

1 **Did school characteristics affect the uptake of meningococcal quadrivalent vaccine in Greater** 2 **Manchester, United Kingdom?**

3 Abstract

4 Objectives:

5 To assess if school characteristics were associated with the uptake of MenACWY vaccine in
6 Greater Manchester in 2017/18.

7 Study Design:

8 This is a retrospective cross-sectional study

9 Methods:

10 We analysed data on all 129 schools in 7 local authorities in Greater Manchester from the
11 Department for Education and from local child health information systems to determine whether
12 school characteristics, including school type and Ofsted effectiveness score, were associated with
13 vaccine uptake. Schools with no eligible pupils were excluded. We undertook single variable and
14 multivariable analysis and considered key interactions.

15 Results

16 The overall uptake rate was 80.7% with a median uptake per school of 80.6% (interquartile range
17 69.0%-87.4%). Lower vaccination rates were associated with lower overall effectiveness scores
18 (OR 3.54 95% CI 3.00-4.19), and lower numbers of pupils eligible for vaccination (OR 1.39, 95% CI
19 1.28-1.51). For schools with a lower percentage of pupils for whom English is not a first language,
20 deprivation was associated with lower uptake (OR 1.58 95% CI 1.41-1.78). In addition, community
21 schools (the schools with the most local authority oversight) had lower vaccination rates than
22 other categories of schools.

23 Conclusions

24 In this study, uptake rates of the MenACWY vaccine were associated with all five school
25 characteristics considered. Effectiveness scores for schools had the largest association with
26 vaccine uptake, with poorer schools having lower uptake. These characteristics should be used by
27 vaccination providers to prioritise their interventions to increase immunisation rates.

28 29 **Introduction**

30 Invasive meningococcal disease is a serious bacterial infection caused by *Neisseria meningitidis*
31 (the meningococcus) which caused 237 deaths between 1st January 2011 and 30th June 2015 in
32 England. Around 10% of the population in England have asymptomatic nasopharyngeal carriage of
33 meningococcus ¹. Carriage rate varies with age and is highest in adolescence. In some individuals,

34 meningococcus causes invasive disease such as meningitis or septicaemia. Septicaemia is
35 associated with a case fatality of 10-12% ² or long-term disability such as limb loss or neurological
36 impairment in 11-19% of survivors ³.

37 *N. meningitidis* bacteria are divided into 12 serogroups, of which B, W, Y and C are the most
38 common in England. In England, the number of cases of invasive serogroup W infection has been
39 increasing over the past 10 years, attributed to the emergence of an endemic virulent strain
40 (serogroup W135);⁴ between 2008/09 and 2014/15, cases increased from 19 to 176 per year ⁵.
41 This has decreased to 193 cases in 2017/8, the first annual decrease since 2011/12 ⁶.

42 In response, the Department of Health (DH) introduced an immunisation programme in 2015 using
43 a quadrivalent meningococcal ACWY vaccine (MenACWY), replacing the meningococcal C
44 conjugate vaccine previously offered to the same age group ⁷. This targeted young people in
45 school years nine and ten (aged 13-15 years), as higher carriage is seen in adolescents and young
46 adults and this age group drives transmission across the population. The vaccine protects
47 individuals from invasive disease and reduces carriage, thus also protecting the unvaccinated
48 population and promoting herd immunity. The target for the vaccination programme is 70%⁸.
49 Average vaccine uptake for young people by the end of year 10 was 82.5% nationally ⁹, but this
50 masks variation across England. In addition to this routine programme, there is a catch-up
51 programme provided in general practice for older teenagers and university students. PHE
52 estimate that the vaccination has reduced the expected numbers of cases of MenW by 69% in
53 school leavers that are vaccinated ¹.

54 The MenACWY immunisation programme in England is commissioned and monitored by joint
55 Public Health England and NHS England teams. The programme is delivered in schools by
56 healthcare providers.

57 Information on school characteristics associated with vaccine uptake may be helpful to prioritise
58 school-based interventions to improve uptake rates. The few studies that have examined the
59 relationship between school characteristics and vaccine uptake have focused on the human
60 papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine ^{10,11}. A study in two areas of Greater Manchester found that uptake
61 of the HPV vaccine in schools was lower in schools with a higher proportion of pupils entitled to
62 free school meals¹⁰. The aim of this study was to identify the characteristics of secondary schools
63 that were associated with higher MenACWY vaccine uptake in schools in Greater Manchester in
64 2016/17.

65 **Methods**

66 **Study design**

67 This is an ecological school-based study using routine data.

68 **Setting**

69 Greater Manchester is a city-region in the North West of England with a population of 2.8 million,
70 representing approximately 4.2% of the population of England¹². It is a predominantly urban area
71 served by ten local authorities. About a quarter of the population live in areas that are amongst
72 the most deprived 10% in the country ¹³.

73 In England, publicly funded compulsory education for 11-16 year olds is provided by secondary
74 schools and these schools vary in the level of oversight required by local authorities (LAs) ranging
75 from those where staff are employed by LAs and the admissions policy is determined by the LA, to
76 schools that are funded directly by central government with no local oversight.

77 Data was reviewed for 129 secondary schools from seven boroughs in Greater Manchester. Data
78 was not available for three boroughs within the timeframe of the research. Compared to the
79 national average, a higher proportion of pupils in Greater Manchester are eligible for free school
80 meals. Children are eligible for free school meals if their families are on a low income ¹⁴. This is
81 used as a proxy for deprivation. In addition to measuring deprivation, we looked at Ofsted (the English
82 school inspection body) overall effectiveness scores. Ofsted overall effectiveness score is an overall
83 measure of a school's performance including leadership, quality of teaching, learning and
84 assessment, and safeguarding¹⁵.

85 In Greater Manchester, MenACWY school- based vaccinations are delivered by health
86 organisations providing core school nursing services or by specialist independent services.
87 Vaccination sessions are provided in school, during school hours. In addition to national publicity,
88 local interventions to improve uptake are carried out at the school level, such as providing
89 information to parents via the school, the provision of drop-in advice sessions, or providing
90 additional catch-up vaccination sessions.

91 **Population**

92 All 129 secondary schools with eligible children in year 10 in the school year September 2016-
93 August 2017 in the local authorities of Bolton, Bury, Manchester, Oldham, Rochdale, Trafford, and
94 Wigan, in Greater Manchester.

95 **Data variables, sources of data, and data collection**

96 The following school characteristic variables were downloaded from the Department of Education
97 (DfE) website: Ofsted overall effectiveness score; type of school, percentage of pupils who speak
98 English as a second language (EASL); and percentage of pupils with free school meals eligibility
99 (FSME) in the past six years (Table 1).

100 In England the Child Health Information Services (CHIS) are responsible for providing a register of
101 children to ensure the provision of immunisations and other services to eligible children. They are
102 commissioned and monitored by NHS England. They also submit data to support the monitoring
103 of immunisation programmes.¹⁶

104 Routine submissions from CHIS to the Public Health England screening and immunisation team in
105 Greater Manchester were used to obtain information from 137 schools on the number of pupils
106 eligible for vaccination and the number vaccinated by the end of Year 10. Eight schools with no
107 eligible pupils for MenACWY were excluded, leaving 129 schools.

108 Percentage of EASL pupils, and percentage of FSME pupils were dichotomised into low and high
109 groups. Number of eligible pupils was dichotomised into smaller and larger schools.

110 Data was downloaded into Microsoft Excel.

111 **Analysis and statistics**

112 We linked the datasets from the CHIS and the DfE school performance tables for secondary
113 schools using school name and postcode to create a single dataset. Analysis was carried out using
114 JASP version 0.9 and Open Epi version 3.01. Vaccine uptake was calculated by type of school, size
115 of school, Ofsted rating, proportion of pupils for whom English is a second language (EASL) and
116 proportion of pupils eligible for free school meals in the last six years (FSME) (as dichotomous
117 variables). For each variable, relative risks were calculated, and chi-squared tests used to assess
118 statistical significance of possible associations with uptake of the MenACWY vaccine.

119 Multivariable logistic regression models were fitted to the data to estimate adjusted odds ratios
120 and possible associations between school characteristics and uptake. Variables identified in single

121 variable analysis as associated with the outcome ($P<0.2$), were used to build an initial model that
122 was then simplified by a backwards stepwise approach based on AIC (Akaike information
123 criterion), examining at each step for possible confounders. After fitting of a main effects model,
124 an *a priori* hypothesis of interaction between FSME (as a marker of deprivation) and percentage of
125 EASL was tested.

126 **Results**

127 Data was obtained from seven of the ten boroughs of Greater Manchester. We analysed
128 data from 129 schools (19,898 eligible pupils, median 168 eligible pupils per school). A total of
129 16,065 (80.7%) pupils received the MenACWY vaccination. The median uptake per school was
130 80.6% (interquartile range 69.0%-87.4%).

131 Key school characteristics are shown in Table 2. Three quarters of the schools (75.2%) were
132 judged to be good or outstanding by Ofsted, and nearly half (48.3%) were academies or “free”
133 schools.

134 Single variable analysis found that significant associations with vaccine uptake existed for each of
135 the variables studied (Table 3).

136 In multivariable analysis, after adjustment for other factors, a low Ofsted overall effectiveness
137 score had the strongest association with low vaccine uptake (Table 4). Schools with higher
138 percentage of FSME had lower uptake of this vaccine, as did community schools. In the single
139 variable analysis, schools with higher proportions of EASL pupils had higher uptake but after
140 multivariate analysis, this effect is reversed and it becomes associated with lower vaccine uptake.

141 For low EASL schools, lower deprivation (denoted by low FSME) was associated with higher uptake
142 (OR 1.58 95% CI 1.41-1.78). For high EASLL schools, the relationship between deprivation and
143 uptake remained but was attenuated (OR, 1.14 95% CI 1.05 to 1.25).

144 **Discussion**

145 *Vaccination uptake*

146 This is the first study looking at how uptake of MenACWY vaccine in schools in the UK is associated
147 with school characteristics. As MenACWY is a recent addition to the routine vaccination
148 programme in the UK, there have been very few studies on factors associated with the uptake of
149 this vaccine. The studies that have taken place have considered the catch-up programme in

150 general practice rather than the school-based programme¹⁷. Overall, vaccination uptake in schools
151 is high, averaging 80.7%, and is much higher than the MenACWY catch-up programme delivered in
152 primary care, with uptake of only around 31% in North West England.¹⁷ This is reassuring given
153 that school-based vaccination is the predominant method of MenACWY vaccination and support
154 previous literature demonstrating that uptake of school-based vaccinations is higher than primary
155 care vaccinations..

156 ~~Educational factors~~ School organisational factors?

157 This study identified that schools with better overall effectiveness scores from Ofsted have higher
158 uptake. This may be due to more effective schools being better able to support vaccination
159 activities within the school. They may also be able to build better relationships with parents and
160 other organisations. A systematic review of the organisation and delivery of school-based
161 vaccination programmes in high income countries found that institutional relationships between
162 educational settings and healthcare providers were important for effective school-based
163 programmes.¹⁸ This association between school quality and vaccine uptake is an important finding
164 but it is worth noting that Ofsted scores may be correlated with other factors, such as deprivation,
165 which could be confounding this association¹⁹.

166 Academies, “free” schools, foundation, and voluntary schools had higher uptake than community
167 schools. The higher rates of vaccine uptake in schools with more independence from the local
168 authority than community schools may be linked to these schools having to be more organised to
169 maintain their independence. It may be related to other confounding factors not included in this
170 analysis, as these groups also vary in other factors such as that there are a higher proportion of
171 religious schools that are voluntary-controlled or voluntary-aided schools and community schools
172 may include more schools for children with specific special educational needs. In addition, we did
173 not include information on how much promotion work each school carried out. These factors may
174 influence vaccine uptake.

175 Pupil and parent-related factors?

176 Uptake of the vaccine was also higher in schools with a lower proportion of pupils eligible for free
177 school meals. This is in keeping with previous research as FSME is used as a marker for
178 deprivation and previous research studies have found an association between deprivation and
179 lower uptake of MenACWY vaccine in primary care¹⁷. The finding is concerning, however, as
180 deprivation has previously been linked to higher incidence of invasive meningococcal disease due

181 to factors such as overcrowded living conditions and higher nasopharyngeal carriage of
182 meningococcus due to higher smoking rates.²⁰⁻²³ It may therefore require additional attention
183 when implementing a vaccine

184 In this study, schools with a higher proportion of EASL pupils was associated with lower vaccine
185 uptake, once adjusted for the other variables. When analysed as a single variable, the association
186 appears to be in the opposite direction, but the multivariable model suggests that this was due to
187 confounding by other variables included in the model. Having EASL pupils within a school may
188 reduce vaccine uptake within a school because these pupils are likely to have parents who have
189 English as an additional language. This could make communication with parents about the
190 benefits of the vaccination programme, and the consent procedures more complex. It is also
191 possible that, along with families from poorer backgrounds, health beliefs about vaccination may
192 have influenced uptake, with a systematic review of qualitative research demonstrating that
193 factors relating to ethnicity effect how parents from Black and Asian minority groups view
194 vaccinations [REF]. Therefore, it is possible that vaccine knowledge and education needs might be
195 different amongst families where English is not the first language. This would be worth further
196 exploration as education of children and their families could be targeted in the future.

197 Not having English as a first language and ethnic group are related, with very few White British
198 pupils being identified as not having English as a first language, less than 1% at age 11.²⁵ A study of
199 the uptake of the MenACWY vaccine in the 2015/16 catch up campaign in general practice in the
200 North West found that practices with a higher proportion of patients from an ethnic minority had
201 increased vaccine uptake¹⁷. This does not correlate with our study but this may be due to the
202 differences between pupils who do not have English as a first language and pupils from ethnic
203 minority backgrounds, as well as differences in the geographical areas examined, for example, in
204 the general practice study, ethnic minorities did not include non-British white. In particular, this
205 may be a product of the ethnic minority backgrounds of pupils in Greater Manchester, with a large
206 population of Black and Other White ethnic minorities, who have previously been shown to have
207 low uptake of vaccinations, in comparison to large Asian communities elsewhere in the North
208 West, who are consistently shown to have high uptake of vaccinations²⁶⁻²⁸. Furthermore, certain
209 areas of Greater Manchester, notably the city of Salford, were excluded from this project, which
210 have large Asian populations, and this may have skewed the study's findings.

211 Uptake is also higher in schools with higher numbers of pupils eligible for vaccination, and it is less
212 clear why this might be. This is an interesting finding and a previous study evaluating uptake of

213 the catch-up MenACWY programme in primary care also found that uptake of the vaccine
214 increases with the number of patients eligible for vaccination¹⁷. It may be that, in both schools and
215 primary care, the organisation of vaccination sessions may be easier or may be prioritised where
216 they involve more individuals.

217 All of the educational factors included in this project (Ofsted rating, school type, FSME and EASL)
218 are likely to interact heavily and, in combination, to influence vaccination uptake. Although there
219 is no single clear explanation as to why certain schools have higher uptake than others, this is
220 likely to be the result of a multifactorial pathway, with all of the factors explored above playing a
221 part.

222

223 *Strengths and limitations*

224 The strengths of this study are that we obtained data from all the 129 publicly funded schools in
225 seven local authority areas with nearly 20,000 eligible pupils. Data was collected electronically
226 which reduces transcription errors and there was no missing data. The study was conducted and
227 reported according to the STROBE guideline for cross-sectional studies.³¹

228 There are some key limitations to this study. We only considered five potential independent
229 variables and there may be other potential confounding factors that may not have been
230 considered. These could include demographic factors such as ethnicity, disability and religion, or
231 organisational factors such as admissions policy, or funding mechanisms. A further potential
232 confounding factor is that, as mentioned in the introduction, there are activities that schools can
233 take to increase uptake, such as parent information sessions and health promotion literature, and
234 it is not known what activities individual schools may have undertaken and how these may have
235 influenced the results

236 In addition, it was not possible to determine the reasons why pupils did not receive the vaccine:
237 reasons might include absence on the day of vaccination, failure to obtain consent or refusal of
238 the vaccine by the child. Other limitations include using binary variables rather than continuous
239 variables, which may have missed more complex associations. Using an ecological study design
240 means that this study could be subject to the ecological fallacy. Further cross sectional studies
241 could be carried out on individual data to test these hypotheses.

242 This study's findings could be used to support MenACWY vaccine programme providers. The
243 school characteristics associated with lower vaccine uptake can be used to provide indications of
244 which schools should be prioritised to receive additional support to improve vaccine uptake. Most
245 importantly more research should be carried out to understand why schools with certain
246 characteristics tend to have lower uptake. Also as the vaccination programme is new,
247 improvements may occur over time and these associations may change.

248 **Conclusions**

249 This study conducted in Greater Manchester showed that uptake of the MenACWY vaccine in
250 schools in Greater Manchester overall is high. However, uptake is lower in schools with lower
251 Ofsted overall effectiveness ratings, fewer eligible pupils, a higher proportion of pupils for whom
252 English is not a first language community schools, and a higher proportion of pupils eligible for free
253 school meals. Providers and commissioners of school-based vaccinations should consider how to
254 further research these associations to investigate possible causes

255 **Ethics**

256 No ethical approval was required as this data was either collected for public health surveillance
257 under the Health Protection Legislation (England) Guidance 2010
258 (<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2010/659/contents/made>) or was secondary analysis of data
259 in the public domain.

260

261 **Tables**262 Table 1: School characteristics used to assess association with meningococcal quadrivalent vaccine
263 uptake in year 10, Greater Manchester, 2017-18

Variable	Description
Ofsted Overall Effectiveness Score	The overall effectiveness score reported by Ofsted at the schools last inspection.
Type of school	School types were collated into three groups: Academy and free schools – funded by the government but independent from the local authority Foundation and Voluntary schools – funded via the local authority but the governing body employs the staff and sets admissions policy Community schools – where the local authority employs the staff, owns the buildings and sets admissions policy
Number of eligible pupils	The number of pupils eligible for the MenACWY vaccine within the school
Percentage of total school population eligible for free school meals	The percentage of the total school population that have been eligible for free school meals in the last 6 years. This is used as a proxy for deprivation.
Percentage of total school population for whom English is not a first language	The percentage of the total school population where English is not a first language, often indicating that English is not the first language with parents.

264

265 Table 2: Characteristics of secondary schools in the study, in Greater Manchester, 2017-18

School Characteristic	N	(%)
Number of Schools	129	100
Type of school		
Academy or Free School	61	(48.3)
Community School	35	(27.1)
Foundation or Voluntary	33	(25.6)
Ofsted rating – overall effectiveness		
Outstanding – 1	33	(25.6)
Good – 2	64	(49.6)
Requires improvement – 3	23	(17.8)
Inadequate – 4	9,	(7.0)
	median	(IQR)
Number of eligible pupils per school	168	(106-213)
Percentage of pupils for whom English is not a first language	10.1	(4.3 - 29.1,)
Percentage of children eligible for free school meals	20.3	(11.1 - 29.1),

266 IQR = interquartile range

267 Table 3: Association between school characteristics and meningococcal quadrivalent vaccine
 268 uptake in year 10, Greater Manchester, 2017/18

Predictor	Eligible	Vaccinated	Uptake	Relative risk	(95% C.I.)	P value
Type of school						<0.001
Community School	4188	3121	74.5 %	1.0		
Foundation or Voluntary School	6223	5087	81.7 %	2.88	(2.79-2.97)	
Academy or Free School	9487	7857	82.8 %	2.91	(2.82-3.00)	
Overall Effectiveness (Ofsted)						<0.001
4 - Inadequate	1299	812	62.5 %	1.0		
3 - Requires improvement	3748	2864	76.4 %	1.22	(1.17-1.28)	
2 - Good	10229	8366	81.8 %	1.31	(1.25-1.37)	
1 - Outstanding	4622	4023	87.0 %	1.39	(1.33-1.45)	
Number of eligible pupils						
Smaller (below median)	5707	4368	76.5%	1.0		
Larger (median and above)	14191	11697	82.4%	1.30	(1.27-1.32)	<0.001
Percentage of pupils with English as a second language						
High (median and above)	10412	8464	81.3%	1.0		
Low (below median)	9486	7601	80.1%	0.99	(0.97-1.00)	<0.001
Percentage of children eligible for free school meals						
High (median and above)	7827	5595	76.6%	1.0		
Low (below median)	12071	10070	83.4%	1.09	(1.07-1.11)	<0.001

269

270

271

272 Table 4: Associations (adjusted odds ratios) between school characteristics and meningococcal
 273 quadrivalent vaccine uptake in year 10, in Greater Manchester, in 2017/18

Predictor	Odds Ratio	(95% C.I.)	P value
Type of school			
Community School			
Foundation or Voluntary School	1.53	(1.39-1.69)	<0.001
Academy or Free School	1.45	(1.32-1.59)	<0.001
Overall Effectiveness (Ofsted)			
4 - Inadequate			
3 - Requires improvement	2.14	(1.85-2.49)	<0.001
2 - Good	2.89	(2.51-3.34)	<0.001
1 - Outstanding	3.54	(3.00-4.19)	<0.001
Number of eligible pupils			
Smaller (below median)			
Larger (median and above)	1.39	(1.28-1.51)	<0.001
Percentage of pupils with English as a second language			
High (median and above)			
Low (below median)	1.49	(1.28-1.73)	<0.001
Percentage of children eligible for free school meals			
High (median and above)			
Low (below median)	1.58	(1.41-1.78)	<0.001
Interaction – Low percentage of free school meals, low percentage of English is not a first language	0.49	(0.40-0.59)	<0.001

274

275

276 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

277 This research was conducted through the Structured Operational Research and Training Initiative
 278 (SORT IT), a global partnership led by the Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical
 279 Diseases at the World Health Organization (WHO/TDR). The training model is based on a course
 280 developed jointly by the International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease (The Union)
 281 and Médecins sans Frontières (MSF). The specific SORT IT program which resulted in this
 282 publication was implemented by Public Health England North West and was undertaken as part of
 283 routine work. Mentorship and the coordination/facilitation of these SORT IT workshops were
 284 provided through the PHE North West, Centre for Operational Research, The Union, Paris, France;
 285 The Institute of Medicine, University of Chester, UK; and College of Life and Environmental
 286 Science, University of Exeter. Data collection was supported by David Holderness, NHS England
 287 (Greater Manchester)

288

289 **FUNDING**

290 The SORT IT course that facilitated this research was funded by Public Health England North West.
291 However, the research itself did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,
292 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

293

294 CONFLICT OF INTEREST

295 None declared.

296

297

299 **References**

- 300 1. Public Health England. Guidance for the public health management of meningococcal
301 disease in the UK Updated February 2018 [Internet]. London; 2018. Available from:
302 [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/688835/Public_health_management_of_meningococcal_disease_guidelines.pdf)
303 [_data/file/688835/Public_health_management_of_meningococcal_disease_guidelines.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/688835/Public_health_management_of_meningococcal_disease_guidelines.pdf)
- 304 2. Vetter V, Baxter R, Denizer G, Sáfadi MAP, Silfverdal SA, Vyse A, et al. Routinely vaccinating
305 adolescents against meningococcus: Targeting transmission & disease. *Expert Review of*
306 *Vaccines*. 2016.
- 307 3. Centre for Disease Control. Prevention and Control of Meningococcal Disease:
308 Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR*
309 *Recomm Rep* [Internet]. 2013;62(RR-2):1–22. Available from:
310 <http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/rr6202a1.htm>
- 311 4. Campbell H, Saliba V, Borrow R, Ramsay M, Ladhani SN. Targeted vaccination of teenagers
312 following continued rapid endemic expansion of a single meningococcal group W clone
313 (sequence type 11 clonal complex), United Kingdom 2015. *Eurosurveillance*. 2015;
- 314 5. Campbell H, Edelstein M, Andrews N, Borrow R, Ramsay M, Ladhani S. Emergency
315 Meningococcal ACWY Vaccination Program for Teenagers to Control Group W
316 Meningococcal Disease, England, 2015–2016. *Emerg Infect Dis* [Internet]. 2017
317 Jul;23(7):1184–7. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5512480/>
- 318 6. Public Health England. Invasive meningococcal disease in England: annual laboratory
319 confirmed reports for epidemiological year 2016 to 2017. London; 2017.
- 320 7. Public Health England. The Green Book Chapter 22 Meningococcal [Internet]. London; 2016.
321 Available from:
322 [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/554011/Green_Book_Chapter_22.pdf)
323 [_data/file/554011/Green_Book_Chapter_22.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/554011/Green_Book_Chapter_22.pdf)
- 324 8. NHS England. NHS public health functions agreement: 2018-19 Public health functions to be
325 exercised by NHS England [Internet]. London; 2018. Available from:
326 [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/694130/nhs-public-functions-agreement-2018-2019.pdf)
327 [_data/file/694130/nhs-public-functions-agreement-2018-2019.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/694130/nhs-public-functions-agreement-2018-2019.pdf)
- 328 9. Public Health England. Vaccine coverage estimates for the school based meningococcal
329 ACWY (MenACWY) adolescent vaccination programme in England, to 31 August 2017
330 [Internet]. London; 2018. Available from:
331 [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/677839/hpr0318_men-acwy-schl.pdf)
332 [_data/file/677839/hpr0318_men-acwy-schl.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/677839/hpr0318_men-acwy-schl.pdf)
- 333 10. Brabin L, Roberts SA, Stretch R, Baxter D, Chambers G, Kitchener H, et al. Uptake of first two
334 doses of human papillomavirus vaccine by adolescent schoolgirls in Manchester:
335 Prospective cohort study. *BMJ* [Internet]. 2008;336(1056). Available from:
336 <https://www.bmj.com/content/336/7652/1056>
- 337 11. Fisher H, Trotter CL, Audrey S, MacDonald-Wallis K, Hickman M. Inequalities in the uptake
338 of human papillomavirus vaccination: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J*

- 339 Epidemiol. 2013;
- 340 12. Office for National Statistics. Population estimates [Internet]. Population and Migration.
341 2018 [cited 2018 Dec 12]. Available from:
342 [https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/popula](https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationestimates)
343 [tionestimates](https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationestimates)
- 344 13. GMHSCP. Greater Manchester Population Health Plan 2017-2021 [Internet]. Manchester;
345 2017. Available from: [http://www.gmhsc.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/GM-](http://www.gmhsc.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/GM-Population-Health-Plan-Full-Plan.pdf)
346 [Population-Health-Plan-Full-Plan.pdf](http://www.gmhsc.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/GM-Population-Health-Plan-Full-Plan.pdf)
- 347 14. Department for Education. Free school meals: Guidance for local authorities, maintained
348 schools, academies and free schools [Internet]. London; 2018. Available from:
349 [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/700139/Free_school_meals_guidance_Apr18.pdf)
350 [_data/file/700139/Free_school_meals_guidance_Apr18.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/700139/Free_school_meals_guidance_Apr18.pdf)
- 351 15. Ofsted. School inspection handbook Handbook for inspecting schools in England under
352 section 5 of the Education Act 2005. Manchester; 2018.
- 353 16. NHS England. Child Health Information Services (CHIS) Provider Service Specification
354 [Internet]. London; 2018. Available from: [https://www.england.nhs.uk/commissioning/wp-](https://www.england.nhs.uk/commissioning/wp-content/uploads/sites/12/2013/05/chis-provider-service-spec.pdf)
355 [content/uploads/sites/12/2013/05/chis-provider-service-spec.pdf](https://www.england.nhs.uk/commissioning/wp-content/uploads/sites/12/2013/05/chis-provider-service-spec.pdf)
- 356 17. Blagden S, Hungerford D, Limmer M. Meningococcal vaccination in primary care amongst
357 adolescents in North West England: an ecological study investigating associations with
358 general practice characteristics. *J Public Health (Bangkok)* [Internet]. 2018 Jan 27; Available
359 from: [https://academic.oup.com/jpubhealth/advance-article-](https://academic.oup.com/jpubhealth/advance-article-abstract/doi/10.1093/pubmed/fdy010/4827060?redirectedFrom=fulltext)
360 [abstract/doi/10.1093/pubmed/fdy010/4827060?redirectedFrom=fulltext](https://academic.oup.com/jpubhealth/advance-article-abstract/doi/10.1093/pubmed/fdy010/4827060?redirectedFrom=fulltext)
- 361 18. Perman S, Turner S, Ramsay AIG, Baim-Lance A, Utley M, Fulop NJ. School-based vaccination
362 programmes: a systematic review of the evidence on organisation and delivery in high
363 income countries. *BMC Public Health* [Internet]. 2017 Mar;17(1):252. Available from:
364 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-017-4168-0>
- 365 19. Ofsted. Deprivation, ethnicity and school inspection judgements [Internet]. Ofsted blog:
366 schools, early years, further education and skills. 2018 [cited 2018 Dec 11]. Available from:
367 [https://educationinspection.blog.gov.uk/2018/06/22/deprivation-ethnicity-and-school-](https://educationinspection.blog.gov.uk/2018/06/22/deprivation-ethnicity-and-school-inspection-judgements/)
368 [inspection-judgements/](https://educationinspection.blog.gov.uk/2018/06/22/deprivation-ethnicity-and-school-inspection-judgements/)
- 369 20. Williams CJ, Willocks LJ, Lake IR, Hunter PR. Geographic correlation between deprivation
370 and risk of meningococcal disease: an ecological study. *BMC Public Health*. 2004;4:30.
- 371 21. Stuart J, Middleton N, J Gunnell D. Socioeconomic inequality and meningococcal disease.
372 *Commun Dis Public Health*. 2003;5:327–8.
- 373 22. Heyderman RS, Ben-Shlomo Y, Brennan CA, Somerset M. The incidence and mortality for
374 meningococcal disease associated with area deprivation: an ecological study of hospital
375 episode statistics. *Arch Dis Child* [Internet]. 2004 Nov 1;89(11):1064 LP-1068. Available
376 from: <http://adc.bmj.com/content/89/11/1064.abstract>
- 377 23. Jones IR, Urwin G, Feldman RA, Banatvala N. Social deprivation and bacterial meningitis in
378 north east thames region: three year study using small area statistics. *BMJ* [Internet].
379 1997;314(7083):794. Available from: <https://www.bmj.com/content/314/7083/794>

- 380 24. Blagden S, Seddon D, Hungerford D, Stanistreet D. Uptake of a new meningitis vaccination
381 programme amongst first-year undergraduate students in the United Kingdom: A cross-
382 sectional study. PLoS One [Internet]. 2017;12(8):1–16. Available from:
383 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181817>
- 384 25. Strand S, Malmberg L-E, Hall J. English as an Additional Language (EAL) and educational
385 achievement in England: An analysis of the National Pupil Database [Internet]. Educational
386 Endowment Foundation, Unbound Philanthropy, Bell Foundation. Oxford: University of
387 Oxford; 2015. Available from: [https://www.bell-foundation.org.uk/wp-](https://www.bell-foundation.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/EAL-PIE-and-Educational-Achievement-Report-2018-FV.pdf)
388 [content/uploads/2018/10/EAL-PIE-and-Educational-Achievement-Report-2018-FV.pdf](https://www.bell-foundation.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/EAL-PIE-and-Educational-Achievement-Report-2018-FV.pdf)
- 389 26. Hawker JI, Olowokure B, Wood AL, Wilson RC, Johnson R. Widening inequalities in MMR
390 vaccine uptake rates among ethnic groups in an urban area of the UK during a period of
391 vaccine controversy (1994–2000). Vaccine [Internet]. 2007 Oct 23 [cited 2019 Jan
392 7];25(43):7516–9. Available from:
393 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0264410X07009851?via%3Dihub>
- 394 27. Baker D, Garrow A, Shiels C. Inequalities in immunisation and breast feeding in an ethnically
395 diverse urban area: cross-sectional study in Manchester, UK. J Epidemiol Community Health
396 [Internet]. 2011 Apr 1;65(4):346 LP-352. Available from:
397 <http://jech.bmj.com/content/65/4/346.abstract>
- 398 28. ESRC Centre on Dynamics of Ethnicity. Geographies of diversity in Manchester LOCAL
399 DYNAMICS OF DIVERSITY: EVIDENCE FROM THE 2011 CENSUS [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2019
400 Jan 7]. Available from:
401 [http://hummedia.manchester.ac.uk/institutes/code/briefings/localdynamicsofdiversity/geo-](http://hummedia.manchester.ac.uk/institutes/code/briefings/localdynamicsofdiversity/geographies-of-diversity-in-manchester.pdf)
402 [graphies-of-diversity-in-manchester.pdf](http://hummedia.manchester.ac.uk/institutes/code/briefings/localdynamicsofdiversity/geographies-of-diversity-in-manchester.pdf)
- 403 29. Jean S, Elshafei M, Buttenheim A. Social determinants of community-level human
404 papillomavirus vaccination coverage in a school-based vaccination programme. Sex Transm
405 Infect [Internet]. 2018 Jun 1;94(4):248 LP-253. Available from:
406 <http://sti.bmj.com/content/94/4/248.abstract>
- 407 30. Ferrer HB, Trotter C, Hickman M, Audrey S. Barriers and facilitators to HPV vaccination of
408 young women in high-income countries: a qualitative systematic review and evidence
409 synthesis. BMC Public Health [Internet]. 2014 Jul;14(1):700. Available from:
410 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-14-700>
- 411 31. Strobe. STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of cross-
412 sectional studie [Internet]. Strobe Statement. 2007 [cited 2018 Dec 12]. Available from:
413 [https://strobe-](https://strobe-statement.org/fileadmin/Strobe/uploads/checklists/STROBE_checklist_v4_cross-sectional.pdf)
414 [statement.org/fileadmin/Strobe/uploads/checklists/STROBE_checklist_v4_cross-](https://strobe-statement.org/fileadmin/Strobe/uploads/checklists/STROBE_checklist_v4_cross-sectional.pdf)
415 [sectional.pdf](https://strobe-statement.org/fileadmin/Strobe/uploads/checklists/STROBE_checklist_v4_cross-sectional.pdf)
- 416
- 417