#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Isaac Karimi, Razi University, Iran

REVIEWED BY Guiyan Yang, China Agricultural University, China Rashmi Hogarehalli Mallappa, National Dairy Research Institute (ICAR), India

\*CORRESPONDENCE Jung-Hyun Kim ⊠ junghyun@konkuk.ac.kr

RECEIVED 16 June 2023 ACCEPTED 11 August 2023 PUBLISHED 24 August 2023

#### CITATION

Kim K-R, Kim S-M and Kim J-H (2023) A pilot study of alterations of the gut microbiome in canine chronic kidney disease. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 10:1241215. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2023.1241215

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Kim, Kim and Kim. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# A pilot study of alterations of the gut microbiome in canine chronic kidney disease

#### Kyung-Ryung Kim<sup>1</sup>, Seon-Myung Kim<sup>2</sup> and Jung-Hyun Kim<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Veterinary Internal Medicine, College of Veterinary Medicine, Konkuk University, Seoul, Republic of Korea, <sup>2</sup>KR Lab Bio Incorporation, Seoul, Republic of Korea

**Introduction:** Gut dysbiosis has been noted in humans and animals with chronic kidney disease (CKD). However, little is known about the gut microbiome in canine patients with CKD. This study aimed to analyze and compare the gut microbiome profiles of healthy and CKD dogs, including differences in the gut microbiome between each CKD stage.

**Methods:** The study was conducted on 29 client-owned dogs who underwent physical examination, complete blood count (CBC), serum biochemistry, and urinalysis. The gut microbiome profile of healthy dogs (n = 10) and dogs with CKD (n = 19) was analyzed employing 16S rRNA sequencing.

**Results:** Significant differences were seen in the composition of the gut microbiome, with increased operational taxonomic units from the phylum *Proteobacteria* (p = 0.035), family *Enterobacteriaceae* (p < 0.001), and genus *Enterococcus* (p = 0.002) in dogs with CKD, and a decrease in the genus *Ruminococcus* (p = 0.007). Furthermore, an increase in both the progression of CKD and abundance of genus *Klebsiella* (Jonckheere-Terpstra test statistic value (JT) = 2.852, p = 0.004) and *Clostridium* (JT = 2.018, p = 0.044) was observed.

**Discussion:** Our study demonstrated that in dogs with CKD, the composition of the gut microbiome varied depending on the stage of CKD. Alterations in gut microbiome composition observed in CKD patients are characterized by an increase in proteolytic bacteria and a decrease in saccharolytic bacteria. These findings suggest specific gut microbiota could be targeted for clinical management of uremic dogs with CKD.

#### KEYWORDS

dog, chronic kidney disease, gut microbiome, dysbiosis, proteolytic bacteria, saccharolytic bacteria

## 1. Introduction

In dogs, chronic kidney disease (CKD) is the most prevalent kidney disease, accounting for up to 7% of the cases (1). Dogs with CKD experience similar manifestations as humans, in that both are characterized by progressive functional or structural changes in one or both kidneys (2). Initiated by numerous factors, including familial, congenital, and acquired properties, CKD results in a reduced total kidney glomerular filtration rate and may ultimately lead to uremic crises and death (3).

The intimate relationship between uremic diseases, including CKD and gut microbiome profiles is an emerging topic of research in the field of human and veterinary medicine (4,

5). In human medicine, pathogenic links between the gut microbiome and CKD progression have been described, including increased gut permeability and excessive production of microbiota-generated nephrotoxin (6, 7). Additionally, in cats and human patients with CKD, abnormal gut microbiome composition has been reported (8–10). Dysbiotic intestinal microbiome and CKD promote each other through various pathophysiological mechanisms (11). In human medicine, patients with CKD can be identified by an inequality in the types of microbiomes, with a higher prevalence of proteolytic microbiomes over saccharolytic ones (12). This imbalance accelerates the progression of CKD, since saccharolytic bacteria provide protection against inflammation associated with CKD and help prevent the progression of the disease (12, 13).

Recently, the concept of "enteric dialysis" in patients with CKD has attracted much attention, especially, regarding the need to consider intestinal health when devising treatment strategies for CKD (11). For devising novel therapeutic approaches targeting gut microbial communities to alleviate the progression of CKD, the analysis of the gut microbiome of healthy dogs and of those with CKD is essential. However, the microbiome characteristics of dogs with CKD have not been well studied yet. In this study, we sought to characterize the gut microbiota in dogs with CKD compared to healthy dogs and explore potential relationships between gut microbiota composition and CKD at different stages.

## 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Study design and selection of animals

This prospective study was performed at Konkuk University Veterinary Medical Teaching Hospital between March and December 2022. All protocols of this study were reviewed and approved by the Konkuk University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (approval number: KU22036-1). Each owner provided informed consent for their dog to participate in the study. Healthy dogs were enrolled based on their history, physical examination, complete blood count, and serum biochemistry. Dogs were excluded from the healthy group if they were diagnosed with gastrointestinal disease, cancer, liver disease, CKD, or urinary tract infection.

Dogs were considered to have CKD if either of the following criteria were fulfilled: (1) increased serum concentration (Cr  $\geq$ 1.6 mg/dL) on at least two occasions or (2) increased serum symmetric dimethylarginine (SDMA >14 µg/dL) on at least two occasions. CKD was staged according to the International Renal Interest Society (IRIS) guidelines. Exclusion criteria were the administration of antibiotics or probiotics within 4 weeks before sample collection, pregnant females, and dogs with other abnormal clinical findings, including malignant tumors, urinary tract infections, or gastrointestinal diseases. All dogs that participated in the study were fed commercial or prescription diets with no regulation of ingredient composition.

In total, nineteen dogs with CKD (IRIS stage 1, n = 5; stage 2, n = 6; stage 3, n = 4; and stage 4, n = 4) and ten healthy control dogs were included in this study. The gut microbiome was analyzed for all dogs to examine and compare its structure in the CKD and healthy groups.

# 3. Microbiome sample collection

Rectal swab samples were collected from healthy and CKD dogs using a sterile culture swab applicator (REST<sup>TM</sup> NBgene-GUT, Noble Bio, Hwaseong, Korea). The swabs were stored at 4°C until further analysis.

# 3.1. DNA extraction and 16S rRNA sequencing

Bacterial total genomic DNA was extracted using a PureLink<sup>TM</sup> Microbiome DNA Purification Kit (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, United States) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Each DNA sample was eluted in 50  $\mu$ L of S6 Elution buffer. The concentration and purity of the eluted DNA were assessed using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, United States) and Qubit (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

DNA was extracted from stool samples and used as a template for PCR amplification of the V3-V4 variable region of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene using barcoded primers containing adaptors for the Ion S5<sup>TM</sup> sequencing system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Each reaction mix contained Platinum PCR SuperMix High Fidelity (23 µL), 10 µM F Primer (1 µL), 10 µM R Primer (1 µL), and 2.5 ng/µL genomic DNA template (2µL). Thermocycling conditions for PCR amplification were as follows: 3 min at 94°C, followed by 25 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 50°C, and 30 s at 72°C, a 5 min final extension at 72°C, and hold at 4°C. PCR products were purified using AMPure™ XP reagent according to the manufacturer's instructions. The amplicons were quantified using a Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Invitrogen, CA, United States, Q32854). After quantification, each amplicon was diluted to a concentration of 100 pM. Equal amounts of diluted amplicons were collected in 1.5 mL tubes to make a final volume of 50 µL.

# 3.2. Bioinformatics analysis of the sequences

Sequencing data were analyzed using Quantitative Insights into Microbial Ecology (QIIME) in the Thermo Fisher Ion Reporter Software v5.18.4.0. The default options included a read length filter  $\geq$ 150, minimum alignment coverage  $\leq$ 90.0, genus cutoff  $\leq$ 97.0, species cutoff  $\leq$ 99.0, and slash ID reporting percentage  $\leq$ 0.2. The read abundance filter was set at  $\leq$ 5, which is not the default value. Sequences were clustered at the operational taxonomic unit (OTU) level using Greengenes v13.5, curated microseq(R) 16S reference library v2013.1, and QIIME in the two databases.

To assess the diversity of bacterial species in fecal samples, alpha rarefaction curves were generated using the observed OTUs and Chao1, Shannon, and Simpson diversity indices, and OTU metrics were identified. We then compared the bacterial communities in healthy dogs and dogs with CKD stages 1 through 4 by grouping them together. We used the Analysis of Similarities (ANOSIM) in the scikit-bio (v0.5.8) package in Python (v3.9.7) to assess beta diversity using the UniFrac distance matrix and visualized it using a Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) plot. UniFrac is a phylogeny-based beta diversity metric that calculates the similarity in microbial communities

10.3389/fvets.2023.1241215

based on the evolutionary distance between microbial lineages. Weighted and unweighted UniFrac were used, with the latter only considering the presence of OTUs in the two groups being compared, and the former also considering the abundance of taxa within the groups. OTU abundance refers to the number of reads or sequences assigned to a particular OTU in the microbial community sample.

In addition, we analyzed the composition of microbiomes (ANCOM) using the scikit-bio package in Python to identify differentially enriched features in the microbiome data. ANCOM uses the W-statistic to test for significant differences in the relative abundance of features between groups of samples, such as healthy control dogs and experimental dogs with CKD. ANOSIM generates an *R*-value between -1 and 1, with values close to 1 indicating a large difference between groups and values close to 0 indicating no significant difference in composition between groups. The W-statistic was used to test for differences in the relative abundance of features between different sample groups, and a number of significantly different features were obtained after correcting for multiple comparisons within the significance level.

#### 3.3. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY). Normality of data was assessed using the Shapiro–Wilk test. Normally distributed data are presented as means ( $\pm$  s.d.), whereas data that did not meet the assumptions of normal distribution are represented as medians and intervals. Continuous variables of the healthy and CKD groups were analyzed using the Mann–Whitney test and independent sample *t*-test for two groups, and the Kruskal–Wallis test was used to compare more than two groups. Post-hoc Bonferroni correction was performed to determine the differences in bacterial taxa between the groups. The Jonckheere–Terpstra test was used to evaluate the significance of trends in the taxa analysis of each CKD and control group. GraphPad Prism 7 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, United States) was used to produce graphs. For all analyses, statistical significance was set at *p*<0.05.

#### 4. Results

#### 4.1. Animals

Baseline data, including age, gender, breeds, clinical scores, systolic blood pressure, and hematologic and biochemical properties, are presented in Table 1. As expected, dogs with CKD had significantly increased serum concentrations of blood urea nitrogen (BUN; p=0.003), creatinine (p=0.003), and SDMA (p<0.001). In addition, dogs with CKD had a significantly higher urine creatinine protein ratio (UPCR) than healthy dogs (p=0.009). No significant differences were found in age, gender, fecal score, or systolic blood pressure between the healthy and CKD dogs.

#### 4.2. Gut microbiome analysis

Overall, 779 OTUs were found in all the analyzed samples (n = 29). Sequence analysis generated 12,738,717 reads from 29 samples. For

the evaluation of alpha diversity, Chao1, Shannon, and Simpson indices were compared between healthy dogs and dogs with CKD (Table 2). No clear difference in alpha diversity was observed between the healthy and CKD groups using the OTU, Chao1, Shannon, or Simpson diversity indices. Beta diversity, which was presented with PCoA plots (Figure 1), showed no significant difference in the gut microbiome between healthy and CKD dogs based on ANOSIM of weighted (R=-0.02) and unweighted (R=-0.02) UniFrac distances. For additional analysis of the differential abundance of OTUs between experimental control groups, ANCOM and ALDEx2 methods were employed; however, neither of the analyses showed a significant difference in diversity between the two groups.

At the taxonomic level, the following five main phyla were observed: *Actinobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Firmicutes*, *Fusobacteria*, and *Proteobacteria* (Figure 2). Among these, the most predominant phylum in healthy and CKD dogs was *Firmicutes*, which accounted for more than 85% of the total microbiome. The relative abundance of *Proteobacteria* was significantly higher in dogs with CKD than that in the healthy control group (p=0.035; Figure 2; Table 3).

*Enterobacteriaceae*, a bacterial family, showed a significant increase in CKD dogs compared with that in healthy control dogs (p < 0.001; Figure 3). Other bacterial species producing uremic toxins, including *Bacteroidaceae*, *Pseudomonadaceae*, *Clostridiaceae*, were more abundant in dogs with CKD than in healthy dogs (no statistical significance). No correlation in taxa was found between the microbial communities and CKD progression at the phylum and family levels.

At the genus level, CKD dogs tended to have a significantly higher relative abundance of *Enterococcus* (p=0.002) and lower relative abundance of *Ruminococcus* (p=0.007; Figure 4). Furthermore, increasing trends of CKD progression and relative abundance of the genera *Klebsiella* (Jonckheere-Terpstra test statistic value (JT) = 2.026, p=0.043) and *Clostridium* (JT = 2.018, p=0.044) were observed. In contrast, the relative abundance of *Ruminococcus* was inversely related to CKD progression (JT = -4.311, p < 0.001; Figure 5). No significant difference or correlation was found at the species level between dogs with CKD and healthy dogs, except that the relative abundance of *Collinsella intestinalis* increased with the progress of CKD (JT = 2.302, p=0.021; Figure 6).

### 5. Discussion

Recent evidence suggests that gut microbiota dysbiosis plays a critical role in human patients with CKD (14). However, the relationship between altered gut microbiome profiles and CKD remains unclear in dogs. Here, we analyzed the gut microbiome profiles of healthy dogs and dogs with CKD at different stages.

In this study, most predominant bacterial phyla in healthy and CKD dogs were *Actinobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Firmicutes*, *Fusobacteria*, and *Proteobacteria* which are consistent with findings in the human and veterinary medicine (15, 16). Especially overgrowth of the phylum *Proteobacteria* was observed in dogs with CKD compared with that in the healthy dogs, which is in accordance with previous studies (17, 18). *Proteobacteria*, which is the largest bacterial phylum, is a potential diagnostic marker of dysbiosis. In humans, an increased prevalence of *Proteobacteria* is associated with numerous chronic and inflammatory diseases, including CKD, inflammatory bowel disease, cardiovascular diseases, and chronic lung diseases (14, 19, 20). Although a precise

Variables	Healthy dogs (n = 10)	CKD dogs				<i>p</i> -value
(reference interval)		IRIS stage 1 (n = 5)	IRIS stage 2 (n = 6)	IRIS stage 3 (n = 4)	IRIS stage 4 (n = 4)	
Age (years)	9 (2–18)	10.5 (2–16)	12 (1-16)	12.5 (11–15)	12 (5–15)	0.772
Gender	·		·	·		
Intact female						
Neutered female	4	3	6	2	1	
Intact male				1	1	
Neutered male	6	2		1	2	
Breeds						
Maltese	2	2	1	2	2	
Poodle	3		3			
Pomeranian	1	1				
Mixed	2		1		1	
Others	2	2	1	2	1	
Fecal score (1–7)	2 (2-3)	2 (2-3)	3 (2-4)	3 (3-4)	3 (2-3)	
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	136.5 (125–145)	139 (118–160)	137.5 (110–145)	137.5 (129–163)	143 (119–160)	0.312
Serum BUN (7–27 mg/dL)	14.5 (8-24) <sup>a</sup>	25 (11–25) <sup>b</sup>	24 (15-54) <sup>b</sup>	42.5 (27-72) <sup>ab</sup>	83.5 (54–106) <sup>ab</sup>	*0.003
Serum creatinine (0.5– 1.8 mg/dL)	0.65 (0.3–1.1) <sup>a</sup>	$0.8 \ (0.7-1)^{\mathrm{b}}$	1.2 (0.8–1.6) <sup>b</sup>	1.25 (1–1.6) <sup>ab</sup>	6.85 (1.1–24) <sup>ab</sup>	*0.003
SDMA (0–14µg/dL)	11.5 (7–14) <sup>a</sup>	15.5 (15–17) <sup>b</sup>	26 (19-30) <sup>b</sup>	47 (29–53) <sup>ab</sup>	84.5 (48–100) <sup>ab</sup>	*<0.001
UPCR						
<0.2	9	4	3	3		
0.2–0.5	1	1	1			
>0.5		1	2	1	4	

#### TABLE 1 Demographic, serum chemistries, and urine analytes in healthy (n = 10) and CKD dogs (n = 19).

Variables are expressed as medians and intervals as they were not normally distributed. \*p < 0.05, statistically significant differences based on the Kruskal-Wallis test. Different superscript literals (a, b) signify statistically significant difference between the groups. CKD, chronic kidney disease; IRIS, international renal interest society; BUN, blood urine nitrogen; SDMA, symmetric dimethylarginine; UPCR, urine protein creatinine ratio.

TABLE 2 Alpha diversity results analyzed using 16S rRNA sequencing.

Alpha diversity	Healthy dogs ( <i>n</i> = 10)	CKD (n = 19)	<i>p</i> -value
OTU	$26.1 \pm 8.2$	$27.3\pm8.8$	0.773
Chao1	$15.4 \pm 7.2$	$20.7\pm8.0$	0.090
Simpson index	$0.8 \pm 0.2$	$0.7\pm0.2$	0.415
Shannon index	$2.0 \pm 0.6$	$1.8 \pm 0.5$	0.381

Variables are expressed as mean (±SD). SD, standard deviation; OTU, operational taxonomic unit; CKD, chronic kidney disease.

explanation for these phenomena cannot be given, it is generally known that patients with CKD experience increased systemic immune inflammatory responses and intestinal susceptibility.

Hyperproliferation of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) forming bacteria, genus *Klebsiella*, was prominent in patients with CKD in this study. Dysbiosis of the gut microbiome in patients with CKD can cause an increase in systemic inflammation due to the presence of LPS derived from the gut microbiome (21). In addition, a gradual increase in *Collinsella intestinalis* in dogs with CKD was observed in this study; this species belongs to the family *Coriobacteriaceae* and is a pathobiont

(22). Some studies have shown that administration of Collinsella induces loosening of gut integration by downregulating the expression of tight junction proteins of enterocytes and is strongly related to increased production of proinflammatory cytokines in mouse models. The disintegration of the intestinal barrier is caused by the products resulting from gut microbiome dysbiosis, leading to the translocation of bacterial products (e.g., LPS, uremic toxins, and cytokines) from the gut into the systemic circulation (9, 23). As discussed earlier, the correlation between CKD and gut dysbiosis, intestinal barrier deterioration, gut bacterial translocation, and gut-derived inflammatory molecules suggests a pathophysiological mechanism in the kidney and gut. No clear evidence of systemic inflammation and gut barrier deterioration caused by dysbiosis has been proven in dogs; however, similar findings of dysbiosis in CKD patients and dogs have been observed, which suggests a close underlying renalgut mechanism.

We also observed that the abundance of families *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Clostridiaceae*, *Pseudomonadaceae*, and *Bacteroidaceae* was higher in dogs with CKD than in the control group. Although no statistical significance was found, except for the family *Enterobacteriaceae*, these bacterial species are well-known to produce uremic toxins (24). At the genus level, *Enterococcus* was



#### FIGURE 1

Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) plot in microbiome of healthy dogs and dogs with chronic kidney disease. Beta diversity analysis using weighted (A) and unweighted (B) UniFrac distances is shown, respectively. Blue and red circles represent gut microbiome samples from CKD and healthy dogs. Each axis represents the principal coordinate that explains the largest data change, and the spatial distance of respective sample points indicates the similarity between samples. *R*-values and *p*-values of analysis of similarities (ANOSIM) are provided below each graph. *R*-value less than zero indicates that the within-group variability is greater than the between-group variability. No statistically significant difference was detected between healthy and CKD dogs.



(B). Mean relative abundance of gut microbiome was shown as stacked bar graph (A). Firmicutes accounts for the majority of gut microbiome in both healthy and CKD groups. Mean relative abundance of phylum Proteobacteria was shown as bar graph with  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM; b). The relative abundance of Proteobacteria presented notable increase in dog with CKD. \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.005; \*\*\*p < 0.005.

significantly more abundant in the gut microbiome of dogs with CKD compared with that in healthy dogs. Comparing each stage of CKD and the healthy group, some proteolytic bacteria, the genera *Klebsiella* and *Clostridium*, showed a gradual increase in abundance as CKD progressed; as both these genera are known to exhibit proteolytic activity, intimate relationship with increased levels of uremic toxins is expected. While individuals with normal kidney function can excrete

uremic metabolites, they are retained in CKD individuals, negatively affecting their biological functions (25). The breakdown of aromatic amino acids by proteolytic species due to an imbalance in the gut microbiome has been recognized as a contributor to the production of protein-bound uremic toxins, such as indoxyl sulfate and p-cresol sulfate (26). In humans, the accumulation of toxic amino acid metabolites accelerates the progression of CKD through various

TABLE 3	Taxa analysis	of healthy	and CKD	dogs at	t phylum	level.
---------	---------------	------------	---------	---------	----------	--------

Phylum	Healthy dogs (n = 10)	CKD (n = 19)	<i>p</i> -value
Actinobacteria (%)	0.1 (0-5.2)	0.3 (0-5.5)	0.211
Bacteroidetes (%)	11.8 (0-61.7)	1.4 (0-26.6)	0.195
Firmicutes (%)	80.5 (21.6-99.9)	92.5 (51.8-99.5)	0.164
Fusobacteria (%)	0.1 (0-39.7)	0 (0-45.1)	0.062
Proteobacteria (%)	0.3 (0-6.6)	2.0 (0.14-18.1)	*0.035

Variables are expressed as medians and intervals. \*p < 0.05, statistically significant differences based on the Mann–Whitney test. CKD, chronic kidney disease.



pathophysiological mechanisms, including exacerbation of oxidative stress in renal tubular cells, induction of glomerular sclerosis and the accumulation of intracellular toxins (27). These results suggest that gut-derived uremic toxins may contribute to the development and progression of CKD in dogs by synthesizing uremic toxin molecules related to CKD deterioration. Nevertheless, the exact mechanism underlying this phenomenon requires further clarification.

Our study also revealed a significantly decreased abundance of the genus *Ruminococcus* in dogs with CKD, which was responsible for producing short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs). Moreover, we observed a potential association between the abundance of *Ruminococcus* and CKD progression in dogs. *Ruminococcus* spp. are gram-positive anaerobic bacteria that belong to the phylum *Firmicutes*. This genus is a well-known saccharolytic bacteria which produce butyrate that is inversely associated with serum LPS concentrations in humans (28). Butyrate, an SCFA, is mainly produced by saccharolytic bacterial fermentation of dietary fiber in the gut (29). This fatty acid is not only an essential energy source for cells of the lower intestinal tract but is



FIGURE 4

Comparison of taxa analysis of healthy and chronic kidney disease (CKD) dogs at genus level. Mean relative abundance of genus *Ruminococcus* and *Enterococcus* was shown as bar graph with  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM). Compared to healthy dogs, CKD patients showed statistically significant increase in the relative abundance of genus *Enterococcus* and decrease in genus *Ruminococcus*. \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*p < 0.005; \*\*p < 0.001.

also an immune modulator and blood pressure regulator in humans (30). SCFAs decrease inflammation and suppress oxidative injury and fibrosis of the kidney through numerous biological mechanisms, including through G-protein-coupled receptors, epigenetic regulation, and histone acetylation (29). In human medicine, a reduction in the butyrate-producing bacteria species is associated with CKD progression (9). Although the biochemical function of butyrate in dogs is not well understood, given the significant decline in butyrate-producing bacterial species in dogs with CKD, SCFAs could be considered as a therapeutic target to slow the progression of CKD in dogs. Our results show that dysbiosis in dogs with CKD may promote overgrowth of proteolytic species while reducing the population of saccharolytic species, which may contribute to the accumulation of uremic toxins and a decrease in the production of SCFAs. It also leads to a state of chronic inflammation by translocating bacterial metabolites, cytokines, and inflammatory molecules, which are key therapeutic targets in CKD.

In contrast to human study, our study did not reveal any significant differences in alpha and beta diversity indices between healthy and CKD dogs. This discrepancy might be due to differences in the species of microbial communities. Because many other studies conducted on species other than humans (e.g., rats, dogs) found no significant difference in the diversity of the gut microbiome between healthy and CKD patients (16, 31). Further investigation is required regarding interspecies differences to evaluate changes in the diversity of the gut microbiome in dogs with CKD.

There are some limitations to this study, which comprise a small sample size of CKD dogs, particularly those with advanced-stage disease. In this study, the enrollment of advanced-stage CKD dogs fulfills with the strict exclusion criteria which forbid antibiotic or probiotic therapy within 4 weeks was difficult as CKD patients are often prescribed probiotics at early stages of disease from local animal hospitals. Another limitation of this study is the uncontrolled diet and environment of the client-owned dogs. To minimize the limitations in the study, we restricted the dogs involved in the experiment from consuming table food and only provided them with prescription or





commercial diets. Additionally, the use of systemic antibiotics and probiotic supplements, which could have an impact on the composition and diversity of gut microbiota, was also restricted.

## 6. Conclusion

Our study demonstrates that the gut microbiome composition in dogs with CKD undergoes a transition from symbiosis to dysbiosis, which can be explained by increased abundance of proteolytic bacteria and decreased abundance of saccharolytic bacteria. Additionally, a few bacterial species known to be associated with systemic inflammatory response in human CKD patients were found to be overgrown in dogs in this study, indicating that a renal–gut axis like that in humans may also exist in dogs. These findings aid in enhancing comprehension of the relationship between CKD and the intestinal microbiome in dogs. Further studies are needed to evaluate the correlation between the gut microbiome composition and microbiome-derived metabolites in dogs with CKD to understand the mechanisms of gut–kidney interactions.

## Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/, PRJNA944392.

## **Ethics statement**

The animal studies were approved by Konkuk University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent was obtained from the owners for the participation of their animals in this study.

## Author contributions

K-RK: conceptualization, formal analysis, investigation, resource, writing-original draft preparation, writing-review and editing, and visualization. S-MK: formal analysis, data curation, and writingreview and editing. J-HK: conceptualization, writing-original draft preparation, writing-review and editing, supervision, project administration, and funding acquisition. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## Conflict of interest

S-MK was employed by KR Lab Bio Incorporation.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### References

1. Dunaevich A, Chen H, Musseri D, Kuzi S, Mazaki-Tovi M, Aroch I, et al. Acute on chronic kidney disease in dogs: etiology, clinical and clinicopathologic findings, prognostic markers, and survival. *J Vet Intern Med.* (2020) 34:2507–15. doi: 10.1111/jvim.15931

2. Polzin DJ. Chronic kidney disease in small animals. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract. (2011) 41:15–30. doi: 10.1016/J.CVSM.2010.09.004

3. O'Neill DG, Elliott J, Church DB, McGreevy PD, Thomson PC, Brodbelt DC. Chronic kidney disease in dogs in UK veterinary practices: prevalence, risk factors, and survival. *J Vet Intern Med.* (2013) 27:814–21. doi: 10.1111/JVIM.12090

4. Lohia S, Vlahou A, Zoidakis J. Microbiome in chronic kidney disease (CKD): an omics perspective. *Toxins*. (2022) 14:14. doi: 10.3390/TOXINS14030176

5. Suchodolski JS. Analysis of the gut microbiome in dogs and cats. *Vet Clin Pathol.* (2022) 50:6–17. doi: 10.1111/VCP.13031

6. Castillo-Rodriguez E, Fernandez-Prado R, Esteras R, Perez-Gomez MV, Gracia-Iguacel C, Fernandez-Fernandez B, et al. Impact of altered intestinal microbiota on chronic kidney disease progression. *Toxins*. (2018) 10:10. doi: 10.3390/TOXINS10070300

7. Fernandez-Prado R, Esteras R, Perez-Gomez MV, Gracia-Iguacel C, Gonzalez-Parra E, Sanz AB, et al. Nutrients turned into toxins: microbiota modulation of nutrient properties in chronic kidney disease. *Nutrients*. (2017) 9:9. doi: 10.3390/NU9050489

 Hall JA, Jackson MI, Jewell DE, Ephraim E. Chronic kidney disease in cats alters response of the plasma metabolome and fecal microbiome to dietary fiber. *PLoS One.* (2020) 15:e0235480. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0235480

9. Jazani NH, Savoj J, Lustgarten M, Lau WL, Vaziri ND. Impact of gut dysbiosis on neurohormonal pathways in chronic kidney disease. *Diseases*. (2019) 7:21. doi: 10.3390/ diseases7010021

10. Sircana A, De Michieli F, Parente R, Framarin L, Leone N, Berrutti M, et al. Gut microbiota, hypertension and chronic kidney disease: recent advances. *Pharmacol Res.* (2019) 144:390–408. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2018.01.013

11. Cosola C, Rocchetti MT, Sabatino A, Fiaccadori E, Di Iorio BR, Gesualdo L. Microbiota issue in CKD: how promising are gut-targeted approaches? *J Nephrol.* (2019) 32:27–37. doi: 10.1007/s40620-018-0516-0

12. Yang T, Richards EM, Pepine CJ, Raizada MK. The gut microbiota and the braingut-kidney axis in hypertension and chronic kidney disease. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* (2018) 14:442–56. doi: 10.1038/s41581-018-0018-2

13. Jiang S, Xie S, Lv D, Zhang Y, Deng J, Zeng L, et al. A reduction in the butyrate producing species Roseburia spp. and *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* is associated with chronic kidney disease progression. *Antonie Leeuwenhoek*. (2016) 109:1389–96. doi: 10.1007/s10482-016-0737-y

14. Hu X, Ouyang S, Xie Y, Gong Z, Du J. Characterizing the gut microbiota in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Postgrad Med.* (2020) 132:495–505. doi: 10.1080/00325481.2020.1744335

15. Rinninella E, Raoul P, Cintoni M, Franceschi F, Miggiano GAD, Gasbarrini A, et al. What is the healthy gut microbiota composition? A changing ecosystem across age, environment, diet, and diseases. *Microorganisms*. (2019) 7:14. doi: 10.3390/MICROORGANISMS7010014

16. Seo J, Matthewman L, Xia D, Wilshaw J, Chang YM, Connolly DJ. The gut microbiome in dogs with congestive heart failure: a pilot study. *Sci Rep.* (2020) 10:13777. doi: 10.1038/S41598-020-70826-0

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

17. Vaziri ND, Wong J, Pahl M, Piceno YM, Yuan J, Desantis TZ, et al. Chronic kidney disease alters intestinal microbial flora. *Kidney Int.* (2013) 83:308–15. doi: 10.1038/KI.2012.345

18. Wilkins LJ, Monga M, Miller AW. Defining dysbiosis for a cluster of chronic diseases. *Sci Rep.* (2019) 9:12918. doi: 10.1038/S41598-019-49452-Y

19. Amar J, Lange C, Payros G, Garret C, Chabo C, Lantieri O, et al. Blood microbiota dysbiosis is associated with the onset of cardiovascular events in a large general population: the D.E.S.I.R. study. *PLoS One.* (2013) 8:e54461. doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL. PONE.0054461

20. Rizzatti G, Lopetuso LR, Gibiino G, Binda C, Gasbarrini A. Proteobacteria: a common factor in human diseases. *Biomed Res Int.* (2017) 2017:9351507. doi: 10.1155/2017/9351507

21. Pan W, Kang Y. Gut microbiota and chronic kidney disease: Implications for novel mechanistic insights and therapeutic strategies. *Int Urol Nephrol.* (2018) 50:289–99. doi: 10.1007/s11255-017-1689-5

22. Gomez-Arango LF, Barrett HL, Wilkinson SA, Callaway LK, McIntyre HD, Morrison M, et al. Low dietary fiber intake increases Collinsella abundance in the gut microbiota of overweight and obese pregnant women. *Gut Microbes*. (2018) 9:189–201. doi: 10.1080/19490976.2017.1406584

23. Yang J, Lim SY, Ko YS, Lee HY, Oh SW, Kim MG, et al. Intestinal barrier disruption and dysregulated mucosal immunity contribute to kidney fibrosis in chronic kidney disease. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. (2019) 34:419–28. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfy172

24. Onal EM, Afsar B, Covic A, Vaziri ND, Kanbay M. Gut microbiota and inflammation in chronic kidney disease and their roles in the development of cardiovascular disease. *Hypertens Res.* (2019) 42:123–40. doi: 10.1038/ S41440-018-0144-Z

25. Kikuchi M, Ueno M, Itoh Y, Suda W, Hattori M. Uremic toxin-producing gut microbiota in rats with chronic kidney disease. *Nephron.* (2017) 135:51–60. doi: 10.1159/000450619

26. Ren Z, Fan Y, Li A, Shen Q, Wu J, Ren L, et al. Alterations of the human gut microbiome in chronic kidney disease. *Adv Sci (Weinh)*. (2020) 7:2001936. doi: 10.1002/ADVS.202001936

27. Lim YJ, Sidor NA, Tonial NC, Che A, Urquhart BL. Uremic toxins in the progression of chronic kidney disease and cardiovascular disease: mechanisms and therapeutic targets. *Toxins*. (2021) 13:13. doi: 10.3390/TOXINS13020142

28. Biruete A, Allen JM, Kistler BM, Jeong JH, Fitschen PJ, Swanson KS, et al. Gut microbiota and cardiometabolic risk factors in hemodialysis patients: a pilot study. *Top Clin Nutr.* (2019) 34:153–60. doi: 10.1097/TIN.00000000000170

29. Cheng X, Zhou T, He Y, Xie Y, Xu Y, Huang W. The role and mechanism of butyrate in the prevention and treatment of diabetic kidney disease. *Front Microbiol.* (2022) 13:961536. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.961536

30. Rukavina Mikusic NL, Kouyoumdzian NM, Choi MR. Gut microbiota and chronic kidney disease: evidences and mechanisms that mediate a new communication in the gastrointestinal-renal axis. *Pflugers Arch.* (2020) 472:303–20. doi: 10.1007/s00424-020-02352-x

31. Chen L, Chen DQ, Liu JR, Zhang J, Vaziri ND, Zhuang S, et al. Unilateral ureteral obstruction causes gut microbial dysbiosis and metabolome disorders contributing to tubulointerstitial fibrosis. *Exp Mol Med.* (2019) 51:1–18. doi: 10.1038/S12276-019-0234-2