Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jean-Claude Baron, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY Clio González-Zacarías, University of Southern California, United States Panagiotis G. Simos, University of Crete, Greece

*CORRESPONDENCE Melanie Koelbel I melanie.koelbel.15@ucl.ac.uk Fenella J. Kirkham fenella.kirkham@ucl.ac.uk

RECEIVED 01 November 2022 ACCEPTED 26 June 2023 PUBLISHED 25 July 2023

CITATION

Koelbel M, Hamdule S, Kirkham FJ, Stotesbury H, Hood AM and Dimitriou D (2023) Mind the gap: trajectory of cognitive development in young individuals with sickle cell disease: a cross-sectional study. *Front. Neurol.* 14:1087054. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2023.1087054

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Koelbel, Hamdule, Kirkham, Stotesbury, Hood and Dimitriou. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Mind the gap: trajectory of cognitive development in young individuals with sickle cell disease: a cross-sectional study

Melanie Koelbel^{1,2*}, Shifa Hamdule¹, Fenella J. Kirkham^{1,3*}, Hanne Stotesbury¹, Anna Marie Hood^{1,4} and Dagmara Dimitriou²

¹Developmental Neurosciences Section, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, London, United Kingdom, ²Sleep Education and Research Laboratory, UCL Institute of Education, London, United Kingdom, ³Clinical and Experimental Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom, ⁴Division of Psychology and Mental Health, Manchester Centre for Health Psychology, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom

Study objectives: Compared to typically developing children and young adults (CYA-TD), those living with Sickle Cell Disease (CYA-SCD) experience more cognitive difficulties, particularly with executive function. Few studies have examined the relative importance of silent cerebral infarction (SCI), haemoglobin and arterial oxygen content on age-related cognitive changes using cross-sectional or longitudinal (developmental trajectory) data. This study presents cohort data from a single timepoint to inform studies with multiple timepoints.

Methods: We compared cross-sectional raw and scaled scores as age-related changes in cognition (trajectories) in CYA-SCD and age-and ethnicity-matched CYA-TD. We also compared cross-sectional age-related changes in cognition (trajectories) in CYA-SCD with and without SCI to CYA-TD. General cognitive abilities were assessed using Wechsler Intelligence Scales, including the Verbal Comprehension Index (VCI) and Perceptual Reasoning Index (PRI) underpinning IQ. Executive function was evaluated using the Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System (D-KEFS) Tower subtest and the Behaviour Rating Inventory of Executive Function (BRIEF) questionnaire. SCI were identified from contemporaneous 3T MRI; participants with overt stroke were excluded. Recent haemoglobin was available and oxygen saturation (SpO₂) was measured on the day of the MRI.

Results: Data were available for 120 CYA-SCD [62 male; age=16.78 \pm 4.79 years; 42 (35%) with SCI] and 53 CYA-TD (23 male; age=17.36 \pm 5.16). Compared with CYA-TD, CYA-SCD experienced a delayed onset in VCI and slower rate of development for BRIEF Global Executive Composite, Metacognition Index (MI), and Behaviour Regulation Index. The rate of executive function development for the BRIEF MI differed significantly between CYA-TD and CYA-SCD, with those with SCI showing a 26% delay compared with CYA-TD. For CYA-SCD with SCI, arterial oxygen content explained 22% of the variance in VCI and 37% in PRI, while haemoglobin explained 29% of the variance in PRI.

Conclusion: Age-related cognitive trajectories of CYA-SCD may not be impaired but may progress more slowly. Longitudinal studies are required, using tests unaffected by practice. In addition to initiation of medical treatment, including measures to improve arterial oxygen content, early cognitive intervention, educational support, and delivery of extracurricular activities could support cognitive development for CYA-SCD.

KEYWORDS

sickle cell disease, cognition, development, executive function, age-related changes, cross-sectional study, verbal comprehension, silent cerebral infarction



1. Introduction

Sickle cell disease (SCD) is the most common inherited blood disorder, with about 275,000 babies born annually worldwide (1). In the homozygous form [sickle cell anaemia (SCA); HbSS], a combination of low haemoglobin and low oxygen saturation means that children are at risk of central nervous system complications, including stroke and seizures (2, 3). Accumulation of silent cerebral infarcts (SCI) on Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) from an early age may result in cognitive difficulties that impact not only on academic attainment and life achievements, but also quality of life (4). Schatz and McClellan (4) identified SCD as a neurodevelopmental disorder because of the multifaceted impact of genes, vascular health, and social and environmental factors on early brain development (Figure 1). However, it is still unclear if individuals with SCD experience developmental delay, loss of skills related to brain injury after normal development, or atypical development due to a combination of both.

Previous research suggests that stroke and SCI contribute to the cognitive difficulties frequently seen in this population (5, 6). Systematic literature reviews have demonstrated that full-scale intelligence quotient (FSIQ) is lower than controls in individuals living with SCD, even those with no brain pathology (i.e., "normal MRI": no SCI or stroke) (5, 7). However, cross-sectional data from studies using higher MRI field strengths have not found a robust association of SCI with cognition (8). As genetically determined and potentially modifiable environmental exposures may alter the development of cognition (9), there is a case for investigating cognitive profiles and trajectories in conditions where both are at play, such as SCD (10). To inform early interventions which might positively change the course of development, it is important to know when and why any difference(s) in development occur.

Full-Scale IQ (FSIQ) of adults or children may be measured with the Wechsler Intelligence Scales (11, 12), which include tests of different cognitive skills including the Verbal Comprehension Index (VCI), as well as Perceptual Reasoning (PRI), Working Memory (WMI) and Processing Speed Indices (PSI). The VCI subtests measure problem solving skills (e.g., subtest Similarities) (13, 14) and acquired general knowledge (e.g., Vocabulary) (14, 15). Executive Function (EF), including working memory, response selection (i.e., inhibition) and meta-tasking (16-18), is important for academic achievement and well-being in children. These cognitive domains develop at different stages throughout childhood from infancy to young adulthood (19), with multiple sensitive periods for each cognitive sub-domain (20). EF is typically measured using standardised tests, from batteries such as the Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System, or validated questionnaires such as the Behaviour Rating Inventory of Executive Function (BRIEF). Various socioeconomic factors (e.g., income-toneeds and maternal education) appear to be predictors of a child's performance on EF tasks over time (21). Research has also shown that compromised health, such as is experienced in an inherited disease like SCD, might play an important role in the development of cognitive difficulties (22). Critically, children with SCD show early signs of reduced performance on measures of EF as well as general IQ (23, 24).

Previous research suggests that cognitive abilities are *impaired* in children and adults living with SCD and that difficulties are present at an early age (7). Most previous studies have only looked at cognitive abilities in specific age groups cross-sectionally. However, an approach which takes into account age-related changes is needed when studying neurodevelopmental disorders (25). Specific cognitive abilities (e.g., processing speed, EF) might impact on the early development of other cognitive abilities (26).

Wang et al. (27) reported cognitive data from 1 to 4 (mean 2.9 ± 1.0) timepoints in 467 children living with SCD (255 with HbSS) aged 6–18 years. At baseline those with HbSS and SCI had lower scores for FSIQ, Verbal IQ (VIQ) and Performance IQ (PIQ), as well as Digit Span, mathematics and reading achievement, at all ages. The hypothesis that there would be a decrease in psychometric



performance with increasing age was addressed with follow-up data in between 122 and 173 children with HbSS and normal MRI only. It is important to note that during the study period, two versions of the Wechsler IQ scales were used with different scaling, so that age-related change, rather than longitudinal data, were reported for IQ. There was a significant decline in VIQ but not in PIQ or FSIQ with increasing age. On average VIQ declined with increasing age by 0.5 points/year (p < 0.04). After rescoring of the effectively equivalent subtests, longitudinal data could be reported for Working Memory (assessed using the Digit Span subtest) for which there was no evidence of decline, and Processing Speed (assessed using the Coding subtest) which declined 0.2 points per year (p < 0.01) (27). From the Woodcock-Johnson Tests of Achievement, there was a decline in performance for Mathematics (p < 0.01) but not for Reading. This study was conducted prior to the introduction of disease-modifying therapies, e.g., hydroxyurea. A Ghanaian study in adults aged 18-50 years with follow-up data after 7 years found a significant decline in verbal, non-verbal and global PIQ on the Revised Quick Cognitive Screening Test, as well as significant decreases in visuospatial abilities, processing speed, and EF (28). The rarity of these types of longitudinal evaluations in high and low resource countries is in part due to the logistical difficulties and expense.

An alternative to longitudinal evaluation is to examine existing cross-sectional databases to compare cognitive scores against age between typically developing children and young adults (CYA-TD) and those living with a long-term condition that may affect cognitive development. Although evaluating age-related changes in cross-sectional data is not truly a measure of developmental trajectory, it can help gain valuable insight in understanding developmental change over time to inform longitudinal studies. The assessment of age-related changes in cognitive development has been used in the previous studies of other developmental disorders (e.g., autism, Williams Syndrome and Down Syndrome) (29, 30), and was originally termed the developmental trajectory approach but has received little attention so far in children living with SCD (10, 31).

The approach described by Thomas et al. (32) helps to identify cognitive change with age and provides a more in-depth analysis of scores compared with simply reporting means across all ages. A significant linear relationship between age and the cognitive variable of interest in typically developing children is required to perform the developmental trajectory analysis in those with a long-term condition. Further analysis compares the two groups to identify if there might be a delay or slower rate of development in the non-typically developing population. The latter may be because there is a plateau or because there is no relationship at all with age, which can be distinguished by a rotational analysis (32).

Scaling to age-appropriate scores allows comparison with normative data, to see whether the groups develop differently as compared to their CYA-TD peers but might confound an analysis of age-related changes. Evaluation of raw scores is encouraged in longitudinal data as it provides a robust measure of individual change in the outcome measure, hence allowing evaluation of progress or decline for a particular individual across different time points. Exploring trajectories for raw as well as scaled scores might yield important insights when considering using cognitive endpoints in randomised clinical trials (33). Following this paradigm, we explored associations with both raw as well as scaled scores.

In this study, we investigated the cognitive development of children and young adults living with SCD (CYA-SCD) by adopting a crosssectional age-related change (developmental trajectory) approach used in several previous studies (30, 32). The key aim was to investigate if there was a delay in the development of cognitive function, or if the rate of development differed, by comparing ethnicity and age-matched controls with patients living with SCD. Since previous literature has also showed an association between cognition and blood oxygenation measures [haemoglobin, peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO₂) and arterial oxygen content (CaO₂)] as well as SCI, we also aimed to investigate the effects of SCI, haemoglobin, SpO₂ and CaO₂ on cognitive development. Fox example, previous research found lower VIQ in adolescents with SCD and lower SpO₂ (34), while lower processing speed was associated with lower CaO₂ in CYA-SCD (35).

We hypothesised that:

- CYA-SCD would perform worse on tests of intelligence and EF compared to age-and ethnicity-matched CYA-TD.
- Developmental trajectories would be delayed, and the rate of development would be slower for CYA-SCD, with and without SCI, as compared to CYA-TD.
- 3. Factors explaining the cognitive differences between CYA-SCD and CYA-TD would include haemoglobin, SpO₂ and CaO₂.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

Cross-sectional data were collected from Black CYA aged >8 years and \leq 30 years with SCD and TD. A total of 173 Participants were enrolled in three different studies conducted at University College London: (1) The Sleep and Asthma Cohort (SAC) (36), (2) the Prevention of Morbidity in SCA 2b (POMS) (37), and (3) a community study in London which recruited through convenience and snowball sampling including advertisements on Twitter, Instagram, and in community centres and charities (38). For all three studies, inclusion criteria included the ability to speak English fluently and homozygosity for sickle cell haemoglobin (i.e., HbSS) or compound heterozygosity for sickle β thalassaemia zero (HbS β 0) for CYA-SCD. CYA-SCD were ineligible for SAC and POMS study participation if they were receiving nocturnal respiratory support at the time of enrolment, participating in a clinical trial evaluating blood transfusion or oxygen therapy, had chronic lung disease (other than asthma), or existing respiratory failure.

Additional exclusion criteria for the POMS study were hospital admissions within 1 month of enrolment or more than six hospital admissions within 12 months of enrolment (both for acute sicklerelated complications), overnight oximetry showing mean overnight saturation of less than 90% for more than 30% of total sleep time, severe sleep apnoea defined by 4% oxygen desaturation index >15/h, and chronic blood transfusion or transfusion within 3 months of enrolment. The use of the disease-modifying therapy, hydroxyurea, was not an exclusion criterion. For the SAC study, patients were enrolled without regard to past sickle-or sleep-related morbidity or transfusion status. Black-British siblings, family members, peers of CYA-SCD, and people from the community in London, with no known neurological and psychological difficulties, were recruited as CYA-TD, whether they had sickle cell trait or SCI on MRI. Participants with or without SCD who had experienced an overt stroke were excluded. Each participant had a study protocol MRI on a Siemens 3 T PRISMA scanner (8). An independent neuroradiologist classified SCI according to the Silent Infarction Transfusion trial (39) (i.e., hyperintensity on FLAIR: >3 mm in diameter, present on two planes).

Detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria for individuals with SCD are explained elsewhere (36, 37, 40). West London NHS (SAC; 05/ Q0408/42, 11/EM/0084, 15/LO/0347), Yorkshire NHS (POMS; 15/ YH/0213), and University College London (14475/001) ethics committees provided ethical approval. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants and for children from their parent/ guardian; the children also gave written assent.

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Questionnaires

Basic demographic information was obtained at the start of each study (i.e., age, sex, and postcode). The postcode-based Index of Multiple Deprivation was used as an indication of socioeconomic status (SES), as previously described (41). It consists of seven domains: income, employment, education, health, crime, barriers to housing and service, and living environment (42). The data are open-source, and downloadable from the UK Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government.¹ The index ranges from rank 1st (most deprived) to 32,844th (least deprived).

2.2.2. Cognitive assessment

General cognitive abilities were assessed using the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children (WISC-IV; SAC patients and CYA-TD < 16 years) (11), the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (WAIS-IV; SAC patients and CYA-TD > 16 years) (12) or were estimated using the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence (WASI-II Vocabulary and Matrix Reasoning; POMS patients) (43). Scaled and raw scores for all indices and subtests were calculated to compare age-related changes. Scaled scores were derived from raw scores for each subtest, enabling comparison to the normative group based on the age range. Scaled scores from various subtests were combined together, resulting in indices for various domains of cognitive development: VCI (Verbal Comprehension:

¹ http://imd-by-postcode.opendatacommunities.org/imd/2019

Similarities, Vocabulary, Information/Comprehension), PRI (Perceptual Reasoning: Block Design, Matrix Reasoning/Picture Concepts, Visual Puzzles), WMI (Working Memory: Digit Span, Arithmetic/Letter-Number-Sequencing), PSI (Processing Speed: Symbol Search, Coding/Cancellation) and FSIQ (Full-Scale IQ: sum of all raw scores). Raw scores have a mean of 10 and a standard deviation of 3. Scaled scores have a mean of 100 and a standard deviation of 15.

EF was assessed using the examiner-administered Tower subtest from the D-KEFS (44). Tower time was the outcome investigated, which has a mean of 10 and a standard deviation of 3. In addition, for a patient-centric measure, the caregiver-reported Behaviour Rating Inventory of Executive Function (BRIEF) (45) or adult self-reported BRIEF (BRIEF-A) were administered. The Global Executive Composite (GEC), Behaviour Regulation Index (BRI) and Metacognition Index (MI) and all subscale scores were investigated (46). The GEC is a summary index score of the BRI and MI. The BRI contains four different subscales: Inhibit, Shift, Emotional Control and Self-Monitor. The MI contains five subscales: Initiate, Working Memory, Plan/Organize, Task Monitor, and Organization of Materials. The caregiver-reported BRIEF does not contain the subscales of the BRI Self-Monitor and subscale for the MI Task Monitor. It also has an additional subscale the MI Monitor. T scores were used to interpret the BRIEF indices (normal < 60; mildly elevated: 60-64; potentially clinically elevated 65-69; clinically elevated above 70). Scaled and raw scores for all indices and subtests were calculated to compare developmental trajectories.

2.2.3. Procedure

Participants completed their cognitive assessment on a single day in a quiet and comfortable room to minimise distractions. On the day of cognitive assessment, a fingertip pulse oximeter was used to measure daytime SpO₂ (%). Haemoglobin (Hb in g/L) and Haematocrit (%) were obtained from the most recent laboratory test, partial pressure of oxygen (PaO₂) was assumed to be 100 mmHg and arterial oxygen content (CaO₂ in mL/dL) was calculated as

$$\left[\text{SpO}_2 \times \text{Hb} \left(g / L \right) \times 1.37 \right] + \left[\text{PaO}_2 \left(\text{mmHg} \right) \times 0.003 \right]$$

2.3. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS[®] version 26 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, United States) for Mac[®]. Mean ± standard deviation (SD) was reported unless otherwise stated. For each variable, normality and homogeneity of variance were assessed using the Shapiro–Wilk test. Cook's distance was used to identify potential outliers. Appropriate parametric (e.g., *t*-test, ANCOVA) or non-parametric (e.g., Mann–Whitney-U, Kruskal-Wallis) tests were then chosen to compare demographic and cognitive variables between CYA-SCD and CYA-TD, while controlling for age, sex, and SES. We investigated the relationship of haemoglobin, CaO₂, SpO₂ and SES in CYA-SCD on cognitive scores using simple linear regression models. We also inspected the scatter-and residual plots to check for linearity and outliers before assessing linear relationships.

2.4. Age-related change (developmental trajectory) analysis

To calculate and compare cross-sectional age-related changes (developmental trajectories), we used the method outlined by Thomas et al. (32), as summarised in the sections below. Detailed descriptions of this statistical approach have been published previously (30, 32) and used in cross-sectional studies of neurodevelopmental disorders (29, 30, 47). Further information is available at: http://www7.bbk.ac.uk/psychology/dnl/old_site/stats/Thomas_trajectories.html#Section2.

2.4.1. Pre-analysis: simple linear regression

Trajectories can only be compared if there is a significant linear relationship between mental age and the cognitive variable in the CYA-TD group (32). To explore the importance of any differences in socioeconomic status across different cohorts, all models were corrected for SES. However, SES did not significantly influence the scores on cognitive tests. Hence, it was excluded from the ANCOVA analyses. For the analysis, mental age was calculated as (FSIQ score/100) * chronological age. Hence, the first step of our analysis was to generate simple linear regression models with each *scaled* cognitive variable as the outcome and mental age as the predictor for the CYA-TD group and the CYA-SCD group separately. Additionally, for *raw* scores, separate regression lines were calculated for WISC and WAIS data as the total raw scores for each subtest differ (See Supplementary Tables).

2.4.2. Age-related changes (developmental trajectories) analysis

For our next step, we adjusted the age such that zero years represented the lowest age at which we collected data, i.e., 8 years. To do this, we deducted the lowest age from each participant's mental age (30). Following this adjustment for age, we generated ANCOVA models that compared the developmental trajectory for cognitive scores only for those who showed a significant linear relationship with mental age in the CYA-TD group. The developmental trajectory analyses using ANCOVA resulted in two metrics: (1) if there was a delay at onset, i.e., if the two groups differed in performance at the youngest age at which the measurement is taken (also known as overall effect of the group), and (2) if the rate of development differed between the two groups which is the interaction between group performance and mental age (group × MA). It was also possible to calculate the difference between the two scores at onset by subtracting the intercept of the CYA-SCD group from the intercept of the CYA-TD group (delay at onset=intercept of CYA-TD group - intercept of CYA-SCD group). Similarly, difference in the rate of development is calculated by dividing the gradient of CYA-SCD group by that of the CYA-TD group (rate of development = gradient of CYA-SCD group/ gradient of CYA-TD group).

2.4.3. Rotational analysis (null trajectory analysis)

For cognitive domains where the CYA-TD group showed a significant relationship between scores and age, but the CYA-SCD group did not, we conducted a *rotation analysis* (X-Y coordinates) to distinguish between a *zero trajectory* and *no systematic relationship* in the CYA-SCD group, since results can be influenced by other factors such as cross-sectional design artifacts, missing disease severity data, and variability and static development in a particular cognitive domain

[see detailed discussion in Thomas et al. (32)]. This allows distinction in the CYA-SCD group between plateauing (zero trajectory) or whether, alternatively, there is no systematic relationship, suggesting that there might be other variables that predict the relationship better.

Using the same approach, we also examined if developmental trajectories differed between CYA-SCD with and without SCI.

3. Results

3.1. Participant characteristics

Our study included 120 CYA-SCD participants [Genotypes: HbSS: 99%, N=119; HbSB0-thal: 1%, N=1, 62 males; mean age = 16.78 ± 4.79; age range 8–30 years; N=53 (44%) \leq 16 years]. Fifty-three CYA-TD [34% HbAS; 23 males; mean age = 17.36 ± 5.16; age range 8.2–30 years; N=23 (43%) \leq 16 years] were eligible for inclusion in the developmental trajectory analysis (Table 1). Just over one third of CYA-SCD had SCI (Table 1). No significant differences for age, sex, or SES (p > 0.05) were observed between CYA-SCD and CYA-TD, or for CYA-SCD with (N=49) or without hydroxyurea prescription (N=71). CYA-SCD had significantly lower SpO₂, CaO₂, haemoglobin and haematocrit compared to CYA-TD (Table 1).

3.2. Cognitive profile

After controlling for age, sex and SES, there were significant mean group differences between CYA-SCD and CYA-TD for IQ, VCI Similarities and Vocabulary, PRI and PRI Block Design and Matrix Reasoning, WMI and WMI Digit Span and Arithmetic, PSI and PSI Coding and Symbol Search but no significant differences for variables measuring EF (Table 1).

After controlling for age, sex and SES, there were no significant mean group differences in demographics, for CYA-SCD with (CYA-SCD-SCI+) and without (CYA-SCD-SCI-) silent cerebral infarct (Supplementary Tables). Significant group differences for CYA-SCD-SCI+ and CYA-TD were found for PRI and PRI Block Design, WMI and WMI Digit Span, PSI and PSI Symbol Search and Cancellation. Significant group differences for CYA-SCD-SCI+, CYA-SCD-SCI- and CYA-TD were found for PRI, WMI and WMI Digit Span and Arithmetic, PSI and PSI Coding and Cancellation. No significant differences were found for variables measuring EF. All values were controlled for age, sex and SES and are available in Supplementary Table S1.

3.3. Developmental trajectories (age-related changes) for scaled cognitive scores

The results for scaled scores developmental trajectories are presented below for each step of the analysis, with the data on delay at onset and rate of development for CYA-SCD compared with CYA-TD presented in Table 2.

3.3.1. Pre-analysis: simple linear regression

Cross-sectional developmental trajectory analyses explored cognitive profiles in CYA-SCD in comparison to CYA-TD. SES did not

significantly influence any of the cognitive scores and was excluded from further analyses. All simple linear regression results examining the relationships between mental age and performance on cognitive scores are shown in Supplementary Table S2. To compare the groups in the later steps of the analysis, the pre-analysis (simple linear regression) required a statistically significant result for the CYA-TD group (Supplementary Table S2) which was the case for VCI, the VCI Similarities and Vocabulary subtests, PRI, the PRI Block Design subtest and WMI as well as the PSI Coding subtest. For the measures of EF the BRIEF GEC was close to being significantly related to mental age. The BRIEF MI and its subscales Initiate, Working Memory, and Plan/Organize as well as the BRIEF BRI and its subscale Inhibit, all showed a significant relationship with mental age (see Supplementary Table S2).

3.3.2. Cross-sectional developmental trajectory analysis

As our second step, we examined developmental trajectories between cognition and mental age for CYA-SCD and CYA-TD. ANCOVA models were constructed to evaluate the overall effect of the group in terms of delay at onset and the Group \times Mental Age (MA) interaction effect to determine the differences in the rate of cognitive development. Significant results from this analysis are summarised in Table 2 with regressions are plotted in Figures 2–7.

3.3.2.1. Wechsler scales for Intelligence

For Wechsler Indices, there was an overall effect of group for VCI only; thus, the intercepts of the two groups were reliably different at the youngest age of measurement (Table 2 and Figure 2). CYA-SCD appeared to experience a delayed onset in VCI cognitive development, with a lower VCI score of 9.26 points compared to the CYA-TD group at the youngest age. However, there was no significant Group \times MA interaction, i.e., verbal comprehension for CYA-SCD did not appear to develop more slowly compared to CYA-TD peers, indicating a possible period for catch up.

3.3.2.2. BRIEF scores

There was an overall effect of group for BRIEF GEC (Figure 3A), BRIEF MI (Figure 3B) and BRIEF MI Initiate, BRIEF MI Working memory and BRIEF MI Plan/Organise subscales (Figures 4A-C), as well as BRIEF BRI (Figure 3C) and BRIEF BRI Inhibit subscale (Figure 5) scores, thus, the intercepts of the CYA-SCD and CYA-TD groups were reliably different at the youngest age of measurement (See Table 2), with CYA-SCD showing worse EF scores at the youngest age. These data indicate that CYA-SCD have a delayed onset for EF compared to CYA-TD, with BRIEF MI Working Memory showing the greatest delay at onset (-27.96) followed by MI Plan/Organise (-21.9) (Table 2 and Figure 4). Significant Group x MA interactions were found for all these measures mentioned, with CYA-SCD demonstrating a slower rate of development compared to their CYA-TD peers, with BRIEF BRI (43%), and MI subscales Working Memory (42%) and Plan/ Organise (38%) showing the greatest differences in rate of development.

3.3.3. Rotational analysis (null trajectory analysis)

For cognitive domains where there was a significant relationship between mental age and cognitive scores only for CYA-TD (Supplementary Table S2), but not for the CYA-SCD, an

TABLE 1 Participant comparison.

	N	CYA-SCD	CI 95%	N	CYA-TD	CI 95%	p^1	Effect size	p ²	Effect size
Demographics										
Gender	120	62 Male		53	23 Male		> 0.05			
		58 Female			30 Female					
MRI (N), SCI	106	42, 35%			46, 10%					
(%)										
Genotype (N)		HbSS (119)			HbAA (35)					
		HbSB0-thal (1)			HbAS (18)					
Age in years	120	16.78 (4.79)	[15.91, 17.65]	53	17.36 (5.16)	[15.94, 18.78]	> 0.05*	0.12		
		8.02-29.40			8.24-30.67					
SES	115	9995.15	[8786.76,	53	9322.94	[7520.85,	> 0.05*	0.14		
		(6541.42)	11205.54]		(6537.98)	11125.03]				
		1.443-32.371			3.056-32.371					
SpO ₂ %	55	96.41 (3.41)	[95.50, 97.34]	39	98.56 (1.43)	[98.10, 99.03]	< 0.001*	0.75	0.001	0.11
		86.91-100			93-100					
CaO ₂ mL/d	106	11.73 (1.93)	[11.36, 12.10]	47	18.13 (1.04)	[17.82, 18.43]	< 0.00*	2.59	0.001	0.73
		7.79–17.55			16.86-20.68					
Hemoglobin g/L	106	88.30 (14.23)	[85.30, 90.78]	47	134.89 (8.55)	[132.38,	< 0.00*	2.51	< 0.001	0.73
		60-134			126-152	157.41]				
Hematocrit %	106	26 34 (4 57)	[25 46 27 22]	47	39.60 (5.91)	[37 86 41 34]	< 0.00*	2 23	< 0.001	0.57
	100	16 10-40	[23.40, 27.22]	-17	3 4-45 3	[57.00, 41.54]	< 0.00	2.2.5	< 0.001	0.57
Wechsler cognitive	e scores	10110 10			011 1010					
FSIO	120	93.16 (13.07)	[90.80, 95.52]	53	98.43 (12.10)	[95,10,	0.01	0.41	0.006	0.05
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	[]		, ()	101.77]				
		58-123			75-130					
VCI	69	95.48 (13.71)	[92.19, 98.77]	53	99.40 (11.72)	[96.17,	0.09	0.30	0.07	0.03
						102.63]				
		57-138			71-130					
Similarities	69	9 (2.72)	[8.35, 9.65]	53	10.17 (2.23)	[9.56, 10.78]	0.01	0.46	0.02	0.05
		3–17			6-16					
Vocabulary	120	9.2 (2.90)	[8.68, 9.72]	53	10.11 (2.73)	[9.36, 10.87]	0.10*	0.25	0.015	0.04
		2-17			5-17					
PRI	69	91.07 (10.79)	[88.48, 93.66]	53	96.94 (10.53)	[94.04, 99.85]	0.003	0.55	0.003	0.08
		61–111			73–117					
Block Design	69	7.54 (2.18)	[7.01, 8.06]	53	8.43 (2.21)	[7.83, 9.04]	0.02*	0.44	0.03	0.04
		3-14			4-13					
Matrix	120	9.62 (2.82)	[9.11, 10.13]	53	10.60 (2.66)	[9.87, 11.34]	0.03*	0.33	0.01	0.04
Reasoning										
	100	1-16			4-15	[0= 0=	0.004	0.50		
WMI	120	92.71 (13.70)	[90.23, 95.19]	53	100.68 (13.18)	[97.05, 104.31]	0.001	0.59	< 0.001	0.07
		56-136			65-136	101.01]				
Digit Span	120	8,84 (2.57)	[8,38, 9,31]	53	10.60 (2.73)	[9,85, 11 36]	< 0.001*	0.62	< 0.001	0.08
o- opun		3-17	[5-19		. 5.001	0.02		0.00
Arithmetic	114	8.54 (3.04)	[7.97, 9.10]	49	10.16 (2.73)	[9.38, 10.95]	0.001*	0.52	0.001	0.07
		0.01 (0.01)	[,,,,,0]	~	10.10 (2.7.5)	[,, 10.,5]	0.001	0.02	0.001	0.07

(Continued)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

	N	CYA-SCD	CI 95%	N	CYA-TD	CI 95%	p^1	Effect size	p²	Effect size
		2-19			5-18					
PSI	120	89.25 (13.28)	[86.85, 91.65]	53	98.51 (14.29)	[94.57, 102.45]	< 0.001	0.68	< 0.001	0.11
		59-131			53-122					
Coding	120	7.77 (2.65)	[7.29, 8.25]	53	9.42 (3.04)	[8.58, 10.25]	< 0.001*	0.54	< 0.001	0.08
		2-16			2-15					
Symbol Search	120	8.43 (3.01)	[7.88, 8.97]	53	9.64 (2.92)	[8.84, 10.45]	0.01*	0.41	0.01	0.04
		1-15			1-15					
Cancellation	120	9.38 (3.60)	[8.72, 10.03]	52	10.29 (2.89)	[9.49, 11.09]	0.08	0.27	0.10	0.02
Executive function	n scores									
BRIEF GEC	95	52.44 (10.79)	[50.24, 54.64]	39	50.67 (11.08)	[47.07, 54.26]	0.40	-0.16	0.38	0.01
		34-80			33-78					
BRIEF BRI	95	52.04 (10.92)	[49.82, 54.27]	39	51.59 (11.13)	[47.98, 55.20]	0.85*	0.03	0.88	0.00
		34-84			34-75					
Inhibit	67	51.72 (11.54)	[48.90, 54.53]	39	51.82 (10.75)	[48.34, 55.31]	0.84*	0.04	0.72	0.001
		36-103			36-87					
Shift	67	52.75 (11.87)	[49.85, 55.64]	39	52.77 (10.75)	[49.29, 56.25]	0.87*	0.03	0.79	0.001
		38-81			38-73					
Emotional	66	50.76 (11.99)	[47.81, 53.70]	39	51.56 (12.97)	[47.36, 55.77]	0.83*	0.04	0.63	0.002
Control										
		37-80			37-83					
BRIEF MI	96	52.66 (10.81)	[50.47, 54.85]	39	50.41 (11.55)	[46.67, 54.15]	0.19*	0.23	0.27	0.01
		33-82			34-85					
Initiate	67	53.61 (11.36)	[50.84, 56.38]	39	49.36 (11.01)	[45.79, 52.93]	0.08*	0.34	0.10	0.03
		35-79			35-69					
Working	67	56.31 (12.88)	[53.17, 59.45]	39	52.23 (9.96)	[49.00-55.46]	0.14*	0.29	0.16	0.02
Memory										
		38-89			38-71					
Plan/Organize	67	54.07 (11.24)	[51.33, 56.82]	39	49.36 (10.20)	[46.05, 52.66]	0.04*	0.42	0.07	0.03
		38-86			37-74					
Organise Material	67	49.97 (9.97)	[47.54, 52.40]	39	47.74 (8.19)	[45.09, 50.40]	0.31*	0.20	0.48	0.01
		34-71			34-67					
Delis-Kaplan	114	556.67	[529.75,	50	563.74	[522.18,	0.78	0.05	0.73	0.001
Tower Time		(145.05)	583.58]		(146.25)	605.39]				

Results are given in mean (±SD) and range. **p* value for Mann–Whitney U test. *p*¹, mean difference without confounding variables. *p*², mean difference with confounding variables (age, sex, and SES). CYA-SCD, children and young adults living with sickle cell disease; CYA-TD, children and young adults who are typically developing; MRI, Magnetic resonance imaging; SCI, silent cerebral infarcts; SES, Socioeconomic status; SpO₂, Oxygen saturation; CaO₂, arterial oxygen content; FSIQ, Full Scale IQ; VCI, Verbal Comprehension Index; PRI, Perceptual Reasoning Index; WMI, Working Memory Index; PSI, Processing Speed Index; BRIEF, Behaviour Rating Inventory of Executive Function; BRIEF GEC, Global Executive Composite; BRIEF BRI, Regulation Index; BRIEF MI, Metacognition Index.

additional rotation analysis or null trajectory analysis was conducted (Supplementary Table S3) to distinguish between Zero trajectory and no systematic relationship. While there were significant relationships between mental age and cognition for the CYA-TD group none were found for CYA-SCD for PRI Block Design and PSI Coding, BRIEF GEC, BRI and its subscale Inhibition, BRIEF MI and its subscales Initiate, Working Memory and Plan/Organize as well as Tower Time score (Supplementary Table S3).

3.3.3.1. No systematic relationship

We found no systematic relationships (i.e., other factors more important than age) for CYA-SCD between age and PSI subscale Coding, PRI subscale Block Design and BRIEF GEC, BRI, MI, and its

TABLE 2 Developmental trajectories for scaled scores.

Variable	Slope: cognitive variable=(intercept for group)+(age * gradient) CYA-SCD CYA-TD		Delay at onset	p	CI 95%	Rate of development	p	CI 95%
				CYA-SCD compared with CYA-TD				
General cognitive s	cores							
VCI	81.79 + age * 1.48	91.05 + age * 0.8	9.26	0.05	[0.219, 18.311]	1.85	0.11	[-1.491, 0.147]
Executive function	scores							
BRIEF GEC	53.53 + age * -0.07	33.03 + age * 1.03	-20.5	0.003	[-21.48, -4.58]	-0.07	0.005	[0.028, 0.156]
BRIEF BRI	57.5 + age * -0.36	37.38 + age * 0.83	-20.12	0.004	[-20.66, -3.50]	-0.43	0.003	[0.034, 0.164]
BRI Inhibit	53.38 + age * -0.1	33.32 + age * 1.08	-20.06	0.01	[-21.56, -2.56]	-0.09	0.01	[0.029, 0.168]
BRIEF MI	50.73 + age * 0.13	30.59 + age * 1.16	-20.14	0.003	[-21.61, -4.65]	0.11	0.01	[0.022, 0.150]
MI Initiate	52.48 + age * 0.07	32.09 + age * 1.01	-20.39	0.01	[-23.55, -4.45]	0.07	0.03	[0.009, 0.149]
MI Working Memory	63.39 + age * -0.42	35.43 + age * 0.99	-27.96	<0.001	[-28.51, -8.35]	-0.42	0.002	[0.044, 0.191]
MI plan/organise	58.69 + age * -0.28	36.79 + age * 0.74	-21.9	0.01	[-24.42, -5.66]	-0.38	0.03	[0.016, 0.153]

Delay at onset = intercept of CYA-TD group – intercept of CYA-SCD group; rate of development = gradient of CYA-SCD group/gradient of CYA-TD group. CYA-SCD, children and young adults living with sickle cell disease; CYA-TD, children and young adults who are typically developing; VCI, Verbal Comprehension Index; BRIEF GEC, Global Executive Composite; BRIEF BRI, Regulation Index; BRIEF MI, Metacognition Index; BRIEF, Behaviour Rating Inventory of Executive Function.



Developmental trajectory of Verbal Comprehension Index. CYA-SCD, children and young adults with sickle cell disease; CYA-TD, children and young adults who are typically developing.

subscales Working Memory and Plan/Organize, as well as Tower Time score (Supplementary Table S3). The results suggest that there are factors other than disease status contributing to the relationship between age and these cognitive domains. These potential relationships have been explored in the analyses described below (see Sections 3.4 and 3.5).



3.3.3.2. Zero trajectories

We found a significant zero trajectory only for BRIEF subscale Inhibition in relation to mental age in CYA-SCD. Non-significant zero trajectories were observed for the BRIEF GEC and the MI subscale Initiate (Supplementary Table S3). The results suggest that the development of behaviour regulation skills (e.g., inhibition) prematurely plateaued in CYA-SCD.



Developmental trajectory of BRIEF MI subscales. CYA-SCD, children and young adults with sickle cell disease; CYA-TD, children and young adults who are typically developing; BRIEF, Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function. (A) BRIEF Initiate Score; (B) BRIEF Working Memory Score; (C) BRIEF Plan/Organize Score.

3.4. Cross-sectional developmental trajectories based on SCI

3.4.1. Pre-analysis: simple linear regression

Similar analyses were conducted by dividing the participants in three groups: CYA-SCD and silent cerebral infarction

(CYA-SCD-SCI+), CYA-SCD but no SCI (CYA-SCD-SCI-) and CYA-TD. Simple linear regression analyses examining the relationships between mental age and performance on cognition are shown in Supplementary Table S4. As the statistically significant results for the CYA-TD group were for the same domains, we conducted the analyses comparing the CYA-SCD-SCI+ with the CYA-TD group.



FIGURE 5

Developmental trajectory of BRIEF BRI Inhibit. CYA-SCD, children and young adults with sickle cell disease; CYA-TD, children and young adults who are typically developing; BRIEF, Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function.



Developmental trajectory of Verbal Comprehension Index. CYA-SCD-SCI+, children and young adults with sickle cell disease with silent cerebral infarcts; CYA-SCD-, children and young adults with sickle cell disease without silent cerebral infarcts; CYA-TD, children and young adults who are typically developing.

3.4.2. Cross-sectional developmental trajectory analysis

3.4.2.1. Weschler scales for Intelligence

Although non-significant, only VCI showed a trend of delay at onset (8 years of age) in the CYA-SCD-SCI+ group with a lower score of 10 points compared to the CYA-TD group at the youngest age

(Table 3 and Figure 6). The rate of development did not differ significantly between the three groups.

3.4.2.2. BRIEF scores

The developmental trajectory analysis was significantly different for BRIEF MI, with CYA-SCD showing worse EF scores at the youngest age. At onset, CYA-SCD-SCI+ scored 10 points above



CYA-TD, while CYA-SCD-SCI- scored 14 points above CYA-TD, indicative of early EF challenges pertaining to planning and organising, task initiation, self-monitoring, and working memory. The rate of development for BRIEF MI significantly differed between CYA-SCD-SCI+ and CYA-TD which was not the case for the CYA-SCD-SCI- group. CYA-SCD-SCI+ showed a 26% delay in the *rate* of development as compared to the CYA-TD group. This pattern of results suggests that presence of SCI has a significant effect on the development of EF in CYA-SCD (Table 3 and Figure 7).

3.5. Cross-sectional developmental trajectory analysis for raw scores

Results for raw scores were tabulated and can be found in the supplementary material for all analyses conducted (see Supplementary Table S5-S8). There was a statistically significant result for the CYA-TD group (Supplementary Table S5) for the Wechsler VCI Similarities and PRI Block design and for the BRIEF GEC, BRI and BRI subtest Inhibit as well as for BRIEF MI subtests Initiate and Organise Material and the Tower Total, while PSI Symbol Search was close to significance. There were no differences in developmental trajectory for the Wechsler raw scores but there were delays at onset and slower rates of development for BRIEF GEC, BRI, BRI Inhibit and MI Initiate raw scores (See Supplementary Table S6). On rotation analysis (see Supplementary Table S7), there was no systematic relationship between BRIEF GEC while there were zero trajectories for BRIEF BRI and BRIEF MI subtest Initiate with a significant delay at onset and a trend for a slower rate of development for CYA-SCD-SCI+ compared with CYA-TD (see Supplementary Table S8).

3.6. Exploratory analysis: effect of haemoglobin, CaO_2 , SpO_2 and SES on cognition

To examine the effect of blood oxygenation measures (haemoglobin, SpO₂, and CaO₂) and socioeconomic status (SES) on cognition (scaled scores) in CYA-SCD, we generated simple linear regression models for each of the blood oxygenation measures predicting each cognitive variable separately for CYA-SCD only. All significant results are shown in Table 4 for CYA-SCD and Supplementary Table S9 for CYA-SCD-SCI+.

3.6.1. Haemoglobin

For CYA-SCD we found that haemoglobin significantly predicted the variance in VCI (10%, p = 0.021), VCI Similarities (8%, p = 0.037) and Vocabulary (4% p = 0.041), PSI (4%, p = 0.04) and BRIEF Working Memory subscale (8.2%, p = 0.036). Greater variance was explained by haemoglobin for PRI in the CYA-SCD-SCI+ (29%, p = 0.013).

3.6.2. Arterial oxygen content (CaO₂)

For CYA-SCD CaO₂ significantly predicted the variance in VCI (12%, p = 0.01) and its subscales Similarities (10%, p = 0.022) and Vocabulary (4%, p = 0.038), PSI (4%, p = 0.033) and its subscale Symbol Search (4%, p = 0.032) and BRIEF Working Memory (7.2%, p = 0.049). Greater variance was explained by CaO₂ for VCI (22%, p = 0.004) and PRI in the CYA-SCD-SCI+ (37%, p = 0.005).

3.6.3. Oxygen saturation (SpO₂)

For CYA-SCD SpO₂ only significantly predicted the variance in VCI (9%, p = 0.027).

TABLE 3 Developmental trajectories for CYA-SCD with and without SCI and CYA-TD.

Variable			Slope: cognitive variable=(intercept for group)+(age * gradient)										
			CYA-SCD							CYA-TD			
			SCI+			SCI-							
Wechsler cognitive score													
VCI			80.3	58 + age * 0.1	11	78.	.993 + age * 0	.083		90.732 +	age * 0.139		
BRIEF													
BRIEF MI	RIEF MI			49.600 + age * 0.025			53.513 + age * -0.001			39.734 + age * 0.093			
Developme	Developmental trajectories for SCD with and without SCI and controls.												
Delay at onset SCD Variable		t onset D	t p		CI 95%		Rate of development for SCD		p		CI 95%		
			CYA-SCD compared with CYA-TD										
	SCI+	SCI–	SCI+	SCI-	SCI+	SCI-	SCI+	SCI	SCI+	SCI-	SCI+	SCI–	
Wechsler cognit	Wechsler cognitive score												
VCI	10.37	11.73	0.07	0.85	[67.936, 92.779]	[-16.028, 13.297]	0.79	0.59	0.61	0.36	[-0.150, 0.055]	[-0.080, 0.135]	
BRIEF					·	·		·			·		
BRIEF MI	-9.87	-13.77	0.03	0.11	[41.056, 58.144]	[-21.942, 2.211]	0.26	-0.01	0.05	0.53	[-0.044, 0.095]	[-0.110, 0.057]	

Delay at onset = intercept of CYA-TD group – intercept of CYA-SCD group; rate of development = gradient of CYA-SCD group/gradient of CYA-TD group. CYA-SCD-SCI +, children and young adults with sickle cell disease with silent cerebral infarcts; CYA-SCD-SCI –, children and young adults with sickle cell disease without silent cerebral infarcts; CYA-SCD-SCI –, children and young adults with sickle cell disease without silent cerebral infarcts; CYA-SCD-SCI –, children and young adults with sickle cell disease without silent cerebral infarcts; CYA-SCD-SCI –, children and young adults with sickle cell disease without silent cerebral infarcts; CYA-SCD-SCI –, children and young adults with sickle cell disease without silent cerebral infarcts; CYA-SCD-SCI –, children and young adults with sickle cell disease without silent cerebral infarcts; CYA-SCD-SCI –, children and young adults with sickle cell disease without silent cerebral infarcts; CYA-SCD-SCI –, children and young adults with sickle cell disease without silent cerebral infarcts; CYA-SCD-SCI –, children and young adults who are typically developing; VCI, Verbal Comprehension Index; BRIEF, Behaviour Rating Inventory of Executive Function; BRIEF MI, Metacognition Index.

3.6.4. Effect of socioeconomic status on cognition

For CYA-SCD, SES significantly predicted the variance in BRIEF MI subscale Organize Material (9%, p = 0.017). Greater variance was explained by BRIEF BRI Inhibit in the CYA-SCD-SCI+ (22%, p = 0.058), although near-significant.

4. Discussion

Compared to intensively researched neurodevelopmental disorders such as Autism and Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder, which are common in the general paediatric population, there are relatively few studies examining cognitive and behavioural profiles in children with underlying medical conditions such as those living with SCD. Developmental delay implies that children do not reach their typical developmental milestone/s in cognition or motor development (48), deviating months from the typicallydeveloping population. A recent systematic review in infants living with SCD (0-48 months) found that 17.5%-50% experience developmental delay in cognition and language development, which had a significant impact by the second year of life (49). Given that developmental delay had received limited attention in CYA-SCD, the main objective of our study was to compare cross-sectional developmental trajectories between CYA-SCD and CYA-TD, who were matched on age and ethnicity. The cross-sectional developmental trajectory approach gives an initial understanding of how cognitive performance might develop with age and differ compared to CYA-TD.

We used common measures of intelligence (Weschler Scales of Intelligence) and EF (BRIEF, D-KEFS). Our findings indicate that CYA-SCD perform poorly on tests of cognitive ability as compared to the CYA-TD. These findings are consistent with previous literature (5, 35, 50). However, the differences are small after controlling for confounders. In our study, CYA-SCD showed developmental delay (difference at age of testing) in verbal comprehension, and developmental delay as well as slower development (rate) in EF (see Summary findings, Figure 8) compared to the CYA-TD. The same was observed for CYA-SCD-SCI+ compared to CYA-TD. However, greater delay and slower development was observed for CYA-SCD-SCI+ and EF (BRIEF MI: meta cognition), suggesting greater EF challenges in CYA-SCD-SCI+ (see Summary findings, Figure 8).

Our initial analyses prompted us to examine if blood oxygenation measures such as haemoglobin, SpO₂, and CaO₂ have a significant effect on cognitive development in CYA-SCD (see Summary findings, Figure 9). We found that all blood oxygenation measures (haemoglobin, SpO_{2} , and CaO_{2}) had a significant relationship with verbal comprehension, whereas haemoglobin and CaO2 alone predicted processing speed, suggesting a dominant effect of haemoglobin in this analysis. Interestingly, haemoglobin and socioeconomic status (SES) alone predicted caregiver and selfreported EF. In CYA-SCD-SCI+, haemoglobin and CaO₂ predicted perceptual reasoning (problem solving), while SES alone predicted caregiver and self-reported EF. These data suggest that development of cognitive function, especially EF and problem solving skills, are impaired in CYA-SCD and may be associated with poor blood oxygenation, possibly leading to haemodynamic stress, during development (51, 52).

Measure	Cognitive		CYA-SCD								
	variables	N	F	p	R2	Unst. B	Std. Beta	CI 95%			
Haemoglobin											
	VCI	55	5.67	0.02	0.10	0.28	0.31	[0.05, 0.53]			
	Similarities	55	4.58	0.04	0.08	0.05	0.28	[0,0.10]			
	Vocabulary	106	4.30	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.20	[0, 0.08]			
	PSI	106	4.33	0.04	0.04	0.18	0.20	[0.01, 0.36]			
	BRIEF Working Memory	54	4.63	0.04	0.08	-0.24	-0.29	[-0.50, -0.02]			
CaO ₂											
	VCI	55	7.07	0.01	0.12	2.35	0.34	[0.58, 4.11]			
	Similarities	55	5.60	0.02	0.10	0.43	0.31	[0.07, 0.79]			
	Vocabulary	106	4.43	0.04	0.04	0.30	0.20	[0.02, 0.59]			
	PSI	106	4.65	0.03	0.04	1.38	0.21	[0.11, 2.66]			
	Symbol Search	106	4.74	0.03	0.04	0.21	0.06	[0.03, 0.63]			
	BRIEF Working Memory	54	4.05	0.05	0.07	-1.72	-0.27	[-3.4, -0.01]			
SpO ₂											
	VCI	55	5.18	0.03	0.09	1.47	0.30	[0.17, 2.76]			
SES											
	BRIEF Organise Material	64	6.04	0.02	0.07	0	0.30	[0, 0]			

TABLE 4 Simple linear regression models for blood oxygenation measures and SES predicting cognitive variables in children and young adults with sickle cell disease.

CYA-SCD, children and young adults with sickle cell disease; Unst., unstandardised; Std., standardised; CaO₂, arterial oxygen content; SpO₂, Oxygen saturation; SES, Socioeconomic status; VCI, Verbal Comprehension Index; PSI, Processing Speed Index; BRIEF, Behaviour Rating Inventory of Executive Function; BRIEF MI, Metacognition Index.

4.1. Cross-sectional developmental trajectories

4.1.1. Wechsler scales

The main findings of our paper indicate that CYA-SCD tend to have a delay in verbal comprehension skills, but they also appear to have a catch-up period and are no longer delayed as young adults. However, this initial delay could impact on later successful reading skills (50) and successful academic performance in school-aged children (53). Additionally, research in healthy infants between the ages of 7-12 months has shown a significant correlation between language development (Mullen Scales of Early Learning) and grey and white matter volume (e.g., subcortical region hippocampus) (54). Specifically, for CYA-SCD, Steen et al. (55) demonstrated that grey matter volume growth is delayed in children living with SCD aged 3.9-18.5 years. Another factor to consider is that grey matter volume reduces between 2 to 9 years of age in parallel with white matter myelination, after which grey matter volumes stabilise until late adulthood (55). Therefore, the observed developmental delay in verbal comprehension could be related to delayed grey matter volume development in CYA-SCD. Neuroimaging studies have shown associations between frontal lobe volumes and verbal comprehension tasks in CYA-TD (56). While this association remains unexplored in CYA-SCD, it is possible that reduced volumes in the frontal lobe could be contributing factors to the delay observed in verbal comprehension development.

Additional research in CYA-SCD aged 7–17 years found lower verbal comprehension performance (Woodcock-Johnson-III) in those with greater frontal–parietal cortical lesions (57), which was also related to lower cognitive control (Examiner Battery: measuring inhibition and dysregulation of EF). Interestingly, cognitive control is important for successful language comprehension (58). We know that children living with SCD experience EF difficulties (59) affecting the central executive and working memory performance. It is possible that there is a relationship between EF and verbal comprehension in CYA living with SCD as well, as previously shown in a healthy adult population (60). However, these hypotheses need further investigation.

It is important to mention that there may be other factors contributing to the delay observed in verbal comprehension, such as prematurity (61), SES (62), low school attendance and missed schooling due to hospital visits and health related problems. For example, painful crisis is common and is also associated with reduced grey matter volumes in CYA-SCD (63). This evidence suggests that there could be multiple factors affecting verbal comprehension development in CYA-SCD which need to be explored in future research.

4.1.2. Executive function

Several studies have documented impaired EF skills in CYA-SCD. Our results not only agree with the previous literature (64, 65), but demonstrate that the development of EF skills in CYA-SCD are not only delayed, but show a slower rate of development. Our



findings indicate that EF difficulties continue into young adulthood. Most difficulties seem to relate to the child's ability to control and regulate emotions and behaviour, important for self-regulation (measured on the BRIEF BRI). Our research is the first to show that CYA-SCD plateau prematurely on the development impulsivity and inhibitory control (measured on the BRIEF BRI Inhibition). Recent research in children living with SCD aged 8–15 years found that impulsivity (Conners' Continuous Performance Test) was negatively associated with health-related quality of life (Paediatric Quality of Life Inventory Sickle Cell Disease Module) (66). There is also a high incidence of ADHD diagnosis (25%) in children living with SCD aged 8–16 years, which may contribute to EF difficulties in these patients (67).

Parents of children living SCD observe behavioural difficulties already at a young age. We found that they have difficulties anticipating an activity or task, develop ideas and problem-solving strategies, which are crucial for efficient time management and planning (measured on the BRIEF MI). Similar findings were observed in 8- to 12-year old children living with SCD compared to typically developing controls (68). Berg et al. (68) found higher scores on the parent and teacher BRIEF for MI and GEC. The authors mention that parents of children living with SCD observe EF difficulties in daily behaviour such as organizing and remembering things (i.e., homework materials, daily chores) and initiating behaviour to start tasks.

Interestingly, older CYA-TD in our sample had more EF difficulties compared to CYA-SCD. There are multiple factors which need to be considered when understanding EF development. In addition to changes in adolescence which may be prolonged into early adult life, the impact of psychosocial factors (i.e., family environment, parental support and engagement) (69) and even school environment (70) could contribute to individual differences in EF-skills development. Studies have shown that family function plays an important role in the development of EF skills early in life (71). Downes et al. (71) noted that a positive family environment and



functioning significantly impacted attention control and cognitive flexibility in pre-schoolers living with SCD. It is likely that these functions, especially attention control, may have a cascading effect on the development of other EF skills, suggesting that efforts to support families during the early years could benefit EF development later in life. However, health plays a crucial role in children with SCD, which needs to be considered as well. Current research in people living with SCD in a similar age range to our study (6-31 years) has shown that this group is vulnerable to EF deficits because of cerebral haemodynamic stress related to chronic anaemia and oxygen desaturation (52). For example, cerebral blood flow increases to maintain adequate oxygen delivery to the cortex and is an indicator of cerebral hemodynamic stress, which is associated with worse EF, as measured by the D-KEFS Tower Test (72). One neuroimaging study in the SCD population showed reduced resting state activity in the default mode network (important for cognitive processing) but increased activity in the pain processing regions suggesting allocation of cognitive resources towards pain management which may hinder normal EF development (73).

Besides multiple bio-psychosocial factors that affect EF development, it is also possible that our results could be attributed to recruitment methods. Other cohort participants have attended a neuropsychological evaluation based on a medical referral for academic concerns, whereas we recruited participants from clinics and community settings regardless of any history of cognitive difficulties, so it is probable that our sample has a broader range of EF profiles, making our results applicable in a wider clinical context.

4.1.3. Presence of SCI and cognition

We found that CYA-SCD-SCI+ showed more delay at onset and a slower rate of development for BRIEF MI. These results are in line with previous literature showing an association between poor EF and SCI status (74). Although we did not examine the site of SCI lesions, previous studies looking at SCI presence have noted that frontal lobe SCI lesions could explain poor EF, especially metacognition, such as inhibition and working memory (74, 75). People living with SCD and SCI tend to have poorer white matter microstructural integrity as compared to people living with SCD without SCI which may affect EF as well (76). However, more diffusion tensor-based imaging studies as well as functional connectivity on MRI studies are needed to explore the multidimensional relationship between structural connectivity and EF in detail.

4.1.4. Factors affecting cognition

In addition to finding that verbal comprehension was delayed in participants with SCI (although not significantly), we also found that haemoglobin, SpO₂, and CaO₂ are all predictors of verbal comprehension in CYA-SCD. Haemoglobin and CaO₂ were also associated with processing speed. These results are in line with previous studies exploring effects of blood oxygenation measures on cognition in people living with SCD. Using a path-analysis model, Hogan et al. (34) found that reduced SpO₂ is compensated by increased cerebral blood flow to the brain which results in lowered verbal IQ in adolescents living with SCD (mean age 17.4 years). Several studies of blood oxygenation measures and cognition have found that reduced haemoglobin is associated with reduced IQ in adolescents and young adults (51) and also neurodevelopmental delay in 9- to 12-month old infants living with

SCD (77). Similarly, reduced processing speed was linked to lower CaO₂, poor white matter microstructural integrity in (35) and reduced white matter structural connectivity (78, 79) in CYA-SCD. Taken together, these data suggest that cerebral haemodynamic stress, central to sickle cell anaemia pathology, may result in poor long-term cognitive outcomes.

4.2. Limitations

There were some limitations to our study, which should be considered for future research. We did not include other factors that have been shown to impact on cognition in people living with SCD, such as: sleep and cortisol (80) and medical interventions (i.e., hydroxyurea and blood transfusions) (81, 82), and cortical brain areas affected by stroke. We did not exclude CYA-TD with SCI. The crosssectional developmental trajectory approach gives only an initial understanding as to how cognitive performance might develop with age but needs to be validated in longitudinal follow-up studies. Therefore, observing these children from birth, taking both diseaserelated (83), social and environmental factors (84) into account would be the ideal way to assess developmental changes and to control for potential confounding variables. However, this methodology is challenging due to funding restrictions and participants being lost to follow-up. Although the Wechsler tests are widely used they may underestimate the influence of general and fluid intelligence on the other cognitive domains (85). Various subtests of the Wechsler tests require intact EF although it is not measured directly, such as the subtest digit span backwards included in the Working Memory Index (86). We can find EF in most cognitive processing. For example, research in young and older adults (18-88 years) has shown that FSIQ, Verbal Comprehension Index and Working Memory Index on the WAIS-IV were strongly correlated with EF skills measured on the Neuropsychological Assessment Battery, suggesting an impact of EF on other cognitive domains (87). Future research should carefully select quantitative cognitive assessments, such as the NIH Toolbox assessing EF in CYA living with sickle cell disease (23, 88). Adolescents and young adults living with SCD and executive dysfunction may also have learning difficulties (89), which we did not screen for, although the participants in our cohorts had FSIQ within the average range and only 4 (4.4%) showed an FSIQ ≤69. Current research addresses this issue and recommends screening for neurodevelopmental disorders (e.g., autism) to implement early interventions, which can result in better treatment efficacy (90). Screening should also consider language, education, and culture bias, since most of the neuropsychological assessments are not language and culture free. Further, the BRIEF is a caregiver report which potentially makes it more vulnerable to bias. Future research could also incorporate a mixed method approach (i.e., using qualitative and quantitative measures). Teachers and caregiver perspective on cognitive abilities would provide some needed background to the current findings.

4.3. Conclusion

Our findings demonstrate that when considering cognitive developmental trajectories in CYA-SCD, their cognitive profiles are not impaired, but verbal comprehension is delayed with later catch-up and EF appears to develop at a slower rate. It is recommended that, in addition to initiation of medical treatment, early cognitive intervention and educational support could strengthen cognitive development.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by West London NHS (SAC; 05/Q0408/42, 11/EM/0084, 15/ LO/0347), Yorkshire NHS (POMS; 15/YH/0213), and University College London (14475/001). Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin.

Author contributions

MK conceptualised the paper, completed the review, and drafted the manuscript. MK and HS were involved in data collection. MK and SH analysed the data supervised by FK, AH, and DD. HS and AH contributed to the review and provided feedback on the manuscript. FK and DD conceptualised the paper and provided feedback on the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

MK and HS were funded by Action Medical Research (GN2509).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the families who took part in the study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2023.1087054/ full#supplementary-material

References

1. Aygun B, Odame I. A global perspective on sickle cell disease. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. (2012) 59:386–90. doi: 10.1002/pbc.24175

2. Kirkham FJ, Lagunju IA. Epidemiology of stroke in sickle cell disease [Internet]. J Clin Med. (2021) 10:4232. doi: 10.3390/jcm10184232

3. Nawaiseh M, Shaban A, Abualia M, Haddadin R, Nawaiseh Y, AlRyalat SA, et al. Seizures risk factors in sickle cell disease. The cooperative study of sickle cell disease. *Seizure*. (2021) 89:107–13. doi: 10.1016/j.seizure.2021.05.009

4. Schatz J, McClellan CB. Sickle cell disease as a neurodevelopmental disorder. *Dev Disabil Res Rev.* (2006) 12:200–7. doi: 10.1002/mrdd.20115

5. Kawadler JM, Clayden JD, Clark CA, Kirkham FJ. Intelligence quotient in paediatric sickle cell disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. (2016) 58:672–9. doi: 10.1111/dmcn.13113

6. Armstrong FD, Thompson RJ Jr, Wang W, Zimmerman R, Pegelow CH, Miller S, et al. Cognitive functioning and brain magnetic resonance imaging in children with sickle cell disease. *Pediatrics.* (1996) 97:864–70. doi: 10.1542/peds.97.6.864

7. Prussien KV, Jordan LC, Debaun MR, Compas BE. Cognitive function in sickle cell disease across domains, cerebral infarct status, and the lifespan: a meta-analysis. J Pediatr Psychol. (2019) 44:948–58. doi: 10.1093/jpepsy/jsz031

 Stotesbury H, Kawadler JM, Clayden JD, Saunders DE, Hood AM, Koelbel M, et al. Quantification of silent cerebral infarction on high-resolution FLAIR and cognition in sickle cell Anemia. Front Neurol [Internet]. (2022) 13:867329. doi: 10.3389/ fneur.2022.867329

9. Karmiloff-Smith A, D'Souza D, Dekker TM, van Herwegen J, Xu F, Rodic M, et al. Genetic and environmental vulnerabilities in children with neurodevelopmental disorders. *PNAS Nexus*. (2012) 109:17261–5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1121087109

10. Jacob M, Stotesbury H, Kija E, Saunders D, Mtei RJ, Tutuba H, et al. Effect of age, cerebral infarcts, vasculopathy and haemoglobin on cognitive function, in Tanzanian children with sickle cell anaemia. *Europ J Paediat Neurol.* (2022) 37:105–13. doi: 10.1016/j.ejpn.2022.01.010

11. Kreutzner JC, DeLuca J, Caplan B. WISC-iv In: . Encyclopedia of clinical neuropsychology. New York: Springer (2011). 2719.

12. Drozdick LW, Wahlstrom D, Zhu J, Weiss LG. The Wechsler adult intelligence scale—fourth edition and the Wechsler memory scale—fourth edition [Internet] In: DW Flanagan and PL Harrison, editors. *Contemporary intellectual assessment: theories, tests, and issues. 3rd* ed. New York City: Guilford Press (2012). 223–926.

13. Happé F. Fluid intelligence In: FR Volkmar, editor. *Encyclopedia of autism spectrum disorders [Internet]*. New York, NY: Springer (2013). 1310–1.

14. Cattell R. Abilities: their structure, growth, and action [Internet]. New York: Houghton Mifflin (1971).

15. Happé F. Crystallized intelligence In: FR Volkmar, editor. *Encyclopedia of autism spectrum disorders [Internet]*. New York, NY: Springer (2013). 824–5.

16. Rottschy C, Langner R, Dogan I, Reetz K, Laird AR, Schulz JB, et al. Modelling neural correlates of working memory: a coordinate-based meta-analysis. *NeuroImage*. (2012) 60:830–46. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.11.050

17. Roger C, Núñez Castellar E, Pourtois G, Fias W. Changing your mind before it is too late: the electrophysiological correlates of online error correction during response selection. *Psychophysiology*. (2014) 51:746–60. doi: 10.1111/psyp.12224

18. McAlister C, Schmitter-Edgecombe M. Executive function subcomponents and their relations to everyday functioning in healthy older adults. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol.* (2016) 38:925–40. doi: 10.1080/13803395.2016.1177490

19. Garon N, Bryson SE, Smith IM. Executive function in preschoolers: a review using an integrative framework. *Psychol Bull [Internet]*. (2008) 134:31–60. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.134.1.31

20. Thompson A, Steinbeis N. Sensitive periods in executive function development. Curr Opin Behav Sci. (2020) 36:98–105. doi: 10.1016/j.cobeha.2020.08.001

21. Hackman DA, Gallop R, Evans GW, Farah MJ. Socioeconomic status and executive function: developmental trajectories and mediation. *Dev Sci [Internet]*. (2015) 18:686–702. doi: 10.1111/desc.12246

22. Hoyt CR, Varughese TE, Erickson J, Haffner N, Luo L, L'Hotta AJ, et al. Developmental delay in infants and toddlers with sickle cell disease: a systematic review [Internet]. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* (2022) 64:168–75. doi: 10.1111/dmcn.15048

23. Hood AM, King AA, Fields ME, Ford AL, Guilliams KP, Hulbert ML, et al. Higher executive abilities following a blood transfusion in children and young adults with sickle cell disease. *Pediatr Blood Cancer [Internet]*. (2019) 66:e27899. doi: 10.1002/pbc.27899

24. Jacob M, Stotesbury H, Kija E, Saunders D, Mtei RJ, Tutuba H, et al. Effect of age, cerebral infarcts, vasculopathy and haemoglobin on cognitive function, in Tanzanian children with sickle cell anaemia. *Eur J Paediatr Neurol.* (2022) 37:105–13. doi: 10.1016/j. ejpn.2022.01.010

25. Karmiloff-Smith A. Development itself is the key to understanding developmental disorders [Internet]. *Trends Cogn Sci.* (1998) 2:389–98. doi: 10.1016/s1364-6613(98)01230-3

26. D'Souza D, Karmiloff-Smith A. Why a developmental perspective is critical for understanding human cognition. *Behav Brain Sci [Internet]*. (2016) 39:e122. doi: 10.1017/S0140525X15001569

27. Wang W, Enos L, Gallagher D, Thompson R, Guarini L, Vichinsky E, et al. Neuropsychologic performance in school-aged children with sickle cell disease: a report from the cooperative study of sickle cell disease. *J Pediatr.* (2001) 139:391–7. doi: 10.1067/mpd.2001.116935

28. Ampomah MA, Drake JA, Anum A, Amponsah B, Dei-Adomakoh Y, Anie K, et al. A case-control and seven-year longitudinal neurocognitive study of adults with sickle cell disease in Ghana. *Br J Haematol [Internet]*. (2022) 199:411–26. doi: 10.1111/bjh.18386

29. Annaz D, Remington A, Milne E, Coleman M, Campbell R, Thomas MSC, et al. Atypical development of motion processing in children with autism. *Dev Sci.* (2010) 13:826–38. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-7687.2009.00939.x

30. Van Herwegen J, Ranzato E, Karmiloff-Smith A, Simms V. The foundations of mathematical development in Williams syndrome and down syndrome. *J Appl Res Intellect Disabil [Internet]*. (2020) 33:1080–9. doi: 10.1111/jar.12730

31. Hamdule SI, Kölbel M, Stotesbury H, Murdoch R, Clayden JD, Sahota SS, et al. Effects of regional brain volumes on cognition in sickle cell anaemia: a developmental perspective. *Front Neurol [Internet]*. (2023) 14:143. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2023.1101223

32. Thomas MSC, Annaz D, Ansari D, Scerif G, Jarrold C, Karmiloff-Smith A. Using developmental trajectories to understand developmental disorders. *J Speech Lang Hear Res.* (2009) 52:336–58. doi: 10.1044/1092-4388(2009/07-0144)

33. Hood AM, Crosby LE, Stotesbury H, Kölbel M, Kirkham FJ. Considerations for selecting cognitive endpoints and psychological patient-reported outcomes for clinical trials in pediatric patients with sickle cell disease. *Front Neurol.* (2022) 13:1221. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2022.835823

34. Hogan AM, Pit-ten Cate IM, Vargha-Khadem F, Prengler M, Kirkham FJ. Physiological correlates of intellectual function in children with sickle cell disease: hypoxaemia, hyperaemia and brain infarction. *Dev Sci.* (2006) 9:379–87. doi: 10.1111/j. 1467-7687.2006.00503.x

35. Stotesbury H, Kirkham FJ, Kölbel M, Balfour P, Clayden JD, Sahota S, et al. White matter integrity and processing speed in sickle cell anemia. *Neurology.* (2018) 90:e2042–50. doi: 10.1212/WNL.00000000005644

36. Rosen CL, Debaun MR, Strunk RC, Redline S, Seicean S, Craven DI, et al. Obstructive sleep apnea and sickle cell anemia. *Pediatrics [Internet]*. (2014) 134:273–81. doi: 10.1542/peds.2013-4223

37. Howard J, Slee AE, Skene S, Inusa B, Kawadler J, Downes M, et al. Overnight autoadjusting continuous airway pressure+standard care compared with standard care alone in the prevention of morbidity in sickle cell disease phase II (POMS2b): study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. *Trials.* (2018) 19:1–10. doi: 10.1186/s13063-017-2419-0

38. Kölbel M, Kirkham F, Iles RK, Stotesbury H, Halstead E, Brenchley C, et al. Exploring the relationship of sleep, cognition, and cortisol in sickle cell disease. *Compr Psychoneuroendocrinol [Internet]*. (2022) 10:100128. doi: 10.1016/j.cpnec.2022.100128

39. DeBaun MR, Gordon M, McKinstry RC, Noetzel MJ, White DA, Sarnaik SA, et al. Controlled trial of transfusions for silent cerebral infarcts in sickle cell anemia. *N Engl J Med.* (2014) 371:699–710. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1401731

40. Kölbel M, Kirkham FJ, Dimitriou D. Developmental profile of sleep and its potential impact on daytime functioning from childhood to adulthood in sickle cell anaemia. *Brain Sci [Internet]*. (2020) 10:1–22. doi: 10.3390/brainsci10120981

41. Heald A, Laing I, McLernon DJ, Donn R, Hartland AJ, Fryer AA, et al. Socioeconomic deprivation as measured by the index of multiple deprivation and its association with low sex hormone binding globulin in women. *Open Biochem J* [Internet]. (2017) 11:1–7. doi: 10.2174/1874091X01711010001

42. Ministry of Housing C and LG. English indices of deprivation [Internet]. Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government (2019). Available at: https://www.google.com/search?client=firefox-b-e&q=Index+of+Multiple+Deprivation+Rank (Accessed October 30, 2020).

43. Wechsler D. WASI: Wechsler abbreviated scale of intelligence. San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation (1999).

44. Delis DC, Kaplan E, Kramer JH. Delis-Kaplan executive functioning system: Examiner's manual. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation (2001).

45. Gioia GA, Isquith PK, Guy SC, Kenworthy L. Behavior rating inventory of executive function. *Child Neuropsychol.* (2000) 6:235–8. doi: 10.1076/chin.6.3.235.3152

46. Roth RM, Isquith PK, Gioia GA. *BRIEF-A: Behavior rating inventory of executive function — adult version*. Lutz, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources (2005).

47. Pino MC, Mazza M, Mariano M, Peretti S, Dimitriou D, Masedu F, et al. Simple mindreading abilities predict complex theory of mind: developmental delay in autism spectrum disorders. *J Autism Dev Disord [Internet]*. (2017) 47:2743–56. doi: 10.1007/s10803-017-3194-1

48. Panoscha R. Developmental delay In: FR Volkmar, editor. *The 5-minute pediatric consult, 8th edition [Internet]*. New York, NY: Springer (2018)

49. Drazen CH, Abel R, Gabir M, Farmer G, King AA. Prevalence of developmental delay and contributing factors among children with sickle cell disease. *Pediatr Blood Cancer [Internet]*. (2016) 63:504–10. doi: 10.1002/pbc.25838

50. NICHD Early Child Care Research Network. Pathways to reading: the role of oral language in the transition to reading. *Dev Psychol.* (2005) 41:428–42. doi: 10.1037/0012-1649.41.2.428

51. Prussien KV, Siciliano RE, Ciriegio AE, Anderson AS, Sathanayagam R, Debaun MR, et al. Correlates of cognitive function in sickle cell disease: a meta-analysis. *J Pediatr Psychol [Internet]*. (2020) 45:145–55. doi: 10.1093/jpepsy/jsz100

52. Prussien KV, Compas BE, Siciliano RE, Ciriegio AE, Lee CA, Kassim AA, et al. Cerebral hemodynamics and executive function in sickle cell Anemia. *Stroke [Internet]*. (2021) 52:1830–4. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.120.032741

53. Kastner JW, May W, Hildman L. Relationship between language skills and academic achievement in first grade. *Percept Mot Skills [Internet]*. (2001) 92:381–90. doi: 10.2466/pms.2001.92.2.381

54. Deniz Can D, Richards T, Kuhl PK. Early gray-matter and white-matter concentration in infancy predict later language skills: a whole brain voxel-based morphometry study. *Brain Lang.* (2013) 124:34–44. doi: 10.1016/j.bandl.2012.10.007

55. Steen RG, Emudianughe T, Hunte M, Glass J, Wu S, Xiong X, et al. Brain volume in pediatric patients with sickle cell disease: evidence of volumetric growth delay? *Am J Neuroradiol.* (2005) 26:455–62.

56. Hidese S, Ota M, Matsuo J, Ishida I, Hiraishi M, Yokota Y, et al. Corrigendum: correlation between the Wechsler adult intelligence scale-3rd edition metrics and brain structure in healthy individuals: a whole-brain magnetic resonance imaging study. *Front Hum Neurosci.* (2020) 14, 14:211. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2020.00211

57. Smith KE, Schatz J. Working memory in children with neurocognitive effects from sickle cell disease: contributions of the central executive and processing speed. *Dev Neuropsychol.* (2016) 41:231–44. doi: 10.1080/87565641.2016.1238474

58. Shields RH. The role of cognitive control in language comprehension. Undergrad J Psychol [Internet]. (2014) 27. Available at: https://journals.charlotte.edu/ujop/article/ view/285.

59. Downes M. The development and assessment of executive functioning in preschool children with and without sickle cell anaemia. Doctoral dissertation, UCL (2016).

60. Cabrera C, Harcourt S, Golden C. The relationship between verbal and executive function measures. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol [Internet]*. (2018) 33:703–94. doi: 10.1093/arclin/acy061.126

61. Bills SE, Schatz J, Hunt E, Varanasi S, Johnston J, Bradshaw J. Neurodevelopmental outcomes in preterm children with sickle cell disease. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc.* (2022) 28:1039–49. doi: 10.1017/S1355617721001338

62. IPS C, Viana MB. Cognitive profile of children with sickle cell anemia compared to healthy controls. J Pediatr (Rio J) [Internet]. (2019) 95:451–7. doi: 10.1016/j. jped.2018.04.012

63. Sigalla J, Alegria ND, Le Roux E, Toumazi A, Thiollier AF, Holvoet L, et al. Neuropathic pain in children with sickle cell disease: the hidden side of the vaso-occlusive crisis. *Children [Internet]*. (2021) 8:84. doi: 10.3390/children8020084

64. Purvis LN. Establishing validity for measures of executive functioning and behavior in children and adolescents with sickle cell disease [Internet]. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses (2013). Available at: http://proxybc.edu/login?url=http:// search.proquest.com/docview/1410924210?accountid=9673%5Cnhttp://bc-primo.hosted.exlibrisgroup.com/openurl/BCL/services_page??url_ver=Z39.88-2004&rft_val_fmt=info:ofi/fmt:kev:mtx:dissertation&genre=dissertations+%26+the (Accessed April 14, 2022).

65. Hood AM, Reife I, King AA, White DA. Brief screening measures identify risk for psychological difficulties among children with sickle cell disease. *J Clin Psychol Med Settings [Internet]*. (2020) 27:651–61. doi: 10.1007/s10880-019-09654-y

66. Hood AM, Kölbel M, Stotesbury H, Kawadler J, Slee A, Inusa B, et al. Biopsychosocial predictors of quality of life in paediatric patients with sickle cell disease. *Front Psychol.* (2021) 12:1–13. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.681137

67. Acquazzino MA, Miller M, Myrvik M, Newby R, Scott JP. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children with sickle cell disease referred for an evaluation. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol [Internet]*. (2017) 39:350–4. doi: 10.1097/MPH.000000000000847

68. Berg C, Edwards DF, King A. Executive function performance on the children's kitchen task assessment with children with sickle cell disease and matched controls. *Child Neuropsychol [Internet]*. (2012) 18:432–48. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2011. 613813

69. Bell MA, Cuevas K. Psychobiology of executive function in early development In: JA Griffin, MC PD and L Freund, editors. *Executive function in preschool-age children: integrating measurement, neurodevelopment, and translational research.* Washington, DC: American Psychological Association (2015). 157–79.

70. Segundo-Marcos R, Carrillo AM, Fernández VL, González MTD. Development of executive functions in late childhood and the mediating role of cooperative learning: a longitudinal study. *Cogn Dev [Internet]*. (2022) 63:101219. doi: 10.1016/j. cogdev.2022.101219

71. Downes M, Kirkham FJ, Telfer PT, De Haan M. Assessment of executive functions in preschool children with sickle cell anemia. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc [Internet]*. (2018) 24:949–54. doi: 10.1017/S1355617718000255

72. Stotesbury H, Hales PW, Koelbel M, Hood AM, Kawadler JM, Saunders DE, et al. Venous cerebral blood flow quantification and cognition in patients with sickle cell anemia. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab [Internet]. (2022) 42:1061–77. doi: 10.1177/0271678X211072391

73. Case M, Zhang H, Mundahl J, Datta Y, Nelson S, Gupta K, et al. Characterization of functional brain activity and connectivity using EEG and fMRI in patients with sickle cell disease. *NeuroImage Clin [Internet]*, (2017) 14:1–17. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2016.12.024

74. Brown RT, Davis PC, Lambert R, Hsu L, Hopkins K, Eckman J. Neurocognitive functioning and magnetic resonance imaging in children with sickle cell disease. J Pediatr Psychol [Internet]. (2000) 25:503–13. doi: 10.1093/jpepsy/25.7.503

75. Hogan AM, Vargha-Khadem F, Saunders DE, Kirkham FJ, Baldeweg T. Impact of frontal white matter lesions on performance monitoring: ERP evidence for cortical disconnection. *Brain [Internet]*. (2006) 129:2177–88. doi: 10.1093/brain/awl160

76. Jacob M, Stotesbury H, Kawadler JM, Lapadaire W, Saunders DE, Sangeda RZ, et al. White matter integrity in Tanzanian children with sickle cell anemia: a diffusion tensor imaging study. *Stroke*. (2020) 51:1166–73. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.119.027097

77. Hogan AM, Telfer PT, Kirkham FJ, De HM. Precursors of executive function in infants with sickle cell anemia. *J Child Neurol [Internet]*. (2013) 28:1197–202. doi: 10.1177/0883073812453495

78. Clayden JD, Stotesbury H, Kawadler JM, Slee A, Koelbel M, Saunders DE, et al. Structural connectivity mediates the relationship between blood oxygenation and cognitive function in sickle cell anemia. *Blood Adv [Internet]*. (2023) 7:2297–308. doi: 10.1182/bloodadvances.2021006751

79. Stotesbury H, Hales PW, Hood AM, Koelbel M, Kawadler JM, Saunders DE, et al. Individual watershed areas in sickle cell Anemia: an arterial spin labeling study. *Front Physiol.* (2022) 13:664. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2022.865391

80. Kölbel M, Kirkham F, Iles RK, Stotesbury H, Halstead E, Brenchley C, et al. Exploring the relationship of sleep, cognition, and cortisol in sickle cell disease. *Compr Psychoneuroendocrinol [Internet].* (2022) 10:100128. doi: 10.1016/j.cpnec. 2022.100128

81. Puffer E, Schatz J, Roberts CW. The Association of Oral Hydroxyurea Therapy with improved cognitive functioning in sickle cell disease. *Child Neuropsychol [Internet]*. (2007) 13:142–54. doi: 10.1080/09297040600584626

82. Wang WC, Zou P, Hwang SN, Kang G, Ding J, Heitzer AM, et al. Effects of hydroxyurea on brain function in children with sickle cell anemia. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* [*Internet*]. (2021) 68:e29254. doi: 10.1002/pbc.29254

83. Wang W, Freeman M, Hamilton L, Carroll Y, Kang G, Moen J, et al. Developmental screening of three-year-old children with sickle cell disease compared to controls. *Br J Haematol [Internet]*. (2021) 195:621–8. doi: 10.1111/bjh.17780

84. Schatz J, Reinman L, Bills SE, Johnston JD. Sociodemographic and biomedical correlates of developmental delay in 2- and 4-year-olds with sickle cell disease. *J Dev Behav Pediatr.* (2022) 43:224–32. doi: 10.1097/DBP.00000000001011

85. Canivez GL, Kush JC. WAIS-IV and WISC-IV structural validity: alternate methods, alternate results. Commentary on Weiss et al. (2013a) and Weiss et al. (2013b). *J Psychoeduc Assess.* (2013) 31:157–69. doi: 10.1177/0734282913478036

86. Holdnack JA. The development, expansion, and future of the WAIS-IV as a cornerstone in comprehensive cognitive assessments In: G Goldstein, DN Allen and J DeLuca, editors. *Handbook of psychological assessment*. Amsterdam: Elsevier Academic Press (2019). 103–39.

87. Buczylowska D, Petermann F. Age-related commonalities and differences in the relationship between executive functions and intelligence: analysis of the NAB executive functions module and WAIS-IV scores. *Appl Neuropsychol [Internet]*. (2017) 24:465–80. doi: 10.1080/23279095.2016.1211528

88. Downes M, Keenan L, Duane Y, Duffy K, Fortune G, Geoghegan R, et al. Executive function in children with sickle cell anemia on transfusion: NIH toolbox utility in the clinical context. *Clin Neuropsychol [Internet]*. (2022) 36:1573–88. doi: 10.1080/13854046.2020.1847325

89. Longoria JN, Pugh NL, Gordeuk V, Hsu LL, Treadwell M, King AA, et al. Patientreported neurocognitive symptoms influence instrumental activities of daily living in sickle cell disease. *Am J Hematol.* (2021) 96:1396–406. doi: 10.1002/ajh.26315

90. Aguwa CJ, Cannon AD, Casella JF, Shapiro BK, Lance EI. Current developmental screening practices in young children with sickle cell disease. *Br J Haematol [Internet]*. (2023) 200:377–80. doi: 10.1111/bjh.18575

Glossary

BRIEF	Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function
BRIEF GEC	BRIEF Global Executive Composite
BRIEF MI	Metacognitive Index
BRIEF RI	Regulation Index
CaO ₂	Arterial oxygen content
CYA-SCD	children and young adults living with SCD
EF	Executive function
FSIQ	Full Scale IQ score
Hb	Haemoglobin
MA	Mental age
MRT	Magnetic resonance imaging
PSI	Processing Speed Index
PRI	Perceptual Reasoning Index
SCA	Sickle cell anaemia
SCD	Sickle cell disease
SCI	Silent cerebral infarction
SES	Socioeconomic status
SpO ₂	Oxygen saturation
CYA-TD	Typically developing children and young adults
VCI	Verbal Comprehension Index
WMI	Working Memory Index