

Farmers Perception of Impact of Climate Changes on Food Crop Production in Ogbomosho Agricultural Zone of Oyo State, Nigeria

Ayanwuyi¹, E Kuponiyi², F.A Ogunlade³, Oyetoro JO⁴

GJHSS- B Classification FOR
620100

Abstract- The study assessed farmer's perception of impact of climate change on food crop production in Ogbomosho Agricultural zone of Oyo State, Nigeria. It highlights the socio-economic characteristics of the farmers, farmer's perception on climate change, impact of climate change on crop production and adaptation strategies adopted to mitigate the effect of climate change. Data were collected by using structured interview schedule administered on 360 farmers randomly selected from the three agricultural extension blocks in the study area. Description and analysis of data were carried out using frequency counts, percentages means and tables, while multiple regression was used to test the hypothesis. About 72.0% of the respondents were male, and 95.8% were between 31 and above 51 years old. While 29.4% had no formal education, 70.6% have various levels of formal education. About 90% of the farmers had many years of farming experience ranging from 6 years to 21 years and above. Only 31.1% and 24.7% of the respondents indicated delayed rainfall and higher temperature respectively as their perception of climate change. About 12% indicated unusual heavy rainfall, 9.4% indicated undefined season, while 4.4% and 4.2% respectively indicated flood with serious consequences and later fruiting of tree crops respectively as their perception of climate change. About 80.3% of the respondents mentioned low yield of crops as the impact of climate change on crop production, stunted growth (37.2%), ease spread of pest and diseases attack on crops (31.1%). Even though only 68.3% indicated increased water conservation as adaptation strategies, 74.7% mentioned planting of different crops while 54.4% change row orientation with respect to slope, as the adaptation strategies to mitigate impact of climate changes. A significant relationship at 0.05 significant level with coefficient of ($R^2 = 0.612$) was found between perceived climate change and adaptation strategies. Therefore Arable food crop farmers are more knowledgeable of climate change and even its impacts on their livelihood that should be considered in policy formulation on adaptation of agricultural production systems to climate change.

Keywords- Farmers Perception, impact, climate change, Food Crop Production, Agricultural Zone, Oyo State.

I. INTRODUCTION

Agriculture places heavy burden on the environment in the process of providing humanity with food and fiber, while climate is the primary determinant of agricultural

productivity (Apata et al 2009). The fundamental role of agriculture in human welfare, concern has been expressed by federal agencies and others regarding the potential effects of climate change on agricultural productivity. Because the effectiveness of rainfall for crop and fish production is a function of the temperature values which affect evaporation and transpiration (Rudolf and Harmann 2009) Smith and Skinner (2002) asserted that climate plays a dominant role in agriculture having a direct impact on the productivity of physical production factors, for example the soil's moisture and fertility. Adverse climate effects can influence farming outputs at any stage from cultivation through the final harvest. Even if there is sufficient rain, its irregularity can affect yields adversely if rains fail to arrive during the crucial growing stage of the crops (Mowa and Lambi, 2006, Rudolf and Hermann 2009). Interest in this issue has motivated a substantial body of research on climate change and agriculture (Lobell et al, 2008) climate change is expected to influence crop and livestock production, hydrologic balance input supplies and other components of agricultural systems. However, the nature of these biophysical effects and the human responses to them are complex and uncertain. It is evidence that climate change will have a strong impact on Nigeria particularly in the areas of agriculture, land use, energy consumption, biodiversity health and water resources (Apata et al, 2009). Nigeria like all the countries of sub-Saharan Africa is highly vulnerable to the impacts of climate change (NEST, 2004, IPCC 2007 and Apata et al, 2009). Though climate change is a threat to agriculture and non-agricultural socio-economic development, agricultural production activities are generally more vulnerable to climate change than other sectors. (Kurukulasuriya, et al, 2006) . Ole et al, (2009) asserted that analysis of 9000 farmers in 11 African countries predicted falling in farm revenues with current climate scenarios. Also Butt et al, (2005) predicted future economic losses and increased the risk of hunger due to climate change. It seems clear the combination of high climatic variability poor infrastructure, economic poverty, drought, excess rainfall, poor livestock health, reduced crop yields, low productivity and a range of other problems associated with climate variability will constitute important challenges for Africa countries in particular (Adger et al, 2007). Food crop farmers in Oyo State Nigeria provide the bulk of arable crops that are consumed locally. The local farmers are experiencing climate change even though they have not considered its deeper implications (Apata et al 2009). This is evidenced in the late arrival of rain, the drying-up of stream

About^{1,2&4}- Department of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development Ladoké Akintola University of Technology Ogbomosho Oyo State, Nigeria. Email: ayanshola2005@yahoo.com

About³- Department of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development University of Ilorin, Ilorin Nigeria. Email: ogunladei@yahoo.com

and Small Rivers that usually flows year round. Also the gradual disappearances of flood-recession cropping in riverine areas of Ondo State are among the effects of climate disturbances in some communities of south-western Nigeria. (BNRCC, 2008 and Apata *et al* 2009). In Srilanka when precipitation increases it results in a positive and significant impact on farmers revenues, whereas temperature has a strong negative impact. Also in Cameroon net revenue falls as precipitation decreases or as temperature increases while in South Africa climate change has significant effects on net revenue per hectares of sugarcane with higher sensitivity to future increase in temperature than precipitation Ole *et al*, 2009).

II. STRATEGIES TO MITIGATE IMPACTS OF CLIMATE CHANGES

To approach the issue of climate change appropriately, one must take into account local communities understanding of climate change, since they perceive climate as having a strong spiritual, emotional, and physical dimension. It is therefore assumed that these communities have an inborn, adaptive knowledge from which to draw and survive in high-stress ecological and socio-economic conditions. Thus, the human responses is critical to understanding and estimating the effects of climate change on production and food supply for ease of adaptation. Accounting for these adaptations and adjustments is necessary in order to estimate climate change mitigations and responses (Apata *et al* 2009 SPORE, 2008 BNRCC 2008). There are identification of production systems which are most resilient to climate variability, that is production systems with the ability to adjust or recover from negative impacts and take advantage of positive impacts of the current climate variability. One of the factors that contribute to increasing resiliency of agricultural systems is the identification of appropriate mixes of production activities. For example, establishing crop/livestock mixed systems, using a mix of crop species, cultivar types and sowing dates, combining less productive drought - resistant cultivars and high yield but water sensitive crops. In other words, modifying the production systems by introducing four strategies:

- a) Increased diversification including activities that are less sensitive to drought and/or temperature stresses.
- b) Compatibility: activities that take full advantage of beneficial climate conditions.
- c) Escaping sensitive growth stages: This is by establishing crop practices that avoid the concentration of sensitive growth stages in the same period of the year (e.g different season lengths, sowing dates etc
- d) Elimination: another pathway for increasing resiliency is by eliminating climate related factor which is most limiting to crop productivity (e.g. introducing irrigation in water-limited summer crops)(IPCC, 2007). Nevertheless rural communities in Nigeria have always managed their resources and livelihoods in the face of challenging environmental and socio-economic conditions (Mortimore and Adams 2001, and Ole *et al*

2009). They have to a large extent been able to develop their livelihood strategies in a way which enables them to constantly cope with and adapt to an erratic climate change, severe pest attack, changing agricultural policies at local, national, global levels and other natural factors (BNRCC,2008 Apata *et al* 2009,IPCC,2007, ODI,2007and Molua 2008). There is need to gain as much information as possible, and learn the positions of rural farmers and their needs, about what they know about climate change, in order to offer adaptation practices that meet these needs. (Royal Society 2005 and Apata *et al* 2009 Lobell *et al* 2008 Hassan and Nhemachem 2008).This study therefore intends to assess farmers perception of impact of climate change on food crop production, it also describe socio-economic characteristics of the respondents, examine farmers perception on climate change, identify impact of climate change on crop production and to ascertain adaptation strategies adopted to mitigate effect of climate change.

III. METHODOLOGY

The study was conducted in Ogbomoso Agricultural zone of Oyo State. This zone consist of five agricultural extension blocks out of which three namely, Ikoyi, Ajaawa and Iresa were purposively selected for the study due to rural based of those extension blocks. Multistage sampling technique was used to select, Three hundred and sixty (360) farmers for the study. Four extension cells, out of eight cells in each selected extension block were randomly selected and two communities were selected randomly from each cell making a total of 24 communities selected for the study. Fifteen (15) respondents were systematically selected from each community making One hundred and twenty (120) respondents chosen from each extension block. Finally, a total of three hundred and sixty (360) respondents constituted the sample size for the study. Structured interview schedule was administered on respondents through Personal contact, with assistance of employed trained enumerators in their various communities. The adaptation strategies adopted by the respondents to mitigate effect of climate change on food crop production was grouped into five categories. These strategies are (i) soil water management (ii) Farming operations (iii) Protection measure (iv) household livelihood and (v) education and finance. Data collected were subjected to descriptive statistics, such as frequency counts, tables and percentages. However for testing research hypothesis multiple regressions was used.

IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

1) Socio-Economic Characteristics of the Respondents

Table 1 show that 22.2% of the respondents were above 51 years of age, 21.7% fell between the age range of 41-45 while 21.1% and 17.5% were between the age ranges of 46-50, and 36-40 years respectively, also 13.3% fell between the

age range of 31-35 and 4.2% were less than 30 years of age. Data further shows that about 72.0% of the respondents were male, 70.5% were literate and 90.3% of the respondents had involved in farming for more than 5 years. This implies that majority of the respondents had being in farming for many years.

2) *Farmers' perception on climate change*

Data in Table 2 revealed the responses of sampled farmers perception on climate change in their area as 31.1% indicated delayed rainfall, 24.7% indicated higher temperature 11.7% indicated unusual heavy rainfall, 9.4% indicated fast water evaporation and undefined season while 5.0%, 4.4% and 4.2% indicated more longer days than knight, flood with serious consequence and late fruiting of tree crops respectively as the determinant of climate change in their environment. This result conform with Lobell,(2008) Apata et al (2009) who reported that 89.0%, 72.0% and 65.0% of the respondents respectively indicated higher temperature, water evaporation from the ground is fast and delayed rainfall as the determinants of climate change.

3) *Impact of Climate Change on Crop Production*

Table 3 reveals that low yield on crop is the dominant impact (80.3%) stunted growth of crop (37.2%) ease spread of pest and diseases attack on crops. (31.1%) Drying of seedling after germination (27.2%) and ineffectiveness of agriculture chemicals due to delayed of rainfall 26.9%. These agree with findings of Molua (2008) who reported that performance of agriculture sector depends largely on the return of good rains and the timely and adequate provision of agricultural inputs.

4) *Perceived Adaptation strategies to mitigate impact of climate change on crop production.*

Table 4 presents adaptation strategies actually adopted by the respondents. These strategies are: increase water conservation (68.3%), shading and shelter/ mulching (59.4%) soil conservation (55.0%) move to different site (38.3%) while 34.2%, 20.6% and 19.2% of the respondents implement water conservation techniques practice, increase irrigation, and increase or reduction in land size cultivated respectively. Also respondents adopted planting of different crops (74.7%) Treat seed with fungicides before sowing (60.0%) planting different varieties of crops (58.9%), mixed cropping (96.7%) change use of chemical (68.9%). Furthermore 54.4% and 52.5% of the respondents adopted change of row orientation with respect to slope and Application of soil amendments e.g. farmyard manure

respectively as the strategies to mitigate effect of climate change. Table 4 further revealed that 96.1% adopted ration food, 86.7% reduce expenditure and 59.2% avoid selling remaining food stocks. However, 86.1% and 11.9% revealed that adequate access to extension facilities and credit facilities are the strategies adopted to mitigate effects of climate change on crop production in the study area. These results are in line with Molua (2008) Rudolf and Hermann (2009) and Apata et al (2009) who reported that main strategies for reducing climate risk is to diversify production and livelihood systems like soil and water management measures, and plant protection measures that varied to maintain adequate crop yields. Results of regression analysis in Table 5 shows that increased or reduced land size cultivated (X1), shading and shelter /mulching (X2) mixed cropping (X3) change row orientation with respect to slope (X4) Access to extension facilities (X5) Access to credit facilities (X6) education level (X7) years of farming experience (X8) and zero tillage (X9) had positive significant relationship with the dependent variable and predicted 60% of the variations in the farmers perceptions of impact of climate change. This explains that the more the perceived impact of climate changes the more the adoption of adaptation strategies to mitigate climate change impact on food crop production.

V. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

It is established from this study that farmers were aware of climate change and its impacts on food crop production. Further more, they are able to develop their livelihood and adaptation strategies in a way that enables them to constantly cope with an erratic impact of climate change on food crop production. Increase/reduce farm size, mulching, mixed cropping, row orientation with respect to slope, access to extension facilities credit facilities, zero tillage, educational level and years of farming experience were found to be significantly related to the perceived climate change in the study area. Hence, there should be off-farm employment that could stabilize income in the face of low crop production as a result of impact of climate change on crop production, also small scale irrigation project are of more sustainable nature that show a promising effect on climate change, income and risk reduction and there should be formulation of policy that considered arable food crop farmers experience in climate change with reliable and effective measure of adaptation. that need to be implemented that must be easily accessible to the end users.

Table 1
Source: Field Survey 2009

| Variables Age | Frequency | Percentage |
|--|-----------|------------|
| >30 | 15 | 4.2 |
| 31-35 | 48 | 13.3 |
| 36-40 | 63 | 17.5 |
| 41-45 | 78 | 21.7 |
| 46-50 | 76 | 21.1 |
| 51 and Above | 80 | 22.2 |
| Total | 360 | 100.0 |
| Sex | Frequency | Percentage |
| Male Female | 258 | 71.7 |
| | 102 | 28.3 |
| Total | 360 | 100.0 |
| Educational Level | Frequency | Percentage |
| No formal Education Primary Education Junior Secondary Education Senior Secondary Education Tertiary Education Others Quranic Education Adult literacy education | 106 | 29.4 |
| | 85 | 23.6 |
| | 52 | 14.4 |
| | 61 | 16.9 |
| | 24 | 6.7 |
| | 32 | 8.9 |
| Total | 360 | 100.0 |
| Years of farming experience | Frequency | Percentage |
| 1-5 | 85 | 23.6 |
| 6-10 | 52 | 14.4 |
| 11-15 | 61 | 16.9 |
| 16-20 | 24 | 6.7 |
| 21 and Above | 32 | 8.9 |
| Total | 360 | 100.0 |

Table 2:
Farmers perception of Climate Change

| Perception | Frequency | Percentage |
|---|-----------|------------|
| Higher temperature | 89 | 24.7 |
| Fast water evaporation from the ground Delayed rainfall | 34 | 9.4 |
| Undefined season | 112 | 31.1 |
| Flood with serious consequences | 34 | 9.4 |
| Later fruiting of tree crops | 16 | 4.4 |
| Unusual heavy rainfall | 15 | 4.2 |
| More longer days than knight | 42 | 11.7 |
| Total | 18 | 5.0 |
| | 360 | 100.0 |

Source: Field Survey 2009

Table 3: Impact of Climate Change on Crop Production

| Impact* | Frequent | Percentage |
|---|----------|------------|
| Low yield of crops | 289 | 80.3 |
| Stunted Growth | 134 | 37.2 |
| Drying of seedling after germination Ease spread of pest and diseases attack on crops | 98 | 27.2 |
| | 112 | 31.1 |
| Ineffectiveness of agricultural chemicals used due to delay in rainfall | 97 | 26.9 |

Source: Field Survey 2009

Table 4: Adaptation strategies to Climate Change

| Adaptation* | Frequency | Percentage |
|--|------------------|-------------------|
| Soil water strategies implement water conservation techniques | 123 | 34.2 |
| Increase or reduce land size cultivated | 69 | 19.2 |
| Move to different site | 138 | 38.3 |
| Increase irrigation | 74 | 20.6 |
| Increase water conservation | 246 | 68.3 |
| Soil conservation | 198 | 55.0 |
| Shading and shelter /Mulching | 214 | 59.4 |
| Farming Operations | 269 | 74.7 |
| Planting of different crops | 212 | 58.9 |
| Planting of different varieties | 172 | 47.8 |
| Early Planting | 89 | 24.7 |
| Early harvest when dry soil is expected | 76 | 21.1 |
| Apply more or fewer inputs | 83 | 23.1 |
| Different planting dates | 216 | 60.0 |
| Treat seeds with fungicides before sowing | 348 | 96.7 |
| Mixed cropping | 237 | 65.8 |
| Mixed farming | 215 | 59.7 |
| Zero tillage | 23 | 6.4 |
| Changes from crop production to livestock production Change use of chemicals fertilizer and pesticides | 248 | 68.9 |
| Protection measure | 196 | 54.4 |
| Change row orientation with respect to slope | 189 | 52.5 |
| Apply soil amendments eg farmyard manure | 98 | 27.2 |
| Increase fertilizer application three days prior to sowing | | |
| Household Livelihood | 149 | 41.4 |
| Undertake non-farm economic activities | 213 | 59.2 |
| Avoid selling remaining food stocks | 312 | 86.7 |
| Reduce expenditure ration food | 346 | 96.1 |
| Ration food | 89 | 24.7 |
| Migrate | | |
| Education and finance | 310 | 86.1 |
| Access to extension facilities | 43 | 11.9 |
| Access to credit facilities | 07 | 1.9 |
| Government Policies | | |

Source: Field Survey 2009

Table 5: Regression analysis on perception of Climate Change and adaptation strategies.

| Independent Variables | |
|---|-------------------|
| Constant | 56.501 (3.743)* |
| Farm size (X1) | 0.132 (2.062)* |
| Shading, shelter and mulching (X2) | 0.313 (2.189)* |
| Mixed Cropping (X3) | 0.549 (2.520)* |
| Change row orientation with respect to slope (X4) | 0.723 (3.147)* |
| Access to extension facilities (X5) | 0.834 (2.128)* |
| Access to credit facilities (X6) | 0.379 (2.832)* |
| Educational level (X7) | 0.284 (2.568)* |
| Years of Farming experience (X8) | 0.127 (3.231)* |
| Zero tillage (X9) | 0.615 (2.586)* |

Source: Data Analysis 2009

R² - 0.612

Adj R - 0.734

F - Value - 4.5

* Significant at 0.05 level

Apata T. G. Samuel, K. D. and Adeola, A. O. (2009) Analysis of Climate change perception and Adaptation among Arable Food Crop Farmers in south Western Nigeria paper presented at the conference of International Association of Agricultural Economics pp. 2-9. Butt, T. A. McCari, B. A. Angerer, J Dyke, P. T. and Stuth, J. W (2005). The economic and food security implications of climate change in Mali Journal Climatic change 6(8) 355-378. Building Nigeria's Response to Climate change (BNRCC) (2008) The Recent Global and Local Action on Climate change paper presented at Annual Workshop of Nigerian Environmental Study Team (NEST) held at Hotel Millennium, Abuja, Nigeria 8-9th October 2008 p. 2-4. Hassan, R and Nhemachena, C (2008) Determinants of African Farmers' Strategies for adaptation to climate change African Journal of Resource Economics 2 (1) pp 83-104. Intergovernmental panel on climate change (IPCC) (2007) Climate change impacts, Adaptation and vulnerability. In Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (eds) Parry, M. L. Canziani, O. F. Palutikof, J. P, Vanderlinden, P. J, and Hasson, C. E. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom pp. 80-96. Kurukulasuriya, P.

Mendelsohn, R. Hassan, R, Benhin, J. Deressa, T. Dip. M. Fosu, K. Y. Jain, S. Mano, R. Molua E. Ouda, S. Sene, I, Seo S. N. and Dinar, A. (2006) Will African agriculture survive climate change? World Bank Economic Review 20 (3) 67-88. Lobell, D. B. Burke, M. B, Tebaldi C Manstrandrea, M. D, Fakon, W. P. & Naylor R. L. (2008) Prioritizing Climate change adaptation needs for food security in 2030 International journal of science 31 (9) pp. 60-71. Molua, E. L. and Lambi, C. M. (2007) Economic Impact of Climate change on agriculture in Cameroon. Policy Research paper No 4364 World Bank, Washington, D. C. pp. 51-55. Mortimore, M. J. and Adams W.M. (2001) Farmer adaptation change and crisis in the Sahel Global Environmental change Human and Policy Dimensions. Journal of Environmental Management 4(3) 604-616. Molua, E. L. (2008) Turning up the heat on African Agriculture: The impact of climate change on Cameroon's agriculture, African Journal of Agriculture and Resource Economics 2 (1) pp 45-64.

- Nigerian Environmental Study Team (NEST) (2004) Regional Climate modeling and climate scenarios Development in support of vulnerability and adaptation studies: Outcome of Regional Climate modeling Efforts over Nigeria, NEST, Ibadan Nigeria. Pp12-20
- Overseas Development Institute (ODI) (2007) Climate Change Agricultural Policy and Poverty Reduction how much do we know? Overseas Development Institute 2007 pp. 14-21.
- Ole, M. Cheikh, M. Anette, R. and Awa, D. (2009) Farmers Perceptions of Climate Change and Agricultural Strategies in Rural Sahel. *Journal of Environmental Management* 4(3) 804-816.
- Royal Society (2005) Impact of Climate Change on Crops Worse Than previously thought <http://royalsociety.org/news.asp?> Accessed September, 2010.
- Rudolf, W. Hermann, W. (2009) Climate risk and farming Systems in Rural Cameroon. Institute of Development and Agricultural Economics. University of Hannover, Germany pp. 21-24.
- Smith, B. and Skinner, M. (2002) Adaptation options in Agriculture to climate change: A typology, mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change. *African Journal of Agriculture and Resource Economics* 3(5) pp. 78-82.
- Spore (2008) Climate Change Spore Special Issue - August, 2009. pp. 9-13.

Global Journals Inc. (US) Guidelines Handbook 2011

www.GlobalJournals.org