# Glucometric Guardianship

SANJAY KALRA\*, PRAMILA KALRA<sup>†</sup>, USHA AYYAGARI<sup>‡</sup>, DHEERAJ KAPOOR<sup>#</sup>, SHEHLA SHAIKH<sup>¥</sup>, S NALLAPERUMAL<sup>£</sup>, KALYAN KUMAR GANGOPADHYAY<sup>\$</sup>, VISHAL GALA<sup>§</sup>

\*Dept. of Endocrinology, Bharti Hospital, Karnal, Haryana, India

<sup>†</sup>Professor and Head, Dept. of Endocrinology, Ramaiah Medical College and Memorial Hospital, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India <sup>‡</sup>Apollo Sugar Clinics, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

\*Kokilaben Dhirubhai Ambani Hospital & Medical Research Institute, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

<sup>¥</sup>Saifee Hospital, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

<sup>£</sup>BSS Hospital, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

<sup>\$</sup>Consultant, Dept. of Endocrinology, CK Birla Hospitals, Peerless Hospital, Kolkata, India

§Medical and Scientific Affairs, Roche Diabetes Care Pvt Ltd, Mumbai, India

# ABSTRACT

It is a well-known fact that the knowledge of their current glucose readings empowered people with diabetes to evaluate and monitor the trends in glucose fluctuations and take informed decisions on adjusting their medicines, food intake, and physical activity. Glucose monitoring technology has undergone a technological evolution and has improved diabetes care in patients living with type 2 diabetes. This has also made the need to efficiently and effectively utilize blood glucose monitoring tools. Given the above, the article has reviewed the significance of glucometric guardianship. Glucometric checklists offer a standardized approach to glucometric guardianship which is necessary to improve the process of drug choice and dose titration. The stepwise factors included in the glucometric guardianship checklist include procurement, distribution, pre-testing hygiene, testing, recording, action, disposal, quality control, and procedure safety.

Keywords: Type 2 diabetes, glucometric guardianship, checklist, glucose meters, glycemic triad.

# Introduction

Introduced in the late 1970s and received regulatory clearance for the first time in 1980, blood glucose monitoring (BGM) revolutionized the self-care of people with diabetes. A knowledge of their current glucose readings empowered people with diabetes to assess and better understand their glucose patterns to adjust their food intake, activity and medications to achieve their glycemic goals.<sup>1</sup>

BGM is an essential part of case management in clients with diabetes. Having very high or very low blood glucose levels may affect cellular function and could be life-threatening, including direct health

Dr Sanjay Kalra

care costs and reduced productivity; if not managed appropriately. It serves as a critical measure in individuals with ongoing diabetes management.<sup>2</sup>

The American Diabetes Association (ADA) 2017 reported that the total estimated cost of diagnosed diabetes in 2017 was \$327 billion;<sup>3</sup> however, the direct cost of treating complications, including hospitalizations, emergency room visits and nondiabetes prescriptions, along with indirect costs related to lost/reduced productivity and human costs accounts for almost 73% of the total diabetes cost.<sup>4</sup>

The need to effectively and efficiently utilize BGM tools and resources to improve diabetes outcomes is indisputable. Continuous glucose monitoring is set to bring a fundamental change in the treatment of diabetes and patient engagement of those affected with this disease.<sup>5</sup> Over the years, diabetes practice has become more and more algorithm-based and statistic oriented, which facilitates the patient-centric treatment approach.

Address for correspondence

Dept. of Endocrinology, Bharti Hospital, Karnal, Haryana, India; University Center for Research & Development, Chandigarh University, Mohali, Punjab, India E-mail: brideknl@gmail.com

Glucocentric screening and monitoring, added to this, have led to the neglect of a holistic medicine approach.<sup>6</sup> Hence, in this review, we have reviewed the significance and value of glucometric guardianship. We have also attempted to design checklists to facilitate routine clinical practice and impact decision-making.

# **Glycemic Guardianship**

Kalra et al propose d the concept of glycemic guardianship, which was defined as "activities carried out by the health care team and health care system to ensure optimal care of the person, or group of peoples, living with diabetes." Glycemic guardianship is a novel concept that can be functional at the national/regional level as well as the individual level and is ideally considered in partnership with individuals living with diabetes. The World Health Organization's Global Diabetes Compact (GDC) targets provide an umbrella for all activities related to glycemic guardianship.<sup>7</sup>

GDC emphasizes five targets comprising diagnosis of diabetes in 80% of individuals living with diabetes, achieving glucometric optimization in 80% of individuals diagnosed with diabetes, blood pressure control in 80% of individuals diagnosed with diabetes, ensuring statin prescription in 60% of individuals with diabetes who are 40 years or more in age, availability of affordable insulin, and blood glucose self-monitoring for all the people with type 1 diabetes. With the second-largest population of diabetes individuals living in India, the country's healthcare system and providers must strive to screen, diagnose, manage, and prevent diabetes and related complications. While the prevalence of diabetes has increased, so has the proportion of those living with undiagnosed diabetes, thereby diminishing or counterbalancing the advances in diabetes care and delivery.7

With the Indian pharmaceutical industry being the world leader in manufacturing good quality drugs and devices, the easy availability of good quality and reasonably priced glucose monitoring devices and ancillaries has also been facilitated. With this, glucovigilance and personalized diabetes management have become integral to diabetes management and care.<sup>8</sup>

## The Domains of Glucometric Guardianship

The benefits of glucometric guardianship are that it encompasses the physical and electronic infrastructure and further delineates the roles and responsibilities of various healthcare team members. The infrastructural requirements of glucometric guardianship include hardware (glucose measuring devices and ancillary supplies) and software (data recording and analysis). Table 1 shows the domains of glucometric guardianship.

# **Glucometric Guardianship Checklist**

"You can't improve what you can't measure accurately" is an adage illustrating the dilemma facing attempts to optimize glycemic control. Glucometric guardianship

#### PROCUREMENT

- Meter
- Ancillaries, i.e., lancets, strips, swabs

• Indented by; at time of admission/later DISTRIBUTION

- Individual
- Shared/number of beds

PRE-TESTING HYGIENE

- Glucometer battery
- Sanitization; finger-tip sanitization TESTING
- Glucometer check
- Procedure of pricking
- Trouble shooting (e.g., poor circulation, lack of hygiene)
- Frequency
- RECORDING
- On paper
- E-Enabled (Integrated personalized diabetes management)

ACTION

- Frequency of measurement
- Change of insulin dose
- Change in IV fluids
- Escalation to sensor medical staff DISPOSAL
- Plastics
- Sharps
- Blood-stained swabs

QUALITY CONTROL

- Calibration
- Audit

PROCEDURE SAFETY

- What to do if there is needle stick injury/exposure to blood
- How to check BG of HIV/HBsAg+ve patient

Box 1. Stepwise factors for glucometric guardianship checklist.

	Infrastructural requirement
Equipment	<ul> <li>Choice of the glucose monitoring device, e.g., Glucose meters vs. flash glucose monitoring device; glucose meters/FGMS model</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Individual device or common device: e.g., prefer individual glucose meters if expected hospital stay of &gt;2-3 days or if the expected number of glucometer pricks is &gt;20</li> </ul>
	Glucose sticks: available at bedside/central station
	Lancets: available at bedside/central station
	Alcohol swabs: available at bedside or central station
	Meter calibration: needed/not needed: at what frequency
Roles and	Glucose monitoring: by-
responsibilities	Data entry: by-
	Analysis: by-
	<ul> <li>Disposal of used ancillary supplies: by-, at-</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Red flag range: e.g., call duty doctor if plasma glucose &lt;70 mg/dL and &gt;400 mg/dL; check urine/blood ketones if BG &gt;400 mg%</li> </ul>
	Treatment/titration: by-
	Meter calibration: by-
Patient-specific	Frequency of monitoring
glucometric	Site of prick; rotation of fingers
guardianship	• De-escalation of frequency of monitoring: e.g., if BG 100-200 mg/dL; <20% change in consecutive glucose values at the current frequency
	<ul> <li>Escalation of frequency of monitoring: e.g., if BG &lt;100 or &gt;200 mg/dL; &gt;20% change in consecutive glucose values</li> </ul>

## Table 2. Advantages of Glucometric Guardianship

- Accurate determination of glucose control
- Avoidance of hypo-/hyperglycemia
- Prevention of complications
- Facilitation of audit
- · Comparison and research

ensures appropriate measurement, monitoring, and assessing glucose levels to ensure alertness in glycemic management and agility in anticipating and identifying suboptimal glycemic parameters and responding to them.<sup>9</sup> (Box 1)

In diabetes care, several well-developed algorithms are available for glycemic management in the inpatient and outpatient settings; however, they do not integrate the nuances of glucose monitoring. Thus, glucometric measurements act as a challenge as well as a facilitator to achieving optimal glucose control. Hence, a standardization of glucometrics and adopting a practice-based approach to glucometric guardianship is essential to improve the process of drug choice and dose titration.<sup>9</sup> The objective of developing these checklists are: (i) to emphasize the need for accurate measurement, monitoring, and assessment of glucose levels to improve the management of diabetes; (ii) to facilitate the process of glucometric guardianship by outlining the steps and factors to consider when monitoring and analyzing blood glucose patterns in individuals with diabetes; (iii) standardize the process of glucose monitoring and ensure that health care providers have a systematic approach to managing blood glucose levels in different care settings.

#### Outpatient Glucose Monitoring

Glucose control is an imperative and essential component of outpatient deviations in blood glucose level care in diabetes. Clinical scenarios with better glucose control have been shown to improve patient outcomes. Glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) can be used to assess the quality of outpatient glycemic control. Glucometrics has been shown to allow comparison of inpatient glycemic control among hospitals and patient care units and will allow institutions to evaluate the success of their quality improvement initiatives.<sup>10</sup>

The availability of point-of-care meters capable of storing glucose measurements from many patients eases, to some degree, the burden of data collection.<sup>11</sup>

# **Inpatient Glucose Monitoring**

Inpatient hyperglycemia and hypoglycemia are related to worse patient outcomes, such as additional wound infections, prolonged hospital stays, and higher mortality rates, especially in ICU. In most cases, an inpatient target glucose range of 140-180 mg/ dL may represent the optimal balance for avoiding complications associated with extraordinarily highand low-glucose levels.<sup>12</sup>

**Emergency/Casualty** 

Many patients reporting to emergency care could have hyperglycemia who may be undiagnosed. Uncontrolled hyperglycemia and iatrogenic hypoglycemia commonly occur with a broad range of adverse outcomes, with insulin commonly attributing to adverse drug events if the patient is a known case of diabetes on treatment. While insulin and hypoglycemia management protocols allow for managing patients in emergency care, there is a lack of glucometric standardization and limited resources acting as challenges in diabetes management.<sup>13</sup>

## Checklist

As shown in the challenges in managing outpatient, inpatient, and emergency patients, we have attempted to devise CHECKLISTS to test, monitor and analyze the blood glucose pattern in individuals with diabetes presenting to the health care systems at different levels of point-of-care. Tables 3-6 and the Appendix 1-5 give the checklist and logs for outpatients, Ward patients, Emergency/Casualty, and ICU Checklists.

Table 3. Outpatient Checklist		
Patient ID	Visit 1	Visit 2
Procurement:	Procurement	Cross check availability of
<ul> <li>if the patient using a glucometer (which brand)</li> </ul>	Which brand of glucometer	Glucometer
<ul> <li>of a meter (which brand if patient not using</li> </ul>	Recommended brand of glucometer	Ancillaries
glucometer)	Recommended brand of ancillaries	
<ul> <li>of ancillaries, i.e., lancets, strips, swabs (which brand)</li> </ul>	Comments, if any	
Usage pattern and training:		Cross-check usage pattern
<ul> <li>Individual/shared/family</li> </ul>	Individual □ Shared □ Family □	and technique
Training of	Done – Y□ N□	
<ul> <li>how to use the glucometer</li> </ul>	Done – Y□ N□	
Testing: change of lancet after how many pricks	Done – Y□ N□	
<ul> <li>How to share readings with the HCP</li> </ul>	Comments, if any	
Pre-testing hygiene:		
Time/Date of calibration	Time/Date	Time/Date
Glucometer battery	Glucometer	Glucometer
Sanitization	■ battery working Y□ N□	battery working $Y \Box N \Box$
a) Fingertip sanitization	Sanitization Y□ N□	● Sanitization Y□ N□
b) Glucometer Disinfection	Done Y N	Done Y□ N□
Needle	Done Y N	Done Y□ N□
	Needle Checked Y□ N□	Needle Checked Y□ N□
	Comments, if any	Comments, if any
Testing:		
Glucometer check	• Done – Y□ N□	• Done – Y□ N□
Confirm glucose units (mg or mmol)	• Done – Y□ N□	Done – Y□ N□
Procedure of pricking/intensity of lancet prick	Checked – Y□ N□	Checked – Y□ N□

Troubleshooting Frequency	• Done –	YΠ	N□		Done –	YΠ	N□
	If Y, specify the rea	son			If Y, specify the	e reason	
	Comments if any				Comments, if	any	
Frequency (Appendix 1,2)							
Recording:							
• Cross-checking glucometer data with the CBG log	• Done –	YΠ	N□		• Done –	ΥD	N□
<ul> <li>E-enabled [Integrated personalized diabetes management (IPDM)]</li> </ul>	•	ΥD	N□		•	ΥD	N□
• On paper	•	YΠ	N□		•	Y□	N□
Action:							
Change in diet/physical activity.	• Done –	YΠ	N□		Done –	YΠ	N□
	If Y, Specify.				• If Y, Specify	/.	
Change in OAD	• Done –	YΠ	N□		Done –	YΠ	N□
	If Y, Which OAD?				If Y, which OA	D?	
Change in insulin dose	• Done –	YΠ	N□		Done –	YΠ	N□
	If Y Specify				If Y Specify		
Storage of strips	Storage Done as p	er instr	uction Y	′□ <b>N</b> □	Crosschect	< storage	and
Disposal: (home/hospital)	Disposal: Home (Y) or Hospital (Y)			disposal			
Plastics	• Done –	YΠ	N□				
• Sharps	• Done –	Y□	N□				
Blood-stained swabs	• Done –	YΠ	N□				

Appendix 1: G	Appendix 1: Glucose Monitoring Log [Outpatient]							
Date/Time	BB	AB	BL	AL	BD	AD	3 am	Comments

Week: ....Date Onwards

BB	AB	BL	AL	BD	AD	3 am	Comments
	BB	BB AB	BB         AB         BL	BB         AB         BL         AL	BB         AB         BL         AL         BD           Image:	BB         AB         BL         AL         BD         AD           Image: AB         Image: AB	BB         AB         BL         AL         BD         AD         3 am           Image:

BB, BL, BD: Before Breakfast, Lunch, Dinner

AB, AL, AD: After Breakfast, Lunch, Dinner

Appendix 2: Diet log (Outpatient)						
Day/Time	Diet log / changes in diet/ activity / illness next to the blood glucose levels					
Monday						
Tuesday						
Wednesday						
Thursday						
Friday						
Saturday						
Sunday						
3B, BL, BD: Before Breakfast, Lunch, Dinner AB, AL, AD: After Breakfast, Lunch, Dinner						

Table 4. Ward Patients Checklist Ward ID Audit No. 1 Audit No. 2 onwards CMEs and CNEs should Procurement: be conducted regularly • Recommended brand of glucometer • of meter (which brand?) (monthly or quarterly). Recommended brand of ancillaries • • of ancillaries, i.e., lancets, strips, swabs (e.g., This should be which brand) Comments, if any • accompanied/ followed Usage pattern: by audits at frequent Individual • Individual 🗆 intervals. Shared □ shared/beds These audits are targeted at ward nurses/diabetes **Pre-testing practices:** educators. It is expected Glucometer battery that these healthcare Sanitization Glucometer battery working – Y□ N□ providers will disseminate the right knowledge to a) Fingertip sanitization Sanitization • all patients admitted to b) Glucometer Disinfection • Done YD ND their ward as well as their Setting intensity of lancet prick  $Y \square N \square$  Done caregivers. Done as per skin thickness over the fingertip • Comments, if any Testing: Done – YD ND Glucometer check Confirm glucose units (mg or mmol)  $Y \square N \square$  Done – Procedure of pricking Loading the lancet Checked- Y□ N□ Rotating site of finger prick Checked- Y□ N□ • Troubleshooting [poor circulation, lack of hygiene] Checked− Y□ N□ • Check from the hand where the IV line is going on. • YD ND Check from the limb in which no dextrose infusion Comments, if any going on Checked- Y□ N□ Care of finger prick site after checking glucose Checked- Y□ N□ Log (Appendix 3) Recording and analysis: On paper Y N •

# Cont'd

# Table 4. Ward Patients Checklist

Table 4. Ward Patients Checklist					
Ward ID	Α	udit No. 1			Audit No. 2 onwards
E-enabled [Integrated personalized diabetes management (IPDM)]	•		ΥD	N□	
Escalation matrix in place	•		ΥD	N□	
Action:					
Change in diet	•	Done –	Y□	N□ If Y, Specify	
• Change in frequency and timing of glucose testing.	•	Done –	Y□	N□ if Y, Specify	
Change in OAD/ insulin type.	•	Done –	YΠ	$N \square$ If Y, Which OAD?	
Change in insulin dose.	•	Done –	Y□	N □ If Y Specify	
Use of dextrose or any other IV fluids					
Storage (e.g., strips)	•	Storage D	one as	s per instruction $Y \square N \square$	
Disposal: hospital					
Plastics	•	Done –	YΠ	N□	
• Sharps	•	Done –	YΠ	N□	
Blood-stained swabs	•	Done –	Y□	N□	

Day/Time		Fasting	AB	BL	AL	BD	AD	3 am	Comments (eg any change in diet, physical activity, illness, antibiotics)
	Blood Glucose								
Date	Insulin Dose								
	Blood Glucose								
Date	Insulin Dose								
Date	Blood Glucose								
	Insulin Dose								
	Blood Glucose								
Date	Insulin Dose								
	Blood Glucose								
Date	Insulin Dose								
	Blood Glucose								
Date	Insulin Dose								
	Blood Glucose								
Date	Insulin Dose								

BB, BL, BD: Before Breakfast, Lunch, Dinner AB, AL, AD: After Breakfast, Lunch, Dinner

	Audit 1			Audit 2
	Audit 1			Audit 2
<ul> <li>Procurement:</li> <li>Type of glucometer- glucose oxidase or glucosedehydrogenase (which brand) of ancillaries i.e., lancets, strips, (which brand)</li> </ul>	Procurement <ul> <li>Recommended bra</li> <li>Recommended bra</li> </ul>	CMEs and CNEs should be conducted regularly (monthly or quarterly). This should be accompanied/followed by audi		
Usage pattern:	Comments, if any			at frequent intervals. These audits are targetedat emergency nurses. It is
Individual bed	● Individual □			expected that they will follow
Shared beds	● Shared □			good glucometric practices.
Pre-testing practices Pre-testing practices:				They should be able to refer the patient as well as their
Glucometer battery	<ul> <li>Glucometer battery working –</li> </ul>	Y□	N□	caregivers to the righthealthca provider upon discharge.
Sanitization.	<ul> <li>Sanitizatiion</li> </ul>	Yロ	N□	
a) Fingertip sanitization	Done	YΠ	N□	
b) Glucometer Disinfection	Done	Yロ	N□	
<ul> <li>Check from the hand where the IV line is going on</li> </ul>	Needle Checked Comments, if any	ΥD	N□	
Check from the limb in which no dextrose infusiongoing on				
Testing:				
Glucometer check	Done –	Yロ	N□	
<ul> <li>Confirm glucose units (mg or mmol)</li> </ul>	Done –	YΠ	N□	
Procedure of pricking				
• Care of finger prick site after checking glucose	Checked –	YΠ	N□	
<ul> <li>Rotating site of finger prick</li> </ul>	Checked –	Υ□	Nロ	
<ul> <li>Troubleshooting [poor circulation, lack of hygiene]</li> </ul>	Checked – Comments, if any	Υ□	N 🗆	
Log (Appendix 4)				
Recording:				
E-enabled matrix /hospital information system	• Done –	ΥD	N□	
Action:				
Change in insulin dose/insulin type.	<ul> <li>Done – If, Y Specify dose a</li> </ul>	Y□ & type	N□ ,	
Last Insulin dose and time before discharge.	Last Insulin dose time before dischar			
Escalation/Descalation matrix.	Specify			
Storage of strips Disposal: hospital	Storage Done as p			
Plastics	• Done –	YΠ	N□	
Sharps	• Done –	YΠ	Nロ	
<ul> <li>Blood-stained swabs</li> </ul>	• Done –	YΠ	Nロ	

# Appendix 4: Frequency of monitoring and insulin log [Emergency/Casualty patients]

Date:	
-------	--

Type of Insulin.....

Day/Time	8 am	8:15 am	8:30 am	8:45 am	9:45 am	11:00 am	
GCS			` 	• •			
Plasma Glucose							
IV Infusion							
Oral Intake							
Insulin							
Date:							

Date:

Table 6. CHECKLIST for ICU patients		
Patient - Name	Audit 1	Audit 2
Procurement:	Procurement	CMEs and CNEs should
• Type of glucometer- glucose oxidase or glucose dehydrogenase (which brand) of ancillaries, i.e., lancets, strips, (which brand)	<ul><li>Recommended brand of glucometer</li><li>Recommended brand of ancillaries</li><li>Comments, if any</li></ul>	be conducted regularly (monthly or quarterly). This should be accompanied/followed
Usage pattern of glucometer: (tick any)		by audits at frequent intervals.
Individual or	Individual □	This audit is targeted
• Shared	● Shared □	at ICU nurses. It is
Pre-testing practices:		expected that they will follow good glucometric
Glucometer battery (check after how much time)	Glucometer	practices. They should
Sanitization;	battery working – Y□ N□	be able to refer the
a) Fingertip sanitization	Sanitization	patient as well as their caregivers to the right
b) Glucometer Disinfection	• Done Y N	healthcare provider
Check from the hand where the IV line is going on	• Done Y N	upon discharge.
Check from the limb in which no dextrose infusion going on	Checked Y□ N□ Comments, if any	
Testing:		
Glucometer check	Done – Y□ N□	
Confirm glucose units (mg or mmol)	• Done – Y□ N□	
Procedure of pricking		
Care of finger prick site after checking glucose	Checked – Y□ N□	
Rotating site of finger prick	● Checked – Y□ N□	
Troubleshooting [poor circulation, lack of hygiene]	• Y□ N□	
	Comments if any	
Log (Appendix 5)		
Recording:		
E-enabled system (Hospital information system)	• Done – Y□ N□	
On paper (Structured Reports)	Done − Y□ N□	

Cont'd

# Cont'd

Patient - Name	Audit 1	Audit
Action:		
Change in insulin dose/type	Done − Y□ N□ If Y Specify dose & type,	
<ul> <li>Escalation / Descalation rules /</li> </ul>	• Y □ N □	
	Comments, if any	
Storage (e.g., of strips) Disposal: hospital	Storage Done as per instruction Y□ N□	
Plastics	Done – Y 🗆 N 🗆	
Sharps	Done – Y □ N□	
Blood-stained swabs	Done – Y 🗆 N 🗆	

#### Appendix 5: Frequency of Monitoring and Insulin and or OAD Log [ICU Patients]

Day/Time	Fasting	2 hours After Breakfast	BL	2 hours after lunch	BD	2 hours after dinner	3 am	Random	
		BG/Insulin Rate						Time	Glucose value
Monday									
Tuesday									
Wednesday									

Week:....Date Onwards

Day/Time	8 am	10 am	Noon	2 pm	4 pm	6 pm	8 pm	Random
		BG/Insulin Rate						
Monday								I
Tuesday								
Wednesday								

Week:....Date Onwards

## Conclusion

Glucometric guardianship aims to ensure optimal glycemic management. It is a process of allowing appropriate assessment, monitoring, and analysis of glucose levels regularly. The aim of glucometric guardianship is to (i) enable alertness in glycemic management; (ii) agility in anticipating and detecting suboptimal glycemic parameters, and (iii) response to glycemic variability. The checklists developed in the article will enable healthcare providers to enhance glycemic management, anticipate and identify suboptimal glycemic parameters, and respond effectively to glycemic variability.

# Acknowledgment

The authors would like to appreciate the valuable contribution of Dr Shubhda Bhanot, Dr Sruti Chandrasekaran, Saptarshi Bhattacharya, Dr Gaurav Beswal, Dr Rahul Baxi, Dr Nilakshi Deka, Dr Mihir B Shah, Dr Sneha Kothari, Dr Vaishali Deshmukh, Dr Snehal R Tanna, Dr Sunder Krishnan, Dr Mohan Badgandi, Dr Ganapathi Bantwal, Dr Priya Chinnappa, Dr Rajagopalan Sundararaman, Dr Adlyne R Solomon, Dr KD Modi, Dr Ravi Sankar Erukulapati, Dr Arun Mukka, Dr Binayak Sinha, Dr Sunil Mishra, Dr Ganesh Sudhakar Rao Jevalikar, Dr Abhay Inderjit Ahluwalia, Dr Vineet K Surana. Dr Chandar Mohan Batra, Dr Tarunika Bawa, Dr Anupam Biswas in the development of the checklists.

# References

- Weinstock RS, Aleppo G, Bailey TS, Bergenstal RM, Fisher WA, Greenwood DA, et al. The role of blood glucose monitoring in diabetes management. Arlington (VA): American Diabetes Association; 2020 Oct.
- Zafar S, Yaddanapudi SS. Parkinson Disease. [Updated 2022 Aug 8]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023 Jan-. Available from: https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK470193/
- ElSayed NA, Aleppo G, Aroda VR, Bannuru RR, Brown FM, Bruemmer D, et al; American Diabetes Association.
   Improving care and promoting health in populations: Standards of Care in Diabetes-2023. Diabetes Care. 2023;46(Suppl 1): S10-S18.
- 4. Anderson JE, Gavin JR, Kruger DF. Current eligibility requirements for CGM coverage are harmful, costly, and unjustified. Diabetes Technol Ther. 2020;22(3):169-73.
- 5. Miller EM. Using continuous glucose monitoring in clinical practice. Clin Diabetes. 2020;38(5):429-38.
- 6. Kalra S, Priya G, Gupta Y. Recent advances in endocrinology. JPMA. 2018; 68:963-965.

- Kalra S, Verma SK, Bhattacharya S. Glycemic guardianship: World Health Organization leads the way. Asian J Diabetol. 2022;23(4):5-6.
- 8. Kalra S, Mittal S. COVID-19 and diabetes: Covidiabetology. J Pak Med Assoc. 2020; 70(6):954-5.
- Kalra S, Agrawal N, Kapoor N, Kalhan A, Teelucksingh J, Sahay R. Glucometric guardianship. Indian J Clin Pract. 2023;33(8):31-2.
- Goldberg PA, Bozzo JE, Thomas PG, Mesmer MM, Sakharova OV, Radford MJ, et al. "Glucometrics" assessing the quality of inpatient glucose management. Diabetes Technol Ther. 2006;8(5):560-9.
- 11. Thomas P, E Inzucchi S. An internet service supporting the quality assessment of inpatient glycemic control. J Diabetes Sci Technol. 2008;2(3):402-8.
- 12. Saulnier GE, Castro JC, Cook CB. Impact of measurement error on predicting population-based inpatient glucose control. Future Sci OA. 2019;5(5): FSO388.
- 13. Maynard G, Schnipper JL, Messler J, Ramos P, Kulasa K, Nolan A, et al. Design and implementation of a webbased reporting and benchmarking center for inpatient glucometrics. J Diabetes Sci Technol. 2014;8(4):630-40.

....