Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Asim Mansha, Government College University, Pakistan

REVIEWED BY Chang Liu, University of Rhode Island, United States Sidharth Mehan, Indo-Soviet Friendship College of Pharmacy, India

*CORRESPONDENCE Mohammad Imran Khan, Mikhan@kau.edu.sa Mohd Kamil Hussain, Mchcdri@gmail.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 30 May 2023 ACCEPTED 18 August 2023 PUBLISHED 06 September 2023

CITATION

Alhakamy NA, Saquib M, Sanobar, Khan MF, Ansari WA, Arif DO, Irfan M, Khan MI and Hussain MK (2023), Natural product-inspired synthesis of coumarin–chalcone hybrids as potential anti-breast cancer agents. *Front. Pharmacol.* 14:1231450. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2023.1231450

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Alhakamy, Saquib, Sanobar, Khan, Ansari, Arif, Irfan, Khan and Hussain. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY).

The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Natural product-inspired synthesis of coumarin–chalcone hybrids as potential anti-breast cancer agents

Nabil A. Alhakamy^{1,2,3†}, Mohammad Saquib^{4†}, Sanobar^{5,6}, Mohammad Faheem Khan⁷, Waseem Ahmad Ansari⁷, Deema O. Arif^{2,3,8}, Mohammad Irfan⁹, Mohammad Imran Khan^{10,11*} and Mohd Kamil Hussain^{5,6*}

¹Department of Pharmaceutics, Faculty of Pharmacy, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, ²Mohamed Saeed Tamer Chair for Pharmaceutical Industries, Faculty of Pharmacy, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, ³Center of Excellence for Drug Research and Pharmaceutical Industries, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, ⁴Department of Chemistry, University of Allahabad, Prayagraj, India, ⁵Department of Chemistry, Government Raza Post Graduate College, Rampur, India, ⁶M. J. P. Rohilkhand University, Bareilly, India, ⁷Department of Biotechnology, Era's Lucknow Medical College, Era University, Lucknow, India, ⁸Faculty of Medicine, Ibn Sina National College, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, ⁹Department of Medicine, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College and Hospital, Aligarh Muslim University (AMU), Aligarh, India, ¹⁰Departments of Biochemistry, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, ¹¹Centre of Artificial Intelligence in Precision Medicine, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

Twelve novel neo-tanshinlactone-chalcone hybrid molecules were constructed through a versatile methodology involving the Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons (HWE) olefination of 4-formyl-2H-benzo [h]chromen-2-ones and phosphonic acid diethyl esters, as the key step, and evaluated for anticancer activity against a series of four breast cancers and their related cell lines, viz. MCF-7 (ER + ve), MDA-MB-231 (ER-ve), HeLa (cervical cancer), and Ishikawa (endometrial cancer). The title compounds showed excellent to moderate in vitro anti-cancer activity in a range of 6.8–19.2 μ M (IC₅₀). Compounds **30** (IC₅₀ = 6.8 μ M and MCF-7; IC₅₀ = 8.5 μM and MDA-MB-231) and **31 (I**C_{50} = 14.4 μM and MCF-7; IC_{50} = 15.7 μM and MDA-MB-231) exhibited the best activity with compound 30 showing more potent activity than the standard drug tamoxifen. Compound 30 demonstrated a strong binding affinity with tumor necrosis factor α (TNF- α) in molecular docking studies. This is significant because $TNF\alpha$ is linked to MCF-7 cancer cell lines, and it enhances luminal breast cancer cell proliferation by upregulating aromatase. Additionally, virtual ADMET studies confirmed that hybrid compounds 30 and 31 met Lipinski's rule; displayed high bioavailability, excellent oral absorption, favorable albumin interactions, and strong penetration capabilities; and improved blood-brain barrier crossing. Based on the aforementioned results, compound 30 has been identified as a potential anti-breast cancer lead molecule.

KEYWORDS

breast cancer, coumarins, chalcones, neo-tanshinlactone, hybrid molecules

1 Introduction

Breast carcinoma is the most commonly diagnosed cancer and the leading cause of death from cancer disease globally. In the year 2020, approximately 23 million new cases of breast cancer (11.7% of all cancer cases) were estimated worldwide (Siegel et al., 2020; Sung et al., 2021; Saquib et al., 2023). Despite the achievements and advances made in the chemotherapy of cancers during the past 20 years, the emergence of drug resistance continues to be a major issue in cancer treatment. Increasing prevalence of drug resistance combined with issues of tissue selectivity and toxicity in cancer chemotherapy has necessitated further research toward the development of less toxic and more effective therapeutic antibreast cancer agents (Saquib et al., 2019). The search for smallmolecule inhibitors (SMIs) based on novel molecular scaffolds that exhibit unique medicinal properties is largely inspired by biologically relevant molecules, primarily natural products (NPs). The structural diversity of NPs provides a rich source of molecular scaffolds that can be used as starting points for the design and discovery of new SMIs. By studying the structural features of NPs, researchers can identify new therapeutic agents with improved pharmacokinetic properties, increased potency, and reduced toxicity. However, the full potential of NPs in the design of new scaffolds for drug discovery is currently limited by the scarcity of guiding synthetic strategies available for this purpose. In this milieu, molecular hybridization can be a very useful tool (Li and Vederas, 2009; Wetzel et al., 2011). This approach involves combining two or more pharmacophoric units to obtain novel compounds with enhanced therapeutic properties and is finding increasing applications in the fields of drug discovery and medicinal chemistry (Meunier, 2008; Bosquesi et al., 2011; Saquib et al., 2013; Tukulula et al., 2013; Švenda et al., 2015) (Figure 1) (Tietze et al., 2003).

2-Methoxyestradiol–colchicine hybrids and estrone–talaromycin hybrids are interesting examples of NPbased hybrid scaffolds. 2-Methoxyestradiol–colchicine hybrids were found to be more active as compared to the parent molecules against the tubulin polymerization assembly. 2-Methoxyestradiol–colchicine hybrid **A** inhibited polymerization of tubulin (IC₅₀ = 2.1 μ M) with greater potency as compared to parent molecules 2-methoxyestradiol (2-ME) (IC₅₀ = 14.2 μ M) and colchicine (IC₅₀ = $11.2 \,\mu$ M) (Miller et al., 1997). The estrone–talaromycin hybrid (**B**), which was designed through the *de novo* combination of fragments of talaromycin B and estrone nucleus, exhibited better cytotoxic activity as compared to talaromycin B (Figure 2) (Tietze et al., 1998).

Several naturally occurring and synthetic coumarin derivatives have been reported to exhibit potent anti-cancer activity including anti-breast cancer activity (Musa et al., 2008; Küpeli Akkol et al., 2020). Neo-tanshinlactone, a benzocoumarin isolated from the underground stem of *Salvia miltiorrhiza*, inhibited the proliferation of MCF-7 cell lines more effectively than the antibreast cancer drug tamoxifen (Wang et al., 2004; Lin et al., 2016). Likewise, chalcone is an important pharmacophore widely distributed in many natural products. Various natural and synthetic chalcones have been reported to show excellent biological activities including anticancer activity (Malek et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2013; Zhuang et al., 2017). Moreover, a naturally occurring coumarin–chalcone hybrid isolated from the leaves of *Cyclosorus parasiticus* have been found to be cytotoxic (Quadri-Spinelli et al., 2000; Wei et al., 2013).

The interesting pharmacological properties of the neotanshinlactone and the chalcone scaffolds inspired us to design the neo-tanshinlactone-chalcone hybrid molecules (coumarin-chalcone hybrids) by the *de novo* combination of both these scaffolds onto one template (Figure 3).

2 Results and discussion

The synthesis of the target hybrid molecules was envisaged by the coupling of β -aryl- β -ketophosphonates (13–17) with the 4formyl-2*H*-benzo [*h*]chromen-2-ones (24–25) (Figure 4) using the Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons (HWE) reaction, a versatile and efficient synthetic tool for the synthesis of diverse types of chalcone molecules and stilbene derivatives. The HWE reaction would allow us to synthesize a library of the target chalcones in quantitative yields. β -aryl- β -ketophosphonates (13–17) were prepared by the Michaelis-Arbuzov reaction of substituted phenacyl bromides and triethylphoshphite (Figure 5) (Debrouwer et al., 2013; Dunny et al., 2013; Saquib et al., 2021). 4-Formyl-2*H*-benzo [*h*]chromen-2-ones, 24–25, on the other hand, were







synthesized by the Riley oxidation of 4-methyl-2*H*-benzo [h] chromen-2-ones, **22–23**, in quantitative yields, which, in turn, were synthesized in excellent yields through an acid-catalyzed Pechmann condensation of ethyl acetoacetate (EAA) and 2-naphthols, **20–21**, at room temperature (Figure 6).

Finally, the olefination of β -aryl- β -ketophosphonates, 13–17, with 4-formyl-2H-benzo [h]chromen-2-ones, 24–25,

in dry DMF/MeONa led to the synthesis of 2H-benzo [h] chromen-2-ones-chalcone hybrids (neo-tanshinlactone-chalcone hybrids), **26-37**, in excellent yields (95%–97%) (Figure 7). The synthesized compounds **26-37** were purified by recrystallization using ethyl acetate. We confirmed the structures of these compounds by NMR spectroscopy, mass spectrometry, and elemental analysis.



3 *In vitro* antiproliferative activities of the synthesized compounds

We conducted an *in vitro* MTT assay to evaluate the anti-cancer activity of the synthesized neo-tanshinlactone–chalcone hybrid compounds, **26–37**, against four human cancer cell lines, namely, MCF-7 (breast cancer), MDA-MB-231 (breast cancer), Ishikawa (endometrial cancer), and HeLa (cervical cancer). The toxicity of the hybrid molecules on the normal human embryonic kidney cell line HEK-293 was also evaluated.

From among the evaluated molecules, four compounds, i.e., **26**, **30**, **31**, and **33**, showed anti-cancer activity against MCF-7 cell lines. These compounds had methoxy substituents at the 3', 5', and 3', 4', and 5' positions of the aroyl rings. Additionally, compounds **26**, **30**, and **31** also exhibited anti-cancer activity against MDA-MB-231 cell lines. Among these, **30** showed the highest anti-cancer activity against both MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines with IC₅₀ values of 6.8 μ M and 8.5 μ M, respectively (Table 1; Figures 8A,B). However, these compounds did not show activity against Ishikawa and HeLa cell lines (IC₅₀ > 20 μ M).

Similarly, compound 31 also exhibited potent inhibitions against MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines with IC50 values of 14.4 µM and 15.7 µM, respectively, while compound 26 exhibited anti-cancer activity with IC₅₀ values of 17.2 μ M and 16.6 μ M, respectively, in both of these cell lines. Molecules 34, 35, 36, and 37 having chloro substituents on the aroyl ring were found inactive against MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines (IC_{50} > 20 μM); however, compounds 36 and 37 showed good antiproliferative activity against Ishikawa and HeLa cell lines. Molecule 36 showed anti-cancer activity against Ishikawa and HeLa cell lines and with IC₅₀ values of 19.2 µM and 16.8 µM, respectively, while compound 37 exhibited inhibition against Ishikawa and HeLa cell lines with IC₅₀ values of $18.6\,\mu\text{M}$ and $17.2\,\mu\text{M},$ respectively. Molecules 29 and 32having unsubstituted aroyl rings were found inactive (IC₅₀ > 20 μ M) against all the four cancer cell lines. The results of the assay showed that the synthesized compounds had significant anti-cancer activity against all the four cell lines.

Interestingly, all the evaluated compounds were found to be non-toxic to normal HEK-293 cells with an IC₅₀ value greater than 20 μ M. This result indicates that the synthesized compounds, particularly compounds **30** and **31**, could be good candidates for further lead optimization.



Preparation of β-aryl-β-ketophosphonates (13-17) through the Michaelis-Arbuzov reaction; reagent and conditions: (a) Br₂, Et₂O, and (b) P(OEt)₃.



FIGURE 6

Reagent and conditions for the synthesis of 4-formyl-2H-benzo [h]chromen-2-ones; (a) Mel (1equiv), anhyd. K₂CO₃, acetone; (b) Cat conc. H₂SO₄, RT; (c) SeO₂, xylene, reflux.



4 Analysis of molecular docking

We conducted a molecular docking study for all the synthesized compounds, **26–37**, to investigate their binding interactions with the target proteins of cancer cell lines. The target proteins such as tumor necrosis factor α (TNF- α), c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK), mitogenactivated protein kinase (MAPK), and nuclear factor-kB (Nf-kB) were selected based on their involvement in cell proliferation, which is a key hallmark of cancer. The docking scores of the compounds provided an estimate of their binding affinity to the target proteins. This study can help us understand how these compounds interact with cancer cells and thus potentially lead to the development of new cancer agents. The docking scores of the compounds are shown in Table 2. The binding affinities of all docked compounds were in complete agreement with the obtained *in vitro* results and showed

that compounds 30 and 31 exhibited potent inhibitory activities against TNF-a, JNK, MAPK, and Nf-kB targets. Considering the effect of hydrogen bonds, hydrophobic, electrostatic, and pi-pi interactions, the docking results revealed that all the compounds, 6-37, displayed significant docking scores ranging from -7.508to -10.170 kcal/mol TNF-α against the -6.867to -7.516 kcal/mol against the JNK target, target, -3.936 to -5.285 kcal/mol against the MAPK target, and -3.257 to -4.507 kcal/mol against the NF-kB target, respectively (Mendie and Hemalatha, 2022). Compound 30 demonstrated polar and hydrophobic interactions with Ser60 and Gln61, and Leu57, Ile58, Tyr119, Leu120, Tyr151, and Ile155 amino acids of TNF-a protein (Figure 9A). Similarly, compound 30 displayed H-bonding with Met109, and polar and hydrophobic interactions with Ser32, Thr106, and Hie107, and Val30, Tyr35,

S. No.	Comp. no.	IC ₅₀ values (mean \pm SEM, in μ M)							
		MCF-7	MDA-MB-231	lshikawa	HeLa	HEK-293			
1	26	17.2 ± 1.75	16.6 ± 1.25	>20	>20	>20			
2	27	>20	>20	17.4 ± 2.72	>20	>20			
3	28	18.6 ± 2.30	>20	>20	>20	>20			
4	29	>20	>20	>20	>20	>20			
5	30	6.8 ± 1.48	8.5 ± 1.12	ND	ND	>20			
6	31	14.4 ± 1.68	15.7 ± 1.01	>20	>20	>20			
7	32	>20	>20	ND	ND	>20			
8	33	16.3 ± 2.30	>20	>20	>20	>20			
9	34	>20	>20	>20	>20	>20			
10	35	>20	>20	>20	>20	>20			
11	36	>20	>20	19.2 ± 3.12	16.8 ± 3.14	>20			
12	37	>20	>20	18.6 ± 2.56	17.2 ± 2.88	>20			
13	TAM	11.2 ± 2.36	15.5 ± 2.64	ND	ND	18.8 ± 2.22			

TABLE 1 In vitro anti-cancer activity and receptor-binding affinity of hybrid compounds, 26-37, against various cancer cell lines.

The bold values represent the IC₅₀ values of compounds showing significant anti-cancer activity.



FIGURE 8

(A) Cytotoxicity assay of compounds 26-33 in MCF-7 cells. (B) Cytotoxicity assay of 26-33 in MCF-7 cells in MDA-MB-231 cells: results are expressed as mean \pm SE; n = 5; p values are a-p<0.001, b-p<0.01, c-p<0.05, and d > 0.05.

Comp no.	TNF-α (6X81)	JNK (1JNK)	MAPK(1A9U)	NF-KB (1SVC)
26	-9.148	-7.048	-4.90	-3.504
27	-9.116	-6.945	-4.769	-4.199
28	-7.452	-6.932	NB	-3.976
29	-7.529	-7.055	-4.006	-3.736
30	-10.170	-6.966	-5.285	-4.507
31	-7.771	-7.516	-3.936	-3.608
32	-9.527	-7.074	-5.219	-4.429
33	-7.086	-6.913	-5.284	-4.879
34	-9.649	-7.491	-5.007	-4.324
35	-7.779	-6.867	-5.154	-3.257
36	-9.719	-7.014	-4.815	-4.003
37	-7.508	-6.704	-4.878	-3.192
Tamoxifen	-6.809	-6.544	-4.987	-3.162

TABLE 2 Docking scores of compounds, 26-37, against the target proteins which are involved in cell proliferation.

The bold values represent the IC_{50} values of compounds showing significant anti-cancer activity.



FIGURE 9

Two-dimensional (2D) views of molecular docking: (A) molecular interactions of compound **30** within the pockets of the TNF-a target, (B) molecular interactions of compound **31** within the pockets of the JNK target, (C) molecular interactions of **30** within the pockets of the MAPK target, and (D) molecular interactions of compound **30** within the pockets of the NF-kB target.



FIGURE 10

Two-dimensional (2D) views of molecular docking: (A) molecular interactions of tamoxifen within the pockets of the TNF-α target, (B) molecular interactions of tamoxifen within the pockets of the JNK target, (C) molecular interactions of tamoxifen within the pockets of the MAPK target, and (D) molecular interactions of tamoxifen within the pockets of the NF-kB target.

TABLE 3 A) MD simulation parameters of the 30-TNF- α complex and B) ligand interaction with amino acids of TNF- α (PDB: 6X81.

Parameter	Target receptor
RMSD of the ligand (Å)	0.512-2.010
RMSD of Ca (Å)	1.162-2.402
RMSF of Ca protein (Å)	0.363-3.987
rGyr (Ų)	4.295-4.850
MolSA (Å ²)	369.444-393.097
SASA (Ų)	0.000-11.171
PSA (Å ²)	118.929-146.046
H-bonds	D: Tyr151, E: Gln61, E: Gly122, E: Tyr151, and F: Gly122
Hydrophobic bonds	D: Leu57, D: Tyr59, D: Tyr119, D: Tyr151, D: Ile155, E: Leu57, E: Tyr59, E: Tyr119, E: Val123, F: Leu57, F: Tyr59, F: Tyr119, F: Val123, and F: Ile155
	Parameter RMSD of the ligand (Å) RMSD of Ca (Å) RMSF of Ca protein (Å) rGyr (Å ²) MolSA (Å ²) SASA (Å ²) PSA (Å ²) H-bonds Hydrophobic bonds



Val38, Ala51, Leu74, Leu75, Ile84, Leu108, Phe169, Leu171, and Ala172 amino acids of the MAPK target (Figure 9C). Compound 30 also exhibited H-bonding with Arg59 and Tyr82 along with pi-pi, pi-cation, polar, and hydrophobic interactions with Phe56, Lys80, Hie67, Asn139, Asn250, and Pro71 amino acids of the NF-kB target (Figure 9D). On the other hand, compound 31 showed H-bonding with Asn109, and polar and hydrophobic interactions with Ser72, Gln75, Asn152, Gln155, Ser193, Thr226, Ile70, Ala74, Val74, Ala91, Met146, Leu148, Met149, and Ala151 amino acids of JNK protein (Figure 9B). Additionally, tamoxifen (control drug) exhibited polar and hydrophobic interactions with Ser60 and Ser61, and Leu57, Ile58, Tyr59, Tyr119, Leu120, Val123, Tyr151, and Ile155 amino acids of TNF-a protein (Figure 10A). Moreover, tamoxifen also showed polar and hydrophobic interactions with Ser72, Asn152, Gln155, Ser193, and Asn194, and Ile70, Val78, Ala91, Ile124, Leu148, Met149, and Ala151 amino acids of the JNK target (Figure 10B). Tamoxifen showed salt bridge, pi-cation, and hydrophobic interactions with Glu71 and Lys53, and Tyr35, Val38, Ala51, val52, Leu75, Ile84, Leu86, Leu104, Val105, Leu108, Met109, Leu167, Phe169, Leu171, and Ala172 amino acids of MAPK protein (Figure 10C). Tamoxifen also displayed H-bonding, pi-cation, polar and hydrophobic interactions with Ser74, Lys80, Hie67, Ser75, and Asn139, and Phe56, Pro71, Ala73, and Tyr82 amino acids of NF-kB protein (Figure 10D) (Guerrero-Perilla et al., 2015).

5 Analysis of molecular dynamic simulation

After the analysis of the *in vitro* and docking studies, we found that compound **30**, as the most active derivative of neo-tanshinlactone, inhibited the cell proliferation of cancer cells via the suppression of the TNF- α , MAPK, and Nf-kB signaling pathways. Because of the highest

Parameter	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37
MW	386.4	356.3	386.4	356.3	416.4	416.4	326.3	446.4	360.7	390.8	395.20	425.26
HBD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HBA	6	5	6	5	6	6	4	7	4	5	4	5
PSA	82.53	74.41	81.24	73.06	89.38	89.49	66.20	96.44	66.20	73.06	66.22	73.07
LogP	3.85	3.78	3.90	3.84	3.97	4.01	3.72	4.12	4.23	4.34	4.67	4.78
LogS	-5.07	-4.88	-5.16	-5.00	-5.32	-5.28	-4.74	-5.57	-5.51	-5.77	-6.15	-6.41
Caco cell	785.81	784.88	785.84	786.10	786.69	786.00	785.49	786.42	785.47	786.08	784.31	784.94
logBB	-1.01	-0.91	-1.01	-0.92	-1.11	-1.09	-0.82	-1.20	-0.67	-0.77	-0.55	-0.65
MDCK cell	381.24	380.75	381.25	381.39	381.70	381.34	381.07	381.56	939.63	940.41	1956.63	1958.24
HSA	0.249	0.27	0.26	0.29	0.24	0.26	0.29	0.25	0.42	0.41	0.54	0.53
НОА	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
%HOA	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

TABLE 4 Pharmacological parameters of compounds 26–37.

^aMW, molecular weight (130–725); HBD, hydrogen bond donor (0–6); HBA, hydrogen bond acceptor (2–20); PSA, polar surface area (7–200Å2); LogP, lipophilicity (–2.0–6.5); Log S, solubility (–6.5-0.5 mol/dm3); Caco cell, colon cancer cell (<25 poor and >500 high); LogBB, brain barrier (–3.0–1.2); MDCK cell, Madin–Darby canin kidney cell (<25 poor an >500 high); HSA, human serum albumin (–1.5–1.5); HOA, human oral absorption (1 for low, 2 for medium, and 3 for high); %HOA, percentage of human oral absorption.

binding, we performed MD simulation on compound 30 to study the stability within binding pockets of TNF-a target protein. A 100-ns trajectory was used to extract out RMSD, RMSF, RyG, and the number of hydrogen bonds of the ligand-protein complex by applying the OPLS3 force field in the molecular system. In this experiment, we found that the RMSD value of the ligand varies from 0.512 Å to 2.010 Å (Table 3A) and it exhibited the conformational rotation in the active site of the protein. The RMSD value of the protein varies from 1.162 Å to 2.402 Å (Table 3). Initially, it showed an RMSD value 2.402 for 20 ns time and then attained the equilibrium throughout the simulation. The RMSF value of Ca protein deviated from 0.363 Å to 3.987 Å (Table 3A), but the residue Asn39 from the chain E fluctuated to 3.987 Å, which is attributed to the stability for the whole simulation (Khan et al., 2022). In order to optimize the ligand properties, 30 was found to deviate up to 18.30 ns, which had shown RMSD values in the range of 0.62 Å to 1.38 Å and then attained equilibrium at 0.41 Å. The rGyr value of the ligand was calculated from 4.295 Å to 4.850 Å, followed by the achievement of the equilibrium point at 4.5 Å. Similarly, MolSA, SASA, and PSA were computed for the ranges 369.444 Å²-393.097 Ų, 0.000 Ų-11.171 Ų, and 118.929 Ų-146.046 Ų. As shown in Figure 11, these properties of compound 30 showed that it remains stable throughout the MD simulation. The protein-ligand interactions of the stable 30-TNF- α complex were analyzed by a histogram, as shown in Figure 11F. The protein-ligand interactions were distinguished by including hydrogen, ionic, and hydrophobic bonds, and water bridges. We observed that compound 30 showed five hydrogen bonds with chain D: Tyr151, chain E: Gln61, chain E: Gly122, chain E: Tyr151, and chain F: Gly122 amino acids of the TNF-a target (Table 4). On the other hand, throughout the MD simulation, it formed a very stable intermolecular hydrogen bond with chain E: Tyr151, which contributed to more than 99% stability of the compound 30-TNF-a complex. Despite the hydrogen bonds, compound 30 also showed 14 hydrophobic interactions with chain D: Leu57, chain D: Tyr59, chain D: Tyr119, chain D: Tyr151, chain D:

Ile155, chain E: Leu57, chain E: Tyr59, chain E: Tyr119, chain E: Val123, chain F: Leu57, chain F: Tyr59, chain F: Tyr119, chain F: Val123, and chain F: Ile155 residues (Table 3B) (Abdalla et al., 2021; Kumar et al., 2022).

6 Pharmacological parameters of compounds

Preliminary screening of the hybrid compounds showed that none of the compounds violated the rule of five (Lipinski's rule), and all of them showed high bioavailability. Consequently, we studied the pharmacological properties of compounds **30** and **31**, which showed the highest molecular docking score, in detail. Both the compounds exhibited 100% human oral absorption and good interactions with serum albumin 0.24 and 0.26 within the range (-1.5 to +1.5), respectively. Furthermore, they demonstrated high penetration capabilities with values of 786.69 nm/s and 786.00 nm/s against Caco, and 381.70 nm/s and 381.34 nm/s against MDCK cells, respectively. Moreover, compounds **30** and **31** showed a significantly improved capability to cross the blood–brain barrier with values of -1.11 and -1.09, which were lower than the specified criterion from -3.0 to 1.2 (Table 4). Considering the aforementioned results, we can say that compounds **30** and **31** have all the attributes to be potent therapeutic agents (Kumar et al., 2023).

7 Critical analysis, current study limitations, and future perspective

Although the aforementioned strategy enabled us to synthesize a diverse library of the target hybrid molecules, we could not synthesize coumarin–chalcone hybrids containing phenolic rings in the chalcone motif using the HWE strategy. The synthesis of the phenolic ring containing hybrid molecules may have been possible if the Claisen–Schmidt reaction was used instead of the present strategy. However, our efforts to couple the β -aryl- β ketophosphonates with the 4-formyl-2H-benzo [h]chromen-2ones to obtain the target hybrid molecules using the Claisen–Schmidt reaction were not successful. Considering the potential usefulness of the coumarin–chalcone hybrids as novel anti-breast cancer compounds, we are now concentrating our efforts toward finding a new synthetic protocol which will enable us to synthesize newer derivatives of the aforementioned hybrid molecules and evaluate their anti-breast cancer activity in our search for more potent and less toxic anti-breast cancer agents.

8 Conclusion

In summary, a library of 12 coumarin-chalcone hybrid compounds, 26-37, were constructed, based on the molecular hybridization concept, via a practical and effective synthetic route which involved the HWE coupling of 4-formyl-2H-benzo [h] chromen-2-ones with phosphonic acid diethyl esters. The in vitro anti-cancer activity of the synthesized hybrid molecules was evaluated using an MTT assay, wherein they were found to exhibit potent to moderate anti-cancer activity against a panel of four cancer cell lines, including two breast cancer (MCF-7, ER + ve and MDA-MB-231, ER-ve), one cervical cancer (HeLa), and one endometrial cancer (Ishikawa) cell lines. Among these, molecules 30 and 31 were found to be the most potent, with compound 30 demonstrating superior activity against both ER + ve (IC₅₀ = $6.8 \mu M$, MCF-7) and ER-ve (IC₅₀ = 8.5 µM, MDA-MB-231) breast cancer cell lines as compared to the standard drug tamoxifen. The toxicity of the molecules, 26-37, was also evaluated on the normal human embryonic kidney cell line HEK-293, and interestingly, none of the screened molecules exhibited any toxicity against normal HEK-293 cells. Compound 30 also demonstrated a strong binding affinity with the tumor necrosis factor a (TNF-a) in molecular docking studies. This is significant because TNFa is associated with MCF-7 cancer cell lines. Virtual ADMET studies validated the compliance of hybrid compounds 30 and 31 with Lipinski's rule. Moreover, they exhibited high bioavailability, excellent oral absorption, favorable albumin interactions, strong penetration capabilities, and improved blood-brain barrier crossing, indicating their potential as potent therapeutic agents. Thus, compound 30 could be considered a potential anti-breast cancer lead molecule, possibly by targeting TNFa.

9 Experiments

9.1 Chemistry

All the chemicals were procured from Across Organics and Sigma-Aldrich, and were used without further purification. The IR spectra of the compounds were recorded using a Perkin–Elmer Spectrum GX FTIR spectrometer. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded using the Bruker DRX-300 (300 MHz for ¹H and at 75 MHz for ¹³C) or DPX-200 (at 50 MHz for ¹³C) spectrometer using CDCl₃, DMSO- d_6 , or TFAd₁ (see the Supporting Information). Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in parts per million (ppm), using TMS as an internal standard. ESI–MS spectra were recorded using an LCQ advantage ion-trap mass spectrometer (Finnigan Thermo Fisher Scientific), and high-resolution mass spectra (ESI-HRMS) were recorded using an Agilent 6520 ESI-QTOP mass spectrometer.

9.1.1 General procedure for the synthesis of 2bromo-1-(aryl) ethan-1-ones (7–12)

A solution of bromine (7.50 mmol; 1.0 equiv), dissolved in Et₂O (15 mL), was added to the stirred solution of the respective substituted acetophenones (7.5 mmol) in Et₂O (15 mL) in a 50-mL round bottom flask at 0°C, and the mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. After the completion of the reaction, it was quenched with the saturated NaHCO₃ solution (100 mL) and extracted with Et₂O (3 × 40 ml). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo* to obtain the crude mixture which was subsequently crystallized from EtOH to obtain the pure compounds **9** and **10**. Compounds **7**, **8**, **11**, and **12**, on the other hand, are commercially available.

2-Bromo-1-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)ethan-1-one (9): white crystal (87%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃), 7.24 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 2H), 6.78 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (s, 2H), and 3.89 (s, 6H). **2-Bromo-1-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)ethan-1-one (10)**: white crystals (85%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃), 7.18 (s, 2H), 4.37 (s, 2H), 3.88 (s, 3H), and 3.84 (s, 6H).

9.1.2 General procedure for the synthesis of diethyl 2-oxo-2-arylethylphosphonates (13–18)

Respective 2-bromo-1-(aryl)-ethan-1-ones (7–12, 5 mmol) and triethylphoshphite (40 mmol) were dissolved in acetonitrile (10 mL) using a round bottom flask and heated to 80° C for 2 h. After completion of the reaction, DCM (15 mL) and water (30 mL) were added to the reaction mixture, and it was extracted with DCM (3 × 40 mL). The combined organic layers were dried using Na₂SO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude residue so obtained was purified through column chromatography to yield pure phosphonates (13–18). The analytical data on the phosphonates were found to be in agreement with those reported in the literature (Debrouwer et al., 2013; Dunny et al., 2013).

Diethyl (2-oxo-2-phenylethyl)phosphonate (13): viscous oil (82%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.04 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (t, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (t, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 4.1–4.15 (m, 4H), 3.67 (d, *J* = 23.1 Hz, 2H), and 1.25 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 192.0 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 137.2, 134.4, 130.0, 129.4, 62.6 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz), 38.5 (d, *J* = 129.1 Hz), and 16.6 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz); ESI–MS (m/z): 256; found 257 [M + H].⁺

Diethyl (2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-oxoethyl)phosphonate (14): viscous oil (84%); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.01 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.54 (t, *J* = 9. 0 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (t, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 4.02–4.08 (m, 4H), 3.62 (d, *J* = 22.8 Hz, 2H), and 1.24 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 6H); ESI–MS (m/z): 286; found 287 [M + H].⁺

Diethyl (2-(3,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-oxoethyl)phosphonate (15): viscous oil (85%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃), 7.62 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 2H), 6.78 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 4.2–4.10 (m, 4H), 3.89 (s, 6H), 3.64 (d, J = 23.1 Hz, 2H), and 1.29 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H); ESI–MS (m/z): 316; found 17 [M + H].⁺

Diethyl (2-oxo-2-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)ethyl)phosphonate (16): viscous oil (80%) ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃), 7.58 (s, 2H),

4.2–4.12 (m, 4H), 3.89 (s, 6H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.62 (d, *J* = 23.4 Hz, 2H), and 1.25 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 6H); ESI–MS (m/z): 346; found 347 [M + H].⁺

9.1.3 General procedure for the synthesis of benzocoumarin-chalcone hybrids (26-37)

A volume of 6 mmol of NaOMe was added dropwise to a solution of the respective phosphonates (13–18, 2 mmol) in dry DMF (5 mL) at 0°C. The resulting mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 1 h, followed by the dropwise addition of benzocoumarin-4-carbaldehyde (24–25, 2.0 mmol) in DMF (5 mL), and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for an additional 4 h. On the completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was quenched with ice water and allowed to stand for 2 h. The solid that precipitated out was filtered, washed with Et₂O, and crystallized from hot EtOAc to provide the desired benzocoumarin–chalcone hybrid compounds (26–37) as pure compounds.

(E)-4-(3-(3,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)-3-oxoprop-1-en-1-yl)-2Hbenzo[h]chromen-2-one (26): light yellow solid (95%); mp272–273°C; Anal. (%) for C₂₄H₁₈O₅: Calcd., C, 74.60; H, 4.70; found, C, 74.78; H, 4.69; IR (KBr) v/cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃+ DMSO-d₆) δ 8.54 (brs, 1H), 8.12 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 7.92 (brs, 1H), 7.81–7.76 (m, 3H), 7.69–7.67 (m, 2H), 7.22 (s, 2H), 6.89 (s, 1H), 6.73 (s, 1H), and 3.88 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 188.4, 161.1, 160.6, 151.2, 149.8, 138.8, 136.8, 135.0, 130.0, 129.1, 127.7, 124.6, 123.2, 122.7, 120.1, 112.4, 106.5, 106.1, and 55.7; ESI–MS: (m/z); 386, found [M + H]⁺ 387; HRMS-ESI: C₂₄H₁₉O₅ [M + H]⁺calcd 387.1232, found 387.1343.

(E)-4-(3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-3-oxoprop-1-enyl)-2H-benzo[h] chromen-2-one (27): light yellow solid (93%); mp 255-252°C; IR (KBr) 3040, 1704, 1574, 1465, 1235, 1120, and 812 v/cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.64–8.61 (m, 1H), 8.19–8.08 (m, 3H), 7.92–7.91 (m, 1H), 7.77–7.68 (m, 5H), 7.05 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.78 (s, 1H), and 3.94 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 186.9, 164.6, 160.7, 151.1, 150.0, 135.9, 135.0, 131.2, 129.9, 129.0, 127.7,127.4, 124.6, 123.2, 122.7, 120.2, 114.2, 113.5,112.2, and 55.6; ESI–MS: (m/z); 356, found [M + H]⁺ 357; HRMS-ESI: C₂₃H₁₇O₄ [M + H]⁺calcd 357.1127, found 357.1132.

(E)-7-Methoxy-4-(3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-oxoprop-1-enyl)-2*H*-benzo[*h*]chromen-2-one (28): light yellow solid (92%); mp 236–237°C; Anal. (%) for $C_{24}H_{18}O_5$: Calcd., C, 74.60; H, 4.70; found, C, 74.44; H, 4.75; IR (KBr) 1730, 1604, 1386, 1262, 1177, and 1052 v/cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR (300 MHz, TFA-*d*₁) δ 8.27–8.16 (m, 5H), 7.92 (d, *J* = 15.5 Hz, 1H), 7.73 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.6 (s, 1H), 7.15–7.13 (m, 4H), 4.06 (s, 3H), and 4.01 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (TFA-*d*₁, 75 MHz) δ 165.2, 154.9, 153.3, 136.5, 132.2, 130.9, 128.6, 128.2, 127.4, 123.4, 120.4, 117.8, 116.5, 115.2, 114.3, 114.1, 112.8, 111.5, 109.6, 108.7, 55.0, and 54.6; ESI–MS: (m/z); 386, found [M + H]⁺ 387; HRMS-ESI: $C_{24}H_{19}O_5$ [M + H]⁺calcd 387.1232, found 387.1338.

(E)-7-Methoxy-4-(3-oxo-3-phenylprop-1-en-1-yl)-2H-benzo [h]chromen-2-one (29): light yellow solid; yield: 92%, mp 180–182°C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.28–8.24 (m, 2H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.77–7.66 (m, 3H), 7.58–7.49 (m, 4H), 7.41 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, 1H),7.12 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 6.81 (s, 1H), and 4.04 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 188.6, 162.1, 156.21, 152.2, 151.4,138.4, 136.2, 128.4, 129.1, 128.6, 127.8, 126.9, 124.8, 121.2, 119.6, 118.8, 114.6, 114.6, 110.2, 106.4, and 55.8; ESI–MS: (m/ z); 328, found $[M + H]^+$ 329; HRMS-ESI: $[M + H]^+$ for $C_{23}H_{17}O_4$ calcd 357.1127, found 357.1119.

(E)-4-(3-(3,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)-3-oxoprop-1-en-1-yl)-7methoxy-2H-benzo[h]chromen-2-one (30): light yellow solid (97%); mp 258–259°C; Anal. (%) for $C_{25}H_{20}O_6$: Calcd., C, 72.11; H, 4.84; found, C, 72.24; H, 4.82; IR (KBr), 2952, 1728, 1668,1578, 1458,1352, and 1245 v/cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR (300 MHz, TFA- d_1) δ 8.14–8.09 (m, 3H), 7.88 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.70–7.50 (m, 3H), 7.44 (s, 1H), 6.98 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.81(s 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), and 3.80 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (TFA- d_1 , 75 MHz) δ 193.0, 160.1,154.8, 153.0, 150.2, 137.8, 137.5, 130.6, 128.1, 123.4, 120.4, 117.8, 116.6, 115.2, 109.9, and 55.1, ESI–MS: (m/z); 416, found [M + H]⁺ 417; HRMS-ESI: $C_{25}H_{21}O_6$ [M + H]⁺calcd 417.1338, found 417.1349.

(E)-4-(3-Oxo-3-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)prop-1-enyl)-

2H-benzo[*h*]**chromen-2-one (31)**: light yellow solid (97%); mp 266–267°C; Anal. (%) for $C_{25}H_{20}O_6$: Calcd., C, 72.11; H, 4.84; found, C, 72.29; H, 4.80; IR (KBr) 3064, 1709,1613, 1468, 1377, 1226, and 1078. 967 v/cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.58–8.55 (m, 1H), 8.16 (d, *J* = 15.3 Hz, 1H), 7.90–7.88 (m, 1H), 7.73–7.66 (m, 5H), 7.35 (s, 2H), 6.79 (s, 1H), and 3.99 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 187.3, 160.7, 153.3, 151.1, 149.8, 143.3, 136.5, 135.0, 132.1, 129.6, 129.1, 127.7,127.4, 124.6, 123.2, 122.6, 120.0, 113.4, 112.3, 106.2, 61.0, and 56.4; ESI–MS: (m/z); 416, found [M + H] ⁺ 417; HRMS-ESI: $C_{25}H_{21}O_6$ [M + H]⁺calcd 417.1338, found 417.1344.

(E)-4-(3-Oxo-3-phenylprop-1-enyl)-2*H*-benzo[*h*]chromen-2one (32): light yellow (95%) solid; mp 245–246°C; Anal. (%) for $C_{22}H_{14}O_3$: Calcd., C, 80.97; H, 4.32; found, C, 80.78; H, 4.36; IR (KBr) 3434, 2940, 1709, 1584, 1460, 1237, 1126, and 814 v/cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.61–8.59 (m, 1H), 8.20–8.08 (m, 3H), 7.91–7.90 (m, 1H), 7.75–7.68 (m, 6H), 7.61–7.56 (m, 2H), and 6.77 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 188.7, 160.6, 151.1, 149.8, 136.9, 136.7, 135.0, 133.8, 129.9, 129.1, 128.7, 127.7, 127.4, 124.6, 123.2, 122.7, 120.1, 113.3, and 112.4; ESI–MS: (m/z); 326, found [M + H]⁺ 327; HRMS-ESI: $C_{22}H_{15}O_3$ [M + H]⁺calcd 327.1021, found 327.1032.

(E)-7-Methoxy-4-(3-oxo-3-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)prop-1enyl)-2*H*-benzo[*h*] chromen-2-one (33): light yellow solid (94%); mp > 260°C; Anal. (%) for $C_{26}H_{22}O_7$: Calcd., C, 69.95; H, 4.97; found, C, 69.78; H, 4.96; IR (KBr) 3434, 2941, 1733, 1663,1588, 1461,1344, and 1241 v/cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.18–8.10 (m, 3H), 7.74–7.67 (m, 2H), 7.60–7.53 (m, 1H), 7.35 (s, 2H), 7.02 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 6.82 (s, 1H), 4.04 (s, 3H), and 3.99 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 187.4, 161.2, 155.1, 153.3, 150.7, 150.0, 143.3, 136.5, 132.1, 129.6, 127.8, 127.0, 124.2, 119.1, 119.0, 114.4, 113.8, 112.3, 107.1, 106.2, 61.40, 56.4, and 55.7; ESI–MS: (m/z); 446, found [M + H]⁺ 447; HRMS-ESI: [M + H]⁺ $C_{26}H_{23}O_7$ [M + H]⁺calcd 447.1444, found 447.1448.

9.2 Biology

9.2.1 Cell culture

MCF-7, MDA-MB231, and HEK293 cell lines were purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA, United States). Ishikawa cell lines were obtained from the European Collection of Cell Cultures. They were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). Cells were cultured at 37° C and 5% CO₂. Before the experiments, cells were cultured in phenol red-free DMEM supplemented with 10% charcoal stripped fetal bovine serum.

9.2.2 Cell proliferation assay (MTT assay) in cancer cell lines and normal cell lines

The synthesized hybrid compounds were tested for their anticancer activity using the MTT reduction assay on 2.5×10^3 cells per well that were seeded in 100 µL DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS in each well of 96-well microculture plates. After seeding, the cells were incubated for 24 h at 37°C in a CO₂ incubator. The required concentrations of the compounds were achieved by diluting the solutions in the culture medium. The media was removed from the wells after 48 h, and 100 µL of MTT (0.5 mg/mL) was added to each well. The plates were then incubated for an additional 3 h. After carefully removing the supernatant from each well, the formazan crystals were dissolved in 100 µL of DMSO. The absorbance was then recorded at a wavelength of 540 nm (Saquib et al., 2021; Gupta et al., 2022; Iqbal et al., 2023)

9.3 Molecular docking studies

Molecular docking is used to predict the possible binding abilities of ligands within the pockets of amino acid residues of target protein receptors. To find out the possible binding modes, the calculation of docking scores was carried out for all synthesized compounds, 26-37, against four cell proliferating proteins, namely, NF-kß, TNF-a, MAPK, and JNK, using the Glide module of Maestro version 12.6.144 (Schrödinger 2020-4 LCC, New York, United States) software. The chemical structures of compounds, 26-37, were drawn using ChemDraw Professional 15.0 software, and the 2D structures were saved in the mol format (Table 1). Ligands were prepared using the LigPrep module of Maestro version 12.6.144 (Schrödinger 2020-4 LCC, New York, United States) software. The minimization of all ligands corrected the bond length and angle, and generated the possible conformers by using the OPLS force field. All the feasible protonation and ionization states were itemized for each compound using Epik pH 7.0±2.0 and then saved in the Maestro format (Fadaka et al., 2022). The crystal structure of protein receptors, namely, TNF-a, (PDB ID 6X81), JNK (1JNK), MAPK (1A9U), and NF-kB (1SVC), having the resolution of 2.81 Å, were obtained from the RCSB PDB database (https://www.rcsb.org/) and then prepared by using the protein preparation wizard module of Maestro version 12.6.144 (Schrödinger 2020-4 LCC, New York, United States) software. The refinement of the protein structure was carried out by the removal of the heteroatom and water molecules, followed by the assignment of the bond order with added hydrogen atoms using the OPLS force field and minimized the receptor (Pattar et al., 2020). In order to identify the top-ranked potential receptorbinding sites in protein, the active sites were predicted for ligand binding to the receptor using the sitemap module of Maestro version 12.6.144 (Schrödinger 2020-4 LCC, New York, United States) software (Halgren, 2009). The grid box was centroid over the active site of the protein, which was predicted by the sitemap with the van der Waals scaling factor of 1. 0 Å, and the partial charge cut-off was 0.25 Å (Sharma et al., 2016). For molecular docking, the softened potential was considered by the van der Waal radii scaling factor of 0.80 Å with a 0.15-Å partial charge cut-off for non-polar parts of ligands. After docking, we saved the best pose of the ligand–protein complex based on the docking scores (Ansari et al., 2022).

9.4 Molecular dynamic simulation

The molecular dynamic simulation study was conducted to check the stability of the ligand-protein complex. The MD simulation was implemented using the Desmond module of Maestro version 12.6.144 (Schrödinger 2020-4 LCC, New York, United States) software. The best pose of the docked ligand-protein complex was immersed into the TIP3P water solvent model and generated the orthorhombic boundary with the shape size of 10 Å \times 10 Å X10 Å. The system was neutralized by Na⁺/Cl⁻ ions at 0.15 M salt concentration, and the whole system was minimized by applying the OPLS3 force field. The MD simulation was performed in an NPT ensemble at 300 K and 1.013 bar pressure over the 100-ns simulation. To maintain the temperature and pressure, we used the Nose-Hoover chain thermostat and Martyna-Tobias-Klein barostat method, respectively. The RESPA (reversible reference system propagator algorithm) integrator was used with time to accelerate the simulation of each 2.0 fs step with the PME (particle-mesh Ewald) method for the calculation of the long-range electrostatic interaction. Finally, the energy was evaluated at every 100.0 ps interval after analyzing the simulation trajectory (Ansari et al., 2022).

9.5 Pharmacological properties

The pharmacological properties of **26–37** compounds were assessed using the QikProp v6.8 tool of Maestro 12.6.144 (Schrödinger 2020–4 LCC, New York, United States) software. The QikProp v6.8 tool analyzes a number of significant factors, such as molecular weight (MW), hydrogen bond donor (HBD), hydrogen bond acceptor (HBA), polar surface area (PSA), lipophilicity (LogP), aqueous solubility (LogS), Caco cell permeability, MSCK permeability, human serum albumin (HSA), and human oral absorption (HOA), that are useful in predicting drug-like candidate properties (Mohan et al., 2022)

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material; further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Author contributions

NA and MS conceived and designed the synthesis, helped with performing the reactions, performed the analysis, and wrote the paper; MK and WA performed molecular docking and dynamic simulation, DA performed the analysis and helped with writing the paper; MI helped with the anti-cancer screening of the compounds and the analysis of the screening results; MK and MH conceived and designed the synthesis, helped with performing the reactions, performed the analysis, and wrote the paper. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This project was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR) at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, under Grant No. (RG-5-166-38). The authors, therefore, thank the DSR for technical and financial support.

Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to their respective institutions for technical and administrative support.

References

Abdalla, M., Mohapatra, R. K., Sarangi, A. K., Mohapatra, P. K., Eltayb, W. A., Alam, M., et al. (2021). *In silico* studies on phytochemicals to combat the emerging COVID-19 infection. *J. Saudi Chem. Soc.* 25, 101367. doi:10.1016/j.jscs.2021. 101367

Ansari, W. A., Khan, M. A., Rizvi, F., Ali, K., Hussain, M. K., Saquib, M., et al. (2022). Computational screening of plant-derived natural products against SARS-CoV-2 variants. *Futur. Pharmacol.* 2, 558–578. doi:10.3390/futurepharmacol2040034

Bosquesi, P. L., Melo, T. R. F., Vizioli, E. O., Santos, J. L. dos, and Chung, M. C. (2011). Anti-inflammatory drug design using a molecular hybridization approach. *Pharmaceuticals* 4, 1450–1474. doi:10.3390/ph4111450

Debrouwer, W., Heugebaert, T. S. A., Van Hecke, K., and Stevens, C. V. (2013). Synthetic entry into 1-Phosphono-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexanes. J. Org. Chem. 78, 8232–8241. doi:10.1021/jo401185u

Dunny, E., Doherty, W., Evans, P., Malthouse, J. P. G., Nolan, D., and Knox, A. J. S. (2013). Vinyl sulfone-based peptidomimetics as anti-trypanosomal agents: design, synthesis, biological and computational evaluation. *J. Med. Chem.* 56, 6638–6650. doi:10.1021/jm400294w

Fadaka, A. O., Aruleba, R. T., Sibuyi, N. R. S., Klein, A., Madiehe, A. M., and Meyer, M. (2022). Inhibitory potential of repurposed drugs against the SARS-CoV-2 main protease: A computational-aided approach. *J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.* 40, 3416–3427. doi:10.1080/07391102.2020.1847197

Guerrero-Perilla, C., Bernal, F. A., and Coy-Barrera, E. D. (2015). Molecular docking study of naturallyoccurring compounds as inhibitors of N-myristoyl transferase towards antifungal agents discovery. *Rev. Colomb. Ciencias Quím. Farm.* 44, 162–178. doi:10.15446/rcciquifa.v44n2.56291

Gupta, A., Iqbal, S., RoohiHussain, M. K., Zaheer, M. R., and Shankar, K. (2022). Visible light-promoted green and sustainable approach for one-pot synthesis of 4,4'-(arylmethylene) bis(1H-pyrazol-5-ols), *in vitro* anticancer activity, and molecular docking with covid-19 M pro. *ACS Omega* 7, 34583–34598. doi:10.1021/acsomega.2c04506

Halgren, T. A. (2009). Identifying and characterizing binding sites and assessing druggability. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 49, 377-389. doi:10.1021/ci800324m

Iqbal, S., Farhanaz, R., Zaheer, M. R., Shankar, K., Hussain, M. K., et al. (2023). Visible-light promoted catalyst-free (VLCF) multi-component synthesis of spiro indolo-quinazolinone-pyrrolo[3,4-a]pyrrolizine hybrids: evaluation of *in vitro* anticancer activity, molecular docking, MD simulation and DFT studies. *J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.* 1–21. doi:10.1080/07391102.2023.2214229

Khan, M. F., Ansari, W. A., Ahamad, T., Khan, M. A., Khan, Z. A., Sarfraz, A., et al. (2022). Bioactive components of different nasal spray solutions may defeat SARS-cov2: repurposing and *in silico* studies. *J. Mol. Model.* 28, 212. doi:10.1007/s00894-022-05213-9

Kumar, B. K., FaheemSekhar, K. V. G. C., Ojha, R., Prajapati, V. K., Pai, A., et al. (2022). Pharmacophore based virtual screening, molecular docking, molecular dynamics and MM-GBSA approach for identification of prospective SARS-CoV-2 inhibitor from natural product databases. J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn. 40, 1–24. doi:10.1080/07391102.2020.1824814

Kumar, P., Mahantheshappa, S. S., Balasubramaniyan, S., Satyanarayan, N. D., and Achur, R. (2023). A prospective, randomized, controlled study comparing two surgical procedures of decompressive craniectomy in patients with traumatic brain injury: dural closure without dural closure. *Eur. J. Chem.* 14, 30–36. doi:10.1016/j.jocn.2022.11.015

Küpeli Akkol, E., Genç, Y., Karpuz, B., Sobarzo-Sánchez, E., and Capasso, R. (2020). Coumarins and coumarin-related compounds in pharmacotherapy of cancer. *Cancers* (*Basel*) 12, 1959. doi:10.3390/cancers12071959

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Li, J. W.-H., and Vederas, J. C. (2009). Drug discovery and natural products: end of an era or an endless frontier? *Science* 325, 161-165. doi:10.1126/science.1168243

Lin, W., Huang, J., Liao, X., Yuan, Z., Feng, S., Xie, Y., et al. (2016). Neotanshinlactone selectively inhibits the proliferation of estrogen receptor positive breast cancer cells through transcriptional down-regulation of estrogen receptor alpha. *Pharmacol. Res.* 111, 849–858. doi:10.1016/j.phrs.2016.07.044

Malek, S. N. A., Phang, C. W., Ibrahim, H., Abdul Wahab, N., and Sim, K. S. (2011). Phytochemical and cytotoxic investigations of alpinia mutica rhizomes. *Molecules* 16, 583–589. doi:10.3390/molecules16010583

Mendie, L. E., and Hemalatha, S. (2022). Molecular docking of phytochemicals targeting GFRs as therapeutic sites for cancer: an in silico study. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 194, 215–231. doi:10.1007/s12010-021-03791-7

Meunier, B. (2008). Hybrid molecules with a dual mode of action: dream or reality? Acc. Chem. Res. 41, 69–77. doi:10.1021/ar7000843

Miller, T. A., Bulman, A. L., Thompson, C. D., Garst, M. E., and Macdonald, T. L. (1997). Synthesis and Structure–Activity profiles of A-homoestranes, the estratropones. *J. Med. Chem.* 40, 3836–3841. doi:10.1021/jm970323e

Mohan, A., Rendine, N., Mohammed, M. K. S., Jeeva, A., Ji, H.-F., and Talluri, V. R. (2022). Structure-based virtual screening, *in silico* docking, ADME properties prediction and molecular dynamics studies for the identification of potential inhibitors against SARS-CoV-2 Mpro. *Mol. Divers.* 26, 1645–1661. doi:10.1007/s11030-021-10298-0

Musa, M., Cooperwood, J., and Khan, M. O. (2008). A review of coumarin derivatives in pharmacotherapy of breast cancer. *Curr. Med. Chem.* 15, 2664–2679. doi:10.2174/092986708786242877

Pattar, S. V., Adhoni, S. A., Kamanavalli, C. M., and Kumbar, S. S. (2020). In silico molecular docking studies and MM/GBSA analysis of coumarin-carbonodithioate hybrid derivatives divulge the anticancer potential against breast cancer. *Beni-Suef Univ. J. Basic Appl. Sci.* 9, 36. doi:10.1186/s43088-020-00059-7

Quadri-Spinelli, T., Heilmann, J., Rali, T., and Sticher, O. (2000). Bioactive coumarin derivatives from the fern Cyclosorus interruptus. *Planta Med.* 66, 728–733. doi:10.1055/s-2000-9908

Saquib, M., Ahamad, S., Khan, M. F., Khan, M. I., and Hussain, M. K. (2023). An ultrasound assisted, ionic liquid-molecular iodine synergy driven efficient green synthesis of pyrrolobenzodiazepine-triazole hybrids as potential anticancer agents. *Front. Pharmacol.* 14, 1168566. doi:10.3389/fphar.2023.1168566

Saquib, M., Ansari, M. I., Johnson, C. R., Khatoon, S., Kamil Hussain, M., and Coop, A. (2019). Recent advances in the targeting of human DNA ligase I as a potential new strategy for cancer treatment. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 182, 111657. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2019.111657

Saquib, M., Baig, M. H., Khan, M. F., Azmi, S., Khatoon, S., Rawat, A. K., et al. (2021). Design and synthesis of bioinspired benzocoumarin-chalcones chimeras as potential anti-breast cancer agents. *ChemistrySelect* 6, 8754–8765. doi:10. 1002/slct.202101853

Saquib, M., Husain, I., Kant, R., Meena, S., Gauniyal, H. M., Sinha, S., et al. (2013). Regioselective synthesis of densely functionalized, enantiopure, sugar–pyrazole hybrids as potential scaffolds for drug discovery. *RSC Adv.* 3, 4526. doi:10.1039/c3ra22287j

Sharma, V., Sharma, P. C., and Kumar, V. (2016). Introduction. Adv. Chem. 2016, 1–2. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.07.001

Siegel, R. L., Miller, K. D., and Jemal, A. (2020). Cancer statistics, 2020. Ca. Cancer J. Clin. 70, 7–30. doi:10.3322/caac.21590

Sung, H., Ferlay, J., Siegel, R. L., Laversanne, M., Soerjomataram, I., Jemal, A., et al. (2021). Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *Ca. Cancer J. Clin.* 71, 209–249. doi:10.3322/caac.21660

Švenda, J., Sheremet, M., Kremer, L., Maier, L., Bauer, J. O., Strohmann, C., et al. (2015). Biology-oriented synthesis of a withanolide-inspired compound collection reveals novel modulators of hedgehog signaling. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 54, 5596–5602. doi:10.1002/anie.201500112

Tietze, L. F., Bell, H. P., and Chandrasekhar, S. (2003). Natural product hybrids as new leads for drug discovery. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 42, 3996–4028. doi:10.1002/anie.200200553

Tietze, L. F., Schneider, G., Wölfling, J., Nöbel, T., Wulff, C., Schubert, I., et al. (1998). Efficient synthesis of a novel estrone- talaromycin hybrid natural product. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 37, 2469–2470. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1521-3773(19981002)37:18<2469:AID-ANIE2469>3.0.CO;2-M

Tukulula, M., Sharma, R.-K., Meurillon, M., Mahajan, A., Naran, K., Warner, D., et al. (2013). Synthesis and antiplasmodial and antimycobacterial evaluation of new nitroimidazole and nitroimidazooxazine derivatives. *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.* 4, 128–131. doi:10.1021/ml300362a

Wang, X., Bastow, K. F., Sun, C.-M., Lin, Y.-L., Yu, H.-J., Don, M.-J., et al. (2004). Antitumor agents 239 isolation, structure elucidation, total synthesis, and anti-breast cancer activity of neo-tanshinlactone from Salvia m iltiorrhiza. *J. Med. Chem.* 47, 5816–5819. doi:10.1021/jm040112r

Wei, H., Zhang, X., Wu, G., Yang, X., Pan, S., Wang, Y., et al. (2013). Chalcone derivatives from the fern Cyclosorus parasiticus and their anti-proliferative activity. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 60, 147–152. doi:10.1016/j.fct.2013.07.045

Wetzel, S., Bon, R. S., Kumar, K., and Waldmann, H. (2011). Biology-oriented synthesis. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 50, 10800–10826. doi:10.1002/anie.201007004

Zhang, X.-J., Li, L.-Y., Wang, S.-S., Que, S., Yang, W.-Z., Zhang, F.-Y., et al. (2013). Oxyfadichalcones A–C: three chalcone dimers fused through a cyclobutane ring from Tibetan medicine oxytropis falcata bunge. *Tetrahedron* 69, 11074–11079. doi:10.1016/j. tet.2013.11.018

Zhuang, C., Zhang, W., Sheng, C., Zhang, W., Xing, C., and Miao, Z. (2017). Chalcone: A privileged structure in medicinal chemistry. *Chem. Rev.* 117, 7762–7810. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00020