

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Lizard thermoregulation revisited after two decades of global warming

José A. Díaz¹  | Raúl Izquierdo-Santiago¹ | Alejandro Llanos-Garrido^{1,2} 

¹Department of Biodiversity, Ecology and Evolution, Universidad Complutense de Madrid

²Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

Correspondence

José A. Díaz

Email: jadiaz@ucm.es

Funding information

Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Grant/Award Number: PROYECTO/AEI/10.13039/501100011033

Handling Editor: Timothy Higham

Abstract

1. Although the effects of global warming on thermoregulation are usually explored using predictions of climate envelop modelling, such effects should best be analysed empirically, studying the same population with the same methods after a long enough period of temperature rise.
2. We used a 30-year long database about body temperatures (T_b s) of field-active *Psammodromus algirus* lizards inhabiting a well-conserved temperate open forest, and we focused on the summers of 1997 and 2017 to compare T_b s, environmental operative temperatures (T_e s), their proximity to the selected thermal range (T_{sel}), and the selection of sunlit and shaded patches all along the day. From these data, we estimated the precision (standard deviation of T_b s), accuracy (average distance between T_b s and T_{sel}) and effectiveness (extent to which T_b s are closer to T_{sel} than T_e s) of thermoregulation.
3. Of the highest 5% of all T_b s in the database, 95% were recorded in 2017, when the adjustment to T_{sel} was much better for T_b s selected in a laboratory thermogradient than for field T_b s (percentages of T_b s above T_{sel} of 2% and 52% respectively).
4. In 2017, especially after 12:00h, the selection of shaded patches (87% of lizards in full shade vs. <1% in full sun) was more intense than in 1997, contributed more to overall thermoregulation, and produced a larger difference between T_e s and T_b s. In spite of this, T_b s were lower—and closer to T_{sel} —in 1997 (when most shaded patches offered favourable thermal opportunities, with T_e s within or below T_{sel}) than in 2017 (when only 33% of full shade T_e s, and 8% of all T_e s, were within or below T_{sel}). As a consequence, estimates of the accuracy and effectiveness of thermoregulation decreased over the 20-year period examined.
5. We conclude that given the low availability of T_e s within or below T_{sel} , lizards cannot longer prevent the rise of their T_b s above T_{sel} , at least in hot summer days. Thus, the effects of global warming are already hindering the ability of lizards to buffer environmental change by behavioural means, even in temperate forests with a fine-grained mosaic of sun and shade patches.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

© 2022 The Authors. *Functional Ecology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of British Ecological Society.

KEYWORDS

accuracy of thermoregulation, climate change, habitat thermal quality, lacertid lizards, microhabitat selection, temperature trends

1 | INTRODUCTION

Temperature is one of the most relevant environmental factors that control biological processes and determine the distribution of all life-forms (Angilletta, 2009). Thermal effects span all levels of biological organization, from the catalytic activity of enzymes (Daniel & Danson, 2010) to whole-organism performance traits such as sprint speed (Bauwens et al., 1995; Van Berkum, 1986; Zamora-Camacho et al., 2015), escape efficiency (Christian & Tracy, 1981) or foraging performance (Angilletta et al., 2002; Díaz, 1994; Hu et al., 2019; Van Damme et al., 1991). Temperature shapes both the relationship between phenotypic traits and performance (e.g., by controlling the velocity, force and duration of skeletal muscle contraction; Niu et al., 2021) and the effects of performance on fitness (e.g., by affecting growth, energy budgets, reproductive output and survivorship). Thus, thermal effects influence life histories, population dynamics and conservation prospects (Adolph & Porter, 1993; Buckley, 2008; Kearney et al., 2009; Sinervo et al., 2010). It is therefore not surprising that global warming stands with habitat loss as one of the most important causes of the current biodiversity crisis (Ceballos et al., 2015; Ceballos et al., 2020; Garcia et al., 2014). Warming has sped up in the past decade, with records of global surface temperature in 2016 and 2020 (Voosen, 2021).

Terrestrial ectotherms are particularly prone to suffer the consequences of global warming (Deutsch et al., 2008) because they rely on the environment, much more heterogeneous in space and variable over time than in aquatic habitats, to regulate their body temperature. Most species are projected to lose range due to their limited dispersal ability. For instance, loss of suitable climate space is likely to occur in southwestern Europe, where hot, dry conditions are projected to increase (Araújo et al., 2006). On the other hand, many terrestrial ectotherms can regulate their body temperature by behavioural means to values almost as high and stable as those of endotherms (Bauwens et al., 1995; Cowles & Bogert, 1944; Díaz & Cabezas-Díaz, 2004; Hertz et al., 1993). In fact, behavioural thermoregulation by ectotherms has been regarded as a factor that inhibits, rather than drives, adaptation to global warming, because it may reduce the impact of environmental change on organisms, thus minimizing the intensity of selection on the thermal sensitivity of physiological traits (Huey et al., 2003).

Studies of behavioural thermoregulation were fostered in the early 90s by the proposal of an elegant protocol to evaluate thermoregulation by small, field-active ectotherms (Hertz et al., 1993). The starting point was the long-held view that the mechanisms and extent of behavioural thermoregulation, and indeed the very existence of an active thermoregulatory process, cannot be evaluated without appropriate null hypotheses (Heath, 1964; Hertz, 1992; Hertz et al., 1993). Such null hypotheses can be obtained by estimating

environmental operative temperatures ($T_{e,s}$), defined as the equilibrium temperatures of a population of inanimate objects with the same heat-transfer properties as the study animals (Bakken & Gates, 1975). These temperatures can be estimated directly using physical models of the study organism ('operative temperature thermometers'; Bakken & Angilletta Jr., 2014; Bakken & Gates, 1975; Shine & Kearney, 2001; Vickers & Schwarzkopf, 2016; Vitt & Sartorius, 1999). Thus, the random distribution of $T_{e,s}$ in the environment describes the null distribution of body temperatures ($T_{b,s}$) expected in nonregulating animals. Hertz et al. (1993) combined data about $T_{e,s}$, $T_{b,s}$ and selected thermal ranges (T_{sel} ; the range of $T_{b,s}$ that lizards attempt to maintain in the absence of physical or biotic constraints; Licht et al., 1966) to estimate quantitative indexes of the precision (standard deviation of $T_{b,s}$), accuracy (average distance between $T_{b,s}$ and T_{sel}) and effectiveness (extent to which $T_{b,s}$ are closer to T_{sel} than are $T_{e,s}$) of thermoregulation. Although some of these measurements, especially effectiveness, have been criticized (Blouin-Demers & Weatherhead, 2001), they still provide the standard parameters to evaluate thermoregulation in field studies.

Despite all these advances, there is a paucity of empirical studies comparing the thermal biology of a population inhabiting the same unmodified habitat, after a long enough period of global warming, with the same measuring protocol and analytical methods. Within this framework, we took advantage of a long-term database about $T_{b,s}$ of field-active lizards from a population of *Psammotromus algirus*, the commonest lacertid in many areas of the western Mediterranean region. The database includes records taken in 1987, 1992, 1994, 1997 and 2017, with the same protocol of capture, manipulation and measurement. More specifically, the procedure developed by Hertz et al. (1993) was replicated in the summers (July–August) of 1997 and 2017. This allowed us to analyse the effects of global warming on thermal biology by comparing the relevant statistics, instead of relying on predictions of climate envelop modelling. Importantly, our study area (Monte de El Pardo) is one of Europe's best preserved Mediterranean forests, because it was a royal hunting ground for centuries before it became part of Spain's national heritage. Therefore, the landscape has remained relatively unchanged, and between-year differences in the availability of sunlit and shaded patches (see Results) must be attributed to small variations in vegetation cover among the sampled transects (e.g., southern facing slopes are more open than northern facing ones). This is consistent with the fact that inter-annual variation in the availability of shade between 1997 and 2017 was of the same magnitude as seasonal variation in 1997 (Díaz et al., 2005; Díaz & Cabezas-Díaz, 2004). Thus, our methods allowed us to minimize confounding effects, providing a direct link between global warming and inter-annual differences in thermal biology.

Our specific goals were to address the following questions: (1) How much has the thermal environment changed after two decades

of global warming in a well-conserved Mediterranean forest? (2) How have these changes affected the thermoregulatory behaviour of lizards (microhabitat use and selection of sunlit or shaded patches) and their realized thermoregulatory performance (body temperatures and accuracy and effectiveness of thermoregulation)? (3) To what extent can behaviour buffer the effects of global warming on the temperature, and hence physiology, of these small ectotherms?

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

Because we were interested in making 1997 and 2017 data directly comparable, we used a revised version of the 1997 methods described in Díaz and Cabezas-Díaz (2004), which are summarized in the next sections.

2.1 | Study organism and study area

Psammotromus algirus is a medium-sized (adult snout-vent length 60–85 mm; mass 6–15 g) heliothermic lacertid that inhabits shrub and woodland habitats of the western Mediterranean (Díaz & Carrascal, 1991). Lizards were sampled at 'El Pardo' (Madrid, central Spain: 40°30'N, 03°45'W; 650–700 m elevation), a holm oak (*Quercus ilex*) perennial forest in which offshoots of *Q. ilex* dominate the shrub layer together with rockroses *Cistus ladanifer*. In July–August, mean ambient temperature is 25.2°C (daily mean temperatures from the nearby meteorological stations of Barajas-Aeropuerto and Cuatro Vientos, averaged for the 40-year period between 1978 and 2017), and mean daily maximum temperature is 33.0°C.

2.2 | Field sampling

In both 1997 and 2017, data about $T_{e,s}$, $T_{b,s}$ and use and availability of patches within different categories of sun exposure (full sun, sun filtered by vegetation, or full shade) were simultaneously collected during the daily activity period of this species. Animals were captured under licence of the 'Dirección General de Biodiversidad y Recursos Naturales' of the Community of Madrid, and their care and use were conducted in conformity with the principles approved by the Animal Experimentation Ethical Committee of the Universidad Complutense de Madrid. In 1997, sampling took place between 17 and 31 July on six different transects, one per sampling day (Díaz & Cabezas-Díaz, 2004). In 2017, sampling took place between 19 July and 25 August, again on six different transects, but this time each transect was sampled on two different sampling days. In 1997 we used 15 T_e thermometers per transect, that provided data about T_e and exposure to sun (full sun, sun filtered by vegetation, or full shade) covering the whole daily activity period of the species. For each transect, there was a new scatter of devices that were placed at randomly selected intervals (1–9 m, distance determined by one-digit numbers from a table of random numbers). In 2017, we used 20

T_e thermometers per transect (see below). Thus, T_e and exposure to sun were measured throughout the day on 90 different locations in 1997, and on 120 different locations in 2017.

In 1997, $T_{e,s}$ were measured using unpainted copper cylinders (5 cm long × 1 cm wide × 1 mm thick) closed at both ends except for a small fissure that allowed inserting the sensing tip of an electronic digital thermometer (digi-thermo®; ±0.1°C precision; Díaz & Cabezas-Díaz, 2004). The performance of these models as T_e thermometers for this species was examined by Belliure et al. (1996), who showed that under the same conditions of radiant heat loads (100 W bulb at different heights), the mean temperatures at equilibrium of models and recently dead lizards were highly correlated and statistically indistinguishable ($r^2 > 0.99$; $p > 0.25$ for the assumptions of the identity regression line that the slope and intercept do not differ significantly from one and zero respectively). However, this validation method may be limited by the fact that absorption and emissivity may differ between recently dead lizards and living ones, and also because the thermal environment is much more complex under field conditions than in the laboratory. In fact, 17 years after we carried out field work in 1997, Bakken and Angilletta Jr. (2014) argued that physical models with simple geometries such as the ones we used may lead to systematic errors of 2°–4°C, and that T_e thermometers should match the shape, size and colour of live animals to avoid such errors. For that reason, in June 2022 we carried out a second calibration experiment in which we compared the $T_{e,s}$ of a pair of simple models identical to the ones used in this study (and in Díaz & Cabezas-Díaz, 2004) with those of two models matching the detailed shape, size and colour of live animals. Such models were hollow lizard casts built with malleable 0.2 mm thick copper sheet, moulded to the contours of a museum specimen, and painted to visually match the colour of live lizards. The calibration experiment was done at the study area under field conditions, ensuring that our devices were validated in outdoor natural conditions and exposed to all modes of heat exchange. We chose 20 random sites (12 in full sun and 8 in full shade) to measure the temperatures of the two simple models, the two lizard casts and two tethered live lizards (one male and one female) between 08:00 and 14:00 h. Temperatures were measured with the same thermometers as in 1997 and 2017. To allow estimating heat exchange rates, temperatures were registered during 5 min, at 1-min intervals for T_e thermometers and at minutes 2 and 5 in the case of lizards. Equilibrium temperatures (i.e., heat exchange rates ≈ 0) were sometimes difficult to reach, particularly in full sun and at high temperatures, when live lizards heated more slowly than both types of devices, probably to prevent lethal overheating (in fact, we did not measure the $T_{b,s}$ of live lizards at the four sites with highest $T_{e,s}$ [$> 50^\circ\text{C}$] to avoid their death). We averaged all the temperatures of each type of entity (simple models, lizard casts and live lizards) at each site, and we used the resulting mean values in subsequent statistical analyses. The mean temperatures of both types of devices were highly correlated with those of lizards (simple models: $r^2 = 0.980$; lizard casts: $r^2 = 0.974$; $N = 16$ and $p \ll 0.001$ in both cases). Importantly, both types of operative temperature thermometers produced highly correlated and statistically indistinguishable

datasets ($r^2 = 0.969$, $N = 20$; $p > 0.53$ for the assumptions of the identity regression line that the slope and intercept do not differ significantly from one and zero respectively; average difference between the mean temperatures of both types of models = 0.6°C ; repeated measures ANOVA: $F_{1,19} = 2.92$, $p > 0.1$). These results support the conclusion that our thermoregulatory statistics and metrics are not only comparable between years, but also accurate.

Also, our 1997 data indicate that our simple models allow accurate quantitative prediction of seasonal shifts in thermoregulatory behaviour (Díaz & Cabezas-Díaz, 2004). Thus, in July lizards actively selected patches in full shade, and their mean T_b (35.9°C) was close to the mean T_e (35.3°C) of those patches (Díaz & Cabezas-Díaz, 2004). On the other hand, in May lizards used sunlit and shaded patches almost at random, shuttling frequently between different types of patches, and their mean T_b (33.5°C), rather than equilibrating to the T_e within any type of patch, was close to the grand mean (33.7°C) of T_e s in full sun (46.7°C), filtered sun (29.8°C) and full shade (24.7°C ; Díaz & Cabezas-Díaz, 2004). These results support Shine and Kearney's (2001) assumption that placement may have more influence than increased precision on predicted T_e , and they suggest that errors may be randomly distributed rather than consistent.

We registered T_e at hourly intervals between 08:00 and 20:00h, and we also recorded time of day and exposure to sun (full sun, filtered sun shade or full shade; occasional cloudy intervals were excluded from these analyses) to provide a null hypothesis against which lizards' selectivity for sunlit/shaded patches could be tested (Díaz, 1997; Díaz & Cabezas-Díaz, 2004). Lizards were lassooed or captured by hand, and their T_b was measured to the nearest 0.1°C with a Miller-Weber quick-reading cloacal thermometer. As for T_e s, time of day and sun exposure at first sighting were recorded (also for lizards that were detected but not captured).

In 2017, T_e s were measured between 19 July and 25 August in six different transects scattered across the same area as in 1997; data were taken throughout the lizards' activity period (08:00–20:00h). We used two types of devices. First, we used the same copper models described above, since we were interested in obtaining directly comparable datasets. Second, we also used ThermoChron® iButtons (model DS1921G-F), which are small data loggers that monitor time and temperature. These loggers allowed measuring temperature every 5 min, thus providing a much larger number of data and increased precision in estimates of T_e . We conducted a pilot study to establish the relationship between iButtons and models, so that both types of data could be directly compared (Vitt & Sartorius, 1999). For that purpose, we matched both types of devices by forming nine pairs of iButtons and models that were placed three in full sun, three in full shade and three in sun filtered by vegetation. Temperature values registered by iButtons and models were highly correlated ($r^2 = 0.978$, $p < 0.001$), allowing the accurate transformation of temperatures recorded by iButtons into model T_e s with the resulting regression equation ($T_{e[\text{model}]} = 5.4069 + 0.895 T_{i\text{Button}}$). For the measurement of T_e , 10 models and 10 iButtons were placed at randomly determined intervals along each transect line. ThermoChron® were set to register temperature every 5 min, whereas the temperature of

models was registered every 2 h (five models per hour). We averaged the 12 hourly temperature readings recorded by iButtons, leading to a single T_e estimate per iButton and hour. To obtain a null hypothesis of the availability of sunlit/shaded patches, we noted sun exposure of models when measuring their temperature, and of iButtons by means of a single focal observation at the middle of the hourly interval. Again, occasional cloudy intervals were excluded from these analyses.

The analysis of thermoregulation requires that data about T_e s and T_b s are sympatrically and simultaneously collected. Because in 2017 all T_b data were obtained between 26 July and 3 August, we restricted to that period (transects 2 and 3) the analyses of T_e s and derived indices of thermoregulation, although conclusions would have remained unchanged had we used the complete dataset (see Results). However, data on the use and availability of patches within different categories of sun exposure were gathered throughout the entire study period, to increase sample sizes for selectivity (i.e., log-linear) analyses.

2.3 | Selected temperatures

The previously published selected temperature range (T_{sel} , defined as the central 80% of all T_b s selected in a thermogradient) in this species is $32.8\text{--}36.9^\circ\text{C}$ in July (Díaz & Cabezas-Díaz, 2004; Díaz et al., 2006). In 2017, we captured four males and four females to look for possible changes in preferred temperatures. Lizards were transported to the laboratory and individually housed in terraria ($40 \times 60 \times 30\text{ cm}$) with white, opaque walls and with their tops covered with a green net (0.5-cm mesh) that prevented escape and created a shrubby-like shelter. The laboratory had natural daylight and ventilation. Terraria were filled with moistened earth and covered with a leaf litter layer. Some rocks and thin fallen wood increased structural complexity and provided lizards with additional shade and refuge. Food and water were supplied ad libitum. A thermogradient was created in the terraria by a 100W bulb suspended above one end at a height of c. 20 cm. This gradient offered a wide range of available temperatures ($24.3\text{--}47.7^\circ\text{C}$), and T_b was measured three times per day (at 10:30–11:00, 13:00–13:30 and 15:00–15:30h CET), with the same thermometers used in the field, on 2 and 4 August 2017 (six data per lizard). In five occasions T_b s could not be measured because the lizard was hidden under cover at the cool end of the thermogradient, and it was thereby considered to be inactive (not thermoregulating). Thus, final sample size was 43 T_b readings that could be compared with T_{sel} and with the T_b data recorded in the field.

2.4 | Data analyses and thermoregulation statistics

For the long-term analysis of T_b s, we recovered previously published data about T_b s measured in July–August at the study area with Miller-Weber quick-reading cloacal thermometers. This allowed us to compare the mean summer T_b s of this lizard population in 1987,

1989, 1994, 1997 and 2017 (Carrascal & Díaz, 1989; Díaz, 1992; Díaz, 1997; Díaz & Cabezas-Díaz, 2004; and results of this study).

In 1997 and 2017, the thermal quality of the habitat was measured by the proportion of T_e values within T_{sel} and by the mean (\bar{d}_e) of the absolute values of deviations of T_e s from T_{sel} (d_e s). Similarly, the accuracy of thermoregulation was quantified by the proportion of T_b s within T_{sel} and by the mean (\bar{d}_b) of the absolute values of deviations of T_b s from T_{sel} (d_b s). The precision of thermoregulation (i.e., the actual variability of T_b s) was estimated by the standard deviations of the distributions of T_b s. Finally, the effectiveness of lizard thermoregulation with respect to nonregulating models was evaluated with two indexes: (1) the original formula proposed by Hertz et al. (1993), $E = 1 - (\bar{d}_b / \bar{d}_e)$; and (2) the difference $\bar{d}_e - \bar{d}_b$, proposed by Blouin-Demers and Weatherhead (2001) as a mathematically simpler, better alternative.

We evaluated the relative importance of the selection of sun and shade patches as a thermoregulatory behaviour by comparing the random distribution of models (T_e s and d_e s) with the same distribution weighted by the lizards' hourly patterns of sun and shade selection (Bauwens et al., 1996; Díaz & Cabezas-Díaz, 2004). While the first distribution represents the T_b s and d_b s of a lizard population with a use of sun and shade patches proportional to their availability throughout the day (i.e., the 'no thermoregulation' hypothesis), the second one represents the T_b s and d_b s of a population using the selection of sun and shade patches as its only thermoregulatory behaviour. The weighting coefficients for each hourly period were L_i/M_i , where L_i and M_i are the proportions of lizards and models in the i th category of sun exposure during that period. Descriptive data are presented as mean \pm one standard deviation.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Long-term temperature trends

Both mean and maximum air temperatures, averaged for July–August and for the nearby meteorological stations of Barajas-Aeropuerto and Cuatro Vientos (Figure S1; data available at <http://www.aemet.es>), increased significantly during the 40-year period spanning from 1978 to 2017 (mean of daily mean temperatures: $T = -82.82 + 0.054 \times \text{year}$, $F_{1,38} = 24.33$, $p < 0.001$; mean of daily maximum temperatures: $T = -60.14 + 0.047 \times \text{year}$, $F_{1,38} = 12.20$, $p = 0.001$). Thus, ambient temperatures during summer showed a warming trend of ca. $0.05^\circ\text{C}/\text{year}$ (2.16 and 1.86°C , respectively, over the 40-year period examined; Figure S1).

Mean lizard T_b s also showed a consistent rising trend over the 30-years period examined (mean $T_b = -174.26 + 0.104 \times \text{year}$, $F_{1,3} = 131.84$, $p = 0.0014$), of $0.11^\circ\text{C}/\text{year}$, or 3.3°C between 1987 and 2017 (Figure S2). Lizard T_b s differed significantly among years (one-way ANOVA: $F_{4,327} = 11.42$, $p < 0.001$), reaching their highest values in 2017 (Figure 1). The percentage of lizards with T_b s above T_{sel} (32.8 – 36.9°C ; see Díaz et al., 2005) was much higher in 2017 (52%) than in previous years (6, 9, 7 and 9% in 1997, 1994, 1989 and




FIGURE 1 Mean values (with standard errors and 95% confidence intervals) for body (cloacal) temperatures of active lizards recorded in the same open forest ('Montes de El Pardo y Viñuelas') during the summers of different sampling years between 1987 and 2017. Letters indicate homogeneous groups according to the results of a Newman–Keuls post-hoc test following one-way ANOVA.

1987 respectively). Moreover, 18 of 19 (94.7%) T_b s above 38.2°C (the 95th percentile of the distribution of 332 summer T_b s recorded between 1987 and 2017) belonged to lizards captured in 2017, including eight T_b s above 39°C .

3.2 | Body temperatures

On average, lizards had higher T_b s in 2017 than in 1997 (two-way ANOVA with year and time of day as factors; year: $F_{1,108} = 21.43$, $p < 0.001$; time of day: $F_{11,108} = 12.64$, $p < 0.001$; interaction: $F_{11,108} = 2.07$, $P = 0.029$; Table 1; Figure 2). In both years, T_b s raised during the early morning basking period to remain stable within T_{sel} between 09:00 and 12:00h (Figure 2; Figure S5). Therefore, mean T_b s before 12:00h did not differ significantly between years ($F_{1,42} = 0.41$, $p = 0.524$; Table 1). After that time, however, T_b s differed clearly between years ($F_{1,86} = 54.74$, $p < 0.001$; Table 1). Whereas in 1997 lizards managed to keep their T_b s within T_{sel} during the rest of the day, in 2017 mean T_b s exceeded T_{sel} between 13:00 and 18:00h (Figure 2; Figure S5). In fact, all T_b s above 38.2°C obtained in 2017 and mentioned in the previous paragraph were taken after 12:00h. Given this pattern, and the significant interaction between year and time interval (a factor with two levels, before vs. after 12:00h) in a two-way ANOVA ($F_{1,128} = 6.11$, $p = 0.015$), many of the following analyses use time interval instead of time of day as a categorical predictor to facilitate the interpretation of results.

The observed rise in T_b s could not be attributed to a concomitant shift in T_{sel} , because field T_b s were higher and more variable than T_b s

TABLE 1 Summary of thermoregulation statistics in 1997 and 2017: mean \pm SD, with sample sizes between parentheses, of body temperature (\bar{T}_b), accuracy of thermoregulation (\bar{d}_b), environmental operative temperature (\bar{T}_e), thermal quality of the environment (\bar{d}_e), amount of thermoregulation achieved ($\bar{d}_b - \bar{d}_e$) and effectiveness of thermoregulation (E), equal to $1 - (\bar{d}_b / \bar{d}_e)$. All values are given in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ except for E that has no dimension. Means are given separately for time intervals before 12:00h (08:00–12:00h), after 12:00h (12:00–20:00h) and total daily activity period (08:00–20:00h)

	1997			2017		
	08:00–12:00h	12:00–20:00h	Total	08:00–12:00h	12:00–20:00h	Total
\bar{T}_b	32.5 \pm 3.0	35.0 \pm 2.0	34.2 \pm 2.6	33.1 \pm 3.2	37.7 \pm 1.4	36.1 \pm 3.1
\bar{d}_b	1.2 \pm 2.2	0.3 \pm 0.7	0.6 \pm 1.4	1.2 \pm 2.1	1.1 \pm 0.9	1.1 \pm 1.4
(N)	(22)	(45)	(67)	(22)	(43)	(65)
\bar{T}_e	24.0 \pm 8.3	41.4 \pm 12.1	35.6 \pm 13.7	30.7 \pm 10.0	50.0 \pm 11.7	43.6 \pm 14.4
\bar{d}_e	10.6 \pm 5.3	7.8 \pm 9.6	8.7 \pm 8.50	7.4 \pm 5.2	13.3 \pm 11.5	11.3 \pm 10.2
(N)	(360)	(720)	(1080)	(120)	(240)	(360)
$\bar{d}_e - \bar{d}_b$	9.3	7.5	8.1	6.3	12.2	10.2
E	0.882	0.964	0.932	0.844	0.918	0.902




FIGURE 2 Mean values (with 95% confidence intervals) for body (cloacal) temperatures (T_b s) and environmental operative temperatures (T_e s) as a function of time of day in 1997 and 2017. The upper panels show the mean T_b s of active lizards and the overall means of available T_e s. The lower panels show the mean T_e s available for full sun, filtered sun and full shade locations. The selected temperature range (T_{sel}) is represented by the dashed areas.

selected in a laboratory thermal gradient (field: $36.1 \pm 2.1^{\circ}\text{C}$, $N = 65$; thermogradient: 34.5 ± 1.7 , $N = 43$; $F_{1,106} = 9.60$, $p = 0.002$; Levene's test: $F_{1,106} = 7.18$, $p = 0.009$). Moreover, in 2017 deviations from T_{sel}

(32.8–36.9 $^{\circ}\text{C}$; Díaz et al., 2006) were much smaller for T_b s measured in the thermogradient (14, 84, and 2% below, within and above T_{sel} respectively) than for field T_b s (14, 34, and 52% below, within and

above T_{sel} respectively; $\chi^2 = 31.9$, $df = 2$, $p < 0.001$). This result is even clearer if we restrict it to lizards captured after 12:00h (0%, 23%, and 77% below, within and above T_{sel} respectively; $\chi^2 = 50.8$, $df = 2$, $p < 0.001$).

3.3 | Operative temperatures

Because in 2017 T_b s were measured on 26–27 July (transect 2) and 2–3 August (transect 3), the T_e data we present are restricted to those days and transects to fulfil the assumption of thermoregulation studies that T_e s and T_b s must be collected simultaneously and at the same sites (Bauwens et al., 1996; Hertz et al., 1993). However, conclusions would have remained unchanged if we had used the complete dataset, even if transects 2 and 3 might have been sampled during some of the hottest summer days (see Appendix in Supplementary Material). In both years, T_e s showed a similar rising trend between 08:00 and 14:00–15:00h, followed by a slower decrease after that peak (Figure 2; Figure S5). Overall, T_e s were higher in 2017 than in 1997 (two-way ANOVA; year: $F_{1,1416} = 181.32$, $p < 0.001$; time of day: $F_{11,1416} = 109.23$, $p < 0.001$; interaction: $F_{11,1416} = 1.42$, $p = 0.156$; Table 1; Figure 2). Sun exposure had a marked effect on the variation of T_e s. In both years, T_e s reached a maximum at noon, and they were higher in full sun than in filtered sun and full shade, with differences between sun and shade peaking at midday (two-way ANOVAs with data on Figure 2; all $ps < 0.001$ in both years for the effects of sun exposure, time of day and their interaction). In 1997, all mean T_e s in full shade between 14:00 and 18:00h remained within T_{sel} , whereas in 2017 they were mostly above T_{sel} (Figure 2; Figure S5). More importantly, the frequencies of T_e s below, within or above T_{sel} differed between years, specially after 12:00h and for T_e s in full shade (Table 2). In 1997 after 12:00h, 51% of T_e s in full shade were below T_{sel} , meaning that lizards could lower their T_b s by using those sites as 'heat sinks'. In 2017, however, 67% of full shade measurements

were above T_{sel} , and only 7% were below T_{sel} . Overall, the frequency of T_e s above T_{sel} , pooling all categories of sun exposure, was higher in 2017 than in 1997, both before ($\chi^2 = 19.26$, $df = 2$, $p < 0.001$) and after 12:00h ($\chi^2 = 93.02$, $df = 2$, $p < 0.001$). However, the thermal environment became truly challenging only after 12:00h, when 92% of T_e s were above T_{sel} (Table 2).

3.4 | Thermoregulation statistics

Precision of thermoregulation sensu Hertz et al. (1993) was high (Table 1): standard deviations were much smaller for T_b s than for T_e s both in 1997 (Levene's test: $F_{1,1145} = 70.36$, $p < 0.001$) and 2017 ($F_{1,423} = 82.90$, $p < 0.001$), indicating a high degree of precision that was similar in both years (Levene's test for standard deviations of T_b s in 1997 and 2017: $F_{1,130} = 0.75$, $p = 0.389$).

Thermal quality was higher in 1997 than in 2017 because \bar{d}_e (mean of the absolute values of deviations of T_e s from T_{sel}) was higher in 2017 than in 1997 (Table 1; but see Appendix in Supplementary Material). However, a better picture of thermal differences between years is obtained by considering the effects of year, time interval (before vs. after 12:00h) and their interaction (two-way ANOVA; year: $F_{1,1436} = 4.10$, $p = 0.043$; time interval: $F_{1,1436} = 7.37$, $p = 0.007$; interaction: $F_{1,1436} = 57.00$, $p < 0.001$). The most significant effect is by far that of the interaction: whereas before 12:00h T_e s were on average 3.2°C closer to T_{sel} in 2017 than in 1997, after 12:00h the opposite was true, thermal quality being 5.5°C lower in 2017 due to much higher T_e s.

Thermoregulation was more accurate in 1997 than in 2017 (one-way ANOVA with the absolute values of deviations of T_b s from T_{sel} , or \bar{d}_b s: $F_{1,130} = 4.44$, $p = 0.037$; Table 1). Although the interaction between the effects of time interval and year on the variation of d_b s was marginally non-significant (two-way ANOVA: $p = 0.078$), accuracy of thermoregulation was higher in 1997 only after 12:00h (one-way ANOVA: $F_{1,86} = 22.91$, $p < 0.001$), when T_b s were on average

TABLE 2 Frequencies of environmental operative temperatures (T_e s) below, within or above T_{sel} , with percentages between parentheses, as a function of year, sun exposure (full sun, filtered sun or full shade), and time interval (before vs. after 12:00h). The two last columns show values of the χ^2 statistic comparing frequencies between years

	1997			2017			χ^2 (df = 2)	p
	Below T_{sel}	Within T_{sel}	Above T_{sel}	Below T_{sel}	Within T_{sel}	Above T_{sel}		
08:00–12:00h								
Full sun	13 (34.2)	6 (15.8)	19 (50.0)	3 (12.5)	1 (4.2)	20 (83.3)	7.04	0.030
Filtered sun	95 (83.3)	7 (6.1)	12 (10.5)	43 (76.8)	7 (12.5)	6 (10.7)	2.04	0.360
Full shade	207 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	39 (97.5)	0 (0.0)	1 (2.5)	–	–
Total	315 (87.7)	13 (3.6)	31 (8.6)	85 (70.8)	8 (6.7)	27 (22.5)	19.26	<0.001
12:00–20:00h								
Full sun	4 (2.1)	7 (3.7)	176 (94.1)	0 (0.0)	1 (1.0)	96 (99.0)	3.90	0.142
Filtered sun	62 (22.2)	54 (19.4)	163 (58.4)	1 (0.9)	7 (6.2)	105 (92.9)	45.73	<0.001
Full shade	77 (51.0)	52 (34.4)	22 (14.6)	2 (6.7)	8 (26.7)	20 (66.7)	41.00	<0.001
Total	143 (23.2)	113 (18.3)	361 (58.5)	3 (1.25)	16 (6.7)	221 (92.1)	93.02	<0.001

0.8°C closer to T_{sel} than in 2017, but not before 12:00h ($F_{1,42} = 0.02$, $p = 0.887$), when such difference was only of 0.1°C (Table 1). After 12:00h the frequencies of T_b s below, within or above T_{sel} differed clearly between years ($\chi^2 = 42.8$, $df = 2$, $p < 0.001$). In 1997, 34 of 45 captured lizards (75.6%) had T_b s within T_{sel} , 7 (15.5%) had T_b s below T_{sel} and only 4 (8.9%) had T_b s above T_{sel} . In 2017, no lizard had its T_b below T_{sel} , 10 of 43 lizards (23.3%) had T_b s within T_{sel} (15.5%) and the remaining 33 (76.7%) had T_b s above T_{sel} .

In both years, T_b s were much closer to T_{sel} than were T_e s (Table 1), that is, \bar{d}_b was significantly smaller than \bar{d}_e (1997: $F_{1,1145} = 61.72$, $p < 0.001$; 2017: $F_{1,423} = 64.31$, $p < 0.001$; Table 1), providing unequivocal evidence of active thermoregulation. This conclusion is independent of the fact that \bar{T}_b may or may not differ significantly from \bar{T}_e ; \bar{T}_b and \bar{T}_e did not differ significantly in 1997 ($F_{1,1145} = 0.69$, $p = 0.407$), whereas \bar{T}_e was substantially higher than \bar{T}_b in 2017 ($F_{1,423} = 17.16$; $p < 0.001$).

The effectiveness of thermoregulation, after controlling for the effects of time of day in a repeated measures ANOVA with year as the within-subjects factor (Table 3 and Appendix in Supplementary Material), was higher in 1997 ($E = 0.932$) than in 2017 ($E = 0.902$), although the difference was marginally non-significant ($F_{1,11} = 4.42$, $p = 0.059$) due to the confounding effect of the last 1-hour period, whose low value for E in 1997 (Table 3) was caused by a higher thermal quality in 1997 than in 2017 rather than by minor differences in the accuracy of thermoregulation. Finally, the total amount of thermoregulation achieved, as estimated by the difference $\bar{d}_e - \bar{d}_b$, was higher in 1997 than in 2017 before 12:00h, whereas the opposite was true after 12:00h (interaction effect in a repeated measures ANOVA with 1997 vs. 2017 as the within-subjects factor, time interval as the between-subjects factor and hourly estimates of $\bar{d}_e - \bar{d}_b$ as data: $F_{1,10} = 25.34$, $p < 0.001$).

3.5 | Selection of patches in full sun, filtered sun or full shade


Selection of sun and shade patches (Figure 3) was analysed by means of a log-linear analysis on the complete dataset of lizard and model observations, classified according to year, time interval and exposure to sun. The final model obtained (maximum likelihood $\chi^2 = 1.92$, $df = 4$, $p = 0.751$) included three interactions, which we report in decreasing order of contribution to the model's goodness-of-fit (Figure S3). The first interaction (model-lizard x sun exposure x time interval; partial association: $\chi^2 = 313.48$; marginal association: $\chi^2 = 313.08$; $df = 2$ and $p < 0.001$ in both cases) shows that in both years our system followed the basic pattern of heliothermic thermoregulation, because the proportion of lizards (models) in full sun tended to decrease (increase) after the early morning. Thus, selectivity for sunlit patches was positive before 12:00h and negative after that time, whereas the opposite was true for shaded patches (Figure 3). The second and more relevant interaction (model-lizard x sun exposure x year; partial association: $\chi^2 = 78.60$; marginal association: $\chi^2 = 70.49$; $df = 2$ and $p < 0.001$) shows that selectivity for shaded patches increased from 1997 to 2017, whereas selectivity for sunlit patches decreased (Figure 3). Finally, the third interaction (model-lizard x time interval x year) seems to reflect small sample-size biases; although its own independent contribution is small (marginal association: $\chi^2 = 0.03$; $df = 2$ and $p = 0.865$), it helps to improve the fit of the model (partial association: $\chi^2 = 10.83$; $df = 1$ and $p < 0.001$; Figure S3).

Before 12:00h, lizards used sunlit patches less frequently, and shaded patches more frequently, in 2017 than in 1997 (Figure 3: $\chi^2 = 17.89$, $df = 2$, $p < 0.001$), although the availability of sunlit and shaded patches did not change significantly between years

TABLE 3 Variation of thermoregulation statistics in 1997 and 2017 as a function of time of day (1-hour periods between 08:00 and 20:00h): mean \pm SD (with sample sizes between parentheses) of accuracy of thermoregulation (\bar{d}_b), thermal quality of the environment (\bar{d}_e), effectiveness of thermoregulation (E) and amount of thermoregulation achieved ($\bar{d}_e - \bar{d}_b$). All values are given in °C except for E that has no dimension

Time of day	Accuracy of thermoregulation (\bar{d}_b)		Thermal quality (\bar{d}_e)		$E = 1 - (\bar{d}_e / \bar{d}_b)$		$\bar{d}_e - \bar{d}_b$	
	1997	2017	1997	2017	1997	2017	1997	2017
08:00–09:00h	3.6 \pm 3.1 (6)	5.0 \pm 2.5 (2)	15.8 \pm 2.9 (90)	11.9 \pm 2.6 (30)	0.772	0.580	12.2	6.9
09:00–10:00h	0.6 \pm 0.9 (6)	1.1 \pm 1.1 (7)	12.1 \pm 3.1 (90)	6.3 \pm 2.4 (30)	0.948	0.830	11.5	5.2
10:00–11:00h	0.3 \pm 0.6 (4)	1.1 \pm 2.5 (7)	8.3 \pm 4.0 (90)	5.1 \pm 4.1 (30)	0.964	0.794	8.0	4.1
11:00–12:00h	0.2 \pm 0.4 (6)	0.1 \pm 0.2 (6)	6.1 \pm 4.8 (90)	6.4 \pm 7.3 (30)	0.975	0.984	5.9	6.3
12:00–13:00h	0.7 \pm 1.2 (6)	0.7 \pm 1.0 (4)	6.5 \pm 7.7 (90)	11.3 \pm 10.0 (30)	0.900	0.940	5.8	10.7
13:00–14:00h	0.0 \pm 0.0 (5)	0.9 \pm 0.7 (4)	9.1 \pm 9.5 (90)	15.1 \pm 12.2 (30)	1.000	0.944	9.1	14.2
14:00–15:00h	0.0 \pm 0.1 (5)	1.4 \pm 0.6 (4)	12.1 \pm 11.2 (90)	21.7 \pm 12.9 (30)	0.997	0.937	12.0	20.3
15:00–16:00h	0.0 \pm 0.0 (5)	1.9 \pm 0.1 (2)	11.1 \pm 12.3 (90)	18.9 \pm 11.3 (30)	1.000	0.902	11.1	17.0
16:00–17:00h	0.4 \pm 0.5 (6)	1.9 \pm 0.3 (2)	9.5 \pm 9.8 (90)	17.4 \pm 11.7 (30)	0.960	0.891	9.1	15.5
17:00–18:00h	0.3 \pm 0.7 (6)	2.0 \pm 0.8 (9)	7.3 \pm 9.2 (90)	11.7 \pm 9.0 (30)	0.961	0.832	7.0	9.8
18:00–19:00h	0.0 \pm 0.0 (6)	0.7 \pm 0.6 (11)	4.5 \pm 6.3 (90)	5.9 \pm 5.9 (30)	1.000	0.890	4.5	5.3
19:00–20:00h	0.8 \pm 1.1 (6)	0.4 \pm 0.6 (7)	2.6 \pm 3.9 (90)	3.9 \pm 4.0 (30)	0.706	0.898	1.8	3.5

FIGURE 3 Use (lizards) and availability (models) of patches in full sun, filtered sun or full shade as a function of time interval (before vs. after 12:00h) in 1997 and 2017. Sample sizes in 1997 before 12:00h: 96 (lizards) and 359 (models); in 1997 after 12:00h: 189 (lizards) and 617 (models); in 2017 before 12:00h: 58 (lizards) and 327 (models-thermochrons); in 2017 after 12:00h: 133 (lizards) and 624 (models-thermochrons).



($\chi^2 = 4.28$, $df = 2$, $p = 0.118$). After 12:00h, the difference between years became more pronounced. Although the availability of patches in full shade was lower in 2017 ($\chi^2 = 16.07$, $df = 2$, $p < 0.001$), lizards used those patches much more frequently than in 1997 ($\chi^2 = 44.17$, $df = 2$, $p < 0.001$), up to the point that in 2017, 87.2% of lizards (vs. 52.4% in 1997) were in full shade when first sighted, and only one (0.8%, vs. 11.1% in 1997) was in full sun.

In both years, the selection of sun and shade patches had a significant contribution to lizard thermoregulation, because the mean deviations from T_{sel} of a lizard population using patch selection as its only thermoregulatory behaviour (d_e s weighted by the selection of sun and shade patches, or $\overline{d_{sun-shade}}$: $5.3 \pm 6.1^\circ\text{C}$ in 1997, vs. $3.4 \pm 4.2^\circ\text{C}$ in 2017) were smaller than $\overline{d_e}$ both in Díaz et al. (2005) ($F_{1,1950} = 131.56$, $p < 0.001$) and in 2017 ($F_{1,718} = 185.92$, $p < 0.001$). In addition, $\overline{d_{sun-shade}}$ was smaller in 2017 than in 1997 ($F_{1,1334} = 32.26$, $p < 0.001$), indicating a more effective use of patch selection as a thermoregulatory mechanism in 2017. The contribution of this mechanism ($\overline{d_e} - \overline{d_{sun-shade}}$) to overall thermoregulation ($\overline{d_e} - \overline{d_b}$) was 3.4°C in 1997 (41.8% of the difference $\overline{d_e} - \overline{d_b}$) and 8.0°C in 2017 (77.9% of the difference $\overline{d_e} - \overline{d_b}$).

4 | DISCUSSION

Overall, the thermoregulatory behaviour of lizards was similar in 1997 and 2017, because in both cases the selection of sunlit versus shaded patches was the most important thermoregulatory behaviour (Díaz & Cabezas-Díaz, 2004, and results of this study). However, differences between years were also remarkable. In 1997,

lizards were active at midday despite low overall thermal suitability by selecting shaded patches with favourable thermal opportunities (Table 2: about one third of the models in full shade had T_e s within T_{sel} , and more than half of them had T_e s below T_{sel} , indicating potential heat sinks). Moreover, the mean T_b of lizards between 13:00 and 16:00h ($35.9 \pm 1.5^\circ\text{C}$) matched the mean T_e of models in full shade ($35.3 \pm 3.6^\circ\text{C}$), and both were within T_{sel} (Díaz & Cabezas-Díaz, 2004).

On the other hand, in 2017 the selection of shaded patches reached its peak, especially after 12:00h (i.e., during most of the daily activity period), when only one lizard of 133 (<1%) was in full sun when first sighted, whereas 87% were in full shade. This is consistent with the availability of T_e s below, within and above T_{sel} , with 67% of full shade T_e s above T_{sel} (vs. 15% in 1997). Thus, in 2017 most shaded patches were no longer available as heat sinks for active lizards. Not surprisingly, most lizards (67%, vs. 7% in 1997) had T_b s above T_{sel} , despite the very strong selection of shaded patches. As in 1997, at midday hours (13:00–18:00h; see Figure 2) the mean T_b of lizards ($38.5 \pm 0.9^\circ\text{C}$) was similar to the mean T_e of models in full shade ($37.8 \pm 2.5^\circ\text{C}$), but this time both values were above the upper limit of T_{sel} . These results, that confirm the utility of our devices to predict the mean T_b of lizards in the field, cannot be attributed to a concomitant rise in T_{sel} , because T_b s selected in the laboratory were lower, less variable and much closer to T_{sel} than field T_b s (especially after 12:00h).

Our data indicate that the contribution of the selection of sun and shade patches to the regulation of T_b was larger in 2017 (when a population of models matching the lizards' pattern of exposure to sunlight produced a distribution of T_e s that would be 8°C closer to T_{sel} than were randomly distributed T_e s) than in 1997 (when such difference would be of 3.4°C). Moreover, the difference $\overline{d_e} - \overline{d_b}$

(Table 1), an alternative index of the effectiveness of thermoregulation (Blouin-Demers & Weatherhead, 2001), was also higher. However, in 2017, despite all the above evidence of active thermoregulation, the high proportion of T_b s above T_{sel} led to indexes of accuracy and effectiveness (sensu Hertz et al., 1993) that indicated poorer thermoregulatory performance than in 1997. Thus, some of our findings indicate a more careful thermoregulation in 2017, because T_b s departed further from $T_{e,s}$, selection of sun and shade patches was more intense, and its contribution to thermoregulation was larger. However, lizard T_b s were closer to T_{sel} in 1997 than in 2017 (i.e., \bar{d}_b was lower), and as a consequence the effectiveness of thermoregulation (i.e., the E index) was larger. As noted by Hertz et al. (1993), no single index is adequate, because the information they provide is complementary; in our system, all measures (\bar{d}_b , \bar{d}_e and the derived indexes E and $\bar{d}_e - \bar{d}_b$) were necessary to describe our populations thermal ecology and its inter-annual variation.

These discrepancies can be explained, at least in part, by considering that in high-temperature environments thermoregulatory effort should increase as $T_{e,s}$ rise and thermal quality declines (Neel & McBrayer, 2018; Vickers et al., 2011) to avoid the high performance costs of overheating (Huey & Kingsolver, 1993). However, the accuracy and effectiveness of thermoregulation can only increase if lizards can gain access to sites with $T_{e,s}$ within T_{sel} . If $T_{e,s}$ below the upper limit of T_{sel} are scarce, these statistics will decrease until thermal constraints provoke the cessation of activity (Grant & Dunham, 1988; Jørgensen et al., 2021). In 2017, as long as nearly all available patches were above T_{sel} after 12:00h, the options for behavioural thermoregulation were limited. One possibility would be to move between shaded patches (i.e., shrubs, and perhaps tree shades) until finding one with temperatures within T_{sel} (5% of all $T_{e,s}$, and 32% of $T_{e,s}$ in full shade) or below T_{sel} (1% of all $T_{e,s}$, and 8% of $T_{e,s}$ in full shade). However, this would entail a relatively long search, a high exposure to overheating while crossing open patches (Díaz, 1991; Lagarde et al., 2012), and possibly an increased risk of predation (Christian & Tracy, 1981; Díaz, 1992; Herr et al., 2020). Another possibility would be to climb trees to increase perch height, because air temperature decreases, and wind speed increases, far from the ground (Adolph, 1990; Bakken, 1989; Geiger, 1965). In addition, trees tend to be more shaded, and to offer surfaces favouring vertical body orientation and hence lowered $T_{e,s}$ (Díaz et al., 1996; Heath, 1964). Occasional but not infrequent observations of climbing lizards suggest that such behaviour was much more widespread in 2017 than in previous years. Thus, it seems that lizards were exhausting their heat loss capacity either by microhabitat selection or postural orientation (Adolph, 1990; Brewster & Beaupre, 2019; Díaz et al., 1996; Martin et al., 1995), in spite of which they basically failed to maintain T_b s within T_{sel} .

Our data allowed us to test the predictions of several contrasting hypotheses about the relationships between thermoregulatory effectiveness and environmental thermal quality. The original cost-benefit model of lizard thermoregulation (Huey & Slatkin, 1976) assumed that ectotherms have T_b s below T_{sel} , and incur in costs of raising T_b that make thermoregulation less effective in cooler habitats (e.g., closed forests) than in warmer and more open ones (Huey, 1974).

Thus, Huey and Slatkin (1976) explicitly made clear that their model was centred on T_b s below T_{sel} , although they acknowledged that in warmest habitats there should also be a cost of seeking cooler microhabitats. Afterwards, the model was modified to note that in cool forests the physiological costs of thermoconformity may be higher than those of thermoregulation, which could explain observations of effective thermoregulation in these habitats (Blouin-Demers & Nadeau, 2005; Blouin-Demers & Weatherhead, 2001). Finally, Vickers et al. (2011) extended the original model to high-temperature environments, showing that thermoregulatory effort should increase as $T_{e,s}$ rise and thermal quality declines, to avoid the disproportionately high performance costs of overheating (Huey & Kingsolver, 1993). Thus, the models predict lower effectiveness at lower thermal quality for $T_{e,s} < T_b$ (Huey & Slatkin, 1976), similar or higher effectiveness at lower thermal quality for $T_{e,s} < T_b$ (Blouin-Demers & Nadeau, 2005) or higher effectiveness at lower thermal quality for $T_{e,s} > T_b$ (Vickers et al., 2011). Our results provide partial support for the classical cost-benefit model of lizard thermoregulation (Huey & Slatkin, 1976), because lizards thermoregulated with higher accuracy and effectiveness in 1997 than in 2017, that is, when $T_{e,s}$ were closer to T_{sel} . However, this was due to a lack of thermal opportunities to keep T_b below the upper limit of T_{sel} rather than to an increased time and energy cost of rising T_b , as postulated in the original model. For the same reason, our data did not support an alternative model for high-temperature environments that predicts higher effectiveness at higher $T_{e,s}$ (Vickers et al., 2011), because lizards thermoregulated less effectively in 2017, when $T_{e,s}$ were higher. Instead, our results confirm that under unfavourably hot environmental temperatures lizards thermoregulated precisely, and as accurately and effectively as possible, independently of associated costs (Neel & McBrayer, 2018).

We must remark that our study site was an open forest that offered a fine-grained mosaic of sun and shade patches (Figure S4), which should allow lizards to thermoregulate more accurately, move less and expend less energy than they would have done in a coarser grained habitat. This is because ectotherms achieve a better balance between accuracy of thermoregulation and energy expenditure when thermal resources are spatially dispersed than when they are clumped (Sears et al., 2016). In our thermal landscape, $T_{e,s}$ in the shade, although mostly above T_{sel} , were always below the critical thermal maximum for this species (43.5°C; Bauwens et al., 1995), and the arrangement and interspersed of sun and shade patches (Figure S4) should allow lizards to minimize costs while shuttling between sun and shade. In fact, the selection of the appropriate compass directions around shrub patches allows lizards to use sunlit patches within short reach (<50cm) from the security of shrubs (Díaz, 1992), minimizing time and energy losses (Huey, 1974; Huey & Slatkin, 1976). However, all these claims assume that at least some patches offer $T_{e,s}$ within T_{sel} , and this assumption was hardly met in 2017, when such patches were very scarce, regardless of the spatial structure of the thermal mosaic.

The design of our study allowed us to assess the effects of the rise in temperature per se, disentangled from those of changes in vegetation cover (that might alter the availability of shade; Grimm-Seyfarth et al., 2017; Kearney et al., 2009) or in the methods used

to measure and analyse $T_{e,s}$, $T_{b,s}$ or T_{sel} . Thus, the negative effects of global warming on lizard thermoregulation were not attributable to a pronounced loss of shaded microhabitats, because most lizards were in full shade when first sighted, and inter-annual variation in the availability of shade was of the same magnitude as seasonal variation (Díaz et al., 2005; Díaz & Cabezas-Díaz, 2004). Instead, and in contrast with previous research (Grimm-Seyfarth et al., 2017; Kearney et al., 2009), the impact of climate warming on these lizards was seemingly due to the rise in temperature itself rather than to habitat changes that alter the availability of shade.

Our 2017 data show that for this population the primary thermal challenge was to stay cool, thus resembling arid continental climates more than temperate forests in that behaviour could buffer the impact of warming only by restricting activity to shaded locations (Huey & Tewksbury, 2009; Kearney et al., 2009). Nevertheless, shaded patches, although frequently exceeding T_{sel} , still offered a security margin of at least 2.5°C below the critical thermal maximum. Therefore, and in contrast with desert environments (Grant, 1990; Grant & Dunham, 1988; Lara-Resendiz et al., 2014), lizards could remain active in the shade during midafternoon, but at the cost of accepting $T_{b,s}$ higher than T_{sel} (Grant, 1990). Unexpectedly, we did not observe a peak of activity after the early morning basking period, when thermal quality was high. Perhaps lizards delayed the onset of activity to avoid risk of predation in sunlit patches while their $T_{b,s}$ were still low (Christian & Tracy, 1981; Herr et al., 2020), especially when they could soon attain $T_{b,s}$ within T_{sel} by selecting shaded patches without leaving the security of shrubs (Díaz, 1992).

Our data were collected in the postbreeding season, when gonadal cycles and activity levels decrease, and hepatic lipogenesis increases to fuel fat body cycles (Díaz et al., 1994). A pilot study carried out on 9 May 2017 suggests that global warming has caused thermal environmental conditions to move ahead seasonally (Buonaiuto & Wolkovich, 2021; Menzel et al., 2006). Thus, our preliminary data indicate that spring conditions in 2017 resembled summer conditions in 1997, because after 12:00h lizards selected shaded locations (with $T_{e,s}$ within or just below T_{sel}) and rejected sunlit patches (with $T_{e,s}$ well above T_{sel}). This is in contrast with the strategy observed in May 1997, when shuttling between sun and shade, rather than selecting sun or shade, was the main mechanism of behavioural thermoregulation between 11:00 and 17:00h (Díaz & Cabezas-Díaz, 2004), and lizards moved randomly among patches as required by the high activity levels typical of the breeding season. If normal shuttling activity is restricted not only in summer but also in spring, this might interfere with reproduction, eventually leading to demographic declines (Cadby et al., 2010; Falaschi et al., 2019). A world-wide correlation between the extent of local warming and lizard extinction rates was reported by Sinervo et al. (2010), who attributed such correlation to energetic shortfalls during spring caused by thermally imposed time constraints on activity. However, summer heat stress may also be important, if it curtails the recovery of energy reserves (Díaz et al., 1994), thereby compromising the next season's reproductive cycle (Derickson, 1976), or if it restricts juvenile dispersal (Massot et al., 2008). Anyway, our T_e data in the summers

of 1997 and 2017 (Figure 2) accurately mirrored predicted effects of global warming on the time window available for lizard activity (Huey et al., 2010), although the consequence of such effects was not cessation of activity but elevation of $T_{b,s}$ above T_{sel} for most of the day.

To summarize, our results, based on empirical data rather than on predictions of climate envelop modelling, suggest that the effects of global warming on the thermal biology of lowland lizard populations are already crossing the threshold, because behavioural thermoregulation is losing its ability to buffer environmental change and to weaken the intensity of selection on physiological traits (the so called 'Bogert effect'; Huey et al., 2003). The reason is simple: at least in mid-summer, the availability of $T_{e,s}$ within the preferred range is very low, behavioural adjustments cannot prevent overheating, and $T_{b,s}$ rise above T_{sel} . If we consider that our study site was a temperate open forest with a highly heterogeneous mosaic of sun and shade patches (Sears et al., 2016), and that our model organism is the commonest and most widely distributed lizard in the Mediterranean region of the Iberian peninsula (Díaz & Carrascal, 1991), we can conclude that vulnerability of temperate lizards to climate warming may be higher than predicted by global-scale studies already forecasting a dark future (Kearney et al., 2009; Sinervo et al., 2010). In spite of this, and to end with a less negative message, it is also true that our comparison was restricted to a relatively short part of the mid-summer season, and that no demographic effects were apparent (e.g., on population size or numbers of juveniles). Thus, the limited seasonal duration of our sampling period could have affected our conclusions. For instance, lizards could compensate the detrimental effects of climate change during mid-summer by being active earlier in the year and/or enlarging their daily activity time in early spring or late autumn. Future research should focus on a wider seasonal window to fully appreciate the real impact of global warming on behavioural thermoregulation by these lizards.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

José A. Díaz conceived the ideas and designed methodology; José A. Díaz, Raúl Izquierdo-Santiago and Alejandro Llanos-Garrido collected and analysed the data; José A. Díaz led the writing of the manuscript; and all authors contributed critically to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Animals were captured under licence of the 'Dirección General de Biodiversidad y Recursos Naturales' of the Community of Madrid, and their care was done in conformity with the principles approved by the Animal Experimentation Ethical Committee of the 'Universidad Complutense de Madrid'. Final preparation of this manuscript was funded by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (MICINN grant # PID2019-108341GB-I00 to J.A.D., with reference AEI/10.13039/501100011033). We thank Andrea Briega-Álvarez and Andrés Díaz Aguado for help in fieldwork, and two anonymous reviewers for helpful comments that greatly improved an earlier

version of the manuscript. J.A.D. is grateful to Luis Carrascal, Dirk Bauwens and Ray Huey for introducing him to the fascinating world of lizard thermoregulation at approximately the same time the IPCC was created.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

We declare no conflict of interest in connection with the work submitted.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data for this study are available in the Zenodo repository <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7108399> (Díaz et al., 2022).

ORCID

José A. Díaz  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6047-5065>

Alejandro Llanos-Garrido  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6307-1971>

REFERENCES

- Adolph, S. C. (1990). Influence of behavioral thermoregulation on micro-habitat use by two *Sceloporus* lizards. *Ecology*, 71, 315–327. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1940271>
- Adolph, S. C., & Porter, W. P. (1993). Temperature, activity, and lizard life histories. *The American Naturalist*, 142, 273–295. <https://doi.org/10.1086/285538>
- Angilletta, M. J. (2009). Thermal adaptation: A theoretical and empirical synthesis. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198570875.001.1>
- Angilletta, M. J., Hill, T., & Robson, M. A. (2002). Is physiological performance optimized by thermoregulatory behavior? A case study of the eastern fence lizard, *Sceloporus undulatus*. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, 27, 199–204. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4565\(01\)00084-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4565(01)00084-5)
- Araújo, M. B., Thuiller, W., & Pearson, R. G. (2006). Climate warming and the decline of amphibians and reptiles in Europe. *Journal of Biogeography*, 33, 1712–1728. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2006.01482.x>
- Bakken, G. S. (1989). Arboreal perch properties and the operative temperature experienced by small animals. *Ecology*, 70, 922–930. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1941359>
- Bakken, G. S., & Angilletta Jr., M. J. (2014). How to avoid errors when quantifying thermal environments. *Functional Ecology*, 28(1), 96–107.
- Bakken, G. S., & Gates, D. M. (1975). Heat-transfer analysis of animals: Some implications for field ecology, physiology, and evolution. In *Perspectives of biophysical ecology* (pp. 255–290). Springer.
- Bauwens, D., Garland Jnr, T., Castilla, A. M., & Van Damme, R. (1995). Evolution of sprint speed in lacertid lizards: Morphological, physiological, and behavioral covariation. *Evolution*, 49, 848–863. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1995.tb02321.x>
- Bauwens, D., Hertz, P. E., & Castilla, A. M. (1996). Thermoregulation in a lacertid lizard: The relative contributions of distinct behavioral mechanisms. *Ecology*, 77, 1818–1830. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2265786>
- Belliure, J., Carrascal, L. M., & Díaz, J. A. (1996). Covariation of thermal biology and foraging mode in two mediterranean lacertid lizards. *Ecology*, 77, 1163–1173. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2265585>
- Blouin-Demers, G., & Weatherhead, P. J. (2001). Thermal ecology of black rat snakes (*Elaphe obsoleta*) in a thermally challenging environment. *Ecology*, 82, 3025–3043. [https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658\(2001\)082\[3025:teobrs\]2.0.co;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2001)082[3025:teobrs]2.0.co;2)
- Blouin-Demers, G., & Nadeau, P. (2005). The cost-benefit model of thermoregulation does not predict lizard thermoregulatory behavior. *Ecology*, 86, 560–566. <https://doi.org/10.1890/04-1403>
- Brewster, C. L., & Beupre, S. J. (2019). The effect of body posture on available habitat and activity-time in a lizard: Implications for thermal ecology studies. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, 82, 10–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2019.03.006>
- Buckley, L. B. (2008). Linking traits to energetics and population dynamics to predict lizard ranges in changing environments. *The American Naturalist*, 171, E1–E19. <https://doi.org/10.1086/523949>
- Buonaiuto, D. M., & Wolkovich, E. M. (2021). Differences between flower and leaf phenological responses to environmental variation drive shifts in spring phenological sequences of temperate woody plants. *Journal of Ecology*, 109, 2922–2933. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13708>
- Cadby, C. D., While, G. M., Hobday, A. J., Uller, T., & Wapstra, E. (2010). Multi-scale approach to understanding climate effects on offspring size at birth and date of birth in a reptile. *Integrative Zoology*, 5, 164–175. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-4877.2010.00201.x>
- Carrascal, L. M., & Díaz, J. A. (1989). Thermal ecology and spatio-temporal distribution of the Mediterranean lizard *Psammotromus algirus*. *Holarctic Ecology*, 12, 137–143. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.1989.tb00832.x>
- Ceballos, G., Ehrlich, P. R., Barnosky, A. D., García, A., Pringle, R. M., & Palmer, T. M. (2015). Accelerated modern human-induced species losses: Entering the sixth mass extinction. *Science Advances*, 1, e1400253. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1400253>
- Ceballos, G., Ehrlich, P. R., & Raven, P. H. (2020). Vertebrates on the brink as indicators of biological annihilation and the sixth mass extinction. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 117, 13596–13602. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1922686117>
- Christian, K. A., & Tracy, C. R. (1981). The effect of the thermal environment on the ability of hatchling Galapagos land iguanas to avoid predation during dispersal. *Oecologia*, 49, 218–223. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00349191>
- Cowles, R. B., & Bogert, C. M. (1944). A preliminary study of the thermal requirements of desert reptiles. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History*, 83, 261–296.
- Daniel, R. M., & Danson, M. J. (2010). A new understanding of how temperature affects the catalytic activity of enzymes. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences*, 35, 584–591. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2010.05.001>
- Derickson, W. K. (1976). Lipid storage and utilization in reptiles. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 16, 711–723. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/16.4.711>
- Deutsch, C. A., Tewksbury, J. J., Huey, R. B., Sheldon, K. S., Ghalambor, C. K., Haak, D. C., & Martin, P. R. (2008). Impacts of climate warming on terrestrial ectotherms across latitude. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 105, 6668–6672. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0709472105>
- Díaz, J. A. (1991). Temporal patterns of basking behaviour in a Mediterranean Lacertid lizard. *Behaviour*, 118, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1163/156853991X00166>
- Díaz, J. A. (1992). Choice of compass directions around shrub patches by the heliothermic lizard *Psammotromus algirus*. *Herpetologica*, 48(3), 293–300.
- Díaz, J. A. (1994). Effects of body temperature on the predatory behaviour of the lizard *Psammotromus algirus* hunting winged and wingless prey. *Herpetological Journal*, 4(4), 145–150.
- Díaz, J. A. (1997). Ecological correlates of the thermal quality of an ectotherm's habitat: A comparison between two temperate lizard populations. *Functional Ecology*, 11, 79–89. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.1997.00058.x>
- Díaz, J. A., Alonso-Gómez, A. L., & Delgado, M. J. (1994). Seasonal variation of gonadal development, sexual steroids, and lipid reserves in a population of the lizard *Psammotromus algirus*. *Journal of Herpetology*, 28(2), 199–205. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1564621>

- Díaz, J. A., & Cabezas-Díaz, S. (2004). Seasonal variation in the contribution of different behavioural mechanisms to lizard thermoregulation. *Functional Ecology*, 18, 867–875. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0269-8463.2004.00916.x>
- Díaz, J. A., Cabezas-Díaz, S., & Salvador, A. (2005). Seasonal changes in the thermal environment do not affect microhabitat selection by *Psammodromus algirus* lizards. *Herpetological Journal*, 15(4), 295–298.
- Díaz, J. A., & Carrascal, L. M. (1991). Regional distribution of a Mediterranean lizard: Influence of habitat cues and prey abundance. *Journal of Biogeography*, 18, 291. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2845399>
- Díaz, J. A., Díaz-Urriarte, R., & Rodríguez, A. (1996). Influence of behavioral thermoregulation on the use of vertical surfaces by Iberian wall lizards *Podarcis hispanica*. *Journal of Herpetology*, 30, 548.
- Díaz, J. A., Iraeta, P., & Monasterio, C. (2006). Seasonality provokes a shift of thermal preferences in a temperate lizard, but altitude does not. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, 31(3), 237–242.
- Díaz, J. A., Izquierdo-Santiago, R., & Llanos-Garrido, A. (2022). Lizard thermoregulation revisited after two decades of global warming. *Zenodo*, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7108399>
- Falaschi, M., Manenti, R., Thuiller, W., & Ficetola, G. F. (2019). Continental-scale determinants of population trends in European amphibians and reptiles. *Global Change Biology*, 25, 3504–3515. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14739>
- García, R. A., Cabeza, M., Rahbek, C., & Araújo, M. B. (2014). Multiple dimensions of climate change and their implications for biodiversity. *Science*, 344(6183), 1247579. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1247579>
- Geiger, R. (1965). *The climate near the ground*. Harvard University Press.
- Grant, B. W. (1990). Trade-offs in activity time and physiological performance for thermoregulating desert lizards, *Sceloporus merriami*. *Ecology*, 71(6), 2323–2333. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1938643>
- Grant, B. W., & Dunham, A. E. (1988). Thermally imposed time constraints on the activity of the desert lizard *Sceloporus merriami*. *Ecology*, 69, 167–176. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1943171>
- Grimm-Seyfarth, A., Mihoub, J. B., & Henle, K. (2017). Too hot to die? The effects of vegetation shading on past, present, and future activity budgets of two diurnal skinks from arid Australia. *Ecology and Evolution*, 7(17), 6803–6813. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.3238>
- Heath, J. E. (1964). Reptilian thermoregulation: Evaluation of field studies. *Science*, 146, 784–785. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.146.3645.784>
- Herr, M. W., Avery, J. D., Langkilde, T., & Howey, C. A. F. (2020). Trade-off between thermal quality and predation risk at timber rattlesnake gestation sites. *Journal of Herpetology*, 54, 196–205. <https://doi.org/10.1670/18-073>
- Hertz, P. E. (1992). Evaluating thermal resource partitioning by sympatric lizards *Anolis cooki* and *A. cristatellus*: A field test using null hypotheses. *Oecologia*, 90, 127–136. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00317818>
- Hertz, P. E., Huey, R. B., & Stevenson, R. D. (1993). Evaluating temperature regulation by field-active ectotherms: The fallacy of the inappropriate question. *The American Naturalist*, 142, 796–818. <https://doi.org/10.1086/285573>
- Hu, Y. C., Lu, H. L., Cheng, K. M., Luo, L. G., & Zeng, Z. G. (2019). Thermal dependence of feeding performance and resting metabolic expenditure in different altitudinal populations of toad-headed lizards. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, 80, 16–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2019.01.001>
- Huey, R. B. (1974). Behavioral thermoregulation in lizards: Importance of associated costs. *Science*, 184, 1001–1003. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.184.4140.1001>
- Huey, R. B., Hertz, P. E., & Sinervo, B. (2003). Behavioral drive versus behavioral inertia in evolution: A null model approach. *The American Naturalist*, 161, 357–366. <https://doi.org/10.1086/346135>
- Huey, R. B., & Kingsolver, J. G. (1993). Evolution of resistance to high temperature in ectotherms. *The American Naturalist*, 142, S21–S46. <https://doi.org/10.1086/285521>
- Huey, R. B., Losos, J. B., & Moritz, C. (2010). Are lizards toast? *Science*, 328, 832–833. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1190374>
- Huey, R. B., & Slatkin, M. (1976). Cost and benefits of lizard thermoregulation. *The Quarterly Review of Biology*, 51, 363–384. <https://doi.org/10.1086/409470>
- Huey, R. B., & Tewksbury, J. J. (2009). Can behavior douse the fire of climate warming? *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 106, 3647–3648. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0900934106>
- Jørgensen, L. B., Malte, H., Ørsted, M., Klahn, N. A., & Overgaard, J. (2021). A unifying model to estimate thermal tolerance limits in ectotherms across static, dynamic and fluctuating exposures to thermal stress. *Scientific Reports*, 11, 12840. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-92004-6>
- Kearney, M., Shine, R., & Porter, W. P. (2009). The potential for behavioral thermoregulation to buffer 'cold-blooded' animals against climate warming. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 106, 3835–3840. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0808913106>
- Lagarde, F., Louzizi, T., Slimani, T., El Mouden, H., Ben Kaddour, K., Moulherat, S., & Bonnet, X. (2012). Bushes protect tortoises from lethal overheating in arid areas of Morocco. *Environmental Conservation*, 39, 172–182. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0376892911000634>
- Lara-Resendiz, R. A., Jezkova, T., Rosen, P. C., & Méndez-De La Cruz, F. R. (2014). Thermoregulation during the summer season in the Goode's horned lizard *Phrynosoma goodei* (Iguania: Phrynosomatidae) in Sonoran Desert. *Amphibia-Reptilia*, 35, 161–172. <https://doi.org/10.1163/15685381-00002938>
- Licht, P., Dawson, W. R., Shoemaker, V. H., & Main, A. R. (1966). Observations on the thermal relations of western Australian lizards. *Copeia*, 1966, 97. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1440766>
- Martin, J., Lopez, P., Carrascal, L. M., & Salvador, A. (1995). Adjustment of basking postures in the high-altitude Iberian rock lizard (*Lacerta monticola*). *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 73, 1065–1068. <https://doi.org/10.1139/z95-126>
- Massot, M., Clobert, J., & Ferrière, R. (2008). Climate warming, dispersal inhibition and extinction risk. *Global Change Biology*, 14, 461–469. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01514.x>
- Menzel, A., Sparks, T. H., Estrella, N., Koch, E., Aaasa, A., Ahas, R., Alm-Kübler, K., Bissolli, P., Braslavská, O., Briede, A., Chmielewski, F., Crepinsek, Z., Curnel, Y., Dahl, A., Defila, C., Donnelly, A., Filella, Y., Jatczak, K., Finn, M., ... Züst, A. (2006). European phenological response to climate change matches the warming pattern. *Global Change Biology*, 12, 1969–1976. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01193.x>
- Neel, L. K., & McBrayer, L. D. (2018). Habitat management alters thermal opportunity. *Functional Ecology*, 32, 2029–2039. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13123>
- Niu, Z., Li, M., Pu, P., Wang, H., Zhang, T., Tang, X., & Chen, Q. (2021). Effects of temperature on the locomotor performance and contraction properties of skeletal muscle from two *Phrynocephalus* lizards at high and low altitude. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B*, 191, 907–916. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00360-021-01391-9>
- Sears, M. W., Angilletta, M. J., Schuler, M. S., Borchert, J., Dilliplane, K. F., Stegman, M., Rusch, T. W., & Mitchell, W. A. (2016). Configuration of the thermal landscape determines thermoregulatory performance of ectotherms. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 113, 10595–10600. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1604824113>
- Shine, R., & Kearney, M. (2001). Field studies of reptile thermoregulation: How well do physical models predict operative temperatures? *Functional Ecology*, 15, 282–288. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.2001.00510.x>

- Sinervo, B., Méndez-de-la-Cruz, F., Miles, D. B., Heulin, B., Bastiaans, E., Villagrán-Santa Cruz, M., Lara-Resendiz, R., Martínez-Méndez, N., Calderón-Espinosa, M. L., Meza-Lázaro, R. N., Gadsden, H., Avila, L. J., Morando, M., De la Riva, I. J., Victoriano Sepulveda, P., Rocha, C. F., Ibarquengoytia, N., Aguilar Puntriano, C., Massot, M., ... Sites Jr., J. W. (2010). Erosion of lizard diversity by climate change and altered thermal niches. *Science*, 328, 894–899. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1184695>.
- Van Berkum, F. H. (1986). Evolutionary patterns of the thermal sensitivity of sprint speed in *Anolis* lizards. *Evolution*, 40, 594–604. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1986.tb00510.x>
- Van Damme, R., Bauwens, D., & Verheyen, R. F. (1991). The thermal dependence of feeding behaviour, food consumption and gut-passage time in the lizard *Lacerta vivipara* Jacquin. *Functional Ecology*, 5, 507. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2389633>
- Vickers, M., Manicom, C., & Schwarzkopf, L. (2011). Extending the cost-benefit model of thermoregulation: High-temperature environments. *The American Naturalist*, 177, 452–461. <https://doi.org/10.1086/658150>
- Vickers, M., & Schwarzkopf, L. (2016). A simple method to predict body temperature of small reptiles from environmental temperature. *Ecology and Evolution*, 6, 3059–3066. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1961>
- Vitt, L. J., & Sartorius, S. S. (1999). HOBos, Tidbits and lizard models: The utility of electronic devices in field studies of ectotherm thermoregulation. *Functional Ecology*, 13, 670–674. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.1999.00357.x>
- Voosen, P. (2021). Global temperatures in 2020 tied record highs. *Science*, 371, 334–335. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.371.6527.334>
- Zamora-Camacho, F. J., Rubiño-Hispán, M. V., Reguera, S., & Moreno-Rueda, G. (2015). Thermal dependence of sprint performance in the lizard *Psammotromus algirus* along a 2200-meter elevational gradient: Cold-habitat lizards do not perform better at low temperatures. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, 52, 90–96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2015.06.003>

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Díaz, J. A., Izquierdo-Santiago, R., & Llanos-Garrido, A. (2022). Lizard thermoregulation revisited after two decades of global warming. *Functional Ecology*, 00, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.14192>