# Mediterranean Journal of Clinical Psychology



ISSN 2282-1619

# Volume 11, n 2, 2023 Clinical Psychology

# Differential Impact of Protective Psychological Factors on Psychopathology and Satisfaction with Online Teaching in University and Psychotherapy Schools Students During the Covid-19 Pandemic

Roberto Truzoli<sup>1\*</sup>, Gessica Pistis<sup>2</sup>, Stella Conte<sup>2</sup>

# Abstract

*Background:* In 2020 the COVID-19 pandemic has imposed a lockdown in several countries all around the world. In Italy a decret-law on March 9, 2020 lockdown was extended to schools and Universities. This situation caused symptoms such as: anxiety, insomnia, post-traumatic stress disorder and somatization. In these conditions, loneliness likely exacerbated symptoms of depression and anxiety. Furthermore, changes due to online teaching, managing relationship with professor could affect wellbeing and mood. This research aims to verify how a low level of depression, high self-esteem, internal Locus of Control and high self-efficacy are predictors of well-being and allowing a good management of the critical situation due to the pandemic.

*Methods:* This study has been carried out during the COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown. The experimental subjects were psychology students and postgraduates in psychotherapy. Tests were administered measuring: personality traits, self-esteem, self-efficacy, perceived social support, depression, internal addiction.

*Results:* Both experimental groups showed difficulties in socialization and in motivational support and the students showed higher anxiety and depression with respect to postgraduates. Furthermore, a correlation between depression, anxiety and stress was observed. Also, stress proved to be a cross-cutting factor in conditions of anxiety and low mood, while depression and anxiety were correlated. Additionally, high self-efficacy is related to low score of stress, anxiety and depression, such as internal Locus of Control. Last, internet addiction is in relationship with low perceived social support and low capacity of handling the situation.

*Conclusions:* Internal Locus of Control is crucial to handle anxiety, depression and internet addiction and a high level of self-esteem, self-efficacy and perceived social support are protective factors for anxiety and depression.

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences, University of Milan, Milan, Italy

<sup>2</sup> Department of Education, Psychology and Philosophy, University of Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy

E-mail corresponding author: <a href="mailto:stellacontecasa@gmail.com">stellacontecasa@gmail.com</a>

# Keywords:

Protective factors; Online teaching; Students; COVID-19 Pandemic; Clinical Psychology.



Received: 6 February 2023 Accepted: 21 August 2023 Published: 31 August 2023

**Citation**: Truzoli, R., Pistis, G., Conte, S. (2023). Differential Impact of Protective Psychological Factors on Psychopathology and Satisfaction with Online Teaching in University and Psychotherapy Schools Students During the Covid-19 Pandemic. *Mediterranean Journal of Clinical Psychology 11*(2). https://doi.org/10.13129/2282-1619/mjcp-3695

#### 1. Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic and consequent lockdown had a large effect on human life and psychology. COVID-19 is a highly infectious epidemic (Li et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020a); with a virus transmitted by respiratory droplets and close contact (Center of Disease Control and Prevention, 2020). In some situations it can lead to acute respiratory distress syndrome and death (Torales et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020b).

Due to the high transmittibility of virus, most Governments all over the world took up for lockdown on all schools and universities. Thus, most educational institutions stopped face-to-face teaching activities and chose distance learning to prevent from the spread of COVID-19 pandemic.

Italy was strongly affected by this virus. The Italian Government declared a state of emergency on January 31, 2020. A new decree-law on March 9, 2020 introduced new measures such as closure of all schools and universities and Itay became "Red zone", (Italian Government, Prime Minister's Office, 2020).

Thus, all people were invited to stay at home in order to maintain social distance and isolation (Catelmi & Lambiase, 2020. These measures were chosen in order to avoid the spreading of the viral transmission (Di Giacomo, 2020; Remuzzi & Remuzzi, 2020; Urban & Urban, 2020; WHO, 2020).

In this condition, the uncertainty and fear of the virus resulted in a negative effect on mental health (Brooks et al., 2020; Casagrande et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020; Mazza et al., 2020; Ozamiz-Etxebarria et al. 2020; Settineri & Merlo, 2020a; Settineri & Merlo, 2020b; Gori et al., 2021; Passavanti et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021a; Wang et al., 2021b).

Symptoms of anxiety, depression, insomnia, post-traumatic stress disorder, cyberchondria, somatization and fear were described worldwide (Ammar et al., 2020; Chatterjee & Chauhan, 2020; Li et al., 2020; Lum et al., 2020; Mazza et al., 2020; Röhr et al., 2020; Rossi et al., 2020; Shigemura et al., 2020; Somma et al., 2020; Sood, 2020; Torales et al., Vismara et al., 2021). Furthermore, lockdown caused drastic changes in social interactions, habits, diets (Di Giacomo et al., 2020; Scamorzino & Viscioli, 2020) and sleep (Li et al., 2020; Xiao et al., 2020).

The pandemic due to the spread of COVID-19 has led to important changes in everyone's life: social isolation, transition to smart working, online education, massive use of the Internet, etc. (Afshan & Ahmed, 2020; Baloran, 2020; Cellini et al., 2020; Bashir et al., 2021; Truzoli et al., 2021a; Venuleo et al., 2022).

Such measures may exacerbate mental stress, especially in students (Sadman et al., 2021; Truzoli et al., 2021b; Guidotti et al., 2022). In fact, the COVID-19 pandemic had a severe impact on the psychological health of distress-prone university students, as well as mental health disorders, such as high level of anxiety and depression symptoms (Le Vigouroux et al., 2021). In all likelihood, loneliness exacerbated symptoms of depression and anxiety as well as insomnia and eating disorders. Furthermore, students reported: (a) difficulties in coping with psychological challenges; (b) problems of adaption to academic activities; (c) heavy discomfort; (d) practical and emotional distress due to social isolation (Visser & Law-Van Wyk, 2021). All these issues had a strong, negative impact on well-being and mood.

In this context, the role of protective risk factors such as self-efficacy and internal Locus of Control (LCB) becomes crucial to help people preserve personal well-being in such tremendously demanding environmental conditions. Therefore, a thorough analysis of protective/risk factors, as well as the role of personality factors on satisfaction with online teaching become crucial. In fact, when the situation appeared uncertain and unpredictable, increased depression, anxiety or feel stress did not help to manage environmental demands. Hence, self-efficacy, self-esteem and internal locus of control could be the protective factors for personal well-being.

Self-efficacy refers to the beliefs of the individual about their own skills to "organize and execute courses of actions required to obtain designed types of performance" (Bandura, 1986, p. 391). This trait affecting effort, persistence and resilience (Pintrich & Schumk, 2002), is in relatioship with self-control and self-esteem (Tangney et al., 2004). Furthermore, Breland and colleagues (2020) reports that high levels of self-efficacy lead to better accept the measures preventing the spread of pandemic. Self-esteem deals with the beliefs of persons on themselves and on what the other people think of them (Rosenberg, 1965). As for Locus of Control, Rotter (1966) discriminates between internal and external locus of control. In the former case, individuals believe that external elements such as fate, destiny, luck etc. lead the events of their lives. Internal locus of control could play a role in managing stress and problems. Also, it is associated with well-being (Drnovšek et al., 2020). Active coping, planning and use of emotional social support could play a role in achieving wellness (Petito et al., 2022).

In order to study the protective factors on psychopathology, as well as teaching satisfaction, a study on self-esteem, self-efficacy, social support, anxiety, depression, locus of control and internet addiction was carried out in two groups: university students (group 1) and post graduates attending psychotherapy schools (group 2). Furthermore, the possible effect on well-being of COVID-19 personal of family exposure to the virus was investigated.

More specifically, this research aims to investigate how a low level of anxiety and depression, a high self-esteem, an internal locus of control, and a high self-efficacy are predictors of wellbeing allowing a better psychological management of the pandemic. In addition, the level of satisfaction for online teaching was investigated in the two groups.

In this context, the role of protective risk factors such as self-efficacy, self-esteem and internal locus of control becomes crucial to preserve personal well-being.

# 2. Methods

#### 2.1 Participants

The participants were 41 psychology and medical and surgical students from the University of Milan and the University of Cagliari (mean age=24.68, SD=6.41; 4 males and 37 females) and 41 postgraduates in psychotherapy (mean age=38.28, SD=11.34; 1 male and 40 females). The tests and the informed consent were administered online anonymously. Informed consent was collected.

#### 2.2 Procedure

The Dept. Directors from the Universities of Cagliari and Milan, and from the Psychotherapy Schools advertised the recruitment to this study possibility with an announcement on their websites in the "communications" section.

Participants were informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any time, and were also informed about the steps being taken to ensure their privacy.

The participants signed a document containing information on the aim of the research, data processing and an informed consent for: data processing, participation in the survey, use of data for research purposes. Anonymity was always preserved. Finally, this study is conform to the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki in 1995 (as revised in Edinburgh 2000).

After a short introduction in which the participants were informed on the content of the questionnaires and the importance of answering each item of the questionnaire and tests, both questionnaire and tests were administered online. The participants could take as long as they wished to complete each questionnaire, but, on average, the entire process typically took about 30 minutes per participant.

#### 2.3 Materials

The online questionnaire was made up of a socio-demographic section, 7 tests and a short questionnaire.

The tests were:

- 1) Rosemberg Self-Esteem Scale (RSES, Rosemberg, 1965). It is a self-administered test investigating the beliefs of persons on themselves and on what the other people think about them. It is a 10-item test with a rating scale 1-4 and score ranging from 10 to 40. Crombach's alpha value is 0.84. The italian version of the test (Prezza & Trombaccia, 1997) was used.
- 2) Generalized Self-Efficacy Scale (GSE, Schwarzer & Jerusalem, 1993, 1995). This self-administered test measures the individual beliefs on their own ability to cope either with a new or with a stressing situation. It is made up of 10 items with a rating scale 1-4 and score ranging from 10 to 40. Crombach's alpha values range from 0.82 to 0.93 (Schwarzer & Jerusalem, 1993). The italian version of the test (Sibilia et al., 1995) was used.
- 3) Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (MSPSS, Zimet et al., 1988). It is a 12item self administered scale measuring the perceived adequacy of social support from three sources: family, friends and colleagues. Answers ranged from 0 (strongly disagree) to 1 (strongly agree) and the score ranged from 12 to 84. The italian version of the test was used (Di Fabio & Palazzeschi, 2012) with Crombach's alpha = 0.91.
- 4) Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI; Beck et al., 1988). It is a 21-item self-administered test aiming to evaluate symptoms of anxiety. The rating scale is 0-3 and total score ranges from 0 to 63 (Beck et al., 1998). Crombach's alpha is = 0.92. The suggested clinical cut-off is ≥16. The italian version of this test (Sica & Ghisi, 2007) was used.
- 5) Locus of Control Behavior (LCB, Craig et al., 1984). It is a 17-item self-administered test measuring the locus of control (internal or external) of the behavior. The rating scale is 0-5 and score ranges from 0 to 85. Higher scores indicate a more external locus of control. Crombach's alpha is = 0.79. The italian version of this test (Farma & Cortinovis, 2000) was used.
- 6) Center for Epidemiology Studies-Depression Scale (CES-D; Radloff, 1977). It is a 20-item self-administered test analyzing some dimensions: depression mood, feeling of quiet, feeling of helplessness and despair, loss of appetite and sleep disorder. Crombach's alpha ranges from 0.85 to 0.90 (Radloff, 1977). Clinical cut-off is ≥16. The italian version of this test (Balsamo & Saggino, 2007) was used.
- 7) Internet Addiction Test (IAT, Young, 1998). This test was used to check the effects of isolation on habits and use of computer. It is a 20-item self-administered test with rating scale 1-4. Score ranges from 20 to 80.

The short questionnaire was related to the personal involvement with COVID-19 (e.g., whether the subjects or a family member had been infected by the virus or not; whether the subjects had lost a beloved one due to COVID-19 infection or not).

#### 2.4 Data analysis

Continuous variables have been expressed as medians and interquartile ranges, or means and standard deviations, as appropriate. The occurrence of anxiety and depression using the cut-offs >16 was considered. In order to compare continuous variables non-normally distributed, the Kruskal-Wallis was used. To compare categorical variables between groups, the Fisher's Exact Test was used. To evaluate the association between continuous variables non-normally distributed, spearman correlations were used. Multiple Regressions were used to determine a ranking of predicting variables based on their respective contributions to the overall variance in: "satisfaction for online teaching"; IAT, BAI and CES-D total scores. Two-sided significance level was set at  $p \leq 0.05$ .

#### Results

Table 1 reports descriptive statistics for the two groups of students.

Scales	M (SD)						
	University students	Postgraduates students					
RSES	29.44 (5.19)	3.63 (5.75)					
LCB	24.88 (10.75)	21.59 (9.33)					
GSE	30.05 (4.54)	30.98 (3.65)					
MSPSS	63.68 (16.60)	68.93 (12.79)					
IAT	40.56 (12.67)	30.68 (7.18)*					
BAI	17.49 (12.36)	9.15 (8.47)*					
CES-D	23.80 (12.35)	12.41 (9.08)*					
Satisfaction	2.34 (0.85)	2.98 (0.65)*					

Table 1. Mean (M) and standard deviation (SD) of each scale for the two groups

The results show that between the two study groups (university students and postgraduate students) there are significant differences with respect to internet addiction, anxiety and depression. In fact, it was observed that IAT tests, BAI, CES-D, and the satisfaction of online teaching activities are significantly different between groups at p<0.05 (Table 2).

Table 2. Significant p-values of the Kruskal-Wallis test between the two groups

Scale	Kruskal-Wallis				
IAT	< 0.0001				
BAI	0.002				
CES-D	< 0.0001				
Satisfaction	0.001				

It was observed that university students during the Covid-19 pandemic showed significantly higher scores for anxiety, depression and internet addiction when compared with postgraduate students.

Furthermore, the majority of university students think they have not been directly exposed to the virus, while postgraduates mostly declare they have had an indirect exposure (p < 0.05).

None of the test participants were infected by the virus and no significant differences were found even regarding the loss of beloved ones due to Covid-19: in both groups there was the loss of two beloved ones for group, with a ratio of 2 out of 41 participants.

The correlations between the variables were identified through the Spearman rank correlation index R.

For the group of postgraduates, the most significant correlations concern:

- BAI which negatively correlates with MSPSS (-0.349). This means that the perceived social support increases and anxiety decreases, and vice versa. Thus, anxiety increases with the feeling of lacking social support and positively correlates with depression (0.619) since, as anxiety increases, depressive symptoms also increase (Table 3).

- CES-D negatively correlates with both perceived Social Support (MSPSS) and with the awareness of self-efficacy (GSE) (Table 3). Therefore, depression increases with the perception of lacking social support and the reduced awareness of the ability to manage the events. Also, depression positively correlates with anxiety (BAI), (Table 3).

	RSES	LCB	GSE	MSPSS	IAT	BAI	CES-D	Expos	Lost	Relativ	satisf
RSES	1	-0.35*	0.37*	0.19	-0.23	-0.10	-0.27	0.04	-0.26	-0.11	0.12
LCB		1	-0.44**	-0.16	0.51***	0.19	0.27	0.21	0.13	0.04	0.28
GSE			1	0.15	-0.003	-0.04	-0.35*	-0.16	-0,30	0.01	-0.15
MSPSS				1	-0.19	-0.35*	-0.47**	-0.06	0.26	0.41**	0.003
IAT					1	0.21	0.22	0.26	-0.14	0.01	0.16
BAI						1	0.62***	0.13	-0.25	-0.003	0.13
CES-D							1	-0.03	-0.29	-0.21	-0.11
Expos								1	0.01	0.13	0.38*
Lost									1	0.46**	-0.01
Relativ										1	0.08
Satisf											1

Table 3. Postgraduate students: correlations among the variables

Legend:

expos: Have you ever been exposed to COVID-19?

lost: Have you ever lost a beloved one because of COVID-19?

relativ: Has any of your relatives been affected by COVID-9?

satisf: In general, how satisfied are you with the online teaching activity?

\*: p≤0.05; \*\*: p≤0.01; \*\*\*: p≤0.001

The possibility of being exposed to Covid-19 virus leads trainees to feel safer (i.e., more satisfied) when involved in online teaching. However, they seem to be less susceptible to Internet addiction, as detected by the positive correlation between IAT and LCB (0.51). Hence, students with internal LCB show a lower risk of Internet addiction. Interestingly, they show a good capacity for self-control.

Locus test is negatively correlated to GSE and RSES, but positively correlated to IAT. Moreover, external Locus of Control is in relation to low levels of self-esteem and self-efficacy and to high levels of Internet addiction. RSES is positively correlated to GSE and negatively with LCB. Last, CES-D positively correlates with BAI and negatively with GSE and MSPSS. Thus, high levels of depression are associated with high levels of anxiety, low levels of selfefficacy and low levels of perceived social support.

Concerning the University students, a significant, positive correlation between anxiety (BAI) and depression (CES-D) was observed along with the following results (Table 4):

- BAI positively correlates with IAT, LCB and loss of a beloved one. Thus, anxiety increases as well as depression and internet addiction. Such emotional factors seem to be exacerbated the loss of a beloved one due to COVID-19. Therefore, anxiety appears positively correlated with an external locus of control and negatively correlated with self-efficacy, showing that anxiety increases as a result of low self-esteem and the inability to cope with difficult situations (Table 4).

- CES-D positively correlates with BAI and with LCB, thereby underlining an interconnection between anxiety, depression and external locus of control. Therefore, the lack of full control on life and situations seems to be associated with low self-esteem, low perceived social support and lack of self-efficacy (Table 4).

	RSES	LCB	GSE	MSPSS	IAT	BAI	CES-D	Expos	Lost	Relativ	Satisf
RSES	1	-0.28	0.44**	0.13	0.32*	-0.08	-0.38*	-0.13	0.09	-0.05	0.21
LCB		1	-0.55***	-0.32*	0.28	0.55***	0.45**	0.08	0.25	0.06	-0.02
GSE			1	0.33*	-0.10	-0.32*	-0.37*	0.09	-0.01	-0.06	-0.002
MSPSS				1	-0.17	-0.26	-0.43**	0.05	0.30	-0.21	0.08
IAT					1	0.31*	0.26	-0.32*	0.09	0.30	-0.25
BAI						1	0.71***	-0.06	0.35*	-0.04	-0.03
CES-D							1	-0.10	-0.07	0.08	-0.38*
Expos								1	0.00	0.02	0.18
Lost									1	-0.05	0.34*
Relativ										1	-0.19
Satisf											1

Table 4. University students: correlations among the variables

Legenda:

expos: Have you ever been exposed to COVID-19?

lost: Have you ever lost a beloved one because of COVID-19?

relativ: Has any of your relatives been affected by COVID-9?

satisf: In general, how satisfied are you with the online teaching activity?

\*: p≤0.05; \*\*: p≤0.01; \*\*\*: p≤0.001

A more external Locus of Control is negatively correlated with GSE and positively correlated with BAI and CES-D. Thus, a more external Locus of Control is in relationship with the lack of self-efficacy and increasing depression and anxiety.

RSES is positively correlated with GSE and negatively with CES-D. Thus, a high self-esteem is correlated with high self-efficacy and low levels of depression. MSPSS is negatively correlated with LCB and CES-D. A high level of social perceived support is in relation with an internal Locus of Control and low levels of anxiety. Last, GSE is positively correlated with RSES, MSPSS and negatively with LCB, BAI and CES-D. This means that high levels of self-efficacy are positively correlated with high levels of perceived social support, low levels of anxiety and low levels of depression.

As for the results of correlations, the following variables: "Internet addiction", "Satisfaction with online teaching", "Anxiety" and "Depression" were included in the ANCOVA and the following variables "Self-esteem", "Locus of Control", "Self-efficacy", "Perceived support", "Being exposed to the virus" and "Having lost a beloved one due to COVID-19" were used as predictors.

Concerning BAI, ANCOVA showed: F1 = 20.14, p <0.0001. Therefore, LCB was retained in the model with  $R^2 = 0.34$ . This means that 34% of the BAI variability is explained by LCB as predictor. As regards CES, ANCOVA showed: F1 = 12.33, p <0.001. Thus, LCB was retained in the model with  $R^2 = 0.24$ . Hence, 24% of the CES variability is explained by LCB as predictor.

As for "Satisfaction with online teaching", ANCOVA showed: F1 = 5.80, p <0.02. Only the variable "You have lost a beloved one due to COVID-19" was retained in the model with  $R^2 = 0.13$ . Therefore, 13% of the "Satisfaction with online teaching" variability is explained by "You have lost a beloved one due to COVID-19" as predictor.

As for psychotherapy trainees, the results of correlations for the following variables: "Internet addiction", "Satisfaction with online teaching", "Anxiety" and Depression", "Self-efficacy", "Perceived support" and "Having been exposed to the virus" were included in the ANCOVA. For IAT, we obtained: F1 = 14.095, p <0.001; only LCB was retained in the model with  $R^2 = 0.27$ . Thus, 27% of the variability of IAT is explained by LCB as predictor. For BAI, we obtained: F1 = 6.943, p <0.01; only MSPSS was retained in the model with  $R^2 = 0.15$ . Hence, 15% of the BAI variability is explained by MSPSS as predictor. For CES, we obtained: F1 = 12.341, p <0.001; only MSPSS was retained in the model with  $R^2 = 0.24$ . Therefore, 24% of the CES-D variability is explained by MSPSS as predictor. Concerning "Satisfaction with online teaching", we obtained: F1 = 2.871, p <0.049; only the variable "You have lost a beloved one

due to COVID-19" was retained in the model with  $R^2 = 0.19$ . So, 19% of the variability is explained by "You have lost a beloved one due to COVID-19" as predictor.

For psychotherapy trainees, an external Locus of Control is associated with Internet addiction.

#### 4. Discussion

COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown caused by increased anxiety and depression symptoms (Salari et al., 2020; Casagrande et al., 2020; Passavanti et al., 2021; Rossi et al., 2021; Petito et al., 2022). The pandemic caused pervasive effects in many fields: financial (Duan & Zlaum, 2020); healthcare (Brooks et al., 2020; Lai et al., 2020; Phelan, 2020; Settineri & Merlo, 2020a). These effects are likely to affect the worldwide population for a long time, even once the emergency is over (Koushik, 2020; Lima et al., 2020) with stress and depression symptoms (Passavasunnti et al., 2020).

The current research exhibits how university students showed higher levels of anxiety, depression and internet addiction when compared to postgraduate students, as the former have to cope with stress related to academic requests.

On the other hand, postgraduate students exhibit better physical and mental health conditions and are more satisfied with online teaching activities. University students must handle a more anxious situation because they have to manage with a higher number of lessons, exams and interactions with Professors in online mode. Therefore, they are exposed to more erratic Academic situations (i.e., uncertainties concerning reliability; communications with Administrative and Professors, and so on). Moreover, they have to cope with exams and stronger interactions with their Professors.

Lockdown and pandemic caused feelings of fear due to isolation with a strong negative impact on wellbeing in students (Somma et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2020). Furthermore, it was observed that anxiety and depression are negatively correlated with social support in both groups of students. These findings are in agreement with Plakhotnik et al. (2021), Ranieri et al. (2021) and Skalsky et al. (2021). Therefore, this outcome highlights how the mutual relationships of closeness is crucial to reach physical and psychological well-being (Seppala et al., 2012).

Despite the constraints to stay at home in order to maintain social distance and isolation (Catelmi & Lambiase, 2020) and hinder the spreading of pandemic, the need of socal support is vital to avoid negative effects on mental health and fear observed during COVID-19 pandemic (Salari et al., 2020; Shigemura et al., 2020; Gori et al., 2021). Indeed, we observed that anxiety and depresson increase when associated with feelings of lacking support and decrease in conditions of social support.

Moreover, external Locus of Control is related to high levels of anxiety, depression and internet addiction risk.

These results confirm that a low level of anxiety and depression are associated with an external Locus of Control. Thus, University students had to cope with highly demanding conditions in their academic activities, resulting in increasing levels of anxiety and depression.

In contrast, internal Locus of Control is related to high level of perceived support and selfefficacy. Indeed, internal Locus of Control could play a role to handle stress and it is very important to achieve psychological well-being (Drnowsek et al., 2020). In addition, active coping and perceived emotional support could play a role in achieving wellness.

Noteworthy, not having the situation under control leads to a greater use of the network. Moreover, anxiety and depression are related to a low perceived support and addiction.

Finally, protective factors can prevent both anxiety and depression symptoms when related to each other (Truzoli et al., 2021a). High levels of self-efficacy and internal Locus of Control are related to low levels of of stress, anxiety and depression. Therefore, a high level of self-esteem, self-efficacy, internal Locus of Control and perceived social support are protection factors for anxiety and depression.

#### 5. Conclusions

This research was carried out during COVID-19 lockdown and subsequent social distancing as a way to hinder the spread of COVID-19. Results show that the psychology students faced more difficulties than postgraduates in psychotherapy during the COVID-19 pandemic. The unsatisfactory online teaching as well as the emergency conditions led the former to a higher socio-psychological vulnerability when compared to the latter. Moreover, psychology students showed higher anxiety and depression caused by loss of beloved ones as a consequence of COVID-19. As a whole, both psychology students and postgraduates in psychotherapy showed difficulties in socialization and motivational support during the lockdown, resulting in a tremendous change in the style of life as well as psychological instability, namely in young people during their process of growth as well as their personal and professional development. Students had to face several practical difficulties related to their ordinary university lives: when and how to do exams or meeting Professor and teaching staff when compared to postgraduates. In this context, many researches on epidemiological studies show big rates of depression during the pandemic period (Gualano et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020b). Furthermore, a negative correlation between depression, anxiety, stress and protective factors was observed. Specifically, stress is a cross-cutting factor in condition of anxiety and depression. Furthermore, depression and anxiety

are correlated (Truzoli et al., 2021). Additionally, high self-efficacy is related to low values of stress, anxiety and depression, such as internal Locus of Control (Zee and Koomen, 2016). Also, Internet addition is in relation with a low perceived social support and low capacity of managing the situation. Thus, the research underlines the importance of Internal Locus of Control to manage anxiety, depression and Internet addition. Likewise, a high level of self-esteem, self-efficacy and perceived social support are protective factors for anxiety and depression.

However, this research shows some limitations: since the participants are voluntary, the sample is not random. Thus, the subjects could be more motivated and more positive. Although an online survey could cause biases, this could not affect validity of research given the exploratory nature of this survey. Noteworthy, the sample is small and could show some generalization problems.

# Ethical approval

All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2000.

# Informed Consent Statement

Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

# Data Availability Statement

Data have been saved and are available to whom they may interest under request.

#### Conflict of interest statement

The authors declared no conflict of interest in this current study.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, R.T. and S.C.; methodology, R.T. and S.C.; formal analysis, R.T; data curation, R.T., S.C. and G.P.; writing—original draft preparation G.P, S.C. and R.T: writing—review and editing, S.C and R.T.; supervision, S.C and R.T.

#### References

- Afshan, G., & Ahmed, A. (2020). Distance learning is here to stay: Shall we reorganize ourselves for the postcovid-19 world? *Anaesthesia, Pain & Intensive Care.* 24(5), 487-489. <u>https://doi.org/10.35975/apic.v24i5.1353</u>
- Ammar, A., Trabelsi, K., Brach, Chtourou, H., Boukhris, O., Masmoudi, L., ... & Hoekelmann, A. (2020) Effects of COVID-19 home confinement on eating behaviour and physical activity: Results of the ECLB-COVID-19 international online survey. *Nutrients, 12*. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12061583</u>
- Baloran, E. T., (2020). Knowledge, Attitudes, Anxiety, and Coping Strategies of Students during COVID-19 Pandemic. *Journal of Loss and & Trauma. 25*(8). 635-642. https://doi.org/10.1080/15325024.2020.1769300
- Balsamo, M., & Saggino, A. (2007). Test per l'assessment della depressione nel contesto italiano: Un'analisi critica [Depression assessment questionnaires in the Italian context: A critical analysis]. *Psicoterapia Cognitiva e Comportamentale*, 13(2), 167–199. https://hdl.handle.net/11564/110634
- 5. Bandura, A. (1986). Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory. Prentice Hall.
- Bashir, W. H., Abdelzarek, E. M., Kahir, A. H., Higazy, O. A., Mohamed. A. A., Salih, S. & Fadlamola, H. A. (2021). Public Awareness and Compliance with Preventive Measures for the Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) Pandemic in Jazan Area, KSA. *International Journal of Nursing Education.* 13(4), 87-98. https://urn.fi/URN:NBN:fi:amk-2022082619648
- Beck, A. T., Epstein, N., Brown, G., & Steer, R. A. (1988). An inventory for measuring clinical anxiety: Psychometric properties. *Journal of Consult- ing and Clinical Psychology*, 56(6), 893–897. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.56.6.893
- Breland, J. Y., Wong, J. J., & McAndrew, L. M. (2020). Are common sense model constructs and self-efficacy simultaneously correlated with self-management behaviors and health outcomes? A systematic review. *Health Psychology Open*, 7(1), 1–13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/2055102919898846</u>
- Brooks, S. K., Webster, R. K., Smith, L. E., Woodland, L., Wessely, S., Greenberg, N., & Rubin, G. J. (2020). The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: Rapid review of the evidence. *The Lancet, 395* (10227), 912–920. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30460-8</u>
- Casagrande, M., Favieri, F., Tambelli, R., & Forte, G. (2020). The enemy who sealed the world: Effects of quarantine due to the COVID-19 on sleep quality, anxiety and psychological distress in the Italian population. *Sleep Medicine*, *75*, 12-20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2020.05.011</u>
- 11. Cantelmi, T., & Lambiase, E. (2020, April 2). COVID-19: impatto sulla salute mentale e supporto psicosociale. Istituto di Terapia Cognitivo-Interpersonale-Roma.
- Cellini, N., Canale, N., Mioni, G., & Costa, S. (2020). Changes in sleep pattern, sense of time and digital media use during COVID-19 lockdown in Italy. *Journal of sleep research*, 29(4), e13074. <u>https://doi.org/10.10.1111/jst.13074</u>
- Chatterjee, K., & Chauhan, V. S., (2020). Editorial: Epidemics, quarantine and mental health. Medical Journal Armed Forces India, 76(2), 125-127. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mjafi.2020.03.017</u>
- Craig, A. R., Franklin, J. A., & Andrews, G. (1984). A scale to measure locus of control of behaviour. *British Journal of Medical Psychology*, 57(2), 173–180. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8341.1984.tb01597.x</u>

- 15. Di Fabio, A., & Palazzeschi, L. (2012). The Satisfaction With Life Scale (SWLS): Un contributo alla validazione italiana con lavoratori adulti. *Counseling. Giornale Italiano di Ricerca e Applicazioni*, 5, 207-215. <u>https://hdl.handle.net/2158/656647</u>
- Di Giacomo, D. (2020). Public Health emergencies and quarantine: virtual patient engagement as challenge and opportunity for Mental Health strategy. *Mediterranean Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 8(2). <u>https://doi.org/10.6092/2282-1619/mjcp-2533</u>
- 17. Drnovšek, M., Örtqvist, D., & Wincent, J. (2010). The effectiveness of coping strategies used by entrepreneurs and their impact on personal well-being and venture performance. Zbornik radova Ekonomskog fakulteta u Rijeci, c'asopis za ekonomsku teoriju i praksu-Proceedings of Rijeka Faculty of Economics, *Journal of Economics and Business, 28*(2), 193–220. <u>https://hrcak.srce.hr/62355</u>
- Duan, L., & Zhun, G. (2020). Psychological interventions for people affected by the COVID-19 epidemic. The Lancet Psychiatry, 7(4), 300-302. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(20)373-0</u>
- Farma, T., & Cortinovis, I. (2000). Un questionario sul "Locus of Control": Suo utilizzo nel contesto italiano. Riverca in Psicoterapia, 3(2–3), 147–155. <u>https://hdl.handle.net/2434/225889</u>
- 20. Gori, A., Topino, E., Craparo, G., Lauro Grotto, R., & Coretti, V. (2021). An empirical model for understanding the thread responses time of COVID-19. *Mediterranean Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 9(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.6092/2282-1619/micp-2916</u>
- Gualano, M. R., Lo Moro, G., Voglino, G., Bert, F., & Siliquini, R. (2020). Effects of Covid-19 lockdown on mental health and sleep disturbances in Italy. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(13), 4779. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17134779</u>
- 22. Guidotti, S., Coscioni, G., & Pruneti, C. (2022). Impact of COVID-19 on mental health and the role of pesronality: preliminary data from a sample of young Italian students. *Mediterranean Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 10(3). <u>https://doi.org/10.13129/2281-1619/mjcp3549</u>
- Italian Government, Prime Minister's Office (Governo Italiano, Presidenza del Consiglio dei Ministri). (2020, February 24). #IoRestoaCasa, misure per il contenimento e gestione dell'emergenza epidemiologica.
- 24. Koushik, N. S. (2020). A population mental health perspective on the impact of COVID-19. *Psychological Trauma: Theory Research Practice and Policy*, *12*(5), 529-530. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/tra0000737</u>
- 25. Lai, C. C., Shih, T. P., Ko, W. C., Tang, H. J., & Hsueh, P. R. (2020). Severe acute respiratory sindrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-COV-2) and Coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19): the epidemic and the challenges. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, 105924. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.105924</u>
- 26. Le Vigouroux, S., Goncalves, A., & Charbonnier, E. (2021). The psychological vulnerability of French university students to the COVID-19 confinement. *Health, Education & Behavior, 48*(2), 129-131. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1090198120987128</u>
- 27. Li, S., Wang, Y., Xue, J., Zhao, N., & Zhu, T. (2020). The impact of covid-19 epidemic declaration on psychological consequences: A study on active weibo users. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(6), 2032. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17062032</u>
- 28. Lima, C. K. T., De Medeiros Carvalho, P. M., Lima, T. D. A. S., De Oliveira Nunes, J. V. A., Saraiva, J. S., de Souza, R. I., & Nero, M. L. R. (2020). The emotional impact of Coronavirus 2019-nCoV (new Coronavirus disease). Psychiatry Research, 112915. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112915</u>

- 29. Liu, S., Liu, Y., & Liu, Y. (2020). Somatic symptoms and concern regarding COVID-19 among Chinese college and primary school students: A cross-sectional survey. *Psychiatry Research*, 289, 113070. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113070
- 30. Liu, S., Yang, L., Zhang, C., Xiang, Y. T., Liu, Z., Hu, S., & Zhang, B. (2020). Online mental health services in China during the COVID-19 outbreak. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 7(4), e17-e-18. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366-(20)30077-8
- Lunn, P. D., Belton, C. A., Lavin, C., McGowan, F. P., Timmons, S., & Robertson, D. A. (2020). Using behavioral science to help fight the coronavirus. *Journal of Behavioral Public Administration*, 3(1), 1–15. <u>https://doi.org/10.30636/jbpa.31.147</u>
- 32. Mazza, C., Ricci, E., Biondi, S., Colasanti, M., Ferracuti, S., Napoli, C., & Roma, P. (2020). A natiowide survey of psychological distress among Italian people during the COVID-19 pandemic: Immediate psychological responses and associated factors. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17, Article 3165. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17093165</u>
- 33. Ozamiz-Etxebarria, N., Dosil-Santamaria, M., Picaza-Gorrochategui, M., & Idoiaga-Mondragon, N. (2020). Stress, anxiety, and depression levels in the initial stage of the COVID-19 outbreak in a population sample in the northern Spain. *Cadernos de Saúde Pública, 36*(4). <u>https://doi.org/10.1590/0102-311X00054020</u>
- Passavanti, M., Argentieri, A., Barbieri, D. M., Lou, B., Wijayaratna, K., Mirhosseini, A. S. F., ... & Ho, C. H. (2021). The psychological impact of COVID-19 and restrictive measures in the world. *Journal of affective disorders*, 283, 36-51. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2021.01.020</u>
- 35. Petito, A., Severo, A., Principe, A. M., Ventriglio, A., Cinnella, G., Bellomo, A., ... & Iuso, S. (2022). Relationship between gender role, job role and risk and protective factors for post traumatic stress sintomatology among healthcare workers during the first wave of COVID-19. *Mediterranean Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 10(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.6092/2282-1619/mjcp-3288</u>
- Phelan, A. L., Katz, R. & Gostin, L. O. (2020). The novel Coronavirus originating in Wuhan, China: challenges for global health governance. *Jama, 323*(8), 709-710.<u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.1097</u>
- Pintrich, P. R., & Schunk, D. H. (2002). Motivation in education: Theory, research, and applications (2nd ed.). Prentice Hall. <u>https://lccn.loc.gov/2012031860</u>
- Plakhotnik, M. S., Volkova, N. V., Jiang, C., Yahiaoui, D., Pheiffer, G., McKay, K., ... & Reißig-Thust, S. (2021). The perceived impact of COVID-19 on student well-being and the mediating role of the University support: evidence from France, Germany, Russia and the UK. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12:642689. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.642689
- Prezza, M., Trombaccia, F. R., & Armento, L. (1997). La scala dell'autostima di Rosenberg. Traduzione e validazione italiana [Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale. Italian Translation and Validation]. *Bollettino di Psicologia Applicata, 223,* 35-44.
- 40. Radloff, L. S. (1977). The CES-D scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 1(3), 385–401. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/014662167700100306</u>
- 41. Ranieri, J., Guerra, F., Cilli, E., Caiazza, I., Gentili, N., Ripani, B., ... & Di Giacomo, D. (2021). Buffering effect of e-learning on Generation Z undergraduate students: A cross-sectional study during the second COVID-19 lockdown in Italy. *Mediterranean Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 9(2). https://doi.org/10.6092/2282-1619/mjcp-3051

- 42. Remuzzi, A., & Remuzzi, G. (2020). COVID-19 and Italy: What's next? *The Lancet, 395*(10231), 1225-1228. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30627-9
- 43. Röhr, S., Müller, F., Jung, F., Apfelbacher, C., Seidler, A., Riedel-Heller, S. G. (2020). Psychosoziale Folgen von Quarantänemaβnahmen bei schwerwiegenden Coronavirus-Ausbrüchen: ein Rapid Review [Psychosocial impact of quarantine measures during serious Coronavirus outbreaks: a rapid review]. *Psychiatrische Praxis, 47,* 179-189. <u>https://doi.org/10.1055/a-1159-5562</u>
- 44. Rosenberg, M. (1965). Society and the adolescent self-image. Princeton. NJ: Princeton University Press. https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400876136
- 45. Rossi, R., Socci, V., Talevi, D., Mensi, S., Niolu, C., Pacitti, F., ... & Di Lorenzo, G. (2020). COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown measures impact on mental health among the general population in Italy. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 11, 790. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2020.00790</u>
- 46. Rotter, J. B. (1966). Generalized Expectancies for Internal versus External Control of Reinforcement. *Psychological Monographs, 80,* 1–28. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/h0092976</u>
- 47. Sadman. S., Nahar, N.S., Sharmin, S., Rifat-Ur, R., & Mostafizur, R. (2021). Exploring the triggering factors for mental stress of university students amid COVID-19 in Bangladesh: a preception-based study. *Children* and Youth Service Review, 120. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2020.105789</u>
- Salari, N., Hosseiniam-Far, A., Jalali, R., Vaisi-Raygani, A., Rasoulpoor, S., Mohammadi, M., ... & Klaleidi-Paveh, B. (2020). Prevalence of stress, anxiety, depression among the general population during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Globalization can belp*, *16*(1), 57. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12992-020-00589-v
- 49. Scamorzino, F., & Visioli, F. (2020). Covid-19 and the subsequent lockdown modified dietary habits of almost half the population in an Italian sample. *Foods*, *9*(5), 675. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9050675</u>
- 50. Schwarzer, R., & Jerusalem, M. (1993). Measurement of perceived self-efficacy: Psychometric scales for cross-cultural research. Freie Universität.
- 51. Schwarzer, R., & Jerusalem, M. (1995). Generalized self-efficacy scale. In J. Weinman, S. Wright, & M. Johnston (Eds.), *Measures in health psychology: A user's portfolio. Causal and control beliefs* (pp. 35–37). NFER-NELSON. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/t00393-000</u>
- 52. Seppala, E., Rossomando, T., & Doty, J. R. (2013). Social connection and compassion: important predictors of help and wellbeing. *Social Research: An International Quarterly, 80*(2), 411-430.
- Settineri, S., & Merlo, E. M. (2020a). Fear of contamination. Mediterranean Journal of Clinical Psychology, 8(1). https://doi.org/10.1353/sor.2013.0027
- 54. Settineri, S., & Merlo, E. M. (2020b). Commentary: A Contagious Other? Exploring the Public's Appraisals of Contact with "Mental Illness". *Mediterranean Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 8(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.6092/2282-1619/mjcp-2412</u>
- 55. Shigemura, J., Ursano, R. J., Morganstein, J. C., Kurosawa, M., & Benedek, D. M. (2020). Public responses to the novel 2019 coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in Japan: Mental health consequences and target populations. *Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, 74(4), 281–282. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/pcn.12988</u>
- 56. Skalski, S., Uram, P., Dobrakowski, P., & Kwiatkowska, A. (2021). The link between ego-resiliency, social support, SARS-COV-2, anxiety and trauma effects. Polish adaptation of the Coronavirus Anxiety Scale. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 171, 110540. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2020.110540</u>

- Kothari, R., Kowacic, K. K., & Porges, S. W. (2019). Traumatic stress and autonomic brain-gut connection in development. Polivagal theory and integrative framework for psychological and gastrointestinal pathology. *Developmental Psychology*, 61(5), 796-818. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.21852</u>
- 58. Somma, A., Marelli, S., Gialdi, G., Castelnuovo, A., Mombelli, S., Ferrini-Strambi, L., & Fossati, A. (2020). Latent changes in perceived quality of sleep related to the COVID-19 quarantine measures in Italian university students: Understanding the role of personality and internalizing symptoms. *Mediterranean Journal* of *Clinical Psychology*, 8(3). <u>https://doi.org/10.6092/2282-1619/mjcp-2550</u>
- Sood, S. (2020). Psychological effects of the Coronavirus disease-2019 pandemic. Research & Humanities in Medical Education, 7, 23–26. <u>https://www.rhime.in/ojs/index.php/rhime/article/view/264</u>
- 60. Sibilia, L., Schwarzer, R., & Jerusalem, M. (1995). Italian adaptation of the General Self-Efficacy Scale: self-efficacy generalized. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*.
- 61. Sica, C., & Ghisi, M. (2007). The Italian versions of the Beck Anxiety Inventory and the Beck Depression Inventory-II: Psychometric properties and discriminant power. In M. A. Lange (Ed.), *Leading-edge psychological tests and testing research* (pp. 27–50). Nova Science Publishers. <u>https://hdl.handle.net/2158/258900</u>
- 62. Tang, W., Hu, T., Hu, B., Jin, C., Wang, G., Xie, C., ... & Xu, J. (2020) Prevalence and correlates of PTSD and depressive symptoms one month after the outbreak of the COVID-19 epidemic in a sample of home-quarantined Chinese university students. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 274, 1-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2020.05.009
- 63. Tangney, J. P., Baumeister, R. F., & Boone, A. L. (2004). High self-control predicts good adjustment, less pathology, better grades, and interpersonal success. *Journal of Personality*, 72(2), 271–324. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-3506.2004.00263.x</u>
- 64. Torales, J., O' Higgins, M., Castaldelli-Maia J.M., & Ventriglio, A. (2020). The outbreak of COVID-19 coronavirus and its impact on global mental health. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, 66(4), 317–320. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0020764020915212</u>
- 65. Truzoli, R., Pirola, V., & Conte, S. (2021a). The impact of risk and protection factors on online teaching experience in high school italian teachers during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*. Wiley, 37,940-952. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12533</u>
- 66. Truzoli, R., Pirola, V., Celebre, L., Piccoli, E., Vanzetto, S., Conti, D., ... & Casazza, G., (2021b). Intrapersonal and social factors for Problematic Internet Use among students during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Psychiatria Danubina*, 33(10), 144-154.
- Urban, M., Urban, K. (2020). What can we learn from gritty persons? Coping strategies adopted during COVID-19 lockdown. *Mediterranean Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 8(3). <u>https://doi.org/10.6092/2282-1619/mjcp-2518</u>
- Venuleo, C., Rollo, S., Ferrante, L., Marino, C., & Schimmenti, A. (2022). Being online in the time of CODIV-19: Narrative from a sample of young adults and relationship with well-being. *Mediterranean Journal* of *Clinical Psychology*, 10(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.13129/2282-1619/mjcp3236</u>
- Visser, M., & Law-van Wyk, Z. (2021). University student's mental health and emotional wellbeing during the COVID-19 pandemic and ensuing lockdown. *South African Journal of Psychology*, 51, 2, 229-243. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/00812463211012219</u>

- 70. Vismara, M., Vitella, D., Biolcati, R., Ambrosini, F., Pirola, V., Dell'Osso, B., & Truzoli, R. (2021). The impact of COVID-19 Pandemic on Searching for Health-Related Information and Cyberchondria on the General Population in Italy. *Frontiers in Psychiatry* 12:754870. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2021.754870</u>
- 71. Xiao, H., Zhang, Y., Kong, D., Li, S., & Yang, N. (2020). The effects of social support on sleep quality medical staff treating patients with Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in January and February 2020 in China. Medical Science monitor: *International Medical Journal of Experimental and Clinical Research, 26*, e923549-1-e923549-8. <u>https://doi.org/10.12659/MSM.923549</u>
- 72. Wang, C., Pan, R., Wan, X., Tan, Y., Xu, L., Ho, C. S., & Ho, R. C. (2020a). Immediate psychological responses and associated factors during the initial stage of the 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) epidemic among the general population in China. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health,* 17(5), Article 1729. 1729. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17051729</u>
- 73. Wang, C., Pan, R., Wan, X., Tan, Y., Xu, L., McIntyre, R.S., ... & Ho, C. (2020b). A longitudinal study on the mental health of general population during the COVID-19 epidemic in China. *Brain, Behavior and Immunity,* 87, 40-48. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2020.04.028</u>
- 74. World Health Organization (2020). Considerations for quarantine of individuals in the context of containment for Coronavirus disease (COVID-19): Interim guidance, 19 March 2020 (WHO/2019ncoW/IHR-Quarantine/2020.2) World Health Organization.
- Young, K. S. (1998). Internet addiction: The emergence of a new clinical disorder. *Cyberpsychology and Behavior*, 1, 237-244. <u>http://doi.org/10.1089/cpb.1998.1.237</u>
- 76. Zee, M., & Koomen, H. M. Y. (2016). Teacher self-efficacy and its effects on classroom processes, student academic adjustment, and teacher wellbeing: A synthesis of 40 years of research. *Review of Educational Research*, 86(4), 981–1015. <u>https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654315626801</u>
- 77. Zimet, G. D., Dahlem, N. W., Zimet, S. G., & Farley, G. K. (1988). The multidimensional scale of perceived social support. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 52(1), 30-41. <u>https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327752jpa5201\_2</u>



©2023 by the Author(s); licensee Mediterranean Journal of Clinical Psychology, Messina, Italy. This article is an open access article, licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported License. Mediterranean Journal of Clinical Psychology, Vol. 11, No. 2 (2023). International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). **DOI**: 10.13129/2282-1619/mjcp-3695