

# Political Party Rebellion, Legislative Politics and Balance of Power in the 8<sup>th</sup> National Assembly of Nigeria

Anthony Egobueze<sup>1</sup> and Peter Mbah<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Nigeria

*Received: 16 December 2015 Accepted: 4 January 2016 Published: 15 January 2016*

---

## Abstract

The issue of equitable power sharing in the All Progressives Congress (APC) controlled federal legislature has been very contentious in the 8th National Assembly of Nigeria. This paper analyses the legislative politics and politics of office distribution in Nigeria focusing on how elite struggles for power and influence shape the internal conflicts and implementation of zoning principles in the National Assembly. Legislatures evolve and develop internally in reaction to broader political environment. Zoning and rotation principle are part of a democratic culture used to address the problems inherent in a plural society of underdeveloped states. The zoning principle is structured to deliberately ensure some degree of diversity. This is indispensable to the maintenance of the Nigerian State due to its diversity in ethnicity, religion, language, education, etc. This article analyses the conflicts arising from an attempt to change the zoning principle and office distribution imbedded in the federal character principle in Nigeria. It focuses on how elite struggle for power and money shape the conception, implementation, and continuity of zoning of offices and positions in order to maintain ethnic balancing. The article adopts a methodology involving qualitative research based on a review of published literature. The study recommended the reintroduction of zoning principle for maintaining zonal equilibrium as a modality for ethnic diversity balancing and management.

---

*Index terms*— zoning, federal character, senate, internal conflict, national assembly.

## 1 Introduction

Legislatures are the heart of any democratic government across the world and are not static institutions. It is the yardstick for measuring the views of the people in a democracy (Egobueze, 2013:2). They evolve and develop internally in reaction to broader political environment (Rotimi, 1988; Forgette, 1997). As political institution, it is seen as an important organ used to address the important question of unity and stability in any given society. However, this historic role played by the legislature has not attracted much attention, unlike the executive and the judiciary especially in the developing countries like Nigeria. This development according to Boyton and Kim (1975) 'may be attributed to the nature of legislative office that is neither the owner of the purse or the sword'. There seems to be little literature on legislature as an organ of government, legislative behavior and legislative conflicts within the legislature itself in Nigeria. Compared with the advanced Western democracies, literature on Nigerian legislature is not as rich as those of the western world. Many reasons accounted for this. First, most of the available historical accounts of the role of colonial legislatures were actual documentation of the British.

In spite of the strategic importance of the legislature, adequate and scientific explanations to the conflicts within the two chambers especially in the Senate have not been given considerable academic attention. Political analysts and academics rarely focus attention on scientific explanation of the conflicts between the Senate and the House of Representatives or within any one of them in Nigeria (Mbah, 2014:677).

## 2 II. AFRICAN LEGISLATURE: A CONCEPTUAL REFLECTION

---

42 When we speak of politics, we refer to all activities that are directly or indirectly associated with the emergence,  
43 consolidation and use or exercise of state power (Nnoli, 2003:12). The state is its centerpiece and it refers to what  
44 the politicians do. The 8<sup>th</sup> Legislative session of the National Assembly began in 9<sup>th</sup> of June, 2015. Legislators  
45 had arrived in Abuja for their inauguration session and were faced with how to elect the principal officers of  
46 the Assembly, maintaining zoning balance and myriad of other problems and issues relating to the Nigerian  
47 economy. The elections of Senator Bukola Saraki of All Progressives Congress (APC) the majority party in the  
48 Assembly, Senator Ike Ekweremadu and Senator David Mark of People's Democratic Party (PDP) as Senate  
49 President, Deputy Senate President and Senate Leader respectively means that a larger-than-usual deception is  
50 being carried out and also the elections of Rt. Hon. Yakubu Dogara and Hon. Lasun Yusuf (APC) as Speaker and  
51 Deputy Speaker of the House of Representatives respectively, is not an unusual event in the annals of Nigeria's  
52 political history. In other words, the politics inside the legislative house is not new. However, the emergence of  
53 the leadership of the 8<sup>th</sup> National Assembly has thrown up many issues which have generated conflicts and crisis  
54 within the APC and the Assembly. This has put into debate the merits and faults of majoritarian and party  
55 theories to explain legislative actions. of the conflicts in the National Assembly as part of unavoidable teething  
56 problems of a nascent democracy. Also, Haber, (citation needed) argues that in some African countries, the  
57 legislature remains young with stunt growth and fragility due to the devastating consequences of wars, conflict  
58 and dictatorship. Conflicts within the National Assembly are not merely the result of the learning process of  
59 the new democratic process. It is assumed that legislators will realize the coordinate characters within National  
60 Assembly and, therefore, the desideration of cooperative work. There are other reasons advanced that sound  
61 rhetoric favouring one chamber or the other and this has accumulated in both the popular press and the writings  
62 of political scientists. Much of this rhetoric revolves around the legitimacy of disagreement between the Senate  
63 and House of Representatives. In many publications by scholars, there are opinions that the platform of a party  
64 winning the majority in each Chamber usually breeds disagreement and conflict. Usually, if the same party wins  
65 a majority in legislature, output is often held to reflect the extent of disagreement between the members. It is  
66 expected that conflicts will be common between the two Chambers when different parties are in the majority in  
67 both Chambers. In this case, issues are usually seen from different views and perspectives (Mbah, 2014: 678).  
68 Increasingly this explanation is deemed to be an optimist's dream.

69 In this case, if issues are seen from different views and perspectives, no party will be willing to abandon its  
70 position. Consequently, conflicts and disagreements will be based on policy disagreements. The 2015 general  
71 elections made the All Progressives Congress (APC) pivotal in the National Assembly. The National Assembly  
72 is not divided by electoral results. Nigerians expected that acting upon their strengthened bargaining position  
73 in the National Assembly, that rancorous and conflictive relationships could be reduced to its barest minimum.  
74 It was assumed that a party with a majority in the two chambers of the legislature and controls the executive  
75 will then be able to carry out its platforms. This has not been the case.

76 However, in a country such as Nigeria where economic development is at the lowest level, the motivating  
77 factor has always been private and sectional interests as the driving force of politics and of the feuds within the  
78 chambers. Many legislators from the opposition party and some from the APC see the proverbial "hand of Esau  
79 and voice of Jacob" in these conflicts. Consequently, many allegations have been made. Accusing fingers have  
80 been pointed at the former Leader of the APC, Ahmed Tinubu for leading some legislators' against the election  
81 of Bukola Saraki as the Senate President to destabilize the National Assembly. Some see it as PDP plan to  
82 slight the APC government.

83 This paper, therefore, aims to critically evaluate how insistence of the maintenance of the zoning principle as  
84 a balance of power mechanism reinforces the conflictive and rancorous relationships within the APC controlled  
85 National Assembly, especially the Senate. This strand of analysis is central in framing our problematique for  
86 the conflict in the Senate Chamber. In reality, APC intra-legislative conflicts are not as a result of a different  
87 party controlling the two legislative arms, being in minority in the Assembly or in the Senate, learning process  
88 and on the issue of principle and dedication to good legislation by standing on both sides of public interest,  
89 but, however, reflect a great deal of party rebellion and insistence by some members in maintaining the zoning  
90 principle. The significance of this study is that first, it could serve as an experimental control for testing some  
91 assumptions underlying the study of legislative conflicts in Africa particularly those positing a link between  
92 quality of leadership and zoning. Second, studies of the legislature focus attention on inter-party legislative  
93 conflicts rather than intra-party legislative conflicts and this has not received much academic attention in the  
94 study of legislatures in Nigeria.

## 95 2 II. African Legislature: A Conceptual Reflection

96 A legislature is the embodiment of the doctrine of popular sovereignty, which recognizes that the people as are  
97 the source of all political power. Citizens choose by popular vote the legislators, or representatives, whom they  
98 want to serve them. The representatives are expected to be sensitive to the needs of their constituents and to rep-  
99 resent their constituents' interests in the legislature (<http://legal-dictionary.thefreedictionary.com/constituents>).  
100 Discussions about African democracies have generally focus on good governance, leadership, and transparency of  
101 the executive branches, with less attention on the pivotal role that legislatures have to play in further advancing  
102 the continent's democratic processes today (Sanders, 2014). The study of African politics has long focused on the  
103 role of the executive, or on the party, and less commonly on the role of parliament. Big men and a few women

---

104 dominated national politics, either as autocrats or, infrequently, as democrats. They and their close associates  
105 were salient and largely determinative of political machinations and outcomes. Thus the executive branch was  
106 for many decades omnipotent in the majority of sub-Saharan African politics (Protberg, 2013). Prji (2008:  
107 125) argues that intense elite struggles for power are a striking feature of Nigerian politics. The key element of  
108 the struggle has been the tension between elites from the largely Moslem North and the Christian South. The  
109 underlying issues behind the political tension are the fears in Northern Nigeria that majoritarian electoral contest.  
110 The foregoing argument has dominated political science literature regarding conflicts in Nigeria before and after  
111 the Nigerian civil war. However, the internal conflict within the APC does not follow this fault line of Moslem  
112 North and Christian South divide, for both Saraki and Lawan including Dogara are from the North. Governing  
113 parties that are internally divided have greater difficulty in forming the legislative majorities necessary to pass  
114 laws quickly, especially if their preferences are further away from the opposition (Haber, 2015). Again, cultural  
115 considerations, historical experiences, and political realities affect the evolution and development of legislative  
116 bodies (Ornstein, 1992:3). In some countries, the legislature remains very weak despite the return to multiparty  
117 politics, the holding of presidential and legislative elections at regular intervals, and even the alternation of power  
118 between rival political parties (Barkan, 2009:3). In Nigeria, the reality lies somewhere in between-the legislature  
119 remains weak, but aspires to, and to a limited extent has achieved, a larger role (Barkan, 2009). Some of the  
120 setbacks of the development of legislatures in Africa and conflicts therein, according to arkan (2009:4) is that  
121 the colonial legacies in Francophone and Lusophone Africa were not any more supportive of the development of  
122 a legislature that could perform the core functions.

123 In explaining what constitutes a strong legislature, Krishnan (2013:3) argues that a good starting point in  
124 answering such a complex question is to determine whether examples of effective legislatures can be identified.  
125 Developing a benchmark to measure against will allow the characteristics of strong, effective, and independent  
126 legislatures to be determined. These would allow different political systems to be analytically assessed and  
127 distinctions to be made between weak legislatures that rubber-stamp and stronger legislatures with the abilities  
128 to act with or without executive or party approval (Krishnan, 2013:4). Legislative ineffectiveness is part of larger  
129 issues of poor governance and weak state structures (Krishnan, 2013).

130 Recent studies of legislative organization have advanced competing distributive, informational, and partisan  
131 theories of legislative organization. Analyzed in light of principal-agent framework, each offers a distinct account  
132 of the reasons for and the conditions most conducive to different types of legislative rules reform. In particular,  
133 the principal-agent framework permits better understanding of the conditions promoting partisan reform of  
134 House rules under the Senate President or the Speaker (Forgette, 1997: 375). Recent positive theory based on  
135 United States Congressional studies argues for policy preference-based models to explain the choice of legislative  
136 institutions; an alternative theoretical approach holds that re-election goals shape preferences over institutional  
137 arrangements. Still other theorists emphasize "supply-side" explanations of partisanship and party leadership in  
138 enabling legislators to overcome collective action problems in policy and institutions (Remington, 1998:545).  
139 The problem remains, however, that these diverse approaches cannot be combined into a single comprehensive  
140 theory to explain internal conflicts within legislative houses, especially that of Nigerian legislature. In recent  
141 theorizing, Putnam (2007) contends that ethnic and racial diversity can dampen both out-group and ingroup  
142 cohesion. In other words, theories of racial or power threat are a sub-set of theories of realistic group conflict  
143 (Wong and Drake, 2006:5). The central claim is that the presence of an out-group in sufficient numbers will  
144 generate competition for scarce resources and thus local hostility (Hopkins, 2010:41). Hopkins further contends  
145 that since the mechanisms are exclusively local, the effect should be a function of local population shares and vary  
146 over time to the extent that population shares do (Author omitted 2010:41). Threat might be especially acute  
147 in places of relative or increasing resource deprivation (Branton and Jones, 2005) or of rising out-group political  
148 power (Dancygier, 2007). To be sure, realistic group conflict is not the only way through which to view Nigeria's  
149 response to local crisis arising from political change. The kind of social conflict in the legislative assembly refers  
150 to the struggles over values and claims to status, power, and scarce resources.

151 It is in part of this underdevelopment that many (donor) initiatives were introduced in the period from the  
152 1990s onwards to "build the capacity" of parliament in different parts of Africa. And without doubt, there were,  
153 indeed, technical capacities in need of being developed. But clearly, the issues arising from the weaknesses of  
154 the legislature are not simply or only technical in nature; in fact, they are mainly -and perhaps overwhelmingly  
155 political in nature (CODESRIA, 2006:1). These problems have manifested themselves in a variety of ways,  
156 including through the struggles for relative autonomy from the executive, tensions arising from the tendency  
157 towards presidentialism in Africa's new democracies, the instability and fragmentation of political parties, the  
158 poor structuring of the relationship between elected legislators and party bosses, the easy vulnerability of electoral  
159 systems to various kinds of manipulation, etc (CODESRIA, 2006:1). Thus, African legislatures are largely absent  
160 from comparative body of literature about parliaments and their members.

161 The ongoing leadership crisis about the elections of the Senate President and Speaker House of Representatives  
162 have been profoundly affected by the All Progressives Congress (APC) rejection of Senator Burkola Saraki  
163 and Yakubu Dogara who they argue were not the first choice of the party in 109 Senate and 360 House of  
164 Representatives dominated by the APC. Since the inauguration of the 8 th National Assembly and the election  
165 of the leadership of both the Senate and the House of Representatives where Senator Burkola Saraki and Hon.  
166 Yakubu Dogara emerged Senate President and Speaker of the House of Representatives respectively against the

## 2 II. AFRICAN LEGISLATURE: A CONCEPTUAL REFLECTION

---

167 position of their party, the APC, the party which won the Presidential and National Assembly elections has  
168 been thrown into crisis. The elections of these two principal officers and the subsequent chaos that resulted  
169 therefrom proved a bombshell for the party. This is because according to Easterbrook (1983:547-548) legislatures  
170 comprise many members; they do not have "intents" or "designs". It is not only impossible to reason from the  
171 one statute to another but also impossible to reason from one or more sections of a statute to a problem not  
172 resolve. The existence of agenda control within a legislature makes it impossible for a party-even one that knows  
173 each legislator's complete table of preferences-to say what the whole body would have done with a proposal it did  
174 not consider in fact. As a federal state, an acknowledgement of the diverse interests needs to be accommodated.

175 In fact, one cannot rule out the possibility that faced with electing principal officers in the National  
176 Assembly would follow party guidelines strictly without considering politics of region, religion and ethnicity  
177 in a heterogeneous country like Nigeria. Politics and appointments or even elections consider the foregoing  
178 factors as ingredients of national politics. The bone of contention was between the "Like Minds" loyal to Burkola  
179 Saraki and the "Senate Unity Forum" which was formed as Senator Ahmad Lawan's support base. The Lawan  
180 group has the support of the APC leader, Ahmed Tinubu while the Burkola Saraki's group is a radical group who  
181 believes in equity and zoning. The President comes from the North West while the Vice President comes from  
182 the South West. In their reasoning, it will be unfair to also have the Senate President from the North East in the  
183 person of Ahmed Lawan who comes from Yobe State and Speaker of the House, Femi Gbajabiamila who comes  
184 from Lagos State, the South West, and the same zone as the Vice President. If this is allowed to happened, the  
185 North Central will lose -out completely in the national scheme of things. The Chairman of the APC comes from  
186 the South -South in the person of John Oyegun. So, Saraki, from the North-Central had to act fast, of which he  
187 acted and got the Senate President against the spirit and guideline of his party. Arguably, the main character  
188 in Buhari's quest to victory was National leader of the APC, Ahmed Tinubu. He played important role in the  
189 election of the President by mobilizing the entire South West to vote for Buhari. But that is not to deny the  
190 unifying factor, the zoning formula. These two groups were the power contending forces in the Senate.

191 Therefore, the crisis and conflict in the Senate is induced by the struggle of like Minds, loyal to Burkola Saraki  
192 to reintroduce the zoning principle in the Senate which the PDP used in sharing important national offices when  
193 they controlled the Federal government from 1999 to 2015. This principle is driven by the Federal Character  
194 principle in the 1999 constitution. The introduction of the principle was due to claims of marginalization and  
195 demands for more equitable sharing of petroleum resources to all sections of the country, ethnic or states. Years  
196 of centralism by the military undercut ethnicbased politicians. Consequently, federal character was a negotiated  
197 balance between centripetal (military) and centrifugal (ethnic) instincts in the Nigerian political lexicon ??Ibeanu,  
198 2012: 19). Widely popular claims, especially in the South, state that military rule was a guise for domination by  
199 the North also meant that an ideology of balance between North and South, between Christians and Moslems and  
200 among States and geopolitical zones should become a central principle of constitution making in Nigeria (Ibeanu,  
201 2012). Section -of the constitution of Nigeria 1999 outlaws discrimination on the basis of race, gender, or religion.  
202 Undoubtedly, one of the most discussed issues in Nigeria today is the issue of political zoning. Zoning, properly  
203 defined, is an arrangement in which political offices at the national, state and local government are distributed  
204 or rotated to ensure that no part of any political configuration is shortchanged. The concept seeks to formalize a  
205 pattern whereby the geo-ethnic origin or zone of the national leadership alternates or is accommodated in major  
206 political offices. It is an attempt to address the important question of unity in diversity and stability through  
207 consociational practices.

208 Accordingly, any practice by a political party that undermines the clarity, visibility, and purity of that choice  
209 goes against the grains and constitutes a violation of the constitution. This principle has become a major plank  
210 on which the Nigerian political class has framed the discourse of national unity and stability. This is what  
211 the Saraki group wants maintained that fueled the crisis in the Senate. The Part arguing otherwise that its  
212 first choice for the post of Senate President was Ahmad Lawan, while also recommending Femi Gbajabiamila  
213 for Speakership. However, members of the House of Representatives elected Yakubu Dogara, who represents  
214 Bogoro/ Tafawa Balewa Federal Constituency in Bauchi State, North East, as the Speaker of the 8th Assembly.  
215 Mr. Dogara defeated Femi Gbajabiamila, who represents Surulere federal constituency, Lagos state, South West,  
216 in a keenly contested election.

217 The plan to dictate to the National Assembly whom to elect as principal officers could have been possible  
218 under the parliamentary system where the party that wins the majority seats in the parliament determines the  
219 allocation and the direction of leadership. But Nigeria is practicing presidential system of government as is  
220 provided for in the Constitution of Nigeria, 1999 which does not recognize party supremacy after electioneering  
221 victory for the office of the Senate President and the Deputy Senate President as well as the Speaker and the  
222 Deputy Speaker of the House of Representatives. However, the APC wanted to dictate how National Assembly  
223 officials should emerge which is a departure from the spirit and letters of the Constitution Section 50 of the  
224 constitution states that:

225 There shall be:-(a) a President and a Deputy President of the Senate, who shall be elected by the members of  
226 that House from among themselves; and

227 (b) a Speaker and a Deputy Speaker of the House of Representatives, who shall be elected by the members of  
228 that House from among themselves (FGN,1999).

229 members of the National Assembly are free to elect their leaders without recourse to any party.

---

230 Positive political institution focuses on designing models that recognize that political decisions are not made  
231 in an institutional vacuum ??Schwartz, Spiller and Urbiztondo, 1994). Legislatures have political parties,  
232 committees, caucuses, recognition and addenda rules all of which add to the predictability of policy decisions.  
233 Easterbrook (cited in Schwartz, Spiller, and Santiago, 1994:52) suggested that the presence agenda control makes  
234 it impossible to predict what would emerge from the legislative process. This is because politics is fraught  
235 with uncertainty. Office holders do not know with precision the preferences of other holders on every issue  
236 especially in the developing countries where state power and political positions mean money. One can never  
237 fully anticipate how the personnel of any branch of government will change in the near future. Changes in the  
238 political environment lead to changes in the saliency of various issues for political actors ??Schwartz, Spiller, and  
239 Urbiztondo, 1994:52). Certainly, when the inauguration of the 8 th National Assembly took place on the 9 th  
240 of June, 2015, and some principal officers emerged, nerves were raised, protest from some members of the APC  
241 followed and rejection of the elected officers by the aggrieved party members who felt that what happened was an  
242 act of rebellion, refused to recognize their leadership in the National Assembly. In-fighting and intrigues on how  
243 to remove them led to the rowdy session on June 25, 2015 in which the Maze which is the symbol of authority  
244 was nearly snatched in order to achieve the removal of the Speaker of the House of Representatives. This forced  
245 the House to adjourn plenary till July 28, 2015.

### 246 **3 a) The Politics of Zoning, Conflict and Balance of Power in** 247 **the 8 th National Assembly**

248 The Nigerian Federation is an assembly of several nationalities striving to forge a more perfect union from  
249 peoples of diverse heritage. To further this objective, various forms of affirmative action have been proposed  
250 and taken to promote the feeling of belonging and inclusiveness by all segments of the country. The terms  
251 Federal Character, Zoning, Rotation, Uniform Development, National Character, etc, have been used to describe  
252 the various forms of affirmative action designed to engender feelings of belonging and national inclusiveness in  
253 appointments to positions of national leadership. The degree of acceptance of affirmative action in our national  
254 life is evidenced in an agency like the Federal Character Commission that is enshrined in the Constitution of the  
255 country (Ovienmhada, 2015:1).

256 The office sharing arrangements express the tendency of the Nigerian elite since the 1970s to manage ethnic  
257 diversity and promote a Nigerian state project by avoiding divisive politics and emphasizing 'unity in diversity'.  
258 This position shows the basis, contradictions and ambivalence that underline the principles of federal character  
259 and zoning as modalities for sharing power, positions and resources between the Nigerian elite, and on the  
260 other hand, as frameworks and processes through which the elite seek to realize their interests within nonviolent  
261 distributive politics ??Orji,2008:125).

### 262 **4 b) Zoning and Conflict in the 8 th National Assembly**

263 The zoning principle is based on the latitudinal or horizontal relations relating to nation building which deals  
264 with interactions among constituent social forces in the emergent nation-state. The central content of this set of  
265 relations is the nature of competition and cooperation among the various social forces-ethnic, racial, religious etc-  
266 either created or nurtured by colonialism as part of vertical relations of aggregation ??Ibeanu, 2012:13). Zoning is  
267 an arrangement in which political offices at the national, states and local governments are distributed or rotated  
268 to ensure that no part of any political configuration is short-changed or marginalized. It is a form of socio-political  
269 contract ??Akinola, 1996:18), which is used for purposes of rotating major offices. It is, therefore, opium for  
270 maintaining unity and political balance which is necessitated by the logic that the more politically endowed zone  
271 does not dominate the politically disadvantaged zone with its economic advantage. In this kind of arrangement,  
272 we do not underrate the importance of political power in distribution of scarce resources where the ruling is  
273 unproductive and weak economically. However, over a decade of return to democracy, Nigeria's federal system  
274 has been resilient to some of the political tensions that would have otherwise torn it apart (Nkume-Okorie,  
275 2014:15).

276 Nigeria's aspirations have been usually centred on how to develop a broad and universally accepted framework  
277 for crisis free and equitable transfer of power, sharing of offices, including political participation (Ojonugwa,  
278 2015). Ojonugwa further argues that in order to fashion out a new formula to create a basis for equitable  
279 distribution of political power through the electoral process, one of such suggestions was the proposal for the  
280 principle of zoning of political offices among the six geo-political zones structure. This was first recommended by  
281 the 1995 Constituent Assembly organized by General Abacha's administration. Although the recommendation  
282 was never contained in the 1999 constitution, the six geo-political zones have come to be recognized as the basis  
283 for power sharing. It was on the strength of this that the Peoples Democratic Party (PDP), through a consensus,  
284 adopted zoning of political offices for the 1999 general elections (Ojonugwa, 2015).

285 It was originally introduced into Nigeria's polity during the second republic ??1979) ??1980) ??1981) ??1982)  
286 ??1983) in the Article 21 of the internal rules and regulations of the National Party of Nigeria (NPN), which  
287 was the ruling party at that time. In the Fourth Republic, the PDP, which has been in power since 1999 till  
288 2015, retained this practice internally. The practical operation of zoning demands that key positions, such as  
289 that of the office of the President of the Republic, the Vice-President, the Senate President and his vice, the

#### 4 B) ZONING AND CONFLICT IN THE 8 TH NATIONAL ASSEMBLY

---

290 Speaker of the House of Representatives and his deputy and the Secretary to the government of the federation, be  
291 occupied by people from different States and geopolitical zones ??Ojonugwa, 2015: 4). In the Fourth Republic,  
292 the PDP, which was in power since 1999 till 2015, retained this practice internally to share appointments and  
293 offices. The practical operation of zoning demands that key positions, such as that of the office of the President of  
294 the Republic, the Vice-President, the Senate President and his vice, the Speaker of the House of Representatives  
295 and his deputy and the secretary to the government of the federation, be occupied by people from different states  
296 and geopolitical zones. The This principle has also been extended beyond the Federal level to both State and  
297 Local governments.

298 One of the factors that led to the principle of zoning is the fear of domination by one ethnic group or zone  
299 by another in elective positions and appointments. In other words, the problem of ensuring a reasonable degree  
300 of unity under conditions of diversity is central in the structuring and running of federal governments ??Awa,  
301 1973:99). The degree to which unity is achieved will determine whether the inclusive government will persist  
302 as a political system. Consequently, a political community exists in a society whose members' posses' mutual  
303 sympathy and loyalty with respect to their participation in a common political unit, regardless of differences  
304 in custom, religion, social economic status, nationality, etc. In other words, in a political community, there are  
305 among the people, a shared national identity. In every society it is the common political structure shared by  
306 the members that tends to create minimal affective bonds among them (Awa, 1973). The National Assembly is  
307 one. The commitment to values enables men to devise means for reconciling or adjusting conflicting interests  
308 and for turning coercive force into legitimate authority ??Cohen, 1968:28). When people enter public life, they  
309 bring their backgrounds and experiences with them. Sometimes, these present ethical dilemmas in the form of  
310 conflicts of interest. In the legislature, conflicts typically arise when a legislator has the potential to receive a  
311 personal benefit based on his or her public position. States are aware that conflicts of interest must be addressed  
312 and most have done so either directly or indirectly through the federal character principle or zoning.

313 In Nigeria, zoning has been accepted as an important political reality, hence there is always a concerted effort to  
314 ensure its application in all issues or distribution of offices to reach a harmonious and stable polity. For example,  
315 the introduction of the Federal Character Commission in the Constitution is a deliberate provision to safeguard  
316 the interests of both the majority and minority ethnic nationalities. Today, the appointment of Federal Ministers  
317 is based strictly on the realization of the imperative of zoning. Constitutionally, each State of the federation is  
318 as a matter of Constitutional requirement to be represented in the Federal Cabinet (Osuji, 2014: 2). It was not  
319 envisaged that in a country of 36 States; six geopolitical zones and a population of over 180 million people with  
320 so many fault lines, will agree to the choice of a political party picking its candidates without considering zoning  
321 (Ayelabowo, 2015). Ideologically, zoning is an imperative for the resolution of ethnic conflict in Nigeria. This  
322 is because the notion that ideology will destroy ethnicity is political fallacy. Thus, in the contest between the  
323 two, ethnicity which has the greater energy due to its rooted nature will prevail (Akinola, 1996), and because it  
324 prevails, the zoning principle is adopted as an ideology for maintaining ethnic balance. Although Nigeria consists  
325 of no less than 250 ethnic and linguistic groups, the problem of integration goes beyond such heterogeneity  
326 ??Akinola, 1996:22). Three ethnic groups, Igbo, Yoruba and Hausa/Fulani is greater than most other African  
327 national populations, and this suggests the need for greater ingenuity in the art of political engineering (Akinola,  
328 1996). Each of the major groups is larger than most African countries. Riker (cited in ??kinola, 1996:19) posits  
329 that Nigeria is one of ex-British Federalisms that does not display the unification of a number of separate colonies  
330 no one of which have been viable alone. Therefore, marked differences in language, religion, custom, and culture  
331 could not be obliterated by the mere fact of amalgamation (Odumosu, cited in ??kinola, 1996: 19). It was  
332 an attempt to converge diverse interest of the various nationalities that the social construction of zoning as a  
333 model emerged. Her size and underdevelopment makes it imperative for its adoption. Nigerian politics relates  
334 to zoning of national leadership and who gets what, how, and when. So its re-introduction in the 8 th National  
335 Assembly has become a source of conflicts and rancour within the ruling APC. For a very long time, major  
336 political appointments have been based on party guidelines and recommendations made by the party and these  
337 were followed for appointments into public positions but not always in the election of principal officers of the  
338 National Assembly. Although zoning is not necessarily constitutional, but the federal character principle is, and  
339 has helped in the inclusion of diverse groups and interests in the sharing of political power. The principle is a  
340 way to diffuse ethnic, religious and other sectional interests as the basis for sharing political offices (Okwenna,  
341 2011:4). The Peoples Democratic Party employs this in order to rotate resources among the geo-political zones  
342 in Nigeria. Any issue unresolved in political parties may however have a reverberating effect on the political  
343 landscape of Nigeria. What was supposed to be an internal arrangement by the APC is actually impacting  
344 heavily on the political evolution of Nigeria. The Constitution of most parties recognizes Nigeria as having six  
345 (6) geo-political zones. These zones have been the basis of power sharing and political participation, especially  
346 since the 1995 Constitutional Conference. The six geopolitical zones are designed to ensure equal participation  
347 of all the segments of the country in its administration. Indeed section 14 (3) of the 1999 Nigeria constitution  
348 recognizes this affirmative principle in what it calls the "Federal Character", which is to foster equity, justice and  
349 fairness among Nigerians from the various zones of the country.

350 The 8 th Senate of the National Assembly was inaugurated on June 9, 2015 amid controversies. The controversy  
351 started from a competition between Senator Bukola Saraki, APC member representing Kwara Central Senatorial  
352 District in the North Central Geo-Political zone and Senator Ahmad Lawan, another APC member representing

---

353 Yobe North in the North East Geo-Political zone of Nigeria in the race to the office of President of the Senate. The  
354 Senate instantly became divided into two factions, the pro-Saraki and the pro-Lawan groups. This conflict was  
355 provoked by struggle over the choice of leadership of the Senate. Disagreement or conflict in the senate is not new.  
356 The previous conflicts in the Senate have always been traced to the meddlesomeness of the executive arm in the  
357 choice of who will occupy the two presiding offices, President and Deputy of the Senate and other principal officers  
358 of the National Assembly ??Chukwu, 2015:12). In 1999, when the Fourth Republic was inaugurated, President  
359 Obasanjo took the advantage of his office to impose leaders on the Senate and House of Representatives in his  
360 desperate effort to install stooges who would be loyal to his interferences in the affairs of the legislature. The  
361 conflict was, however, worse in the Senate because the Senators then were more prone to Obasanjo's manipulative  
362 tendencies than their counterparts in the House of Representatives. This explained why the eight years of  
363 Obasanjo in office produced five Presidents of the Senate ??Chukwu, 2015:12).

364 Currently, the pro-Saraki faction operates under the umbrella of the Like Minds Senators (LMS) while the  
365 pro-group operates under the aegis of the Senate Unity Forum (SUF). Before the Senate leadership election, these  
366 groups used all available means including blackmail, positive persuasive campaigns and pernicious propaganda to  
367 market their candidates to then Senators-elect. Within the APC, the party leadership was behind Senator Lawan  
368 and did everything within its powers including negotiation, persuasion and perhaps intimidation, to convince or  
369 cow Senator Saraki to relinquish his ambition to his rival but these could not deter Saraki, as he defied all  
370 pressures to go for the contest. This defiant attitude and perceived disregard for party supremacy by Saraki  
371 provoked the leadership of the party to draw a battle line between him and the party's power brokers such as the  
372 former Governor of Lagos State, Bola Tinubu, the National Chairman of the APC and President Muhammadu  
373 Buhari.

374 Saraki eventually emerged as the President of the Senate on June 9, 2015. The APC hierarchy expected that  
375 Saraki haven won the election might at least allow Lawan to be appointed or selected as the Senate Majority  
376 Leader and George Akume as the his Deputy as a compromise to the party . The APC through its National  
377 Chairman and the Peace Committee made several attempts to persuade Saraki to respect some of the decisions  
378 of its leadership However, this did not happen. Owing to this strong stance of the Saraki Group, the leadership  
379 of APC vowed to fight back. This explains why the Senate has not known peace in the last few months after its  
380 inaugurated.

381 The APC appears to have given its enemies a stick to beat the party. This is because the absence of APC  
382 Senators in the Chamber ensured the emergence of Senator Ike Ekweremadu of the PDP as the Deputy Senate  
383 President. For two weeks, the governing party was at war with itself over the election of Burkola Saraki and  
384 Yakubu Dogara as Senate President and Speaker of House of Representatives respectively. While the inauguration  
385 of the National Assembly was going on, the APC Senators were at the International Conference Centre for a  
386 meeting with the President, which gave the Saraki group and PDP the opportunity to have their way. This  
387 is because elected legislatures usually include a combination of perspectives that is very different from the  
388 perspectives of the people they are supposed to represent or even their party. They are wealthier, better educated,  
389 with fewer women, fewer working class people, and so on. They are not "representative" of the people who elected  
390 them in terms of their demographics and points of view. This is what the Hannah Pitkin calls There is overriding  
391 heterogeneity of perspectives in terms of party affiliation, ethnicity or state of origin among elected legislators  
392 in the National Assembly. Consequently, the National Assembly is used to balance ethnicity and region or  
393 zone. The Senate represents equality of State, while the House represents geographical spread. Building on  
394 theories of legislative decision-making and intra-party politics, we argue that the speed at which bills are passed  
395 and peace maintained is driven by the governing party's internal cohesiveness and its ideological distance to  
396 the opposition. Governing parties that are internally divided have greater difficulty in forming the legislative  
397 majorities necessary to pass laws quickly and maintain internal stability especially if their preferences are further  
398 away from the opposition (Haber, 2015). From the APC, especially Lawan and Femi Gbajabiamila groups, there  
399 is the argument that zoning was never a policy that could work with polarized and suspicious political elites like  
400 ours. They argue that zoning negates the vital principles of democracy because it shrinks the political space of  
401 choice. (authority missing)

402 In a multi-state, zone and multi-ethnic state, the distributive network of the dividends of democracy lacks  
403 clarity. This is because ethnicity has become such a complex subject in national debate in Nigeria. The  
404 competition that it generates tends to have its roots in delusion, economics, fear, ignorance, perception, religion  
405 and most of all politics among others. William (1978) (cited in Akinola, 2013:2) who also carried out a study  
406 of interaction among the nations and nationalities in the Nigerian State, observed that: "Harmony, cooperation  
407 and unity have manifestly not characterized social and political life in post-independent Nigeria. Whenever  
408 the Nigerian political system has most dramatically experienced breakdowns -constitutional crisis, political  
409 immobilism, coups d'état, civil war, etc.this has always occurred within a context of inter-ethnic controversy.  
410 The accuracy of this observation is supported by the fact that almost all the major crisis that has fundamentally  
411 affected the security of the Nigerian State can be traced to her balance of power problems ??Akinola, 2013:2).  
412 This configuration of variables leads both politicians and citizens to define their political interests in terms of  
413 their ethnic and/or regional identities rather than in terms of different positions on issues that are shared by  
414 peoples of different regions and ethnic groups. Put differently, in plural and agrarian societies where most people  
415 are tied to the land and their local community, one's place of residence and the cultural and linguistic affinities

## 4 B) ZONING AND CONFLICT IN THE 8 TH NATIONAL ASSEMBLY

---

one shares with one's neighbours often defines one's perspective of politics. This is especially true when political leaders and aspiring leaders mobilize the population on the basis of these identities during the run-up to an election or for other political objectives (Mozaffar, Scarritt, and Galaich 2003; Posner 2004; Posner, 2005). It is also a configuration of factors that encourages the formation of patron-client political organizations and retards the development and performance of the legislature.

The conflict in the National Assembly especially between the two groups can be explained by adopting the Hortala-Vallve (2008) approach by modeling the negotiation that leads to a vote trading agreement as a non-cooperative dynamic game. A negotiation is a process of joint decision making. It is communication direct or tacit, between individuals who are trying to forge an agreement for mutual benefit. Hortala-Vallve (2008:6) models it as a repeated game with an endogenous status quo: in each period any alternative can challenge the status quo; the majoritarian winner becomes the status quo for next period; and the process only ends when no legislator wishes to continue the process any further and thus, the status quo at the point when the process ends is the policy that is finally implemented. In this model, legislators are farsighted in the sense that they consider not only the benefits of voting in favour of a particular policy today, but also the benefits of alternatives that are likely to replace that policy in the future (Hortala-Vallve, 2008). Penn (2009) also considers farsighted legislators but looks at a situation where a policy is enacted every period and "decisions made today can greatly affect the types of decisions that are feasible tomorrow.

The transfer of power between fractions of the dominant classes in Nigeria is such a contested terrain. In the ensuing warlike struggles, different groups and individuals try to outdo one another in bending fragile rules and short-circuiting laid down processes. Winners and losers alike distrust the rules as well as themselves, thus further weakening the rules and procedures, and making it impossible for a stable regime of power transfer and winner to emerge. This lawlessness also explains why personalization of power and private concepts of public business are very rampant (Ibeanu and Mbah, 2014:46-47) and the struggle for positions within the APC controlled National Assembly is rife and cut throat in nature.

Since the State is all-powerful and there are few safeguards on how its tremendous power is to be used in a moderate and civil manner, groups and individuals take a great stock in controlling the power of the postcolonial State. Politics is everything and everything is politics, including life and death. In Nigeria, whoever wins in politics wins everything, and whoever loses, loses everything, including lives and livelihoods. The primacy of politics becomes even worse as economic resources contract or become more concentrated, usually as a result of the persistence of the colonial economic project that supports dependence, stagnation and underdevelopment. As this happens, the social base of the postcolonial State becomes even narrower, further intensifying the primacy of politics. Arbitrariness, lack of moderating political institutions and the narrow social base of the postcolonial state lead to a general lack of faith in it by the people (Ibeanu and Mbah, 2014:43). Therefore, this state exhibits a very low level of legitimacy. Low legitimacy further denudes the confidence of disadvantaged groups in the capacity of the State to protect their interests. Nor are dominant groups less distrustful of the State. For dominant groups, lack of confidence in the State's impartiality strengthens their resolve not to relinquish or share power with political adversaries (Ibeanu and Mbah, 2014: 43) such as electing Senator Ike Ekweremadu from the PDP as the Deputy Senate President. The Nigerian state exists as prebends parcelled out to various sectional interests, in the Nigerian case, ethnic interests in particular. Thus, politics becomes fiercely prebendal as ethnic and other sectional interests engage themselves in a fatal contest for increasing, allocating and defending their share of the "powers" of the State (Joseph, 1987).

From the foregoing, we can deduce that the characteristics of the Nigerian State have heightened conflicts and the Nigerian politics is seen in the following general ways according to Ibeanu and Mbah (2014:48):

? Excessive premium on power converts politics into warfare rather than a process of bargaining, discussion and orderly transfer of power.

? There is a weak sense of a shared future, especially among the constituent ethnic groups. Consequently, the primacy of politics and premium on power persist. There is a dominance of exclusive rather than inclusive strategies of power.

? Absolutism and totalitarianism of the State are leading to widespread deradicalisation of politics through the so-called "politics of consensus". The antinomy of "politics of consensus" is that it is pursued in a context of deepening exclusivism and lack of a sense of a shared future.

? Related to the deradicalisation of politics is the use of dubious plebiscitary and acclamatory methods like rallies, popular drafts and nominations (rather than institutionalized party-or community-based competition) as means of selecting political officers and reaching decisions.

? There is an overwhelming inclination towards personalization of rule and sit-tight mentality (selfsuccession) among political leaders because of the limitless power and prestige conferred by the enormity of State power.

? Absence of effective institutional mechanisms for moderating political competition leads to conversion of political competition into warfare among ethnic groups, thereby elevating the military, the masters of warfare and antithesis of democracy, into a position of social pre-eminence (Ibeanu and Mbah, 2014: 48).

For the past fifteen years, beginning with Chief Olusegun Obasanjo's Civilian regime of 1999-2007 to the administration of Shehu Musa Yar' Adua and Acting President Goodluck Jonathan to 2015, the PDP had sustained the Presidential Zoning between Southern and Northern sections of the country. Although the zoning was breached with the death of Yar'Adua, in 2011 elections, advocates of Presidential Zoning often cite peace and



---

479 stability in the polity as the main reason. The zoning formula was extended to the sharing of principal positions  
480 in the National Assembly to maintain peace and stability in the country.

481 Consequently, the politically powerful and strategic positions of the President and Vice President, the Senate  
482 President and Deputy Senate President, Speaker and Deputy Speaker, Majority Leader and principal officers of  
483 the National Assembly as well as other important national offices, by established federal character convention,  
484 always took into appropriate account the distribution and rotation of offices among the six geo-political zones.  
485 However, the military regims did not recognize this rule especially in zoning the office of the President or Head of  
486 State. In this respect, the North had produced six military Heads of State namely Gowon, Mohammed, Buhari,  
487 Babangida, Abacha and Abubakar. Part of the zoning system since 1999 within parties especially the PDP was  
488 informed by the need to better accommodate the interests of Nigeria's numerous ethnic groups, by rotational  
489 and zoning process to ensure fairer political distribution of key national offices.

490 However, the Senate leadership crisis in the Fourth Republic actually commenced when the need arose for the  
491 ruling People's Democratic Party (PDP) as was in the case with the National Party of Nigeria (NPN) in 1979,  
492 to come up with an acceptable zoning formula for the country. The PDP, therefore, decided to zone its elective  
493 positions between the six geo-political zones. In the zoning formula that was to emerge, the South-west took the  
494 presidency; the Vice Presidents' slot was zoned to the North-east and Senate Presidency to the Southeast; the  
495 Speaker to the North-west, Deputy Speaker to the South-south zone. Thus as soon as it became apparent that  
496 the Senate Presidency had been zoned to the South-east, intense underground campaigns commenced within the  
497 Senators-elect and amongst political stakeholders from the zone ??Banjo, 2013:3).

498 The tables below show the distribution of offices according to geo-political zones under the PDP government  
499 in the National Assembly from 1999-2015.

500 Volume XVI Issue I Version I 15 ( F ) The above tables are clear precedents and practices for majority  
501 leadership positions since 1999. These constitute precedents, practices and balance of power at both the Senate  
502 and the House of Representatives as enshrined in the Standing Orders of National Assembly. This is supported by  
503 the Federal Character which has very strong constitutional foundations. They are indeed mandatory provisions  
504 of the 1999 Nigerian constitution ??Vanguard, 2015:43).

505 However, the APC wanted to have in both the Senate and the House of Representatives is Ahmed Lawn  
506 from the North East as Senate President, Femi Gbajabiamila from the South West as the Speaker, the same  
507 geo-political zone as the Vice President. The Saraki group kicked against this, arguing that the two key political  
508 positions cannot go to the South West, thus his groups' insisted on zoning according to the precedent set already  
509 by the immediate past PDP government.

## 510 **5 c) The Policy of the Federal Character and Balance of Power**

511 Since the 1978 Constituent Assembly that produced the 1979 constitution, the so-called principle of Federal  
512 Character has emerged as a central principle of constitution making in Nigeria ??Ibeanu, 2012:21). This principle  
513 according to Ibeanu, has become a major plank on which Nigerian petty bourgeoisie has framed the discourse  
514 of national unity. However, section 14 of the 1999 constitution means much more than sharing of public offices  
515 according to federal character in order to maintain national unity. The section reads as follows:

516 The Federal Republic of Nigeria shall be a State based on the principles of democracy and social justice It is  
517 hereby, accordingly, declared that:

518 ? sovereignty belongs to the people of Nigeria from whom government through this constitution derives all its  
519 powers and authority; ? the security and welfare of the people shall be the primary purpose of government; and  
520 ? the participation by the people in their government shall be ensured in accordance with the provisions of this  
521 constitution. The composition of the Government of the Federation or any of its agencies and the conduct of its  
522 affairs shall be carried out in such a manner as to reflect the federal character of Nigeria and the need to promote  
523 national unity, and also to command national loyalty, thereby ensuring that there shall be no predominance of  
524 persons from a few state or from a few ethnic or other sectional groups in that Government or in any of its  
525 agencies.

526 The composition of the Government of a State, a local government council, or any of the agencies of such  
527 government or council, and the conduct of the affairs of the Government or council or such agencies shall be  
528 carries out in such a manner as to recognize the diversity of the people within its area of authority and the need  
529 to promote a sense of belonging and loyalty among all the people of the Federation (Federal Republic of Nigeria,  
530 2011: [35][36].

531 The subsection that insists that in reflecting federal character, only the best from various "States, ethnic or  
532 other sectional groups" must be considered is not out of place. ??beanu (2012:23) explains that the absence of  
533 such a provision, federal character will only privilege the family, friends, praise singers and courtiers of people  
534 in government, who in turn got into government by being, family, friends, praise singers and courtiers of people  
535 who were in government, who in turn were family, friends, praise singers, and courtiers of people who preceded  
536 them in government, and so on and so forth. Therefore, federal character is an attempt to solve the daunting  
537 challenge of nation building by the social construction of zoning of political offices. With federal character, the  
538 constitution cedes to each section of the federation a sense of belonging by ensuring that a few states or a few  
539 ethnic or other sectional groups do not have dominance over others.

540 It will be very unfair to the spirit of the 1999 constitution, if the House for instance, should follow the APC

541 guideline. It will lead to the following unjust result: In this arrangement, the North Central and South East are  
542 completely edged out of the power equation and this is what the Speaker of the House wants to avoid by insisting  
543 that all the six geo-political zones should be accommodated in sharing the principal positions. Thus as soon as it  
544 became apparent that the Senate Presidency had been zoned to the North-east, intense underground campaigns  
545 commenced within the Senators-elect, especially Senators from the North Central who feared that they will be  
546 excluded from the national scheme of things if they do not act fast. So, Burkola Saraki had take the lead, and  
547 campaigned for the Senate Presidency.

548 The table VII below shows the eventual zoning outcome after Saraki shunned the APC list. VIII did not.  
549 This is because the Speaker followed the party list in its selection of principal officers. For instance, the North  
550 Central was not represented or accommodated in the appointment of principal officers of the House. What the  
551 rebellion in the National Assembly needed was equity, fairness and justice in the distribution of power, wealth,  
552 legitimacy and social justice in the legislature and Nigeria, since the issue of quality of representation is not in  
553 the first line of consideration for now.

554 Consequently, the critical defining factors are clan, ethnic group, state, region or religion. However, ethnic  
555 chauvinism remains the most politically potent factor in politics. Still, ethnic identity exists in complex  
556 relationships with other factors in defining politics in Nigeria. Sometimes, politics is defined along the lines  
557 of ethno-regional identity as in the case of the North, South and Middle Belt, at other times, it is defined in  
558 terms of ethno-religious groupings as in the Moslem North and Christian South (Ibeanu, 2012). Other defining  
559 factors include minority versus majority ethnic groups, and numerous sub-ethnic identities.

560 When nation-state building fails in the latitudinal dimension, it finds expression in:

561 ? Renewal of primordial identities and solidarities; ? Communal competition among subject communities for  
562 access to central power, especially competition among communities that were antagonistic prior to their common  
563 subjugation to the centralizing power;

### 564 6 d) Zoning as Balance of Power

565 Balance of power is a widely used concept in international relations, one whose importance in the understanding  
566 of the political dynamics in multi-ethnic states has been undervalued due to the limitations in the interpretations  
567 of its meanings (Akinola, 2013:1). The basic theme of the balance of power theory is that the international  
568 system is anarchical in the absence of a common government and goals. The management of this anarchy is  
569 based on the power relations among states (Akinola, 2013:1). However, within the state system, especially in a  
570 multi-ethnic and underdeveloped states such as Nigeria, it is presumed to be more anarchical, the un-integrated  
571 and therefore managed by a strong consensus, hence the need to balance power through the policy of the federal  
572 character and zoning.

573 The underlining principle of the balance of power concept is that, the pursuit of power and zoning of power  
574 and offices is the common denominator to which all struggle for power and internal conflicts can be reduced,  
575 and the notion that any preponderant power struggle outside the gates of the federal character and zoning will  
576 always be a menace to the interest and security of other ethnic nationalities and zones. This is because Nigeria is  
577 a state of multi-ethnic nationalities but not a nation of individuals. In which case, balancing for survival within  
578 the state's internal system is as important as the balancing between states in the international system. The  
579 North-South divide and the creation of the six geo-political zones create a platform in which internal dynamics  
580 of crisis is reduced. Consequently, balance of power implies an objective arrangement in which there is relatively  
581 widespread satisfaction with the distribution of power, so that no one zone or a group of zones can hold others  
582 to ransom with impunity. As a policy guide, the federal character principle as provided by the 1999 constitution  
583 prescribes how to maintain this balance, but failed to provide how to reverse or deter any state or zone from  
584 seeking to enjoy over-balanced power. It was the need to balance power that led to the party rebellion in the 8  
585 th National Assembly and internal conflict there from. This is because the balance of power changed character,  
586 intensity and scope when the APC controlled National Assembly was about to be inaugurated in June 2015, thus  
587 the internal legislative politics and refusal to accept a consensus candidate in both chambers of the legislature.

588 However, ever since the Dutch political scientist Arend Lijphart "discovered" consociational democracy  
589 in the late 1960s as a model for maintaining democracy in plural societies, power-sharing and democ-  
590 racy have been viewed as closely linked. The two are not as incompatible as was often thought and  
591 claimed. Lijphart's argument demonstrated that democracy in divided societies was possible if elites co-  
592 operated, even when the masses remained divided. In a consociational democracy, elite cooperation takes  
593 the form of executive coalitions in which the leaders of all main social groups are represented; propor-  
594 tional allocation of offices and resources including autonomy for social groups in the legislature is im-  
595 portant to them. For Lijphart, democracy in deeply divided societies is possible only when power is  
596 shared instead of monopolized ([https://www.researchgate.net/publication/26592571\\_Democracy\\_and\\_Power-Sharing\\_in\\_Multinational\\_States\\_Thematic\\_Introduction](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/26592571_Democracy_and_Power-Sharing_in_Multinational_States_Thematic_Introduction)). Thus, Power-sharing becomes synonymous with  
597 democracy in plural societies such as Nigeria. However, this power sharing is used as a balance of power  
598 mechanism for ensuring relative stability, peace and unity. The absence of this balance could increase the  
599 intensity, the stake and the resources for maintaining the balance of power.

600  
601 However, at the local levels -nations, nationalities and tribes -where state power has become means of wealth  
602 accumulation, fear of domination has always been a major trust for zoning. The difference in attitude is caused

---

603 by a lack of ownership of the centre, which is not the case at the local level. The resources at the centre have  
604 no legitimate owner, but the subsystems do. There is competition at the local level with no intention to destroy  
605 existing resources; but there is conflict at the centre, giving rise to a destructive attitude and a lack of prudent  
606 management (Akinola, 2013).

607 Olaiya, Apeloko, Amanchukwu, and Shiyanbade, (2014: 12) shows the importance of zoning especially in  
608 the Federal Legislature in Nigeria using the sampling instrument (questionnaire) randomly distributed to 150  
609 respondents. From the empirical study of the National Assembly, it was found that a majority of the members were  
610 overwhelmingly in favour of the entrenchment of the zoning of important national offices into the constitution.  
611 This was on the grounds, however, as suggested by 71.7% of the members that the extant mode of power sharing  
612 had all but lost salience with the political elite. In addition to this 73.7% of the legislators submitted that without  
613 the zoning principle the likelihood of the government apparatus being manipulated to the desires of an ethnic  
614 group was present. Thus, in conclusion, 85.1% of the legislators were of the view that given the ignoble role  
615 ethnic contentions had caused the country's democracy in the past, zoning principle had the wherewithal to lay  
616 it all to rest-once and forever.

## 617 7 III.

## 618 8 Conclusion

619 The 8 th Senate of the Federal Republic of Nigeria was no doubt born into crisis, given the historical circumstances  
620 of its birth. Therefore, the unfolding it as a solution to the lingering fear of domination especially in an emerging  
621 democracy and multi-ethnic society such as Nigeria. We feel strongly that the issue of federal character in the  
622 election or appointment of principal officers of the Senate or House of Representatives is cardinal, legal, moral, and  
623 constitutional principle that should be respected. Secondly, improving institutional strength and accountability of  
624 the legislature could be another sustainable way to address it. The National Assembly must truly be transparent  
625 and play a more significant role in how the future of the nation should be shaped. In other words, the Senate  
626 should be transformational and transactional innovators in promoting good governance through balance of power  
627 mechanism which will lead to sustainable development and equitable wealth distribution rather than conflictive  
628 struggle for political positions and wealth.

629 Our third contention is that the notion of governance requires a more expanded notion of conflict transformation  
630 not only in the Senate but in the entire national politics. This is needed in order to take into account the various  
631 phases and evaluations of the conflict process and determine where timely interventions can be made to resolve  
632 and prevent the outbreak of conflict especially in the National Assembly. A renewed effort by the legislature  
633 must finally address the issue of leadership crisis and develop frameworks and mechanisms for the resolution  
634 of their conflicts. There is considerable evidence from the behavioural irregularities which characterize the  
635 social, economic and political direction of events in the Nigerian state, that its unbalanced structure which the  
636 introduction of zoning is trying to balance and is the most critical factor to the country's inability to synthesize its  
637 enormous resources into effective economic, military and governmental mechanism to further its national interest.

638 1 2 3

---

<sup>1</sup>© 2016 Global Journals Inc. (US)

<sup>2</sup>Political Party Rebellion, Legislative Politics and Balance of Power in the 8th National Assembly of Nigeria

<sup>3</sup>© 2016 Global Journals Inc. (US) Political Party Rebellion, Legislative Politics and Balance of Power in the 8th National Assembly of Nigeria



Figure 1:



Figure 2:



Figure 3: Speaker:



Figure 4:

1

S/N	PRESIDENT	DATE	NAME	PARTY	ZONE
1.	President	1999-2007	Olusegun Obasanjo	Peoples Democratic Party	South West
2	Vice President	1999-2007	Atiku Abubakar	Peoples Democratic Party	North East
3	Senate President	1999-1999	Evan Enwerem	Peoples Democratic Party	South East
	Senate President	1999-2000	Chuba Okadigbo	Peoples Democratic Party	South East
	Senate President	2000-2003	Anyim Pius Anyim	Peoples Democratic Party	South East
4	Deputy Senate President	2003-2007	Ibrahim Mantu	Peoples Democratic Party	North Central
5	Speaker	1999-2000	Salisu Buhari	Peoples Democratic Party	North West
	Speaker	2000-2003	Ghali Umar Na'Abba	Peoples Democratic Party	North West
6	Deputy Speaker	1999-2003	Chibudom Nwuche	Peoples Democratic Party	South South
7	House Leader	1999-2003	Mohammed Wakil	Peoples Democratic Party	North East
8	Chief Whip	1999-2003	Bawa Bwari	Peoples Democratic Party	North Central
9	Deputy Leader	1999-2003	Mao Ohuabunwa	Peoples Democratic Party	South East
10	Deputy Whip	1999-2003	Samuel Sadiq Anwo	Peoples Democratic Party	South West

Source: Compiled by the authors

Figure 5: Table 1 :

## 11

S/N	POSITION	DATE	NAME	PARTY	ZONE
1.	President	1999-2007	Olusegun Obasanjo	Peoples Democratic Party	South West
2	Vice President	1999-2007	Atiku Abubakar	Peoples Democratic Party	North East
3	Senate President	2003-2005	Adolphus Wabara	Peoples Democratic Party	South East
	Senate President	2005-2007	Ken Nnamani	Peoples Democratic Party	South East
4	Deputy Senate President	2003-2007	Ibrahim Mantu	Peoples Democratic Party	North Central
5	Speaker	2003-2007	Aminu Bello Masari	Peoples Democratic Party	North West
6	Deputy Speaker	2003-2007	Austin Opara	Peoples Democratic Party	South South
7	House Leader	2003-2007	Abdul Ningi	Peoples Democratic Party	North East
8	Chief Whip	2003-2007	Bawa Bwari	Peoples Democratic Party	North Central
9	Deputy Whip	2003-2007	Patricia Ette	Peoples Democratic Party	South West
10	Deputy Leader	2003-2007	Gilbert Nnaji	Peoples Democratic Party	South East

Source: Compiled by the authors

Figure 6: Table 11 :

111

S/N	POSITION	DATE	NAME	PARTY	ZONE
1.	President	2007-2010	Umaru Musa Yaradua	Peoples Party	Democratic North West
2	Vice President	2007-2010	Goodluck Jonathan	Peoples Party	Democratic South South
3	Senate President	2007-2011	David Mark	Peoples Party	Democratic North Central
4	Deputy Senate President	2007-2011	Ike Ekwerenmadu	Peoples Party	Democratic South East
5	Speaker	2007-2007	Patricia Etteh	Peoples Party	Democratic South West
	Speaker	2007-2011	Oladimeji Bankole	Peoples Party	Democratic South West
6	Deputy Speaker		Usman Nafada	Peoples Party	Democratic North East
7	House Leader		Akogun Tunde	Peoples Party	Democratic South South
8	Chief Whip		Bethel Amadi and later Emeka Ihedioha	Peoples Party	Democratic South East
9	Deputy Leader		Baba Shehu Agaie	Peoples Party	Democratic North Central

Source: Compiled by the authors

Figure 7: Table 111 :

## IV

	Deputy Senate President	2011-2015	Ike Ekwerenmadu	Peoples Party	Democratic	South East
5	Speaker	2011-2015	Aminu W. Tanbuwal	Peoples Party	Democratic	North West
6	Deputy Speaker	2011-2015	Chukwuemeka Ihedioha	Peoples Party	Democratic	South East
7	House Leader	2011-2015	Hon. Mulikat Akande	Peoples Party	Democratic	South West
8	Chief Whip	2011-2015	Hon. Isiaka Bawa	Peoples Party	Democratic	North East
9	Deputy Whip	2011-2015	Muktar Mohammed	Peoples Party	Democratic	North Central
10	Deputy Leader	2011-2015	Hon. Leo Ogor	Peoples Party	Democratic	South South

Source: Compiled by the authors  
Year 2016

16

Volume

XVI Issue I

Version I

( F )

Global

Journal

of Human

Social

Science -

S/N

S/N	PRESIDENT	DATE	NAME	PARTY		ZONE
1.	President	2011-2015	Goodluck Ebele Jonathan	Peoples Party	Democratic	South South
2	Vice President	2011-2015	Namadi Sambo	Peoples Party	Democratic	North West
3	Senate Presidents	2011-2015	David Mark	Peoples Party	Democratic	North Central

Figure 8: Table IV :



---

## VII

S/N	Position	Date	Name of Officer	Party	Zone
1.	Senate President	2015	Sen. Bukola Saraki	APC	North Central
2.	Deputy Sen. President	2015	Sen. Ike Ekweremadu	PDP	South East
3.	Majority Leader	2015	Sen. Ali Ndume	APC	North East
4.	Deputy Majority Leader	2015	Sen. Bala Na' Allah	APC	North West
5.	Chief Whip	2015	Sen. Olusola Adeyeye	APC	South West
6.	Deputy Chief Whip	2015	Sen. Francis Alimikhena	APC	South South
7.	Minority Leader	2015	Sen. Godswill Akpabio	PDP	South South
8.	Deputy Minority Leader	2015	Sen. Emmanuel Bwacha	PDP	North East
9.	Minority Chief Whip	2015	Sen. Philip Tanimu	PDP	North Central
10.	Deputy Minority Chief Whip	2015	Sen. Biodun Olujimi	PDP	South West

Source: Compiled by the author

Figure 9: Table VII :

## VIII

1.	Speaker	2015	Rt. Hon. Y. Dogara	APC	North East
2.	Deputy Speaker	2015	Hon. Yusuf Lasun	APC	South West
3.	Majority Leader	2015	Hon. F. Gbajabiamila	APC	South West
4.	Deputy. Majority Leader	2015	Hon. Alhassan Doguwa	APC	North West
5.	Chief Whip	2015	Hon. M.T Mongunu	APC	North East
6.	Deputy. Chief Whip	2015	Hon. Pally Iriase	APC	South South
7.	Minority Leader	2015	Hon. Leo Ogor	PDP	South South
8.	Deputy. Minority Leader	2015	Hon. Chukwuma Onyema	PDP	South East
9.	Minority Chief Whip	2015	Hon. Yakubu Barde	PDP	North West
10.	D. Minority Whip	2015	Hon. Binta Bello	PDP	North East

Source: Compiled by the author

Figure 10: Table VIII :

Figure 11:

( F )  
Global Journal of Human Social Science  
? Strategies for evading the state's demands and coercion vis-à-vis other groups;  
? Alliances and projects for collective class empowerment;  
? Cultivation by groups of new exclusivity identities and solidarities; and  
? Manoeuvres for forms of exclusivity by which the elite of particular groups and communities attempt

*[Note: s-Year 2016 to disable potential competitors (Ake, 1997 cited in Ibeanu, 2012:13).]*

Figure 12:

639 [ Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria (As Amended)] , *Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria*  
640 *(As Amended)*

641 [Awa ()] , E Awa . *Issues in Federalism* 1973. Ethiope Publishing Corporation.

642 [ Federal Republic of Nigeria ()] , *Federal Republic of Nigeria* 2011. p. 1999.

643 [Penn ()] ‘A Model of Farsighted Voting’. M Penn . *American Journal of Political Science* 2009. 53.

644 [Schwartz et al. ()] ‘A positive Theory of Legislative Intent’. E P Schwartz , P T Spiller , S Urbiztondo . *Law*  
645 *and Contemporary Problems* 1994. 57 (1) .

646 [Protberg ()] *African Legislative Effectiveness*, R Protberg . [https://robertprotberg.wordpress.com/](https://robertprotberg.wordpress.com/2013/10/16/african-legislative-effectiveness/)  
647 [2013/10/16/african-legislative-effectiveness/](https://robertprotberg.wordpress.com/2013/10/16/african-legislative-effectiveness/) 2013.

648 [Barkan ()] ‘African Legislatures and Third Wave Democratization in’. J D Barkan .  
649 LYNNERIENNERPublisherswww.rienner.com *Legislative Powers in Emerging African Democracies*, J D  
650 Barkan (ed.) (Boulder) 2009. 2009.

651 [Akinola ()] A A Akinola . *Rotational Presidency*, (Ibadan) 1996. Spectrum Books Limited.

652 [Bouricius and Schechter (2013)] ‘An Idealized Design for the Legislative Branch of Government’. T Bouricius ,  
653 D Schechter . <http://stwj.systemswiki.org/?p=1407> *Systems Thinking World Journal* 2013. January  
654 2013. 2 (1) .

655 [Ayelabowo ()] F Ayelabowo . [http://pointblanknews.com/pbn/articles-opinions/](http://pointblanknews.com/pbn/articles-opinions/-senate-presidency-leadership-leadership-ranking-and-national-interest/)  
656 [-senate-presidency-leadership-leadership-ranking-and-national-interest/](http://pointblanknews.com/pbn/articles-opinions/-senate-presidency-leadership-leadership-ranking-and-national-interest/) *Senate*  
657 *Presidency: Leadership Progression, Ranking and National Interest*, 2015.

658 [Chukwu (2015)] D Chukwu . *Haunted by his Past, Troubles with the Senate*, *New Telegraph*, 2015. September  
659 29. p. .

660 [Cohen ()] P S Cohen . *Modern Social Theory*, (London) 1968. Heinemann.

661 [Nnoli (ed.) ()] *Dead-End to Nigeria Development: An Analysis of Political Economy of Nigeria*, O Nnoli . O.  
662 Nnoli (ed.) 1993. 1979 -1989. Senegal: CODESRIA. (Nigeria: The Failure of the Neo-Colonial Society)

663 [Joseph ()] *Democracy and Prebendal Politics in Nigeria: The Rise and Fall of the Second Republic*, R Joseph .  
664 1987. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

665 [Mbah ()] ‘Demystifying Intra-Legislative Conflicts in Nigeria: The Senate and House of Representatives’.  
666 P Mbah . <http://www.mcser.org/journal/index.php/mjss/-article/view/1948> *Mediterranean*  
667 *Journal of Social Sciences* 2014. 2007-2011. 5 p. .

668 [Orji ()] ‘Eat and Give to your Brother’: The Politics of Office Distribution in Nigeria’. N Orji . [www.in-spire.org](http://www.in-spire.org)  
669 [In-Spire Journal of Law](http://www.in-spire.org) 2008. 3 (2) p. . (Politics and Society)

670 [Suberu ()] ‘Federalism and Nigeria’s Political Future: A Comment’. R Suberu . *African Affairs* 1988. 87 (348)  
671 p. .

672 [Ibeanu ()] *From Native to Citizen to Indigene: Reflections on National Unity and Constitutional Review in*  
673 *Nigeria*, O Ibeanu . 2012. Awka: Demercury Bright Printing and Publishing Co.

674 [Haber ()] M Haber . <http://rap.sagepub.com/content/2/2/2053168015589216> *The Legislative*  
675 *Consequences of Internal Conflict and Inter-Party Divisions*, 2015.

676 [Hortala-Vallve ()] R Hortala-Vallve . *Generous Legislators? A Description of Vote Trade Agreements (Theory*  
677 *and Experiment)*, 2008. 2009.

678 [Dancygier ()] ‘Immigration and Conflict’. R Dancygier . *Ph.D Dissertation* 2007.

679 [Krishnan ()] N B Krishnan . *Do Legislatures Matter? Strengthening Governance in Africa*, 2013. The North-  
680 South Institute Manuscript.

681 [Banjo ()] ‘Leadership Crisis in the Parliament of Nigeria: The Case of the Senate in the Fourth Republic’. A  
682 Banjo . *Journal of African Studies and Development* 2013. 5 (6) p. .

683 [Boyton and Kim ()] *Legislature Systems in Developing Countries*, G R Boyton , C L Kim . 1975. North Carolina:  
684 Duke University Press.

685 [Mozaffar et al. ()] S Mozaffar , J R Scarritt , G Galaich . *Electoral Institutions, Ethnopolitical Cleavages, and*  
686 *Party Systems in Africa’s Emerging Democracies*, 2003. 97 p. .

687 [Akinola ()] *Nigeria Has A Balance of Power Problem*, T Akinola . [http://segundawodu.com/akinola2.](http://segundawodu.com/akinola2.htm)  
688 [htm](http://segundawodu.com/akinola2.htm) 2013.

689 [Nnoli ()] O Nnoli . *Ethnic Politics in*, (Nigeria, Enugu) 1978. Fourth Dimension Publishers.

690 [Nnoli ()] O Nnoli . *Introduction to Politics*, (Enugu) 2003. SNAAP Press Ltd.

691 [Ojonugwa ()] F U Ojonugwa . [http://www.facebook.com/-notes/ifedi-andi-okwenna/](http://www.facebook.com/-notes/ifedi-andi-okwenna/zoning-rotation-and-the-power-struggle-in-nigeria/183207841708357)  
692 [zoning-rotation-and-the-power-struggle-in-nigeria/183207841708357](http://www.facebook.com/-notes/ifedi-andi-okwenna/zoning-rotation-and-the-power-struggle-in-nigeria/183207841708357) *Political Ap-*  
693 *pointments in Nigeria: Between Zoning and Competence*, 2015. 2012.

## 8 CONCLUSION

---

- 694 [Osuji ()] C Osuji . <http://dailyindependentnig.com/2014/09/political-zoning-imperative-ty-politicalst>  
695 *Political zoning: An imperative for equity and political stability*, 2014.
- 696 [Ovienmhada ()] M Oviemhada . [http://saharareporters.com/2015/05/21/](http://saharareporters.com/2015/05/21/zoning-cal-offices-michael-ovienmhada)  
697 *Zoning of Political Offices*, 2015.
- 698 [Hopkins ()] 'Politicized Places: Explaining Where and When Immigrants Provoke Local Opposition'. D J  
699 Hopkins . *American Political Science Review* 2010. 104 (1) p. .
- 700 [Mbah (2014)] 'Politics and the Adoption of the Policy of State Emergency in Nigeria: Explaining the 1962  
701 and 2013 Experiences'. P Mbah . [www.mcser.org/journal/index.php/mjss/-article/view/3267](http://www.mcser.org/journal/index.php/mjss/-article/view/3267)  
702 *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences* 2014. July. 5 (15) p. .
- 703 [Putnam ()] R D Putnam . *E Pluribus Unum: Diversity and Community in the 21 st Century: The 2006 Johan*  
704 *Skytte Prize Lecture*, 2007. 30 p. .
- 705 [Forgette ()] 'Reeds Rules and the Partisan Theory of Legislative Organization'. R U Forgette . [http://www.](http://www.jstor.org/-stable/3235312)  
706 [jstor.org/-stable/3235312](http://www.jstor.org/-stable/3235312) *Polity* 1997. 29 (3) p. .
- 707 [Branton and Jones ()] 'Reexamining Racial Attitudes: The Conditional Relationship between Diversity and  
708 Socioeconomic Environment'. R P Branton , B S Jones . *American Journal Political Science* 2005. 49 (2) p. .
- 709 [Olaiya et al. ()] 'Rethinking Zoning formula as Political Panacea for Ethnic Conflict and Governance Crisis in  
710 Nigeria'. T A Olaiya , D O Apeloko , I N Amanchukwu , B W Shiyanbade . *Research on Humanities and*  
711 *Social Sciences* 2014. 4 (26) p. .
- 712 [Nkume-Okorie ()] 'Rotation and zoning: Extra-constitutional frameworks for Nigeria's po-  
713 litical stability'. N Nkume-Okorie . [http://www.consultancyafrica.com/index.-](http://www.consultancyafrica.com/index.-php?option=com_content&view=article&id=1645:rotation-and-zoning-extra-constitutional-frameworks-for-nigerias-political-stability&catid=42:election-reflection&Itemid=270)  
714 [php?option=com\\_content&view=article&id=1645:r](http://www.consultancyafrica.com/index.-php?option=com_content&view=article&id=1645:rotation-and-zoning-extra-constitutional-frameworks-for-nigerias-political-stability&catid=42:election-reflection&Itemid=270) *otation-and-zoning-extra-constitutional-frameworks-for-*  
715 *nigerias-political-stability&catid=42:election-reflection&Itemid=270*, 2014.
- 716 [Sanders ()] R R Sanders . [http://www.huffingtonpost.com/-amb-robin-renee-sanders/post\\_](http://www.huffingtonpost.com/-amb-robin-renee-sanders/post_7187_b_5032086.-html)  
717 [7187\\_b\\_5032086.-html](http://www.huffingtonpost.com/-amb-robin-renee-sanders/post_7187_b_5032086.-html) *African Legislatures in African Democracies: The Need to be Both Transformative*  
718 *and Transactional*, 2014.
- 719 [Ibeanu and Mbah (2012)] 'The 2011 General Elections and Electoral Violence and Insecurity in Nigeria'. O  
720 Ibeanu , P Mbah . *Nigerian Journal of International Affairs* 2012. August. 38 (2) p. .
- 721 [Ibeanu and Mbah ()] 'The African Union and Democracy in Africa: Some Preliminary Observations'. Ibeanu ,  
722 P Mbah . *The Sciences, Faculty of Social Science*, (Awka) 2014. 2 p. . Nnamdi Azikiwe University
- 723 [Pitkin ()] *The Concept of Representation*, H Pitkin . 1972. Berkeley, California: University of California Press.
- 724 [The Legislature in Africa's Democratic Transition CODESRIA ()] 'The Legislature in Africa's Democratic  
725 Transition'. <http://www.codesria.org/-spip.php?article62> *CODESRIA* 2006.
- 726 [Posner ()] 'The Political Salience of Cultural Difference: Why Chewas and Tumbukas Are Allies in Zambia and  
727 Adversaries in Malawi'. D N Posner . *American Political Science Review* 2004. 98 (04) p. .
- 728 [Ornstein ()] 'The Role of the Legislature in a Democracy'. N J Ornstein . [http://www.4uth.gov.ua/usa/](http://www.4uth.gov.ua/usa/english/politics/freedom/freedom3.htmstein)  
729 [english/politics/freedom/freedom3.htmstein](http://www.4uth.gov.ua/usa/english/politics/freedom/freedom3.htmstein) *Freedom Papers* 1992. (3) .
- 730 [Egobueze ()] *The Role of the Rivers State House of Assembly in Conflict Management, 1999 -2011 Unpublished*  
731 *Ph.D Thesis presented in partial fulfilments for the award of Doctor of Philosophy in Political Science*, A  
732 Egobueze . 2013. Nsukka. Department of Political Science, Faculty of Social University of Nigeria
- 733 [Remington ()] 'Theories of Legislative Institutions and Organization of the Russian Duma'. T F Remington .  
734 <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2991770> *American Journal of Political Science* 1998. 42 p. .
- 735 [Wong and Drake ()] C Wong , K W Drake . *Multiracial Identities in Multiracial Contexts: The Conditional*,  
736 2006.