The oldest dairoidid crab (Decapoda, Brachyura, Parthenopoidea) from the Eocene of Spain

by FERNANDO A. FERRATGES^{1,*} , JAVIER LUQUE^{2,3,4}, JOSÉ LUIS DOMÍNGUEZ⁵, ÀLEX OSSÓ⁶ (b), MARCOS AURELL¹ (b) and SAMUEL ZAMORA^{7,*}

¹Departamento de Ciencias de la Tierra-IUCA, Universidad de Zaragoza, E-50009 Zaragoza, Spain; fer.afk87@gmail.com

²Museum of Zoology, Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge CB2 3EJ, UK

⁴Department of Biological Sciences, Florida International University-Biscayne Bay Campus, North Miami, FL 33181, USA

⁵Padre Manjón, 12, E-50010 Zaragoza, Spain

⁶Llorenc de Vilallonga, 17B, 1r 1^a, E-43007 Tarragona, Catalonia, Spain

⁷Instituto Geológico y Minero de España (IGME-CSIC), E-50006 Zaragoza, Spain; s.zamora@igme.es

*Corresponding author

Typescript received 26 September 2022; accepted in revised form 8 March 2023

Abstract: Eubrachyurans, or 'higher' true crabs, are the most speciose group of decapod crustaceans and have a rich fossil record extending into the Early Cretaceous. However, most extant families are first found in the fossil record in the Palaeogene, and particularly in the Eocene. Unfortunately, fossils of many early eubrachyuran groups are often fragmentary, and only a few studies have combined extinct and extant taxa in a phylogenetic context using different optimality criteria. Here, we report the dairoidid crab Phrynolambrus sagittalis sp. nov., an enigmatic eubrachyuran from the upper Eocene of Huesca (northern Spain), whose completeness and exquisite preservation permit examination of its anatomy in a phylogenetic context. Dairoidids have previously been considered among the oldest stone crabs (Eriphioidea) or elbow crabs (Parthenopoidea), two disparate and distantly related groups of true crabs living today. Mechanical preparation and computed tomography of the

fossil material revealed several diagnostic features that allow a detailed comparison with families across the crab tree of life, and test hypotheses about its phylogenetic affinities. Phrynolambrus sagittalis is the first record of the genus in the Iberian Peninsula, and represents one of the oldest crown parthenopoidean crabs worldwide, expanding our knowledge of the biogeographical distribution of elbow crabs during the Palaeogene, as well as their early origins, anatomical diversity and systematic affinities. Understanding the disparity of Eocene eubrachyurans is pivotal to disentangling the systematic relationships among crown families, and interpreting the spatio-temporal patterns leading to the evolution of modern faunas.

Key words: eubrachyuran, fossil crab, phylogeny, Priabonian, Pyrenees, Iberian Peninsula.

THE origin of modern families of 'higher' true crabs (Eubrachyura) and how they relate to other extant and extinct clades is a matter of ongoing discussion (Luque et al. 2021). Phylogenetic analyses based on molecular and morphological data offer a good opportunity to quantitatively investigate the relationships among crab groups beyond alpha taxonomy-based inferences of relatedness (e.g. Ahyong et al. 2007; Tsang et al. 2008, 2014, 2022; Karasawa et al. 2011, 2014; Bracken-Grissom et al. 2013; Spiridonov et al. 2014; Schweitzer et al. 2016; Wolfe et al. 2019, 2022; Ma et al. 2019; Luque et al. 2019, 2021; among others). However, reliable well-preserved fossils of

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License,

which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

early crown groups are crucial to accurately calibrate molecular trees, and to reduce the uncertainty of anatomical data recorded for morphological phylogenies. Moreover, to date, there are few works that combine morphological data of fossil and extant eubrachyuran taxa in a phylogenetic context using one or more optimality criteria (e.g. Karasawa et al. 2008; Luque et al. 2019, 2021).

Here, we describe Phrynolambrus sagittalis, a new species of enigmatic parthenopid or elbow crabs found in the upper Eocene (Priabonian) Pamplona Formation of Huesca (southern Pyrenees), Northern Spain (Fig. 1),

³Museum of Comparative Zoology & Department of Organismic & Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, 26 Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

and discuss: (1) its phylogenetic affinities with other eubrachyurans; and (2) its importance for understanding the early evolution of one of the most iconic groups of crabs. Mechanical preparation and computed tomography (CT) of the new fossil material revealed anatomical features well-preserved and in-volume, such as dorsal carapaces and its regions, the orbits and rostrum, the epistome and pterygostome, cuticular ornamentation, sternum, pleon, and the ventral carapace and the chelipeds. These and other characters are crucial for taxonomic and phylogenetic studies, especially given that most fossil elbow crabs are known only from isolated carapaces, and rarely from ventral carapaces or even legs and chelipeds associated to the body. In addition, based on a review of previous occurrences of Phrynolambrus and other parthenopoids in the fossil record, we discuss aspects of its palaeoecology as well, for extinct and extant relatives.

Modern representatives of the superfamily Parthenopoidea MacLeay, 1838, have received significant systematic attention in recent decades, and there is a good understanding of the morphology and ecology of the group (i.e. Tan 2004; Tan & Ng 2007a, 2007b). However, to date, there are no detailed studies exploring the phylogenetic relationships between the members of Parthenopoidea (Tan & Ng 2007a; Ferratges et al. 2019), especially considering extinct taxa, despite their anatomical character richness (Schweitzer et al. 2006). The oldest crown Parthenopoidea known to date are all Eocene in age (Ferratges et al. 2019 and references therein), and show that elbow crabs were relatively diverse early on (Ferratges et al. 2019; Schweitzer et al. 2020a). However, fossil material assigned to this group of odd-looking crabs usually consists of only carapaces (see exceptions in Ferratges et al. 2019 and references therein), hampering the interpretation of its origin and evolution.

The new species occurs in a diverse assemblage of decapod crustaceans (Table 1) recorded in siliciclastic sediments derived from a pro-delta environment. The outcrop providing the fossil material focus of this study has yielded specimens belonging to at least 18 superfamilies of decapods, some of the taxa of which have been previously studied by various authors (Artal et al. 2013; Ossó et al. 2014; Ossó & Domínguez 2017; Ossó et al. 2020). Although eubrachyurans have a rich fossil record extending back into the Early Cretaceous, it is during the Eocene that the oldest representatives of most extant families are first known (e.g. Luque et al. 2017). For this reason, understanding the anatomical diversity or disparity of Eocene brachyurans and how they relate to other extinct and extant groups is pivotal to the investigation of spatio-temporal patterns leading to the evolution of the modern groups seen today.

GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The decapod specimens here studied come from the marlydominated succession of the Pamplona Formation (Puigdefábregas 1975), which forms part of the sedimentary infill of the Jaca Basin, located in the central part of the South Pyrenean zone (Fig. 1). Specifically, this material was collected along the road N-260 located 400 m east-southeast of the village of Fanlillo, in the municipality of Yebra de Basa, Huesca province, Aragon, Spain. Data on the biostratigraphy (Canudo & Molina 1988; Canudo *et al.* 1988) and magnetostratigraphy (Hogan 1993) indicate a Bartonian– Priabonian age for the Pamplona Formation, and the calibration of Pueyo *et al.* (2002), established the lower and upper limits of this formation from 40.32 to 37.17 Ma, which corresponds to a Bartonian or early Priabonian age.

This formation consists of a thick grey and blue marl succession deposited in a distal offshore environment. The succession grades upwards into sandstone delta-mouth bars with abundant vegetal remains in the uppermost levels. According to Montes-Santiago (2009), the distribution of fauna in the stratigraphic log of the Pamplona Formation, with bryozoans, nummulitid foraminifera, bivalves, siliceous sponges and decapod crustacean remains in the lower levels, and corals, algae, gastropods and remains of decapod crustaceans and fishes in the upper ones (outcrop levels), indicates a progressive upwards decrease of the bathymetry, with sedimentation probably within the euphotic zone, above storm wave base.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

Material and preparation

Ten studied specimens were collected from the bioclastic interval found in the upper part of the Pamplona Formation exposed near the village of Fanlillo. Measurements of carapace length–carapace width are given in millimetres (mm). Specimens are deposited in the palaeontological collection of the Museo de Ciencias Naturales de la Universidad de Zaragoza under the acronym MPZ. The location of the outcrop is shown in Figure 1.

Specimens were prepared using a needle under ×40 binocular magnification, and in some cases (specimens MPZ2022/204, MPZ2022/763), chemically, using potassium hydroxide (KOH). The specimens were then photographed dry and coated with ammonium chloride sublimate. Detailed photography of the carapace surfaces was carried out using a Nikon d7100 camera with a macro 60 mm lens. One specimen (holotype, MPZ2022/761) preserving a complete carapace and chelipeds was selected to be scanned in the Micro-Computed



FIG. 1. A, simplified geological map of the Jaca Basin in the South Central Pyrenean zone (modified from Coll *et al.* 2022). B, detail of the studied area; the red star near Fanlillo shows the location of the studied outcrop.

Tomography Laboratory using a model V|Tome|X s 240 from GE Sensing & Inspections Technologies Phoenix X-Ray, at the Centro Nacional de Investigación sobre la Evolución Humana (CENIEH, Burgos, Spain). The specimen matrix was reduced in order to increase the contrast between rock and fossil and later scanned. As a result, we obtained 1680 sections of the fossil specimen. To process the data, we used the image reconstruction software SPIERSedit 3.1.0.

Phylogenetic analysis

The dataset, containing 46 taxa from 13 brachyuran families (5 taxa as outgroups, 41 taxa as ingroup), and 113 adult morphological characters, was constructed in Mesquite v2.75 (Maddison & Maddison 2009) (Appendix S1; Ferratges *et al.* 2023), and modified from the datasets in Luque *et al.* (2019, 2021). Although most of the characters used to distinguish modern parthenopoids are not

Infraorder		Superfamily	Family	Subfamily	Taxon
Axiidea		Callianassoidea Dana, 1852	Callianassidae Dana, 1852		?Vecticallichirus sp.
Achelata			Scyllaridae Latreille, 1825	Scyllarinae Latreille, 1825	Scyllarinae indet.
Anomura		Paguroidea Latreille, 1802	Calcinidae Fraaije, Van Bakel & Jagt, 2017		Eocalcinus sp.
Brachvarra	Dodotreme	Ovelodorinnoidea Ortmann 1897	Amunumogemage riaaije, 2014		Tugurbues sp. 2Tumolue en
nintimita	crabs	Contraction of the second of t			Xeinostoma sp.
		Dromioidea De Haan, 1833	Dromiidae De Haan, 1833	Dromünae De Haan, 1833	Basadromia longifrons Artal et al., 2016
				E	Droma sp.
				Sphaerodrominae Gunot & Tavares, 2003	Dromitites sp.
					Dynomene counsi Ossó et al., 2020
		Homoloidea De Haan, 1839	Homolidae De Haan, 1839		?Homola sp.
		Raninoidea De Haan, 1839	Raninidae De Haan, 1839	Rogueinae Karasawa, et al., 2014	?Pseudorogueus sp.
				Raninoidinae Lörenthey in Lörenthev & Beurlen, 1929	Raninoides sp.
	Eubrachyura	Aethroidea Dana, 1851			Hepatiscus poverelli Via, 1959
	TECEDORICE	Calamnoidea H Milne Edwards, 1837			Calantilia ef scotuli
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Calappilia dacica Bittner, 1893
					Tavernolesia calasanctii (Via, 1959)
		Carpilloidea Ortmann, 1893 Chasmocarcinidae Serène 1964	Carpiludae Ortmann, 1893		carplud indet. Chasmocarcinus of auerini (Via 1959)
		Dorippoidea MacLeav, 1838	Dorippidae MacLeav, 1838		Dorippiid indet.
			Ethusidae Guinot, 1977		Ethusa sp.
		Goneplacoidea MacLeay, 1838	?Euryplacidae		?Goneplacoid indet.
			Magyarcarcinidae Domínguez & Ossó, 2016		Magyarcarcinus yebraensis Domínguez & Ossó 2016
			Vultocinidae Ng & Manuel-Santos, 2007		Pyreneplax basaensis Ossó.
			for forming manner of the manner of the		Domínguez & Artal. 2014
		Hexapodoidea Miers, 1886			Hexapodid indet.
		Majoidea Samouelle, 1819	Epialtidae MacLeay, 1838	Pisinae Dana, 1851	?Paranaxia sp.
					Planobranchia sp.
			Macrocheiridae Dana, 1851		?Macrocheira sp.
			Majidae Samouelle, 1819		?Cromimaia sp.
				?Micromaiinae Beurlen, 1930	Micromaia priabonensis
					(Oppenheim, 1901)
					Spinirostrimata ctr. margaritata (Fahiani: 1910)
		Montezumelloidea Ossó & Domínguez, 2019	Montezumellidae Ossó & Domínguez, 2013		Montezumella sp.
		Palicoidea Bouvier, 1898	Palicidae Bouvier, 1898		Eopalicus squamosus Beschin et al., 1996
		Parthenopoidea MacLeay, 1838	Parthenopidea MacLeay, 1838.	Dairoidinae Števčić, 2005	Phrynolambrus sagittalis sp. nov.
		Pilumnoidea Samouelle, 1819	Pilumnidae Samouelle, 1819		Eopilumnus checchii Beschin et al., 2002
		Portunoidea Rafinesque, 1815	Portunidae Rafinesque, 1815	Portuninae Rafinesque, 1815	Portunus catalaunicus (Via, 1941)
			Carcinidae MacLeay, 1838	Polybimae Paul'son, 1875	Carcinidae indet.
		Retroplumoidea Gill, 1894	Retroplumidae Gill, 1894		Retrocypoda almelai Via, 1959
					serropuma eocenica Via, 1939 Serrahlopluma diminuta Artal et al. 2013
		Xanthoidea MacLeay, 1838	Panopeidae Ortmann, 1893		Scultoplax oscensis (Ossó &
					Domínguez, 2017)
					balcacarcinus sp.
	Thoracotremes	Gransoidea MacLeav 1838	Aanthidae MacLeay, 1838 Gransidae Marfeay, 1838	Actaelhae Alcock, 1898	Actaettes sp. Daraarahsus trishinasus
		or above tracted is a contracted in the contract	ord successive successive and		Müller & Collins, 1991
		?Pinnotheroidea De Haan, 1833	?Pinnotheridae De Haan, 1833		?Pinnotheridae indet.

TABLE 1. Diversity of decapod crustaceans in the studied outcrop of the Pamplona Formation (Priabonian).

preserved in the fossil record (i.e. antennal articles, ambulatory legs), Tan & Ng (2007a, 2007b) listed useful morphological characters that can be recognized in fossil species, including in *Phrynolambrus sagittalis* (e.g. carapace shape, carapace ornamentation, shape and distribution of the dorsal regions, shape of the rostrum and orbits, and cross-section shape and ornamentation of the chelipeds). Undetermined and not preserved characters were scored as '?' and inapplicable characters were scored as '-'. Multiple character states present in a given terminal were scored as polymorphisms.

The final dataset was analysed under Bayesian inference, as implemented in MrBayes v.3.2.6. The dataset was analysed under the traditional Mk model with an ascertainment bias correction to account for scoring only variable morphological characters. Each analysis was performed with two independent runs of 3×10^7 generations each. We used the default settings of four chains (one cold and three heated) per each independent run. The relative burn-in fraction was set to 25% and the chains were sampled every 200 generations. We used Tracer v.1.6 to determine whether the runs reached stationary phase, and to ensure that the effective sample size for each parameter was greater than 200. Results of the Bayesian runs are summarized as a majority-rule consensus tree of the post-burn-in sample with a node support threshold of 75%.

SYSTEMATIC PALAEONTOLOGY

Terminology used herein follows Tan & Ng (2007b) and is summarized in Figure 2.

Infraorder BRACHYURA Latreille, 1802 Section EUBRACHYURA de Saint Laurent, 1980 Superfamily PARTHENOPOIDEA MacLeay, 1838 Family PARTHENOPIDAE MacLeay, 1838 Subfamily DAIROIDINAE Števčić, 2005

Type genus. Dairoides Stebbing, 1920.

Included genera. Aragolambrus Ferratges et al., 2019; Dairoides; Phrynolambrus Bittner, 1893.

Emended diagnosis. Cephalothorax subhexagonal to triangular, anteriorly narrowing. Dorsal surface covered with mushroom-like perforate vesicular tubercles, with deep grooves not completely covered by mushroom-like tubercles. Front narrow, triangular, deflexed. Tridentate inter-antennular spine. Orbital regions domed, separated by deep interorbital depression. Orbits small, deep, rounded. Antennular and orbital cavities connected. Epistome covered with mushroom-like tubercles. Pterygostomial

region with deep grooves. Basal antennal segment mobile, short, not touching front, peduncle slender lying loosely in orbital hiatus. Junction between anterolateral and posterolateral margins produced and armed with epibranchial spine (lateral angle). Chelipeds markedly heterochelous, not pressed tightly against anterolateral margin. Endostomial ridge complete. Anterior margin of merus of third maxilliped straight. Sternal shield entering between third maxillipeds. Second gonopod very slender, longer than first, flagellum longer than basal segment, curved. Abdominal segments nearly freely articulated, segments 3–4 immovable, telson rounded in both sexes. (Modified after Števčić 2005)

Genus PHRYNOLAMBRUS Bittner, 1893

Type species. Phrynolambrus corallinus Bittner, 1893, by original designation.

Included species. Phrynolambrus corallinus; P. italicus De Angeli & Beschin, 2008; P. sagittalis.

Emended diagnosis. Carapace rhomboid (triangular?) anteriorly narrowing, rostrum short, projected; hepatic region convex laterally, remainder of anterolateral margin slightly convex, then extending into stout, triangular lateral spine; posterolateral margin convex; strong epibranchial spine, oriented obliquely posteriorly; posterior margin straight; carapace region broadly inflated and ornamented with mushroom-shaped tubercles. (Modified after Schweitzer *et al.* 2020a)

Remarks. The extinct genus *Phrynolambrus*, previously included within the family Parthenopidae MacLeay, 1838, shares with species of the extant genus *Dairoides* (i.e. *D. kusei* (Sakai, 1938), *D. margaritatus* Stebbing, 1920 and *D. seafdeci* Takeda & Ananpongsuk, 1991) a similar general morphology, with a triangular frontal margin, a spinose lateral angle, a similar position of the spines and dorsal regions, the distribution of the grooves (dorsal and ventral), the morphology and structure of the cuticle, and the morphology of the chelipeds.

Phrynolambrus sagittalis sp. nov. Figures 3–5

LSID. https://zoobank.org/NomenclaturalActs/62A52EB8-6BA7-4454-95EA-25B3FDC4255E

Derivation of name. From the Latin sagitta, in reference to its arrowhead carapace shape.

Type material. The holotype, MPZ 2022/761, is a complete carapace (length and width, 15.1 mm and 12.2 mm, respectively) and chelipeds, with well-preserved cuticle. Additional specimens include the paratypes MPZ 2022/203, 2022/204, 2022/762, 2022/763, 2022/764, 2022/765, 2022/766, 2022/767 and 2022/768.

Diagnosis. Carapace triangular, wider than long; projected frontal margin; orbits inflated; anterolateral margins almost straight, with small conical spine; posterolateral margin converging posteriorly, with strong spine and marked concavity behind epibranchial spine; armed epibranchial spine, oriented obliquely posteriorly; straight posterior margin; depressed postbranchial region; carapace surface densely tuberculated by mushroomshaped tubercles.

Description. Cephalothorax triangular in outline, anteriorly narrowing (Fig. 3). The dorsal regions are well defined and strongly convex. Dorsal surface is almost completely covered with mushroom-shaped tubercles that abut around the edges leaving pores leading into subsurface canals (Fig. 3B), except in the interorbital depression, in which a 'window' is formed, and in the grooves that separate the gastric and cardiac regions from the branchial regions, and from the intestinal of the cardiac region. Front narrow, triangular. Below the frontal margin, between the antennular pits, there is a forward-facing oriented spine (inter-antennular spine). Orbits small, deep and rounded, laterally directed, bordered by a row of tubers. Ocular peduncle short, ornate. Antennular and orbital cavities in communication. Hepatic margin distinct, not continuous with epibranchial region, with one prominent spine covered with mushroomshaped tubercles. The anterolateral margins are strongly diverging and longer than the posterolateral ones, with hepato-orbital and hepatobranchial notches; on each lateral angle there is a large projecting epibranchial expansion (epibranchial spines), directed slightly backwards. In the distal part they present four spines: three oriented in a lateral plane and a fourth spine oriented upwards. The posterior margin is broad and concave; it occupies about half the carapace greatest width.

The regions are defined by wide grooves, but on the dorsal surface they are masked by the tubercles; they are much more evident seen from the interior view (Fig. 4). The small epigastric lobes are very apparent: they are inflated at the base of the front; the protogastric regions are large, vaulted. The mesogastric region is flattened. The urogastric region is short, arched, anterior portion undifferentiated, with the lateral and posterior margins bounded by deep grooves. The cardiac region is large,



FIG. 2. Anatomical comparison of the extinct genus *Phrynolambrus* (left) and the extant genus *Dairoides* (right), and terminology used in the text. Shaded areas represent depressed areas not covered by mushroom-shaped tubercles. *Abbreviations*: C, cardiac region; ci, cardiointestinal groove; EB, epibranchial region; Ep, epistome; gb, gastrobranchial groove; H, hepatic region; I, intestinal region; id, inter-orbital depression; MSG, mesogastric region; O, orbital region; P, pterygostomial region; PB, postbranchial region (meso- and metabranchial regions); PG, protogastric region; UG, urogastric region.

subrectangular, widening posteriorly, strongly convex, swollen, and prominent. The hepatic region is narrow, triangular, depressed, slightly lower than epibranchial and gastric regions. The epibranchial regions are very large; dorsally they have a conical central part. Laterally, approximately in the first third of the epibranchial region there is a pointed conical tubercle that stands out among the others (anterolateral spine). Towards the posterior part of the epibranchial region is the lateral expansion with four spines, equivalent to the lateral angle that has Daldorfia (see Tan & Ng 2007b). Postbranchial regions formed by meso- and metabranchial regions, undifferentiated, laterally surround the cardiac region, and are smaller than epibranchial region, depressed and have a subtriangular shape. Intestinal region subrectangular, wide and separated from cardiac region by a deep cardiointestinal groove. Subhepatic region bordered by a subhepatic groove that separates the hepatic spine from the inferior border. Sub-epibranchial region narrow, covered with tubercles in shape of 'petaloid' mushrooms, with very marked epibranchial ridge. Epimeral line (or moult line) bordered by numerous small mushroom-shaped tubercles in the upper margin, and by a smooth groove in the pterygostomial region (Fig. 3D). Pterygostomial region ornamented with two rows of small mushroom-shaped tubercles that converge both anteriorly and posteriorly, forming the pterygostomial and subepibranchial ridges and the pterygostomial groove.

The chelipeds are markedly heterochelous (Figs 4, 5); major cheliped (right) robust. Carpus slightly higher than long; surface equipped with various complex long spines, with a narrowing at the base. Manus longer than high; outer margin with five spines (Fig. 4); lower margin slightly concave at base of fixed finger, with seven small aligned spines (Fig. 4); inner margin not well developed, with four tubercles (Fig. 4); upper, inner surface with spaced tubercles (Fig. 4); row of four long spines on outer side aligned in central part plus several spines irregularly distributed over surface (Fig. 4). Fixed finger short, slightly oblique downward, triangular, tip pointing slightly upward (Fig. 4). Dactylus strong, curved. Lateral surface with a small ridge; occlusal margin with molariform teeth, becoming smaller distally. Left cheliped slender (Fig. 4). Carpus slightly higher than long; surface armed with various long spines. Manus longer than high, slightly divergent distally; outer margin with four triangular spines, widely spaced; lower margin slightly concave at base of fixed finger, with eight small aligned spines; inner margin not well developed, with four tubercles; upper, inner surface with spaced conic tubercles. Fixed finger shorter than palm, threefold longer than high, oblique downward, with a longitudinal groove on the mesial part; dactylus curved, with longitudinal groove in upper mesial part; occlusal margin with small teeth, becoming smaller distally.

Occurrence. The genus *Phrynolambrus* is known only from the upper Eocene of Italy, Hungary and Romania (Bittner 1893; Lörenthey & Beurlen 1929; Beschin *et al.* 2009) and the Oligocene of Italy (De Angeli & Beschin 2008). In this work we expand its distribution to the upper Eocene of the Iberian Peninsula.

Remarks. Phrynolambrus sagittalis can be differentiated from congeners on the basis of: (1) its projected and triangular front, with a deep and narrow interorbital depression (Figs 2, 3A, C, F,

4A–C, 5A); (2) a large hepatic spine and one conical spine in the anterolateral margin (Figs 2, 3A, C, 4A–F, 5); (3), the robust epibranchial spines that are posteriorly oriented (Figs 2, 3A, C–F, 4A–F, P, 5); and (4) a well-developed postbranchial spine on the posterolateral margin oriented obliquely backwards (Figs 2, 3A, C, D, F, 4A, C, E, F, 5). *Phrynolambrus sagittalis* is morphologically close to *P. corallinus*, the type species of the genus. Both have a triangular outline, a carapace surface covered with mushroom-shaped tubercles, and similarly distributed dorsal regions. However, the latter is distinguished by having a wider interorbital depression, more convex anterolateral margins, epibranchial spines oriented laterally instead of posteriorly, and apparently lacking anterolateral and postbranchial spines.

The species Phrynolambrus corallinus was named by Bittner (1893) based on three specimens from the upper Eocene of Romania. However, the poor state of conservation of specimens of the type material resulted in both the original description and the reconstruction drawing not corresponding faithfully to the true morphology of Phrynolambrus. Subsequently, other authors (Lörenthey 1898; Lörenthey & Beurlen 1929; De Angeli & Beschin 2008; Beschin et al. 2009) carefully analysed other carapaces from Italy and Hungary and corrected some of the inaccuracies of the original description. Almost all of the previous studies on the genus Phrynolambrus are based on carapaces that have lost the external part of the cuticle (Beschin et al. 2007, 2009; De Angeli & Beschin 2008), and consequently do not preserve the structure of the tubercles and the actual external appearance. This is important to mention because the presence of cuticle is key for the appearance of crabs (Waugh 2013; Klompmaker et al. 2015).

The new species is also close to P. *italicus*, but that species differs in having a wider and more prominent frontal margin and a wider interorbital depression. In addition, the gastrobranchial and cardiointestinal grooves of P. *italicus* are wider than in the new species. The dorsal granulation in P. *italicus* is also dense, but with smaller tubercles (preserved only as an internal mould in P. *italicus*). The poor state of conservation of P. *italicus* does not enable further comparisons.

The species 'Parthenope' (Pseudolambrus) pentagonus Quayle & Collins, 1981, is considered as a species of Phrynolambrus in Schweitzer et al. (2010). However, it: (1) does not show the characteristic structures of this genus (i.e. very marked interorbital depressions and dorsal grooves); (2) has a much more circular outline of the carapace than other species of Phrynolambrus; and (3) has a lateral angle barely marked. For all of these reasons we are excluding 'Parthenope' (Pseudolambrus) pentagonus from the genus Phrynolambrus, and hence from our phylogenetic analysis. The genus placement of this species is beyond the scope of this study.

PHYLOGENETIC POSITION OF PHRYNOLAMBRUS AND ITS RELATIONSHIP WITH DAIROIDES

The genus Dairoides: Eriphioidea or Parthenopoidea affinities?

The systematic position of *Dairoides* (the sole extant genus of the subfamily Dairoidinae) has been a matter of



FIG. 3. Paratypes of Phrynolambrus sagittalis sp. nov. from the upper Eocene (Pamplona Fm) of Huesca, Spain. A-B, paratype MPZ 2022/763 in dorsal view; B, detail of the cuticle with mushroom-shaped tubercles. C, MPZ 2022/203. D-F, paratype MPZ 2022/204 in: D, ventral; E, frontal; F, dorsal views. G, isolated sternum (MPZ 2022/767) assigned to the new species due to similarities with the modern Dairoides and other parthenopoids. Abbreviations: a.s., anterolateral spine; e.l., epimeral line; ep.s., epibranchial spine (equivalent to lateral angle); h.n., hepatobranchial notch; h-o.n., hepato-orbital notch; h.s., hepatic spine; i.d., interorbital depression; i.s., interantennular spine; o, orbit; p.b., postbranchial spine; p.g., pterygostomial groove; p.r., pterygostomial ridge; p.t., protogastric tubercles; s.g., subhepatic groove; se.r., subepibranchial region. All scale bars represent 5 mm, except G (= 2 mm).

discussion in the past due to its peculiar claw and body form and its cuticular ornamentation, with some authors considering it closer to either the 'stone crab' superfamily Eriphioidea MacLeay, 1838, or to the 'elbow crab' superfamily Parthenopoidea (e.g. Sakai 1976; Ng *et al.* 2001, 2008; De Grave *et al.* 2009; Davie *et al.* 2015; Jagt *et al.* 2015; Schweitzer *et al.* 2020b). Moreover, a number of authors have previously discussed the potential relationships between *Dairoides* and other genera, mainly *Daira* and *Dacryopilumnus* (Guinot 1967; Sakai 1976; Ng & Tan 1984, 1985; Serène 1984; Dai & Yang 1991; Ng *et al.* 2001; Števčić 2005; Ng *et al.* 2008; Lai *et al.* 2014).

Guinot (1967, 1979) noted that *Dairoides* seemed to have more in common with parthenopids than with *Daira*, and suggested its possible inclusion in the family Parthenopidae. Subsequently, Ng & Rodríguez (1986) described the family Dairidae to accommodate *Daira* and *Dairoides*, and included it in the superfamily Parthenopoidea. Števčić (2005) established the family Dairoididae to accommodate *Dairoides*, and placed both families Dairidae and Dairoididae in the superfamily Dairoidea. Later, Ng *et al.* (2008) placed 'Dairoididae' (with only the genus *Dairoides*) within Eriphioidea, and considered the similarities between *Dairoides* and many parthenopid genera as convergence. More recently, Lai *et al.* (2014) suggested that there may be a phylogenetic basis for the relationship between 'Dairoididae' and Parthenopidae, given that *Dairoides* is a moderately well-supported sister group of *Daldorfia*, while Wolfe *et al.* (2022) recovered *Dairoides kusei* as sister to Parthenopidae.

In our phylogenetic analysis, based on adult morphological characters, the three species of *Dairoides* (i.e. *D. kusei*, *D. seafdeci* and *D. margaritatus*) are recovered as forming a well-supported clade (crown Dairoidinae) that is sister to a clade formed by species of the genus *Daldorfia* (crown Daldorfiinae), and both Dairoidinae and Daldorfiinae as forming a well-supported clade that is sister to the remainder of the parthenopid genera (Fig. 6). Our results support the conclusion of Lai *et al.* (2014) that *Dairoides* is a sister group to *Daldorfia.* Taxa in the Dairoidinae + Daldorfiinae clade share the possession of a cervical or branchiocardiac groove that reaches the ventral carapace (char. 26-0), the presence of bulges on the protogastric region that are larger than those on the mesogastric region (char. 31-1), the presence of an inter-



10 PAPERS IN PALAEONTOLOGY

FIG. 4. CT scan of the holotype of *Phrynolambrus sagittalis* sp. nov. (MPZ 2022/761). A–E, carapace in: A, dorsal; B, frontal; C, ventral; D, oblique ventral; E, lateral view; anatomical parts that hinder the view of the cephalothorax have been digitally extracted. F, ventral view with ventral unremoved remains. G–H, epistome in outer and inner view, respectively. I–J, coxa–ischium, of both chelipeds. K, isolated ischium. L–M, right cheliped: L, outer; M, inner surface. N–O, left cheliped: N, inner; O, outer surface. P, oblique anteroventral view, showing the orbit (or.) and the position of the ocular peduncle (o.p.). Q, detail of the ocular peduncle. The holes of the carapace, coxa, ischium and ocular peduncle correspond to mushroom-shaped tubercles, with less contrast. *Abbreviations*: a.s., anterolateral spine; c-i.g., cardiointestinal groove; en., endostome; ep., epistome; ep.s., epibranchial spine (equivalent to lateral angle); g-b.g., gastrobranchial groove; h.n., hepatobranchial notch; h-o.n., hepato-orbital notch; h.s., hepatic spine; i.d., interorbital depression; in.m., inner margin; i.s., inter-antennular spine; i.su., inner surface; L.m., lower margin; o.m., outer margin; o.p., ocular peduncle; or., orbit; p.b., postbranchial spine; p.t., protogastric tubercles; s.g., subhepatic groove; u.su., upper surface. See Appendix S2 for a video of the full model.



FIG. 5. 3D artist's reconstruction of *Phrynolambrus sagittalis* sp. nov. based on the type material and the CT scan in: A, dorsal; B, ventral; C, frontal; D, posterior; E–F, lateral view. The ambulatory legs and colour pattern are based on the modern genus *Dairoides*. Drawings by Hugo Salais (Metazoa Studio).

antennular spine (char. 41-1), and a cheliped palm that is rhomboidal in transversal section rather than triangular (char. 97-0). In our study, the pointed and triangular front (chars 2, 4), and the presence of an epibranchial region that is larger than the meso- and metabranchial regions (char. 28-1), unite a well-supported monophyletic family, Parthenopidae.

Phrynolambrus, Dairoidinae and the origins of Parthenopoidea

Our re-evaluation of the anatomy and phylogenetic relationships the extinct genus *Phrynolambrus* suggests a close relationship with the also extinct genus *Aragolambrus*, and together with the genus *Dairoides* they form the monophyletic subfamily Dairoidinae (Fig. 6). *Phrynolambrus* shares with *Dairoides* some diagnostic features, such as: (1) a dorsal surface covered with fused mushroom-like perforate vesicular tubercles, with deep grooves (interorbital, gastrobranchial and cardiointestinal grooves, in dorsal view); (2) a narrow and deflexed front; (3) small, deep, and rounded orbits; (4) the antennular and orbital cavities in communication; (5) strong chelipeds that are not pressed tightly against the anterolateral margin; and (6) the junction between the anterolateral and posterolateral margins (lateral angle) armed with spines (Števčić, 2001, 2005). The presence of two to three anterolateral spines (char. 14), an ornamented epistomia with one or more rows of tubercles (char. 46), and the pterygostome with grooves (char. 48-1) are synapomorphies

that unite *Phrynolambrus*, *Aragolambrus* and *Dairoides* under the subfamily Dairoidinae (Fig. 6).

Not only does the new material from Spain show the morphology of the dorsal carapace but it also provides information on the ventral view of the carapace and the chelipeds. The ventral morphology provides critical information on: (1) the characteristic ornamentation and distribution of tubercles in the pterygostomial region that give rise to grooves and ridges (these characteristic grooves are not observable in any other brachyuran representative with the exception of *Dairoides* and *Aragolambrus*); (2) the shape and delimitation of the hepatic spine in the lower margin with a groove; and (3) the cuticle with its mushroom-shaped petaloid tubercles. In addition, the presence of the semi-articulated chelipeds in one



FIG. 6. Bayesian majority-rule consensus topology of the post-burn-in sample of trees for selected fossil and extant brachyuran families, including the superfamily Parthenopoidea and *Phrynolambrus sagittalis* sp. nov. Posterior probability support values \geq 75% indicated on branches. Terminal taxa indicated by an asterisk (*); dotted branch lines are extinct.



FIG. 7. Reconstruction of *Phrynolambrus sagittalis* in the lower Priabonian (upper Eocene) benthos of the Pamplona Formation (Huesca province, Spain), on a hypothesized muddy bottom and seagrass meadow. Parts not preserved in the fossil are interpreted by comparison with the closest modern representative. Reconstruction by Hugo Salais (Metazoa Studio).

specimen (MPZ 2022/761) permits observation of their relative size in comparison to the cephalothorax, the type of ornamentation, and the distribution and number of spines that comprise it. All of these conservative characters have not been mentioned in previous works.

Phrynolambrus was originally included in the family Parthenopidae based on dorsal characters that resemble other parthenopids (Bittner 1893), and retained in the same family by subsequent authors (e.g. Lörenthey & Beurlen 1929; Roger 1954; Glaessner 1969; Via 1969; De Angeli & Beschin 2008; Beschin *et al.* 2009). Due to the peculiar cuticular ornamentation, Guinot (1967, 1978, 1979) proposed the possible relationship between *Phrynolambrus* and the modern genera *Dairoides*, *Daira* De Haan, 1833 and *Actaea* De Haan, 1833. Our results support the phylogenetic proximity between *Phrynolambrus* and *Dairoides*, but not between *Phrynolambrus* and Eriphiidae or Dairidae (Fig. 6).

The recognition of *Phrynolambrus* and *Aragolambrus* as extinct members of Dairoidinae pushes back the origins of the subfamily into the early Eocene, *c*. 48 Ma, and provides a reliable calibration point of the subfamily for molecular phylogenetic studies. This description of *Phrynolambrus sagittalis* is also the second report of Eocene elbow crabs from the Iberian Peninsula, and it is one of the oldest parthenopid crabs discovered to date, increasing our understanding of the anatomical disparity seen among early parthenopoids during the Palaeogene.

ECOLOGICAL IMPLICATIONS

Modern parthenopids are usually found at tropical or subtropical latitudes, in the intertidal to deep water zones, and are usually associated with siliciclastic substrates into which they dig and remain motionless (Tan et al. 1999; Tan & Ng 2007a; Ferratges et al. 2019 and references therein). Eocene parthenopoids are supposedly represented by 20 species in 14 genera, although some of them need to be revised in the future, given that the phylogenetic results indicate that some are far from Parthenopoidea (Fig. 7). In any case, these taxa are mainly known from basins located in the Mediterranean region, associated with different depositional settings. Based on sedimentological data, the Eocene parthenopoids occupied either siliciclastic or carbonate depositional settings, being more abundant in reef environments during the early Eocene (Ferratges et al. 2019 and references therein). However, based solely on the information available to date, during the middle and late Eocene this trend appears to have reversed, with higher diversities occurring in siliciclastic depositional settings over shallow platforms (see Ferratges et al. 2019).

In contrast, extant dairoidids represent a rare and controversial group (see above), represented by only three species reported from sandy and muddy soft bottoms, front reef and outer reef (at depths of up to 400 m). These taxa are distributed in tropical or subtropical latitudes (i.e. Clarke 1972; Takeda & Ananpongsuk 1991; Padate *et al.* 2020) and, to date, no other representatives are known in the fossil record.

The three species belonging to the extinct genus *Phry-nolambrus* are currently known from reef environments from the upper Eocene of Hungary (Bittner 1893) and Italy (Beschin *et al.* 2007), and the lower Oligocene of Italy (De Angeli & Beschin 2008). The palaeoenvironmental reconstruction of the locality herein studied indicates a relatively low energy sedimentary environment dominated by clay sedimentation, with eventual episodes of high energy. Based upon the sedimentological and

FERRATGES ET AL.: A FOSSIL DAIROIDID CRAB 13

palaeoecological data, the studied outcrop can be related to a relatively shallow environment, which suggests that *P. sagittalis* inhabited soft bottoms in siliciclastic environments with bryozoans, small and isolated spherical coral colonies (*Stylocoenia* sp.), and seagrass meadows (Fig. 7). These probably developed in the euphotic zone, above the storm wave base level, at depths that did not exceeded 20–30 m as represented in Figure 7. All of the above seems to suggest a gradual transition from shallow environments inhabited by the oldest taxa, to deeper platform environments, as is seen today for the genus *Dairoides*.

CONCLUSION

Phrynolambrus sagittalis, from the upper Eocene of Spain, is the oldest record of fossil crabs of the enigmatic subfamily Dairoidinae. The completeness and exquisite preservation of the new fossil material enabled us to examine aspects of the anatomy of *Phrynolambrus* and *Dairoides* (the type and only genus of Dairoidinae previously known) and to place them in a phylogenetic context. We conclude that *Dairoides* is indeed a type of elbow crab, or Parthenopoidea, rather than a type of stone crab, superfamily Eriphioidea, to which it is only superficially similar due to convergence.

The recognition of *Phrynolambrus* as sister group to *Dairoides* pushes back the origins of the subfamily Dairoidinae more than 48 myr into at least the early Eocene and provides a reliable calibration point of the subfamily for molecular phylogenetic studies. This description of the new species is also the second report of Eocene elbow crabs from the Iberian Peninsula, and it is one of the oldest parthenopid crabs discovered to date.

Our results: (1) expand our understanding of the stratigraphic and palaeobiogeographic distribution of Palaeogene elbow crabs; (2) highlight the Eocene as a time of rapid evolution of higher eubrachyuran groups; and (3) shed light on the early origins, anatomical diversity and systematic affinities of ancient Parthenopoidea, one of the most emblematic and anatomically distinctive groups of true crabs. Understanding the anatomical diversity or disparity of Palaeogene eubrachyurans is pivotal to disentangle the systematic relationships of several of the main crown families, and to investigate spatio-temporal patterns leading to the evolution of modern faunas.

Acknowledgements. The present work has been supported by CGL2017-85038-P, funded by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation, the European Regional Development Fund and Project E18 Aragosaurus: Recursos Geológicos y Paleoambientes of the government of Aragón-FEDER. The research of FAF is funded by an FPU Grant (FPU17/03623, of Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation). SZ is funded by a grant AECEX2021 'Severo Ochoa extraordinary grants for excellence IGME-CSIC'. Isabel Pérez provided photographic assistance. JL thanks the National Science Foundation (NSF), DEB grants 1856667 and 1856679 (USA). Hugo Salais (Metazoa Studio) prepared the reconstructions shown in Figures 5 and 7. Belén Notario (CEN-IEH, Burgos) helped with CT scans. Two reviewers, Carrie E. Schweitzer and an anonymous reviewer, greatly improved an earlier version of the manuscript. Technical editor Sally Thomas and Editor Imran Rahman are deeply acknowledged for handling our manuscript.

Author contributions. SZ, FAF and JL designed the study. JLD helped to collect the fossil specimens. FAF prepared the specimens. FAF and SZ processed the CT scans. FAF and JL constructed the dataset and performed the phylogenetic analysis. All authors described and interpreted the specimens. FAF and JL prepared the figures. FAF, SZ and JL, wrote the manuscript with input from JLD, ÀO and MA.

DATA ARCHIVING STATEMENT

This published work, and the nomenclatural act it contains, have been registered with ZooBank (https://zoobank.org/ References/2DE8DFF9-92E1-4AF6-88A7-3114EF46A5D2). The phylogenetic data matrix is available in MorphoBank (http://morphobank.org/permalink/?P4528). CT scan data for the specimen are available in MorphoSource (see Appendix S2 for a list of DOIs).

Editor. Imran Rahman

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information can be found online (https://doi.org/10.1002/spp2.1494):

Appendix S1. List of characters for the phylogenetic analysis.

Appendix S2. List of DOIs for associated MorphoSource records.

REFERENCES

- AHYONG, S. T., LAI, J. C., SHARKEY, D., COLGAN, D. J. and NG, P. K. L. 2007. Phylogenetics of the brachyuran crabs (Crustacea: Decapoda): the status of Podotremata based on small subunit nuclear ribosomal RNA. *Molecular Phylogenetics & Evolution*, **45**, 576–586.
- ALCOCK, A. 1898. Materials for a carcinological fauna of India. No. 3. The Brachyura Cyclometopa. Part I. The family Xanthidae. *Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal*, **67**, 67–233.
- ARTAL, P., VAN BAKEL, B. W. M., FRAAIJE, R. H. B. and JAGT, J. W. M. 2013. New retroplumid crabs (Crustacea, Brachyura, Retroplumidae Gill, 1894) from the Eocene of Huesca (Aragón, Spain). *Zootaxa*, **3652**, 343–352.
- ARTAL, P., VAN BAKEL, B. W. M., DOMÍNGUEZ, J. L. and GÓMEZ, G. 2016. A new dromiid crab (Crustacea: Brachyura: Dromioidea) from the upper Eocene of Huesca (Aragón, northern Spain). *Zootaxa*, **4061**, 438–446.

- BESCHIN, C., BUSULINI, A., DE ANGELI, A. and TES-SIER, G. 1996. Retroplumoidea (Crustacea, Brachyura) nel Terziario del Vicentino (Italia settentrionale). *Lavori – Società Veneziana di Scienze Naturali*, 21, 83–102.
- BESCHIN, C., BUSULINI, A., DE ANGELI, A. and TES-SIER, G. 2002. Aggiornamento ai crostacei di cava "Main" di Arzignano (Vicenza – Italia settentrionale) (Crustacea, Decapoda). Studi e Ricerche – Associazione Amici del Museo – Museo Civico "G. Zannato", Montecchio Maggiore (Vicenza), 2002, 7–28.
- BESCHIN, C., BUSULINI, A. and TESSIER, G. 2007. First report of a new Eocene crustacean fauna from the Veronese Lessini (N Italy). 3rd Symposium on Mesozoic and Cenozoic Decapod Crustaceans, Museo di Storia Naturale di Milano, May 23-25, 2007. Memorie della Società Italiana di Scienze Naturali, Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Milano, 35 (2), 12–14.
- BESCHIN, C., BUSULINI, A. and TESSIER, G. 2009. The decapod crustaceans from the upper Eocene of Parona (Veronese Lessini–NE Italy). Studi e Ricerche – Associazione Amici del Museo – Museo Civico "G. Zannato", Montecchio Maggiore (Vicenza), 16, 5–22.
- BEURLEN, K. 1930. Vergleichende Stammesgeschichte Grundlagen, Methoden, Probleme unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der höheren Krebse. Fortschritte der Geologie und Paläontologie, 8, 317–586.
- BITTNER, A. 1893. Decapoden des pannonischen Tertiärs. Sitzungsberichte der kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften in Wien, 102, 10–37.
- BOUVIER, E. L. 1898. Observations on the crabs of the family Dorippidae. *Journal of Natural History*, 1, 103–105.
- BRACKEN-GRISSOM, H. D., CANNON, M. E., CABE-ZAS, P., FELDMANN, R. M., SCHWEITZER, C. E., AHYONG, S. T., FELDER, D. L., LEMAITRE, R. and CRANDALL, K. A. 2013. A comprehensive and integrative reconstruction of evolutionary history for Anomura (Crustacea: Decapoda). BMC Evolutionary Biology, 13, 1–29.
- CANUDO, J. I. and MOLINA, E. 1988. Biocronología con foraminíferos planctónicos de la secuencia deposicional de Jaca (Pirineo aragonés): Eoceno medio y superior. 273–276. Actas II Congreso Geológico de España.
- CANUDO, J. I., MOLINA, E., RIVELINE, J., SERRA-KIEL, J. and SUCUNZA, M. 1988. Les événements biostratigraphiques de la zone prépyrénéenne d'Aragon (Espagne), de l'Éocène moyen à l'Oligocène inférieur. *Revue de Micropaléontologie*, **31**, 15–29.
- CLARKE, T. A. 1972. Collections and submarine observations of deep benthic fishes and decapod Crustacea in Hawaii. *Pacific Science*, **26**, 310–317.
- COLL, X., ROIGÉ, M., GÓMEZ-GRAS, D., TEIXELL, A., BOYA, S. and MESTRES, N. 2022. Interplay of multiple sediment routing systems revealed by combined sandstone petrography and heavy mineral analysis (HMA) in the South Pyrenean Foreland Basin. *Minerals*, **12** (2), 262.
- DAI, A. Y. and YANG, S. L. 1991. *Crabs of the China Seas*. China Ocean Press/Springer, 682 pp.
- DANA, J. D. 1851. On the classification of the Maioid Crustacea or Oxyrhyncha. *American Journal of Science & Arts*, 11 (33), 121–131.

- DANA, J. D. 1852. Crustacea. Part I. United States Exploring Expedition during the years 1838, 1839, 1840, 1841, 1842, under the Command of Charles Wilkes, U.S.N., 13, 1–27.
- DAVIE, P. J. F., GUINOT, D. and NG, P. K. L. 2015. Systematics and classification of Brachyura. 1049–1130. In CAS-TRO, P., DAVIE, P., GUINOT, D., SCHRAM, F. and VON VAUPEL KLEIN, C. (eds) Treatise on zoology: Anatomy, taxonomy, biology. The Crustacea. Vol. 9C. Brill.
- DE ANGELI, A. and BESCHIN, C. 2008. Crostacei decapodi dell'Oligocene di Soghe e Valmarana (Monti Berici, Vicenza-Italia Settentrionale). *Studi e Ricerche. Associazione Amici del Museo Zannato*, **15**, 5–35.
- DE GRAVE, S., PENTCHEFF, N. D., AHYONG, S. T., CHAN, T. Y., CRANDALL, K. A., DWORSCHAK, P. C., FELDER, D. L., FELDMANN, R. M., FRANSEN, C.
 H. J. M., GOULDING, L. Y. D., LEMAITRE, R., LOW, M. E. Y., MARTIN, J. W., NG, P. K. L., SCHWEITZER, C. E., TAN, S. H., TSHUDY, D. and WETZER, R. 2009. A classification of living and fossil genera of decapoda crustaceans. *The Raffles Bulletin of Zoology (Suppl.)*, 21, 1–109.
- DE HAAN, W. 1833–1850. Crustacea. In VON SIEBOLD, P. F. (ed.) Fauna Japonica sive descriptio animalium, quae in itinere per Japoniam, jussu et auspiciis superiorum, qui summum in India Batava imperium tenent, suscepto, annis 1823-1830 collegit, notis, observationibus et adumbrationibus illustravit, i–xvii, i–xxxi, ix–xvi, 1–243. J. Müller & Co, Lugduni Batavorum [Leiden].
- DE SAINT LAURENT, M. 1980. Sur la classification et la phylogénie des crustacés décapodes brachyoures. I. Podotremata Guinot, 1977, et Eubrachyura sect. nov. Comptes Rendus hebdomadaires des Séances de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris, 290, 1265–1268.
- DOMÍNGUEZ, J. L. and OSSÓ, À. 2016. Magyarcarcinidae new family (Crustacea: Decapoda: Goneplacoidea), and description of *Magyarcarcinus yebraensis* new species, from the Bartonian (Middle Eocene) of the Jaca basin, south-central Pyrenees (Aragón, N Spain). *Treballs del Museu de Geologia de Barcelona*, **22**, 7–18.
- FABIANI, R. 1910. I Crostacei terziari del Vicentino. Illustrazione di alcune specie e catalogo generale delle forme finora segnalate nella provincia. *Bollettino del Museo Civico di Vicenza*, 1, 29–45.
- FERRATGES, F. A., ZAMORA, S. and AURELL, M. 2019. A new genus and species of Parthenopidae MacLeay, 1838 (Decapoda: Brachyura) from the lower Eocene of Spain. *Journal of Crustacean Biology*, **39**, 303–311.
- FERRATGES, F. A., LUQUE, J., DOMÍNGUEZ, J. L., OSSÓ, À., AURELL, M. and ZAMORA, S. 2023. The oldest dairoidid crab (Decapoda: Brachyura: Parthenopoidea) from the Eocene of Spain. Project 4528. MorphoBank. http:// morphobank.org/permalink/?P4528
- FRAAIJE, R. H. B. 2014. Diverse Late Jurassic anomuran assemblages from the Swabian Alb and evolutionary history of paguroids based on carapace morphology. *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie Abhandlungen*, 273, 121–145.
- FRAAIJE, R. H. B., VAN BAKEL, B. W. M. and JAGT, J. W. M. 2017. A new paguroid from the type Maastrichtian (Upper Cretaceous, the Netherlands) and erection of a new family. BSGF-Earth Sciences Bulletin, 188 (3), 17.

- GILL, T. 1894. A new bassalian type of crabs. American Naturalist, 28, 1043–1045.
- GLAESSNER, M. F. 1969. Decapoda. 400–533, 626–628. In MOORE, R. C. (ed.) Treatise on invertebrate paleontology. Part R. Arthropoda 4(2). Geological Society of America & University of Kansas Press.
- GUINOT, D. 1967. Recherches préliminaires sur les groupements naturels chez les Crustacés Décapodes Brachyoures. III. A propos des affinités des genres Dairoides Stebbing et Daira de Haan. Bulletin du Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, 39, 540–563.
- GUINOT, D. 1977. Propositions pour une nouvelle classification des Crustacés Décapodes Brachyoures. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris*, **285**, 1049–1052.
- GUINOT, D. 1978. Principes d'une classification évolutive des Crustacés Décapodes Brachyoures. Bulletin Biologique de la France et de la Belgique, **112**, 211–292.
- GUINOT, D. 1979. Données nouvelle sur la morphologie, la phylogenèse et la taxonomie des Crustacés Décapodes Brachyoures. *Mémoires du Museum National d'Histoire Naturelles, Série A, Zoologie*, **112**, 1–354.
- GUINOT, D. and TAVARES, M. 2003. A new subfamilial arrangement for the Dromiidae de Haan, 1833, with diagnoses and descriptions of new genera and species (Crustacea, Decapoda, Brachyura). *Zoosystema-Paris*, **25**, 43–130.
- HOGAN, P. J. 1993. Geochronologic, tectonic and stratigraphic evolution of the Southwest Pyrenean Foreland Basin, Northern Spain. PhD thesis, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, USA, 219 pp.
- JAGT, J. W. M., VAN BAKEL, B. W. M., GUINOT, D., FRAAIJE, R. H. B. and ARTAL, P. 2015. Fossil Brachyura. 847–920. In CASTRO, P., DAVIE, P. J. F., GUINOT, D., SCHRAM, F. R. and VON VAUPEL KLEIN, J. C. (eds) Treatise on zoology: Anatomy, taxonomy, biology. The Crustacea. Vol. 9C. Brill.
- KARASAWA, H., SCHWEITZER, C. E. and FELD-MANN, R. M. 2008. Revision of Portunoidea (Decapoda: Brachyura) with emphasis on the fossil genera and families. *Journal of Crustacean Biology*, **28**, 82–127.
- KARASAWA, H., SCHWEITZER, C. E. and FELDMANN, R. M. 2011. Phylogenetic analysis and revised classification of podotrematous Brachyura (Decapoda) including extinct and extant families. *Journal of Crustacean Biology*, **31**, 523–565.
- KARASAWA, H., SCHWEITZER, C. E., FELDMANN, R. M. and LUQUE, J. 2014. Phylogeny and classification of Raninoida (Decapoda: Brachyura). *Journal of Crustacean Biol*ogy, 34, 216–272.
- KLOMPMAKER, A. A., HYŽNÝ, M. and JAKOBSEN, S. L. 2015. Taphonomy of decapod crustacean cuticle and its effect on the appearance as exemplified by new and known taxa from the Cretaceous–Danian crab *Caloxanthus*. *Cretaceous Research*, 55, 141–151.
- LAI, J. C. Y., THOMA, B. P., CLARK, P. F., FELDER, D. L. and NG, P. K. L. 2014. Phylogeny of eriphioid crabs (Brachyura, Eriphioidea) inferred from molecular and morphological studies. *Zoologica Scripta*, **43**, 52–64.
- LATREILLE, P. A. 1802. Histoire Naturelle, générale et particulière des Crustacés et des Insectes. Ouvrage faisant suite aux

Oeuvres de Leclerc de Buffon, et Partie du Cours complet d'Histoire Naturelle rédigé par C. S. Sonnini, Membre de plusieurs Sociétés, **3**, 467. F. Dufart, Paris.

- LATREILLE, P. A. 1825. Familles naturelles du Règne Animal, exposées succinctement et dans un ordre analytique, avec l'indication de leurs genres. Baillière, Paris, 570 pp.
- LÖRENTHEY, E. 1898. Beiträge zur Decapodenfauna des ungarischen Tertiärs. *Természetrajzi Füzetek*, **21**, 1–133.
- LÖRENTHEY, I. and BEURLEN, K. 1929. Die fossilen Dekapoden der Länder der Ungarischen Krone. *Geologica Hungarica, Series Palaeontologica*, **3**, 1–420.
- LUQUE, J., SCHWEITZER, C. E., SANTANA, W., POR-TELL, R. W., VEGA, F. J. and KLOMPMAKER, A. A. 2017. Checklist of fossil decapod crustaceans from tropical America. Part I: Anomura and Brachyura. *Nauplius*, **25**, e2017025.
- LUQUE, J., FELDMANN, R. M., VERNYGORA, O., SCHWEITZER, C. E., CAMERON, C. B., KERR, K. A., VEGA, F. J., DUQUE, A., STRANGE, M., PALMER, A. R. and JARAMILLO, C. 2019. Exceptional preservation of mid-Cretaceous marine arthropods and the evolution of novel forms via heterochrony. *Science Advances*, 5 (4), eaav3875.
- LUQUE, J., XING, L., BRIGGS, D. E., CLARK, E. G., DUQUE, A., HUI, J., MAI, H. and McKELLAR, R. C. 2021. Crab in amber reveals an early colonization of nonmarine environments during the Cretaceous. *Science Advances*, 7 (43), eabj5689.
- MA, K. Y., QIN, J., LIN, C. W., CHAN, T. Y., NG, P. K., CHU, K. H. and TSANG, L. M. 2019. Phylogenomic analyses of brachyuran crabs support early divergence of primary freshwater crabs. *Molecular Phylogenetics & Evolution*, **135**, 62–66.
- MACLEAY, W. S. 1838. On the brachyurous decapod Crustacea brought from the Cape by Dr. Smith. 53–71. In SMITH, A. (ed.) Illustrations of the Annulosa of South Africa; Consisting chiefly of figures and descriptions of the objects of natural history collected during an expedition into the interior of South Africa, in the years 1834, 1835, and 1836; fitted out by "The Cape of Good Hope Association for Exploring Central Africa". Smith, Elder & Co, London.
- MADDISON, W. P. and MADDISON, D. R. 2009. Mesquite: a modular system for evolutionary analysis. http://www. mesquiteproject.org/
- MIERS, E. J. 1886. Report on the Brachyura collected by H.M.S. Challenger during the years 1873-1876. *Report on the Scientific Results of the Voyage of H.M.S. Challenger (Zoology)*, 49 (17), 280–300.
- MILNE EDWARDS, H. 1837. Histoire Naturelle des Crustacés comprenant l'anatomie, la physiologie et la classification de ces animaux. *Librairie Encyclopédique de Roret* (*Paris*), **2**, 532.
- MONTES-SANTIAGO, M. J. 2009. Estratigrafía del Eoceno-Oligoceno de la Cuenca de Jaca. Sinclinorio del Guarga. *Colección de Estudios Altoaragoneses*, **59**, 1–355.
- MÜLLER, P. and COLLINS, J. S. H. 1991. Late Eocene coralassociated decapods (Crustacea) from Hungary. *Mededelingen* van de Werkgroep voor Tertiaire en Kwartaire Geologie, **28**, 47–92.
- NG, P. K. L. and MANUEL-SANTOS, M. R. 2007. Establishment of the Vultocinidae, a new family for an unusual

new genus and new species of Indo-West Pacific crab (Crustacea: Decapoda: Brachyura: Goneplacoidea), with comments on the taxonomy of the Goneplacidae. *Zootaxa*, **1558**, 39–68.

- NG, P. K. L. and RODRÍGUEZ, G. 1986. New records of *Mimilambrus wileyi* Williams, 1979 (Crustacea: Decapoda: Brachyura), with notes on the systematics of the Mimilambridae Williams, 1979 and Parthenopoidea MacLeay, 1838 sensu Guinot, 1978. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington*, **99**, 88–99.
- NG, P. K. L. and TAN, L. W. H. 1984. The 'shell peeling' structure of the box crab *Calappa philargius* (L.) and other crabs in relation to mollusc shell architecture. *Journal of the Singapore National Academy of Science*, **13**, 195–199.
- NG, P. K. L. and TAN, L. W. H. 1985. 'Right handedness' in heterochelous calappoid and xanthoid crabs: suggestion for a functional advantage. *Crustaceana*, **49**, 98–100.
- NG, P. K. L., WANG, C. H., HO, P. H. and SHIH, H. T. 2001. An annotated checklist of brachyuran crabs from Taiwan (Crustacea: Decapoda). *National Taiwan Museum Special Publication Series*, **11**, 1–86.
- NG, P. K. L., GUINOT, D. and DAVIE, P. J. F. 2008. Systema Brachyurorum: part I. An annotated checklist of extant brachyuran crabs of the world. *The Raffles Bulletin of Zoology*, **17**, 1–286.
- OPPENHEIM, P. 1901. Die Priabonaschichten und ihre Fauna. *Palaeontographica*, **47**, 1–348.
- ORTMANN, A. 1892. Die Decapoden-Krebse des Strassburger Museums, mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der von Herrn Dr. Döderlein bei Japan und bei den Liu-Kiu Inseln gesammelten und zur Zeit im Strassburger Museum aufbewahrten Formen, V. Theil. Die Abtheilungen Hippidea, Dromiidea und Oxystomata. Zoologische Jahrbücher (Systematik), 6, 532–588.
- ORTMANN, A. 1893. Die Decapoden-Krebse des Strassburger Museums. 7. Theil. Abtheilung: Brachyura (Brachyura genuine Boas) 2. Unterabtheilung: Cancroidea, 2. Section: Cancrinae, 1. Gruppe: Cyclometopa. Zoologische Jahrbücher, Abtheilung für Systematik, Geographie und Biologie der Thiere, 7, 411–495.
- OSSÓ, Á. and DOMÍNGUEZ, J. L. 2013. Propuesta para una nueva familia: Montezumellidae (Crustacea, Decapoda, Brachyura) y descripción de un nuevo género y especie Moianella cervantesi en el Priaboniano (Eoceno tardío) de Cataluña (NE de la Península Ibérica). Boletín de la Sociedad Geológica Mexicana, 65, 285–298.
- OSSÓ, À. and DOMÍNGUEZ, J. L. 2017. A new genus and new species of decapod crustacean (Decapoda: Brachyura: Panopeidae) from the early Priabonian (Late Eocene) of the central Pyrenees of Huesca (Aragón, Spain), with remarks on its habitat and ecology. *Journal of Crustacean Biology*, **37**, 602– 607.
- OSSÓ, À. and DOMÍNGUEZ, J. L. 2019. On the systematic placement of Montezumellidae Ossó & Domínguez, 2013 (Decapoda: Brachyura. Eubrachyura). *Zootaxa*, **4623**, 175–188.
- OSSÓ, A., DOMÍNGUEZ, J. L. and ARTAL, P. 2014. *Pyre-neplax basaensis* new genus, new species (Decapoda, Brachyura, Vultocinidae) from the Priabonian (Late Eocene) of the Pyrenees of Huesca (Aragón, Spain), and remarks on the genus Lobonotus A. Milne-Edwards, 1863. *Treballs del Museu de Geologia de Barcelona*, **20**, 33–43.

- OSSÓ, À., DOMÍNGUEZ, J. L., DE ANGELI, A. and FERRATGES, F. A. 2020. First record of *Dynomene* (Brachyura, Dromioidea) from the Eocene of the Iberian Peninsula and remarks on the generic placement of *Eoacantholobulus* oscensis (Brachyura, Xanthoidea). Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie-Abhandlungen, **296**, 185–192.
- PADATE, V. P., AMRITHA, K. M., CUBELIO, S. S., SARAVANANE, N., SUDHAKAR, M. and NG, P. K. L. 2020. Deep-water Brachyura from the surveys of the FORV Sagar Sampada off the Andaman and Nicobar archipelagos, India. *Regional Studies in Marine Science*, 35, 1–17.
- PAUL'SON, O. M. 1875. Studies on Crustacea of the Red Sea with notes regarding other seas. Part I. Podophthalmata and Edriophthalmata (Cumacea). The Israel Program for Scientific Translations, Jerusalem. Reissued and translated by F.D. Por [1961]. 164 pp.
- PUEYO, E. L., MILLÁN, H. and POCOVÍ, J. A. 2002. Rotation velocity of a thrust: a paleomagnetic study in the External Sierras (southern Pyrenees). *Sedimentary Geology*, **146**, 191–208.
- PUIGDEFÁBREGAS, C. 1975. La sedimentación molásica en la cuenca de Jaca. Monografías del Instituto de Estudios Pirenaicos, 104, 1–188.
- QUAYLE, W. J. and COLLINS, J. S. H. 1981. New Eocene crabs from the Hampshire Basin. *Palaeontology*, 24, 733–758.
- RAFINESQUE, C. S. 1815. Analyse de la nature, outableau de l'univers et des corps organisée. L'Imprimerie de Jean Barravecchia, Palermo, 224.
- ROGER, J. 1954. Sous-clase des Malacostracés (Malacostraca-Latreille 1806). Traité de Paléontologie publié sous la direction de Jean Piveteau, 3, 307–378.
- SAKAI, T. 1938. Studies on the crabs of Japan, III. Brachygnatha, Oxyrhyncha. Yokendo Co, Tokyo. 3, 193–364.
- SAKAI, T. 1976. Crabs of Japan and the adjacent seas. Kodansha Ltd, Tokyo, 773 pp.
- SAMOUELLE, G. 1819. The entomologist's useful compendium, or an introduction to the British insects, etc. Boys, London, 496 pp.
- SCHWEITZER, C. E., FELDMANN, R. M., GON-ZÁLEZ-BARBA, G. and COSOVIC, V. 2006. New Decapoda (Anomura, Brachyura) from the Eocene Bateque and Tepetate Formations, Baja California Sur, Mexico. Bulletin of the Mizunami Fossil Museum, 33, 21–45.
- SCHWEITZER, C. E., FELDMANN, R. M., GARAS-SINO, A., KARASAWA, H. and SCHWEIGERT, G. 2010. Systematic list of fossil decapod crustacean species. *Crustaceana Monographs*, **10**, 1–122.
- SCHWEITZER, C. E., KARASAWA, H., LUQUE, J. and FELDMANN, R. M. 2016. Phylogeny and classification of Necrocarcinoidea (Brachyura: Raninoida) with the description of two new genera. *Journal of Crustacean Biology*, 36, 338–372.
- SCHWEITZER, C. E., FELDMANN, R. M. and KARA-SAWA, H. 2020a. Part R, revised, volume 1, chapter 8T9: systematic descriptions: superfamily Parthenopoidea. *Treatise Online*, **131**, 1–11.
- SCHWEITZER, C. E., FELDMANN, R. M. and KARA-SAWA, H. 2020b. Part R, revised, volume 1, chapter 8T10: systematic descriptions: superfamily Eriphioidea. *Treatise Online*, 132, 1–8.

- SERÈNE, R. 1964. Redescription du genre Megaesthesius Rathbun et définition des Chasmocarcininae, nouvelle sous-famille des Goneplacidae (Decapoda Brachyura). Crustaceana, 7, 175– 187.
- SERÈNE, R. 1984. Crustacés décapodes brachyoures de l'Océan Indien occidental et de la Mer Rouge, Xanthoidea: Xanthidae et Trapeziidae. Avec un addendum par Crosnier, A.: Carpilliidae et Menippidae. Faune Tropicale, 24, 1–400.
- SPIRIDONOV, V. A., NERETINA, T. V. and SCHEPE-TOV, D. 2014. Morphological characterization and molecular phylogeny of Portunoidea Rafinesque, 1815 (Crustacea Brachyura): implications for understanding evolution of swimming capacity and revision of the family-level classification. Zoologischer Anzeiger – A Journal of Comparative Zoology, 253, 404–429.
- STEBBING, T. R. R. 1920. The Malacostraca of Durban Bay. Durban Museum Novitates, 2, 263–278.
- ŠTEVČIĆ, Z. 2001. Decapoda: Brachyura. In MARTIN, J. W. and DAVIS, G. E. (eds) An updated classification of the recent Crustacea. Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, Science Series 39, 112.
- ŠTEVČIĆ, Z. 2005. The reclassification of brachyuran crabs (Crustacea: Decapoda: Brachyura). Natura Croatica, 14, 1–159.
- TAKEDA, M. and ANANPONGSUK, S. 1991. A new deepsea crab from the Andaman sea off Thailand. *Bulletin of the National Science Museum*, **17**, 93–100.
- TAN, S. H. 2004. Revision of the family Parthenopidae (Crustacea: Decapoda: Brachyura). PhD thesis, National University of Singapore.
- TAN, S. H. and NG, P. K. L. 2007a. Descriptions of new genera from the subfamily Parthenopinae (Crustacea: Decapoda: Brachyura: Parthenopidae). *Raffles Bulletin of Zool*ogy, 16, 95–119.
- TAN, S. H. and NG, P. K. L. 2007b. Review of the subfamily Daldorfiinae Ng & Rodríguez, 1986 (Crustacea: Decapoda: Brachyura: Parthenopidae). *Raffles Bulletin of Zoology*, 16, 121–167.
- TAN, S. H., HUANG, J. F. and NG, P. K. L. 1999. Crabs of the family Parthenopidae (Crustacea: Decapoda: Brachyura) from Taiwan. *Zoological Studies*, **38**, 196–206.

- TSANG, L. M., MA, K. Y., AHYONG, S. T., CHAN, T. Y. and CHU, K. H. 2008. Phylogeny of Decapoda using two nuclear protein-coding genes: origin and evolution of the Reptantia. *Molecular Phylogenetics & Evolution*, **48**, 359–368.
- TSANG, L. M., SCHUBART, C. D., AHYONG, S. T., LAI, J. C., AU, E. Y., CHAN, T. Y., NG, P. K. L. and CHU, K. H. 2014. Evolutionary history of true crabs (Crustacea: Decapoda: Brachyura) and the origin of freshwater crabs. *Molecular Biology & Evolution*, **31**, 1173–1187.
- TSANG, C. T., SCHUBART, C. D., CHU, K. H., NG, P. K. and TSANG, L. M. 2022. Molecular phylogeny of Thoracotremata crabs (Decapoda, Brachyura): toward adopting monophyletic superfamilies, invasion history into terrestrial habitats and multiple origins of symbiosis. *Molecular Phylogenetics & Evolution*, 177, 107596.
- VIA, L. 1941. Los cangrejos fósiles de Cataluña. Boletín del Instituto Geológico y Minero de España, 55, 55–127.
- VIA, L. 1959. Decápodos fósiles del Eoceno español. Boleín del Instituto Geológico y Minero de España, 70, 331–402.
- VIA, L. 1969. Crustáceos decápodos del Eoceno español. Pirineos, 91–94, 1–469.
- WAUGH, D. A. 2013. Utility of fossil cuticle morphology applied to the taphonomy and taxonomy of decapod crustaceans. PhD thesis, Kent State University, Ohio, USA. 140 pp.
- WOLFE, J. M., BREINHOLT, J. W., CRANDALL, K. A., LEMMON, A. R., LEMMON, E. M., TIMM, L. E., SID-DALL, M. E. and BRACKEN-GRISSOM, H. D. 2019. A phylogenomic framework, evolutionary timeline and genomic resources for comparative studies of decapod crustaceans. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 286, 20190079.
- WOLFE, J. M., BALLOU, L., LUQUE, J., WATSON-ZINK, V. M., AHYONG, S. T., BARIDO-SOTTANI, J., CHAN, T.-Y., CHU, K. H., CRANDALL, K. A., DAN-IELS, S. R., FELDER, D. L., MANCKE, H., MARTIN, J. W., NG, P. K. L., ORTEGA-HERNÁNDEZ, J., PALA-CIOS THEIL, E., PENTCHEFF, N. D., ROBLES, R., THOMA, B. P., TSANG, L. M., WETZER, R., WIND-SOR, A. M. and BRACKEN-GRISSOM, H. D. 2022. Convergent adaptation of true crabs (Decapoda, Brachyura) to a gradient of terrestrial environments. *bioRxiv*. https://doi.org/ 10.1101/2022.12.09.519815