

Wafaa Y. Kota; Rabha Mohamed El-Ashwah

Some applications of subordination theorems associated with fractional  $q$ -calculus operator

*Mathematica Bohemica*, Vol. 148 (2023), No. 2, 131–148

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/151680>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2023

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

SOME APPLICATIONS OF SUBORDINATION THEOREMS  
ASSOCIATED WITH FRACTIONAL  $q$ -CALCULUS OPERATOR

WAFAA Y. KOTA, RABHA MOHAMED EL-ASHWAH, New Damietta

Received April 16, 2021. Published online May 2, 2022.  
Communicated by Grigore Sălăgean

*Abstract.* Using the operator  $\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}^m(\lambda, l)$ , we introduce the subclasses  $\mathfrak{Y}_{q,\varrho}^{*m}(l, \lambda, \gamma)$  and  $\mathfrak{K}_{q,\varrho}^{*m}(l, \lambda, \gamma)$  of normalized analytic functions. Among the results investigated for each of these function classes, we derive some subordination results involving the Hadamard product of the associated functions. The interesting consequences of some of these subordination results are also discussed. Also, we derive integral means results for these classes.

*Keywords:* analytic function; subordination principle; subordinating factor sequence; Hadamard product;  $q$ -difference operator; fractional  $q$ -calculus operator

*MSC 2020:* 30C45, 30C50

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  represent the class of functions analytic in  $\mathbb{D} = \{z: z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$  satisfying the normalized condition  $f'(0) - 1 = f(0) = 0$ . Each  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  has the following Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion of the form:

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} a_{\kappa} z^{\kappa}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $g(z) \in \mathcal{A}$  be defined by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} b_{\kappa} z^{\kappa},$$

and  $f(z)$  be given by (1.1), the convolution or Hadamard product  $(f \star g)$  is defined by

$$(f \star g)(z) = z + \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} a_{\kappa} b_{\kappa} z^{\kappa} = (g \star f)(z).$$

Note that  $(f \star g)$  is analytic and univalent in the open disc  $\mathbb{D}$ .

In the recent years, practical applications of  $q$ -calculus (quantum calculus) in the fields of  $q$ -difference equation, optimal control,  $q$ -transform analysis and number theory are an efficient area of research. Jackson (see [13], [14]) was the first to successfully develop  $q$ -integral and  $q$ -derivative in a systematic way and later geometrical interpretation of the  $q$ -analysis has been recognized through studies of quantum groups.

Fractional calculus appears more and more frequently for the modelling of relevant systems in several fields of applied sciences. Fractional  $q$ -calculus is the  $q$ -extension of ordinary fractional calculus. Researchers have claimed to construct and investigated several classes of analytic and bi-univalent functions and their interesting results are extremely numerous to discuss.

We initially present various definitions and notations in  $q$ -calculus which are useful to interpret the subject of this paper.

**Definition 1.2.** For  $q \in (0, 1)$ , the  $q$ -number  $n$  is given by

$$(1.2) \quad [n]_q = \begin{cases} \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q}, & n \in \mathbb{C}, \\ \sum_{\kappa=0}^{n-1} q^\kappa = 1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^{n-1}, & n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}, \\ 0, & n = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} [n]_q = n.$$

**Definition 1.3** ([24]). For  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , the  $q$ -shifted factorial is defined by

$$(1.3) \quad (\nu; q)_0 = 1, \quad (\nu; q)_n = \prod_{\kappa=0}^{n-1} (1 - \nu q^\kappa),$$

and in terms of the basic (or  $q$ -) gamma function

$$(q^\nu; q)_n = \frac{(1 - q)^n \Gamma_q(\nu + n)}{\Gamma_q(\nu)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

where the  $q$ -gamma function is defined by

$$\Gamma_q(z) = \frac{(1 - q)^{1-z} (q; q)_\infty}{(q^z; q)_\infty}, \quad |q| < 1.$$

We note that

$$(\nu; q)_\infty = \prod_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} (1 - \nu q^\kappa), \quad |q| < 1.$$

For the  $q$ -gamma function  $\Gamma_q(z)$ , it is known that (see [10])

$$\Gamma_q(z+1) = [z]_q \Gamma_q(z),$$

where  $[z]_q$  denotes by (1.2). It is also known, in terms of the classical gamma function  $\Gamma(z)$ , that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \Gamma_q(z) = \Gamma(z).$$

**Definition 1.4.** Jackson in [13] defined the  $q$ -derivative of a function  $f(z)$  of the form (1.1) as

$$(1.4) \quad D_q f(z) = \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{(1-q)z} = 1 + \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} [\kappa]_q a_{\kappa} z^{\kappa-1}, \quad z \neq 0,$$

where  $[\kappa]_q$  given by (1.2) and

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} D_q f(z) = f'(z).$$

A  $q$ -analog of the class of starlike functions was first introduced by Ismail et al. in [12] by means of the  $q$ -difference operator  $D_q f(z)$ ,  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $0 < q < 1$ .

**Definition 1.5.** Jackson in [13] introduced the  $q$ -integral of a function  $f(z)$  of the form (1.1) as

$$\int_0^z f(t) d_q t = z(1-q) \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} q^{\kappa} f(zq^{\kappa}),$$

provided that the series converges and

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \int_0^z f(t) d_q t = \int_0^z f(t) dt,$$

where  $\int_0^z f(t) dt$  is the ordinary integral.

**Definition 1.6** (Fractional  $q$ -integral operator, see [19], page 57, Definition 1). The fractional  $q$ -integral operator  $J_{q,z}^{\varrho}$  of a function  $f(z)$  of order  $\varrho$  is defined by (see also [1], page 257, Definition 1.1)

$$(1.5) \quad J_{q,z}^{\varrho} f(z) = D_{q,z}^{-\varrho} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\varrho)} \int_0^z (z-qt)_{\varrho-1} f(t) d_q t, \quad \varrho > 0,$$

where  $f(z)$  is analytic in a simply connected region of the  $z$ -plane containing the origin and the  $q$ -binomial function  $(z-qt)_{\varrho-1}$  is given by

$$(z-qt)_{\varrho-1} = z^{\varrho-1} \prod_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1 - (qt/z)q^{\kappa}}{1 - (qt/z)q^{\varrho+\kappa-1}} \right) = z^{\varrho-1} {}_1\Psi_0 \left[ q^{-\varrho+1}; -; q; \frac{tq^{\varrho}}{z} \right].$$

The series  ${}_1\Psi_0[\varrho; -, q, z]$  is single valued when  $|\arg(z)| < \pi$  and  $|z| < 1$  (for details see [10], pages 104–106). Therefore, the function  $(z - qt)_{\varrho-1}$  in (1.5) is single valued when  $|\arg(-q^\varrho t/z)| < \pi$ ,  $|q^\varrho t/z| < 1$  and  $|\arg(z)| < \pi$ .

**Definition 1.7** (Fractional  $q$ -derivative operator, see [19], page 58, Definition 2). The fractional  $q$ -derivative operator  $D_{q,z}^\varrho$  of a function  $f(z)$  of order  $\varrho$  is defined by (see also [1], page 257, Definition 1.2)

$$D_{q,z}^\varrho f(z) = D_{q,z} J_{q,z}^{1-\varrho} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(1-\varrho)} D_q \int_0^z (z-qt)_{-\varrho} f(t) d_q t, \quad 0 \leq \varrho < 1,$$

where  $f(z)$  is suitably constrained and the multiplicity of  $(z-tq)_{-\varrho}$  is removed as in Definition 1.6.

**Definition 1.8** (Extended fractional  $q$ -derivative operator, see [19], page 58, Definition 3). The fractional  $q$ -derivative operator  $D_{q,z}^\varrho$  of a function  $f(z)$  of order  $\varrho$  is defined by

$$D_{q,z}^\varrho f(z) = D_{q,z}^n J_{q,z}^{n-\varrho} f(z)$$

where  $n-1 \leq \varrho < n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .

Purohit and Raina (see [19], page 59) with  $n = 1$  defined a fractional  $q$ -differ-integral operator  $\Upsilon_{q,z}^\varrho f(z) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{q,z}^\varrho f(z) &= \frac{\Gamma_q(2-\varrho)}{\Gamma_q(2)} z^{\varrho-1} D_{q,z}^\varrho f(z) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_q(2-\varrho)\Gamma_q(\kappa+1)}{\Gamma_q(2)\Gamma_q(\kappa+1-\varrho)} a_\kappa z^{\kappa-1}, \quad \varrho < 2; 0 < q < 1; z \in \mathbb{D}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that the function  $\Upsilon_{q,z}^\varrho f(z)$  is defined by

$$(1.6) \quad \Upsilon_{q,z}^\varrho f(z) = z \Omega_{q,z}^\varrho f(z)$$

and  $\Upsilon_{q,z}^0 f(z) = f(z)$ .

Now we define a linear multiplier fractional  $q$ -differ-integral operator  $\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}^m(\lambda, l)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}^0(\lambda, l)f(z) &= f(z), \\ \mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}^1(\lambda, l)f(z) &= \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{l+1}\right) \Upsilon_{q,z}^\varrho f(z) + \frac{\lambda}{l+1} z D_q(\Upsilon_{q,z}^\varrho f(z)) = \mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}(\lambda, l)f(z) \\ &= z + \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\Gamma_q(2-\varrho)\Gamma_q(\kappa+1)}{\Gamma_q(2)\Gamma_q(\kappa+1-\varrho)} \frac{l+1 + \lambda([\kappa]_q - 1)}{l+1} \right) a_\kappa z^\kappa, \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.7) \quad \mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}^m(\lambda, l)f(z) = \mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}(\lambda, l)(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}^{m-1}(\lambda, l)f(z)),$$

where  $\lambda \geq 0$ ,  $l > -1$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\varrho < 2$  and  $0 < q < 1$ . It follows from (1.1) and (1.7) that

$$(1.8) \quad \mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}^m(\lambda, l)f(z) = z + \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(\kappa) a_{\kappa} z^{\kappa}$$

where

$$\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(\kappa) = \left( \frac{\Gamma_q(2-\varrho)\Gamma_q(\kappa+1)}{\Gamma_q(2)\Gamma_q(\kappa+1-\varrho)} \frac{l+1+\lambda([\kappa]_q-1)}{l+1} \right)^m.$$

By virtue of (1.6) and (1.8),  $\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}^m(\lambda, l)f(z)$  can be written in terms of convolution as

$$\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}^m(\lambda, l)f(z) = \underbrace{[(\Upsilon_{q,z}^{\varrho}(z) \star \mathfrak{G}_{l,\lambda}^q(z)) \star \dots \star (\Upsilon_{q,z}^{\varrho}(z) \star \mathfrak{G}_{l,\lambda}^q(z))]}_{m\text{-times}} \star f(z)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{G}_{l,\lambda}^q(z) = \frac{z - (1 - \lambda/(1+l))qz^2}{(1-z)(1-qz)}.$$

**Remark 1.1.** Note that the operator  $\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}^m(\lambda, l)$  generalizes several previously studied familiar operators, and we mention some of the interesting particular cases as

- (i) For  $l = 0$  we obtain the operator  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,q}^{\varrho,m}$  studied by Abelman et al. (see [1]);
- (ii) For  $l = 0$  and  $\varrho = 0$  we obtain the operator  $D_{\lambda,q}^m$  studied by Aouf et al. (see [4]);
- (iii) For  $l = 0$ ,  $\varrho = 0$  and  $\lambda = 1$  we obtain the operator  $\mathcal{S}_q^m$  studied by Govindaraj and Sivasubramanian (see [11]);
- (iv) For  $q \rightarrow 1^-$  we obtain the operator  $D_{l,\lambda}^{\varrho,m}$  studied by El-Ashwah et al. with  $q = 2$ ,  $s = 1$ ,  $\alpha_1 = 2$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 1$ ,  $\beta_1 = 2 - \varrho$  (see [9]);
- (v) For  $q \rightarrow 1^-$  and  $\varrho = 0$  we obtain the operator  $D_{l,\lambda}^m$  studied by Cătas (see [6]);
- (vi) For  $q \rightarrow 1^-$  and  $l = 0$  we obtain the operator  $D_{\lambda}^{\varrho,m}$  studied by Al-Oboudi and Al-Amoudi (see [3]);
- (vii) For  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ ,  $\varrho = 0$  and  $\lambda = 1$  we obtain the operator  $I_l^m$ ,  $l \geq 0$ , studied by Cho and Srivastava (see [7]);
- (viii) For  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ ,  $\varrho = 0$  and  $l = 0$  we obtain the operator  $D_{\lambda}^m$  studied by Al-Oboudi (see [2]);
- (ix) For  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ ,  $\varrho = 0$ ,  $\lambda = 1$  and  $l = 0$  we obtain the operator  $D^m$  studied by Sălăgean (see [20]);
- (x) For  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ ,  $l = \lambda = 0$  and  $m = 1$  we obtain the operator  $D_{\varrho}$  studied by Owa and Srivastava (see [18]).

Making use of the linear multiplier fractional  $q$ -differ-integral operator given by (1.8), we introduce the subclass  $\mathfrak{Y}_{q,\varrho}^m(l, \lambda, \gamma)$  of  $q$ -starlike functions of order  $\gamma$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  and the subclass  $\mathfrak{K}_{q,\varrho}^m(l, \lambda, \gamma)$  of  $q$ -convex functions of order  $\gamma$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  as

$$(1.9) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{z D_q(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}^m(\lambda, l)f(z))}{\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}^m(\lambda, l)f(z)} \right) < \gamma, \quad 0 < q < 1, \quad \gamma > 1, \quad 0 \leq \varrho < 2,$$

or, equivalently

$$\left| \left( \frac{zD_q(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}^m(\lambda, l)f(z))}{\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}^m(\lambda, l)f(z)} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{zD_q(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}^m(\lambda, l)f(z))}{\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}^m(\lambda, l)f(z)} - (2\gamma - 1) \right)^{-1} \right| < 1$$

and

$$(1.10) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{D_q(zD_q(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}^m(\lambda, l)f(z)))}{D_q(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}^m(\lambda, l)f(z))} \right) < \gamma, \quad 0 < q < 1, \gamma > 1, 0 \leq \varrho < 2,$$

or, equivalently

$$\left| \left( \frac{D_q(zD_q(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}^m(\lambda, l)f(z)))}{D_q(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}^m(\lambda, l)f(z))} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{D_q(zD_q(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}^m(\lambda, l)f(z)))}{D_q(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}^m(\lambda, l)f(z))} - (2\gamma - 1) \right)^{-1} \right| < 1,$$

respectively, where  $\lambda \geq 0$ ,  $l > -1$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ . From (1.9) and (1.10), it follows that  $\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}^m(\lambda, l)f(z) \in \mathfrak{K}_{q,\varrho}^m(l, \lambda, \gamma) \Leftrightarrow zD_q(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}^m(\lambda, l)f(z)) \in \mathfrak{Y}_{q,\varrho}^m(l, \lambda, \gamma)$ .

We note that:

(i)  $\mathfrak{Y}_{q,\varrho}^1(0, 0, \gamma) = \mathfrak{Y}_{q,\varrho}(\gamma)$  and  $\mathfrak{K}_{q,\varrho}^1(0, 0, \gamma) = \mathfrak{K}_{q,\varrho}(\gamma)$  if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{zD_q(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}f(z))}{\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}f(z)} \right) < \gamma, \quad 0 < q < 1, \gamma > 1, 0 \leq \varrho < 2,$$

or, equivalently

$$\left| \left( \frac{zD_q(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}f(z))}{\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}f(z)} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{zD_q(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}f(z))}{\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}f(z)} - (2\gamma - 1) \right)^{-1} \right| < 1$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{D_q(zD_q(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}f(z)))}{D_q(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}f(z))} \right) < \gamma, \quad 0 < q < 1, \gamma > 1, 0 \leq \varrho < 2,$$

or, equivalently

$$\left| \left( \frac{D_q(zD_q(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}f(z)))}{D_q(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}f(z))} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{D_q(zD_q(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}f(z)))}{D_q(\mathfrak{D}_{q,\varrho}f(z))} - (2\gamma - 1) \right)^{-1} \right| < 1,$$

respectively;

(ii)  $\mathfrak{Y}_{q,0}^m(l, \lambda, \gamma) = \mathfrak{Y}_q^m(l, \lambda, \gamma)$  and  $\mathfrak{K}_{q,0}^m(l, \lambda, \gamma) = \mathfrak{K}_q^m(l, \lambda, \gamma)$  if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{zD_q(\mathfrak{D}_q^m(\lambda, l)f(z))}{\mathfrak{D}_q^m(\lambda, l)f(z)} \right) < \gamma, \quad 0 < q < 1, \gamma > 1,$$

or, equivalently

$$\left| \left( \frac{zD_q(\mathfrak{D}_q^m(\lambda, l)f(z))}{\mathfrak{D}_q^m(\lambda, l)f(z)} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{zD_q(\mathfrak{D}_q^m(\lambda, l)f(z))}{\mathfrak{D}_q^m(\lambda, l)f(z)} - (2\gamma - 1) \right)^{-1} \right| < 1$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{D_q(zD_q(\mathfrak{D}_q^m(\lambda, l)f(z)))}{D_q(\mathfrak{D}_q^m(\lambda, l)f(z))}\right) < \gamma, \quad 0 < q < 1, \quad \gamma > 1,$$

or, equivalently

$$\left|\left(\frac{D_q(zD_q(\mathfrak{D}_q^m(\lambda, l)f(z)))}{D_q(\mathfrak{D}_q^m(\lambda, l)f(z))} - 1\right)\left(\frac{D_q(zD_q(\mathfrak{D}_q^m(\lambda, l)f(z)))}{D_q(\mathfrak{D}_q^m(\lambda, l)f(z))} - (2\gamma - 1)\right)^{-1}\right| < 1,$$

respectively, where  $\lambda \geq 0$ ,  $l > -1$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ;

(iii)  $\mathfrak{Y}_{q,0}^m(0, \lambda, \gamma) = E_q(m, \lambda, \gamma)$  and  $\mathfrak{K}_{q,0}^m(0, \lambda, \gamma) = G_q(m, \lambda, \gamma)$  (see Aouf et al. [4]);

(iv)  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathfrak{Y}_{q,\varrho}^1(0, 0, \gamma) = \mathfrak{Y}_\varrho(\gamma)$  and  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathfrak{K}_{q,\varrho}^1(0, 0, \gamma) = \mathfrak{K}_\varrho(\gamma)$  if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z(\mathfrak{D}_\varrho f(z))'}{\mathfrak{D}_\varrho f(z)}\right) < \gamma, \quad \gamma > 1, \quad 0 \leq \varrho < 2,$$

or, equivalently

$$\left|\left(\frac{z(\mathfrak{D}_\varrho f(z))'}{\mathfrak{D}_\varrho f(z)} - 1\right)\left(\frac{z(\mathfrak{D}_\varrho f(z))'}{\mathfrak{D}_\varrho f(z)} - (2\gamma - 1)\right)^{-1}\right| < 1$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(1 + \frac{z(\mathfrak{D}_\varrho f(z))''}{(\mathfrak{D}_\varrho f(z))'}\right) < \gamma, \quad \gamma > 1, \quad 0 \leq \varrho < 2,$$

or, equivalently

$$\left|\left(\frac{z(\mathfrak{D}_\varrho f(z))''}{(\mathfrak{D}_\varrho f(z))'}\right)\left(\frac{z(\mathfrak{D}_\varrho f(z))''}{(\mathfrak{D}_\varrho f(z))'} - 2(\gamma - 1)\right)^{-1}\right| < 1,$$

respectively;

(v)  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathfrak{Y}_{q,0}^m(l, \lambda, \gamma) = \mathfrak{Y}^m(l, \lambda, \gamma)$  and  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathfrak{K}_{q,0}^m(l, \lambda, \gamma) = \mathfrak{K}^m(l, \lambda, \gamma)$  if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z(\mathfrak{D}^m(\lambda, l)f(z))'}{\mathfrak{D}^m(\lambda, l)f(z)}\right) < \gamma, \quad \gamma > 1, \quad \lambda \geq 0, \quad l > -1, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

or, equivalently

$$\left|\left(\frac{z(\mathfrak{D}^m(\lambda, l)f(z))'}{\mathfrak{D}^m(\lambda, l)f(z)} - 1\right)\left(\frac{z(\mathfrak{D}^m(\lambda, l)f(z))'}{\mathfrak{D}^m(\lambda, l)f(z)} - (2\gamma - 1)\right)^{-1}\right| < 1$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(1 + \frac{z(\mathfrak{D}^m(\lambda, l)f(z))''}{(\mathfrak{D}^m(\lambda, l)f(z))'}\right) < \gamma, \quad \gamma > 1, \quad \lambda \geq 0, \quad l > -1, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

or, equivalently

$$\left|\left(\frac{z(\mathfrak{D}^m(\lambda, l)f(z))''}{(\mathfrak{D}^m(\lambda, l)f(z))'}\right)\left(\frac{z(\mathfrak{D}^m(\lambda, l)f(z))''}{(\mathfrak{D}^m(\lambda, l)f(z))'} - 2(\gamma - 1)\right)^{-1}\right| < 1,$$

respectively;



(vi)  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathfrak{Y}_{q,\varrho}^0(l, \lambda, \gamma) = M(\gamma)$  and  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathfrak{K}_{q,\varrho}^0(l, \lambda, \gamma) = N(\gamma)$ ,  $\gamma > 1$  (see Nishiwaki and Owa [16]);

(vii)  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathfrak{Y}_{q,\varrho}^0(l, \lambda, \gamma) = M(\gamma)$  and  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathfrak{K}_{q,\varrho}^0(l, \lambda, \gamma) = N(\gamma)$ ,  $1 < \gamma \leq \frac{4}{3}$  (see Urlegaddi et al. [26]).

**Definition 1.9** (Subordination Principle, see [8], Chapter 6, page 190). For two functions  $f$  and  $g$ , analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$ , we say that the function  $f$  is subordinate to  $g$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ , and write

$$f \prec g \quad \text{or} \quad f(z) \prec g(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

if there exists a Schwarz function  $\varphi(z)$  analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  with

$$\varphi(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\varphi(z)| < 1, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(\varphi(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

In particular, if the function  $g(z)$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{D}$ , the above subordination is equivalent to

$$f(0) = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(\mathbb{D}) \subset g(\mathbb{D}).$$

**Definition 1.10** (Subordinating Factor Sequence). An infinite sequence  $\{c_\kappa\}_{\kappa=1}^\infty$  of complex numbers is said to be a subordinating factor sequence if, whenever  $f(z)$  of the form (1.1) is analytic, univalent and convex in  $\mathbb{D}$ , we have the subordination given by

$$(1.11) \quad \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\infty} a_\kappa c_\kappa z^\kappa \prec f(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}; \quad a_1 = 1.$$

A finite sequence  $\{c_\kappa\}_{\kappa=1}^n$  is said to be a subordinating factor sequence if (1.1) implies (1.11) whenever  $c_{n+1} = c_{n+2} = \dots = 0$ . The class of such infinite sequences will be denoted by  $\mathcal{F}$ , and the class of sequences of length  $n$  by  $\mathcal{F}_n$ .

**Lemma 1.1** ([27], page 690, Theorem 2). *The sequence  $\{c_\kappa\}_{\kappa=1}^\infty$  of complex numbers is a subordinating factor sequence if and only if*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + 2 \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\infty} c_\kappa z^\kappa \right) > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Following the technique of Owa and Nishiwaki (see [17]), we can obtain the following lemmas:

**Lemma 1.2.** *If  $f(z)$  satisfies the coefficient inequality*

$$(1.12) \quad \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} (([\kappa]_q - 1) + |[\kappa]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(\kappa) |a_\kappa| \leq 2(\gamma - 1),$$

$$\lambda \geq 0, l > -1, \gamma > 1, m \in \mathbb{N}_0, 0 < q < 1, \varrho < 2,$$

then  $f(z) \in \mathfrak{Y}_{q,\varrho}^m(l, \lambda, \gamma)$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** *If  $f(z)$  satisfies the coefficient inequality*

$$(1.13) \quad \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} [\kappa]_q (([\kappa]_q - 1) + |[\kappa]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(\kappa) |a_\kappa| \leq 2(\gamma - 1),$$

$$\lambda \geq 0, l > -1, \gamma > 1, m \in \mathbb{N}_0, 0 < q < 1, \varrho < 2,$$

then  $f(z) \in \mathfrak{K}_{q,\varrho}^m(l, \lambda, \gamma)$ .

In view of Lemma 1.2 and Lemma 1.3, we define the subclasses  $\mathfrak{Y}_{q,\varrho}^{*m}(l, \lambda, \gamma) \subset \mathfrak{Y}_{q,\varrho}^m(l, \lambda, \gamma)$  and  $\mathfrak{K}_{q,\varrho}^{*m}(l, \lambda, \gamma) \subset \mathfrak{K}_{q,\varrho}^m(l, \lambda, \gamma)$  which consist of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  whose coefficients satisfy the inequality (1.12) and (1.13), respectively.

Here we investigate some subordination results for the functions in the classes  $\mathfrak{Y}_{q,\varrho}^{*m}(l, \lambda, \gamma)$  and  $\mathfrak{K}_{q,\varrho}^{*m}(l, \lambda, \gamma)$  employing the technique used earlier by Attiya (see [5]); Srivastava and Attiya (see [25]). Also, we derive integral means results for these classes.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $f(z) \in \mathfrak{Y}_{q,\varrho}^{*m}(l, \lambda, \gamma)$  and let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the familiar class of functions belong to  $\mathcal{A}$  which are univalent and convex in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then*

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{2((( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))} (f \star g)(z) \prec g(z),$$

$$\lambda \geq 0, l > -1, \gamma > 1, m \in \mathbb{N}_0, 0 < q < 1, 0 \leq \varrho < 2$$

for every function  $g \in \mathcal{K}$ . Further,

$$(2.2) \quad \operatorname{Re}(f(z)) > - \frac{((( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))}{(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

The constant factor

$$\frac{((( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2))}{2((( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))}$$

is the best estimate.

Proof. Let  $f(z) \in \mathfrak{Y}_{q,\varrho}^{*m}(l, \lambda, \gamma)$ , and suppose that

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} c_{\kappa} z^{\kappa} \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{2((( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))} (f \star g)(z) \\ &= \frac{(( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{2((( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))} \left( z + \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} a_{\kappa} c_{\kappa} z^{\kappa} \right) \end{aligned}$$

By Definition 1.10, the subordination result holds true if

$$\left\{ \frac{(( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{2((( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))} a_{\kappa} \right\}_{\kappa=1}^{\infty}$$

is a subordinating factor sequence with  $a_1 = 1$ . In view of Lemma 1.1, this is equivalent to the next inequality:

$$(2.3) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\infty} \frac{(( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{((( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))} a_{\kappa} z^{\kappa} \right) > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Since  $\Phi(\kappa) = (( [\kappa ]_q - 1) + |[\kappa]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(\kappa)$  is an increasing function of  $\kappa$  ( $\kappa > 2$ ), we have, for  $|z| = r < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} (2.4) \quad & \operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\infty} \frac{(( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{((( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))} a_{\kappa} z^{\kappa} \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{(( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{((( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))} z \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} \frac{(( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{((( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))} a_{\kappa} z^{\kappa} \right) \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{(( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{((( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))} r \\ &\quad - \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} \frac{(( [\kappa ]_q - 1) + |[\kappa]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(\kappa)}{((( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))} |a_{\kappa}| r^{\kappa} \\ &> 1 - \frac{(( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{((( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))} r \\ &\quad - \frac{2(\gamma - 1)}{((( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|) \Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))} r \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

where we use the assertion (1.12) of Lemma 1.2. This evidently proves the inequality (2.3) and hence also the subordination result (2.1) asserted by Theorem 2.1. The inequality (2.2) follows from (2.1) by taking

$$(2.5) \quad g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z} = z + \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} z^{\kappa} \in \mathcal{K}.$$

To prove the sharpness of the constant

$$\frac{(([\![2\!]_q - 1) + |[\![2\!]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|])\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{2(((\![2\!]_q - 1) + |[\![2\!]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|])\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))},$$

we consider the function  $\mathcal{H} \in \mathfrak{Y}_{q,\varrho}^{*m}(l, \lambda, \gamma)$  defined by

$$\mathcal{H}(z) = z - \frac{2(\gamma - 1)}{(([\![2\!]_q - 1) + |[\![2\!]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|])\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)} z^2.$$

Thus, from (2.1), we have

$$\frac{(([\![2\!]_q - 1) + |[\![2\!]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|])\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{2(((\![2\!]_q - 1) + |[\![2\!]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|])\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))} \mathcal{H}(z) \prec \frac{z}{1-z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

It is easily verified that

$$\min_{|z|=r \leq 1} \left\{ \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{(([\![2\!]_q - 1) + |[\![2\!]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|])\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{2(((\![2\!]_q - 1) + |[\![2\!]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|])\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))} \mathcal{H}(z) \right) \right\} = -\frac{1}{2}.$$

This shows that the constant

$$\frac{(([\![2\!]_q - 1) + |[\![2\!]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|])\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{2(((\![2\!]_q - 1) + |[\![2\!]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|])\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))}$$

cannot be replaced by any larger one. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is completed.  $\square$

Putting  $l = \lambda = 0$  and  $m = 1$  in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $f(z) \in \mathfrak{Y}_{q,\varrho}^*(\gamma)$  and let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the familiar class of functions belonging to  $\mathcal{A}$  which are univalent and convex in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then*

$$\frac{(([\![2\!]_q - 1) + |[\![2\!]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|])\Theta_{q,\varrho}(2)}{2(((\![2\!]_q - 1) + |[\![2\!]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|])\Theta_{q,\varrho}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))} (f \star g)(z) \prec g(z),$$

$\gamma > 1$ ,  $0 < q < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \varrho < 2$  for every function  $g \in \mathcal{K}$ . Further,

$$\operatorname{Re}(f(z)) > -\frac{(([\![2\!]_q - 1) + |[\![2\!]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|])\Theta_{q,\varrho}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1)}{(([\![2\!]_q - 1) + |[\![2\!]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|])\Theta_{q,\varrho}(2)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

The constant factor

$$\frac{(([\![2\!]_q - 1) + |[\![2\!]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|])\Theta_{q,\varrho}(2)}{2(((\![2\!]_q - 1) + |[\![2\!]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|])\Theta_{q,\varrho}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))}$$

is the best estimate.

Putting  $\varrho = 0$  in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $f(z) \in \mathfrak{Y}_q^{*m}(l, \lambda, \gamma)$  and let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the familiar class of functions belonging to  $\mathcal{A}$  which are univalent and convex in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then*

$$\frac{(( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_q^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{2((( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_q^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))}(f \star g)(z) \prec g(z),$$

$\lambda \geq 0, l > -1, \gamma > 1, m \in \mathbb{N}_0, 0 < q < 1$  for every function  $g \in \mathcal{K}$ . Further,

$$\operatorname{Re}(f(z)) > -\frac{(( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_q^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1)}{((( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_q^{m,\lambda,l}(2))}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

*The constant factor*

$$\frac{(( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_q^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{2((( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_q^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))}$$

*is the best estimate.*

**Remark 2.1.**

- ▷ Putting  $q \rightarrow 1^-$  and  $m = 0$  in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the result which was obtained by Srivastava and Attiya in [25], page 3, Theorem 1, with  $\lambda = 1$ ;
- ▷ Putting  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ ,  $m = 0$  and  $1 < \gamma \leq \frac{3}{2}$  in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the result which was obtained by Srivastava and Attiya, see [25], page 5, Corollary 2.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $f(z) \in \mathfrak{K}_{q,\varrho}^{*m}(l, \lambda, \gamma)$  and let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the familiar class of functions belonging to  $\mathcal{A}$  which are univalent and convex in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then*

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{[2]_q(( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{2([2]_q(( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))}(f \star g)(z) \prec g(z),$$

$$\lambda \geq 0, l > -1, \gamma > 1, m \in \mathbb{N}_0, 0 < q < 1, 0 \leq \varrho < 2$$

for every function  $g \in \mathcal{K}$ . Further,

$$(2.7) \quad \operatorname{Re}(f(z)) > -\frac{[2]_q(( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1)}{[2]_q(( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

*The constant factor*

$$\frac{[2]_q(( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{2([2]_q(( [2 ]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))}$$

*is the best estimate.*

Proof. Let  $f(z) \in \mathfrak{K}_{q,\varrho}^{*m}(l, \lambda, \gamma)$ , and suppose that

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} c_{\kappa} z^{\kappa} \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{2([2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))} (f \star g)(z) \\ &= \frac{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{2([2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))} \left( z + \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} a_{\kappa} c_{\kappa} z^{\kappa} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Definition 1.10, the subordination result holds true if

$$\left\{ \frac{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{2([2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))} a_{\kappa} \right\}_{\kappa=1}^{\infty}$$

is a subordinating factor sequence with  $a_1 = 1$ . In view of Lemma 1.1, this is equivalent to the next inequality:

$$(2.8) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\infty} \frac{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{([2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))} a_{\kappa} z^{\kappa} \right) > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Since  $\Phi(\kappa) = [\kappa]_q(( [\kappa]_q - 1) + |[\kappa]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(\kappa)$  is an increasing function of  $\kappa$  ( $\kappa > 2$ ), we have, for  $|z| = r < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} (2.9) \quad & \operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\infty} \frac{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1)} a_{\kappa} z^{\kappa} \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1)} z \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} \frac{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1)} a_{\kappa} z^{\kappa} \right) \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1)} r \\ & \quad - \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\kappa]_q(( [\kappa]_q - 1) + |[\kappa]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(\kappa)}{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1)} |a_{\kappa}| r^{\kappa} \\ &> 1 - \frac{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1)} r \\ & \quad - \frac{2(\gamma - 1)}{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1)} r \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

where we use the assertion (1.13) of Lemma 1.3. This evidently proves the inequality (2.8) and hence also the subordination result (2.6) asserted by Theorem 2.2. The inequality (2.7) follows from (2.6) by taking

$$(2.10) \quad g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z} = z + \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} z^{\kappa} \in \mathcal{K}.$$

To prove the sharpness of the constant

$$\frac{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{2([2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))},$$

we consider the function  $\mathcal{G} \in \mathfrak{K}_q^{*m}(l, \lambda, \gamma)$  defined by

$$\mathcal{G}(z) = z - \frac{2(\gamma - 1)}{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)} z^2.$$

Thus, from (2.6), we have

$$\frac{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{2([2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))} \mathcal{G}(z) \prec \frac{z}{1-z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

It is easily verified that

$$\min \left\{ \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{2([2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))} \mathcal{G}(z) \right) \right\} = -\frac{1}{2}.$$

This shows that the constant

$$\frac{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{2([2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))}$$

cannot be replaced by any larger one. The proof of Theorem 2.2 is completed.  $\square$

Putting  $l = \lambda = 0$  and  $m = 1$  in Theorem 2.2, we obtain the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $f(z) \in \mathfrak{K}_{q,\varrho}^*(\gamma)$  and let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the familiar class of functions belong to  $\mathcal{A}$  which are univalent and convex in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then*

$$\frac{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}(2)}{2([2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))} (f \star g)(z) \prec g(z),$$

$\gamma > 1$ ,  $0 < q < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \varrho < 2$  for every function  $g \in \mathcal{K}$ . Further,

$$\operatorname{Re}(f(z)) > -\frac{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1)}{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}(2)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

The constant factor

$$\frac{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}(2)}{2([2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))}$$

is the best estimate.

Putting  $\varrho = 0$  in Theorem 2.2, we obtain the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $f(z) \in \mathfrak{K}_q^{*m}(l, \lambda, \gamma)$  and let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the familiar class of functions belong to  $\mathcal{A}$  which are univalent and convex in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then*

$$\frac{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_q^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{2([2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_q^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))}(f \star g)(z) \prec g(z),$$

$$\lambda \geq 0, l > -1, \gamma > 1, m \in \mathbb{N}_0, 0 < q < 1$$

for every function  $g \in \mathcal{K}$ . Further,

$$\operatorname{Re}(f(z)) > -\frac{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_q^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1)}{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_q^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

The constant factor

$$\frac{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_q^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{2([2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_q^{m,\lambda,l}(2) + 2(\gamma - 1))}$$

is the best estimate.

**Remark 2.2.**

- ▷ Putting  $q \rightarrow 1^-$  and  $m = 0$  in Theorem 2.2, we obtain the result which was obtained by Srivastava and Attiya in [25], page 5, Theorem 2, with  $\lambda = 1$ ;
- ▷ Putting  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ ,  $m = 0$  and  $1 < \gamma \leq \frac{3}{2}$  in Theorem 2.2, we obtain the result which was obtained by Srivastava and Attiya, see [25], page 6, Corollary 4.

### 3. INTEGRAL MEANS INEQUALITIES

**Lemma 3.1** ([15], Theorem 2, page 484). *If the functions  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  are analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $g(z) \prec f(z)$ , then*

$$(3.1) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} |g(re^{i\theta})|^\sigma d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^\sigma d\theta, \quad \sigma > 0, 0 < r < 1.$$

Silverman in [21] found that the function  $f_2(z) = z - z^2/2$  is often extremal over the family  $\mathcal{T}$  denoting the subset of  $\mathcal{A}$  comprising of functions

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} |a_\kappa| z^\kappa, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$



and applied this function to resolve his integral means inequality, conjectured in [22] and settled in [23], that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^\sigma d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f_2(re^{i\theta})|^\sigma d\theta, \quad \sigma > 0, \quad 0 < r < 1, \quad f \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Applying Lemma 1.2 and Lemma 3.1, we prove the following result:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $f(z) \in \mathfrak{A}_{q,\varrho}^{*m}(l, \lambda, \gamma) \cap \mathcal{T}$  and  $f_2(z)$  is defined by*

$$f_2(z) = z - \frac{2(\gamma - 1)}{(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)} z^2,$$

then we have

$$(3.2) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} |f(z)|^\sigma d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f_2(z)|^\sigma d\theta, \quad \sigma > 0, \quad z = re^{i\theta}, \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

*Proof.* For  $f(z) = z - \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} |a_\kappa| z^\kappa$ , the inequality (3.2) is equivalent to proving that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} |a_\kappa| z^{\kappa-1} \right|^\sigma d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \frac{2(\gamma - 1)}{(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)} z \right|^\sigma d\theta.$$

By Lemma 3.1, it suffices to show that

$$1 - \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} |a_\kappa| z^{\kappa-1} \prec 1 - \frac{2(\gamma - 1)}{(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)} z.$$

Setting

$$1 - \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} |a_\kappa| z^{\kappa-1} = 1 - \frac{2(\gamma - 1)}{(( [\kappa]_q - 1) + |[\kappa]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(\kappa)} \varphi(z),$$

and using (1.12), we obtain that  $\varphi(z)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$ ,  $\varphi(0) = 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(z)| &= \left| \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} \frac{(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)}{2(\gamma - 1)} |a_\kappa| z^{\kappa-1} \right| \\ &\leq |z| \sum_{\kappa=2}^{\infty} \frac{(( [\kappa]_q - 1) + |[\kappa]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(\kappa)}{2(\gamma - 1)} |a_\kappa| \leq |z|. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed.  $\square$

Our proof of Theorem 3.2 below is much akin to that of Theorem 3.1. Here we make use of Lemma 1.3.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $f(z) \in \mathfrak{R}_{q,\varrho}^{*m}(l, \lambda, \gamma) \cap \mathcal{T}$  and  $f_2(z)$  be defined by

$$f_2(z) = z - \frac{2(\gamma - 1)}{[2]_q(( [2]_q - 1) + |[2]_q + 1 - 2\gamma|)\Theta_{q,\varrho}^{m,\lambda,l}(2)} z^2;$$

then we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(z)|^\sigma d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f_2(z)|^\sigma d\theta, \quad \sigma > 0, \quad z = re^{i\theta}, \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

**Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to thank the referees of the paper for their helpful suggestions.

### References

- [1] *S. Abelman, K. A. Selvakumaran, M. M. Rashidi, S. D. Purohit*: Subordination conditions for a class of non-Bazilevič type defined by using fractional  $q$ -calculus operators. *Facta Univ., Ser. Math. Inf.* *32* (2017), 255–267. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [2] *F. M. Al-Oboudi*: On univalent functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* *2004* (2004), 1429–1436. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [3] *F. M. Al-Oboudi, K. A. Al-Amoudi*: On classes of analytic functions related to conic domains. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* *339* (2008), 655–667. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [4] *M. K. Aouf, A. O. Mostafa, R. E. Elmorsy*: Certain subclasses of analytic functions with varying arguments associated with  $q$ -difference operator. *Afr. Mat.* *32* (2021), 621–630. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [5] *A. A. Attiya*: On some applications of a subordination theorem. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* *311* (2005), 489–494. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [6] *A. Cătaș*: On certain classes of  $p$ -valent functions defined by multiplier transformations. *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Geometric Function Theory and Applications* (S. Owa, Y. Polatoglu, eds.). Istanbul Kültür University Publications, Istanbul, 2007, pp. 241–250.
- [7] *N. E. Cho, H. M. Srivastava*: Argument estimates of certain analytic functions defined by a class of multiplier transformations. *Math. Comput. Modelling* *37* (2003), 39–49. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [8] *P. L. Duren*: *Univalent Functions*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 259. Springer, New York, 1983. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [9] *R. M. El-Ashwah, M. K. Aouf, A. Shamandy, E. E. Ali*: Subordination results for some subclasses of analytic functions. *Math. Bohem.* *136* (2011), 311–331. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [10] *G. Gasper, M. Rahman*: *Basic Hypergeometric Series*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications 35. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [11] *M. Govindaraj, S. Sivasubramanian*: On a class of analytic functions related to conic domains involving  $q$ -calculus. *Anal. Math.* *43* (2017), 475–487. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [12] *M. E. H. Ismail, E. Merkes, D. Styer*: A generalization of starlike functions. *Complex Variables, Theory Appl.* *14* (1990), 77–84. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [13] *F. H. Jackson*: On  $q$ -definite integrals. *Quart. J.* *41* (1910), 193–203. [zbl](#)
- [14] *F. H. Jackson*:  $q$ -difference equations. *Am. J. Math.* *32* (1910), 305–314. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [15] *J. E. Littlewood*: On inequalities in the theory of functions. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) *23* (1925), 481–519. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [16] *J. Nishiwaki, S. Owa*: Coefficient inequalities for certain analytic functions. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* *29* (2002), 285–290. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)

- [17] *S. Owa, J. Nishiwaki*: Coefficient estimates for certain classes of analytic functions. JIPAM, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. *3* (2002), Article ID 72, 5 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [18] *S. Owa, H. M. Srivastava*: Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions. Can. J. Math. *39* (1987), 1057–1077. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [19] *S. D. Purohit, R. K. Raina*: Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with fractional  $q$ -calculus operators. Math. Scand. *109* (2011), 55–70. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [20] *G. S. Sălăgean*: Subclasses of univalent functions. Complex Analysis - Fifth Romanian-Finnish Seminar. Part 1. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1013. Springer, Berlin, 1983, pp. 362–372. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [21] *H. Silverman*: Univalent functions with negative coefficients. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. *51* (1975), 109–116. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [22] *H. Silverman*: A survey with open problems on univalent functions whose coefficients are negative. Rocky Mt. J. Math. *21* (1991), 1099–1125. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [23] *H. Silverman*: Integral means for univalent functions with negative coefficients. Houston J. Math. *23* (1997), 169–174. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [24] *H. M. Srivastava*: Operators of basic (or  $q$ -) calculus and fractional  $q$ -calculus and their applications in geometric function theory of complex analysis. Iran. J. Sci. Technol., Trans. A, Sci. *44* (2020), 327–344. [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [25] *H. M. Srivastava, A. A. Attiya*: Some subordination results associated with certain subclasses of analytic functions. JIPAM, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. *5* (2004), Article ID 82, 6 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [26] *B. A. Uralegaddi, M. D. Ganigi, S. M. Sarangi*: Univalent functions with positive coefficients. Tamkang J. Math. *25* (1994), 225–230. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [27] *H. S. Wilf*: Subordinating factor sequences for convex maps of the unit circle. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. *12* (1961), 689–693. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)

*Authors' address: Wafaa Y. Kota, Rabha Mohamed El-Ashwah*, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Damietta University, New Damietta, 34511, Egypt, e-mail: [wafaa\\_kota@yahoo.com](mailto:wafaa_kota@yahoo.com), [r\\_elashwah@yahoo.com](mailto:r_elashwah@yahoo.com).