



## OPEN ACCESS

## EDITED AND REVIEWED BY

Terry Francis Davies,  
Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai,  
United States

## \*CORRESPONDENCE

Jeffrey R. Garber  
✉ jgarber@bidmc.harvard.edu

RECEIVED 11 August 2023

ACCEPTED 18 August 2023

PUBLISHED 05 September 2023

## CITATION

Garber JR, Frasoldati A, Patkar V and  
Papini E (2023) Editorial: Thyroid nodule  
evaluation: current, evolving,  
and emerging tools.  
*Front. Endocrinol.* 14:1276323.  
doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1276323

## COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Garber, Frasoldati, Patkar and Papini.  
This is an open-access article distributed  
under the terms of the [Creative Commons  
Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The use,  
distribution or reproduction in other  
forums is permitted, provided the original  
author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are  
credited and that the original publication in  
this journal is cited, in accordance with  
accepted academic practice. No use,  
distribution or reproduction is permitted  
which does not comply with these terms.

# Editorial: Thyroid nodule evaluation: current, evolving, and emerging tools

Jeffrey R. Garber<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, Andrea Frasoldati<sup>4</sup>, Vivek Patkar<sup>5</sup>  
and Enrico Papini<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Endocrinology, Atrius Health, Boston, MA, United States, <sup>2</sup>Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, United States, <sup>3</sup>Department of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, United States, <sup>4</sup>Endocrinology Unit, Azienda USL-IRCCS di Reggio Emilia, Reggio Emilia, Italy, <sup>5</sup>Deontics Ltd, London, United Kingdom, <sup>6</sup>Endocrinology and Metabolism Department, Regina Apostolorum Hospital, Albano, Rome, Italy

## KEYWORDS

thyroid nodule, clinical practice guideline, clinical calculators clinical decision support, computer interpretable guideline, evidence based care, guideline compliance, CDSS

## Editorial on the Research Topic

### Thyroid nodule evaluation: current, evolving, and emerging tools

Thyroid nodules are common, predominantly benign, asymptomatic on presentation, and most often remain so (Uppal et al.) (1). Moreover, those that are malignant are principally small low-risk neoplasms with an indolent course and minimal impact on survival (2). Hence, most patients do not benefit from extensive evaluation, treatment, and monitoring (2–5). On the contrary, costly diagnostic techniques and treatment may have a detrimental impact on a patient's physical, emotional, and financial status (Uppal et al.). In the United States well over 500 000 fine-needle aspirations (FNAs) of thyroid nodules are performed yearly with as many as 40% likely unnecessary (6). In European countries, such as Germany and France, as well as well as in the United States, overtreatment is reflected by most thyroidectomies performed for nodular thyroid disease prove to be for benign disease while the minority that are malignant are principally comprised of low-risk thyroid cancers (7). Recommendations from professional societies such as the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE), Associazione Medici Endocrinologi (AME) (2), American Thyroid Association (ATA) (3), European Thyroid Association (ETA) (4), and American College of Radiology (5) for reducing the collective burden of evaluating and treating thyroid nodules and low risk thyroid cancers have had limited impact on achieving this goal (6).

Over the past 3 decades narrative or written clinical practice guidelines (CPGs) have emerged as an increasingly important tool to aid clinicians in managing a host of medical conditions. Guidelines are regularly cited in publications and medical education forums and used as a basis for medical decision making in both clinical and administrative settings. Yet, despite their widespread clinical use, there is substantial room for improvement in the following ways:

- Establishing the cost effectiveness and validity of recommendations, which are often based on expert opinion, retrospective studies, and study populations that are not generalizable.
- Evaluating their impact on patient quality of life
- Routinely disseminating, distributing, and implementing guidelines
- Gauging their implementation by tracking their use and applicability
- Creating mechanisms for vetting guideline recommendations in various clinical situations and across different populations and cultures
- Addressing their often-formidable length and the wealth of information they contain, which makes them hard for physicians to navigate as well as absorb and retain (internalize)
- Providing timely updates of narrative multi-authored, highly validated documents

Advanced Clinical Decisions Support Systems (CDSS) addresses all the above-mentioned points by transforming CPGs into computer interpretable guidelines (CIGs). CIGs are derived from CPGs. They employ execution engines (programs) to analyze patient-specific data to electronically generate, document, and track recommendations (Garber and Patkar).

The articles in this Research Topic of Frontiers in Endocrinology are written by a diverse group of authors representing various specialties and regions. They cover the gamut of tools available for evaluating thyroid nodules. They also underscore the challenges in developing a streamlined, cost-effective approach that minimizes unnecessary evaluation and intervention that not only does not benefit patients but may harm them while maximizing the chances for identifying clinically significant disease that if left untreated would lead to significant morbidity.

The diagnostic tools available to clinicians caring for patients with thyroid nodules can be summarized in Table 1. Over time, history and physical examination have taken on a marginal role in evaluating thyroid nodules. This is due to several reasons. Many nodules are discovered incidentally on imaging that is not being performed to evaluate the thyroid gland. Since physical examination is not as reliable as ultrasound in establishing the presence, size, or characteristics of benign or malignant disease it is less frequently or carefully performed. Thus, it is not regularly used to follow patients with benign, inconsequential nodularity. This is not without a downside. Greater reliance on ultrasound as a monitoring tool and to facilitate fine needle aspiration of a candidate nodule leads to surveying the remainder of the thyroid gland for nodularity. While improving the yield and accuracy of fine needle aspiration, ultrasound often leads to the detection and evaluation of clinically inapparent nodules that are either diagnostically indeterminate or an inconsequential malignancy, resulting in surgery without clear benefit.

The current emphasis on evaluating thyroid nodules in addition to standard B-mode ultrasonography, are risk stratification tools such as ACR TIRADS, fine needle aspiration with Bethesda classification

TABLE 1 Diagnostic Tools.

*History (see TNAPP)
Radiation
Family History
Symptoms
Thyroid Disease
Disorders of function
Structural abnormalities
*Physical Exam
Initial
Serial
Laboratory determinations
*TSH levels
Calcitonin levels
Imaging:
*Ultrasound
*Standard B mode imaging
Elastography
Contrast Enhanced Ultrasound
**Artificial Intelligence
**Computer Aided Diagnosis
**Radiomics
Nuclear medicine
Radioactive Iodine
PET (FDG)
*Clinical Practice Guidelines (CPGs)
*Risk Score Stratification Tools (Clinical Calculators)
Computer Interactive Guidelines
*Fine Needle Aspiration
*Molecular Marker/Diagnostics (mostly USA)
DNA (Mutations)
Messenger RNA
micro- RNA
Immunocytochemistry
*Principal
**Emerging

and molecular genetic markers (Patel et al.), where available. Additional tools that have not yet been standardized, widely adapted, or extensively studied, include ultrasound elastography (Li et al.), contrast enhanced ultrasound (Zhou et al.), emerging AI tools (Xu D. et al.) (8), and immunocytochemistry (Crescenzi and Baloch; Taccogna et al.). On a positive note, therapeutic advances promoted

by professional societies (9–12) employing minimally invasive ablation procedures have been made. These techniques are being used more frequently. Compared with surgery, they are less expensive, cause less morbidity, and have fewer adverse effects on patient quality of life.

Challenges, however, remain. Tools for assessing risk vary, employing different characteristics underpinning a strong argument for universally accepted risk stratification tools (Majety et al.) (13, 14). Oftentimes, newer technology is complementary rather than substitutive, increasing cost without offering consistently substantial benefit (Uppal et al.). Yet reliable, relatively expensive, new AI tools may ultimately play a key role in resource poor regions that not only lack access to diagnostic tools, but do not have the professional expertise to interpret ultrasound (8).

A promising new development strongly supported by the editors of this Research Topic is the adaptation of CIGs to complement and facilitate the use of clinical practice guidelines and risk stratification systems. Using advanced CDSS to co-develop CIGs that complement conventional society guidelines, may not only increase the use of CPGs, but could serve as testing tools for assessing the efficacy and generalizability of a sequence of diagnostic tests (Triggiani et al.) (15). This could be accomplished by employing a range of assumptions and models for the respective sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, and costs of each tool being employed.

Our challenge is improving our approach to evaluating and managing thyroid nodules by increasingly employing minimally invasive techniques, and developing more specific, less costly molecular tests that not only diagnose malignancy but also provide prognostic information. Doing so will substantially

reduce the number of preventable adverse effects of invasive diagnostic and non-surgical therapeutic procedures, surgical morbidity, and financial toxicity at the expense of only detecting the relatively small percentage that prove to be thyroid cancers that require treatment.

## Author contributions

JG: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. AF: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. VP: Writing – review & editing. EP: Writing – review & editing.

## Conflict of interest

VP is CMO of Deontics Ltd.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## References

- Durante C, Costante G, Lucisano G, Bruno R, Meringolo D, Paciaroni A, et al. The natural history of benign thyroid nodules. *JAMA* (2015) 313(9):926–35. doi: 10.1001/jama.2015.0956
- Gharib H, Papini E, Garber JR, Duick DS, Harrell RM, Hegedüs L, et al. AACE/ACE/AME task force on thyroid nodules. American association of clinical endocrinologists, american college of endocrinology, and associazione medici endocrinologi medical guidelines for clinical practice for the diagnosis and management of thyroid nodules–2016 update. *Endocr Pract* (2016) 22(5):622–39. doi: 10.4158/EP161208.GL
- Haugen BR, Alexander EK, Bible KC, Doherty GM, Mandel SJ, Nikiforov YE, et al. 2015 American thyroid association management guidelines for adult patients with thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer: the american thyroid association guidelines task force on thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer. *Thyroid* (2016) 26(1):1–133. doi: 10.1089/thy.2015.0020
- Durante C, Hegedus L, Czarniecka A, Paschke R, Russ G, Schmitt F, et al. 2023 European Thyroid Association clinical practice guidelines for thyroid nodule management. *Eur Thyroid J* (2023) 12(5):e230067. doi: 10.1530/ETJ-23-0067
- Tessler FN, Middleton WD, Grant EG, Hoang JK, Berland LL, Teeffey SA, et al. ACR thyroid imaging, reporting and data system (TI-RADS): white paper of the ACR TI-RADS committee. *J Am Coll Radiol* (2017) 14(5):587–95. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2017.01.046
- White C, Weinstein MC, Fingeret AL, Randolph GW, Miyauchi A, Ito Y, et al. Is less more? A microsimulation model comparing cost-effectiveness of the revised american thyroid association's 2015 to 2009 guidelines for the management of patients with thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer. *Ann Surg* (2020) 271(4):765–73. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0000000000003074
- Bartsch DK, Dotzenrath C, Vorländer C, Zielke A, Weber T, Buhr HJ, et al. The stuDoQ/thyroid study group TSS. Current practice of surgery for benign goitre—an analysis of the prospective DGAV stuDoQ|Thyroid registry. *J Clin Med* (2019) 8(4):477. doi: 10.3390/jcm8040477
- Tessler FN, Thomas J. Artificial intelligence for evaluation of thyroid nodules: A primer. *Thyroid* (2023) 33(2):150–8. doi: 10.1089/thy.2022.0560
- Perros P, Hegedüs L, Nagy EV, Papini E, Hay HA, Abad-Madroño J, et al. The impact of hypothyroidism on satisfaction with care and treatment and everyday living: results from E-mode patient self-assessment of thyroid therapy, a cross-sectional, international online patient survey. *Thyroid* (2022) 32(10):1158–68. doi: 10.1089/thy.2022.0324
- Papini E, Monpeyssen H, Frasoldati A, Hegedüs L. 2020 European thyroid association clinical practice guideline for the use of image-guided ablation in benign thyroid nodules. *Eur Thyroid J* (2020) 9(4):172–85. doi: 10.1159/000508484
- Orloff LA, Noel JE, Stack BC Jr, Russell MD, Angelos P, Baek JH, et al. Radiofrequency ablation and related ultrasound-guided ablation technologies for treatment of benign and Malignant thyroid disease: An international multidisciplinary consensus statement of the American Head and Neck Society Endocrine Surgery Section with the Asia Pacific Society of Thyroid Surgery, Associazione Medici Endocrinologi, British Association of Endocrine and Thyroid Surgeons, European Thyroid Association, Italian Society of Endocrine Surgery Units, Korean Society of Thyroid Radiology, Latin American Thyroid Society, and Thyroid Nodules Therapies Association. *Head Neck* (2022) 44(3):633–60. doi: 10.1002/hed.26960
- Papini E, Hegedüs L. Minimally invasive ablative treatments for benign thyroid nodules: current evidence and future directions. *Thyroid* (2023) 30(8):890–3. doi: 10.1089/thy.2023.0263
- Hoang JK, Asadollahi S, Durante C, Hegedüs L, Papini E, Tessler FN. An international survey on utilization of five thyroid nodule risk stratification systems: A needs assessment with future implications. *Thyroid* (2022) 32(6):675–81. doi: 10.1089/thy.2021.0558

14. Solymosi T, Hegedűs L, Bonnema SJ, Frasoldati A, Jambor L, Karanyi Z, et al. Considerable interobserver variation calls for unambiguous definitions of thyroid nodule ultrasound characteristics. *Eur Thyroid J* (2023) 12(2):e220134. doi: 10.1530/ETJ-22-0134

15. Garber JR, Papini E, Frasoldati A, Lupo MA, Harrell RM, Parangi S, et al. American association of clinical endocrinology and associazione medici endocrinologi thyroid nodule algorithmic tool. *Endocr Pract* (2021) 27(7):649–60. doi: 10.1016/j.eprac.2021.04.007