *cyp26* domain and the *otx1* and *gbx* domains are wider, and the area of *hoxb1b* is restricted to a more posterior region of the embryo than is the case in wild-type or in *bmp2bMO*-injected *ich* embryos. We also tested the effects of injecting all three MOs on expression of the four markers (Figure 3M-O, Additional file 1, Figure S1M-O). Results for the more posterior markers *gbx1* and *hoxb1b* are similar to the embryos treated with the two β catMOs alone, while the expression of *otx1* and the anterior domain of *cyp26* is expanded to encompass the whole anterior 60% of the neuroectoderm. Treatment with *bmp2bMO*, but not with the two β catMOs, eliminates BMP signaling in the animal pole region (Figure 1C-E), thus permitting expression of these anterior markers in the former, but not latter, embryos. The expansion of expression of the





Figure 3 Embryos inhibited in both BMP signaling and organizer function form neuroectoderm with correct AP pattern at the end of gastrulation and this patterning can be modulated by similar mechanisms as in wild-type embryos.

Single *in situ* hybridization with *cyp26* (A,D,G,J,M,P,S,V,Y) or *hoXB1b* (B,E,H,K,N,Q,T,W,Z) probes or double *in situ* hybridization with both probes (C,F,I,L,O,R,U,X,A) is shown for wild-type embryos (A-C), untreated *ich* embryos (D-F), or *ich* embryos treated with *bmp2bMO* (G-I), the two β -cat MOs (J-L), *bmp2bMO* plus the two β -cat MOs (M-O), or *ich* embryos injected with *antivin* mRNA (P-R), *antivin* mRNA plus *bmp2bMO* (S-U), SU5402 (V-X), or SU5402 plus *bmp2bMO* (Y-A'). Wild-type embryos [A-C] are shown in dorsal views, while *ich* embryos are shown in lateral views. The neuroectodermal and tailbud expression domains of *cyp26* in wild-type embryos are marked with arrow and star, respectively. All embryos are at ~100% epiboly.

anterior markers toward the vegetal pole in the triple MO-treated embryos, compared to embryos treated with *bmp2bMO* alone, is evidence for a posteriorizing role of Wnt/ β -catenin signaling. When this signaling is eliminated by MO treatment, there is a marked posterior expansion of the zone of anterior identity.

Neuroectodermal markers expressed at later times in these treated embryos also show correct relative AP patterning (Figure 4). At 11 hpf, the wild-type expression of both the eye-field marker *rx3* (Figure 4A,C) [50] and the diencephalic marker *fkD3* (Figure 4B,C) [51] is completely absent in *ich* embryos (Figure 4D-F) but is conserved in the *bmp2*-morphant *ich* embryos (Figure 4G-I). These results indicate that the AP patterning of post-gastrula embryos is also independent of the presence of the organizer, as long as BMP signaling is absent. Identical results were obtained at this stage using the mid-brain-hindbrain boundary (MHB) marker *pax2.1* [52] and the hindbrain rhombomere 3 and 5 marker, *krox20* [53] (data not shown). The 11 hpf 'ciuffo' embryos also show correct relative AP patterning of *fkD3* (Figure 4K, L), *pax2.1*, and *krox20* markers (data not shown). However, these embryos do not express *rx3* (Figure 4J,L), the most anterior marker tested, presumably because of the active BMP signaling present at the animal pole.

A comparison of AP patterning in the neuroectoderm of β catMO-injected *ich* ('ciuffo') embryos and *bmp2b* morphant embryos cannot easily be carried out at later stages. After 11 hpf, *bmp2bMO*-injected *ich* embryos, like *swr/bmp2b* embryos [30], begin to burst and die as a consequence of severe constriction movements. The 24 hpf β cat1MO-injected *ich* embryos do survive and we already had good indication that their neuroectoderm exhibited correct patterning [8,23]. Two-color *in situ* hybridizations with four probes (*emx1*, *krox20*, *val*, *hoXB6b*) showing distinct restricted expression along the AP axis confirm and extend these results (Additional file 2, Figure S2). While none of these markers were expressed in *ich* embryos (Additional file 2, Figure S2B, E,H), they were all expressed in correct relative spatial order in embryos co-injected with β cat1MO and β cat2MO. The more anterior markers (*emx1* in Additional file 2, Figure S2A-C', *krox20* in Additional file 2, Figure S2D-I') were detected proximal to the yolk, while the posterior markers (*krox20* in Additional file 2, Figure S2A-C', *val* in Additional file 2, Figure S2D-F' and *hoXB6b* in Additional file 2, Figure S2G-I') were expressed distal to the yolk. These results, along with the data presented on 10 hpf and 11 hpf embryos, indicate that the patterning pathways necessary to establish major neuroectodermal territories (forebrain, hindbrain and neural tube) are functional in 'ciuffo' embryos, and that these regions are demarcated with correct relative AP pattern.



We also tested *ich* embryos injected with β catMO1 or *bmp2bMO* for expression of the more posterior *hox* genes *hoxb6b*, *hoxb8a*, *hoxa9a*, *hoxd12*, and *hoxc13a* at 24 hpf. (The majority of *bmp2bMO*-injected embryos die during earlier stages of development, but ~ 2%

survive to 24 hpf.) Although we were unable to obtain good signals by *in situ* hybridization, and thus, were not able to determine if these genes were expressed in a proper relative AP pattern, RT-PCR assays did indicate the relative level of their expression in these embryos (Additional file 3, Figure S3). A signal was obtained for *hoxb6b*, *hoxb8a*, *hoxa9a* and *hoxd12*, but not for *hoxc13a*, in β catMO1-injected *ich* embryos (Additional file 3, Figure S3 - lane 3), and for all five of these genes in *bmp2bMO*-injected *ich* embryos (Additional file 3, Figure S3 - lane 8). These results show that embryos lacking both organizer and BMP signaling have the potential to express not only anterior neurectodermal markers, but also trunk posterior markers. We also tested for expression of these genes in untreated *ich* embryos (Additional file 3, Figure S3 - lane 2) and found that the four most posterior markers were robustly expressed. This result is consistent with the finding that *ich* mutant embryos do express the earlier posterior neurectodermal markers *sox3* and *zic2.2*, which are involved in tail neural tube formation [47].

AP patterning in the absence of the organizer is modulated by the same factors as in wild-type embryos

Wnt, Nodal, and FGF signaling pathways are all known to be involved in the posteriorization of neural tissue [45,54-56], an effect they achieve by epistatic interactions between themselves and the retinoic acid (RA) pathway [45,57]. As noted above, the absence of canonical Wnt/ β -catenin activity in *ich* embryos injected with *bmp2bMO* expands *cyp26* and *otx1*-expressing anterior neurectoderm towards the vegetal pole in comparison with embryos treated with *bmp2bMO* alone (Figure 3G, J,M; Additional file 1, Figure S1G,J,M). The posterior expansion can also be seen in the comparison of *rx3* expression in *ich* embryos injected with the three MOs and embryos injected solely with *bmp2bMO* (Figure 4G, M). Also consistent with a posteriorizing role for Wnt signaling is the finding that the more posterior neurectoderm, as indicated by regions of *hoxb1b*, *gbx1*, and *fkd3* expression, is shifted markedly to a more vegetal position when *ich* embryos are treated either with β catMOs alone or with β catMOs + *bmp2bMO* compared to embryos injected with *bmp2bMO* alone (Figure 3H,K,N; Additional file 1, Figure S1H,K,N; Figure 4H,K,N). These results show that the posteriorizing role of Wnt/ β -catenin signaling is completely independent of BMP signaling. That there is still ample expression of the hindbrain markers *hoxb1b* and *gbx1* in *ich* embryos injected with both β catMOs suggests that other factors must act independently (or perhaps upstream of Wnt8 signaling) as posteriorizing agents. Supporting this idea is that 'ciuffo' embryos still express at least four posterior *hox* genes (Additional file 3, Figure S3).

TGF β proteins also act as posteriorizing factors during normal zebrafish development. Injection into wild-type embryos of mRNA for *activin*, a potent antagonist of Nodals and Activins, results in dramatic anteriorization, with the most severely affected embryos losing all parts of the neural tube except telencephalic tissue [54]. To determine if ligands inhibited by *activin* are posteriorizing factors in the absence of BMP signaling and organizer, we co-injected *activin* mRNA and *bmp2bMO* into *ich* embryos. These embryos exhibited complete anteriorization of the neuroectoderm, as indicated by ubiquitous expression of the anterior markers *cyp26* and *otx1* (compare Figure 3G with 3S; Additional file 1, Figure S1G with S1S) and complete loss of the more posterior markers *otx1* and *gbx1* (compare Figure 3H with 3T; Additional file 1, Figure S1H with S1T). The lack of expression of the anterior markers at the vegetal pole itself is not due to an absence of expression in vegetal neuroectoderm; rather, it is a consequence of a failure to complete gastrulation by those embryos co-injected with both *activin* mRNA and *bmp2bMO* (arrowheads in Figure 3S-U and Additional file 1, Figure S1S-U indicate the vegetal extent of the germ-ring at 10 hpf), an observation consistent with a role of *activin* in gastrulation movements shown in *Xenopus* [58,59]. We also used an alternative method of inhibiting TGF β signaling, the application of SB431542, a compound that inhibits Smad2/3-mediated TGF β -signaling [60]. Embryos grown in SB431542 also exhibited a concentration-dependent anteriorization, and also stalled during gastrulation (Additional file 1, Figure S4). Interestingly, the posteriorizing effects appear not to be entirely due to Nodal signals, as inhibiting expression of these ligands by co-injection of MOs against *squint* (*sqt*) and *cyclops* (*cyc*), the two zebrafish Nodal homologues known to be expressed during these gastrulation stages [61-64], showed much less posterior expansion of *cyp26* expression compared to *activin* treatment (compare Figures 2G,S to Additional file 4, Figure S4M) and dramatically less reduction of *hoxb1b* expression (compare Figure 3H,T and Additional file 4, Figure S4N).

FGF signaling is yet a third signal transduction pathway known to posteriorize neuroectoderm in wild-type embryos [16,45,65,66]. To check if this signaling pathway also functions in embryos devoid of organizer and BMP signaling, we treated *ich* embryos inhibited in BMP signaling with SU5402, a small molecule inhibitor of FGF receptor activity [67]. Treatment with this compound caused the expansion of *cyp26* and *otx1* anterior marker domains (compare Figure 3G with 3Y; Additional file 1, Figure S1G with S1Y) and elimination of expression of *hoxb1b* and *gbx1* posterior markers (compare Figure 3H with 3Z; Additional file 1, Figure S1H with S1Z). However, as SU5402 treatment itself appears

to reduce the intensity of *cyp26* and *hoxb1b* expression, we also employed other methods of inhibiting FGF signaling (the reduction in staining intensity might be due to a role of early FGF signaling in neural capacitation [T. Kudoh, personal communication]). Injections of mRNAs encoding a dominant negative FGF receptor (XFD) [68] or the MAPK-pathway antagonist *mkp3* [69] yielded a similar expansion of the *cyp26* domain (Additional file 5, Figure S5M,S compared with Figure 4G), but was less effective in eliminating the *hoxb1b* domain (Additional file 5, Figure S5N,T compared with Figure 4H). These latter results, however, are complicated by obvious effects on gastrulation movements that result in asymmetry of staining around the circumference of the embryo. All three FGF signaling inhibitors do, however, have dramatic anteriorizing effects on expression of neuroectodermal markers.

In summary these observations show not only that embryos that fail to exhibit an early dorsal organizer show correct relative AP patterning in the absence of BMP signaling, but that this patterning is under posteriorizing control of Wnt-, TGF β -, and FGF-signaling, just as is the case in wild-type embryos.

Diffuse neuroectoderm surrounds mesoderm and endoderm in embryos lacking canonical Wnt signaling and impaired in BMP signaling

As we have shown above, 24 hpf 'ciuffo' embryos are characterized by a protrusion of tissue, extending away from the yolk, that expresses a set of neuroectodermal genes in proper AP order. The extension arises from abnormal and excessive epiboly movements, which causes tissue to extend far beyond the normal limit of migration, the vegetal pole of the yolk. At 24 hpf, as a consequence of this morphogenetic movement, a protruding tissue can be observed at the posterior edge of the yolk at the site of the earlier blastopore closure. As both neuroectodermal and mesodermal markers are expressed in this protrusion with overlapping patterns [8], we tested whether cells derived from each germ layer still segregate together, or not. Sections through the 'ciuffo' protrusions revealed that neuroectodermal markers (*isll*, *krox20*) are expressed in the outer layers (Figure 5A,B), the mesodermal marker *myoD* in the medial layers (Figure 5B), and the endodermal marker *gata5* is expressed in the innermost layer (Figure 5C). Thus, the segregation of germ layers is preserved in the protrusion of 'ciuffo' embryos. Expression of *isll* in the outer layer (Figure 5A) shows that this layer contains cells with neuronal identity, but that rather than being organized within a neural tube, they are located diffusely in a sheath of tissue that surrounds mesodermal and endodermal derivatives. Thus, when canonical Wnt signaling is absent and BMP signaling is highly reduced,




Figure 5 Germ layer segregation in 'ciuffo' embryos. Sections through the posterior protrusions of 24hpf 'ciuffo' embryos stained with characteristic germ layer markers show that neuroectoderm specific markers, *islet1* (A) and *krox20* (B) are expressed in the outermost layer of the protrusion. The endodermal marker *gata5* (C) is expressed in the innermost layers, whereas the mesodermal marker *myoD* (B) can be observed in between. Sections were made of 24 hpf *ich* embryos that had been injected with β catMO1 and β catMO2, hybridized as whole mounts with the indicated probes.

the neurectoderm retains its AP pattern and can form cells with neuronal identity, but the overall organization of this tissue is quite different from the vertebrate embryo neural tube.

Discussion

Correct anteroposterior patterning in the absence of the organizer and BMP signaling

The work described here adds to extensive evidence suggesting that an early dorsal organizer is not required for proper AP patterning of the vertebrate embryo. Surgical removal of the organizer in mouse, *Xenopus*, chick, and fish [9,11-13,70], transplantation experiments in zebrafish [16,71], and genetic "ablations" of organizer tissue in mouse [10,14] and zebrafish [15,17,72] all strongly suggested that the organizer is not required for generation of AP pattern.

However, these earlier experiments were not definitive. The ablation experiments in chick and mice were often followed by an almost complete restoration of the organizer [11], or did not completely eliminate the expression of all organizer-related genes [13]. In the zebrafish embryo, most genes with organizer activity are expressed before the shield becomes visible; thus, physical removal of this structure can not eliminate organizer activity completely [12]. Zebrafish embryos impaired in the Nodal signaling pathway display defective shield formation [15,17], but dorsal expression of several organizer markers (e.g. *chd*, *gsc*, *boz*) can be observed during the development of mutant embryos [17,73], and axis formation is not completely abolished even in *sqt;cyc;boz* triple mutants [72].

In the zebrafish embryo, a true genetic ablation of the dorsal organizer resulting in failure to induce any dorsal markers is really only achieved by the severe reduction of maternal β -catenin-2 in mutant *ich* embryos, or in embryos treated with MOs against β -catenin-2 [7,8]. However, as *ich* embryos fail to form head, trunk, and most neurectodermal tissues, their phenotype is not informative for determining if loss of dorsal organizer results in altered A-P pattern.

The lack of importance of the organizer in generating neurectodermal A-P pattern dramatically revealed only when BMP signaling is inhibited in embryos lacking the organizer. The first indication of this was the outcome of an experiment of Ober and Schulte-Merker [18] in which vegetal yolk was removed from wild-type zebrafish embryos just after fertilization. Such embryos were completely ventralized with lack of organizer (embryonic shield) and neurectoderm formation, indicating that determinants of organizer formation are localized at or near the vegetal pole. However, when this vegetal yolk removal was performed on *swr/bmp2b* embryos (which lack BMP signaling) neural tissue did form and at least two neural markers appeared with appropriate AP pattern, but were expressed radially. A similar result was obtained by comparing double *boz;chd* embryos, unable to form an organizer and neurectoderm, with triple *boz;chd;swr* embryos, in which the ectoderm is neuralized and markers of the midbrain hindbrain boundary and rhombomeres are correctly patterned [74]. In the experimental work we have presented above, we can explain the restoration of correct A-P neurectodermal pattern found in embryos inhibited in expression of both β -catenins [8] as a consequence of the inhibition of BMP signaling due to the massive ectopic expression of *chd* in these embryos [23]. Our results show that this patterning is equivalent to embryos lacking maternal β -catenin-2 that have been inhibited in BMP signaling by injection with *bmp2b*MO.

We also provide the first report that simultaneous inhibition of BMP signaling and organizer formation results in proper neurectodermal patterning even during gastrula stages. In *Xenopus*, neurectodermal markers were expressed radially in proper relative AP order in the brain of embryos lacking both organizer and BMP signaling was shown at later neurula stages, but not during gastrulation [19]. In this case, the knockdown of BMP signaling was obtained by administration of MOs against three BMPs and organizer functions were eliminated by UV treatment or administration of a β -catenin

MO. Thus, the consequence of simultaneous elimination of both BMP signaling and organizer formation in both zebrafish and *Xenopus* is robust formation of radially organized neuroectoderm, including all regions of the brain, with proper relative AP pattern.

It is interesting that the pattern of embryonic neuroectodermal AP domains is highly conserved between chordates and the radially organized hemichordates [75,76], suggesting that the deuterostome ancestor had the same AP pattern and that generation of the AP axis is independent of the organizer. Moreover, the independence of the AP and DV axis, previously recognized in hemichordates [77], is also clearly shown in the zebrafish embryo when dorsal organizer formation is eliminated along with a reduction in BMP signaling.

Multiple organizer-independent signaling pathways posteriorize the neuroectoderm

As presented in the Results section, Wnt, Nodal, and FGF signal transduction pathways, operating in the zebrafish germ-ring, posteriorize the neuroectoderm in an organizer-independent manner. These findings are completely supportive of the “two-step model” of Nieuwkoop [78], with the “activating,” anti-BMP signals originating from the organizer, and the “transformative” posteriorizing signals emanating from the germ-ring (Figure 6A).

It is well established that one of the major posteriorizing signals during vertebrate embryogenesis is the canonical Wnt pathway, which acts as a morphogen along the AP axis of the neural plate to regulate the expression of patterning genes [55]. Zebrafish mutants which upregulate the pathway, such as *masterblind/axin1 (mbl)* [79] or *headless/tcf3 (hdl)* [80] exhibit a loss of anterior neural structures, whereas impairment of Wnt signaling results in the expansion of anterior neural compartments [45,56]. Our results provide evidence that this posteriorizing effect of Wnt signaling is independent of the dorsal organizer. Injection of *bmp2bMO* alone into *ich* embryos clearly does not result in formation of organizer tissue (Figure 2C,F). Yet, inhibition of all detectable Wnt-signaling by the additional co-injection of the two β -catMOs [23] results in a marked expansion of anterior neuroectoderm (Figure 2M,O, Figure 3M,O). It should be noted as well that the persistence of considerable amounts of posterior markers under these conditions (Figure 2N, 3N) suggests that Wnts are not the only posteriorizing signals and that other, parallel-acting pathways function as well.

FGFs and activin-type TGF β signals have also been implicated in AP patterning [54,65]. Accordingly, injection of inhibitors of FGF and Nodal/Activin signaling into zebrafish embryos results in dramatic anteriorization of neural tissue [16,45,54]. By blocking these

pathways in *bmp2bMO*-injected *ich* embryos, we were also able to induce dramatic reduction in posterior neuroectoderm and expansion of anterior neuroectodermal markers (Figure 3S-U,3Y-A', Additional file 1, Figure S1S-U,Y-A').

An alternative explanation for the observed shift in the position of the posterior markers in embryos treated with β -catMOs might be an altered posterior movement of mesodermal cells. By regulating cell-cell adhesion, the BMP gradient across the DV axis controls convergence and extension movements of lateral mesodermal cells in wild type embryos [81]. In ‘ciuffo’ embryos, we have demonstrated that an ectopic gradient forms between cells with active BMP signaling at the animal pole and the *chd* expressing cells of the germ-ring (Figure 1). This gradient is likely responsible for the excessive migration of cells towards the vegetal pole and the formation of the characteristic protrusions at 24 hpf. However, as triple morphant (*bmp2bMO* and the two β -catMOs) embryos show an almost identical posteriorization as ‘ciuffo’ embryos, without any sign of abnormal posterior cell movements, we think it unlikely that the posteriorization in ‘ciuffo’ embryos is due to such movements. We followed the post-gastrulation development of untreated and morphant *ich* embryos and although excess tissue can be readily observed at the vegetal pole of ‘ciuffo’ embryos at 12.5-13.5 hpf, the triple morphants resemble *ich* embryos treated with *bmp2bMO* alone, with tissue accumulation at both animal and vegetal sides (Additional file 6, Figure S6).

The “two step model” provides a comprehensive explanation for our observations (Figure 6). When the “activating”, BMP-antagonist signals are absent, the effects of the “transformative” signals, although present, are masked by the lack of the neuroectoderm. This is the case in *ich* embryos, which lack expression of BMP antagonists and in which presence of BMPs is ubiquitous (Figure 6B). In contrast, the removal of BMP-signaling transforms the complete ectoderm to neuroectodermal fate, and patterning domains in *ich* embryos appear as circumferential rings (Figure 6E). The normal “transformative” posteriorizing signals clearly operate in these embryos but are not restricted by the ventral cues that would have functioned in the wild-type state. Under such conditions an impairment in the level of posteriorizing factors can be easily observed as a vegetal “shift” (anteriorization) in the position of neuroectodermal expression domains in *ich* embryos. The shift can be caused by inhibition of germ-ring Wnt8 signaling by treatment with both β -catMOs (Figure 6F), or by inhibition of Nodal/Activin with antivin or SB431542, or by inhibition of FGF signaling with SU5402 or *mkp3* or XFD (Figure 6G). However, in the case of *ich* embryos treated with these Nodal/Activin or




Figure 6 Patterning of the zebrafish neurectoderm is achieved by the parallel action of posteriorizing “transformative” signals and “activating” BMP-antagonists. (A-J) Neurectodermal regions are color coded as indicated with the most anterior territory represented in orange and the most posterior in blue. The BMP signaling gradient is indicated by grey shading, so that a brownish shading superimposed on the colored regions represent areas of the embryo unable to form neurectoderm due to the absence of an “activating” signal. Embryos of indicated genotype and treatment are diagrammed at 80-90% epiboly. See text for details.

FGF inhibitors, the anteriorization is only observed in embryos also inhibited in BMP signaling (Figure 6G) as, otherwise, the ubiquitous expression of BMPs prevents formation of any neurectoderm at all (Figure 6D). ‘Ciuffo’ (i.e., β cat1MO-treated *ich*) embryos (Figure 6C) present a state quite similar to *bmp2bMO*-treated *ich* embryos, as the lack of canonical Wnt signaling induces large amounts of BMP-antagonists in a wide band extending from the germ-ring, allowing the “transformative” Nodal/Activin and FGF signals to operate. However, such embryos still retain BMP signaling in the animal pole region of the embryos and thus, neurectodermal markers are not expressed in the animal-most fourth of the embryo.

This model (which is similar to the one proposed earlier by Meinhardt based on theoretical considerations [5], and more recently by Niehrs based on the review of the existing literature [82]) also offers an explanation for multiple aspects of the dorsalized and ventralized phenotypes described in several zebrafish mutants. For example, the progressive loss of anterior neural tissue observed in embryos with different degrees of ventralization, such as less severe *ich* embryos (C2,C3,C4) [7] or *chd* and *nog1* double deficient embryos (CI,CII) [83], is due to the smaller size of the “activation” domain and not to a change in the “transformative” signal (Figure 6H,I). As anti-BMP signals fail to reach the animal-most region of the gastrula, markers of the forebrain (and

often midbrain) will not be turned on. Similarly, in mutants of the BMP pathway, such as *swr*, *somitabun* (*sbn*) and *snailhouse* (*snh*) [30], the oversized BMP-absent area results in laterally expanded, but otherwise correctly positioned, expression domains during gastrulation (Figure 4) [32,84], indicating that the “transformative” signal is not perturbed in such embryos.

Our observations clearly refute the argument that the zebrafish AP and “classical” DV axes are equivalent [20,21], as it is clear from work presented here that the prospective AP axis of the embryo coincides with the early animal-vegetal axis of the zygote. As *Xenopus* embryos deficient both in organizer formation and BMP signaling also express AP patterning markers circumferentially [19], we propose that the concordance of the AP and animal-vegetal axis is a general feature of the anamniote embryos.

‘Ciuffo’ embryos may reveal ancestral anteroposterior radial neurectoderm patterning

Two striking features of the neurectoderm of 24 hr ‘ciuffo’ embryos are the radial organization along the full AP extent of the embryo, and the location of cells of neuronal identity in a diffuse network close to the outer surface, while tissues of mesodermal and endodermal identity reside within the embryo. This organization is reminiscent of the supposed pre-Urbilaterian, proto-Eumetazoan ancestor. Comparative studies suggest that the Eumetazoan ancestor had a diffuse nerve net [85] and members of Cnidaria, the sister clade of Eumetazoa, still possess such a primitive nervous system. Although it is yet unclear how exactly the patterning of this structure occurs, it has been suggested that FGF and TGF β signaling pathways play an active role in specifying the neuronal identities observed in *Nematostella vectensis*, an anthozoan cnidarian [86]. This view coincides with the evolutionary scenario suggested by Arendt et al. [87] in which BMP signaling was originally involved in the patterning of neuronal cell types and it was only adapted later to control formation of a centralized nervous system. Indeed, in several anthozoan species, asymmetric expression of BMPs and BMP antagonists has been observed, suggesting both that the origins of the bilaterian DV patterning system predate the Cnidarian - Eumetazoan split and that the system was originally not involved in driving nervous system centralization [88-91]. Strikingly, in *Nematostella* most BMP-components are expressed in the endoderm [90] and recent functional data indicates a major role in endoderm patterning (and a lesser role in neural differentiation) for the BMP pathway [92]. It is noteworthy that *chordin* and different *bmp/dpp* genes are expressed on the same side of the directive axis in *Nematostella*, suggesting a patterning center with functional similarities to the *chd/*

admp-expressing vertebrate organizer [92]. Such a center alone is sufficient to create a BMP gradient in the embryo [93], most likely through the shuttling of Chd/BMP complexes [94]. As a similar system was recently described for sea urchins as well [95], we suggest that this might constitute a prototypical BMP signaling paradigm, which later evolved into the scalable BMP signaling system observed in some Bilaterians.

Interestingly, some larval hemichordates (which together with echinoderms form the sister group of vertebrates in Deuterostoma) also possess a diffuse, epidermal neural network (reviewed in Gerhart et al. [96]). New research, however, suggests that this feature is not homologous with the vertebrate neural plate (as has been previously suggested [77]), but is a transient larval adaptation of certain hemichordate species [97]. As whenever a CNS is present in Bilateria, it develops on the side of BMP antagonism [87], it can be inferred that a centralized nervous system, regulated by BMP signals, is the ancestral state for all bilaterians. In echinoderms and certain hemichordates, where centralization is not observed, a secondary loss might have occurred during the evolution of these lineages [98]. By eliminating Wnt signaling and greatly reducing BMP signaling in ‘ciuffo’ embryos, we can observe the lack of restriction of neurectoderm to a particular DV level. That the potential for such a “diffuse nerve net” exists in a chordate species suggests that the evolutionary transition between a centralized and diffuse nervous system might have involved only a quite limited number of steps.

The organizer apparently arose in a chordate ancestor, as a signaling center homologous to the vertebrate organizer has been found in the cephalochordate (amphioxus) *Branchiostoma floridae* [99]. As tunicates are now considered the sister group of vertebrates, and amphioxus is more distantly related, it is likely that the organizer was lost in tunicates [99]. Chordate embryos have evolved the organizer to provide among other signaling functions, a specialized temporal and spatial program of BMP antagonist expression which acts on a pre-existing, extremely highly conserved pattern of AP tissue specification. As the AP pattern of gene expression appears to be widely conserved throughout the animal kingdom, it will be of interest to test whether the same pattern-generating “transformative” signals operate in hemichordates and non-vertebrate chordates. Wnt signals have already been shown in cephalochordates (amphioxus) to be expressed posteriorly, around the germ-ring, very much as in fish and amphibians, indicating that Wnts are a posterior “transformative” signal characteristic of chordates [99-101]. In amphioxus, the effects of this signal appear to be strongest at the posterior end of the embryo, while retinoic acid has a more important role in determining AP identity elsewhere

[100]. Wnt signaling is an extremely ancient mechanism of patterning the body axis [82,102], as it operates to specify position along the main body axis of the cnidarian *Nematostella vectensis* (a sea anemone) [103,104]. There is virtually nothing known, however, about FGF and Nodal signaling as potential “transformative” signals in non-vertebrate embryos.

Conclusions

Our work provides evidence for the organizer-independent AP patterning of the neuroectoderm in the developing zebrafish gastrula. We observed correctly located AP neuroectodermal domains in the organizer-less *ich* mutant embryos when BMP-signaling was inhibited. The position and size of these domains depends mainly on the action of Wnt-, FGF- and Nodal signaling, originating from the germ-ring of the gastrula. These observations can be easily interpreted within the framework of Nieuwkoop’s “two step model”: the observed neuroectodermal pattern in wild type fish is the result of the concerted action of “activating” and “transformative” signals (in the case of the zebrafish, BMP-antagonists and germ-ring-derived morphogens, respectively).

Our results also clearly refute recent proposals about the equivalency of the AP and “classical” DV axes in anamniotes, as the concordance of the AP axis with the AnVeg axis of the early embryo is evident.

When both Wnt- and BMP-signaling was inhibited in *ich* embryos, they developed into a well patterned tube-like structure, where a neuroectodermal sheet envelopes inner mesodermal and endodermal tissues. In this neuroectodermal domain scattered neuronal progenitors can be detected. Therefore, we speculate that vertebrates still retain the genetic program to form an ancient radially-organized diffuse neural net, and that only a limited number of changes in this program may have been necessary to form a neural-tube type of organization.

Methods

Zebrafish strains and husbandry

Zebrafish were maintained under standard conditions [105]. Wild-type embryos were derived from AB parents, while *ichabod*^{p1} (*ich*) embryos were obtained by breeding homozygous *ich* females with *brass* or *ich* males. Only those *ich* females that reproducibly yielded severely ventralized Class 1 (or Class 1a) phenotypes (for details see [7,40]) were used. All animal work described here was carried out under Protocols 700433 and 801973 approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of the University of Pennsylvania. This committee approves animal work only if it follows internationally recognized ethical and experimental guidelines.

Morpholino antisense oligonucleotide and mRNA injections

β -catenin-1-MO (β cat1MO) [8], β -catenin-2-MO (β cat2MO) [8], *bmp2b*MO [26], *sqt*MO [106], and *cyc*MO [107] were manufactured by Gene Tools (Philomath, OR) and the sequences of each are as published. Clones used to prepare sense mRNA were as follows: *mkp3* in pCS2+ [69] and *antivin* (*lefty1*) in pCS2+ [108]. mRNAs were synthesized and capped using an mMessage mMachine Kit (Ambion), following the manufacturer’s protocol. RNAs and morpholinos were stored in dH₂O at -20°C and injection solutions were prepared by diluting a 2 × stock of reagent in dH₂O with an equal volume of Dulbecco’s modified phosphate-buffered saline containing 5% phenol red (Sigma). Approximately 1 nl of solution was injected into the yolk at the base of blastomeres of 1-4 cell embryos. The concentration of these antisense and sense reagents that were injected per embryo were as follows: β cat1MO and β cat2MO (β catMOs) (3 mM each), *bmp2b*MO (0.4 mM), *sqt*MO and *cyc*MO (0.6 mM each), and *antivin* (*atv*) mRNA (200 ng/ μ l).

Small molecule treatments

SB431542 (Sigma) and SU5402 (Calbiochem) were used at indicated concentrations to block TGF β -activin and FGF signaling, respectively. The reagents were dissolved in DMSO and added to the culture medium at the 1000-cell stage. Embryos were kept in the dark until fixed.

RT-PCR experiments

Total RNA isolated from pooled samples of 10 embryos was used to generate cDNA using the SuperScript II kit (Invitrogen), following the manufacturer’s protocol. The primers used in the experiment were as follows (all shown in 5’-3’ orientation): *krox20*-F: CTGCCAGCCTCTGTGACTA, *krox20*-R: CCATGGTGCAGCTGAGT, *hoxb6b*-F: CTGACCGCTCGTGCGCTAT, *hoxb6b*-R: ATCTTCCTCATCGCTGACCTT, *hoxb8a*-F: GCAGAGTCCATGTGCGGTAA, *hoxb8a*-R: CAATCCGACGCTTGCGTGTT, *hoxa9a*-F: AACTGAGCCACC-GACGGTTA, *hoxa9a*-R: TCTTCATCCTGCGGTTTTGGA, *hoxd12*-F: GTCAGTGCAGCGCCAGAAT, *hoxd12*-R: CAGTTCCAATCTGTCCGAAA, *hoxc13a*-F: CCACGTCACGATGCATTGAT, *hoxc13a*-R: TAACTTGACGTTCTGAGAGGTT, *ef1a*-F: ACCGCCATCTGATCTACAA and *ef1a*-R: CAATGGTGATACCACGCTCA.

Whole mount *in situ* hybridizations and immunohistochemistry

The following clones were used to prepare antisense probes for hybridization: *p63* [35], *krox20* [53], *emx1*

[109], *val* (D. Grunwald, cDNA library), *cyp26* [45], *hoxb1b* [46], *hoxb6b* [110], *otx1* [48], *gbx1* [49], *rx3* [50], *boz* [38], *gsc* [25], *chd* [111] and *fdk3* [51]. Antisense RNA probes were synthesized and two color *in situ* hybridization was carried out as previously described [23]. For embryos older than 24 hpf (Figure 5; Additional file 1, Figure S1) NBT/BCIP was used as primary chromogen, as it gave better results. Whole mount embryos were imaged with a Leica MZ12 stereomicroscope, using a Roper Scientific Photometrics RGB Vision MS-C digital camera system (CRI, Inc, Boston, MA).

For manual sections (Figure 5) embryos subjected to whole-mount *in situ* hybridisation were cleared in serial incubations of glycerol (25, 50, 75 and 95%). Sections were placed in a drop of glycerol, cover-slipped, and imaged with 40 × (0.8 NA) water-immersion lens using a Nikon E1000 microscope connected to a digital camera (Jenoptik) operated by Openlab (Improvison) software.

For immunohistochemistry, embryos were fixed in 4% PFA, blocked in standardized blocking solution (10% fetal bovine serum, 1% DMSO, 0.8% Triton-X in PBS), incubated overnight at 4 C° with a 1:100 dilution of anti-phospho-Smad1/5/8 antibody (Cell Signaling Technology), followed by a 1:200 dilution of goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated antibody (Invitrogen). To visualize the nuclei, embryos were incubated in 1:1000 dilution of TO-PRO®-3 iodide (Invitrogen) DNA stain in PBST. After several washes the specimens were mounted in 1% low melting point agarose, and imaged on a Leica TCS SP Confocal Microscope using ×10 objectives. Image reconstruction was performed using Volocity (Improvison) software.

All figures were composed using Adobe Photoshop and Illustrator (CS3).

Additional material

Additional file 1: Figure S1 - Wnt-, TGFβ- and FGF-signaling have pivotal roles in the posteriorization of the neuroectoderm of organizer activity. Single *in situ* hybridization with *otx1* (A,D,G,J,M,P,S,V,Y) or *gbx1* (B,E,H,K,Q,T,W,Z) probes or double *in situ* hybridization with both probes (C,F,I,L,O,R,U,X,A') is shown for wild-type embryos (A-C), untreated *ich* embryos (D-F), or *ich* embryos treated with bmp2bMO (G-I), the two β-cat MOs (J-L), bmp2bMO plus the two β-cat MOs (M-O), or *ich* embryos injected with *antivin* mRNA (P-R), *antivin* mRNA plus bmp2bMO (S-U), SU5402 (V-X), or SU5402 plus bmp2bMO (Y-A). Wild-type embryos [A-C] are shown in dorsal views, while *ich* embryos are shown in lateral views. All embryos are at ~100% epiboly. Arrowheads in the *antivin* (*atv*) treated embryos point to the edge of the germ-ring.

Additional file 2: Figure S2 - Complete repression of β-catenin signaling in *ich* embryos induces neuroectoderm with correct AP pattern. Untreated *ich* embryos do not express *emx1*, *krox20*, *val*, or *hoxb6b* (B,E,H), while their siblings coinjected with βcatMOs (C,C',F',I',J') express these neuroectodermal markers in a correct order at 22 hpf, with the anterior to posterior direction corresponding to proximal to distal relative to the yolk (compare A with C,C', D with F, F', and G with I,I').

The following probe-pairs were used: *emx1* (blue) and *krox20* (red) (A-C), *krox20* (red) and *val* (blue) (D-F), and *krox20* (red) and *hoxb6b* (blue) (G-I).

Additional file 3: Figure S3 - Inhibition of Wnt- or BMP signaling in *ich* embryos induces typical anterior neuroectodermal markers. RT-PCR amplification of characteristic neuroectodermal patterning markers (*krox20*, *hoxb6b*, *hoxb8a*, *hoxa9a*, *hoxd12*, *hoxc13a*) was carried out using oligo dT-primed cDNA samples from wild-type embryos (lanes 1-4), uninjected *ich* embryos (lanes 2,5,7,9), or *ich* embryos injected with βcat1MO ('ciuffo') (lanes 3,6) or bmp2bMO embryos (lanes 8,10). Whereas in *ich* embryos, only the posterior-most hox markers are present (lanes 2,7), 'ciuffo' embryos expressed almost the complete range of neuroectodermal patterning markers examined, except that expression of *hoxc13a*, the most posterior marker examined, is absent, and the level of *hoxd12a* is reduced (lane 3). In contrast, bmp2bMO injection induced expression of all assayed neuroectodermal markers (lane 8). Controls lacking RT were performed to make sure the signals observed were dependent on RNA (lanes 4-6,9,10).

Additional file 4: Figure S4 - Posteriorizing TGFβ activity is only partly dependent on Nodal signals. *In situ* hybridization with *cyp26* (A, C,E,G,I,K,M) or *hoxb1b* (B,D,F,H,J,L,N) probes is shown for wild-type embryos (A,B), untreated *ich* embryos (C,D), *ich* embryos treated with bmp2bMO (E,F), SB431542 (G,H), sqtMO and cycMO (K,L), or bmp2bMO in combination with SB431542 (I,J) or sqtMO and cycMO (M,N). A small molecular inhibitor of the TGFβ pathway, SB431542, has no effect on untreated *ich* embryos (G,H), but when it is applied to bmp2bMO injected *ich* embryos, it anteriorizes the neuroectoderm (I,J). These embryos have gastrulation defects; the arrowheads point to the position of the stalled germ-ring. Coinjection of sqtMO and cycMO with bmp2bMO results in a slight expansion of the *cyp26* domain (M), and a mild reduction of the *hoxb1b* domain (N), showing that the posteriorizing effects of TGFβ signaling are dependent to some extent on Nodal-independent signals. Injection of sqtMO along with cycMO into untreated *ich* embryos has no effect on *cyp26* and *hoxb1b* expression (K, L). SB431542 was used at 2.4 mM concentration; sqtMO and cycMO were 3 mM each. Wild-type embryos (A,B) are shown in a dorsal view, *ich* embryos (C-N) from a lateral view. All embryos are at ~10 hpf.

Additional file 5: Figure S5 - Antagonists of the FGF pathway can anteriorize *ich* embryos with impaired BMP signaling. Single *in situ* hybridization with *cyp26* (A,D,G,J,M,P,S) or *hoxb1b* (B,E,H,K,N,Q,T) probes or double *in situ* hybridization with both probes (C,F,I,L,O,R,U) is shown for wild-type embryos (A-C), untreated *ich* embryos (D-F), *ich* embryos treated with bmp2bMO (G-I), injected with *XFD* or *mkp3* mRNAs alone (J-L and P-R), or in combination with bmp2bMO (M-O and S-U). The injection of mRNAs encoding a dominant negative FGF receptor, *XFD*, or a negative regulator of the MAPK pathway, *mkp3*, into bmp2bMO-injected embryos results in the posterior expansion of the anterior neuroectodermal marker, *cyp26* (M,O, and S,U), and a reduction of the posterior neuroectodermal domain, marked by *hoxb1b* (N,O and T,U). Gastrulation movements seem to be impaired in such coinjected embryos. These antagonists of FGF signaling have no effect on untreated *ich* embryos (J-L and P-R). Wild-type embryos (A-C) are shown in a dorsal view, *ich* embryos (D-U) in lateral view. All embryos are at ~10 hpf.

Additional file 6: Figure S6 - Posterior movement of mesodermal cells only observed in 'ciuffo' embryos. Lateral views of live, 12.5-13 hpf *ich* embryos untreated (A) or treated with bmp2bMO (B), βcat1MO (C) and bmp2bMO and βcat1MO (D). Note the clear vegetal migration of cells observable in 'ciuffo' embryos (C), and the relatively symmetric distribution of tissues between the animal and vegetal poles of bmp2bMO (co-)injected embryos (B,D).

Acknowledgements

We thank Joshua Bradner for fish care and technical help, Gianfranco Bellipanni for helpful and stimulating discussions and Steve Wilson and Jon Clarke for gifts of SB431542 and SU5402. This work was supported by NIH grant R01 HD39272 to E.S.W.

Author details

¹Department of Biology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA. ²Current Address: Department of Genetics, Eötvös Loránd University, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C, Budapest H-1117, Hungary. ³Current Address: Dept. of Intelligence Science and Technology, Graduate School of Informatics, Kyoto University, Yoshida-Honmachi, Sakyo, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan.

Authors' contributions

MV conducted the experimental design and execution, and drafted the manuscript. SM performed some of the morpholino injection and *in situ* hybridization experiments. ESW oversaw the design of the experiments and the writing the final draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Received: 4 January 2011 Accepted: 16 May 2011

Published: 16 May 2011

References

1. Harland R, Gerhart J: Formation and function of Spemann's organizer. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 1997, **13**:611-67.
2. De Robertis EM, Kuroda H: Dorsal-ventral patterning and neural induction in *Xenopus* embryos. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 2004, **20**:285-308.
3. Schier AF, Talbot WS: Molecular genetics of axis formation in zebrafish. *Annu Rev Genet* 2005, **39**:561-613.
4. Stern CD, Charite J, Deschamps J, Duboule D, Durston AJ, Kmita M, Nicolas JF, Palmeirim I, Smith JC, Wolpert L: Head-tail patterning of the vertebrate embryo: one, two or many unresolved problems? *Int J Dev Biol* 2006, **50**:3-15.
5. Meinhardt H: Primary body axes of vertebrates: generation of a near-Cartesian coordinate system and the role of Spemann-type organizer. *Dev Dyn* 2006, **235**:2907-19.
6. Heasman J, Kofron M, Wylie C: Beta-catenin signaling activity dissected in the early *Xenopus* embryo: a novel antisense approach. *Dev Biol* 2000, **222**:124-34.
7. Kelly C, Chin AJ, Leatherman JL, Kozlowski DJ, Weinberg ES: Maternally controlled (beta)-catenin-mediated signaling is required for organizer formation in the zebrafish. *Development* 2000, **127**:3899-911.
8. Bellipanni G, Varga M, Maegawa S, Imai Y, Kelly C, Myers AP, Chu F, Talbot WS, Weinberg ES: Essential and opposing roles of zebrafish beta-catenins in the formation of dorsal axial structures and neuroectoderm. *Development* 2006, **133**:1299-309.
9. Snow MH: Autonomous development of parts isolated from primitive-streak-stage mouse embryos. Is development clonal? *J Embryol Exp Morphol* 1981, **65**(Suppl):269-87.
10. Ang SL, Rossant J: HNF-3 beta is essential for node and notochord formation in mouse development. *Cell* 1994, **78**:561-74.
11. Psychoyos D, Stern CD: Restoration of the organizer after radical ablation of Hensen's node and the anterior primitive streak in the chick embryo. *Development* 1996, **122**:3263-73.
12. Shih J, Fraser SE: Characterizing the zebrafish organizer: microsurgical analysis at the early-shield stage. *Development* 1996, **122**:1313-22.
13. Davidson BP, Kinder SJ, Steiner K, Schoenwolf GC, Tam PP: Impact of node ablation on the morphogenesis of the body axis and the lateral asymmetry of the mouse embryo during early organogenesis. *Dev Biol* 1999, **211**:11-26.
14. Klingensmith J, Ang SL, Bachiller D, Rossant J: Neural induction and patterning in the mouse in the absence of the node and its derivatives. *Dev Biol* 1999, **216**:535-49.
15. Feldman B, Gates MA, Egan ES, Dougan ST, Rennebeck G, Sirotkin HI, Schier AF, Talbot WS: Zebrafish organizer development and germ-layer formation require nodal-related signals. *Nature* 1998, **395**:181-5.
16. Koshida S, Shinya M, Mizuno T, Kuroiwa A, Takeda H: Initial anteroposterior pattern of the zebrafish central nervous system is determined by differential competence of the epiblast. *Development* 1998, **125**:1957-66.
17. Gritsman K, Zhang J, Cheng S, Heckscher E, Talbot WS, Schier AF: The EGF-CFC protein one-eyed pinhead is essential for nodal signaling. *Cell* 1999, **97**:121-32.
18. Ober EA, Schulte-Merker S: Signals from the yolk cell induce mesoderm, neuroectoderm, the trunk organizer, and the notochord in zebrafish. *Dev Biol* 1999, **215**:167-81.
19. Reversade B, Kuroda H, Lee H, Mays A, De Robertis EM: Depletion of *Bmp2*, *Bmp4*, *Bmp7* and Spemann organizer signals induces massive brain formation in *Xenopus* embryos. *Development* 2005, **132**:3381-92.
20. Lane MC, Sheets MD: Rethinking axial patterning in amphibians. *Dev Dyn* 2002, **225**:434-47.
21. Lane MC, Sheets MD: Heading in a new direction: implications of the revised fate map for understanding *Xenopus laevis* development. *Dev Biol* 2006, **296**:12-28.
22. Gerhart J: Changing the axis changes the perspective. *Dev Dyn* 2002, **225**:380-3.
23. Varga M, Maegawa S, Bellipanni G, Weinberg ES: Chordin expression, mediated by Nodal and FGF signaling, is restricted by redundant function of two beta-catenins in the zebrafish embryo. *Mech Dev* 2007, **124**:775-91.
24. Piccolo S, Sasai Y, Lu B, De Robertis EM: Dorsal-ventral patterning in *Xenopus*: inhibition of ventral signals by direct binding of chordin to BMP-4. *Cell* 1996, **86**:589-98.
25. Stachel SE, Grunwald DJ, Myers PZ: Lithium perturbation and goosecoid expression identify a dorsal specification pathway in the pregastrula zebrafish. *Development* 1993, **117**:1261-74.
26. Imai Y, Talbot WS: Morpholino phenocopies of the *bmp2b/swirl* and *bmp7/snailhouse* mutations. *Genesis* 2001, **30**:160-3.
27. Faure S, Lee MA, Keller T, ten Dijke P, Whitman M: Endogenous patterns of TGFbeta superfamily signaling during early *Xenopus* development. *Development* 2000, **127**:2917-31.
28. Schohl A, Fagotto F: Beta-catenin, MAPK and Smad signaling during early *Xenopus* development. *Development* 2002, **129**:37-52.
29. Tucker JA, Mintzer KA, Mullins MC: The BMP signaling gradient patterns dorsoventral tissues in a temporally progressive manner along the anteroposterior axis. *Dev Cell* 2008, **14**:108-19.
30. Mullins MC, Hammerschmidt M, Kane DA, Odenthal J, Brand M, van Eeden FJ, Furutani-Seiki M, Granato M, Haffter P, Heisenberg CP, et al: Genes establishing dorsoventral pattern formation in the zebrafish embryo: the ventral specifying genes. *Development* 1996, **123**:81-93.
31. Kishimoto Y, Lee KH, Zon L, Hammerschmidt M, Schulte-Merker S: The molecular nature of zebrafish swirl: BMP2 function is essential during early dorsoventral patterning. *Development* 1997, **124**:4457-66.
32. Nguyen VH, Schmid B, Trout J, Connors SA, Ekker M, Mullins MC: Ventral and lateral regions of the zebrafish gastrula, including the neural crest progenitors, are established by a *bmp2b/swirl* pathway of genes. *Dev Biol* 1998, **199**:93-110.
33. Schmid B, Furthauer M, Connors SA, Trout J, Thisse B, Thisse C, Mullins MC: Equivalent genetic roles for *bmp7/snailhouse* and *bmp2b/swirl* in dorsoventral pattern formation. *Development* 2000, **127**:957-67.
34. Munoz-Sanjuan I, Brivanlou AH: Neural induction, the default model and embryonic stem cells. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2002, **3**:771-80.
35. Cruz C, Maegawa S, Weinberg ES, Wilson SW, Dawid IB, Kudoh T: Induction and patterning of trunk and tail neural ectoderm by the homeobox gene *eve1* in zebrafish embryos. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2010, **107**:3564-9.
36. Yamanaka Y, Mizuno T, Sasai Y, Kishi M, Takeda H, Kim CH, Hibi M, Hirano T: A novel homeobox gene, *dharma*, can induce the organizer in a non-cell-autonomous manner. *Genes Dev* 1998, **12**:2345-53.
37. Fekany K, Yamanaka Y, Leung T, Sirotkin HI, Topczewski J, Gates MA, Hibi M, Renucci A, Stemple D, Radbill A, et al: The zebrafish *bozozok* locus encodes *Dharma*, a homeodomain protein essential for induction of gastrula organizer and dorsoanterior embryonic structures. *Development* 1999, **126**:1427-38.
38. Koos DS, Ho RK: The *nieuwkoid* gene characterizes and mediates a *Nieuwkoop*-center-like activity in the zebrafish. *Curr Biol* 1998, **8**:1199-206.
39. Reifers F, Bohli H, Walsh EC, Crossley PH, Stainier DY, Brand M: *Fgf8* is mutated in zebrafish acerebellar (*ace*) mutants and is required for maintenance of midbrain-hindbrain boundary development and somitogenesis. *Development* 1998, **125**:2381-95.
40. Maegawa S, Varga M, Weinberg ES: FGF signaling is required for (beta)-catenin-mediated induction of the zebrafish organizer. *Development* 2006, **133**:3265-76.
41. Dixon Fox M, Bruce AE: Short- and long-range functions of Goosecoid in zebrafish axis formation are independent of Chordin, Noggin 1 and Follistatin-like 1b. *Development* 2009, **136**:1675-85.

42. Glinka A, Wu W, Onichtchouk D, Blumenstock C, Niehrs C: **Head induction by simultaneous repression of Bmp and Wnt signalling in Xenopus.** *Nature* 1997, **389**:517-9.
43. Oelgeschlager M, Kuroda H, Reversade B, De Robertis EM: **Chordin is required for the Spemann organizer transplantation phenomenon in Xenopus embryos.** *Dev Cell* 2003, **4**:219-30.
44. Imai Y, Gates MA, Melby AE, Kimelman D, Schier AF, Talbot WS: **The homeobox genes *vox* and *vent* are redundant repressors of dorsal fates in zebrafish.** *Development* 2001, **128**:2407-20.
45. Kudoh T, Wilson SW, Dawid IB: **Distinct roles for Fgf, Wnt and retinoic acid in posteriorizing the neural ectoderm.** *Development* 2002, **129**:4335-46.
46. Alexandre D, Clarke JD, Oxtoby E, Yan YL, Jowett T, Holder N: **Ectopic expression of *Hoxa-1* in the zebrafish alters the fate of the mandibular arch neural crest and phenocopies a retinoic acid-induced phenotype.** *Development* 1996, **122**:735-46.
47. Kudoh T, Concha ML, Houart C, Dawid IB, Wilson SW: **Combinatorial Fgf and Bmp signalling patterns the gastrula ectoderm into prospective neural and epidermal domains.** *Development* 2004, **131**:3581-92.
48. Li Y, Allende ML, Finkelstein R, Weinberg ES: **Expression of two zebrafish orthodenticle-related genes in the embryonic brain.** *Mech Dev* 1994, **48**:229-44.
49. Rhinn M, Lun K, Amores A, Yan YL, Postlethwait JH, Brand M: **Cloning, expression and relationship of zebrafish *gbx1* and *gbx2* genes to Fgf signaling.** *Mech Dev* 2003, **120**:919-36.
50. Chuang JC, Mathers PH, Raymond PA: **Expression of three Rx homeobox genes in embryonic and adult zebrafish.** *Mech Dev* 1999, **84**:195-8.
51. Odenthal J, Nusslein-Volhard C: **fork head domain genes in zebrafish.** *Dev Genes Evol* 1998, **208**:245-58.
52. Pfeffer PL, Gerster T, Lun K, Brand M, Busslinger M: **Characterization of three novel members of the zebrafish Pax2/5/8 family: dependency of Pax5 and Pax8 expression on the Pax2.1 (*noi*) function.** *Development* 1998, **125**:3063-74.
53. Oxtoby E, Jowett T: **Cloning of the zebrafish *krox-20* gene (*krx-20*) and its expression during hindbrain development.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 1993, **21**:1087-95.
54. Thisse B, Wright CV, Thisse C: **Activin- and Nodal-related factors control antero-posterior patterning of the zebrafish embryo.** *Nature* 2000, **403**:425-8.
55. Kiecker C, Niehrs C: **A morphogen gradient of Wnt/beta-catenin signalling regulates anteroposterior neural patterning in Xenopus.** *Development* 2001, **128**:4189-201.
56. Erter CE, Wilm TP, Basler N, Wright CV, Solnica-Krezel L: **Wnt8 is required in lateral mesendodermal precursors for neural posteriorization in vivo.** *Development* 2001, **128**:3571-83.
57. White RJ, Nie Q, Lander AD, Schilling TF: **Complex regulation of *cyp26a1* creates a robust retinoic acid gradient in the zebrafish embryo.** *PLoS Biol* 2007, **5**:e304.
58. Piepenburg O, Grimmer D, Williams PH, Smith JC: **Activin *redux*: specification of mesodermal pattern in Xenopus by graded concentrations of endogenous activin B.** *Development* 2004, **131**:4977-86.
59. Ramis JM, Collart C, Smith JC: **Xnrs and activin regulate distinct genes during Xenopus development: activin regulates cell division.** *PLoS One* 2007, **2**:e213.
60. Laping NJ, Grygielko E, Mathur A, Butter S, Bomberger J, Tweed C, Martin W, Fornwald J, Lehr R, Harling J, et al: **Inhibition of transforming growth factor (TGF)-beta1-induced extracellular matrix with a novel inhibitor of the TGF-beta type I receptor kinase activity: SB-431542.** *Mol Pharmacol* 2002, **62**:58-64.
61. Erter CE, Solnica-Krezel L, Wright CV: **Zebrafish nodal-related 2 encodes an early mesendodermal inducer signaling from the extraembryonic yolk syncytial layer.** *Dev Biol* 1998, **204**:361-72.
62. Rebagliati MR, Toyama R, Fricke C, Haffter P, Dawid IB: **Zebrafish nodal-related genes are implicated in axial patterning and establishing left-right asymmetry.** *Dev Biol* 1998, **199**:261-72.
63. Rebagliati MR, Toyama R, Haffter P, Dawid IB: ***cyclops* encodes a nodal-related factor involved in midline signaling.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1998, **95**:9932-7.
64. Sampath K, Rubinstein AL, Cheng AM, Liang JO, Fekany K, Solnica-Krezel L, Korzh V, Halpern ME, Wright CV: **Induction of the zebrafish ventral brain and floorplate requires *cyclops/nodal* signalling.** *Nature* 1998, **395**:185-9.
65. Cox WG, Hemmati-Brivanlou A: **Caudalization of neural fate by tissue recombination and bFGF.** *Development* 1995, **121**:4349-58.
66. Pownall ME, Isaacs HV, Slack JM: **Two phases of Hox gene regulation during early Xenopus development.** *Curr Biol* 1998, **8**:673-6.
67. Mohammadi M, McMahon G, Sun L, Tang C, Hirth P, Yeh BK, Hubbard SR, Schlessinger J: **Structures of the tyrosine kinase domain of fibroblast growth factor receptor in complex with inhibitors.** *Science* 1997, **276**:955-60.
68. Amaya E, Stein PA, Musci TJ, Kirschner MW: **FGF signalling in the early specification of mesoderm in Xenopus.** *Development* 1993, **118**:477-87.
69. Tsang M, Maegawa S, Kiang A, Habas R, Weinberg E, Dawid IB: **A role for MKP3 in axial patterning of the zebrafish embryo.** *Development* 2004, **131**:2769-79.
70. Cooke J, Webber JA: **Dynamics of the control of body pattern in the development of *Xenopus laevis*. II. Timing and pattern in the development of single blastomeres (presumptive lateral halves) isolated at the 2-cell stage.** *J Embryol Exp Morphol* 1985, **88**:113-33.
71. Woo K, Fraser SE: **Specification of the zebrafish nervous system by nonaxial signals.** *Science* 1997, **277**:254-7.
72. Shimizu T, Yamanaka Y, Ryu SL, Hashimoto H, Yabe T, Hirata T, Bae YK, Hibi M, Hirano T: **Cooperative roles of Bozozok/Dharma and Nodal-related proteins in the formation of the dorsal organizer in zebrafish.** *Mech Dev* 2000, **91**:293-303.
73. Sun Z, Jin P, Tian T, Gu Y, Chen YG, Meng A: **Activation and roles of ALK4/ALK7-mediated maternal TGFbeta signals in zebrafish embryo.** *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2006, **345**:694-703.
74. Gonzalez EM, Fekany-Lee K, Carmany-Rampey A, Erter C, Topczewski J, Wright CV, Solnica-Krezel L: **Head and trunk in zebrafish arise via coinhibition of BMP signaling by bozozok and chordin.** *Genes Dev* 2000, **14**:3087-92.
75. Lowe CJ, Wu M, Salic A, Evans L, Lander E, Stange-Thomann N, Gruber CE, Gerhart J, Kirschner M: **Anteroposterior patterning in hemichordates and the origins of the chordate nervous system.** *Cell* 2003, **113**:853-65.
76. Aronowicz J, Lowe CJ: **Hox gene expression in the hemichordate *Saccoglossus kowalevskii* and the evolution of deuterostome nervous systems.** *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 2006, **46**:890-901.
77. Lowe CJ, Terasaki M, Wu M, Freeman RM Jr, Runft L, Kwan K, Haigo S, Aronowicz J, Lander E, Gruber C, et al: **Dorsoventral patterning in hemichordates: insights into early chordate evolution.** *PLoS Biol* 2006, **4**:e291.
78. Nieuwkoop PD: **The neural induction process; its morphogenetic aspects.** *Int J Dev Biol* 1999, **43**:615-23.
79. Heisenberg CP, Houart C, Take-Uchi M, Rauch GJ, Young N, Coutinho P, Masai I, Caneparo L, Concha ML, Geisler R, et al: **A mutation in the Gsk3-binding domain of zebrafish *Masterblind/Axin1* leads to a fate transformation of telencephalon and eyes to diencephalon.** *Genes Dev* 2001, **15**:1427-34.
80. Kim CH, Oda T, Itoh M, Jiang D, Artinger KB, Chandrasekharappa SC, Driever W, Chitnis AB: **Repressor activity of *Headless/Tcf3* is essential for vertebrate head formation.** *Nature* 2000, **407**:913-6.
81. von der Hardt S, Bakkens J, Inbal A, Carvalho L, Solnica-Krezel L, Heisenberg CP, Hammerschmidt M: **The Bmp gradient of the zebrafish gastrula guides migrating lateral cells by regulating cell-cell adhesion.** *Curr Biol* 2007, **17**:475-87.
82. Niehrs C: **On growth and form: a Cartesian coordinate system of Wnt and BMP signaling specifies bilaterian body axes.** *Development* 2010, **137**:845-57.
83. Dal-Pra S, Furthauer M, Van-Celst J, Thisse B, Thisse C: **Noggin1 and Follistatin-like2 function redundantly to Chordin to antagonize BMP activity.** *Dev Biol* 2006, **298**:514-26.
84. Barth KA, Kishimoto Y, Rohr KB, Seydler C, Schulte-Merker S, Wilson SW: **Bmp activity establishes a gradient of positional information throughout the entire neural plate.** *Development* 1999, **126**:4977-87.
85. Holland ND: **Early central nervous system evolution: an era of skin brains?** *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2003, **4**:617-27.
86. Marlow HQ, Srivastava M, Matus DQ, Rokhsar D, Martindale MQ: **Anatomy and development of the nervous system of *Nematostella vectensis*, an anthozoan cnidarian.** *Dev Neurobiol* 2009, **69**:235-54.
87. Arendt D, Denes AS, Jekely G, Tessmar-Raible K: **The evolution of nervous system centralization.** *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 2008, **363**:1523-8.

88. Hayward DC, Samuel G, Pontynen PC, Catmull J, Saint R, Miller DJ, Ball EE: **Localized expression of a dpp/BMP2/4 ortholog in a coral embryo.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2002, **99**:8106-11.
89. Finnerty JR, Pang K, Burton P, Paulson D, Martindale MQ: **Origins of bilateral symmetry: Hox and dpp expression in a sea anemone.** *Science* 2004, **304**:1335-7.
90. Matus DQ, Pang K, Marlow H, Dunn CW, Thomsen GH, Martindale MQ: **Molecular evidence for deep evolutionary roots of bilaterality in animal development.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2006, **103**:11195-200.
91. Rentszsch F, Anton R, Saina M, Hammerschmidt M, Holstein TW, Technau U: **Asymmetric expression of the BMP antagonists chordin and gremlin in the sea anemone *Nematostella vectensis*: implications for the evolution of axial patterning.** *Dev Biol* 2006, **296**:375-87.
92. Saina M, Genikhovich G, Renfer E, Technau U: **BMPs and chordin regulate patterning of the directive axis in a sea anemone.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2009, **106**:18592-7.
93. Reversade B, De Robertis EM: **Regulation of ADMP and BMP2/4/7 at opposite embryonic poles generates a self-regulating morphogenetic field.** *Cell* 2005, **123**:1147-60.
94. Ben-Zvi D, Shilo BZ, Fainsod A, Barkai N: **Scaling of the BMP activation gradient in *Xenopus* embryos.** *Nature* 2008, **453**:1205-11.
95. Lapraz F, Besnardeau L, Lepage T: **Patterning of the Dorsal-Ventral Axis in Echinoderms: Insights into the Evolution of BMP-Chordin Signaling Network.** *PLoS Biol* 2009, **7**:e1000248.
96. Gerhart J, Lowe C, Kirschner M: **Hemichordates and the origin of chordates.** *Curr Opin Genet Dev* 2005, **15**:461-7.
97. Nomaksteinsky M, Rottinger E, Dufour HD, Chettouh Z, Lowe CJ, Martindale MQ, Brunet JF: **Centralization of the deuterostome nervous system predates chordates.** *Curr Biol* 2009, **19**:1264-9.
98. De Robertis EM: **Evo-devo: variations on ancestral themes.** *Cell* 2008, **132**:185-95.
99. Yu JK, Satou Y, Holland ND, Shin IT, Kohara Y, Satoh N, Bronner-Fraser M, Holland LZ: **Axial patterning in cephalochordates and the evolution of the organizer.** *Nature* 2007, **445**:613-7.
100. Onai T, Lin HC, Schubert M, Koop D, Osborne PW, Alvarez S, Alvarez R, Holland ND, Holland LZ: **Retinoic acid and Wnt/beta-catenin have complementary roles in anterior/posterior patterning embryos of the basal chordate amphioxus.** *Dev Biol* 2009, **332**:223-33.
101. Petersen CP, Reddien PW: **Wnt signaling and the polarity of the primary body axis.** *Cell* 2009, **139**:1056-68.
102. Martindale MQ: **The evolution of metazoan axial properties.** *Nat Rev Genet* 2005, **6**:917-27.
103. Wikramanayake AH, Hong M, Lee PN, Pang K, Byrum CA, Bince JM, Xu R, Martindale MQ: **An ancient role for nuclear beta-catenin in the evolution of axial polarity and germ layer segregation.** *Nature* 2003, **426**:446-50.
104. Lee PN, Pang K, Matus DQ, Martindale MQ: **A WNT of things to come: evolution of Wnt signaling and polarity in cnidarians.** *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 2006, **17**:157-67.
105. Westerfield M: **The zebrafish book: a guide for the laboratory use of zebrafish (*Brachydanio rerio*).** Eugene, OR: M. Westerfield; 1993.
106. Feldman B, Stemple DL: **Morpholino phenocopies of sqt, oep, and ntl mutations.** *Genesis* 2001, **30**:175-7.
107. Karlen S, Rebagliati M: **A morpholino phenocopy of the cyclops mutation.** *Genesis* 2001, **30**:126-8.
108. Thisse C, Thisse B: **Antivin, a novel and divergent member of the TGFbeta superfamily, negatively regulates mesoderm induction.** *Development* 1999, **126**:229-40.
109. Morita T, Nitta H, Kiyama Y, Mori H, Mishina M: **Differential expression of two zebrafish emx homeoprotein mRNAs in the developing brain.** *Neurosci Lett* 1995, **198**:131-4.
110. Prince VE, Joly L, Ekker M, Ho RK: **Zebrafish hox genes: genomic organization and modified colinear expression patterns in the trunk.** *Development* 1998, **125**:407-20.
111. Miller-Bertoglio VE, Fisher S, Sanchez A, Mullins MC, Halpern ME: **Differential regulation of chordin expression domains in mutant zebrafish.** *Dev Biol* 1997, **192**:537-50.

doi:10.1186/1471-213X-11-26

Cite this article as: Varga et al.: Correct anteroposterior patterning of the zebrafish neuroectoderm in the absence of the early dorsal organizer. *BMC Developmental Biology* 2011 **11**:26.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

