

ORCA – Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/162210/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Corro, Diego 2023. A-foliations of codimension two on compact simply-connected manifolds. Mathematische Zeitschrift 304 (4), 63. 10.1007/s00209-023-03317-3

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00209-023-03317-3

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.





A-foliations of codimension two on compact simply-connected manifolds

Diego Corro¹

Received: 24 January 2022 / Accepted: 10 June 2023 / Published online: 20 July 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

We show that a singular Riemannian foliation of codimension two on a compact simplyconnected Riemannian (n + 2)-manifold, with regular leaves homeomorphic to the *n*-torus, is given by a smooth effective *n*-torus action. This solves in the negative for the codimension 2 case a question about the existence of foliations by exotic tori on simply-connected manifolds.

Keywords Singular Riemannian foliation · Aspherical foliations · Torus actions

Mathematics Subject Classification 53C12 · 57R30 · 53C24

1 Main results

When studying a Riemannian manifold M, an approach to understand its geometry or its topology is to simplify the problem by "reducing" M to a lower dimensional space B. This can be achieved by considering a partition of the original manifold M into submanifolds which are, roughly speaking, compatible with the Riemannian structure of M. This "reduction" approach is encompassed in the concept of *singular Riemannian foliations*.

This reduction approach has been applied to the long-standing open problem in Riemannian geometry of classifying and constructing Riemannian manifolds of positive or nonnegative (sectional) curvature via the *Grove symmetry program*, when the foliation is given by an *effective isometric action by a compact Lie group*. When the leaves of a singular Riemannian foliation are given by the orbits of a smooth Lie group action we say that the foliation is a *homogeneous foliation*. By [15] and [49] it is clear that the concept of a singular Riemannian foliation is more general than the one of a Lie group action.

Diego Corro was supported by CONACyT-DAAD Scholarship number 409912, DFG (281869850, RTG 2229—"Asymptotic Invariants and Limits of Groups and Spaces"), DGAPA-Fellowship associated to the Mathematics Institute of UNAM, campus Oaxaca, and DFG-Eigenestelle Fellowship CO 2359/1-1.

Diego Corro diego.corro.math@gmail.com http://www.diegocorro.com

¹ Institut für Algebra und Geometrie, Karlsruher Institut für Technologie (KIT), Karlsruhe, Germany

Since any compact connected Lie group contains a maximal torus as a Lie subgroup, the study of torus actions is of importance in the study of homogeneous foliations. The classification up to equivariant diffeomorphism of smooth, closed, simply-connected, manifolds with torus actions is a well studied problem when either the dimension of the manifolds or the cohomogeneity of the action is low (see for example [17, 32, 43, 44, 46]).

One main difference between smooth group actions and foliations is that foliations may be less rigid, not having several constraints natural to Lie groups (see for example [22]). This in turn raises technical challenges, such as the fact that the leaves may carry non-standard smooth structures. Thus an important problem in the setting of singular Riemannian foliations is to distinguish homogeneous foliations from non-homogeneous ones (see for example [22]). This problem does not become more tractable when the topology (and geometry) of the manifold is simple. Even in the case of spheres, equipped with the round metric, it is not clear how to distinguish homogeneous foliations (i.e. those coming from group actions) from non-homogeneous ones (see for example [53]).

By focusing on compact, simply-connected manifolds with a singular Riemannian foliations with closed aspherical leaves, we are able to attack this general problem. This type of singular Riemannian foliations are denoted as *A*-foliations and they were introduced by Galaz-García and Radeschi in [20] as generalizations of smooth effective torus actions on smooth manifolds.

The main result of the present work is that A-foliations of codimension two on compact, simply-connected manifolds are homogeneous up to foliated diffeomorphism.

Theorem A Every A-foliation of codimension two on a compact, simply-connected, Riemannian n-manifold, with $n \ge 3$, is homogeneous.

In the codimension one case, the same result holds. Namely, Galaz-García and Radeschi in [20] give a classification up to foliated diffeomorphism of all compact, simply-connected manifolds with a codimension one *A*-foliations. They show that these foliations are homogeneous.

They also point out that the lack of examples of A-foliations with exotic tori as leaves on simply-connected manifolds, and ask the following question:

Question Does there exist a non-trivial example of a singular Riemannian foliation whose leaves are exotic tori on a simply-connected manifold?

In this context, Theorem A gives an answer in the negative to this question in the case that the foliation has codimension two.

A possible approach to solve this question in the positive follows from the results in [20]: we may consider a fiber bundle with fiber an exotic torus, over a manifold with sufficiently large second homotopy group. This approach was pursued by Farrell and Wu in [14] considering as base spaces 4-dimensional simply-connected manifolds with large second homotopy groups, but they only managed to produce manifolds with finite fundamental group. Moreover, the authors comment that they do not know of any smooth fiber bundle such that the fiber is an exotic torus and the total space and base space are smooth simply-connected manifolds. So at the present there is no clear way to decide this question in general.

To prove Theorem A we extend results of the theory of transformation groups to the setting of singular Riemannian foliations. We focus on the general problem of comparing two different manifolds, each one endowed with a singular Riemannian foliation, via the leaf space, which is the topological space obtained as a quotient of the foliated manifold by the equivalence relation given by the foliation. A technique for classifying compact manifolds admitting a smooth effective compact Lie group action, up to homeomorphism is to compare

their orbit spaces (see for example [17, 25, 32, 44, 46]). We apply the same idea to smooth manifolds admitting a singular Riemannian foliation.

We begin by studying the homeomorphism type of the leaves of A-foliations on compact, simply-connected manifolds. We prove in Proposition 3.3 that, except in the case of 4-dimensional leaves, the leaves are all homeomorphic to tori or Bieberbach manifolds, extending results in [20].

Theorem B Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be an A-foliation, and consider a leaf $L \in \mathcal{F}$. If dim $(L) \neq 4$, then L is homeomorphic to a Biberbach manifold.

This result is due to the positive answer to the Borel conjecture for virtually abelian groups, except for dimension 4 (see for example [11]). In [21] the authors introduce *B*-foliations. These are *A*-foliations such that the leaves are Biberbach manifolds. In light of Proposition 3.3, from a topological view point any *A*-foliation without 4-dimensional leaves is a *B*-foliation. Since Biberbach manifolds are characterized as those closed manifolds admitting a flat Riemannian metric [8, Corollary 5.1], we propose here to modify the definition of *B*-foliation in [20] to the following one: A *B*-foliation is an *A*-foliation such that the leaves with the induced Riemannian metric are flat, see Remark 3.4.

We also study the infinitesimal foliations of an A-foliation, as well as the holonomy of the leaves. This allows us to describe the tubular neighborhoods of the singular leaves, and we propose a finer stratification of the manifold. Both concepts of holonomy and the infinitesimal foliation in the case of homogeneous foliations are encoded in the isotropy subgroup of an orbit. For an A-foliation of codimension 2 on a simply-connected manifold we define *the weights of the foliation*, which encode the information of the infinitesimal foliation. The weights defined in this present work generalize the weights of smooth effective torus actions (defined in [17, 44, 46]), which encode the isotropy information of torus actions.

We say that two weighted leaf spaces are *isomorphic*, if there is a weight preserving homeomorphism, and prove that for compact simply-connected manifolds with singular A-foliations of codimension 2 the weighted orbit spaces determine up to foliated homeomorphism the foliated manifold.

Theorem C Let (M_1, \mathcal{F}_1) and (M_2, \mathcal{F}_2) be compact, simply-connected Riemannian manifolds with singular A-foliations of codimension 2. If the leaf spaces M_1^* and M_2^* are isomorphic, then (M_1, \mathcal{F}_1) is foliated homeomorphic to (M_2, \mathcal{F}_2) .

We point out that in the general setting of classifying manifolds with singular Riemannian foliations via their leaf spaces, the best one can obtain is a classification up to foliated homeomorphism. This is because the leaf spaces are in general only metric spaces (i.e. they may not even be topological manifolds).

For the proof of Theorem C we need the existence of a *cross-section*. This is a map $\sigma: M^* \to M$ such that for the natural projection $\pi: M \to M^*$ we have $\pi \circ \sigma = \text{Id}_{M^*}$. Theorem 6.1 we give a family of topological obstruction to the existence of a cross-section over a subset of the principal stratum M^*_{prin} for a general closed singular Riemannian foliation, and in Theorem 6.2 we give a family of obstructions to extend a cross-section on a closed subset of the principal stratum to the whole leaf space M^* . Combining these obstructions, we give a sufficient condition for the existence of a cross-section in the following corollary (see Sect. 6 for the necessary definitions):

Corollary D Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a closed singular Riemannian foliation on a simply-connected manifold. Suppose that we have a cross-section $\tilde{\sigma} : M^*_{\text{prin}} \to M$, that the homotopy fiber F_{π} of $\pi : M \to M^*$ is simple, and that there exist $A^* \subset M^*_{\text{prin}}$ closed, such that (M^*, A^*) is a CW-pair. If M^*_{prin} has the same homotopy type as M^* , then the cross-section $\tilde{\sigma}$ can be extended to a section $\sigma: M^* \to M$.

For the case of a smooth effective torus action of cohomogeneity two (i.e. a homogeneous foliation), on a compact simply-connected manifold Oh proved in [44] that the leaf space is a weighted 2-disk, with the weights satisfying some conditions. Furthermore, he proves that these conditions characterize the orbit spaces of such actions among all weighted 2-disks. Namely, given a weighted disk satisfying the conditions he gives a procedure to construct a closed simply-connected smooth manifold with an effective smooth torus action of cohomogeneity two realizing the weighted disk as an orbit space. Oh called such weighted 2-disks *legally weighted*.

We show that the weights of an A-foliation of codimension two on a compact, simplyconnected manifold M are legal weights in the sense of Oh. Moreover for a singular Afoliation of codimension two on a compact, simply-connected manifold it was proved in [20] that the leaf space is homeomorphic to a 2-dimensional disk, and the boundary points of the leaf space correspond exactly to the singular leaves of the foliation. By studying closely the weight of the foliation we conclude that the results in [44] apply. Namely, that there is a torus action on M with the same weights as the ones of the foliation. By Theorem C we conclude that a singular A-foliation of codimension two on a compact, simply-connected manifold is, up to foliated homeomorphism, a homogeneous foliation.

As mentioned before, in the problem of classifying manifolds with singular Riemannian foliations via their leaf spaces, in general the best one can obtain is a classification up to foliated homeomorphism. In the case of singular *A*-foliations of codimension two on compact, simply-connected manifolds since the leaf space is a 2-disk, it is a smooth manifold with boundary in a unique way (it admits a unique smooth structure). Thus we can expect in this case to get a classification up to foliated diffeomorphism.

The next obstacle to obtaining a smooth classification, and obtaining Theorem A, is the existence of exotic smooth structures on tori (see, for example [30, 31]). As stated before, there exists regular A-foliations of codimension 4 on compact manifolds with finite fundamental group and leaves consisting of exotic tori [14]. To finish the proof of Theorem A we study the diffeomorphism type of the leaves of a singular A-foliation of codimension two on a compact simply-connected smooth manifold, and prove that they are diffeomorphic to standard tori.

We remark that A-foliations of codimension 2 with singular leaves are a priori infinitesimally polar due to a general argument by Lytchak [36, Proposition 3.1]. But since they are given by torus actions by Theorem A, they are polar by [25, Example 4.4]. Grove and Ziller showed in [25] that a *Coxeter polar action* is determined by the orbit space together with the isotropy information. This is an analogous statement of Theorem C for such polar actions (in this case the weights are the isotropy information of each orbit). Thus it is natural to if an analogous statement to Theorem i holds for more general A-foliations.

Question Are polar closed singular Riemannian foliations (or *A*-foliations) determined by the leaf space, and information about the infinitesimal foliation over the strata corresponding to the singular leaves?

Our article is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we give an overview of the theory of Lie group actions and singular Riemannian foliations, such as the infinitesimal foliation and the holonomy. In Sect. 3 we study the homeomorphism type of the leaves of a general *A*-foliation on a compact simply-connected manifold. In Sect. 4 we define the weights of an *A*-foliation and prove Theorem C. We finish the proof of Theorem A in Sect. 5, where we study the diffeomorphism type of the leaves of a singular *A*-foliation of codimension two on a

simply-connected manifold. We end this manuscript with the presentation of the topological sufficient conditions for the existence of cross-sections in Sect. 6.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Group actions

Let $G \times M \to M$, $p \mapsto g \star p$, be a smooth action of a compact Lie group G on a smooth manifold M. The *isotropy group* at p is defined as $G_p = \{g \in G \mid g \star p = p\}$. We say that the orbit G(p) is *principal* if the isotropy group G_p acts trivially on the normal space to the orbit at p. It is a well known fact that the set of principal orbits is open and dense in M. Since the isotropy groups of principal orbits are conjugate in G, and since the orbit G(p) is diffeomorphic to G/G_p , all principal orbits have the same dimension. If G(p) has the same dimension as a principal orbit but the isotropy group acts non-trivially on the normal space to the orbit at p we say that the orbit is *exceptional*. If the dimension of the orbit G(p) is less than the dimension of a principal orbit, we say that the orbit is *singular*. We denote the set of exceptional orbits by E and the set of singular orbits by Q. We denote the *orbit space* M/G by M^* and we define the *cohomogeneity* of the action to be the dimension of the orbit space M^* (or, equivalently, the codimension in M of a principal orbit). Let $\pi: M \to M^*$ be the orbit projection map onto the orbit space. We denote by X^* the image of a subset X of M under the orbit projection map π . The action is called *effective* if the intersection of all isotropy subgroups of the action is trivial, i.e if $\bigcap_{p \in M} G_p = \{e\}$. We say that a Riemannian metric is *invariant* under the action if the group acts by isometries with respect to this metric. For every effective smooth action of a compact Lie group G on a smooth manifold M there exists an invariant Riemannian metric (see, for example [1, Theorem 3.65]).

2.2 Effective torus actions

From now on we denote the *n*-torus with a Lie group structure by \mathbb{T}^n in order to distinguish it from its underlying topological space T^n . By identifying the torus group \mathbb{T}^n with $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$ we note that a circle subgroup of \mathbb{T}^n is determined by a line through the origin given by a vector $\overline{v} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, with a_1, \ldots, a_n relatively prime, via $G(a) = \{(e^{2\pi i t a_1}, \ldots, e^{2\pi i t a_n}) \mid 0 \le t \le 1\}$ (for a more detailed discussion see [44]). Recall that a smooth, effective action of a torus on a smooth manifold always has trivial principal isotropy. Therefore, a smooth, effective action of an *n*-torus on a smooth (n + 2)-manifold has cohomogeneity two.

Let *M* be a closed, simply-connected, smooth (n+2)-manifold, $n \ge 2$, on which a compact Lie group *G* acts smoothly and effectively with cohomogeneity two. It is well known that, if the set *Q* of singular orbits is not empty, then the orbit space M^* is homeomorphic to a 2-disk D^* whose boundary is Q^* (see [6, Chapter IV]). Moreover, the interior points correspond to principal orbits (i.e. the action has no exceptional orbits). The orbit space structure was analyzed in [32, 44] when $G = \mathbb{T}^n$ for $n \ge 2$ (see also [19]). In this case the only possible non-trivial isotropy groups are circle subgroups and 2-torus subgroups of \mathbb{T}^n . Furthermore, the boundary Q^* decomposes as a a finite union of $m \ge n$ open edges Γ_i and *m*-vertices F_i , where F_i sits between Γ_{i-1} and Γ_i if $i \ge 2$ and F_1 sits between Γ_m and Γ_1 . The interior points in an edge Γ_i correspond to orbits with a fixed circle subgroup $G(\overline{v}_i)$ determined by a vector $\overline{v}_i = (a_{1i}, \ldots, a_{ni}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. For $i \ge 2$, the orbit corresponding to a vertex F_i is an



Fig. 1 Orbit space structure of a cohomogeneity-two torus action on a closed, simply-connected manifold

orbit with isotropy equal to the product of circle subgroups $G(\overline{v}_i) \times G(\overline{v}_{i+1})$, i.e. a 2-torus in \mathbb{T}^n ; for i = 1, the isotropy of the orbit corresponding to F_1 is given by $G(\overline{v}_m) \times G(\overline{v}_1)$. This structure is illustrated in Fig. 1(cf. [19, Figure 1]).

The vectors $\{\overline{v}_1, \ldots, \overline{v}_m\}$ of the isotropy invariants $G(\overline{v}_i)$ are called the *weights* of the orbit space. The weight \overline{v}_i associated to a singular orbit is given by the following principal bundle (see [1, Proposition 3.41]):

$$G(\overline{v}_i) \to \mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{T}^{n-1}.$$
 (2.1)

The weight \overline{v}_i determines the embedding of the isotropy subgroup $G(\overline{v}_i)$ into \mathbb{T}^n . Following Oh [43], we say that the the orbit space M^* is *legally weighted*, if we can find a sub-collection of *n* weights $\{\overline{v}_{i_1}, \overline{v}_{i_2}, \ldots, \overline{v}_{i_n}\}$ such that the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} | & | & | & | \\ \bar{v}_{i_1} & \bar{v}_{i_2} & \cdots & \bar{v}_{i_n} \\ | & | & | & | \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1i_1} & a_{1i_2} & \cdots & a_{1i_n} \\ a_{2i_1} & a_{2i_2} & \cdots & a_{2i_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{ni_1} & a_{ni_2} & \cdots & a_{ni_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

has determinant ± 1 . By [44] any effective smooth \mathbb{T}^n -action on a simply-connected (n + 2)manifold has legal weights. Conversely, given a disk N^* equipped with legally weighted orbit data, there is a closed, simply-connected smooth (n + 2)-manifold N with an effective action of a torus \mathbb{T}^n such that N^* is the orbit space of N (see [44, Section 4]). We state this fact for future reference.

Theorem 2.1 (Remark 4.7 in [44]) For $n \ge 2$ and a family of legal weights $\{\bar{v}_1, \ldots, \bar{v}_m\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $m \ge n$ there exists a closed, simply-connected (n + 2)-manifold admitting a cohomogeneity two \mathbb{T}^n -action that realizes the family (a_{i1}, \ldots, a_{im}) as weights.

Let M and N be two closed, simply-connected smooth (n + 2)-manifolds with effective \mathbb{T}^n actions, with $n \ge 2$. Observe that both M^* and N^* are closed 2-disk, and thus have a unique smooth structure. A diffeomorphism $f^* \colon M^* \to N^*$ is called *weight preserving* if the isotropy subgroup of the orbit in N corresponding to $f^*(x^*)$ equals the isotropy subgroup of the orbit in X corresponding to $f^*(x^*)$ equals the isotropy subgroup of the orbit in X corresponding to x^* . We say that the orbit spaces M^* and N^* are *isomorphic* if there exists a weight-preserving diffeomorphism between them. From the work of Oh given a weight-preserving diffeomorphism $f^* \colon M^* \to N^*$, then there exists an equivariant diffeomorphism $f \colon M \to N$ which covers f^* . More generally, one has the following equivariant classification theorem.

Theorem 2.2 ([32, Theorem 2.4], and [44, Theorem 1.6]) *Two closed, simply-connected* smooth (n + 2)-manifolds with an effective \mathbb{T}^n -action are equivariantly diffeomorphic if and only if their orbit spaces are isomorphic.

2.3 Singular Riemannian foliations

A singular Riemannian foliation on a Riemannian manifold M, which we denote by (M, \mathcal{F}) , is the decomposition of M into a collection $\mathcal{F} = \{L_p \mid p \in M\}$ of disjoint connected, complete, immersed submanifolds L_p , called *leaves*, which may not be of the same dimension, such that the following conditions hold:

- (i) Every geodesic meeting one leaf perpendicularly, stays perpendicular to all the leaves it meets.
- (ii) For each point $p \in M$ there exist local smooth vector fields spanning the tangent space of the leaves.

If (M, \mathcal{F}) satisfies the first condition, then we say that (M, \mathcal{F}) is a *transnormal system*. If it satisfies the second one, we say that (M, \mathcal{F}) is a *smooth singular foliation*. When the dimension of the leaves is constant, we say that the foliation is a *regular Riemannian foliation* or just a *Riemannian foliation*. We refer the reader to [2] for a more in depth discussion of singular Riemannian foliations.

A natural class of examples of singular Riemannian foliations is given by effective actions of groups by isometries. If we only have a compact Lie group G acting smoothly on M, the existence of an invariant Riemannian metric, guarantees that we may consider G acting by isometries (see [1, Theorem 3.65]). A singular Riemannian foliation that arises from a group action is called a *homogenous foliation*. We say that a singular Riemannian foliation is *closed* if all the leaves are closed. The *dimension* of a foliation \mathcal{F} , denoted by dim \mathcal{F} , is the maximal dimension of the leaves of \mathcal{F} . We call the foliation *trivial* when dim $\mathcal{F} = 0$ or dim $\mathcal{F} = \dim M$. In the first case the leaves are collections of points, and in the second case there is only one leaf, the total manifold. The *codimension* of a foliation is,

$$\operatorname{codim}(M, \mathcal{F}) = \dim M - \dim \mathcal{F}.$$

The leaves of maximal dimension are called *regular leaves* and the remaining leaves are called *singular leaves*. Since \mathcal{F} gives a partition of M, for each point $p \in M$ there is a unique leaf, which we denote by L_p , that contains p. We say that L_p is the *leaf through* p. The quotient space M/\mathcal{F} obtained from the partition of M, is the *leaf space* and the quotient map $\pi: M \to M/\mathcal{F}$ is the *leaf projection map*. The topology of M yields a topology on M/\mathcal{F} , namely the quotient topology. With respect to this topology the quotient map is continuous. We denote the leaf space M/\mathcal{F} from this point onward by M^* as in the homogeneous case. We denote by S^* the image $\pi(S)$ of a subset $S \subset M$ under the leaf projection map.

A singular Riemannian foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) induces a stratification on M. For $k \leq \dim \mathcal{F}$ we define the *k*-dimensional stratum as:

$$\Sigma_{(k)} = \{ p \in M \mid \dim L_p = k \}.$$

The regular stratum $\Sigma_{\text{reg}} = \Sigma_{(\dim \mathcal{F})}$ is an open, dense and connected submanifold of M (see [48, Lemma 2.2.2]). The foliation restricted to the regular stratum yields a Riemannian foliation ($\Sigma_{\text{reg}}, \mathcal{F}$), and Σ_{reg}^* is open and dense in the leaf space M^* . Furthermore, by [40, Proposition 3.7], if (M, \mathcal{F}) is a singular Riemannian foliation with closed regular leaves, then Σ_{reg}^* is an orbifold. Note that the foliation is regular if and only if $\Sigma_{\text{reg}} = M$.

2.4 Infinitesimal foliation

We start by fixing a point $p \in M$, and consider the normal tangent space $v_p L_p$ to the leaf $L_p \subset M$. Next we consider $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough and set $\nu_p^{\varepsilon} = \exp_p(\nu_p L_p) \cap B_{\varepsilon}(0)$, with $B_{\varepsilon}(0)$ the ball of radius ε in $T_p M$. Taking $S_p = \exp_p(\nu_p^{\varepsilon} L_p)$ we consider the intersection of the leaves of \mathcal{F} with S_p . This induces a foliation $\mathcal{F}|_{S_p}$ on S_p by setting the leaves of $\mathcal{F}|_{S_p}$ to be the connected component of the intersection between the leaves of \mathcal{F} and S_p . This foliation may not be a singular Riemannian foliation with respect to the induced metric of M on S_p , i.e. the leaves of $\mathcal{F}|_{S_n}$ may not be equidistant with respect to the induced metric. Nevertheless, by [40, Proposition 6.5], the pull-back foliation $\mathcal{F}^p = \exp_p^*(\mathcal{F}|_{S_p})$ is a singular Riemannian foliation on $\nu_n^{\varepsilon} L_p$ equipped with the Euclidean metric. We call this foliation $(\nu_n^{\varepsilon} L_p, \mathcal{F}^p)$ the infinitesimal foliation at p. By [40, Lemma 6.2] the infinitesimal foliation is invariant under homotheties that fix the origin. Furthermore the origin $\{0\} \subset v_p^{\varepsilon} L_p$ is a leaf of the infinitesimal foliation. Since the leaves of \mathcal{F}^p stay at a constant distance from each other, the fact that the origin is a leaf implies that any leaf of \mathcal{F}^p is at a constant distance from the origin, and thus it is contained in a round sphere centered at the origin. From this last fact it follows that we may consider the infinitesimal foliation restricted to the unit normal sphere of $\nu_p L_p$, which we denote by \mathbb{S}_p^{\perp} , yielding a foliated round sphere $(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^p)$ with respect to the standard round metric of \mathbb{S}_p^{\perp} which is also called the infinitesimal foliation. From here on when we say "infinitesimal foliation" we refer to $(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^p)$, or equivalently, to $(v_p L_p, \mathcal{F}^p)$, since $(v_p L_p, \mathcal{F}^p)$ is invariant under homothetic transformations and thus it can be recovered from $(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^p)$.

For the particular case of a homogeneous singular Riemannian foliation by an action of a compact Lie group G, the infinitesimal foliation at a point p is given by taking the connected components of the orbits of the action of the isotropy group G_p on \mathbb{S}_p^{\perp} via the isotropy representation. Therefore, denoting by G_p^0 the connected component of G_p containing the identity element, the infinitesimal foliation is given by considering only the action of G_p^0 on \mathbb{S}_p^{\perp} given by the isotropy representation.

2.5 Holonomy

Given a leaf $L \subset M$, a point $p \in L$, and a path $\gamma : [0, 1] \to L$ starting at p, the following theorem gives us a foliated transformation from $\nu_p L$ to the total space νL of the normal bundle $\nu L \to L$.

Theorem 2.3 (Corollary 1.5 in [37]) Let *L* be a closed leaf of a singular Riemannian foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) , and let $\gamma : [0, 1] \to L$ be a piecewise smooth curve with $\gamma(0) = p$. Then there is a map $G : [0, 1] \times v_p L \to vL$ such that:

- (i) $G(t, v) \in v_{\gamma(t)}L$ for every $(t, v) \in [0, 1] \times v_p L$.
- (ii) For every $t \in [0, 1]$, the restriction $G: \{t\} \times v_p L \to v_{\gamma(t)}L$ is a linear isometry preserving the leaves of v_L .
- (iii) For every $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the map $\exp_{\gamma(t)}(sG(t, v))$ belongs to the same leaf as $\exp_p(sv)$.

We denote by $O(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^p)$ the group of *foliated isometries* of the infinitesimal foliation, i.e. all the isometries which preserve the foliation. Thus from Theorem 2.3 given a loop γ at p, we have a foliated linear isometry $G_{\gamma} : v_p L \to v_p L$ by setting $G_{\gamma}(v) = G(1, v)$. Therefore, we have a group homeomorphism $\rho : \Omega(L, p) \to O(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^p)$ from the loop space of L_p at pto the foliated isometries of the infinitesimal foliation by setting $\rho(\gamma) = G_{\gamma}$. We note that an isometry in $O(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^p)$ may map a leaf to a different leaf. By $O(\mathcal{F}^p)$ we denote the foliated isometries which leave the foliation invariant, i.e. the isometries $f \in O(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^p)$ such that for any leaf \mathcal{L} of $(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^p)$ s we have $f(\mathcal{L}) \subset \mathcal{L}$. There is a natural action of $O(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^p)$ on the quotient $\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}/\mathcal{F}^p$. The kernel of this action is $O(\mathcal{F}^p)$.

We now show that if two loops, γ_1 and γ_2 , are homotopic, then $G_{\gamma_1}^{-1} \circ G_{\gamma_2}$ is in the kernel of the action of $O(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^p)$ on $\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}/\mathcal{F}^p$. Therefore we obtain a group morphism from $\pi_1(L, p)$ to $O(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^p)$.

Lemma 2.4 Let γ_0 and γ_1 be two curves in a closed leaf L which are homotopic relative to the end points, with $\gamma_0(0) = p = \gamma_1(0)$, and $\gamma_0(1) = q = \gamma_1(1)$. Then $(G_1)^{-1} \circ G_0: v_p L \to v_q L$ is homotopic to the identity map. Furthermore this map takes every leaf of the infinitesimal foliation \mathcal{F}^p to itself.

Proof Let $H: [0, 1] \times I \to L$ be the homotopy between γ_0 and γ_1 . By applying Whitney's Approximation Theorem (see for example [35, Theorem 9.27]), we can assume that H is a smooth map. For $s \in I$ fixed we consider the smooth curve $\gamma_s(t) = H(t, s)$. From the compactness of $[0, 1] \times I$ we can find a partition $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots t_N = 1$ of [0, 1] such that for any $s \in I$ the curve γ_s restricted to $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$ is an embedding. By extending the vector field $\gamma'_s(t)$ for $t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]$ to L, we obtain smooth vector fields $(V_s)_i$ on L. Since the family of curves γ_s varies continuously with respect to s by construction, for each $1 \le i \le N$ the family of vector fields $(V_s)_i$ varies smoothly with respect to s. This implies that, when we consider for each γ_s , the map $G_s: v_p L \to v_q L$ given by Theorem 2.3, then G_s varies continuously with respect to s. Since $(G_s)^{-1}(G_0(v))$ we obtain a homotopy $K: v_p L \times I \to v_p L$ between the identity $Id: v_p L \to v_p L$ and $(G_1)^{-1} \circ G_0: v_p L \to v_p L$. For $v \in v_p L$ fixed, we have, from Theorem 2.3 (iii), that $\exp_p((G_s)^{-1}(G_0(v)))$ lies in the same leaf of \mathcal{F} as $\exp_p(v)$. Since K(v, s) defines a path between v and $(G_1)^{-1}(G_0(\mathcal{L}_v)) \subset \mathcal{L}_v$.

Proposition 2.5 Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a singular Riemannian foliation, L a closed leaf of the foliation and $p \in L$. There is a well defined group morphism,

$$\rho: \pi_1(L, p) \to \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^p) / \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}^p),$$

given by $\rho[\gamma] = [G_{\gamma}].$

Proof Given a loop γ_0 , we consider the linear foliated transformation, $G_0: v_p L \rightarrow v_p L$, given by Theorem 2.3, and set

$$\rho[\gamma_0] = [G_0] \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^p) / \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F}^p).$$

From Proposition 2.4, if γ_1 is a loop homotopic to γ_0 , then we have $(G_1)^{-1} \circ G_0 \in O(\mathcal{F}^p)$. Therefore $[G_0] = [G_1]$ in $O(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^p)/O(\mathcal{F}^p)$.

For a closed leaf L of a singular Riemannian foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) we define the *holonomy of* the leaf L as the image $\Gamma_L < O(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^p)/O(\mathcal{F}^p)$ of $\pi_1(L, p)$ under the morphism ρ . When we consider the holonomy of a leaf L_p trough a point $p \in M$, we denote it by Γ_p . A regular leaf L is called a *principal leaf* if the holonomy group is trivial, and *exceptional* otherwise.

Given a fixed point $p \in M$ and a vector $v \in \mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}$, set $q = \exp_p(\varepsilon v)$. If ε is small enough, then L_q is contained a tubular neighborhood of L_p , and thus there is a well defined smooth closest-point projection proj: $L_q \to L_p$ which is a submersion, by [40, Lemma 6.1]. The

connected component of the fiber of the map proj through q can be identified with the leaf $\mathcal{L}_v \in \mathcal{F}^p$ through v. Taking $\overline{L}_p = \widetilde{L}_p / \operatorname{proj}_*(\pi_1(L_q))$, the quotient of the universal cover \widetilde{L}_p of L_p , we have a finite cover $\overline{L}_p \to L_p$ such that proj: $L_q \to L_p$ lifts to a fibration

$$\mathcal{L}_v \to L_q \xrightarrow{\xi} \overline{L}_p.$$
 (2.2)

Clearly fibration (2.2) is a surjective map by construction. The following proposition gives another way of obtaining the covering \overline{L} of L, via a subgroup H of the holonomy group Γ_p .

Proposition 2.6 For $v \in \mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}$ with image $v^* \in \mathbb{S}_{p^*}$, set H to be the subgroup of Γ_p fixing v^* . Then, taking $q = \exp_p(v)$, the finite cover \overline{L}_p of L_p in the fibration $\xi \colon L_q \to \overline{L}_p$ is \widetilde{L}_p/H .

Proof Let $F = \text{proj}^{-1}(p)$ be the fiber of the metric projection proj: $L_q \to L_p$, which may consist of several connected components. The end of the long exact sequence of the fibration looks like

$$\cdots \to \pi_1(F,q) \to \pi_1(L_q,q) \xrightarrow{\text{proj}_*} \pi_1(L_p,p) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_0(F,q) \to 0.$$

From exactness, we conclude that $(\text{proj}_*)(\pi_1(L_q, q)) = \text{Ker}(\partial)$. We recall how the map $\partial: \pi_1(L_q, q) \to \pi_0(F, q)$ is defined, following a modification of the definitions presented in Hatcher (see Sections 4.1 and 4.2 in [28]). Let $\lambda_1 : \mathbb{D}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$ be the map collapsing $\partial \mathbb{D}^1$ to a point. Let $\delta_0 \colon \mathbb{S}^0 \to \mathbb{D}^1$ be the inclusion as the boundary. Consider a loop $\varphi \colon \mathbb{S}^1 \to L_p$ with base point p. By the homotopy lifting property there is a lift $\lambda \colon \mathbb{D}^1 \to L_q$ for the map $\varphi \circ \lambda_1 \colon \mathbb{D}^1 \to L_p$, with $\lambda(0) = q$. Furthermore, by definition, we have that $\varphi \circ \lambda_1 \circ \delta_0 = q$. proj $\circ \lambda \circ \delta_0$ is constant. Therefore the image of the map $\psi = \lambda \circ \delta_0$ is contained in F. Thus we have a map $\psi \colon \mathbb{S}^0 \to F$. We define $\partial[\varphi] = [\psi]$. Let $\alpha \colon \mathbb{S}^1 \to L_p$ be a loop in $\operatorname{proj}_*(\pi_1(L_q, q)) = \operatorname{Ker} \partial$. Consider $G_t = G \colon \{t\} \times \nu_p L_p \to \nu L_p$, the transformation given by Theorem 2.3 corresponding to α . Then $\tilde{\alpha}(t) = \exp_{\alpha(t)}(G_t(v))$ is a lift of α in L_q . Since ∂ does not depend on the choice of a lift we have that $0 = \partial[\alpha] = [\tilde{\alpha}]$. It follows that the end point of $\tilde{\alpha}: [0, 1] \to F$ is in the same connected component of F as q. Therefore we have that $G_1: \nu_p L_p \to \nu_p L_p$ fixes the infinitesimal leaf \mathcal{L}_v in $\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}/\mathcal{F}_p$. Thus $\operatorname{proj}_*(\pi_1(L_q, q)) \subset H$. Conversely, if we start with $[\alpha] \in H$, then for the map $G: [0, 1] \times v_p L_p \to v L_p$ given by Theorem 2.3, we have that G_1 maps the infinitesimal leaf \mathcal{L}_v to itself. By definition this means that $\exp_p(G_1(v))$ is in the same connected component of F as $q = \exp_p(v)$. Theorem 2.3 (iii) implies that the path $\exp_p(G_t(v))$ is a path between q and $\exp_p(G_1(v))$ in F. Thus we have that $\partial[\alpha] = 0$. Therefore we conclude that $\operatorname{proj}_*(\pi_1(L_q, q)) = H$.

Proposition 2.6 gives a way to detect if there is holonomy for a closed leaf of (M, \mathcal{F}) . This proposition extends [20, Remark 2.3] to general singular Riemannian fibrations.

If the map $\xi : L_q \to L_p$ is proper then, it follows from Ehresmann's fibration Lemma in [9] that ξ is a locally trivial fibration. In the particular case when L_q is compact, then the map ξ is proper. Thus for foliations with closed leaves the fibration (2.2) is a fiber bundle.

Remark 2.7 We note that from Ehresmann's Lemma the fiber bundle given by the projection map ξ , may not have as structure group a Lie group, but rather a very large topological group, namely the diffeomorphism group of the fiber, $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{L}_v)$. Although $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{L}_v)$ is in general not a Lie group, it is a Frobenious group, i.e. the group operations are smooth with respect to a Frobenious atlas (see [26]).

If the foliation \mathcal{F} is given by the action of a compact Lie group G, then the holonomy of an orbit G(p) is given by G_p/G_p^0 (see [37, Section 3.1]). For $q \in M$ close to p with G_q a

subgroup of G_p , the fiber bundles given by (2.2) are of the form:

$$G_p^0/G_q \to G/G_q \to G/G_p^0$$

where G_p^0 is the connected component of the identity of the isotropy group G_p , and G/G_p^0 is a cover of the orbit G/G_p (see [20, Example 2.4]).

2.6 Principal stratum

We now study the topology of the principal stratum M_{prin} of a closed singular Riemannian foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) on a compact simply-connected Riemannian manifold M.

If *L* is a principal leaf of a singular Riemannian foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) on an *n*-dimensional manifold with all regular leaves closed, then [39, Theorem 2.5] and the fact that Γ_p is trivial show that L^* is a regular point of the orbifold Σ^*_{reg} . With this we can easily see that M^*_{prin} corresponds to the manifold part of the orbifold Σ^*_{reg} . Thus M_{prin} is open and dense in Σ_{reg} . Since, in general for a singular Riemannian foliation, Σ_{reg} is open and dense in *M*, then M^*_{prin} is open and dense in M^* . Furthermore, from the fact the manifold part of an orbifold is connected (see [10], [34, Lemma 2.3], [56]) it follows that the set M^*_{prin} is connected in M^* . Since it is locally Euclidean, it is path connected.

We collect these observations in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.8 Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a singular Riemannian foliation with closed regular leaves. Then the principal stratum M_{prin} is open dense in M, and M^*_{prin} is connected and path connected.

Consider principal leaves, L_0 and L, in a closed singular Riemannian foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) on a compact, simply-connected manifold M. Furthermore assume that M_{prin}^* is simply connected. Take any path $\gamma : I \to M_{\text{prin}}^*$, with $\gamma(0) = L_0^*$ and $\gamma(1) = L^*$. Recall that M_{prin} is a subset of the regular stratum $\Sigma_{\text{reg.}}$. For a fixed point $x \in L_0$, consider the unique smooth horizontal lift $\gamma^x : I \to M_{\text{prin}}$ of γ through x (see [24, Proposition 1.3.1]). We define a homeomorphism $h_{\gamma} : L_0 \to L$ by setting $h_{\gamma}(x) = \gamma^x(1)$.

Corollary 2.9 Consider a singular Riemannian foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) with closed leaves on a compact simply-connected Riemannian manifold. Assume that the principal stratum M_{prin}^* is simply connected. Fix principal leaves L_0 and L of \mathcal{F} , and consider two paths $\gamma_0: I \to M_{\text{prin}}^*$ and $\gamma_1: I \to M_{\text{prin}}^*$, connecting L_0^* and L^* . Then the homeomorphism h_{γ_0} is homotopic to h_{γ_1} .

Proof From the hypothesis that M_{prin}^* is simply connected it follows that there is a homotopy from $H: I \times I \to M_{\text{prin}}^*$ from γ_0 to γ_1 fixing the end points L_0^* and L^* . This defines a continuous family of curves $\gamma_s: I \to M_{\text{prin}}^*$, by setting $\gamma_s(t) = H(t, s)$. We define a homotopy $\widetilde{H}: L_0 \times I \to L$ by setting $\widetilde{H}(x, s) = \gamma_s^x(1)$.

Remark 2.10 Observe that in general if a leaf *L* has trivial holonomy $\Gamma_L = \{\text{Id}\}$ this does not mean that the map $\rho \colon \Omega(L, p) \to O(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^p)$ is trivial. It means that by Lemma 2.4 any element in $\rho(\Omega(L, p)) \subset O(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^p)$ is homotopic to the identity map.

🖄 Springer

2.7 Slice theorem

With the concepts of the infinitesimal foliation at a point p and the representation of the loop space $\Omega(L, p)$ in $O(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^p)$ of a closed leaf in [38] the following theorem is proven which describes a tubular neighborhood of a closed leaf of a singular Riemannian foliation:

Theorem 2.11 (Slice Theorem, Theorem A in [38]) Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a singular Riemannian foliation, and let L be a closed leaf with infinitesimal foliation $(v_p L, \mathcal{F}^p)$ at a point $p \in L$. Then there is a group $K_p \subset O(v_p L)$ of foliated isometries of $(v_p L, \mathcal{F}^p)$ and a principal K_p -bundle P over L, such that for small enough $\varepsilon > 0$, the ε -tube U around L is foliated diffeomorphic to $(P \times_{K_p} \mathbb{D}_p^{\perp}(\varepsilon), P \times_{K_p} \mathcal{F}^p)$.

Here the group K_p is given as:

 $K_p = \{G_{\gamma} : v_p L \to v_p L \mid \gamma \text{ piece-wise smooth loop at } p\}.$

Since the action K_p on $v_p L$ by construction preserves the infinitesimal foliation \mathcal{F}^p , then diagonal action of K_p on $P \times v_p L$ via $G_{\gamma}(p, v) = (p \cdot G_{\gamma^{-1}}, G_{\gamma}(v))$ preserves the product foliation $\{P \times \mathcal{L}_v \mid v \in v_p L\}$. Denote by $\rho: P \times v_p L \to (P \times v_p L)/K_p$ the quotient map. The leaves of the foliation $P \times_{K_p} \mathcal{F}^p$ are given by the images of $\rho(P \times \mathcal{L}_v)$, and make a singular Riemannian foliation [50, Proposition 1.11].

3 A-foliations

Foliations by tori on compact Riemannian manifolds were introduced in [20] as generalizations of smooth effective torus actions. Namely an *A-foliation* is a foliation where all the leaves are closed, and *aspherical*, i.e. for n > 1 the *n*-th homotopy group of the leaves is trivial. By [20, Corollary B] the principal leaves of an *A*-foliation on a compact, simply-connected, Riemannian manifold are homeomorphic to tori.

3.1 Homeomorphism type of the leaves in an A-foliation

We recall that given two points $p, q \in M$, with q sufficiently close to p with respect to the metric of M, in the case when L_q is a principal leaf, then there is a fibration:

$$\mathcal{L} \to L_q \to \overline{L}_p,$$
 (2.2)

where $\overline{L}_p = \tilde{L}_p/H$ is a finite cover of L_p , and \mathcal{L} is a leaf in the infinitesimal foliation \mathcal{F}^p (see Proposition 2.6). Using this description we describe next the topology of the other leaf types in an A-foliation.

Recall from Sect. 2.4, that the infinitesimal foliation at $p \in M$, is obtained from the foliated slice $(S_p, \mathcal{F}_{|S_p})$, where $S_p = \exp_p(v_p^{\varepsilon}L_p)$ for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. First we consider the case when the leaves of this foliation are connected. In this case the finite covering \overline{L}_p is trivial, i.e. $\overline{L}_p = L_p$. Thus following the proof of [20, Theorem 3.7], we prove the following result:

Proposition 3.1 Let *F*, *M* and *N* be topological manifolds, with *F* connected, and let $F \rightarrow M \rightarrow N$ be a fibration. If *M* is homeomorphic to a torus, then *F* and *N* are tori.

Proof Since M is aspherical, we have from [20, Theorem 3.7] that F and N are also aspherical. From the long exact sequence of the fibration we get:

$$0 \to \pi_1(F) \to \pi_1(M) \to \pi_1(N) \to 0.$$

Since $\pi_1(M)$ is an abelian, torsion-free, finitely-generated group, and $\pi_1(F)$ is a subgroup of $\pi_1(M)$, then $\pi_1(F)$ is an abelian, torsion free, finitely generated group. Thus, by classification of finitely generated abelian groups and the Borel conjecture, F is homeomorphic to a torus. Now assume that $\pi_1(N)$ has torsion. Then for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_k acts freely on the contractible manifold \widetilde{N} . Therefore it follows that $\widetilde{N}/\mathbb{Z}_k$ is an Eilenberg–MacLane space $K(\mathbb{Z}_k, 1)$. This contradicts the fact that $K(\mathbb{Z}_k, 1)$ has infinite cohomological dimension. Thus $\pi_1(N)$ is an abelian, torsion-free, finitely generated group. Again by the classification of finitely generated abelian groups and the Borel conjecture, N is homeomorphic to a torus.

Corollary 3.2 In an A-foliation all leaves with trivial holonomy are homeomorphic to tori.

In the case when the leaf L_p has non-trivial holonomy we get the following proposition:

Proposition 3.3 *The leaves (of* dim \neq 4) *with non-trivial holonomy of an A-foliation are homeomorphic to Bieberbach manifolds.*

Proof In the case when the leaf L_p has non-trivial holonomy, applying Proposition 3.1 to fibration (2.2) we have that the covering \overline{L}_p is homeomorphic to a torus. Thus, applying the long exact sequence of homotopy groups to the fibration $\overline{L}_p \to L_p$ with finite fiber F, we get,

$$0 \to \pi_1(\overline{L}_p) \to \pi_1(L_p) \to \pi_0(F) \to 0.$$

Therefore $\pi_1(L_p)$ is a finite extension of $\pi_0(F)$ by $\pi_1(\overline{L}_p)$. Assume that $\pi_1(L_p)$ is not torsion-free, and recall that since \overline{L}_p is a torus, we have $\tilde{L}_p = \mathbb{R}^n$. Then there exists a finite cyclic subgroup \mathbb{Z}_k acting on the contractible manifold $\tilde{L}_p = \mathbb{R}^n$. As in the proof of Proposition 3.1 this contradicts the fact that the Eilenberg–MacLane space $K(\mathbb{Z}_k, 1)$ has infinite cohomological dimension. Since $\pi_1(\overline{L}_p)$ is \mathbb{Z}^n and F is finite, we have that $\pi_1(L_p) = G$ is a crystallographic group (see [11, Section 6], [5], [57]). Thus $\pi_1(L_p)$ is a Bieberbach group, since it is a torsion free crystallographic group. By [11, Theorem 6.1], for $n \neq 3, 4$, the leaf L_p is homeomorphic to a Bieberbach manifold. Recall that [33, Theorem 0.7] states that the Borel conjecture is true in dimension 3. Thus in dimension 3, since L_p has fundamental group isomorphic to a Bieberbach group, L_p is homeomorphic to a Bieberbach manifold.

Remark 3.4 In [20, Definition 3.2] the authors define a *B*-foliation as an *A*-foliation with all leaves homeomorphic to Bieberbach manifolds. Since a torus is a Bieberbach space, it follows from Propositions 3.2 and 3.3 that any *A*-foliation is a *B*-foliation, provided none of the leaves with non-trivial holonomy is 4-dimensional. Because of this fact, we will not distinguish between *A*-foliations and *B*, in this work. Nonetheless, we might consider *B*-foliations as those *A*-foliations on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) for which the leaves with the induced Riemannian metric have sectional curvature equal to 0, i.e. they are flat manifolds with respect to the induced metric.

Remark 3.5 The diffeomorphism type of the leaves of an A-foliation may not be unique. If the leaves have trivial holonomy, i.e. are homeomorphic to tori, then for dimensions $k \ge 5$,

there exist different smooth structures $\{U_{\alpha_1}, \varphi_{\alpha_1}\}$, $\{U_{\alpha_2}, \varphi_{\alpha_2}\}$ on the *k*-torus T^k , such that $\tau_1^k = (T^k, U_{\alpha_1}, \varphi_{\alpha_1})$ is homeomorphic (as a topological manifold) to $\tau_2^k = (T^k, U_{\alpha_2}, \varphi_{\alpha_2})$, but τ_1^k is not diffeomorphic to τ_2^k (see for example [31]).

As a concrete example of this exotic phenomena, we may consider an exotic sphere Σ^k and the standard torus:

$$\mathbb{T}^k = \underbrace{\mathbb{S}^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}^1}_{k\text{-times}}.$$

The manifold $\mathbb{T}^k \# \Sigma^k$ is homeomorphic to \mathbb{T}^k but not diffeomorphic to \mathbb{T}^k (see [12, Remark p. 18] and [13, Theorem 3]).

In the case when the leaves with the induced metric have sectional curvature less or equal to 0, then the smooth Borel conjecture holds [13, Theorem 3], and there is no ambiguity about the smooth structure of the leaves.

4 A-foliations of codimension 2

We focus in this section to the particular case of *A*-foliations of codimension 2 on simplyconnected manifolds and how they compare to effective torus actions of codimension 2.

4.1 Leaf space of an A-foliation of codimension 2

In this section we describe the leaf space of an A-foliation of codimension 2 on a simplyconnected manifold (M, \mathcal{F}) . There are two possible cases: the foliation has no singular leaves (i.e. it is a regular foliation), or the foliation has singular leaves. In the second case we will be redundant and call it a singular A-foliation.

By Theorem E in [20] it follows that, if the A-foliation \mathcal{F} is regular, then M is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^3 and the foliation \mathcal{F} is given by a Hopf weighted action.

In the second case, when the A-foliation \mathcal{F} is singular, then again by Theorem E [20] the leaf space M^* is a closed 2-disk. It follows from [36, Proposition 1.7] that there are no exceptional leaves in M_{reg} , and thus $M_{\text{reg}} = M_{\text{prin}}$. Furthermore, from the fact that the restriction of the foliation to a stratum $\Sigma_{(k)}$ containing only leaves of dimension k is a regular foliation, then [39, Theorem 2.15] and the fact that $M^* = \mathbb{D}^2$ imply that the singular leaves of \mathcal{F} also have trivial holonomy. From [20, Theorem E] it follows that there are two types of leaves: a *least singular leaf*, homeomorphic to T^{n-1} with infinitesimal foliation given by the homogeneous foliation (\mathbb{S}^2 , \mathbb{S}^1), and the *most singular leaf*, homeomorphic to T^{n-2} with infinitesimal foliation given by the homogeneous foliation (\mathbb{S}^3 , \mathbb{T}^2). The singular leaves of a union of arcs γ_i , $i \in \{1, 2, ..., r\}$. The points in the interior of these arcs correspond to leaves homeomorphic to T^{n-2} (see Fig. 2).

4.2 Tubular neighborhoods of singular leaves of an A-foliation of codimension 2

Before proving our comparison theorem for singular A-foliations of codimension 2 on simplyconnected manifolds we describe the tubular neighborhoods of the singular leaves of the foliation.



Fig. 2 Leaf space of A-foliation of codimension two

Theorem 4.1 For an A-foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) of codimension 2 given a singular leaf L and a fixed point $p \in L$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the tubular neighborhood of radius ε around L is foliated diffeomorphic to

$$(L \times \mathbb{D}_p^{\perp}, \{L \times \mathcal{L}_v \mid \mathcal{L}_v \in \mathcal{F}^p\}).$$

Proof Recall that the singular leaves of a singular A-foliation of codimension 2 on an (n + 2)-dimensional simply-connected manifold have dimension (n - 1) and (n - 2).

Suppose *L* has dimension (n-1) and fix $p \in L$. Then the infinitesimal foliation $(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ is given by the \mathbb{S}^1 -action on \mathbb{S}^2 , which is also the suspension of the circle action on \mathbb{S}^1 . Thus the group $O(\mathcal{F}_p)$ is equal to SO(2). Moreover, since the holonomy is trivial we have that $O(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^p) = O(\mathcal{F}^p)$. Therefore we see that the action of K_p on $\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp} = \mathbb{S}^2$ is homotopic to the trivial action. From this if follows that the associated disk bundle given by Theorem 2.11 is a trivial one.

Now assume that *L* has dimension (n-2) and fix $p \in L$. Then the infinitesimal foliation $(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ is given by a \mathbb{T}^2 -action on \mathbb{S}^3 , which decomposes as the join of two circle actions on two copies of \mathbb{S}^1 . That is, $(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}_p) = (\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{S}^1) \star (\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{S}^1)$. Observe that the group K_p leaves each of the \mathbb{S}^1 factors invariant. Thus we conclude again that the K_p -action on $\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp} = \mathbb{S}^3$ is homotopic to a trivial action. Therefore again the associated disk bundle from Theorem 2.11 is a trivial one.

4.3 Weights of an A-foliation of codimension 2

In this section we define invariants called *weights* which allows us to compare A-foliations of codimension 2 on simply-connected manifolds. These invariants extend the notion of weights given for an homogeneous A-foliations of dimension 1, [17, 45], and of cohomogeneity 2 (i.e. codimension 2) [44, 46, 47].

Namely, for circle actions on simply-connected 3-manifolds [45], or on 4-manifolds [17], or torus actions of cohomogeneity 2 on simply-connected *n*-manifolds with $n \ge 4$ [44, 46, 47], the weights determine the isotropy group of the orbit, and the isotropy representation. But by the Slice Theorem for group actions, the isotropy group and the isotropy representation describe a small tubular neighborhood of the orbit, as well as the action on this neighborhood.

Moreover, in the case torus actions of cohomogeneity 2 on simply-connected (n + 2)-manifolds, the possible isotropy groups are circles or 2-torus [44, (1.1) Lemma], and in both cases there is a unique isotropy representation. Thus the tubular neighborhood of the orbits is

characterized by the embedding of the circle isotropy groups into \mathbb{T}^n . This embedding can be represented by an element $\bar{v} \in \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^n)$, which represent the image in $\pi_1(\mathbb{T}^n)$ of the generator of $\pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1)$ (see Sect. 2.2). With this alternative description of the weights for homogeneous *A*foliations of codimension 2 on simply-connected manifolds, we define an analogous weight for general *A*-foliations of codimension 2 on simply-connected manifolds.

Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a simply-connected (n + 2)-dimensional manifold with a singular *n*dimensional *A*-foliation. We fix a principal leaf $L_0 \subset M$. We consider any arbitrary point $p \in M$ such that L_p has dimension (n - 1). Next we take $v \in \mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}$, such that $q = \exp_p(v)$ is contained in a principal leaf. From the fact that the regular stratum M_{prin}^* is open and dense in M^* , there exists a path $\gamma : I \to M_{\text{prin}}^*$ connecting q^* and L_0^* . Since $M_{\text{prin}} \to M_{\text{prin}}^*$ is a Riemannian submersion, we consider the horizontal lift γ^q of γ through q (see [24, Section 1.3]). We set $q_0 = \gamma^q(1) \in L_0$. Recall from Sect. 2.5 that, in this setting, for some cover $\overline{L_p} \to L_p$, we have a fibration

$$\mathcal{L}_v \to L_q \to \overline{L}_p.$$
 (2.2)

Moreover, since for singular A-foliations of codimension 2, all leaves have trivial holonomy it follows that $\overline{L}_p = L_p$. From [20, Corollary B] and Proposition 3.1, we have that $L_q = T^n$, $\overline{L}_p = T^{n-1}$, and $\mathcal{L}_v = T^1$. From the homotopy long exact sequences of the fibration we get a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \pi_1(\mathcal{L}_v, v) \to \pi_1(L_q, q) \to \pi_1(\overline{L}_p, p) \to 1.$$

The path $\gamma: I \to M_{\text{prin}}^*$ connecting L_0^* to L_q^* induces a homeomorphism $h_\gamma: L_0 \to L_q$, and thus an isomorphism between $\pi_1(L_q, q)$ and $\pi_1(L_0, q_0)$.

Denote by e_1 the generator of $\pi_1(\mathcal{L}_v, v) = \mathbb{Z}$. It is mapped to an element \bar{v}_p in $\pi_1(L_0, q_0) = \mathbb{Z}^n$.

The definition of the integer vector \bar{v}_p depends a priori on the choice of path γ joining L_0^* to L_a^* . The following lemma shows that in fact, it is independent of the choice of γ .

Lemma 4.2 The element $\bar{v}_p \in \pi_1(L_0, q_0)$ does not depend on the choice of path $\gamma : I \to M^*$ connecting L_0 to L_q .

Proof If we choose any other path γ_1 from L_0^* to L_q^* , then Corollary 2.9 shows that the group isomorphisms induced by $(h_{\gamma})_* : \pi_1(L_0, q_0) \to \pi_1(L_q, q)$ and $(h_{\gamma_1})_* : \pi_1(L_0, q_0) \to \pi_1(L_q, q)$ are equal. Therefore the integer vector \bar{v}_p does not depend of the curve γ . \Box

Next we prove that if we choose another vector $w \in \mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}$ such that $\exp_p(w)$ lies in a principal leaf, then we recover the same integer vector \overline{v}_p .

Lemma 4.3 The integer vector \bar{v}_p does not depend on the choice of $v \in \mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}$.

Proof Take $w \in \mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}$ with $w \neq v$, such that $q_1 = \exp_p(w)$ lies on a principal leaf L_{q_1} . Since $(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ is a singular Riemannian foliation with closed leaves, by Proposition 2.8, the space $(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}/\mathcal{F}^p)_{\text{prin}}$ is path-connected. Therefore there exists a path $\beta \colon I \to (\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}/\mathcal{F}^p)_{\text{prin}}$ from $\mathcal{L}_v^* \in \mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}/\mathcal{F}^p$ to $\mathcal{L}_w^* \in \mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}/\mathcal{F}^p$. By taking horizontal lifts of β in $(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^p)_{\text{reg}}$ we obtain a homeomorphism $h_\beta \colon \mathcal{L}_v \to \mathcal{L}_w$. By setting $q'_1 = \exp_p(h_\beta(v))$, the homeomorphism h_β induces an isomorphism $(h_\beta)_* \colon \pi_1(\mathcal{L}_v, q) \to \pi_1(\mathcal{L}_w, q'_1)$. From Corollary 2.9, this isomorphism is independent of the choice of β .

Let σ be a path in \mathcal{L}_w from q_1 to q'_1 . This gives an isomorphism from $\pi_1(\mathcal{L}_w, q'_1)$ onto $\pi_1(\mathcal{L}_w, q_1)$, given by mapping an element $[\delta] \in \pi_1(\mathcal{L}_w, q'_1)$ to $[\sigma^{-1}\delta\sigma]$. Let α be another



Fig. 3 Well defined weights

path in \mathcal{L}_w from q_1 to q'_1 . Consider the concatenation of paths $\sigma \alpha^{-1} \delta \alpha \sigma^{-1}$. The path $\alpha \sigma^{-1}$ is a loop based at q'_1 . Thus we have a conjugation $[\sigma \alpha^{-1}][\delta][\alpha \sigma^{-1}]$ in $\pi_1(\mathcal{L}_v, q'_1)$. Since we have an *A*-foliation, \mathcal{L}_w is homeomorphic to a torus. Thus $\pi_1(\mathcal{L}_v, q'_1)$ is an abelian group. Therefore the path $\sigma \alpha^{-1} \delta \alpha \sigma^{-1}$ is homotopic to σ , relative to the end points. Thus $\alpha^{-1} \delta \alpha$ is homotopic to $\sigma^{-1} \delta \sigma$. Therefore the isomorphism from $\pi_1(\mathcal{L}_w, q'_1)$ onto $\pi_1(\mathcal{L}_w, q_1)$, does not depend on the path σ . It follows that we have a well defined isomorphism from $\pi_1(\mathcal{L}_w, q_1)$.

Let $h_{\gamma}: L_q \to L_0$ and $h_{\lambda}: L_{q_1} \to L_0$, be homeomorphisms given by paths $\gamma: I \to M_{\text{prin}}^*$ and $\lambda: I \to M_{\text{prin}}^*$. Set $x_0 = h_{\lambda}(q'_1)$, $y_0 = h_{\lambda}(q_1)$ and $q_0 = h_{\gamma}(q)$ (see Fig. 3). Denote by $i_1: \mathcal{L}_v \to L_q$ and $i_2: \mathcal{L}_w \to L_{q_1}$ the inclusions, given by the bundles (2.2), of the infinitesimal leaves into the leaves L_q and L_{q_1} , respectively. The homeomorphism h_{β} induces an isomorphism from $(h_{\gamma} \circ i_1)_*(\pi_1(\mathcal{L}_v, q))$ onto $(h_{\lambda} \circ i_2)_*(\pi_1(\mathcal{L}_w, q'_1))$. The path $\sigma: I \to \mathcal{L}_w$ gives a well defined isomorphism from $(h_{\gamma} \circ i_2)_*(\pi_1(\mathcal{L}_w, q'_1))$ onto $(h_{\lambda} \circ i_2)_*(\pi_1(\mathcal{L}_w, q_1))$. Thus the generator of $\pi_1(\mathcal{L}_v, q)$ in $\pi_1(L_0, q_0)$ is mapped to the generator of $\pi_1(\mathcal{L}_w, q_1)$. From this we see that the integer vector \bar{v}_p does not depend on v.

From the proof of the previous lemma, by using the fact that the fundamental groups of L_p and L_0 are abelian, it follows that the definition of the integer vector \bar{v}_p does not depend on the choice of the base point p in L_p . We state this explicitly:

Lemma 4.4 The weight \bar{v}_p of L_p does not depend on the choice of $p \in L_p$.

Observe that the weights a priori depend of the principal leaf L_0 fixed at the beginning. We show that for a singular A-foliation of codimension 2 on a simply-connected manifold the weights do not depend on the choice of the principal leaf L_0 .

Lemma 4.5 Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a compact simply-connected (n + 2)-dimensional manifold with a singular A-foliation of codimension 2. Let L_1 and L_2 be principal leaves, and take $p \in M$ a point contained in an (n - 1)-dimensional leaf. Denote by $\bar{v}_{p,1}$ the weight of L_p associated with L_1 and by $\bar{v}_{p,2}$ the weight of L_p associated with L_2 . Then $\bar{v}_{p,1} = \bar{v}_{p,2}$. **Proof** We begin by fixing a third principal leaf L_0 and by pointing out that $\pi: M_{\text{prin}} \to M^*_{\text{prin}}$ is a fiber bundle, with fibers the principal leaves. Since M_{prin}^* is homeomorphic to an open 2disk and thus contractible, then M_{prin} is foliated homeomorphic to $M^*_{\text{prin}} \times L_0$. Therefore the fundamental group of L_1 and L_2 get identified with the fundamental group of L_0 . Moreover the circles in L_0 determined by $\bar{v}_{p,1}$ and $\bar{v}_{p,2}$ correspond to the principal leaves of \mathcal{F}^p . Thus we conclude that under the identification $M_{\text{prin}} = M_{\text{prin}}^* \times L_0$ we have $\bar{v}_{p,1} = \bar{v}_{p,2}$.

Last we show that the integer vector \bar{v}_p is constant along the connected components of the singular stratum $\Sigma_{(n-1)}^* \in M^*$.

Lemma 4.6 Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be an A-foliation of codimension 2 on an (n+2)-dimensional simplyconnected manifold M. Then for $p_1, p_2 \in M$ such that p_1^* and p_2^* are contained in the same connected component of least singular leaves, i.e. $\Sigma^*_{(n-1)} \subset M^*$, it holds that $\bar{v}_{p_1} = \bar{v}_{p_2}$.

Proof Recall that a connected component of $\Sigma^*_{(n-1)}$ is an open edge contained in ∂M^* . Thus, there is only one possible path γ^* joining p_1^* to p_2^* . Since the quotient map $\pi: \Sigma_{(n-1)} \to \Sigma_{(n-1)}^*$ is a fiber bundle, by taking horizontal lifts of γ^* we get a homeomorphism $h_{\gamma^*}: L_{p_1} \to L_{p_2}$. From this we get an isomorphism between $\pi_1(L_{p_1}, p_1)$ and $pi_1(L_{p_1}, p_1)$. Now we recall that for any close points $q_1 = \exp_{p_1}(v_1)$ and $q_2 = \exp_{p_2}(v_2)$ contained in principal leaves, by Theorem 4.1 we have a group isomorphism $\pi_1(L_{q_i}, q_i) =$ $\pi_1(L_{p_i}, p_i) \times \pi_1(\mathcal{L}_{v_i}, v_i)$. Here we are abusing the notation by writing as $\pi_1(\mathcal{L}_{v_i}, v_i)$ the one-dimensional subgroup of $\pi_1(L_{q_i}, q_i)$ generated by the weight \bar{v}_{p_i} . With this we conclude that the weights \bar{v}_{p_1} are the same \bar{v}_{p_2} .

Remark 4.7 Since each connected component of the singular stratum $\sum_{n=1}^{*} \in M^*$ is an open edge in ∂M^* , we write the collection of weights as follows: we label the edges $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r$ as shown in Fig. 2. To each edge γ_i we add the associated weight $\bar{v}_i = (a_{i1}, \ldots, a_{in}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. We collect this information in a list $\{\bar{v}_1, \ldots, \bar{v}_n\}$ of weights.

Also we point that the fibration (2.2) is given by a circle action:

Proposition 4.8 *The fiber bundle* (2.2) *is a principal* \mathbb{S}^1 *-bundle.*

Proof First we show that the bundle (2.2) is an orientable fiber bundle. We choose an arbitrary orientation for the fiber \mathbb{S}_i^1 in local charts, to obtain a vector field, tangent to the circles in the total space. Since the *n*-torus is orientable, we can extend this vector field to a basis, such that the transition maps have positive determinant in this basis.

Indeed if we choose on a local chart an orientation of the fiber $\mathbb{S}_i^1 \subset T^n$, we can extend it to a basis of the tangent spaces of T^n . Since T^n is orientable we can do this construction in such a way that for two open trivial neighborhoods, the orientations of the fibers are positive.

From [41, Proposition 6.15] it follows that (2.2) is a principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle.

Remark 4.9 For a general singular Riemannian foliation on a simply-connected manifold, by Theorem 2.11, the foliation on a tubular neighborhood of a leaf L is determined by the infinitesimal foliation $(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^p)$, the conjugation class of a subgroup $K_p < O(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^p)$ and a principal K_p -bundle over L. So these object are the natural choices for "weights" in the general setting. In the case of A-foliations, we have more structure, due to the leaves having a fixed topology. In the case where a leaf L of an A-foliation is regular we have that the infinitesimal foliation is the trivial foliation by points. Thus the foliation on a tubular neighborhood is determined by a subgroup $K_p < O(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp})$. Moreover, by considering the natural projection $K_p \to \Gamma_L$, and the fact that $O(\mathcal{F}^p) = \{Id\}$ we have that $K_p = \Gamma_L$, and thus it is discrete. This implies that for regular leaves, the foliation in the tubular neighborhood of *L* is determined by the Biberbach group Γ_L . Thus the holonomy group Γ_L of regular leaves can be consider the weight for such leaves. For a singular leaf *L* of an *A*-foliation on a simplyconnected manifold, we might consider whether the injection $\pi_1(\mathcal{L}_v, v) \rightarrow \pi_1(L_q, q)$, where $\mathcal{L}_v \subset \mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}$ principal leaf of \mathcal{F}^p , together with the holonomy group Γ_L determine the foliation in a tubular neighborhood of *L*, as it happens for the codimension 2 case.

4.4 Cross-sections

With the leaf space description of a singular A-foliation of codimension 2 on a simplyconnected manifold at hand, we can show the existence of a cross-section. To prove this we use results for general singular Riemannian foliations presented in Sect. 6. Namely, we show that obstructions for the existence of cross-sections presented in Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 vanish for A-foliations of codimension 2 on simply-connected manifolds with singular leaves.

Theorem 4.10 Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a singular A-foliation of codimension 2 on a compact-simply connected manifold of dimension $(n + 2) \ge 2$. Then there exists a continuous cross-section $\sigma : M^* \to M$

Proof Observe that a principal *L* is homeomorphic to an *n*-dimensional torus, and thus it is connected and simple. Since M_{prin}^* is an open 2-disk, then for $A^* \subset M_{\text{prin}}^*$ a closed 2-disk, A^* is a closed CW-complex. Consider now the mapping cylinder M_{π} of the quotient map $\pi = \pi|_A \colon A \to A^*$. Since A^* is simply connected it follows that (M_{π}, A^*) is a simple pair. Since A^* is contractible, by Theorem 6.1 there exists a cross-section over A^* for $\pi \colon A \to A^*$. Also note that (M^*, A^*) is a CW-pair.

Now consider the mapping path fibration $F_{\pi} \to E_{\pi} \to M^*$ of the quotient map $\pi : M \to M^*$, and recall that E_{π} has the same homotopy groups as M. Then from the long exact sequence of the fibration, and the fact that M is simply connected and M^* is contractible it follows that $\pi_1(F_{\pi}) = 1$. Thus we conclude that the homotopy fiber F_{π} is a simple space. Since A^* is homotopy equivalent to M^* it follows from Corollary 6.3 that there exists a cross-section $\sigma : M^* \to M$ extending the cross-section defined over A^* .

Remark 4.11 Observe that for a singular A-foliation of codimension 2 on a simply-connected compact manifold since the principal part M_{prin}^* is contractible, then the fiber bundle $\pi: M_{\text{prin}} \to M_{\text{prin}}^*$ is a trivial bundle. That is, M_{prin} is diffeomorphic to $M_{\text{prin}}^* \times L$. Thus we do not need Theorem 6.1 to get a cross-section over a closed disk $A^* \subset M_{\text{prin}}^*$

4.5 Classification of A-foliations of codimension 2

We say that two weighted leaf spaces, M_1^* and M_2^* , are *isomorphic* if there is a homeomorphism $\varphi \colon M_1^* \to M_2^*$ sending the weights of M_1^* to the weights of M_2^* . The map φ is called an *isomorphism between the weighted leaf spaces*, or just simply an *isomorphism between the leaf spaces*.

The following theorem shows the weighted space classifies the topology of M as well as the foliation \mathcal{F} .

Theorem C Let (M_1, \mathcal{F}_1) and (M_2, \mathcal{F}_2) be compact, (n + 2)-dimensional, simply-connected Riemannian manifolds with singular A-foliations of codimension 2. If the leaf spaces M_1^* and M_2^* are isomorphic, then (M_1, \mathcal{F}_1) is foliated homeomorphic to (M_2, \mathcal{F}_2) .

Proof Fix the two principal leafs $L_0^1 \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and $L_0^2 \in \mathcal{F}_2$ used to define the weights of the foliations, and observe that they are *n*-dimensional. By Corollary 3.2 there exists homeomorphisms $\phi_0^1 \colon L_0^1 \to T^n$ and $\phi_0^2 \colon L_0^2 \to T^n$. We set $\phi_0 = (\phi_0^2)^{-1} \circ \phi_0^1$ an homeormphism between L_0^1 and L_0^2 . Denote by $\phi^* \colon M_1^* \to M_2^*$ a weight preserving homeomorphism. Since for i = 1, 2 the sets $(M_i)_{\text{prin}}^*$ are open disks, and we have fiber bundles $\pi_i \colon (M_i)_{\text{prin}} \to (M_i)_{\text{prin}}^*$ with fibers homemorphic to L_0^i , then we conclude that the bundles are trivial ones. Thus, there exists a fiber bundle homeomorphisms $\tau_0^i \colon (M_i)_{\text{prin}} \to (M_i)_{\text{prin}}^* \times L_0^i$. We now set $\phi = \tau_2^{-1} \circ (\phi^* \times \phi_0) \circ \tau_1 \colon (M_1)_{\text{prin}} \to (M_2)_{\text{prin}}$. Observe that by construction ϕ is a foliated homeomorphism.

We claim that we can extend ϕ in a continuous fashion to the singular strata $\pi_1^{-1}(\partial M_1^*)$, and next prove this claim. Denote by $\sigma_i: M_i^* \to M_i$ the cross-sections to the quotient maps $\pi_i: M_i \to M_i^*$ given by Theorem 4.10. Recall that there are only two types of singular leaves: one type has dimension (n-1) and the other one has dimension (n-2), and in both cases the holonomy groups are trivial. Thus for $p^* \in M_1^* \setminus (M_1^*)_{\text{prin}}$ a sufficiently small foliated tubular neighborhood of $L_{\sigma_1(p^*)}$ is foliated diffeomorphic via a map ψ_p to $(L_{\sigma_1(p)} \times \mathbb{D}_{\sigma_1(p)}^{\perp}, \{L_{\sigma_1(p)} \times \mathcal{L}_v \mid \mathcal{L}_v \in \mathcal{F}^{\sigma_1(p)}\})$. Assume that dim $(L_{\sigma_1(p)}) = n - 1$. Then the infinitesimal foliation $(\mathbb{D}_{\sigma_1(p)}^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^{\sigma_1(p)})$ is given by the cone of the \mathbb{T}^1 -action on \mathbb{S}^2 , and the leaves of the infinitesimal foliation correspond to the orbits of the action. Thus any principal leaf is identified via $\psi_{\sigma_1(p)}$ with $L_{\sigma_1(p)} \times \mathcal{L}_v$, where \mathcal{L}_v is a circle. The space of directions of M_1^* at p^* is given by $\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}/\mathcal{F}^p$ and thus it is isomorphic to $[0, \pi]$. Observe that as we approach one of the boundary points we are shrinking the circle orbit \mathcal{L}_v to a point. Recall that as defined, the weight at $L_{\sigma_1(p)}$ lets us identify the infinitesimal leaf \mathcal{L}_v with a circle in L_0^1 which we also denote by \mathcal{L}_v . From this it follows that by our assumption that over $\phi^*(p^*)$ we have the same weight, then ϕ_0 maps $\mathcal{L}_v \subset L_0^1$ to the fiber of the fibration $L_0^2 \to L_{\phi^*(p^*)}$. That is $\Phi_0 = \psi_{\phi^*(p^*)} \circ \phi_0 \circ \psi_{p^*}^{-1}$ maps the principal leaves of the infinitesimal foliation at $\sigma_1(p^*)$ to the principal leaves of the infinitesimal foliation at $\sigma_2 \circ \phi^*(p^*)$. Thus $\Phi_0|_{L_{\sigma_1(p^*)} \times \mathcal{L}_v}$ factors as a product of homeomorphisms over the principal part of the tubular neighborhood: Tub^{ε} $(L_{\sigma_1(p^*)}) \cap (M_1)_{\text{prin}}$. From the fact that the missing singular leaves of the infinitesimal foliation are two points we see that we can continuously extend ϕ to the stratum of leaves of dimension (n-1).

Assume now that dim $(L_{\sigma_1(p^*)}) = n-2$. Then the infinitesimal foliation $(\mathbb{S}_{\sigma_1(p^*)}^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^{\sigma_1(p^*)})$ is given by the linear \mathbb{T}^2 -action over \mathbb{S}^3 . Thus the space of directions at p^* and $\phi^*(p^*)$ is isometric to $[0, \pi/2]$. The end points of the space of directions correspond to singular leaves q_1^* and q_2^* of dimension (n-1). Observe that the fiber of the projection of the principal leaf $L_{\sigma_1(p^*)} \times \mathcal{L}_v$ to the singular leaf $L_{\sigma_1(q_j^*)}$ is a circle in \mathcal{L}_v corresponding to the circle \mathcal{L}_{v_j} in L_0^1 determined by the weight of the edge containing q_j^* . That is $\mathcal{L}_v = \mathcal{L}_{v_1} \times \mathcal{L}_{v_2}$. Moreover since the the homeomorphism Φ_0 is weight preserving, it sends the each factor \mathcal{L}_{v_j} in L_0^1 to the corresponding circle in L_0^2 . Thus we conclude that Φ_0 splits as product over the principal leaves $L_{\sigma_1(p^*)} \times \mathcal{L}_v = L_{\sigma_1(p^*)} \times (\mathcal{L}_{v_1} \times \mathcal{L}_{v_2})$ in a small tubular neighborhood of $L_{\sigma_1(p^*)}$. Therefore we can extend ϕ in a continuous way to the strata of (n-2)-dimensional leaves, and thus we get a well defined foliated homeomorphism $\phi: (M_1, \mathcal{F}_1) \to (M_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$ lifting ϕ^* .

4.6 Comparison of A-foliations of codimension two to torus actions

In this section we give a more detailed analysis of the weights of a singular A-foliation of codimension 2 on a simply-connected manifold and compare them to the weights defined for group actions in [44, 46, 47]. This allows us to compare singular A-foliations of codimension 2 on simply-connected manifolds to torus actions.

We start by determining the number of weights such a foliation has. From the proof of Theorem A in [20], we are able to determine the number r of different bundles of the form

$$\mathbb{S}^1 \to T^n \to T^{n-1},\tag{4.1}$$

for an A-foliation of codimension two on a compact, simply-connected manifold, coming from (2.2).

Theorem 4.12 Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a compact, simply-connected (n + 2)-manifold with an A-foliation of codimension two, and L_0 a regular leaf of dimension n. If the leaf space M^* has r-edges in the boundary, then $r \ge n$.

Proof We first note that for A-foliations of codimension two, a regular leaf is a principal leaf, and fix $p_0 \in L_0$. We consider $M_0 = M_{reg}$, and $B = B(M_0^*)$ the Haefliger classifying space of M_0^* . Recall that there is a fibration $M_0 \rightarrow B$ with fibers homeomorphic to a principal leaf L_0 (see for example [3, Corollary 5.2], [18, Proposition 2.4], [20, Section 2.5], [27, Section 4], [39, Theorem 4.26], [54, Theorem 10.1]). Then we obtain the following long exact sequence:

$$\dots \to \pi_2(B, b_0) \to \pi_1(L_0, p_0) \to \pi_1(M_0, p_0) \to \pi_1(B, b_0) \to 1.$$

By taking *H* to be the image of $\pi_2(B, b_0)$ under the group morphism $\pi_2(B, b_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(L_0, p_0)$, we obtain the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \to H \to \pi_1(L_0, p_0) \to \pi_1(M_0, p_0) \to \pi_1(B, b_0) \to 1.$$

Using the fact that for an *A*-foliation of codimension two on a compact, simply-connected manifold, the leaf space is a 2-disk, we conclude that H = 0. Consider the fibers of the fibrations given by the codimension 3 leaves. I.e. we consider the fibers of the fibrations of the from (4.1). Observe that by hypothesis, there are *r* of these fibrations. We consider their homotopy class in L_0 and denote by *K* the subgroup they generate in $\pi_1(L_0, p_0)$. It follows from the proof of in [20, Theorem A] that $\pi_1(L_0, p_0)$ is generated by *K* and *H*. Furthermore *K* splits as an abelian group and a finite 2 step nilpotent 2-group. Since by [20, Theorem B] the leaf L_0 is homeomorphic to a torus, we conclude that the finite 2 step nilpotent 2-group is trivial. Thus from this discussion it follows that there are at least *n* fibrations of the form (4.1).

Recalling [44, Lemma 1.4], since the fibers of the fibrations of the form (4.1) generate a the fundamental group of a principal leaf, we deduce the following property of the weights $(a_{i1}, \ldots, a_{in}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, associated to the least singular leaves.

Lemma 4.13 For an A foliation of codimension 2, the determinant of the weights $\{\bar{v}_1 \dots, \bar{v}_m\}$ is ± 1 .

Now we are ready to prove the main result for this section.

Theorem 4.14 Let (M, \mathcal{F}_1) be closed, simply-connected (n+2)-manifold with an A-foliation of codimension 2 and $n \ge 2$. Then there exist a closed, simply-connected (n + 2)-manifold (N, \mathcal{F}_2) with a homogeneous A-foliation of codimension 2 (i.e. with an effective smooth torus action of cohomogeneity 2), such that (N, \mathcal{F}_2) is foliated homeomorphic to (M, \mathcal{F}_1) .

Proof By Lemma 4.13, for an A-foliation of codimension two on a closed, simply-connected (n + 2)-manifold M, the weights (a_{i1}, \ldots, a_{in}) are legal weights in the sense of Oh (see [44]). Thus by Theorem 2.1 there is a closed, simply-connected, (n + 2)-manifold N together with a \mathbb{T}^n -action realizing the weights. By Theorem C, the manifolds M and N are foliated homeomorphic.

5 Smooth structure of the leaves of an A-foliation of codimension two

In order to be able to prove Theorem A we need to study the smooth structure of the leaves of a singular A-foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) of codimension two, on a compact, simply-connected Riemannian (n+2)-manifold M. We also show that we can find a smooth cross-section $\sigma: M^* \to M$ for the quotient map. With these two remarks we are able to strengthen the conclusion in Theorem 4.14 from foliated homeomorphism to foliated diffeomorphism.

5.1 Smooth structure of leaves

Recall that for an A-foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) of codimension two on a simply-connected (n + 2)manifold, the least singular leaves are singular leaves of codimension 3 in M, homeomorphic to an (n - 1)-torus. The most singular leaves of (M, \mathcal{F}) are singular leaves of codimension 4 in M, homeomorphic to an (n - 2)-torus.

We also recall, that when we fix a principal leaf L_0 , if we denote a singular leaf by L_i , we have a smooth fiber bundle:

$$\mathcal{L}_i \to L_0 \to L_i \tag{5.1}$$

with \mathcal{L}_i diffeomorphic to T^1 , if L_i is a least singular leaf; or T^2 , if L_2 is a most singular leaf. Furthermore, for the least singular leaf case, this bundle is a principal circle bundle. Thus for each edge of M^* we have a circle action on L_0 , $\mu_i : \mathbb{T}^1 \times L_0 \to L_0$.

Lemma 5.1 The circle actions $\mu_i : \mathbb{T}^1 \times L_0 \to L_0$ commute.

Proof We recall that the fiber bundle (5.1) arises via the intersection of the foliation \mathcal{F} with the normal space of the leaf L_1 .

In the particular case for a homogeneous foliation (N, \mathbb{T}^n) , the principal circle bundles (5.1) are given by circle subgroups of the principal leaf \mathbb{T}^n . This implies in the homogeneous case, that each of these bundles are trivial, and thus admit a cross-section.

It follows from Theorem 4.14 that the given A-foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) is foliated homeomorphic to a homogeneous foliation (N, \mathbb{T}^n) , via a homeomorphism ϕ . In particular, a tubular neighborhood of a singular leaf L_1 of (M, \mathcal{F}) is foliated homeomorphic to a tubular neighborhood of a singular orbit in N, via ϕ . This implies that the foliated homeomorphism induces an fiber bundle isomorphism between the bundles $\mathcal{L}_i \to L_0 \to L_i$ and the bundles $\mathbb{T}^1 \to \mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{T}^n/\mathbb{T}^1$. Thus, for each fiber bundle (5.1) the homeomorphism ϕ makes the following diagram commute:

П

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_0 & \stackrel{\phi}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbb{T}^n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L_i & \stackrel{\phi}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbb{T}^{n-1} \end{array}$$

From this, it follows that the cross-section for the bundle $\mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{T}^{n-1}$ gives rise to a cross-section for the bundle $L_0 \to L_i$. This implies that the bundles (5.1) are trivial for each *i*. In particular, from this it turns out that $\phi \colon L_0 \to \mathbb{T}^n$ is equivariant with respect to each action μ_i .

Observe that for the homogeneous case, the circle actions on the principal leaf \mathbb{T}^n commute. Then from the fact that ϕ is equivariant, it follows that the actions μ_i commute.

From the previous lemma, plus the fact that the actions are smooth, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 5.2 Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be an A-foliation of codimension two on a compact simplyconnected (n + 2)-manifold. Then the principal leaf L_0 is diffeomorphic to the standard torus \mathbb{T}^n .

Proof We show that there exists a free smooth \mathbb{T}^n -action on the principal leaf L_0 of the foliation. Thus for $n \ge 2$, the principal leaf of an A-foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) of codimension two on a compact, simply-connected (n + 2)-manifold is diffeomorphic to the standard torus \mathbb{T}^n .

From the homogeneous case we know that there exists a set of indices $\{i_1, i_2, ..., i_n\}$ such that circle subgroups, given by the fibrations (5.1) defined by the indexes i_ℓ generate the principal torus \mathbb{T}^n . Using the foliated homeomorphism ϕ given by Theorem 4.14, and Lemma 5.1, we know we define the \mathbb{T}^n -action $\mu \colon \mathbb{T}^n \times L_0 \to L_0$ on the principal leaf L_0 as

$$\mu((\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n),p) = \mu_{i_1}(\xi_1,\mu_{i_2}(\xi_2,\cdots,\mu_{i_n}(\xi_n(p))\cdots)).$$

Since the actions μ_{i_j} commute μ gives a continuous action of the standard *n*-torus, \mathbb{T}^n , on the principal leaf T^n . Furthermore, the action μ is free and smooth since each of the transformations μ_{i_j} are free and smooth.

Remark 5.3 We note that we have exactly r of these bundles. One for each edge in ∂M^* . The index i on the fiber is added to be able to distinguish the edge we are referring to.

Remark 5.4 (Dimension 6) Consider an A foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) of codimension two on a simplyconnected *n*-manifold, with $n \le 5$. Let L_0 be a fixed principal leaf. Recall that the leaf L_0 is homeomorphic to an (n-2)-dimensional torus. Since in this case all leaves have dimension less than 3, then the smooth structure is unique. So we get the conclusions of Theorem A.

Remark 5.5 For the case when M is of dimension 6, we recall that, from a tubular neighborhood of a most singular leaf L_p we have a smooth 2-torus bundle over L_p with total space L_0 . In this case L_0 is homeomorphic to a 4-torus. These bundles were classified in [51], and Ue showed in [55] that they admit a geometric structure in the sense of Thurston (c.f. [52]). From the explicit list given in [23], we see the total space L_0 admits an Euclidean geometry. This implies that the leaf L_0 admits a flat Riemannian metric (possibly different from the one given by M). It follows from Theorem 3 in [13] that L_0 is diffeomorphic to the standard 4-torus. The other leaves have dimension less or equal to 3, and thus a unique smooth structure. With these observations we have an alternative proof of Theorem A.

Now we prove that also the singular leaves of an A-foliation of codimension two on a compact, simply-connected manifold are diffeomorphic to standard tori.

Corollary 5.6 The least singular leaf of an A-foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) of codimension two on a compact, simply-connected (n + 2)-manifold M is diffeomorphic to the standard torus.

Proof For the least singular leaf L_{p_i} the claim follows from the fact that the fiber bundle (2.2) is an \mathbb{S}_i^1 -principal bundle, combined with the fact that the total space is the standard torus \mathbb{T}^n . Thus the least singular leaf L_{p_i} is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^n/\mathbb{S}_i^1 = \mathbb{T}^{n-1}$, i.e. the standard (n-1)-dimensional torus.

We recall that, if x_i is a point in (M, \mathcal{F}) , so that $L_{x_i}^*$ is a vertex in M^* , then L_{x_i} is a most singular leaf of \mathcal{F} , and it is homeomorphic to T^{n-1} . Furthermore we can choose p_i close enough to x_i in M, such that L_{p_i} is a least singular leaf. We point out that the leaf L_{p_i} has trivial holonomy. Thus for the leaves L_{p_i} and L_{x_i} fibration (2.2) is a fiber bundle of the form:

$$\mathbb{S}^1 \hookrightarrow T^{n-1} \to T^{n-2}. \tag{5.2}$$

By the same arguments given for the proof of Proposition 4.8 we can prove that this bundle is a principal S^1 -bundle. With theses remarks we prove the following proposition:

Proposition 5.7 The most singular leaf of an A-foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) of codimension two on a compact, simply-connected (n + 2)-manifold M is diffeomorphic to the standard torus.

Proof Since (5.2) is a principal \mathbb{S}^1 -bundle we conclude that L_{x_i} is diffeomorphic to L_{p_i}/\mathbb{S}^1 . From Corollary 5.6, we have that the least singular leaf L_{p_i} is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{T}^{n-1} . Thus the most singular leaf is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}/\mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{T}^{n-2}$.

5.2 Smooth cross-section

We show that for an A-foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) of codimension two on a compact, simply-connected, Riemannian manifold M, the quotient map $M \to M^*$ is smooth.

Lemma 5.8 Consider an A-foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) of codimension two on a compact, simplyconnected manifold. Let $p \in M$ be such that L_p is a least singular leaf (i.e. L_p has codimension 3 in M). Then the following hold for the infinitesimal foliation $(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^p)$ at p.

- (i) The quotient space S[⊥]_p/F^p is homeomorphic to the closed interval [0, π], and thus it admits a unique smooth structure.
- (ii) The quotient map $\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp} \to \mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}/\mathcal{F}^p$ is smooth.

Proof We note that $(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^p)$ is an A-foliation of codimension 1, with principal leaf homeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^1 . It follows from [20, Theorem D] that $(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^p)$ is the homogeneous foliation $(\mathbb{S}^2, \mathbb{S}^1)$. Furthermore, from [21] and [42] it follows that, any smooth action of \mathbb{S}^1 on \mathbb{S}^2 is equivalent (i.e. there exists an equivariant diffeomorphism) to the linear \mathbb{S}^1 action on \mathbb{S}^2 . Thus the quotient map is smooth.

Lemma 5.9 Consider an A-foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) of codimension two on a compact, simplyconnected manifold. Let $p \in M$ be such that L_p is a most singular leaf (i.e. L_p has codimension 4 in M). Then the following hold for the infinitesimal foliation $(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^p)$ at p.

- (i) The quotient space S[⊥]_p/F^p is homeomorphic to the closed interval [0, π/2], and thus it admits a unique smooth structure.
- (ii) The quotient map $\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp} \to \mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}/\mathcal{F}^p$ is smooth.

Proof We note that $(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^p)$ is an A-foliation of codimension 1, with principal leaf homeomorphic to \mathbb{T}^2 . It follows from [20, Theorem D] that $(\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}, \mathcal{F}^p)$ is the homogeneous foliation $(\mathbb{S}^3, \mathbb{T}^2)$, given by the standard linear action.

We consider \mathbb{S}^3 as the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^2 and we use the so-called *Hopf coordinates* for \mathbb{S}^3 , given by $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \eta) \mapsto (\sin \eta e^{i\theta_1}, \sin \eta e^{i\theta_2}, \cos \eta)$ with $\theta_1 \in [0, 2\pi], \theta_2 \in [0, 2\pi]$, and $\eta \in [0, \pi/2]$. We parametrize the 2-torus $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ by the angles (α, β) . With these coordinates, the action of \mathbb{T}^2 on \mathbb{S}^3 is given by:

$$(\alpha, \beta)(\theta_1, \theta_2, \eta) = (\theta_1 + \alpha, \theta_2 + \beta, \eta).$$

Thus the quotient map $\mathbb{S}^3 \to \mathbb{S}^3/\mathbb{T}^2$ is given by $(\theta_1, \theta_1, \eta) \mapsto \eta$. This proves both claims. \Box

Proposition 5.10 Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be an A-foliation of codimension two on a compact, simplyconnected manifold. Then the leaf space M^* admits a unique smooth structure. Furthermore there is a smooth cross-section $\overline{\sigma}: M^* \to M$ with respect to this smooth structure.

Proof Recall that the leaf space M^* of an A-foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) of codimension two on a closed, simply-connected manifold is homeomorphic to a 2-disk. Thus M^* carries a unique smooth structure proving the first claim of the proposition. In this case in we get a smooth cross-section $\overline{\sigma}: M^* \to M$ as follows. Let $\sigma: M^* \to M$ be a cross-section obtained from Theorem 4.10. By Lemmas 5.8 and 5.9, for each infinitesimal foliation, the quotient map $\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp} \to \mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}/\mathcal{F}^p$ is smooth. Since for any point $p \in M$, the leaf L_p has trivial holonomy group, a local neighborhood of p^* is given by a cone over $\mathbb{S}_p^{\perp}/\mathcal{F}^p$. This implies that the quotient map $\pi: M \to M^*$ is smooth.

It follows from [29, Theorem 3.3] that the space of smooth functions $C^{\infty}(M^*, M)$ is dense in the space of continuous functions $C^0(M^*, M)$ with respect to the strong topology. Therefore given a cross-section $\sigma: M^* \to M$, there exists a smooth map $h: M^* \to M$ close to σ in $C^0(M^*, M)$. Since the quotient map $\pi: M \to M^*$ is smooth, then the map $\overline{\sigma}: M^* \to M$, defined as $\overline{\sigma} = h \circ (\pi \circ h)^{-1}$ is smooth. By construction the map $\overline{\sigma}$ is a cross-section for the map $\pi: M \to M^*$.

We end the present work with the proof of the following lemma, which yields a proof of Theorem A.

Lemma 5.11 Let (M_1, \mathcal{F}_1) and (M_2, \mathcal{F}) be compact, simply-connected manifolds, with Afoliations with standard diffeomorphism type, and isometric leaf spaces. If the leaf spaces M_1^* and M_2^* are homeomorphic to smooth manifolds, there exists a smooth weight isomorphism $f^*M_1^* \to M_2^*$, and the cross-sections $\sigma_i : M_i^* \to M_i$ are smooth with respect to these smooth structure, then the foliated homeomorphism of Theorem C is a foliated diffeomorphism.

Proof The smoothness follows from the following two observations. First we note that that the foliated homeomorphism of Theorem C is defined by the composition of the map f^* and the cross-sections σ_i , which are smooth by hypothesis. Second, the fact that the leaves are diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n/Γ is used to show that the dependency of $x \in L$ with respect to this center is smooth, once we have chosen our center of the Dirichlet domain $y = \sigma_i(x^*)$.

D. Corro

Proof of Theorem A If n = 3 then the principal leaf is \mathbb{S}^1 . By [20, Theorem 3.11] the conclusions of Theorem A follow. Now we consider the case $n \ge 4$. In this case the leaf space of an *A*-foliation (M, \mathcal{F}_1) of codimension two on a compact, simply-connected, Riemannian manifold *M*, is a 2-disk. Then M^* admits a smooth structure in a unique way. Furthermore, by Theorem 2.1, there exists a closed Riemannian manifold *N* with an homogeneous foliation \mathcal{F}_2 . This foliation has the property that N^* is weighted diffeomorphic to M^* . Last we remark that, by Proposition 5.10, the hypotheses of Lemma 5.11 are satisfied, and thus the result follows.

6 Obstruction theory for cross-section of singular Riemannian foliations

In this section we present Obstruction Theory and apply it to the setting of general singular Riemannian foliations, stating general sufficient conditions for the existence of a cross-section $\sigma: M^* \to M$ for the quotient map $\pi: M \to M^*$ of a singular Riemannian foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) on a simply-connected manifold. We begin presenting the results for general singular Riemannian foliations obtained from the application of Obstruction Theory. In the last section we present the general Obstruction Theory for fibrations between CW-complexes.

6.1 Obstruction theory applied to singular Riemannian foliations

In this sections we focus ourselves to a a given singular Riemannian foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) on a simply-connected manifold, and apply Obstruction Theory presented in Sect. 6.2 to the quotient map $\pi: M \to M^*$ to give sufficient conditions for the existence of a cross-section $\sigma: M^* \to M$ for π . We begin by stating some topological definitions we need in our results.

Given a connected topological space W and a connected subspace $A \subset W$, fixing a point $w_0 \in A$, recall that there is an action of $\pi_1(A, w_0)$ on $\pi_k(W, A, w_0)$ [28, p. 345]. Since A and W are connected this actions does not depend on the base point. We say that a pair (W, A) of connected spaces is an *n*-simple pair if this action is trivial for all $k \leq n$, and the pair is a simple pair if it is *n*-simple for all $n \geq 1$. Also observe that there is an action of $\pi_1(W, w_0)$ on the homotopy groups $\pi_k(W, w_0)$. We say that W is *n*-simple if this action is trivial for all $k \leq n$. We say W is simple if it is *n*-simple for all $n \geq 1$, see [28, Section 4.1].

Now we recall the basic topological constructions of the mapping path fibration and the mapping cylinder of a continuous map $f: X \to Y$. Denote by Y^I the space of all continuous paths $\gamma: I \to Y$ equipped with the compact-open topology. There is a fibration $q: Y^I \to Y$, defined as $q(\gamma) = \gamma(0)$. Then we define the mapping path fibration of f, which we denote by $\pi_f: E_{\pi_f} \to Y$, as follows: the total space is $E_{\pi_f} = \{(x, \gamma) \in X \times Y^I \mid f(x) = \gamma(1)\}$, and the projection is defined as $\pi_f(x, \gamma) = \gamma(0)$. The space E_{π_f} is homotopy equivalent to X, and the map π_f is a fibration, with fiber F_f called the homotopy fiber of f. The mapping cylinder of f is the space M_f defined as follows: we consider $(X \times I) \sqcup Y$ and identify (x, 1) with f(x). There is a natural inclusion map $i: X \to M_f$ given by i(x) = [x, 0]. Then M_f is homotopy equivalent to Y and the map i is a cofibration.

Recall that given a closed singular Riemannian foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) , when we consider a closed subset $X \subset M_{\text{prin}}$, consisting of principal leafs the projection map $M \to M^*$ restricted to X yields a fiber bundle:

$$L \to X \to X^*$$
.

We apply Theorem 6.7 to get a family of obstructions, which we will call *first obstructions*.

Theorem 6.1 Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a closed singular Riemannian foliation with M simplyconnected, quotient map $\pi : M \to M^*$, and principal leaf L, which is simple and connected. Consider $X \subset M_{\text{prin}}$ such that X^* is a CW-complex which is simply-connected. Denote by M_{π} the mapping cylinder of $\pi : X \to X^*$ and assume that (M_{π}, X) is simple. Then there is a family of obstructions $\omega_k^1 \in H^{k+1}(X^*; \pi_k(L))$ such that a cross-section $\sigma : X^* \to X$ exists if $\omega_k^1 = 0$ for all k.

Proof By applying Theorem 6.7 with $W = Y = X^*$, and $A = \emptyset$ we get the result.

Even if a section exists on a closed set of the leaf space contained in the principal part of the foliation, it may happen that it cannot be extended to the whole leaf space (as an example see [16] or [17]). To solve this new extension problem we need another family of obstructions which we call *second obstructions*.

Theorem 6.2 Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a closed singular Riemannian foliation with M simply connected, and consider the quotient map $\pi : M \to M^*$. Suppose that the homotopy fiber F_{π} is simple, take $A^* \subset M^*_{\text{prin}}$ to be a closed subset, such that (M^*, A^*) is a CW-pair. We also assume we have defined a cross-section $\sigma : A^* \to M_{\text{prin}}$. Then there is a family of obstructions $\omega_k^2 \in H^{k+1}(M^*, A^*; \pi_k(F_{\pi}))$ such that a cross-section $\tilde{\sigma} : M^* \to M$ extending σ exists if $\omega_k^2 = 0$ for all k.

Proof Since M is simply-connected, then M^* is also simply-connected. We apply Theorem 6.7 to obtain the desired result.

In particular, when we cannot distinguish M^* from any closed subset of M^*_{prin} from a homotopical view-point, we get the proof of Corollary D from Theorem 6.2.

Corollary 6.3 Let (M, \mathcal{F}) be a closed singular Riemannian foliation on a simply-connected manifold. Suppose that there is a section $\tilde{\sigma} \colon M^*_{\text{prin}} \to M_{\text{prin}}$, and the that hypothesis of Theorem 6.2 are satisfied. If M^*_{prin} has the same homotopy type as M^* , then the cross-section $\tilde{\sigma}$ can be extended to a section σ .

Remark 6.4 Since the holonomy is only defined for closed leaves (see Sect. 2.5), we ask that the foliation is closed in order to ensure the existence of a principal stratum in Theorems 6.1, 6.2, and Corollary 6.3.

6.2 Moore–Postnikov towers and obstruction theory

In this section for the sake of completeness we present general statements from Obstruction Theory presented in [28, Chapter 4, Obstruction Theory] used in Sect. 6.1. We being by recalling the definition of a Moore–Postnikov tower.

Recall that a fibration $F \to E \xrightarrow{p} B$ is called a *principal fibration* if there exists a fibration $F' \to E' \xrightarrow{p'} B'$ and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} F & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Omega B' & \longrightarrow & F' & \longrightarrow & E' & \longrightarrow & B', \end{array}$$

where all the vertical maps are weak homotopy equivalences.

Given a continuous map $f: X \to Y$ between connected spaces a *Moore–Postnikov tower* of f is a collection of spaces

$$\cdots \rightarrow Z_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} Z_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Z_1,$$

and continuous maps $\alpha_n \colon Z_{n+1} \to Z_n, \lambda_n \colon X \to Z_n$ and $\mu_n \colon Z_n \to Y$ such that

- (i) $\alpha_n \circ \lambda_{n+1} = \lambda_n$;
- (ii) $\mu_n \circ \alpha_n = \mu_{n+1}$;
- (iii) for *n* fixed the map λ_n induces an isomorphism between $pi_i(X)$ and $\pi_i(Z_n)$ for all i < n, and a surjection for i = n;
- (iv) for *n* fixed the map μ_n induces an isomorphism between $pi_i(Z_n)$ and $\pi_i(Y)$ for all i > n, and an monomorphism for i = n;
- (v) each map α_n is a fibration with fiber an Eilenberg–MacLane space $K(\pi_n(F_f), n)$, where F_f is the homotopy fiber of f.

This points are summarized in the following commutative diagram:



The idea behind a Moore–Postnikov tower is that we have a series of fibrations between spaces Z_n , which at the start they approximate the homotopy type of Y and gradually, as the index n goes to infinity, they approximate the homotopy type of X. When the fibrations $\alpha_n \colon Z_{n+1} \to Z_n$ are principal we have say we have a *principal Moore–Postnikov tower* for f. In general any map between CW-spaces admits a Moore–Postnikov tower, but the following theorem gives sufficient and necessary conditions for the existence of a principal Moore–Postnikov tower for a map between CW-spaces:

Theorem 6.5 (Theorem 4.71 in [28], Existence of Moore–Postnikov tower of principal fibrations) For a given map $f: X \to Y$ between connected CW-spaces, a Moore–Postnikov tower of principal fibrations exists if and only if $\pi_1(X)$ acts trivially on $\pi_n(M_f, X)$ for all n > 1, where M_f is the mapping cylinder of f.

With this concepts we can state the following obstruction theorem for extending maps, and include its proof for the sake of completeness.

Theorem 6.6 (Obstruction theory in [28]) Let $p: X \to Y$ be a fibration with fiber F, (W, A) a CW-pair with W simply connected. Assume the fibration has a Moore–Postnikov tower of principal fibrations and consider the relative lifting problem:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ i & & \overbrace{f} & \swarrow & \downarrow p \\ W & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \end{array}$$

There exists an obstruction $\omega_n \in H^{n+1}(W, A; \pi_n(F))$, such that a lift $\tilde{f}: W \to X$ extending $f: A \to X$ exists, if $\omega_n = 0$ for all n.

Proof First we note that since we have a fibration $p: X \to Y$, we may take Z_1 to be the covering space of Y corresponding to the subgroup $p_*(\pi_1(X))$ of $\pi_1(Y)$. Since W is simply connected we can lift g to $W \to Z_1$, which agrees with $g \circ \lambda_1 : A \to Z_1$. Since the Moore–Postnikov tower is by principal fibrations, for the inductive step we have a commutative diagram as follows:

Here $PK \to K$ is the *path fibration*, defined by fixing a point $b_0 \in K$, and letting PK be the space of all curves in K starting at b_0 , and the letting $PK \to K$ be the map that sends each path to its end point. Since Z_n is the pullback, the elements in Z_n are pairs consisting of a point in Z_{n-1} and a path from its image in K to the base point in K. A lift $W \to Z_n$ therefore amounts to a null-homotopy of the composition $W \to Z_{n-1} \to K$. Since we have already defined such a lift on A, we have a null-homotopy of $A \to K$, and the desired null-homotopy of $W \to K$ must extend this null-homotopy on A. The map $W \to K$ together with the null-homotopy on A gives a map $W \cup C(A) \to K$, where C(A) is the cone of A. Since K is an Eilenberg–MacLane space $K(\pi_n(F), n + 1)$, the map $W \cup C(A) \to K$ determines the desired obstruction

$$\omega_n \in H^{n+1}(W \cup C(A); \pi_n(F)) = H^{n+1}(W, A; \pi_n(F)).$$

If $\omega_n = 0$, by construction we have that there is a null-homotopy of $W \to K$ extending the given null-homotopy $A \to K$.

If we succeed in extending the lifts $A \to Z_n$ to lifts $W \to Z_n$ for all n, then we obtain a map $W \to \lim_{i \to \infty} Z_n$, to the inverse limit $\lim_{i \to \infty} Z_n$, extending the given $A \to X \to \lim_{i \to \infty} Z_n$. Let M be the mapping cylinder of $X \to \lim_{i \to \infty} Z_n$. From the hypothesis that the restriction of $W \to \lim_{i \to \infty} Z_n \subset M$ to A factors through X, this gives a homotopy of this restriction to the map $A \to X \subset M$. We extend this homotopy to a homotopy of $W \to M$ producing a map $(W, A) \to (M, X)$. Since the map $X \to \lim_{i \to \infty} Z_n$ is a weak homotopy equivalence, then $\pi_i(M, X) = 0$ for all i, and from the so-called *Compression Lemma* (see Lemma 4.6 in [28]), we conclude that the map $(W, A) \to (M, X)$ is homotopic relative to A to a map $W \to X$. Hence the map $W \to X$ extends the given map $A \to X$.

Theorem 6.7 (Obstruction to extension) Let (W, A) be a relative CW-complex, with W simply connected, and assume we have continuous maps $p: X \to Y$, $f: A \to X$ and $g: W \to Y$ given. Furthermore, suppose that the homotopy fiber F_p of the map p is simple, and that (M_p, X) is a simple pair. Then the following are true:

- (i) There is a family of obstructions ω_k ∈ H^{k+1}(W, A; π_k(F_p)) such that there exists a lift f of f such that p ∘ g = f and f |_A = f, if ω_k = 0 for all k.
- (ii) If F_p is an Eilenberg–MacLane space $K(\pi, \ell)$, then there is a unique obstruction $\omega_{\ell} \in H^{\ell+1}(W, A; \pi)$, and the lift \tilde{f} of f exists if and only if $\omega_{\ell} = 0$.

Proof We sketch here the proof. For further details we invite the interested reader to see, for example, [28, Chapter 4] for a more detailed discussion. First we show that the pair (M_p, X) is simple only when the pair (M_{π_p}, E_{π_p}) is simple. To prove this we start by recalling that

there is a homotopy equivalence *h* between *X* and the total space E_{π_p} of the path space fibration for $p: X \to Y$ making the following diagram commute:

For the maps $p: X \to Y$ and $\pi_p: E_{\pi_p} \to Y$, we have cofibrations $j: X \to M_p$ and $i: E_{\pi_p} \to M_{\pi_p}$. Furthermore there is also an homotopy equivalences between the mapping cylinder M_p and M_{π_p} making the following diagrams commute:

Combining diagram (6.2) with the diagrams (6.3), we obtain the following diagram which commutes up to homotopy:



Observe that the arrows going down are cofibrations, and the horizontal ones are homotopy equivalences. Then from the previous commutative diagram and [7, 7.4.2], the homotopy groups $\pi_k(M_p, X)$ are (equivariantly under the action of $\pi_1(X)$) isomorphic to $\pi_k(M_{\pi_q}, E_{\pi_p})$ (with the action of $\pi_1(E_{\pi_p}) \cong \pi_1(X)$). Thus (M_p, X) is simple if and only if (M_{π_p}, E_{π_p}) is simple. Therefore, for the fibration $\pi_p : E_{\pi_p} \to Y$, there exists a Moore–Postnikov tower by principal fibrations, which yield the desired family of obstructions. Thus we may apply Theorem 6.6 to the fibration $\pi_p : E_{\pi_p} \to Y$.

We also note that we may apply the last argument in the proof of Theorem 6.6, and use the fact that the restriction of $W \to \lim_{n \to \infty} Z_n \subset M$ to A factors through X, to construct the lift $W \to X$ which extends $A \to X$. Here M is the mapping cylinder of the map $X \to \lim_{n \to \infty} Z_n$.

Remark 6.8 The reason why in Theorem 6.7 (i) we have an "if... then..." statement and on Theorem 6.7 (ii) we have an "if and only if" statement lies in the fact that for the proofs of these theorems we use a Moore–Postnikov tower of principal fibrations $\cdots \rightarrow Z_2 \rightarrow Z_1 \rightarrow Y$ for p. In the case of Theorem 6.7 (i) the lifts may be not unique, and in some examples this may yield non trivial ω_k even when an extension exists. An exception to this, is the case when F_p is an Eilenberg–MacLane space (see [28, Section 4.3]).

Remark 6.9 The condition on W being simply connected is used to ensure a unique lift from W to Z_1 in the Moore–Postnikov chain.

Acknowledgements I would like to thank Caterina Campagnolo, Fernando Galaz-García, Karsten Grove, Jan-Bernard Kordaß, Adam Moreno and Wilderich Tuschmann for helpful conversations on the constructions presented herein. I thank Alexander Lytchak and Oliver Görtsches for helpful discussions which led to the obstruction results for the existence of cross-sections. I thank Thomas Farrell and Marco Radeschi for discussions and comments about the smooth structure of the leaves. Last I thank the anonymous referees for giving suggestions to improve the presentation of the manuscript, and the suggestion for the study of polar *A*-foliations.

Deringer

Data availability There was no data collected for the present research.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Alexandrino, M.M., Bettiol, R.G.: Lie Groups and Geometric Aspects of Isometric Actions. Springer, Cham (2015)
- Alexandrino, M.M., Briquet, R., Töben, D.: Progress in the theory of singular Riemannian foliations. Differ. Geom. Appl. 31, 248–267 (2013)
- Alexandrino, M.M., Gorodski, C.: Singular Riemannian foliations with sections, transnormal maps and basic forms. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 32, 209–223 (2007)
- Alexandrino, M.M., Radeschi, M.: Mean curvature flow of singular Riemannian foliations. J. Geom. Anal. 26, 2204–2220 (2016)
- Auslander, L., Kuranishi, M.: On the holonomy group of locally Euclidean spaces. Ann. Math. 65(2), 411–415 (1957)
- Bredon, G.E.: Introduction to Compact Transformation Groups. Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 46. Academic Press, New York (1972)
- 7. Brown, R.: Topology and Groupoids. BookSurge, LLC, Charleston (2006)
- 8. Charlap, L.S.: Bieberbach Groups and Flat Manifolds. Universitext. Springer, New York (1986)
- Ehresmann, C.: Les connexions infinitésimales dans un espace fibré différentiable. In: Colloque de topologie (espaces fibrés), Bruxelles, 1950, Georges Thone, Liège; Masson et Cie, Paris, pp. 29–55 (1951)
- Faessler, D.: The Topology of locally volume collapsed 3-orbifolds, PhD thesis. Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München (2011)
- 11. Farrell, F.T., Hsiang, W.C.: Topological characterization of flat and almost flat Riemannian manifolds M^n $(n \neq 3, 4)$. Am. J. Math. **105**, 641–672 (1983)
- Farrell, F.T., Jones, L.E.: Classical aspherical manifolds. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 75. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (1990)
- Farrell, F.T., Jones, L.E., Ontaneda, P.: Negative curvature and exotic topology. In: Surveys in Differential Geometry, vol. XI. Surv. Differ. Geom., vol. 11. Int. Press, Somerville, pp. 329–347 (2007)
- Farrell, F.T., Wu, X.: Riemannian foliation with exotic tori as leaves. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 51, 745–750 (2019)
- Ferus, D., Karcher, H., Münzner, H.F.: Cliffordalgebren und neue isoparametrische Hyperflächen. Math. Z. 177, 479–502 (1981)
- 16. Fintushel, R.: Locally smooth circle actions on homotopy 4-spheres. Duke Math. J. 43, 63-70 (1976)
- Fintushel, R.: Circle actions on simply connected 4-manifolds. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 230, 147–171 (1977)
- Florit, L., Goertsches, O., Lytchak, A., Töben, D.: Riemannian foliations on contractible manifolds. Münster J. Math. 8, 1–16 (2015)
- Galaz-Garcia, F., Kerin, M.: Cohomogeneity-two torus actions on non-negatively curved manifolds of low dimension. Math. Z. 276, 133–152 (2014)
- Galaz-Garcia, F., Radeschi, M.: Singular Riemannian foliations and applications to positive and nonnegative curvature. J. Topol. 8, 603–620 (2015)
- Galaz-García, F., Zarei, M.: Cohomogeneity one topological manifolds revisited. Math. Z. 288, 829–853 (2018)
- Ge, J., Radeschi, M.: Differentiable classification of 4-manifolds with singular Riemannian foliations. Math. Ann. 363, 525–548 (2015)
- 23. Geiges, H.: Symplectic structures on T^2 -bundles over T^2 . Duke Math. J. 67, 539–555 (1992)
- Gromoll, D., Walschap, G.: Metric foliations and curvature. Progress in Mathematics, vol. 268. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (2009)

- 25. Grove, K., Ziller, W.: Polar manifolds and actions. J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 11, 279–313 (2012)
- Guijarro, L., Walschap, G.: When is a Riemannian submersion homogeneous? Geom. Dedicata 125, 47–52 (2007)
- Haefliger, A.: Groupoïdes d'holonomie et classifiants, Astérisque (1984), pp. 70–97. Transversal structure of foliations (Toulouse, 1982)
- 28. Hatcher, A.: Algebraic Topology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010)
- Hirsch, M.W.: Differential Topology, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 33. Springer, New York (1994). Corrected reprint of the 1976 original
- Hsiang, W.C., Shaneson, J.L.: Fake tori, the annulus conjecture, and the conjectures of Kirby. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 62, 687–691 (1969)
- Hsiang, W.C., Shaneson, J.L., Fake tori. In: Topology of Manifolds (Proc. Inst., Univ. of Georgia, Athens, Ga, 1969). Markham, Chicago, pp. 18–51 (1970)
- Kim, S.K., McGavran, D., Pak, J.: Torus group actions on simply connected manifolds. Pac. J. Math. 53, 435–444 (1974)
- Kreck, M., Lück, W.: Topological rigidity for non-aspherical manifolds. Pure Appl. Math. Q. 5, 873–914 (2009)
- 34. Lange, C.: Orbifolds from a metric viewpoint. Geom. Dedicata 209, 43–57 (2020)
- Lee, J.M.: Introduction to Smooth Manifolds. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 218, 2nd edn. Springer, New York (2013)
- Lytchak, A.: Geometric resolution of singular Riemannian foliations. Geom. Dedicata 149, 379–395 (2010)
- Mendes, R.A.E., Radeschi, M.: A slice theorem for singular Riemannian foliations, with applications. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 371, 4931–4949 (2019)
- Mendes, R.A.E., Radeschi, M.: A slice theorem for singular Riemannian foliations, with applications. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 371, 4931–4949 (2019)
- Moerdijk, I., Mrčun, J.: Introduction to Foliations and Lie Groupoids. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 91. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003)
- Molino, P.: Riemannian Foliations. Progress in Mathematics, vol. 73. Birkhäuser Boston Inc, Boston (1988)
- Morita, S.: Geometry of differential forms. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 201. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2001)
- 42. Mostert, P.S.: On a compact lie group action on a manifold. Ann. Math. 65(2), 447–455 (1957)
- 43. Oh, H.S.: 6-dimensional manifolds with effective T^4 -actions. Topol. Appl. 13, 137–154 (1982)
- 44. Oh, H.S.: Toral actions on 5-manifolds. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 278, 233–252 (1983)
- 45. Orlik, P.: Seifert manifolds. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 291. Springer, Berlin (1972)
- Orlik, P., Raymond, F.: Actions of the torus on 4-manifolds. I. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 152, 531–559 (1970)
- 47. Orlik, P., Raymond, F.: Actions of the torus on 4-manifolds. II. Topology 13, 89-112 (1974)
- Radeschi, M.: Low dimensional singular Riemannian foliations on spheres, PhD thesis, University of Pennsylvania (2012)
- Radeschi, M.: Clifford algebras and new singular Riemannian foliations in spheres. Geom. Funct. Anal. 24, 1660–1682 (2014)
- Radeschi, M.: Lecture notes on singular Riemannian foliations (2017). https://static1. squarespace.com/static/5994498937c5815907f7eb12/t/5998477717bffc656afd46e0/1503151996268/ SRF+Lecture+Notes.pdf. Last visited on 20 April 2018
- 51. Sakamoto, K., Fukuhara, S.: Classification of T²-bundles over T². Tokyo J. Math. 6, 311–327 (1983)
- 52. Scott, P.: The geometries of 3-manifolds. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 15, 401-487 (1983)
- Siffert, A.: A new structural approach to isoparametric hypersurfaces in spheres. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 52, 425–456 (2017)
- 54. Tondeur, P.: Geometry of foliations. Monographs in Mathematics, vol. 90. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (1997)
- Ue, M.: Geometric 4-manifolds in the sense of Thurston and Seifert 4-manifolds. I. J. Math. Soc. Jpn. 42, 511–540 (1990)
- Yeroshkin, D.: Riemannian orbifolds with non-negative curvature, PhD thesis, University of Pennsylvania (2014)
- Zassenhaus, H.: über einen Algorithmus zur Bestimmung der Raumgruppen. Comment. Math. Helv. 21, 117–141 (1948)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.