

Article



Small-Extracellular-Vesicle-Derived miRNA Profile Identifies miR-483-3p and miR-326 as Regulators in the Pathogenesis of Antiphospholipid Syndrome (APS)

Cristina Solé^{1,*}, Maria Royo¹, Sebastian Sandoval¹, Teresa Moliné² and Josefina Cortés-Hernández¹

- ¹ Rheumatology Research Group—Lupus Unit, Vall d'Hebrón University Hospital, Vall d'Hebrón Research Institute (VHIR), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (UAB), 08193 Barcelona, Spain; maria raug@ubir.grag.(M.B.), schooting can daugl@uallhabrag.act(S.S.), fina canteg@ubir.grag.(L.C.H.)
- maria.royo@vhir.org (M.R.); sebastian.sandoval@vallhebron.cat (S.S.); fina.cortes@vhir.org (J.C.-H.)
- ² Department of Pathology, Vall d'Hebrón University Hospital, 08035 Barcelona, Spain; teresa.moline@vhir.org
- Correspondence: cristina.sole@vhir.org; Tel.: +34-93-489-4045

Abstract: Primary antiphospholipid syndrome (PAPS) is a systemic autoimmune disease associated with recurrent thrombosis and/or obstetric morbidity with persistent antiphospholipid antibodies (aPL). Although these antibodies drive endothelial injury and thrombophilia, the underlying molecular mechanism is still unclear. Small extracellular vesicles (sEVs) contain miRNAs, key players in intercellular communication. To date, the effects of miRNA-derived sEVs in PAPS are not well understood. We characterised the quantity, cellular origin and miRNA profile of sEVs isolated from thrombotic APS patients (PAPS, n = 50), aPL-carrier patients (aPL, n = 30) and healthy donors (HD, n = 30). We found higher circulating sEVs mainly of activated platelet origin in PAPS and aPL patients compared to HD, that were highly engulfed by HUVECs and monocyte. Through miRNA-sequencing analysis, we identified miR-483-3p to be differentially upregulated in sEVs from patients with PAPS and aPL, and miR-326 to be downregulated only in PAPS sEVs. In vitro studies showed that miR-483-3p overexpression in endothelial cells induced an upregulation of the PI3K-AKT pathway that led to endothelial proliferation/dysfunction. MiR-326 downregulation induced NOTCH pathway activation in monocytes with the upregulation of NFKB1, tissue factor and cytokine production. These results provide evidence that miRNA-derived sEVs contribute to APS pathogenesis by producing endothelial cell proliferation, monocyte activation and adhesion/procoagulant factors.

Keywords: small extracellular vesicle; microRNA profiling; antiphospholipid syndrome; pathogenesis

1. Introduction

Antiphospholipid-antibody syndrome (APS) is an acquired thrombophilic disorder in which vascular thrombosis (venous or arterial) and/or pregnancy losses may occur in the presence of persistent antiphospholipid antibodies (aPL) [1–3]. While in vitro studies and animal models have provided insight into some aspects of APS pathogenesis, mechanisms behind the syndrome are complex and not fully understood [4]. Antiphospholipid antibodies exert prothrombotic effects by interacting with coagulatory proteins and inhibitors, and by the activation of vascular cells in a β 2-GPI-dependent manner [5]. As a result of this activation, there is an increased expression of proinflammatory cytokines that in turn contribute to the prothrombotic phenotype [6] and the release of extracellular vesicles (EVs) [7].

Small EVs are membrane-enclosed particles released by cells (<200 nm) as a response to various physiological processes including apoptosis, senescence, and cellular activation that play an important role in intercellular communication and represent a potential source for disease biomarkers [8–12]. Elevated levels of circulating medium/large EVs have been described in APS with endothelial origin [13–22] and one study has evaluated the role of small EVs (sEVs) in APS pathogenesis [20]. They showed that sEVs from APS



Citation: Solé, C.; Royo, M.; Sandoval, S.; Moliné, T.; Cortés-Hernández, J. Small-Extracellular-Vesicle-Derived miRNA Profile Identifies miR-483-3p and miR-326 as Regulators in the Pathogenesis of Antiphospholipid Syndrome (APS). *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2023, 24, 11607. https://doi.org/10.3390/ iims241411607

Academic Editor: Tamás Visnovitz

Received: 29 May 2023 Revised: 6 July 2023 Accepted: 17 July 2023 Published: 18 July 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). patients contribute to endothelial and platelet activation as measured by enrichment of surface CD62P expression [20]. sEVs are attractive as diagnostic and therapeutic markers since they are relatively enriched in miRNA with unique expression profiles, participate in intercellular communication over both short and long distances, have longer lifespan, and are more resistant to protease degradation [23]. Like other autoimmune disorders, several lines of evidence support the idea that miRNAs are involved in the pathogenesis of APS, interacting with innate and adaptive immune response functions [24–27]. These studies have been able to identify distinct miRNA expression profiles in APS linked to pro-oxidative state, TF modulation and mitochondrial dysfunction, leading to inflammation and progression of atherosclerosis [25–28].

As little is known about the pathogenic role played by sEVs and their derived miRNAs in APS, we performed miRNA-seq analysis using sEVs from APS patients, patients with aPL without thrombotic events, and healthy donors (HD) to identify a differential sEV-derived miRNA profile. Further in vitro studies were conducted to understand their role in APS pathogenesis.

2. Results

2.1. Clinical Characteristics

A total of 80 patients with aPLs and 30 healthy controls were included in the study. Of the patients with aPL, 50 had thrombotic PAPS (of whom, 11 had obstetric complications), and 30 had aPL without associated complications. Patient demographics of the three groups are shown in Table 1. The majority of patients had triple antibody positivity (80%). Venous thrombosis was the most frequent thrombotic event (74%) followed by arterial (54%). GAPSS [29] and the prevalence of other vascular risk factors were similar between study groups.

2.2. Small Extracellular Vesicles (sEVs) in Patients with PAPS and aPL

The characterisation of sEVs revealed spherical structures with the characteristic cup-shaped morphology, and an average diameter of 174.2 ± 40.2 nm, 149.5 ± 48.2 nm and 172.8 ± 45.0 nm for PAPS, aPL patients and HD, respectively (Figure 1A). Western Blot analysis demonstrated the presence of sEV marker proteins, ALIX and TSG101 (Figure S1). Levels of circulating sEVs were significantly increased in patients with PAPS and aPL compared to HD (8.37×10^7 PAPS, 8.38×10^7 aPL vs. 1.19×10^7 HD, *p* < 0.0001, Figure 1C). No significant differences in sEV quantity were observed by clinical manifestation or the type of antiphospholipid antibody (Figure S2). sEVS did not demonstrate procoagulant activity as measured by the STA-Procoag-PPL assay (Figure S3).

We compared sEV cell identity surface protein marker expression between study groups (n = 15, each group). We distinguished microvesicles from sEVs by size using scatter parameters of flow cytometer (Figure S4). sEVs from the three study groups predominantly exhibited a platelet marker CD41b (74.4%), and endothelial CD31 to a lesser extent (25.6%), similarly distributed amongst groups (Figure S4). Significantly increased of platelet-derived sEV surface CD62P marker was observed in PAPS and aPL patients compared with HD (p < 0.001, Figure 1D). Immunofluorescence images showed a differential uptake profile in HUVECs between disease groups (PAPS and aPL) and HD (92% and 90% vs. 12%, p < 0.001, Figure 1E). Additionally, increased monocyte sEV uptake occurred similarly for all study groups (Figure 1E). No significant uptake in platelets was observed.

| Characteristic | PAPS $(n = 50)$ | aPL ($n = 30$) | HD $(n = 30)$ | <i>p</i> Value ‡ |
|---|------------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Female sex, n (%) | 30 (60.0) | 20 (66.6) | 20 (66.6) | 0.637 |
| Median age (IQR), y | 51 (44-60) | 54 (44-60) | 49 (40-59) | 0.198 |
| Median duration of APS (IQR), y | 9.4 (3–14) | 9.9 (4–12) | na | 0.597 |
| PAPS clinical criteria for initial | | | | |
| anticoagulation, n (%) | | | | |
| Venous thrombosis | 37 (74.0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | < 0.001 |
| Arterial thrombosis | 25 (50.0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | < 0.001 |
| Both arterial and venous | 12 (24.0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | < 0.001 |
| Obstetrical medical history, n (%) | 11 (22.0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | < 0.001 |
| Other non-thrombotic manifestations, n (%) | | | | |
| Valvular heart disease * | 19 (38.0) | 2 (6.7) | 0 (0) | 0.002 |
| Renal thrombotic microangiopathy | 9 (18.0) | 1 (3.3) | 0 (0) | 0.081 |
| Livedo reticularis | 23 (46.0) | 1 (3.3) | 0 (0) | < 0.001 |
| Migraine | 23 (46.0) | 4 (13.3) | 0 (0) | 0.003 |
| Thrombocytopenia | 13 (26.0) | 5 (16.7) | 0 (0) | 0.414 |
| Laboratory profile at inclusion, n (%) | | | | |
| Lupus anticoagulant | 50 (100) | 30 (100) | nd | 1.000 |
| Lupus anticoagulant alone | 7 (14.0) | 3 (10.0) | nd | 0.736 |
| IgG/IgM antibodies | | | | |
| aCL | 44 (88.0) | 24 (80.0) | nd | 0.351 |
| Anti-β ₂ GPI | 41 (82.0) | 23 (76.7) | nd | 0.577 |
| Anti-aPS/PT | 15 (30.0) | 10 (33.3) | nd | 0.806 |
| IgG aCL, GPL units | 153.5 (152.8) | 141.0 (162.7) | nd | 0.671 |
| IgM aCL, MPL units | 26.9 (53.3) | 16.5 (20.3) | nd | 0.309 |
| IgG anti-β ₂ GPI, GPL units | 162.3 (217.4) | 190.15 (241.0) | nd | 0.596 |
| IgM anti- β_2 GPI, MPL units | 37.5 (73.3) | 25.5 (47.6) | nd | 0.426 |
| Lupus anticoagulant and IgG aCL and IgG | 41 (82%) | 25 (83 3) | nd | 1.000 |
| anti-β ₂ GPI antibodies, n (%) | 11 (0270) | 20 (00.0) | na | 1.000 |
| GAPSS risk for thrombosis [†] | | | | |
| Mean score (SD) | 15.1 (2.1) | 14.2 (2.7) | na | 0.133 |
| Score, n (%) | | | | |
| <10 | 1 (2.0) | 1 (3.3) | na | 1.000 |
| 10 to <15 | 32 (64.0) | 13 (43.3) | na | 0.103 |
| ≥ 15 | 17 (34.0) | 16 (53.3) | na | 0.105 |
| Aspirin use, n (%) | 10 (20.0) | 25 (83.3) | 0 (0) | < 0.001 |
| Antimalarial or immunosuppressive therapy | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 1.000 |
| Vitamin K antagonist therapy, n (%) | 50 (100) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | < 0.001 |
| Coexisting cardiovascular risk factors, n (%) | | | | |
| Smoking | 20 (40.0) | 10 (33.3) | 12 (40.0) | 0.637 |
| Dyslipedemia | 23 (46.0) | 11 (36.7) | 5 (16.7) | 0.487 |
| Diabetes mellitus | 8 (16.0) | 3 (10.0) | 0 (0) | 0.522 |
| Hypertension | 21 (42.0) | 10 (33.3) | 0 (0) | 0.485 |

| Table 1. Characteristics of the study patients at baseline |
|--|
|--|

PAPS = Primary antiphospholipid syndrome. aPL: Patients with persistent antiphospholipid antibodies without thrombotic or obstetric complication; HD = healthy donor; aPS/PT = anti-phosphatidylserine/prothrombin antibodies; na = not applicable; nd = not determined; *n* = Number; aCL = anticardiolipin; IgG = Immunoglobulin G; IgM = Immunoglobulin M; β_2 GPI = Beta-2-glycopotein I; SD = Standard deviation; GAPSS = Global Anti-Phospholipid Syndrome Score; GPL = IgG phospholipid; IQR = interquartile range; MPL = IgM phospholipid. Disease duration: time from the diagnosis of the condition (PAPS or aPL) to the study sample. * Cardiac valvular disease was defined by an echocardiographic detection of lesions and/or regurgitation and/or stensois of mitral and/or aortic valve according to the actual definition of APS-associated cardiac valve disease [3]. † GAPSS [27]. This is a categorical score derived from the combination of independent risk for thrombosis and pregnancy loss (PL), considering the aPL profile, conventional cardiovascular risk factors and the autoimmune antibody profile that was developed and validated in a cohort of SLE patients. The GAPSS score ranges from 1 to 20, with higher scores indicating an increased risk. ‡ *p* value was calculated between PAPS and aPL groups, fisher exact test for categorial variables and *t*-test for continuous variables.



Figure 1. Isolation, characterization, origin and cellular uptake of sEVs from PAPS, aPL and HD samples. (**A**) Cryo-TEM images of sEVs isolated from plasma of patients with primary antiphospholipid syndrome (PAPS), patients with positive antiphospholipid antibodies (aPL) and healthy donors (HD). Small EVs have the typical round shape (black arrows). Scale bar = 500 nm. (**B**) Nanoparticle tracking analysis distribution of sEVs from plasma of PAPS, aPL patients and HD. The major concentration of vesicles from the three samples were around 100 nm in diameter. The experiment was repeated three times in total (error bars \pm SEM, indicated in red). (**C**) Quantity of sEVs was calculated by fluorescence emission at 590–600 nm and using known standards calibrated samples. A significant increase in sEVs quantity was observed in plasma samples of PAPS patients and aPL patients in comparison with HD. *** *p* < 0.0001 by one-way ANOVA. (**D**) Surface protein CD62P evaluated by flow cytometry analysis showed that sEVs from PAPS, aPL and HD were stained and incubated with endothelial, platelet and monocytes cells at 2, 4, 6, 8 and 24 h to evaluate by immunofluorescence their cell internalization. Images were obtained after 24 h of incubation. Scale bar = 50 µm. Significant were obtained in comparison with HD group. *** *p* < 0.001.

2.3. sEVs from aPL and PAPS Patients Produce Endothelial Dysfunction

Endothelial dysfunction is a key factor for antiphospholipid syndrome pathogenesis. It has been associated with an increase in endothelial proliferation and the induction of inflammatory and procoagulant phenotypes [30]. We investigated whether aPL and PAPs sEVs could influence endothelial dysfunction by endothelial cell proliferation. After 24 h of sEVs incubation into HUVECs cells, we observed a significant increase in their proliferation compared to HD (p < 0.001, Figure 2A). Known cell proliferation pathways were analysed by RT-qPCR for RAS/MEK/ERK, transforming growth factor beta (TGF- β) and the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)/AKT/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathways. HUVECs exposed to sEVs from PAPS and aPL patients had increased gene expression levels of *PI3K1*, *AKT1* and *MTOR* compared to HD (fold change of 16.36 and 10.31, 4.48 and 3.10, 8.32 and 7.05, respectively, Figure 2B). We did not observe an effect on HUVEC apoptosis (Figure S5). No significant changes were observed in *TGFBR1*, *TGFB*, *SMAD2*, *SMAD3*, *MAPK1* and *MAPK3* and phosphorylated MEK/ERK pathway (Figures S6 and S7).

Next, we studied the effect of aPL and PAPs-derived sEVs in the induction of inflammatory and procoagulant molecules. sEVs induced an upregulation of cell adhesive molecules *VCAM1* and *ICAM1* in cultured HUVECs, both at protein level (p < 0.001, Figure 2C,D) and in gene expression (fold change of 6.57 and 5.85 for PAPS sEVs; fold change of 5.29 and 3.30 for aPL sEVs, Figure 2E). E-selectine expression was not significantly modified (Figure S6). Additionally, we observed a significant upregulation of transcriptor factor *NFKB1* (p < 0.005, Figure 2E), and its phosphorylated protein (Figure 2D). Changes in NF- κ B related inflammatory cytokines (IL-1, TNF, IL-6, IL-8 or IFN α/γ , Figure S6) were not detected. Only sEVs from PAPS patients increased the expression of *F3* (TF) relative to the control group (2.98-fold change, Figure 2E).



Figure 2. Cont.



Figure 2. In vitro effect of sEVs from PAPS, aPL and healthy donors to HUVECs. (**A**) Proliferation assay was performed in HUVECs after incubation with sEVs from PAPS, aPL or HD (healthy donor). Fluorescence measurements were made using a microplate reader with excitation at 485 nm and emission detection at 530 nm and fold change was calculated over sEVs from HD. (**B**) Gene expression of proliferation in HUVECs after incubation with sEVs. Fold change was calculated over sEVs from HD. (**C**,**D**) Immunofluorescence in HUVECs after incubation with sEVs from PAPS or aPL. Green staining was used for VCAM-1 protein (**C**) and phosphorylated NF- κ B (**D**). ICAM-1 was stained with red (**D**) and DAPI was used for nuclei cells (blue). Scale bar = 50 µm. In vitro experiments were performed a minimum of three replicates. 1-way ANOVA was performed to analyse difference between three groups. * *p* < 0.05, ** *p* < 0.001. (**E**) Gene expression of procoagulant/proinflammatory factors in HUVECs after incubation with sEVs. Fold change was calculated over sEVs from HD. 1-way ANOVA was performed to analyse difference between three groups. * *p* < 0.005, ** *p* < 0.005

2.4. PAPS sEVs Produced Monocyte Activation

Growing evidence supports the involvement of monocytes in APS pathogenesis. Mechanisms involved in their procoagulant and proinflammatory state following stimulation by aFLs include increased production of tissue factor and upregulation of NF κ B, MEK-1/ERK and p38 MAPkinase pathways [31]. To study the molecular effect triggered by aPL and PAPS sEVs in monocytes, we incubated sEVs with human primary monocytes. Through mRNA gene expression analysis, we observed that only sEVs from PAPS differentially induced the expression of F3 (fold change of 5.60), transcriptor factor *NFKB1* (fold change of 5.80), the co-stimulatory factor *CD80* (fold change of 6.00) and inflammatory cytokines (*MCP1* and *IL12*) (p < 0.005, Figure 3A). Significant high levels of MCP-1 and IL-12 were detected in culture medium by ELISA assay (Figure 3B) and phosphorylated NF- κ B by immunofluorescence (Figure 3C).



Figure 3. In vitro effect of sEVs from PAPS, aPL and healthy donors to monocytes. (**A**) Primary monocytes were incubated with sEVs for 24 h, and qPCR-RT was performed for quantify gene expression. (**B**) MCP-1 and IL-12 production (pg/mL) by monocytes obtained from healthy donors after 48 h of incubation of sEVs isolated from PAPS, aPL or HD (healthy donor). Values are means \pm SD. 1-way ANOVA was performed to analyse difference between three groups. *** *p* < 0.001. (**C**) Immunofluorescence was performed in order to quantify phosphorylated NF- κ B protein levels (green) in monocytes after incubation with sEVs. Nuclei cells are stained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar = 50 µm. ** *p* < 0.005, *** *p* < 0.001.

No changes were observed in p38 MAPK (*MAPK14*, *MAPK11*), MEK/ERK1 (*MAPK1*, *MAPK3*, *MA2PK1*), TLR-mediated signaling pathways (*TLR2/4*, *TRAF6*) and other relevant inflammatory cytokines (*TNFA*, *IFNA1*) (Figure S8). aPL- and HD-derived sEVs did not produce monocyte activation (Figure 3A–C).

2.5. Differential Expression Profiles of sEV-Derived miRNA between PAPS and aPL Patients

Since the biological effect of sEVs depends on their cargo and sEV-embedded miR-NAs are relevant in gene expression regulation, we performed a miRNA-seq analysis of sEVs by study group (n = 8 each) to identify a miRNA profile. Patient characteristics are shown in Table S1. Principal component analysis and hierarchical clustering distinguished nine differentially expressed (DE) miRNAs between aPL and HD and ten between PAPS vs. HD using the conditions of p adj value < 0.05 and fold change > 1.5. Comparing PAPS vs. aPL, no significant values were obtained with p adj value < 0.05, but we identified four differential miRNAs using p adj value < 0.15 (Figure 4A and Table S2).



Figure 4. Differently expressed miR-326 and miR-483-3p in sEVs from PAPs and aPL patients. (**A**) Volcano plot of miRNA screening of sEV-derived miRNA expression for each comparison (aPL vs. HD, PAPS vs. HD and PAPS vs. aPL). Purple dots represent miRNAs that were significantly down or upregulated by log2 fold change of 1.5 (*p* value < 0.001 and *p* adj value < 0.15), paired *t*-test, n = 8 for each group. (**B**) Venn diagram showing overlapping miRNAs between each comparison (log2 fold change of 1.5, *p* value < 0.005 and *p* adj value < 0.15). (**C**) Verification of miRNA differences found in the miRNA-seq screening. The most significant miRNAs selected from screening were used for single assay qRT-PCR confirmation. Relative gene expression was calculated using the $2-\Delta\Delta Ct$ method. Only miR-326 and miR-483-3p showed significant difference between sEVs from PAPS (n = 50), aPL (n = 30) and HD (n = 30). ** p < 0.005, *** p < 0.001, one-way ANOVA.

Only two common DE-derived miRNAs were identified between aPL vs. HD and PAPS vs HD groups (miR-483-3p and let-7a-3p) and one between PAPS vs. HD and PAPS vs. aPL (miR-326, Figure 4B). We also validated the top five miRNAs in each group by signal (marked bold in Table S2). Only miR-326 and miR-483-3p were significantly DE. miR-326 was predominantly downregulated in sEVs from PAPS compared to aPL and HD (fold change decrease of 2.66 and 2.00, respectively, *p* < 0.001), whereas miR-483-3p was mainly overexpressed in PAPS and aPL groups compared to HD (fold change increase of 3.31 and 2.27, respectively) (Figure 4C).

2.6. sEV-Derived miRNAs Produce HUVEC Cell Activation via PTEN Pathway and Monocyte Activation via NOTCH1

We analysed whether the identified deregulated miRNAs could replicate the biological function observed by the study sEVs. First, we transfected mirVana miR-483-3p mimic into HUVECs to overexpress endogenous miR-483-3p (Figure S9). MiR-483-3p mimic transfected HUVECs had increased proliferation rates compared to control miR-mimic (fold change of 2.5, Figure 5A); upregulation of *PI3K1*, *AKT1* and *MTOR* (fold change increase of 12.3, 3.3 and 4.7, respectively, Figure 5B); *F3* and *EDN1* (fold change of 5.8, 2.0 and 3.5, respectively); *ICAM1* and *VCAM1* adhesion factors (fold change of 18.4 and 29.7, *p* < 0.001, Figure 5B) and *NFKB1* transcription factor (fold change of 8.1, Figure 6D). VCAM-1, ICAM-1 and increased phosphorylated NF- κ B expression were confirmed at protein level (Figure 5C).



Figure 5. Cont.

Fold change over Control

2.0

1.5

1.0

0.5

0.0

PTEN

D



20 0 %

miR-483-3p

mimics

Control

miR-mimic

0.5

0.0

PTEN





Figure 6. Cont.



Figure 6. Inhibition of miR-326 in monocytes promotes NF- π B activation and related proteins via NOTCH pathway activation. (**A**) Monocytes were transfected with anti-miR-326 and qRT-PCR was performed to study gene expression. Experiments performed in triplicate, *t*-test, * *p* < 0.05, ** *p* < 0.005 and *** *p* < 0.001. (**B**) Protein levels of MCP-1 and IL-12 were measured in monocytes medium after transfection by ELISA assay. Values are means ± SD. *t*-test, ** *p* < 0.005. (**C**) Phosphorylated NF- π B protein levels were measured by immunofluorescence in monocytes cells (green staining). In vitro experiments were performed in triplicate. Scale bar = 50 µm. *** *p* < 0.001. (**D**) Luciferase assay showed that NOTCH1 is a gene target of miR-326 in human primary monocytes. In vitro experiments were performed in triplicate. Results are expressed as the relative ratio of Firefly luciferase activity to Renilla luciferase activity using the negative control as reference. *t*-test, *** *p* < 0.001. (**E**) Putative binding site of miR-326 to *NOTCH1* gene was calculated by bioinformatics analysis (**F**) *NOTCH1* was evaluated as gene targets of miR-326 in monocytes. Levels of gene expression were quantified by qRT-PCR and normalised using *GADPH* as endogenous control. *t*-test was performed between anti-miR-326 vs. control anti-miR and one-way ANOVA between sEVs from HD and from aPL or PAPS. *** *p* < 0.001.

To identify the molecular mechanism by which miR-483-3p contributes to endothelial dysfunction, we retrieved validated target genes from three major miRNA-target datasets: miRecords, miRTarBase and miRWalk. We identified 10 candidate genes for miR-483-3p (Table S3). Filtering by biological function, we identified *PTEN*, *IGF1*, *BBC3* and *CDK4* as

potential targets of miR-483-3p in HUVECs. Of these, only *PTEN* transcript downregulation was confirmed by qRT-PCR analysis upon miR-483-3p overexpression in HUVECs cells (fold change decrease of 6.7, (Figure 5D and Figure S10). Transfection of miR-483-3p mimics resulted in suppression of the reporter luciferase activity containing regions of the 3'UTR of human PTEN (relative luciferase ratio FLuc/Rluc = 33% of reduction, p < 0.001) (Figure 5E,F). Next, we demonstrated that sEVs from PAPS and aPL incubated with HUVECs produced a *PTEN* downregulation (fold decrease of 2.2 and 1.5, respectively, Figure 5G).

Inhibition of miR-326 in monocytes induced upregulation of tissue factor gene (*F3*), the transcriptor factor *NFKB1*, the cytokines *MCP1* and *IL12* and co-stimulatory receptor *CD80* (fold increase of 2.7, 5.7, 3.1, 1.6 and 2.6, respectively, Figure 6A). MCP-1, IL-12 and phosphorylated NF- κ B increased expression were also confirmed at protein level (Figure 6B,C). No effect was observed when miR-483-3p was upregulated (Figure S11).

Retrieval of validated genes as described above identified 14 candidates for miR-326 (Table S4), of which *NOTCH1* was identified as a potential direct target using luciferase assay (Figure 6D,E). miR-326 inhibition or culture with PAPs sEVs induced an upregulation of *NOTCH1* in monocytes (fold increase of 6.2 and 7.9, respectively, p < 0.001, Figure 6F).

3. Discussion

In this study, we have demonstrated that patients with aPLs, with and without a history of thrombosis, have higher circulating sEVs than healthy donors. These sEVs were mainly of platelet origin and had endothelial and monocytes as target cells. We identified two novel sEV-derived miRNAs that could contribute to APS pathogenesis by promoting endothelial dysfunction, inflammation, and the production of procoagulant factors. Firstly, miR-483-3p was upregulated in patients with aPL antibodies and PAPS, with a predominant role in endothelial cells; we also identified PTEN, a negative regulator of the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway, as a target for miR-483-3p in HUVECS. Secondly, we found miR-326 to be downregulated uniquely in sEVs from PAPS patients with a pr\edominant role in monocyte activation and production of TF through NOTCH-1.

One study has characterised the presence of sEVs in APS [19]. The study detected similar quantities of sEVs originating from various hematopoietic cells in patients with APS and aPL-neg with idiopathic thrombosis. However, only sEVs from APS patients were specifically enriched in CD62P and CD133/1 surface expression, suggesting ongoing endothelial activation/damage and platelet activation in APS [19]. In line with that study, we have shown that patients with aPL antibodies also had an increased number of circulating sEVs compared with HD, mainly from an activated platelet origen. Large and medium EVs are frequently derived from platelets, make up approximately 70% of vesicles content in blood serum and have procoagulant activity [17,18]. We did not observe this in patient-derived sEVs procoagulant activity. But, we have shown that aPL and PAPS sEVs were highly engulfed by HUVEC cells and monocytes, causing endothelial cell dysfunction, and, only those sEVs from PAPS patients inducing monocyte activation. Platelet-derived sEVs containing miR-223, miR-339 and miR-31 can inhibit platelet-derived growth factor-β $(PDGF-\beta)$ expression in vascular smooth muscle cells and contribute to atheromatosis by modifying the expression of adhesion molecules and TF [32]. Contrary to SLE and other connective tissue diseases, there are limited data on the direct role miRNA-derived sEVs in APS. In our study, for the first time, we performed a miRNA-seq in isolated from sEVs involved in APS cellular regulation and we identified two novel miRNAs, miR-483-3p and miR-326.

Endothelial dysfunction is a key pathological component of APS. Although in vivo analyses of endothelial dysfunction in APS have been reported, most research has been performed in vitro using models with endothelial cells exposed to either serum/plasma, monoclonal aPL, or IgGs isolated from APS patients [33–35]. These studies have described a reduction in endothelial cell nitric oxide synthesis, increase in endothelial proliferation [33], impairment of vascular remodeling and the induction of pro-inflammatory,

pro-coagulant and pro-adhesive phenotypes [34,35]. In our study, we have shown that sEVs from PAPS- and aPL-carrier patients directly induce endothelial dysfunction in vitro. Previous studies have shown the role of miR-483 in endothelial cell dysfunction. On one hand, this plays a protective role by inhibiting the expression of TGF- β , CTGF, ACE1 and ET-1 [36]. On the other, it functions as a novel diagnostic biomarker in venous thromboembolism [37]. In our study, miR-483-3p overexpression increased endothelial cell proliferation and promoted an inflammatory-procoagulant state by producing proinflammatory cytokines, adhesion molecules (VCAM-1, ICAM-1) and TF via activation of the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-Akt (PI3K-Akt)-mammalian target of rapamycin complex (mTOR) and NF-KB pathway. Previous studies on APS have shown the relevance of this pathway. Oxidised oxLDL/ β 2GPI/anti- β 2GPI antibody complex inhibited endothelial autophagy via PI3K/AKT/mTOR contributing to endothelial cell dysfunction [35]. Furthermore, mTORC2/Akt pathway mediates the promotion of platelet activation and induction of thrombosis by the anti-β2GP1 antibody [38]. In addition, by combining clinical studies with in vitro experiments, it has been shown that mTORC plays a role in the development of APS vasculopathy, with mTOR inhibitors able to reduce vascular proliferation in APS nephropathy [35,39]. In this study, we demonstrated that in HUVECs, miR-483 directly targets PTEN. Regulation of PTEN expression by miR-483-3p had been previously described in Wilms tumor cells [40]. sEV-derived miR-483-3p overexpression from PAPS and aPL patients may decrease PTEN gene expression, increasing PI3K/Akt signalling activity in HUVECs, a key link that stimulates endothelial proliferation via mTOR and inflammation via NF-кB signalling (Figure 7).



Figure 7. Proposed mechanism for the role of sEVs in APS pathogenesis. Proposed mechanism for sEVs-derived miRNA in APS pathogenesis. Activated platelet release sEVs that are going into endothelial and monocyte cells. Inside sEVs there is an upregulation of miR-483-3p and a downregulation of miR-326. In endothelial cells, miR-483-3p targets PTEN inducing an upregulation of PI3K-AKT-mTOR and NF-*κ*B pathway producing endothelial proliferation and dysfunction with high levels of VCAM-1, ICAM-1, END-1 and tissue factor. Low levels of miR-326 provoke a monocyte activation by the upregulation of its target gene (NOTCH1) and co-stimulatory factors (CD80). Inflammatory response regulated also by NF-*κ*B pathway increase in cytokines production (MCP-1 and IL-12) and procoagulant factors (tissue factor).

It is well known that monocytes play an active role in thrombogenesis, since, once activated, these cells express and release tissue factor (TF) [41]. aPL antibodies induce TF expression by activating, simultaneously and independently, the phosphorylation of MEK-1/ERK proteins, and the p38 MAP kinase-dependent nuclear translocation and activation of NF-KB/Rel proteins [42]. Aside from TF, aPL-activated monocytes contribute to the procoagulant state by triggering the production of proinflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and adhesion molecules which leads to the attraction of additional monocytes and T helper cells to the sites of inflammation [43,44]. In our study, we have demonstrated in vitro that interaction of PAPS sEVs with cultured monocytes increased the expression of TF, costimulatory CD80 molecules, NF-xB transcriptor factor and related inflammatory cytokines. Downregulation of miR-326 was only found in sEVs from PAPS patients. As with sEVs, downregulation of miR-326 in monocytes led to NF-KB signalling activation with increased production of TF, MCP-1 and IL-12. NOTCH1 is a described gene target of miR-326 [40] and induced inflammatory responses by activation of NF- κ B pathway and increasing cytokine production (CCL2 and IL-12) in monocytes [45,46]. We demonstrated that miR-326 target NOTCH1 in monocyte. Consequently, sEV-derived miR-326 downregulation may activate monocytes by upregulating NOTCH signalling, promoting TF and cytokine production (Figure 7). miR-326 has been previously described as regulator of platelet apoptosis by targeting Bcl-xl, and antiapoptotic Bcl-2 family regulator [47]. However, we did not see this effect since our sEVS were not internalised by platelets.

In conclusion, our results demonstrated enhanced shedding and distinct biological properties of miRNA-derived sEVs between PAPS patients and aPL-carrier patients. These findings provide insights into their role in APS pathogenesis to be applied as disease biomarkers or as new targeted therapeutic approaches.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Patients

From 2017 to 2019, patients with primary APS (PAPS) (n = 50) according to International Consensus statement criteria [2] or with persistent aPLs without associated thrombotic or obstetric complications (aPL) (n = 30) were consecutively included from the outpatient rheumatology clinic at Vall d'Hebron Hospital. The control group consisted of HD (n = 30) without aPLs or any associated complications. The study was ethically approved by Vall d'Hebron Hospital Ethics Committee and all patients provided written informed consent prior to inclusion. Details of demographic characteristics, concomitant medications, comorbidities, and the Global Anti-Phospholipid Syndrome Score (GAPSS) [29] were obtained.

4.2. Sample Collection

Serum, plasma, and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were obtained from each participant. All samples were processed within one hour of blood drawing. Monocytes were isolated from PBMCs from all participants, and platelets were obtained from HD whole blood. sEVs were isolated from plasma using the ExoQuick Plasma prep and Exosome precipitation kit (System Biosciences SBI, Palo Alto, CA, USA) and characterised by NanoSight, Cryo-TEM, western blot and flow cytometry analysis (LSR Fortessa, BD Biosciences, Erembodegem, Belgium, Table S5). sEV quantification was determined via the FluoroCet Exosome Quantification Kit (System Biosciences SBI, Palo Alto, CA, USA) and sEV procoagulant activity by STA-Procoag-PPL (Stago, Asnieres, France). Details in Supporting Information (SI).

4.3. Anti-Phospholipid Antibody Detection

The detection of aCL and a β 2GPI in patient sera, and analysis of Lupus Anticoagulant (LA) was performed as described elsewhere [2,29] (details in Supplementary Materials).

4.4. Small RNA-Sequencing of sEV-miRNAs

miRNAs contained in sEVs from aPL, PAPS and HD (n = 8) were extracted following the instructions of miRCURY RNA Isolation Kit—Cell & Plant (Exiqon, Woburn, MA, USA). miRNA samples were processed and sequenced (single end, 50 nts, 1×50 , v4) by CNAG (Barcelona, Spain) on a HiSeq2500 Illumina device with a read depth of >10 M reads/sample. Subsequent quality control, data processing and analysis were performed as previously described [48–50]. Data were deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (NCBI) with the number GSE220791 (more details in Supplementary Materials).

4.5. Cell Cultures

Primary umbilical human vascular endothelial cells (HUVECs, Innoprot, Derio, Spain), passages 2–5, were cultured in endothelial cell medium (ECM, Innoprot, Spain). Monocytes were cultured using RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco, Thermofisher Scientific, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Platelets were isolated and used within 2–3 h. Labelled sEVs were incubated with primary cells for 2, 4, 6, 8 and 24 h at 37 °C. sEV internalisation was analysed by fluorescence microscopy (Olympus BX61). Functional miRNA studies were performed in HUVECS and monocytes. Cells at ~70% confluence were transfected with mimic or anti-miR miRNA (mirVanaTM, Life technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX Reagent (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA).

4.6. RNA Extraction and RT-qPCR Gene Expression

HUVECS or monocytes cells were incubated with sEVs or miRNA transfected. After that, RNA extraction was performed using RNeasy Mini Kit following manufacturer's instructions (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Once RNA was obtained, total RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). Gene expression was assessed by TaqMan gene expression assays (FAM dye labelled MGB probe, Applied Biosystems, Table S6). Obtained data were normalised based on the expression of the endogenous control gene GAPDH (Hs02786624_g1) [51].

4.7. Apoptosis and Proliferation Assay

Cells were plated in 24-well plates and incubated with sEVs or transfected with corresponding anti/mimic miRNA. After 24 h, they were stained with Dead Cell Apoptosis Kit with Annexin V APC and SYTOXTM Green (Thermofisher, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and measured by flow cytometry. For proliferation assays, cells were plated in 96-well plates, incubated with sEVs or transfected. After 24 h, CyQUANT NF Cell Proliferation Assay Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was used following manufacturer's instructions. Fold changes in fluorescence were calculated over cells incubated with sEVs from HD or over cells transfected with mimic or anti-control.

4.8. Luciferase Assay

Primary HUVECs or primary monocytes were co-transfected with the vector pEZX-MT01-PTEN 3'UTR or pEZX-MT01-NOTCH1 3'UTR and miR-483-3p or miR-326 mimics (10 μ M) (mirVanaTM, Life technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) using DharmaFECT Duo transfect reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) according to manufacturer protocols. After 24 h, the luciferase activity of firefly and Renilla was measured by Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Renilla luciferase was used as internal control.

4.9. Immunofluorescence on Primary Cells

After sEVs incubation or miRNA transfection, cells were washed with PBS and fixed for 15 min in 4% paraformaldehyde at 4 °C followed by permeabilization with 0.1% TritonX-100 for 10 min at room temperature. Blocking solution (BSA 5%) was added for 1 h at RT and primary antibodies were incubated overnight at 4 °C and secondary antibodies were added for 2 h at room temperature (Table S7). DAPI was used to visualize the nucleus. Images were captured using Olympus BX61 microscope. Staining intensity was graded as 0 (no staining), 1 (weakly positive, <25% stained), 2 (moderately positive, 25–75% stained) or 3 (strongly positive, >75% stained).

4.10. Measurement of Cytokines Levels by Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA)

Isolated primary monocytes from healthy donors were plated in 24-well plates and incubated with sEVs or miRNA-transfected. After 48 h, conditioned media were collected and used to measure cytokines levels. For quantify MCP-1 and IL-12 levels, we used "Human MCP-1 Elisa Kit" (873.030.096, Diaclone, Besançon, France) and "Human IL-12 p70 Elisa Kit" (ab100552, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) according to the respective manufacturer's instructions.

4.11. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 17.0 statistical software (SPSS, nc., Chicago, IL, USA) and graphs were generated using GraphPad Prism 7.0. Data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation. All experimental data were generated in triplicate, and experiments were repeated at least three times. *p* < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant and statistical tests are indicated in the figures.

Supplementary Materials: The supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms241411607/s1. References [52,53] are cited in Supplementary Materials.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, C.S. and J.C.-H.; methodology, C.S., M.R., S.S. and T.M.; validation, C.S., M.R. and S.S.; formal analysis, C.S. and M.R.; investigation, C.S., M.R., S.S. and T.M.; resources, J.C.-H.; data curation, C.S., M.R. and J.C.-H.; writing—original draft preparation, C.S.; writing—review and editing, J.C.-H.; visualization, C.S., M.R., S.S., T.M. and J.C.-H.; supervision, C.S. and J.C.-H.; project administration, J.C.-H.; funding acquisition, J.C.-H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by Ethics Committee of Vall d'Hebron University Hospital (protocol code SAP-02, 7 September 2012).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: Data were deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (NCBI) with the number GSE220791 at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE220791 (accessed on 5 July 2023).

Acknowledgments: This work was kindly supported by lupus patient association called AILES (Associació per la Investigació en LES, Spain). The authors acknowledge all patients and healthy donors for taking part in the study. We also thank A. Calvet and A. Gómez for technical assitance during their bachelor and grant projects.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

APS: Antiphospholipid antibody syndrome; aPL: antiphospholipid antibodies; EVs: extracellular vesicles; sEVs: small extracellular vesicles; miRNA: microRNA; HD: healthy donor; PAPS: primary antiphospholipid antibody syndrome; TGF-β: transforming growth factor beta; PI3K/AKT/mTOR: the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/mammalian target of rapamycin; HUVECS: Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial Cells; MAPK: mitogen-activated protein kinase; NF-κB: Nuclear factor kappa-lightchain-enhancer of activated B cells; IL: interleukin; VCAM: vascular cell adhesion molecule; ICAM: intercellular adhesion molecule; TNF: Tumor necrosis factor; IFN: interferon; MCP-1: Monocyte chemoattractant protein-1; ELISA: enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; TLR: Toll-like receptor; TRAF1: Tumor necrosis factor receptor associated factor 1; DE: differentially expressed; qRT-PCR: real-time quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction; PTEN: phosphatase and tensin homolog deleted on chromosome 10; NOTCH: neurogenic locus notch homolog protein; PDGF- β : platelet-derived growth factor- β ; TF: tissue factor.

References

- 1. Schreiber, K.; Sciascia, S.; de Groot, P.G.; Devreese, K.; Jacobsen, S.; Ruiz-Irastorza, G.; Salmon, J.E.; Shoenfeld, Y.; Shovman, O.; Hunt, B.J. Antiphospholipid syndrome. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers* **2018**, *4*, 18005. [CrossRef]
- Miyakis, S.; Lockshin, M.D.; Atsumi, T.; Branch, D.W.; Brey, R.L.; Cervera, R.; Derksen, R.H.W.M.; De Groot, P.G.; Koike, T.; Meroni, P.L.; et al. International consensus statement on an update of the classification criteria for definite antiphospholipid syndrome (APS). *J. Thromb. Haemost.* 2006, *4*, 295–306. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Sammaritano, L.R. Antiphospholipid Syndrome. Best Pract. Res. Clin. Rheumatol. 2020, 34, 101463. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Giannakopoulos, B.; Krilis, S.A. The pathogenesis of the antiphospholipid syndrome. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2013**, *368*, 1033–1044. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Lackner, K.J.; Müller-Calleja, N. Pathogenesis of antiphospholipid syndrome: Recent insights and emerging concepts. *Expert Rev. Clin. Immunol.* 2019, *15*, 199–209. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Qamar, A.; Rader, D.J. Effect of interleukin 1beta inhibition in cardiovascular disease. *Curr. Opin. Lipidol.* 2012, 23, 548–553. [CrossRef]
- Betapudi, V.; Lominadze, G.; His, L.; Willard, B.; Wu, M.; McCrae, K.R. Anti-beta2GPI antibodies stimulate endothelial cell microparticle release via a nonmuscle myosin II motor protein-dependent pathway. *Blood* 2013, 122, 3808–3817. [CrossRef]
- Johnsen, K.B.; Gudbergsson, J.M.; Andresen, T.L.; Simonsen, J.B. What is the blood concentration of extracellular vesicles? Implications for the use of extracellular vesicles as blood-borne biomarkers of cancer. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Rev. Cancer* 2019, 1871, 109–116. [CrossRef]
- Théry, C.; Witner, K.W.; Aikawa, E.; Alcaraz, M.J.; Anderson, J.D.; Andriantsitohaina, R.; Antoniou, A.; Arab, T.; Archer, F.; Atkin-Smith, G.K.; et al. Minimal information for studies of extracellular vesicles 2018 (MISEV2018): A position statement of the International Society for Extracellular Vesicles and update of the MISEV2014 guidelines. *J. Extracell. Vesicles* 2018, 7, 1535750. [CrossRef]
- Van de Pol, E.; Böing, A.N.; Harrison, P.; Sturk, A.; Nieuwland, R. Classification, functions, and clinical relevance of extracellular vesicles. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 2012, 64, 676–705. [CrossRef]
- 11. Bang, C.; Thum, T. Exosomes: New players in cell–cell communication. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* **2012**, *44*, 2060–2064. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Van Niel, G.; D'Angelo, G.; Raposo, G. Shedding light on the cell biology of extracellular vesicles. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2018**, 19, 213–228. [CrossRef]
- Combes, V.; Simon, A.C.; Grau, G.E.; Arnoux, D.; Camoin, L.; Sabatier, F.; Mutin, M.; Sanmarco, M.; Sampol, J.; Dignat-George, F. In vitro generation of endothelial microparticles and possible prothrombotic activity in patients with the lupus anticoagulant. *J. Clin. Investig.* 1999, 104, 93–102. [CrossRef]
- 14. Dignat-George, F.; Camoin-Jau, L.; Sabatier, F.; Arnoux, D.; Anfosso, F.; Bardin, N.; Veit, V.; Combes, V.; Gentile, S.; Moal, V.; et al. Endothelial microparticles: A potential contribution to the thrombotic complications of the antiphospholipid syndrome. *Thromb. Haemost.* **2004**, *91*, 667–673. [PubMed]
- 15. Vikerfors, A.; Mobarrez, F.; Bremme, K.; Holmström, M.; Agren, A.; Eelde, A.; Bruzelius, M.; Antovic, A.; Wallén, H.; Svenungsson, E. Studies of microparticles in patients with the antiphospholipid syndrome (APS). *Lupus* **2012**, *21*, 802–805. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chaturvedi, S.; Cockrell, E.; Espinola, R.; His, L.; Fulton, S.; Khan, M.; Li, L.; Fonseca, F.; Kundu, S.; McCrae, K.R. Circulating microparticles in patients with antiphospholipid antibodies: Characterization and associations. *Thromb. Res.* 2015, 135, 102–108. [CrossRef]
- Joseph, J.E.; Harrison, P.; Mackie, I.J.; Isenberg, D.A.; Machin, S.J. Increased circulating platelet-leucocyte complexes and platelet activation in patients with antiphospholipid syndrome, systemic lupus erythematosus and rheumatoid arthritis. *Br. J. Haematol.* 2001, 115, 451–459. [CrossRef]
- Niccolai, E.; Squatrito, D.; Emmi, G.; Silvestri, E.; Emmi, L.; Ciucciarelli, L.; Ricci, F.; Manganaro, D.; Amedei, A.; Prisco, D. A new cytofluorimetric approach to evaluate the circulating microparticles in subjects with antiphospholipid antibodies. *Thromb. Res.* 2015, 136, 1252–1258. [CrossRef]
- 19. Breen, K.A.; Sanchez, K.; Kirkman, N.; Seed, P.T.; Parmar, K.; Moore, G.W.; Hunt, B.J. Endothelial and platelet microparticles in patients with antiphospholipid antibodies. *Thromb. Res.* **2015**, *135*, *368–374*. [CrossRef]
- Štok, U.; Blokar, E.; Lenassi, M.; Holcar, M.; Frank-Bertoncelj, M.; Erman, A.; Resnik, N.; Sodin-Semrl, S.; Cucnik, S.; Pirkmajer, K.P.; et al. Characterization of Plasma-Derived Small Extracellular Vesicles Indicates Ongoing Endothelial and Platelet Activation in Patients with Thrombotic Antiphospholipid Syndrome. *Cells* 2020, *9*, 1211. [CrossRef]
- Wu, M.; Barnard, J.; Kundu, S.; McCrae, K.R. A novel pathway of cellular activation mediated by antiphospholipid antibodyinduced extracellular vesicles. J. Thromb. Haemost. 2015, 13, 1928–1940. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tong, M.; Tsai, B.W.; Chamley, L.W. Antiphospholipid antibodies and extracellular vesicles in pregnancy. *Am. J. Reprod. Immunol.* 2021, 85, e13312. [CrossRef]

- Keller, S.; Sanderson, M.P.; Stoeck, A.; Altevogt, P. Exosomes: From biogenesis and secretion to biological function. *Immunol. Lett.* 2006, 107, 102–108. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Kotyla, P.J.; Islam, M.A. MicroRNA (miRNA): A new dimension in the pathogenesis of antiphospholipid syndrome (APS). *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, *21*, 2076. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pérez-Sánchez, L.; Patiño-Trives, A.M.; Aguirre-Zamorano, M.A.; Luque-Tévar, M.; Ábalos-Aguilera, M.C.; Arias-de la Rosa, I.; Seguí, P.; Velasco-Gimena, F.; Barbarroja, N.; Escudero-Contreras, A.; et al. Characterization of antiphospholipid syndrome atherothrombotic risk by unsupervised integrated transcriptomic analyses. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 2021, 41, 865–877. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Lopez-Pedrera, C.; Barbarroja, N.; Patiño-Trives, A.M.; Collantes, E.; Aguirre, M.A.; Perez-Sanchez, C. New biomarkers for atherothrombosis in antiphospholipid syndrome: Genomics and epigenetics approaches. *Front. Immunol.* **2019**, *10*, 764. [CrossRef]
- Pérez-Sánchez, C.; Arias-de la Rosa, I.; Aguirre, M.A.; Luque-Tévar, M.; Ruiz-Limón, P.; Barbarroja, N.; Jiménez-Gómez, Y.; Ábalos-Aguilera, M.C.; Collantes-Estévez, E.; Segui, P.; et al. Circulating microRNAs as biomarkers of disease and typification of the atherothrombotic status in antiphospholipid syndrome. *Haematologica* 2018, 103, 908–918. [CrossRef]
- Teruel, R.; Pérez-Sánchez, C.; Corral, J.; Herranz, M.T.; Pérez-Andreu, V.; Saiz, E.; García-Barberá, N.; Martínez-Martínez, I.; Roldán, V.; Vicente, V.; et al. Identification of miRNAs as potential modulators of tissue factor expression in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus and antiphospholipid syndrome. *J. Thromb. Haemost.* 2011, *9*, 1985–1992. [CrossRef]
- Sciascia, S.; Sanna, G.; Murru, V.; Roccatello, D.; Khamashta, M.A.; Bertolaccini, M.L. GAPSS: The Global Anti-Phospholipid Syndrome Score. *Rheumatology* 2013, 52, 1397–1403. [CrossRef]
- Velásquez, M.; Rojas, M.; Abrahams, V.M.; Escudero, C.; Cadavid, A.P. Mechanisms of endothelial dysfunction in antiphospholipid syndrome: Association with clinical manifestations. *Front. Physiol.* 2018, *9*, 1840. [CrossRef]
- 31. Van den Hoogen, L.L.; Van Roon, J.A.G.; Radstake, T.R.D.J.; Fritsch-Stork, R.D.E.; Derksen, R.H.W.M. Delineating the deranged immune system in the antiphospholipid syndrome. *Autoimmun. Rev.* **2016**, *15*, 50–60. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pérez-Sánchez, C.; Aguirre, M.A.; Ruiz-Limón, P.; Barbarroja, N.; Jiménez-Gómez, Y.; Arias de la Rosa, I.; Rodriguez-Ariza, A.; Collantes-Estévez, E.; Segui, P.; Velasco, F.; et al. Atherothrombosis-associated microRNAs in antihpospholipid syndrome and systemic lupus erythematosus patients. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, *6*, 31375. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Clemens, N.; Frauenknecht, K.; Katzav, A.; Sommer, C.; Von Landenberg, P. In vitro effects of antiphospholipid syndrome-IgG fractions and human monoclonal antiphospholipid IgG antibody on human umbilical vein endothelial cells and monocytes. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 2009, 1173, 805–813. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Canaud, G.; Legendre, C.; Terzi, F. AKT/mTORC pathway in antiphospholipid-related vasculopathy: A new player in the game. *Lupus* **2015**, *24*, 227–230. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, G.; He, C.; Wu, Q.; Xu, G.; Kuang, M.; Wang, T.; Xu, L.; Zhou, H.; Yuan, W. Impaired autophagy induced by oxLDL/β2GPI/anti-β2GPI complex through PI3K/AKT/mTOR and eNOS signaling pathways contributes to endothelial cell dysfunction. Oxidative Med. Cell. Longev. 2021, 2021, 6662225. [CrossRef]
- 36. Shang, F.; Guo, X.; Chen, Y.; Wang, C.; Gao, J.; Wen, E.; Lai, B.; Bai, L. Endothelial microRNA-483-3p is hypertension-protective. *Oxidative Med. Cell. Longev.* **2022**, 2022, 3698219. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Xiang, Q.; Zhang, H.-X.; Wang, Z.; Liu, Z.-Y.; Xie, Q.-F.; Hu, K.; Zhang, Z.; Mu, G.-Y.; Ma, L.-Y.; Jiang, J.; et al. The predictive value of circulating microRNAs for venous thromboembolism diagnosis: A systematic review and diagnostic meta-analysis. *Thromb. Res.* 2019, *181*, 127–134. [CrossRef]
- 38. Tang, Z.; Shi, H.; Chen, C.; Teng, J.; Dai, J.; Ouyang, X.; Liu, H.; Hu, Q.; Cheng, X.; Ye, J.; et al. Activation of platelet mTORC2/Akt Pathway by anti-β2GP1 antibody promotes thrombosis in antiphospholipid syndrome. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol*, 2023; *Online ahead of print*.
- Canaud, G.; Bienaimé, F.; Tabarin, F.; Bataillon, G.; Seilhean, D.; Noël, L.-H.; Dragon-Durey, M.-A.; Snanoudj, R.; Friedlander, G.; Halbwachs-Mercarelli, L.; et al. Inhibition of the mTORC pathway in the antiphosphoipid syndrome. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2014, 371, 303–312. [CrossRef]
- 40. Che, G.; Gao, H.; Tian, J.; Hu, Q.; Hongchang, X.; Zhang, Y. MicroRNA-483-3p promotes proliferation, migration, and invasion and induces chemoresistance of wilm's tumor cells. *Pediatr. Dev. Pathol.* **2020**, 23, 144–151. [CrossRef]
- Sorice, M.; Longo, A.; Capozzi, A.; Garofalo, T.; Misasi, R.; Alessandri, C.; Conti, F.; Buttari, B.; Riganò, R.; Ortona, E.; et al. Anti-β2-glycoprotein I antibodies induce monocyte reléase of tumor necrosis factor α and tissue factor by signal transduction pathways involving lipid rafts. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2007, *56*, 2687–2697. [CrossRef]
- 42. López-Pedrera, C.; Buendía, P.; Cuadrado, M.J.; Siendones, E.; Aguirre, M.A.; Barbarroja, N.; Montiel-Duarte, C.; Torres, A.; Khamashta, M.; Velasco, F. Antiphospholipid antibodies from patients with the antiphospholipid syndrome induce monocyte tissue factor expression through the simultaneous activation of NF-κB/Rel proteins via the p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway, and of the MEK-1/ERK pathway. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2006, *54*, 301–311. [PubMed]
- López-Pedrera, C.; Aguirre, M.A.; Buendía, P.; Barbarroja, N.; Ruiz-Limón, P.; Collantes-Estevez, E.; Velasco, F.; Khamshta, M.; Cuadrado, M.J. Differential expression of protease-activated receptors in monocytes from patients with primary antiphospholipid syndrome. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2010, *62*, 869–877. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Kefas, B.; Comeau, L.; Floyd, D.H.; Seleverstov, O.; Godlewski, J.; Schmittgen, T.; Jiang, J.; DiPierro, C.G.; Li, Y.; Chiocca, E.A.; et al. The neuronal microRNA miR-326 acts in a feedback loop with notch and has therapeutic potential against brain tumors. *J. Neurosci.* 2009, 29, 15161–15168. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 45. Sega, F.V.D.; Fortini, F.; Aquila, G.; Campo, G.; Vaccarezz, M.; Rizzo, P. Notch signaling regulates immune response in aterosclerosis. *Front. Immunol.* **2019**, *10*, 1130. [CrossRef]
- Fukuda, D.; Aikawa, E.; Swirski, F.K.; Novobrantseva, T.I.; Kotelianski, V.; Gorgun, C.Z.; Chudnovskiy, A.; Yamazaki, H.; Croce, K.; Weissleder, R.; et al. Notch ligand delta-like 4 blockade attenuates atherosclerosis and metabolic disorders. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2012, 109, E1868–E1877. [CrossRef]
- 47. Yu, S.; Huang, H.; Deng, G.; Xie, Z.; Ye, Y.; Guo, R.; Cai, X.; Hong, J.; Qian, D.; Zhou, X. miR-326 targets antiapoptotic Bcl-xL and mediates apoptosis in human platelets. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0122784. [CrossRef]
- Liao, Y.; Gordon, K.S.; Shi, W. The R package Rsubread is easier, faster, cheaper and better for alignment and quantification of RNA sequencing reads. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2019, 47, e47. [CrossRef]
- 49. Zerbino, D.R.; Achuthan, P.; Akanni, W.; Amode, M.R.; Barrell, D.; Bhai, J.; Billis, K.; Cummins, C.; Gall, A.; Girón, C.G.; et al. Ensembl 2018. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2018, 46, D754–D761. [CrossRef]
- 50. Anders, S.; Huber, W. Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. Genome Biol. 2010, 11, R106. [CrossRef]
- Livak, K.J.; Schmittgen, T.D. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2^{-ΔΔCT} Method. *Methods* 2001, 25, 402–408. [CrossRef]
- Pengo, V.; Tripodi, A.; Reber, G.; Rand, J.H.; Ortel, T.L.; Galli, M.; De Groot, P.G. Update of the guidelines for lupus anticoagulant detection. Subcommittee on Lupus Anticoagulant/ Antiphospholipid Antibody of the Scientific and Standardization Committee of the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis. J. Thromb. Haemost. 2009, 7, 1737–1740. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chometon, T.Q.; Siqueria, M.S.; Santanna, J.C.; Almeida, M.R.; Gandini, M.; de Almeida Nogueira, A.C.M.; Antas, P.R.Z. A protocol for rapid monocyte isolation and generation of singular human monocyte-derived dendritic cells. *PLoS ONE* 2020, 15, e0231132. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.